

QUASIMÖBIUS INVARIANCE OF UNIFORM DOMAINS

QINGSHAN ZHOU* AND ANTTI RASILA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study quasimöbius invariance of uniform domains in Banach spaces. We first investigate implications of certain geometric properties of domains in Banach spaces, such as the (diameter) uniformity, the δ -uniformity and the min-max property. Then we show that all of these conditions are equivalent if the domain is ψ -natural. As applications, we answer partially to an open question proposed by Väisälä, and provide a new method to prove a recent result in [5], which also gives an answer to another question raised by Väisälä.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Many results of the classical function theory have their counterparts in the setting of quasiconformal mappings in n -dimensional Euclidean spaces. To further extend the scope of this theory, Väisälä developed from late 1980's onwards the theory of (dimension) free quasiconformal mappings in Banach spaces [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The main advantage of this approach is in avoiding use of volume integrals and conformal modulus, which allows one to study the quasiconformality of mappings in infinite dimensional Banach spaces and other metric spaces without volume measures. Recently, this line of research has a subject of several investigations (see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 15, 26] and references therein).

In this paper, we consider the relationship between uniform domains and relative quasimöbius maps in real Banach spaces. The main objective is to study two open questions formulated by Väisälä in [19] and [22]. We will give a partial solution to one of these questions and provide a new method to answer the other one. Following [22], we assume that E is a real Banach space with dimension at least two, a proper domain $G \subsetneq E$ is a nonempty connected open set, and $d_G(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial G)$ for $x \in G$. Let us begin with the definition of uniform domains in Banach spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach space and $G \subsetneq E$ a domain, and let $c \geq 1$. We say that G is c -uniform if each pair of points x, y in G can be connected by an arc γ in G satisfying:

$$(1) \ell(\gamma) \leq c|x - y|, \text{ and}$$

File: diam-uniform-20200713final.tex, printed: 2020-7-14, 1.01

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30C65, 30F45; Secondary: 30C20.

Key words and phrases. Uniform domain, min-max property, (relative) quasimöbius mapping, quasisymmetric mapping, natural condition.

* Corresponding author.

Qingshan Zhou was supported by NSF of China (No. 11901090), and by Department of Education of Guangdong Province, China (Grant No. 2018KQNCX285). Antti Rasila was supported by NNSF of China (No. 11971124).

(2) $\min\{\ell(\gamma[x, z]), \ell(\gamma[z, y])\} \leq c d_G(z)$ for all $z \in \gamma$,

where $\ell(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ , $\gamma[x, z]$ the part of γ between x and z . At this time, γ is said to be a *c-uniform arc*.

Remark 1.1. In 1978, Martio and Sarvas [14] introduced the twisted interior cone condition in connection to showing global injectivity properties for locally injective mappings. Since then, many other characterizations of uniform domains have been established, see [1, 2, 13]. Uniform domains can be understood as a class of domains developed in the context of generalizing the Riemann mapping theorem for quasiconformal maps in \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$, a question that still remains open. This class of domains has numerous geometric and function theoretic properties that make it useful in many fields of modern mathematical analysis as well (see e.g. [3, 8, 16, 17, 22]).

In [13], Martio studied the quasiconformal invariance of uniform domains in $\overline{R}^n = R^n \cup \{\infty\}$. He obtained the following result by showing several equivalent conditions for uniform domains.

Theorem A. ([13, Theorems 5.4 and 6.2]) *Let $c, K \geq 1$. If $G \subsetneq \overline{R}^n$ is a c -uniform domain and $f : \overline{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ is K -quasiconformal, then $f(G)$ is c_1 -uniform with c_1 depending only on c, K and n .*

Subsequently, Väisälä [16] introduced the concept of *quasimöbius* maps (see Definition 2.9) and investigated the relation between this class of mappings and quasiconformal on uniform domains.

Theorem B. ([16, Theorem 5.6]) *Let $c, K \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. If $G \subsetneq \overline{R}^n$ is a c -uniform domain and $f : G \rightarrow G' \subsetneq \overline{R}^n$ is a K -quasiconformal homeomorphism, then G' is c_1 -uniform if and only if f is η -quasimöbius.*

Moreover, Väisälä has generalized Theorem B to real Banach spaces by using the free quasiconformality (FQC) theory in [19].

Theorem C. ([19, Theorem 7.18]) *Let G and G' be domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. If G is c -uniform and $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is φ -FQC, then G' is c_1 -uniform if and only if f is η -quasimöbius.*

The main motivation of this paper is the following two questions posed by Väisälä, the second of which is still open. Note that the definition of (M, C) -CQH and relative quasimöbius mapping see Definitions 2.9 and 2.10.

Question 1.1. ([19, Question 7.19]) *Suppose that G is a c -uniform domain and that $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is (M, C) -CQH. If f extends to a homeomorphism $\overline{f} : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G}'$ and \overline{f} is θ -quasimöbius relative to the boundary ∂G , is G' c' -uniform?*

Remark 1.2. It is worth of mentioning that Huang, Li, Vuorinen, and Wang [5] answered Question 1.1 affirmatively. Their proofs are based on several concepts and results in the free quasiworld [22]; such as coarse quasihyperbolic length and solid arcs, the equivalence of uniform and φ -uniform domains, the diameter cigar theorems for uniform domains.

Question 1.2. ([22, 13.2.10]) Suppose that G is a c -uniform domain and that a homeomorphism $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G and maps G onto G' . Is G' c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \eta)$?

We focus our attention to Question 1.2. Indeed, Question 1.2 is more difficult than Question 1.1 because one does not assume that f is a coarsely quasihyperbolic map. To deal with this question, we first prove the following implications between certain geometric properties for domains in Banach spaces, such as the diameter uniformity, the min-max property and the δ -uniformity for some $0 < \delta < 1$. Also see Subsection 2.2 for the definitions.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $G \subsetneq E$ is a domain, then we have the following implications: G is c -uniform $\Rightarrow G$ satisfies the min-max property $\Rightarrow G$ is diameter c_1 -uniform $\Leftrightarrow G$ is δ -uniform for some $0 < \delta < 1$.*

Remark 1.3. The min-max property for domains in \mathbb{R}^n was introduced by Gehring and Hag in [1]. They extended the properties of hyperbolic geodesic in \mathbb{B}^n to more general domains, and studied the relationship between this property, the uniformity and quasiconformal extension property. In [13], Martio introduced the concept of the δ -uniformity property in terms of the cross-ratio of four points for domains in \mathbb{R}^n . By using this condition, he obtained certain general properties of uniform domains.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we prove the following quasimöbius invariance of diameter uniform domains and δ -uniform ($0 < \delta < 1$) domains.

Corollary 1.1. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Let $f : G \rightarrow G'$ be a θ -quasimöbius homeomorphism.*

- (1) *If G is diameter c -uniform, then G' is diameter c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \theta)$;*
- (2) *If G is δ -uniform with $0 < \delta < 1$, then G' is δ' -uniform with $\delta' = \delta'(\delta, \theta) \in (0, 1)$.*

Next, we consider the converse of Theorem 1.1. One easily see that c -uniform domain is diameter c -uniform. For the converse, it follows from [13, Theorem 4.5] that a diameter c -uniform domain in \mathbb{R}^n is c_1 -uniform with c_1 depending on c and n . It is natural to ask whether this holds in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. Our second main result is an attempt in this direction.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain. Then G is c -uniform if and only if G is diameter c_1 -uniform and ψ -natural.*

Remark 1.4. The definition of ψ -natural domains is given in Definition 2.3. We note that every proper domain in \mathbb{R}^n is ψ -natural with $\psi = \psi(n)$ (see [24, Corollary 2.18]). In an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the broken tube construction in [23] provides an example of a domain, which is not natural. Moreover, it is diameter c -uniform but not c_1 -uniform for any constant $c_1 \geq 1$.

There are several applications of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in studying the relationship between uniform domains and (relative) quasimöbius maps. The following is an immediate one because a ψ -uniform domain (see (2.2) for the definition) is ψ -natural.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain. Then G is c -uniform if and only if G is diameter c_1 -uniform and ψ -uniform. In particular, a diameter uniform convex domain in a Banach space is uniform.*

As the second application, we answer partially to Question 1.2 as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that G is c -uniform and that a homeomorphism $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G and maps G onto G' , then G' is diameter c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \eta)$. If in addition G' is ψ -natural, then G' is c'_1 -uniform with $c'_1 = c'_1(c, \eta, \psi)$.*

Moreover, we show that Theorem 1.3 gives a way to affirmatively answer Question 1.1. Our method is quite different from the one used in [5].

Theorem 1.4. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is (M, C) -CQH, and that f extends to a homeomorphism $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ which is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G .*

- (1) *If G is ψ -natural, then G' is ψ' -natural with $\psi' = \psi'(\psi, M, C, \eta)$,*
- (2) *If G is c -uniform, then G' is c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, M, C, \eta)$.*

By Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, we further obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is (M, C) -CQH, and that f extends to a homeomorphism $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ which is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G . If G is diameter c -uniform and G' is ψ -natural, then both G and G' are c_1 -uniform with $c_1 = c_1(c, M, C, \theta, \psi) \geq 1$.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall necessary definitions and preliminary results. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are given in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4, and the proofs of Corollaries 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 are presented in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

2.1. Notation. Let letters A, B, C, \dots denote positive numerical constants. Similarly, $C(a, b, c, \dots)$ denotes universal positive functions of the parameters a, b, c, \dots . Sometimes we write $C = C(a, b, c, \dots)$ to emphasize the parameters on which C depends and abbreviate $C(a, b, c, \dots)$ to C .

Following the notation and terminology of [5, 22], we use E and E' to denote real Banach spaces with dimension at least 2. The norm of a vector x in E is written as $|x|$, and for every pair of points z_1, z_2 in E , the distance between them is denoted by $|z_1 - z_2|$, the closed line segment with endpoints z_1 and z_2 by $[z_1, z_2]$. The one-point extension of E is the Hausdorff space $\dot{E} = E \cup \{\infty\}$, where the neighborhoods of ∞ are the complements of closed bounded sets of E .

For a set A in E , we use \overline{A} to denote the completion of A and $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A$ to be its norm boundary. For a bounded set A in E , $\text{diam } A$ is the diameter of A . Let

$$B(x, r) = \{z \in E : |z - x| < r\}, \quad \overline{B}(x, r) = \{z \in E : |z - x| \leq r\},$$

and $S(x, r) = \{z \in E : |z - x| = r\}$.

Let X be a metric space. A curve is a continuous function $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$. The length of γ is defined by

$$\ell(\gamma) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = b$. The curve is called *rectifiable* if $\ell(\gamma) < \infty$. The metric space X is called *rectifiably connected* if each pair of points can be connected by a rectifiable curve.

The length function associated with a rectifiable curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is $s_\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \ell(\gamma)]$, defined by $s_\gamma(t) = \ell(\gamma|_{[a,t]})$ for $t \in [a, b]$. For any rectifiable curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, there is a unique parametrization $\gamma_s : [0, \ell(\gamma)] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_s \circ s_\gamma$. Obviously, $\ell(\gamma_s|_{[0,t]}) = t$ for $t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$. The parametrization γ_s is called the *arclength parametrization* of γ . For a rectifiable curve γ in X , the line integral over γ of each Borel function $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is

$$\int_\gamma \varrho ds = \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \varrho \circ \gamma_s(t) dt.$$

2.2. Domains in Banach spaces. In this part, we assume that E is a real Banach space with dimension at least two, and $G \subsetneq E$ is a domain. We begin with the definition of the quasihyperbolic metric. Note that this metric was first introduced by Gehring and Palka [3] in the case of proper domains in R^n . It has been recently used by many authors in the study of quasiconformal mappings and related questions, see e.g. [2, 10, 12, 15, 27].

Recall that the *quasihyperbolic length* of a rectifiable curve α in the norm metric in G is the number (cf. [2, 3]):

$$\ell_k(\alpha) = \int_\alpha \frac{|dz|}{d_G(z)}.$$

For each pair of points z_1, z_2 in G , the *quasihyperbolic distance* $k_G(z_1, z_2)$ between z_1 and z_2 is defined in the usual way:

$$k_G(z_1, z_2) = \inf \ell_k(\alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves α joining z_1 and z_2 in G . An arc α from z_1 to z_2 is a *quasihyperbolic geodesic* if

$$\ell_k(\alpha) = k_G(z_1, z_2).$$

It is known that a quasihyperbolic geodesic between every pair of points in G exists if the dimension of E is finite, see [2, Lemma 1]. However, this is not true in infinite dimensional Banach spaces (cf. [18, Example 2.9]).

Let us remark that the second author with Talponen further investigated in [15] properties of quasihyperbolic geodesics in Banach spaces. They demonstrated that in a strictly convex Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property, the quasihyperbolic geodesics are unique. Moreover, they provided an example to show that for a convex domain in a non-reflexive Banach space, it is possible that there is no quasihyperbolic geodesic between any given pair of points in the domain.

In order to overcome this shortcoming, Väisälä introduced the following concept in [19].

Definition 2.1. Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain and let $c \geq 1$. An arc $\alpha \subseteq G$ is a *c-near-geodesic* if $\ell_k(\alpha[x, y]) \leq c k_G(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \alpha$.

Moreover, Väisälä [19] proved the following property concerning the existence of near-geodesics in the domains of Banach spaces.

Theorem D. ([19, Theorem 3.3]) *Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain. Then for all points $\{z_1, z_2\} \subseteq G$ and for any $c > 1$, there is a c-near-geodesic α joining z_1 and z_2 in G .*

We also record the following elementary inequality (cf. [22]):

$$(2.1) \quad k_G(z_1, z_2) \geq \left| \log \frac{d_G(z_2)}{d_G(z_1)} \right|,$$

for all z_1, z_2 in G .

We recall the definition of φ -uniform domains presented in [25].

Definition 2.2. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing homeomorphism. A domain $G \subsetneq E$ is called *φ -uniform* if for all x, y in G , we have

$$(2.2) \quad k_G(x, y) \leq \varphi(r_G(x, y)), \quad \text{where} \quad r_G(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{\min\{d_G(x), d_G(y)\}}.$$

In order to give a simple criterion for φ -uniform domains, consider domains G satisfying the following property [25, Examples 2.50 (1)]: there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that each pair of points $x, y \in G$ can be joined by a rectifiable curve $\gamma \in G$ with $\ell(\gamma) \leq C|x - y|$ and $\min\{d_G(x), d_G(y)\} \leq C \text{dist}(\gamma, \partial G)$. Then G is φ -uniform with $\varphi(t) = C^2 t$. In particular, every convex domain is φ -uniform with $\varphi(t) = t$. However, in general, (unbounded) convex domains need not be uniform.

Suppose that $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq G \subsetneq E$. We write

$$r_G(A) = \sup\{r_G(x, y) : x \in A, y \in A\}$$

and

$$k_G(A) = \sup\{k_G(x, y) : x \in A, y \in A\}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an increasing function. A domain $G \subsetneq E$ is called *ψ -natural* if

$$k_G(A) \leq \psi(r_G(A))$$

for every nonempty connected set $A \subseteq G$ with $r_G(A) < \infty$.

This definition is from the paper of Väisälä [20], and also studied by Vuorinen in [24]. We note that a φ -uniform domain is φ -natural, and every convex domain is ψ -natural with $\psi(t) = t$ (see, [20, Theorems 2.8 and 2.9]). In fact, the next two results show that the class of natural domains is fairly large.

Lemma E. ([24, Corollary 2.18]) *Every proper domain in \mathbb{R}^n is ψ_n -natural with ψ_n depending only on n .*

Lemma F. ([20, Theorem 2.8]) *Let $c \geq 1$. A c -uniform domain G in a Banach space E is ψ -natural with $\psi = \psi(c)$.*

But in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the broken tube construction in [23, 2.3] provides an example of a domain, which is not natural.

Definition 2.4. Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain and let $c \geq 1$. We say that G is c -John if each pair of points x, y in G can be connected by an arc γ in G such that

$$\min\{\ell(\gamma[x, z]), \ell(\gamma[z, y])\} \leq c d_G(z),$$

for all $z \in \gamma$. Moreover, the arc γ is called a c -cone arc.

Remark 2.1. The concept of John domains in Euclidean spaces was first introduced in 1961 by John [7] in connection with his work in elasticity. Recently, Li, Vuorinen and the first author studied several equivalent conditions for John metric spaces in [11]. Indeed, their method is used in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Definition 2.5. Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain and let $c \geq 1$. G is called *diameter c -uniform*, if each pair of points x_1, x_2 in G can be joined by an arc α in G satisfying:

- (1) $\min_{j=1,2} \text{diam}(\alpha[x_j, x]) \leq c d_G(x)$ for all $x \in \alpha$, and
- (2) $\text{diam} \alpha \leq c |x_1 - x_2|$.

Moreover, α satisfying the above conditions is said to be a *diameter uniform arc*.

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that a c -uniform domain is diameter c -uniform. For the converse, it follows from [13, Theorem 4.5] that a diameter c -uniform domain in \mathbb{R}^n is c_1 -uniform with c_1 depending on c and n . Note that in Banach spaces, Väisälä [23] constructed a broken tube domain which is diameter c -uniform but not c_1 -uniform for any constant $c_1 \geq 1$.

Definition 2.6. Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain. We say that G has the *min-max property* if there exists a family of curves Γ in G and a constant $c \geq 1$ such that any pair of points in G can be joined by a curve $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and so that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{c} \min_{j=1,2} |x_j - y| \leq |x - y| \leq c \max_{j=1,2} |x_j - y|,$$

for each ordered triple of points $x_1, x, x_2 \in \gamma$ and each $y \in \partial G$.

Definition 2.7. Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a domain and let $0 < \delta < 1$. G is called δ -uniform if each pair of points x_1, x_2 in G can be joined by an arc α in G such that the cross ratio

$$(2.4) \quad \tau(x, x_i, y, x_j) = \frac{|x - y|}{|x - x_i|} \cdot \frac{|x_i - x_j|}{|x_j - y|} \geq \delta, \quad i \neq j \in \{1, 2\},$$

for all $x \in \alpha \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $y \in E \setminus G$.

2.3. Mappings on metric spaces. Let X be a metric space and $\dot{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$. By a triple in X we mean an ordered sequence $T = (x, y, z)$ of three distinct points in X . The ratio of T is the number

$$\rho(T) = \frac{|y - x|}{|z - x|}.$$

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an injective map, the image of a triple $T = (x, y, z)$ is the triple $f(T) = (f(x), f(y), f(z))$.

Suppose that $A \subseteq X$. A triple $T = (x, y, z)$ in X is said to be a triple in the pair (X, A) if $x \in A$ or if $\{y, z\} \subseteq A$. Equivalently, both $|y - x|$ and $|z - x|$ are distances from a point in A .

Definition 2.8. Let X and Y be two metric spaces, and let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homeomorphism. Suppose $A \subset X$. An embedding $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be η -quasisymmetric relative to A , or η -QS rel A , if $\rho(f(T)) \leq \eta(\rho(T))$ for each triple T in (X, A) .

Notice that an embedding $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is η -QS relative to A if and only if $\rho(T) \leq t$ implies that $\rho(f(T)) \leq \eta(t)$ for each triple T in (X, A) and $t \geq 0$ (cf. [22]). Obviously, quasisymmetric relative to X is equivalent to usual quasisymmetric.

A quadruple in X is an ordered sequence $Q = (x, y, z, w)$ of four distinct points in X . The cross ratio of Q is defined to be the number

$$\tau(Q) = \tau(x, y, z, w) = \frac{|x - z|}{|x - y|} \cdot \frac{|y - w|}{|z - w|}.$$

Observe that the definition can be extended in the usual manner to the case where one of the points is ∞ . For example,

$$\tau(x, y, z, \infty) = \frac{|x - z|}{|x - y|}.$$

If $X_0 \subseteq \dot{X}$ and if $f : X_0 \rightarrow \dot{Y}$ is an injective map, the image of a quadruple Q in X_0 is the quadruple $f(Q) = (f(x), f(y), f(z), f(w))$. Suppose that $A \subseteq X_0$. We say that a quadruple $Q = (x, y, z, w)$ in X_0 is a quadruple in the pair (X_0, A) if $\{x, w\} \subseteq A$ or $\{y, z\} \subseteq A$. Equivalently, all four distances in the definition of $\tau(Q)$ are (at least formally) distances from a point in A .

Definition 2.9. Let X and Y be two metric spaces and let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homeomorphism. Suppose $A \subseteq \dot{X}$. An embedding $f : \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{Y}$ is said to be η -quasimöbius relative to A , or η -QM rel A , if the inequality $\tau(f(Q)) \leq \eta(\tau(Q))$ holds for each quadruple in (X, A) .

Apparently, η -QM relative to X is equivalent to η -quasimöbius.

We conclude this section by recalling the definition of CQH maps and by presenting a useful result.

Definition 2.10. Let G and G' be domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Let $M \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$. A homeomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is said to be C -coarsely M -quasihyperbolic, or (M, C) -CQH if for all $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\frac{k_G(x, y) - C}{M} \leq k_{G'}(f(x), f(y)) \leq M k_G(x, y) + C.$$

Lemma G. ([20, Lemma 2.14]) *Suppose that $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G and that $f(G) = G'$. Suppose also that $x, y \in G$ with $r_G(x, y) \leq t$. Then $r_{G'}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \mu(t, \eta) < \infty$.*

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

3.1. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1 by dividing the arguments into several lemmas. We use the methods of the proof from [1, Theorem 2.7] and [13, Theorem 5.4].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $G \subsetneq E$ is a c -uniform domain, then G satisfies the min-max property.*

Proof. Set

$$\Gamma := \{\alpha_{u,v} \mid u, v \in G, \alpha_{u,v} \text{ is a 2-neargeodesic with end points } u, v \text{ in } G\}.$$

It follows from Theorem D that Γ is not empty. Fix $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for an ordered triple of points $x_1, x, x_2 \in \gamma$ and $y \in \partial G$, it suffices to verify (2.3) for these points.

First, by [22, Theorem 10.17], we know that G is quasihyperbolic c_1 -uniform with $c_1 = c_1(c)$; for the definition see [22, 10.2]. Moreover, it follows from Cigar Theorem [22, 10.9] that the subarc $\gamma[x_1, x_2]$ is c_2 -uniform with $c_2 = c_2(c)$. Thus we have

$$\min_{j=1,2} |x_j - x| \leq \min_{j=1,2} \ell(\gamma[x_j, x]) \leq c_2 d_G(x) \leq c_2 |x - y|.$$

This yields

$$(3.1) \quad \min_{j=1,2} |x_j - y| \leq |x - y| + \min_{j=1,2} |x_j - x| \leq (1 + c_2)|x - y|.$$

On the other hand, by the uniformity of $\gamma[x_1, x_2]$, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad |x - y| \leq |x - x_1| + |x_1 - y| \leq c_2 |x_1 - x_2| + |x_1 - y| \leq (2c_2 + 1) \max_{j=1,2} |x_j - y|.$$

Therefore, we see from (3.1) and (3.2) that G satisfies the min-max property for this family of curves Γ . Hence we prove Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that a domain $G \subsetneq E$ satisfies the min-max property, then G is diameter c_1 -uniform.*

Proof. Fix $x_1, x_2 \in G$. If $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}d_G(x_1)$, in this case, we see that the line segment $[x_1, x_2]$ is the desired diameter uniform arc.

If $|x_1 - x_2| > \frac{1}{2}d_G(x_1)$, take $y \in \partial G$ with $|x_1 - y| \leq 2d_G(x_1)$. Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad |x_1 - y| \leq 4|x_1 - x_2|.$$

Because G satisfies the min-max property, we know that there exists a curve γ joining x_1 and x_2 in G and a constant $c \geq 1$ such that (2.3) holds. Then for all $x \in \gamma$, we obtain by (3.3) that

$$|x - y| \leq c \max_{j=1,2} |x_j - y| \leq c(|x_1 - x_2| + |x_1 - y|) \leq 5c|x_1 - x_2|,$$

which implies

$$(3.4) \quad \text{diam } \gamma \leq 10c|x_1 - x_2|.$$

Fix $z \in \gamma$ and take another point $z \in \partial G$ with $|x - z| \leq 2d_G(x)$. Moreover, we claim that for some $j = 1$ or $j = 2$,

$$(3.5) \quad \gamma[x_j, x] \subseteq B(z, 2cd_G(x)).$$

Otherwise, there are two points $u_j \in \gamma[x_j, x]$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$\min_{j=1,2} |u_j - z| > 2cd_G(x) \geq c|x - z|,$$

which contradicts (2.3). Therefore, we see from (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad \min_{j=1,2} \text{diam } \gamma[x_j, x] \leq 4cd_G(x).$$

Hence it follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that γ is the required in this case, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a diameter c -uniform domain with $c \geq 1$. Then G is δ -uniform for some constant $\delta = \delta(c) \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Fix two distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in G$. Because G is diameter c -uniform, we can choose a diameter c -uniform arc γ connecting x_1 and x_2 in G . Thus for all $x \in \gamma$ and $y \in E \setminus G$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\text{diam } \gamma[x_1, x] \leq \text{diam } \gamma[x_2, x].$$

In order to show that G is δ -uniform, we need to find lower bounds for the cross ratios $\tau(x, x_1, y, x_2)$ and $\tau(x, x_2, y, x_1)$.

We first consider two cases to check that

$$(3.7) \quad \tau(x, x_1, y, x_2) \geq \frac{1}{2c^2}.$$

If $|x_2 - y| \leq 2c|x_1 - x_2|$, we have

$$\tau(x, x_1, y, x_2) = \frac{|x - y||x_1 - x_2|}{|x - x_1||x_2 - y|} \geq \frac{\text{diam } \gamma[x_1, x] |x_1 - x_2|}{c|x - x_1||x_2 - y|} \geq \frac{1}{2c^2}.$$

If $|x_2 - y| > 2c|x_1 - x_2|$, we observe from the diameter uniformity of γ that

$$\max_{j=1,2} |x_j - x| \leq \text{diam } \gamma[x_1, x_2] \leq c|x_1 - x_2|,$$

and thus

$$\frac{|x - y|}{|x_2 - y|} \geq \frac{|x_2 - y| - |x - x_2|}{|x_2 - y|} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This implies that

$$\tau(x, x_1, y, x_2) = \frac{|x - y||x_1 - x_2|}{|x - x_1||x_2 - y|} \geq \frac{1}{2c},$$

and we obtain (3.7).

It remains to find a lower bound for $\tau(x, x_2, y, x_1)$. Towards this end, we check that

$$(3.8) \quad |x - y| \geq \frac{1}{c+1}|y - x_1|.$$

Suppose on the contrary that $|x - y| < \frac{1}{c+1}|y - x_1|$. Then we have

$$|x_1 - x| \geq |y - x_1| - |y - x| > \frac{c}{c+1}|y - x_1|$$

and thus

$$|x - y| > \frac{1}{c} \text{diam} \gamma[x_1, x] \geq \frac{1}{c}|x - x_1| > \frac{1}{c+1}|y - x_1|,$$

which is a contradiction, showing (3.8). Moreover, we obtain from (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad \tau(x, x_2, y, x_1) = \frac{|x - y||x_1 - x_2|}{|x - x_2||x_1 - y|} \geq \frac{1}{c(c+1)}.$$

Therefore, by (3.7) and (3.9) we find that G is δ -uniform with $\delta = 1/(2c^2 + 2c)$. Hence the proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G \subsetneq E$ be a δ -uniform domain with $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then G is diameter c -uniform for some constant $c = c(\delta) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Fix two distinct points $u, v \in G$ and take $t = \frac{1}{16}|u - v|$. If $|u - v| < \max\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\}$, then we see that the line segment $[u, v] \subseteq G$ is a diameter uniform arc. Thus we may assume that

$$(3.10) \quad |u - v| \geq \max\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\}.$$

Because G is δ -uniform, we can join u and v by an arc α satisfying the δ -uniformity condition (2.4). Denote by α_0 the subarc of α containing in $G \setminus (B(u, t) \cup B(v, t))$ and such that α_0 connects the spheres $S(u, t)$ and $S(v, t)$. Let $u_0 = \alpha_0 \cap S(u, t)$ and $v_0 = \alpha_0 \cap S(v, t)$.

Next, we are going to construct a curve β joining u to u_0 and satisfying

$$(3.11) \quad \beta[u, x] \subseteq B(x, Cd_G(x))$$

for all $x \in \beta$, where $C = C(\delta) \geq 1$ will be determined below.

To this end, choose a sequence of points u_i , such that $u_i \in \alpha \cap S(u, t/2^i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Moreover, for each $i \geq 0$, pick some point $y_i \in \partial G$ with

$$|y_i - u_i| \leq 2d_G(u_i).$$

We consider two possibilities.

If there is some index $i \geq 0$ such that $|v - y_i| < \frac{1}{2}|u - v|$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(u) &\geq d_G(u_i) - |u - u_i| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u_i - y_i| - |u - u_i| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u - v| - \frac{3}{2}|u - u_i| - \frac{1}{2}|v - y_i| \\ &> \frac{1}{4}|u - v| - \frac{3}{2}|u - u_0| \\ &> 2|u - u_0|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the choice of u_0 in α . From the above it follows that we can take the line segment $[u, u_0] = \beta$ joining u to u_0 such that (3.11) holds for $C = 1$, as desired.

We are thus left to assume that $|v - y_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}|u - v|$ for all $i \geq 0$. In this case for each $i \geq 0$, we take an arc β_i connecting u_i to u_{i+1} satisfying the δ -uniformity condition. Thus $\beta := \bigcup_{i=0} \beta_i$ is a curve joining u to u_0 . In order to show that β is the required curve, we need some estimates.

By our choices of the points u_i and y_i , the δ -uniformity of α implies that

$$(3.12) \quad d_G(u_i) \geq \frac{1}{2}|y_i - u_i| \geq \frac{\delta|v - y_i|}{2|u - v|}|u_i - u| = \frac{\delta}{2^{i+5}}|v - y_i| \geq \frac{\delta}{2^{i+6}}|u - v| =: r_i,$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

Moreover, for all $x \in \beta_i$ and for every $y \in \partial G$, we claim that

$$(3.13) \quad |x - y| \geq \frac{\delta^3}{2^{i+12}}|u - v|.$$

This can be seen as follows. If x lies in the union of $B(u_i, \frac{1}{2}r_i)$ and $B(u_{i+1}, \frac{1}{2}r_{i+1})$, by (3.12) we have

$$|x - y| \geq |y - u_{i+1}| - |x - u_{i+1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}r_{i+1} = \frac{\delta}{2^{i+8}}|u - v|,$$

as needed.

If x lies outside of $B(u_i, \frac{1}{2}r_i)$ and $B(u_{i+1}, \frac{1}{2}r_{i+1})$, we have $|x - u_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}r_i$, $|y - u_{i+1}| \geq r_{i+1}$ and

$$|u_i - u_{i+1}| \leq |u_i - u| + |u_{i+1} - u| \leq \frac{t}{2^{i-1}}.$$

Because β_i satisfies the δ -uniformity property, the estimates from above produce

$$|x - y| \geq \frac{\delta|x - u_i||y - u_{i+1}|}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|} \geq \frac{\delta^3}{2^{i+12}}|u - v|,$$

as desired. This shows (3.13).

We verify (3.11) by using (3.13). Fix $x \in \beta$. Then there is an index $k = 0, 1, \dots$ such that $x \in \beta_k$. For all $z \in \beta_i$ with $i \geq k$, the δ -uniformity of β_i yields

$$|z - u_i| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}|u_i - u_{i+1}| \leq \frac{t}{\delta 2^{i-1}},$$

and therefore

$$|z - u| \leq |z - u_i| + |u_i - u| \leq \frac{t}{\delta 2^{i-2}}.$$

Consequently, by (3.13) we find that

$$|z - x| \leq |z - u| + |u - x| \leq \frac{t}{\delta 2^{k-3}} \leq \frac{2^{11}}{\delta^4}d_G(x),$$

which implies (3.11) by letting $C = 2^{11}\delta^{-4}$.

A similar arguments as above shows that there is a curve γ connecting v_0 and v such that

$$(3.14) \quad \gamma[v, x] \subset B(x, Cd_G(x))$$

for all $x \in \gamma$.

Let $\alpha' = \beta \cup \alpha_0 \cup \gamma$. We shall prove that α' satisfies the desired diameter uniform condition. For all $x \in \beta$, we obtain from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\frac{1}{C}|x - u_0| \leq d_G(u_0) \leq d_G(u) + |u - u_0| \leq 2|u - v|,$$

and thus

$$(3.15) \quad \text{diam } \beta \leq 4C|u - v|.$$

Similarly, it follows from (3.10) and (3.14) that

$$(3.16) \quad \text{diam } \gamma \leq 4C|u - v|.$$

Moreover, for all $x \in \alpha_0$, by the δ -uniformity of α , we have $|u - x| \leq |u - v|/\delta$, which implies

$$(3.17) \quad \text{diam } \alpha_0 \leq \frac{2}{\delta}|u - v|.$$

Therefore, we see from (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$(3.18) \quad \text{diam } \alpha' \leq \text{diam } \beta + \text{diam } \alpha_0 + \text{diam } \gamma \leq \left(8C + \frac{2}{\delta}\right)|u - v|.$$

It follows from (3.11), (3.14) and (3.18) that we only need to show that there exists a constant $A \geq 1$ such that

$$\min\{\text{diam } \alpha'[u, x], \text{diam } \alpha'[v, x]\} \leq Ad_G(x),$$

for all $x \in \alpha_0$. Because α satisfies the δ -uniformity condition, for all $y \in \partial G$ we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| &\geq \max\left\{\frac{\delta|x - u|}{|u - v|}|y - v|, \frac{\delta|x - v|}{|u - v|}|y - u|\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{16} \max\{|y - v|, |y - u|\} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{32}|u - v|. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.18) yields

$$\text{diam } \alpha' \leq \left(8C + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \frac{32}{\delta} d_G(x),$$

as desired. Hence we obtain Lemma 3.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, we immediately obtain Theorem 1.1. \square

3.2. In this part, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that the necessity part follows from Lemma F. To prove the sufficiency, we first develop certain intermediate results. The idea of our arguments for Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 below comes from [11].

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $G \subsetneq E$ is a ψ -natural and diameter c -uniform domain. If $x, x_0 \in G$ with $d_G(x_0) \leq 2d_G(x)$ and $|x - x_0| \leq c_0 d_G(x_0)$ for some constant $c_0 \geq 1$, then there is an arc α in G connecting x and x_0 such that*

$$\ell_k(\alpha[x, x_0]) \leq 2\psi(2cc_0(1+c)),$$

where k is the quasihyperbolic metric of G .

Proof. Because G is diameter c -uniform, there is a diameter c -uniform arc γ in G joining x and x_0 . Because $d_G(x_0) \leq 2d_G(x)$, we thus have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}d_G(x_0) &\leq \min\{d_G(x), d_G(x_0)\} \\ &\leq d_G(z) + \min\{|z - x|, |z - x_0|\} \\ &\leq (1+c)d_G(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \gamma$. Moreover, because G is ψ -natural and $|x - x_0| \leq c_0 d_G(x_0)$, we obtain by (3.19) that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} k(x, x_0) &\leq \text{diam}_k(\gamma) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\text{diam } \gamma}{\text{dist}(\gamma, \partial G)}\right) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{2c(1+c)|x - x_0|}{d_G(x_0)}\right) \\ &\leq \psi(2cc_0(1+c)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{diam}_k(\gamma)$ denotes the quasihyperbolic diameter of γ .

Next, choose an arc α connecting x and x_0 in G such that $\ell_k(\alpha) \leq 2k(x, x_0)$. Therefore, (3.20) yields

$$\ell_k(\alpha) \leq 2k(x, x_0) \leq 2\psi(2cc_0(1+c)),$$

as desired. This shows Lemma 3.5. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $G \subsetneq E$ is a ψ -natural and diameter c -uniform domain. If $x, x_0 \in G$ with $d_G(x_0) > 2d_G(x)$, then there is an arc α in G connecting x and x_0 such that*

$$\ell_k(\alpha[x, y]) \leq 2\psi(8c^2(1+c)),$$

where y is the first point of α such that $d_G(y) = 2d_G(x)$ when traversing α from x to x_0 and k is the quasihyperbolic metric of G .

Proof. Because G is diameter c -uniform, it follows that there is a diameter c -uniform arc γ in G joining x and x_0 . Denote by y_0 the first point of γ such that

$$d_G(y_0) = 2d_G(x) < d_G(x_0).$$

Let $\beta = \gamma[x, y_0]$ and $\beta' = \gamma[y_0, x_0]$. Then we consider two possibilities and show that

$$(3.21) \quad k(x, y_0) \leq \psi(8c^2(1+c)).$$

If $\text{diam } \beta \leq \text{diam } \beta'$, thus by the uniformity of γ we have

$$\text{diam } \beta \leq cd_G(y_0) = 2cd_G(x)$$

and

$$d_G(x) \leq |x - z| + d_G(z) \leq (c+1)d_G(z),$$

for all $z \in \beta$. Because G is ψ -natural, this implies that

$$k(x, y_0) \leq \text{diam}_k(\beta) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\text{diam } \beta}{\text{dist}(\beta, \partial G)}\right) \leq \psi(2c(1+c)),$$

as required.

If $\text{diam } \beta > \text{diam } \beta'$, choose $u \in \beta$ with $\text{diam } \beta[x, u] = \text{diam } \beta/2$. Again we see from the uniformity of β that

$$|x - x_0| \leq 2\text{diam } \beta \leq 4\text{diam } \beta[x, u] \leq 4cd_G(u) \leq 8cd_G(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(x) &= \min\{d_G(x), d_G(x_0)\} \\ &\leq d_G(z) + \min\{|z - x|, |z - x_0|\} \\ &\leq (c+1)d_G(z), \end{aligned}$$

for any $z \in \beta$. Because G is ψ -natural, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} k(x, y_0) &\leq \text{diam}_k(\beta) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\text{diam } \beta}{\text{dist}(\beta, \partial G)}\right) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{c(c+1)|x - x_0|}{d_G(x)}\right) \\ &\leq \psi(8c^2(1+c)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (3.21).

Next, to conclude the proof, we choose an arc α_0 connecting x and y_0 in G such that $\ell_k(\alpha_0) \leq 2k(x, y_0)$. Moreover, let $y \in \alpha_0$ be the first point such that $d_G(y) = 2d_G(x)$. Then Lemma 3.6 follows from the choice of $\alpha = \alpha_0 \cup \gamma[y_0, x_0]$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that $G \subsetneq E$ is a ψ -natural and diameter c -uniform domain, then G is c_1 -John for some $c_1 = c_1(\psi, c)$.*

Proof. Fix $x, y \in G$. Because G is diameter c -uniform, there is a diameter c -uniform arc γ in G connecting x and y . Choose $x_0 \in \gamma$ such that $\text{diam } \gamma[x, x_0] = \text{diam } \gamma[x_0, y]$. Then we have

$$(3.22) \quad \text{diam } \gamma[x, x_0] = \text{diam } \gamma[x_0, y] \leq cd_G(x_0).$$

In order to show that G is c_1 -John, by symmetry, we only need to show that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ and a curve σ joining x and x_0 such that

$$(3.23) \quad \ell(\sigma[x, z]) \leq c_1 d_G(z),$$

for all $z \in \sigma$.

We divide the proof of (3.23) into two cases. Let $a_1 = 2\psi(8c^2(1+c))$, $c_0 = 2e^{a_1} + c$ and $a_2 = 2\psi(2cc_0(1+c))$.

Case 1. Let $d_G(x) \geq d_G(x_0)/2$. By (3.22) we have

$$|x - x_0| \leq cd_G(x_0).$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3.5 we see that there is an arc σ joining x and x_0 such that

$$(3.24) \quad \ell_k(\sigma) \leq 2\psi(2c^2(1+c)) \leq a_1.$$

Then for all $z \in \sigma$, we obtain by (2.1) and (3.24) that

$$\left| \log \frac{d_G(z)}{d_G(x_0)} \right| \leq k(z, x_0) \leq \ell_k(\sigma) \leq a_1,$$

and therefore

$$e^{-a_1} d_G(x_0) \leq d_G(z) \leq e^{a_1} d_G(x_0).$$

This yields

$$(3.25) \quad \ell(\sigma) \leq e^{\ell_k(\sigma)} d_G(x_0) \leq e^{a_1} d_G(z),$$

as desired.

Case 2. Let $d_G(x) < d_G(x_0)/2$. Let $n \geq 1$ be the unique integer such that

$$2^{n-1} d_G(x) < d_G(x_0) \leq 2^n d_G(x).$$

We construct a sequence of points $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} = x_0$ and curves β_i as follows.

First, we see from Lemma 3.6 that there is an arc α_1 joining x_1 and x_0 with $\ell_k(\alpha_1[x_1, x_2]) \leq a_1$, where x_2 is the first point of α_1 (when traversing α_1 from x_1 towards x_0) with $d_G(x_2) = 2d_G(x_1)$. If $d_G(x_2) \geq d_G(x_0)/2$, again we connect x_2 to x_0 by an arc α_2 such that Lemma 3.6 holds for this arc. Then we stop with $n = 2, \beta_2 = \alpha_2$ and $x_3 = x_0$. Otherwise we continue the process by letting $\beta_i = \alpha_i[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ where x_{i+1} is the first point of α_i with $d_G(x_{i+1}) = 2d_G(x_i)$, and where α_i is the arc joining x_i to x_0 such that Lemma 3.6 holds. Since $d_G(x_i) = 2^{i-1} d_G(x_1)$, we find that $d_G(x_i) \geq d_G(x_0)/2$ as soon as $i \geq \log_2 \frac{d_G(x_0)}{d_G(x_1)}$. Thus the above process stops with $i = n, \beta_n = \alpha_n$ and $x_{n+1} = x_0$.

Furthermore, we shall verify that the curve $\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta_i$ satisfies the desired property. To this end, note that by Lemma 3.6 we have

$$(3.26) \quad \ell_k(\beta_i) \leq a_1,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. By using a similar argument as in (3.25), this yields

$$(3.27) \quad \ell(\beta_i) \leq e^{a_1} d_G(x_i).$$

For $i = n$, we claim that

$$(3.28) \quad \ell_k(\beta_n) \leq a_2.$$

Indeed, because $|x - x_0| \leq cd_G(x_0)$, by (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_0| &\leq |x_n - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_2 - x_1| + |x_1 - x_0| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(\beta_i) + |x - x_0| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{a_1} d_G(x_i) + |x - x_0| \\ &\leq (2e^{a_1} + c)d_G(x_0) = c_0 d_G(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 3.5 implies (3.28).

Then from (3.28) and a similar argument with (3.25) it follows that

$$(3.29) \quad \ell(\beta_n) \leq e^{a_2} d_G(x_n).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $a_2 \geq a_1$. Fix $z \in \beta$, then there is some index $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $z \in \beta_j$. Moreover, we obtain by (2.1) and (3.26)

$$\left| \log \frac{d_G(a_j)}{d_G(z)} \right| \leq k(z, a_j) \leq \ell_k(\beta_j) \leq a_2$$

and thus

$$(3.30) \quad d_G(x_j) \leq e^{a_2} d_G(z).$$

Therefore, we see from (3.27), (3.29) and (3.30) that

$$\ell(\beta[x, z]) \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \ell(\beta_i) \leq e^{a_2} \sum_{i=1}^j d_G(x_i) \leq 2e^{a_2} d_G(x_j) \leq 2e^{2a_2} d_G(z),$$

which shows (3.23). Hence the proof of Lemma 3.7 is complete. \square

Finally, we are in a position to show the sufficiency part of Theorem 1.2. Note that by Lemma 3.7, we only need to prove the following result.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that $G \subsetneq E$ is a ψ -natural, c_1 -John and diameter c -uniform domain, then G is b -uniform for some $b = b(\psi, c_1, c)$.*

Proof. Fix $x, y \in G$. Let k be the quasihyperbolic metric of G . If $|x - y| < d_G(x)$, thus the line segment $[x, y]$ connecting x and y in G is the desired uniform arc.

In the following, we may assume that $|x - y| \geq d_G(x)$. Since G is c_1 -John, there is a c_1 -cone arc α connecting x and y in G . Choose two points x' and y' in α such that

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{1}{2}|x - y| = \ell(\alpha[x, x']) = \ell(\alpha[y, y']) \leq c_1 \min\{d_G(x'), d_G(y')\}.$$

Then by (3.31) we have

$$(3.32) \quad |x' - y'| \leq |x' - x| + |x - y| + |y - y'| \leq 2|x - y| \leq 4c_1 \min\{d_G(x'), d_G(y')\}.$$

Moreover, we may join x' and y' by a diameter c -uniform arc β in G because G is a diameter c -uniform domain. It follows from the uniformity of β and (3.32) that

$$(3.33) \quad \text{diam } \beta \leq c|x' - y'| \leq 4c_1c \min\{d_G(x'), d_G(y')\}$$

and

$$(3.34) \quad \min\{d_G(x'), d_G(y')\} \leq d_G(z) + \min\{|x' - z|, |z - y'|\} \leq (1 + c)d_G(z),$$

for all $z \in \beta$. Because G is ψ -natural, we obtain by (3.33) and (3.34) that

$$(3.35) \quad k(x', y') \leq \text{diam}_k(\beta) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\text{diam } \beta}{\text{dist}(\beta, \partial G)}\right) \leq \psi(4c_1c(1 + c)) =: \lambda.$$

It thus follows from (3.35) that there is an arc γ connecting x' and y' in G such that

$$(3.36) \quad \ell_k(\gamma) \leq 2k(x', y') \leq 2\lambda.$$

Denote $\sigma = \alpha[x, x'] \cup \gamma \cup \alpha[y', y]$. We show that σ satisfies the uniformity condition. Because $d_G(x) \leq |x - y|$, by (3.31) we have

$$(3.37) \quad d_G(x') \leq d_G(x) + |x' - x| \leq 2|x - y|.$$

Moreover, we see from (3.36) that

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{d_G(x')}\right) \leq \ell_k(\gamma) \leq 2\lambda,$$

which together with (3.37) implies that

$$\ell(\gamma) \leq 2e^{2\lambda}|x - y|.$$

Therefore, we obtain by (3.31) that

$$(3.38) \quad \ell(\sigma) = \ell(\alpha[x, x']) + \ell(\gamma) + \ell(\alpha[y', y]) \leq (1 + 2e^{2\lambda})|x - y|.$$

It is left to show that σ satisfies the cone condition. Fix $z \in \sigma$. If $z \in \alpha[x, x'] \cup \alpha[y', y]$, then the desired cone property follows from the fact that α is c_1 -cone. If $z \in \gamma$, again by (2.1) and (3.36) we have

$$\left|\log \frac{d_G(x')}{d_G(z)}\right| \leq k(z, x') \leq \ell_k(\gamma) \leq 2\lambda,$$

and therefore $d_G(x') \leq e^{2\lambda}d_G(z)$. By (3.38) and (3.31), it follows that

$$\ell(\sigma) \leq (1 + 2e^{2\lambda})|x - y| \leq 2c_1(1 + 2e^{2\lambda})d_G(x') \leq 2c_1(1 + 2e^{2\lambda})e^{2\lambda}d_G(z),$$

as desired. This proves Lemma 3.8. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

In this section, we start by proving certain auxiliary results. We first show that the diameter uniformity of domains is preserved under quasisymmetric mappings.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that G is diameter c -uniform and that a homeomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is η -quasisymmetric, then G' is diameter c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \eta)$.*

Proof. For any pair of points x', y' in G' , denote $x = f^{-1}(x')$ and $y = f^{-1}(y')$. Because G is diameter c -uniform, we know that there is a diameter c -uniform arc γ connecting x and y in G . We show that the image arc $f(\gamma) = \gamma'$ is the desired uniform arc in G' . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is also η -quasisymmetric by [22, Theorem 6.12].

On the one hand, for all $u \in \gamma$, the diameter uniformity of γ implies that

$$|x - u| \leq c|x - y|.$$

Because f is η -quasisymmetric, we see that

$$|f(x) - f(u)| \leq \eta(c)|f(x) - f(y)|,$$

and thus

$$(4.1) \quad \text{diam } \gamma' \leq 2\eta(c)|f(x) - f(y)|.$$

On the other hand, for any $z' \in \gamma'$ with $f(z) = z'$, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\text{diam } \gamma[x, z] \leq \text{diam } \gamma[y, z].$$

Because γ is diameter c -uniform, we have for all $v \in \gamma[x, z]$ and for every $w \in \partial G$,

$$|z - v| \leq c|z - w|.$$

By the quasisymmetry property of f , we obtain

$$|f(z) - f(v)| \leq \eta(c)|f(z) - f(w)|.$$

This yields

$$(4.2) \quad \text{diam } \gamma'[x', z'] \leq 2\eta(c)d_G(z').$$

Therefore, from (4.1) and (4.2) we see that γ' is as required. Hence the proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete. \square

Next, we prove that the δ -uniformity condition is preserved under quasimöbius maps.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Suppose that G is δ -uniform and that a homeomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is η -quasimöbius, then G' is δ' -uniform with $\delta' = \delta'(\delta, \eta) \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. We want to reduce the situation to the quasimöbius case by using auxiliary inversions. To this end, consider the one-point extensions $\dot{E} = E \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\dot{E}' = E' \cup \{\infty\}$. For all $x \in \dot{E}$, we define the inversion $u : \dot{E} \rightarrow \dot{E}$ as

$$u(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2},$$

with $u(0) = \infty$ and $u(\infty) = 0$. Similarly, for every $x' \in \dot{E}'$, the inversion $u' : \dot{E}' \rightarrow \dot{E}'$ is defined by

$$u'(x') = \frac{x'}{|x'|^2},$$

with $u'(0) = \infty$ and $u'(\infty) = 0$. It follows from [22, Theorem 6.22] that u and u' are both θ -quasimöbius with $\theta(t) = 81t$. Since G is δ -uniform, we see that $u(G)$ is δ_1 -uniform with $\delta_1 = \delta/81$. Similarly, G' is δ' -uniform if and only if $u'(G')$ is δ'_1 -uniform with the constants δ' and δ'_1 depending only on each other.

By [22, Theorem 6.24] we may assume that $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η -quasimöbius as well. By auxiliary translations, we may also assume that $0 \in \partial G$ and $f(0) = 0 \in \partial G'$, or $f(0) = \infty \in \partial G'$. Now we consider two possibilities. If $f(0) = 0$, we define

$$g := u' \circ f \circ u^{-1} : u(G) \rightarrow u'(G').$$

If $f(0) = \infty$, we define

$$g := f \circ u^{-1} : u(G) \rightarrow G'.$$

Thus, we know that g is η_1 -quasimöbius with $\eta_1(t) = 81\eta(81t)$. Because in both cases $g(\infty) = \infty$, we see from [16, Theorem 3.20] that g is η_1 -quasisymmetric.

Furthermore, because $u(G)$ is δ_1 -uniform, by Lemma 3.4 we find that $u(G)$ is diameter c -uniform with $c = c(\delta_1)$. It thus follows from Lemma 4.1 that $g \circ u(G)$ is diameter c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \eta)$. Therefore by using Lemma 3.3, we obtain that G' is δ' -uniform with $\delta' = \delta'(\delta, \eta)$, as desired.

Hence Lemma 4.2 is proved. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that G is diameter c -uniform and that a homeomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is η -quasimöbius. Then G' is diameter c' -uniform with $c' = c'(c, \eta)$.*

Proof. This lemma follows immediately from Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let G and G' be proper domains in Banach spaces E and E' , respectively. Suppose that G has the min-max property and that a homeomorphism $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η -quasisymmetric relative to ∂G and maps G onto G' . Then G' also has the min-max property.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a family of curves Γ in G and a constant $c \geq 1$ such that any pair of points in G can be joined by a curve $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and so that (2.3) holds for each ordered triplet of points $x_1, x, x_2 \in \gamma$ and for all $y \in \partial G$.

Because f is η -quasisymmetric relative to ∂G , it is not difficult to see that any pair of points in G' can be joined by a curve $\gamma' \in \Gamma' = f(\Gamma)$ such that (2.3) holds

with the constant $\eta(c)$, for each ordered triplet of points $x'_1, x', x'_2 \in \gamma'$ and for all $y' \in \partial G'$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

Now, we are ready to complete the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We know from Theorem 1.2 that the second assertion of Theorem 1.3 follows from the first statement. Thus we only need to show that G' is diameter uniform. By using a similar argument as Lemma 4.2, we reduce the situation to the case of relative quasimöbius by using auxiliary inversions. For completeness, we show the details.

Consider the one-point extensions $\dot{E} = E \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\dot{E}' = E' \cup \{\infty\}$. By auxiliary translations, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $0 \in \partial G$ and $f(0) = 0 \in \partial G'$, or $f(0) = \infty \in \partial G'$. Now we consider two possibilities. If $f(0) = 0$, we define

$$g := u' \circ f \circ u^{-1} : u(G) \rightarrow u'(G').$$

If $f(0) = \infty$, in this case we define

$$g := f \circ u^{-1} : u(G) \rightarrow G'.$$

By [22, Theorem 6.22], we know that g is η_1 -quasimöbius relative to $\partial(u(G))$ with $\eta_1(t) = 81\eta(81t)$. Because in both cases $g(\infty) = \infty$, we see that g is η_1 -quasisymmetric relative to $\partial(u(G))$. Moreover, because G is c -uniform, we see from Theorem C that $u(G)$ is c_1 -uniform with $c_1 = c_1(c)$. Thus by Lemma 3.1, we find that $u(G)$ satisfies the min-max property. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $g \circ u(G)$ also satisfies the min-max property. Therefore, we may use Lemma 3.2 to conclude that $g \circ u(G)$ is diameter c'_1 -uniform with c'_1 depending only on c and η .

Hence by Lemma 4.3, we get that G' is diameter c' -uniform with c' depending only on c and η . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first prove (1). Let A' be a nonempty connected set in G' with $r_{G'}(A') = t$ and $A = f^{-1}(A') \subseteq G$. Because f is η -quasimöbius relative to ∂G , we find that the inverse map $f^{-1} : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G'}$ is η' -quasimöbius relative to $\partial G'$ with $\eta'(t) = \eta^{-1}(t^{-1})^{-1}$ (cf. [22]). Then, by Lemma G, we see that there is an increasing function $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ depending only on η such that

$$(4.3) \quad r_G(A) \leq \mu(t).$$

Moreover, because f is (M, C) -CQH and G is ψ -natural, we obtain by (4.3) that

$$k_{G'}(A') \leq M \text{diam}_k(A) + C \leq M\psi(r_G(A)) + C \leq M\psi \circ \mu(t) + C.$$

This implies that G' is ψ' -natural by taking $\psi'(t) = M\psi \circ \mu(t) + C$, as desired.

Next, we verify (2). Assume that G is c -uniform with $c \geq 1$. By Lemma F, we see that G is ψ -natural with ψ depending only on c . Moreover, the statement (1) shows that G' is ψ' -natural. On the other hand, by Theorem 1.3 we obtain that G' is c' -uniform. Hence the proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete. \square

5. PROOFS OF COROLLARIES 1.1, 1.2 AND 1.3

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Corollary 1.1 follows immediately from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Because the ψ -uniformity of domains implies the ψ -naturality, the sufficiency in Corollary 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.2. The necessity is proved by [19, Theorem 6.16] because a c -uniform domain is clearly diameter c -uniform. The second assertion follows from the fact that a convex domain in Banach space is φ -uniform with $\varphi(t) = t$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 1.4, we see that G is ψ_1 -natural. Because G is diameter c -uniform, we know from Theorem 1.2 that G is c_0 -uniform. Again, it follows from Theorem 1.4 that G' is c_1 -uniform. This proves Corollary 1.3. \square

REFERENCES

1. F. W. GEHRING AND K. HAG, Remarks on uniform and quasiconformal extension domains, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **9** (1987), 175–188.
2. F. W. GEHRING AND B. G. OSGOOD, Uniform domains and the quasi-hyperbolic metric, *J. Anal. Math.* **36** (1979), 50–74.
3. F. W. GEHRING AND B. P. PALKA, Quasiconformally homogeneous domains, *J. Anal. Math.* **30** (1976), 172–199.
4. X. HUANG AND J. LIU, Quasihyperbolic metric and quasimetric mappings in metric spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 6225–6246.
5. M. HUANG, Y. LI, M. VUORINEN, AND X. WANG, On quasimöbius maps in real Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **198** (2013), 467–486.
6. M. HUANG, A. RASILA, X. WANG, AND Q. ZHOU, Semisolidity and locally weak quasimetricity of homeomorphisms in metric spaces, *Stud. Math.* **242** (2018), 267–301.
7. F. JOHN, Rotation and strain, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 391–413.
8. P. W. JONES, Extension theorems for BMO, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), 41–66.
9. Y. LI, S. PONNUSAMY, AND Q. ZHOU, Sphericalization and flattening preserve uniform domains in non-locally compact metric spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* (2020), 1–22. doi:10.1017/S1446788719000582
10. Y. LI, M. VUORINEN AND Q. ZHOU, Weakly quasimetric maps and uniform spaces, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **18** (2018), 689–715.
11. Y. LI, M. VUORINEN, AND Q. ZHOU, Characterizations of John spaces, *Monatsh. Math.* **188** (2019), 547–559.
12. Y. LI, M. VUORINEN, AND Q. ZHOU, Apollonian metric, uniformity and Gromov hyperbolicity, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **65** (2020), 215–228.
13. O. MARTIO, Definitions of uniform domains, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **5** (1980), 197–205.
14. O. MARTIO AND J. SARVAS, Injectivity theorems in plane and space, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **4** (1978), 383–401.
15. A. RASILA AND J. TALPONEN, On Quasihyperbolic Geodesics in Banach Spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **39** (2014), 163–173.
16. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Quasimöbius maps, *J. Anal. Math.* **44** (1985), 218–234.
17. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Uniform domains, *Tohoku Math. J.* **40** (1988), 101–118.
18. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. I, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **15** (1990), 355–379.
19. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. II, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **16** (1991), 255–310.
20. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. III, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **17** (1992), 393–408.

21. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. IV, *Analysis and Topology*, 697–717, *World Sci. Publ., River Edge, N. J.*, 1998.
22. J. VÄISÄLÄ, The free quasiworld, freely quasiconformal and related maps in Banach spaces, *Quasiconformal geometry and dynamics (Lublin 1996)*, *Banach Center Publications, Vol. 48*, Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw, ed. by Bogdan Bojarski, Julian Ławrynowicz, Olli Martio, Matti Vuorinen and Józef Zając, 1999, 55–118.
23. J. VÄISÄLÄ, Broken tubes in Hilbert spaces, *Analysis (Munich)* **24** (2004), 227–238.
24. M. VUORINEN, Capacity densities and angular limits of quasiregular mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **263** (1981), 343–354.
25. M. VUORINEN, Conformal invariants and quasiregular mappings, *J. Anal. Math.* **45** (1985), 69–115.
26. X. WANG, Q. ZHOU, T. GUAN, M. HUANG, AND A. RASILA, A note on the relationship between quasi-symmetric mappings and φ -uniform domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **445** (2017), 1114–1119.
27. Q. ZHOU, A. RASILA, AND Y. LI, Free distance ratio mappings in Banach spaces, *Monatsh. Math.* **191** (2020), 843–856.

QINGSHAN ZHOU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND BIG DATA, FOSHAN UNIVERSITY, FOSHAN, GUANGDONG 528000, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: qszhou1989@163.com; q476308142@qq.com

ANTTI RASILA, TECHNION – ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGDONG TECHNION, 241 DAXUE ROAD, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG 515063, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: antti.rasila@gtiit.edu.cn; antti.rasila@iki.fi