# The anti-Ramsey number of $C_3$ and $C_4$ in the complete r-partite graphs

Chunqiu  $\operatorname{Fang}^{*1,2,4}$ , Ervin Győri $^{\dagger 2}$ , Binlong  $\operatorname{Li}^{\ddagger 3,5}$ , and Jimeng Xiao $^{\S 2,3,5}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>2</sup>Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Reáltanoda u.13-15, 1053 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China

<sup>4</sup>Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing 10084, China

<sup>5</sup>Xi'an-Budapest Joint Research Center for Combinatorics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China

#### Abstract

A subgraph of an edge-colored graph is rainbow, if all of its edges have different colors. For a graph G and a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of graphs, the anti-Ramsey number  $ar(G,\mathcal{H})$  is the maximum number k such that there exists an edge-coloring of G with exactly k colors without rainbow copy of any graph in  $\mathcal{H}$ . In this paper, we study the anti-Ramsey number of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  in the complete r-partite graphs. For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we determine  $ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}, \{C_3,C_4\}), ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r},C_3)$  and  $ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r},C_4)$ .

Keywords: Anti-Ramsey numbers, complete r-partite graphs, cycles.

<sup>\*</sup>fcq15@tsinghua.org.cn, supported in part by CSC(No. 201806210164) and NSFC (No. 11771247).

<sup>†</sup>gyori.ervin@renyi.mta.hu, supported in part by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH, grants K116769, K117879, K126853 and K132696.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>libinlong@mail.nwpu.edu.cn.

<sup>§</sup>xiaojimeng@mail.nwpu.edu.cn, supported in part by CSC(No. 201706290171).

### 1. Introduction

We call a subgraph of an edge-colored graph rainbow, if all the edges have different colors. For a graph G and a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of graphs, the anti-Ramsey number  $ar(G, \mathcal{H})$  is the maximum number k such that there exists an edge-coloring of G with exactly k colors without rainbow copy of any graph in  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$ , then we denote  $ar(G, \{H\})$  by ar(G, H). The study of anti-Ramsey theory was initiated by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [6] and considered in the classical case when  $G = K_n$ . Since then plentiful results were established for a variety of graphs H, including among cycles [1, 17], cliques [6, 18, 20], trees [12, 14], and non-connected graphs [8, 21]. Also, different graphs were considered as the underlying host graph G, including complete bipartite graphs [3], complete hypergraphs [10, 19], complete split graphs [9, 15], triangulations [4, 16]. See Fujita, Magnant, and Ozeki [7] for a survey.

For cycles, Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [6] showed that  $ar(K_n, C_3) = n - 1$  and conjectured that  $ar(K_n, C_k) = (\frac{k-2}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1})n + O(1)$ , for all  $n \ge k \ge 3$ . Alon [1] proved it for k = 4 by showing that  $ar(K_n, C_4) = \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1$ . Jiang, Schiermeyer and West [13] proved this conjecture for  $k \le 7$ . Finally, Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara [17] completely proved this conjecture.

**Theorem 1.** ([17]) For all  $n \ge k \ge 3$ , let  $n \equiv r_k \pmod{(k-1)}$ ,  $0 \le r_k \le k-2$ , we have

$$ar(K_n, C_k) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \right\rfloor \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{r_k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \right\rceil - 1.$$

Axenovich, Jiang and Kündgen [3] considered the even cycles in complete bipartite graphs and proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.** ([3]) For  $n \ge m \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 2$ ,

$$ar(K_{m,n}, C_{2k}) = \begin{cases} (k-1)(m+n) - 2(k-1)^2 + 1, & m \ge 2k - 1; \\ (k-1)n + m - (k-1), & k - 1 \le m \le 2k - 1; \\ mn, & m \le k - 1. \end{cases}$$

A complete split graph  $K_n + \overline{K_s}$  is a join of a complete graph  $K_n$  and an empty graph  $\overline{K_s}$ , that is the graph obtained from  $K_n \cup \overline{K_s}$  by joining each vertex of  $K_n$  with each vertex of  $\overline{K_s}$ . Gorgol [9] considered the cycles in complete split graphs and proved the following result.

**Theorem 3.** ([9]) For 
$$n \ge 2$$
,  $s \ge 1$ ,  $ar(K_n + \overline{K_s}, C_3) = n + s - 1$ .

They also gave a lower bound and an upper bound for  $ar(K_n + \overline{K_s}, C_4)$  and conjectured that the exact value is closer to the lower bound.

**Theorem 4.** ([9]) For 
$$s \ge n \ge 4$$
,  $\left\lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \right\rfloor + s - 1 \le ar(K_n + \overline{K_s}, C_4) \le \left\lfloor \frac{7n}{3} \right\rfloor + s - 3$ .

In section 2, we study the anti-Ramsey number of  $\{C_3, C_4\}$  and  $C_3$  in the complete r-partite graphs and prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 5.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}, \{C_3, C_4\}) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r - 1.$$

**Theorem 6.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r},C_3) = \begin{cases} n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2}n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1}n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In section 3, we generalize the theorem of Alon [1] to complete r-partite graphs. We call two subgraphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of G are *independent* if they are vertex disjoint.

**Theorem 7.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r},C_4)=n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r+t-1,$$

where  $t = \min\left\{\left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}{3}\right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=2}^r n_i}{2}\right\rfloor, \sum_{i=3}^r n_i\right\}$  is the maximum number of independent triangles of  $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$ .

Notice that  $K_n + \overline{K_s}$  is a complete (n+1)-partite graph  $K_{s,1,\dots,1}$ . If  $2s \ge n \ge 4$ , then the number of independent triangles of  $K_n + \overline{K_s}$  is  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . We have the following corollary and this answers a question of Gorgol [9].

Corollary 1. For 
$$2s \ge n \ge 4$$
, we have  $ar(K_n + \overline{K_s}, C_4) = \lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor + s - 1$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give the proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 7. Finally we will give some open problems in Section 4.

**Notations:** Let G be a simple undirected graph. For  $x \in V(G)$ , we denote the neighborhood and the degree of x in G by  $N_G(x)$  and  $d_G(x)$ , respectively. The maximum degree of G is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ . We will use G-x to denote the graph that arises from G by deleting the vertex  $x \in V(G)$ . For  $\emptyset \neq X \subset V(G)$ , G[X] is the subgraph of G induced by X and G-X is the subgraph of G induced by  $V(G) \setminus X$ . Given a graph G = (V, E), for any (not necessarily disjoint) vertex sets  $A, B \subset V$ , we let  $E_G(A, B) = \{uv \in E(G) : u \neq v, u \in A, v \in B\}$ . A vertex v of a graph G is called a cut vertex if the components number of G-x is bigger than G. A block of a graph is a subgraph which is connected, has no cut vertex and is maximal with respect to this property.

Given an edge-coloring c of G, we denote the color of an edge uv by c(uv). A color a is starred (at x) if all the edges with color a induce a star  $K_{1,r}$  (centered at the vertex x). Note that the two vertices of  $K_{1,1}$  can be regarded as the center of  $K_{1,1}$ , if a color a is stared at both x and y, then xy is the unique edge with color a. We let  $d^c(v) = |\{a \in C(v) : a\}|$ 

is starred at v|. For a subgraph H of G, we denote  $C(H) = \{c(uv) : uv \in E(H)\}$ . A representing subgraph in an edge-coloring of  $K_n$  is a spanning subgraph containing exactly one edge of each color.

#### 2. 3-cycle

In this section, we will prove Theorem 5 and Theorem 6. We recall the proof of  $ar(K_n, C_3) = n - 1$  in [6] because we will use the idea of it later. Let  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , we color edge  $v_i v_j$  with color i. In such a way, we use exactly n - 1 colors and there is no rainbow  $C_3$ . On the other hand, for any n-edge-coloring of  $K_n$ , we take a representing subgraph G, then G contains a rainbow cycle since |E(G)| = n. By adding one chord to the cycle, we get two shorter cycles and at least one of them is rainbow. Do the same operation on the shorter rainbow cycle. Finally, we will find a rainbow  $C_3$ . To generalize the idea of the proof, we first give the following useful definition and lemma.

**Definition 1.** Let  $r \geq 3$  and G be an r-partite graph with parts  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$ , we call a subgraph H of G multipartite, if there are at least three distinct parts  $V_i, V_j, V_k$  such that  $V(H) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset, V(H) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(H) \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ . For an edge-coloring of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , if there is a rainbow multipartite cycle, then there is a rainbow  $C_3$ .

Proof. Since for any multipartite cycle C of length at least 4, there is a chord e of C such that  $C \cup e$  contains two multipartite cycles  $C^1$  and  $C^2$ , where  $E(C^1) \cap E(C^2) = \{e\}$  and  $E(C^1) \cup E(C^2) = E(C) \cup \{e\}$ . Thus for any rainbow multipartite cycle of length at least 4, we can find a shorter rainbow multipartite cycle. Do the same operation on the shorter rainbow multipartite cycle. Finally, we will find a rainbow  $C_3$ .

We determine the anti-Ramsey number of  $\{C_3, C_4\}$  in the complete r-partite graphs as follows.

**Theorem 5.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}, \{C_3,C_4\}) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r - 1.$$

Proof. Lower bound: Let  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$ . For all  $1 \le i \le r$ , we take a vertex  $u_i$  from  $V_i$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r\}$  and  $V \setminus U = \{u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, \ldots, u_n\}$ . Note that for all  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , there is at least one edge between  $u_{i+1}$  and  $\{u_j : 1 \le j \le i\}$  in  $K_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r}$ . For  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , we color all the edges between  $u_{i+1}$  and  $\{u_j : 1 \le j \le i\}$  by color i. In such a way, we use exactly n-1 colors, and there is no rainbow  $C_3$  or  $C_4$ .

**Upper bound:** For an  $(n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r)$ -edge-coloring of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}$ , we take a representing subgraph G. Since  $|E(G)| = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r = |V(G)|$ , we can find a

cycle C of G and C is rainbow. If C is multipartite, there is a rainbow  $C_3$  by Lemma 1. If C is not multipartite, then there exist i and j where  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ , such that  $V(C) \subset V_i \cup V_j$  and  $|V(C) \cap V_i| = |V(C) \cap V_j|$ . If the length of C is at least 6, for a chord e of C,  $C \cup e$  contains two even cycles  $C^1$  and  $C^2$ , where  $E(C^1) \cap E(C^2) = \{e\}$  and  $E(C^1) \cup E(C^2) = E(C) \cup \{e\}$ . Since C is rainbow, we claim that at least one of  $C^1$  and  $C^2$  is a rainbow even cycle. Thus, we find a rainbow shorter even cycle. Do the same operation on the shorter rainbow even cycle. Finally, we can find a rainbow  $C_4$ .

Now we will determine the maximum number of edges in an r-partite graphs without multipartite cycles by the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , if  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}$  and G contains no multipartite  $P_3$ , then

$$|E(G)| \le \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1}, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove it by induction on r. It is obvious to see that the conclusion holds for the base cases r=1 and r=2. Assume that it holds for all integers less than r, and let  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$  which contains no multipartite  $P_3$ . For any vertex v, there is some part  $V_i$  such that  $N_G(v) \subset V_i$ . Choose  $v_1 \in V_1$  such that  $d_G(v_1) = \max\{d_G(v) : v \in V_1\}$ . Suppose  $N_G(v_1) \subset V_{i_0}$ , where  $2 \le i_0 \le r$ . For every vertex  $v \in V_1 \setminus \{v_1\}$ , we delete all the edges incident with v in G and connect all the edges between v and  $N_G(v_1)$ . For every vertex  $v \in V_i \setminus \{v_1\}$ , we delete all the edges between v and v0 and connect all the edges between v1 and v2. Denote the graph we obtained by v3, we have v4 and v5 and v6 and v7. Denote the graph we obtained by v6, we have v8 and v9 and v9.

If  $i_0 \neq 2$ , we choose  $v_2 \in V_2$  such that  $d_{G_1}(v_2) = \max\{d_{G_1}(v) : v \in V_2\}$ . Suppose  $N_{G_1}(v_2) \subset V_{j_0}$ , where  $3 \leq j_0 \leq r$  and  $j_0 \neq i_0$ . For every vertex  $v \in V_2 \setminus \{v_2\}$ , we delete all the edges incident with v in  $G_1$  and connect all the edges between v and  $N_{G_1}(v_2)$ . For every vertex  $u \in V_{j_0} \setminus N_{G_1}(v_2)$ , we delete all the edges incident with u in  $G_1$  and connect all the edges between u and  $V_2$ . Denote the graph we obtained by  $G_2$ , we have  $|E(G_1)| \leq |E(G_2)|$  and  $G_2$  contains no multipartite  $P_3$ . We note that both  $G_2[V_1 \cup V_{i_0}]$  and  $G_2[V_2 \cup V_{j_0}]$  are complete bipartite graphs. We delete all the edges of  $G_2[V_1 \cup V_{i_0}]$  and  $G_2[V_2 \cup V_{j_0}]$ , connect all the edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and connect all the edges between  $V_{i_0}$  and  $V_{j_0}$ . Denote the graph we obtained by G', then G' contains no multipartite  $P_3$ . Since  $n_1n_{i_0} + n_2n_{j_0} \leq n_1n_2 + n_{i_0}n_{j_0}$ , we have  $|E(G_2)| \leq |E(G')|$ . If  $i_0 = 2$ , we denote  $G' = G_1$ .

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|E(G)| \le |E(G')| = n_1 n_2 + |E(G' - (V_1 \cup V_2))|$$

$$\le n_1 n_2 + \begin{cases} n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1}, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1}, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$  which contains no multipartite cycle. If P = xyz is a multipartite  $P_3$  of G, then y is a cut vertex of G.

*Proof.* Suppose y is not a cut vertex of G. Then there is a path P' connect x and z in G-y, thus xPzP'x is a multipartite cycle of G, a contradiction.

**Lemma 4.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , if  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}$  and G contains no multipartite cycle, then

$$|E(G)| \le \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Take a graph  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$  such that G contains no multipartite cycle and |E(G)| is the maximum possible.

Claim 1. G is connected and each block of G is a complete bipartite graph whose two parts belong to two parts of  $K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$ , respectively.

**Proof of Claim 1.** If G is not connected, we can add edges between the connected components of G, such that there is still no multipartite cycle, a contradiction. Let B be a block of G, if there are three distinct parts  $V_i, V_j$  and  $V_k$  such that  $V(B) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V(B) \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(B) \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$ , then B contains a multipartite cycle, a contradiction.

For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we select one vertex  $x_i \in V_i$  and let  $V_i' = V_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ . Denote  $V_0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , perform the following operations sequentially:

If there is a vertex  $v \in V_i'$  such that v is a cut vertex of G, and v separate the blocks  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , then there is at least one of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , we say  $B_1$  such that there is no paths connect  $x_i$  to  $N_G(v) \cap V(B_1)$  in G - v. Thus, we delete the edges between v and  $N_G(v) \cap V(B_1)$ , and connect the edges between  $x_i$  and  $N_G(v) \cap V(B_1)$ . We still denote the new graph by G.

Claim 2. In each step, G contains no multipartite cycle and |E(G)| is the same as in the starting graph. When the above procedure stops, v is not a cut vertex of G for any vertex  $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i'$ .

By Claim 2 and Lemma 3, there is no multipartite  $P_3$  in  $G[\cup_{i=1}^r V_i']$ . Therefore, by lemma 2, we have

$$|E(G[\cup_{i=1}^r V_i'])| \le \begin{cases} (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + \dots + (n_{r-2} - 1)(n_{r-1} - 1), & r \text{ is odd;} \\ (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + \dots + (n_{r-1} - 1)(n_r - 1), & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Since for all  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i'$ , x is not a cut vertex of G, we have  $|E_G(x, V_0)| \leq 1$ . Since  $G[V_0]$  contains no cycles, we have  $|E(G[V_0])| \leq r - 1$ . Thus, we have

$$|E(G)| = |E(G[\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V_i'])| + |E_G(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V_i', V_0)| + |E(G[V_0])|$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + (n_3 - 1)(n_4 - 1) \cdots + (n_{r-2} - 1)(n_{r-1} - 1) + & r \text{ is odd;} \\ (n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1) + \cdots + (n_r - 1) + r - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + (n_3 - 1)(n_4 - 1) \cdots + (n_{r-1} - 1)(n_r - 1) + \\ (n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1) + \cdots + (n_r - 1) + r - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \cdots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \cdots + n_{r-1} n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we will prove Theorem 6.

**Theorem 6.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r},C_3) = \begin{cases} n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2}n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1}n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Lower bound: Let  $K = K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$  and  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_r$  be the partition of V(K). For r is odd, first, we color  $K[V_1 \cup V_2], K[V_3 \cup V_4], ..., K[V_{r-2} \cup V_{r-1}]$  rainbow. Second, for every vertex  $v \in V_r$ , we color the edges incident v with one new distinct color. Finally, for  $1 \le i \le \frac{r-1}{2} - 1$ , we color the edges between  $V_{2i-1} \cup V_{2i}$  and  $\bigcup_{j=2i+1}^{r-1} V_j$  with one new distinct color. In such way, we use exactly  $n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \cdots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1$  colors, and there is no rainbow  $C_3$ .

For r is even, first, we color  $K[V_1 \cup V_2]$ ,  $K[V_3 \cup V_4]$ , ...,  $K[V_{r-1} \cup V_r]$  rainbow. Second, for  $1 \le i \le \frac{r}{2} - 1$ , we color the edges between  $V_{2i-1} \cup V_{2i}$  and  $\bigcup_{j=2i+1}^r V_j$  with one new distinct color. In such way, we use exactly  $n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \cdots + n_{r-1} n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1$  colors, and there is no rainbow  $C_3$ .

**Upper bound:** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we denote

$$f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Given any edge-coloring of  $K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$  with  $f(n_1,n_2,...,n_r)+1$  colors, we take a representing subgraph G. Notice that G is rainbow and  $|E(G)|=f(n_1,n_2,...,n_r)+1$ . By Lemma 4, G contains a rainbow multipartite cycle. Hence, there is a rainbow  $C_3$  by Lemma 1.

#### 3. 4-cycle

In this section, we study the anti-Ramsey number of  $C_4$  in the complete r-partite graphs. Before doing so, we will determine the maximum number of independent triangles in the complete r-partite graphs by the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , let t be the maximum number of independent triangles of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , then we have

$$t = \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i}{3} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{r} n_i}{2} \right\rfloor, \sum_{i=3}^{r} n_i \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_t$  be the t independent triangles of  $K_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r}$ . Since each triangle contains exactly 3 vertices of V, at least two vertices of  $V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  and at least one vertices of  $V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$ , we have

$$t \le \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}{3} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=2}^r n_i}{2} \right\rfloor, \sum_{i=3}^r n_i \right\}.$$

On the other hand, let  $U = V \setminus (V(T_1) \cup V(T_2) \cup \cdots \cup V(T_t))$ . Suppose that  $t \neq \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}{3} \right\rfloor$ , then we have  $|U| = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i - 3t \geq 3$ . Since t is the maximum number of independent triangles of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , there are two parts  $V_k$  and  $V_l$  such that  $U \subset V_k \cup V_l$ . Assume that  $|U \cap V_k| \geq |U \cap V_l| \geq 0$ .

If  $|U \cap V_l| = 0$ , then  $|U \cap V_k| = |U| - |U \cap V_l| \ge 3$ . Since each triangle contains at least two vertices of  $V \setminus V_k$ , we have  $t \ge \left\lfloor \frac{|V| - |V_k|}{2} \right\rfloor \ge \left\lfloor \frac{|V| - |V_1|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=2}^r n_i}{2} \right\rfloor$ .

If  $|U \cap V_l| = 1$ , then  $|U \cap V_k| = |U| - |U \cap V_l| \ge 2$ . We claim that each triangle contains one vertex of  $V_k$  and two vertices of  $V \setminus V_k$ . Otherwise, there is a triangle  $T_i$  such that  $T_i \cap V_k = \emptyset$ , and we can get two independent triangles from  $V(T_i) \cup U$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $t = \frac{|V| - |V_k| - 1}{2}$  and  $\frac{|V| - |V_k| - 1}{2}$  is an integer. We have

$$t = \frac{|V| - |V_k| - 1}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{|V| - |V_k|}{2} \right\rfloor \ge \left\lfloor \frac{|V| - |V_1|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=2}^r n_i}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

If  $|U \cap V_l| \geq 2$ , we claim that each triangle contains one vertex of  $V_k$ , one vertex of  $V_l$  and one vertex of  $V \setminus (V_k \cup V_l)$ . Otherwise, there is a triangle  $T_i$  such that  $|V(T_i) \cap (V \setminus (V_k \cup V_l))| \geq 2$ , and we can get two independent triangles from  $V(T_i) \cup U$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $t = |V| - |V_k \cup V_l| \geq |V| - |V_1 \cup V_2| = \sum_{i=3}^r n_i$ .

Now, we will prove Theorem 7.

**Theorem 7.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r},C_4)=n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r+t-1,$$

where  $t = \min\left\{\left\lfloor\frac{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}{3}\right\rfloor, \left\lfloor\frac{\sum_{i=2}^r n_i}{2}\right\rfloor, \sum_{i=3}^r n_i\right\}$  is the maximum number of independent triangles of  $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$ .

Proof. Lower bound: Take t independent triangles  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_t$  and let the remaining vertices be  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l$  and  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^t V(T_j)$ , where  $l = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r - 3t$ . First, color those triangles rainbow. Second, for  $2 \le i \le t$ , we color all the edges between  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(T_j)$  and  $V(T_i)$  with one new color. Finally, for  $1 \le i \le l$ , we color all the edges between  $U \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}$  and  $v_i$  with one new color. In such way, we use exactly  $4t - 1 + l = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r + t - 1$  colors, and there is no rainbow copy of  $C_4$ .

**Upper bound:** We will prove the upper bound by induction on  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$ . The base case  $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_r = 1$  is true by Theorem 1. Assume that it holds for all integers less than n. For an (n + t)-edge coloring c of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , suppose there is no rainbow  $C_4$ , we have  $d^c(x) \geq 2$  for any vertex  $x \in V(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r})$ . Otherwise, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|C(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}-x)| \ge n+t-1 \ge ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}-x,C_4)+1,$$

and there is a rainbow  $C_4$  in  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}-x$ , a contradiction.

Claim 1. For any vertex  $x \in V$ , if c(xy) and c(xz) are two distinct colors which are starred at x, then y and z are in different parts.

**Proof of Claim 1.** Assume that y and z are in the same part. Since  $d^c(y) \ge 2$ , there is at least one edge yw such that c(yw) is starred at y and  $c(yw) \ne c(xy)$ . Note that  $c(zw) \notin \{c(xy), c(xz), c(yw)\}$ , thus xywzx is a rainbow  $C_4$ , a contradiction.

Claim 2. For any vertex  $x \in V$ , if c(xy) is starrted at x, then xy is the unique edge with color c(xy).

**Proof of Claim 2.** Assume that xy is not the unique edge with color c(xy), then c(xy) is not starred at y. Since  $d^c(x) \geq 2$ , there is a vertex z such that c(xz) is starred at x and  $c(xz) \neq c(xy)$ . By Claim 1, y and z are in different parts. Since  $d^c(y) \geq 2$ , there are two vertices  $w_1, w_2 \neq x$  such that  $c(yw_1)$  and  $c(yw_2)$  are starred at y and  $c(yw_1) \neq c(yw_2)$ . By Claim 1,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are in different parts. Thus, there is at least one of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , we say  $w_1$ , such that z and  $w_1$  are in different parts. Note that  $c(zw_1) \notin \{c(xy), c(xz), c(yw_1)\}$ , thus  $xyw_1zx$  is a rainbow  $C_4$ , a contradiction.

Claim 3. For any vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $d^c(x) = 2$ .

**Proof of Claim 3.** Assume that there is a vertex x such that  $d^c(x) \geq 3$ , there are three edges xy, xz and xw such that c(xy), c(xz) and c(xw) are starred at x and distinct. By Claim 1, y, z and w are in different parts. Since  $d^c(y) \geq 2$ , there is a vertex u such that c(yu) is starred at y and x, u are in different parts. Also, there is at least one of z and w, we say z, such that u, z are in different parts. Note that  $c(zu) \notin \{c(xy), c(xz), c(yu)\}$ , thus xyuzx is a rainbow  $C_4$ , a contradiction.

Consider the spanning subgraph G of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$  such that  $e \in E(G)$  if and only if e is the unique edge with color c(e). By Claims 1, 2 and 3, G is 2-regular and each component of G is a cycle.

**Claim 4.** For each component  $C_l = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l x_1$  of G,  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+3}$  belong to the same part of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}$  (if i+3>l,  $x_{i+3}$  means  $x_{i+3-l}$ ), for all  $1 \le i \le l$ . Furthermore,  $t=\frac{n}{3}$ .

**Proof of Claim 4.** Suppose that there exists  $1 \le i \le l$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+3}$  belong to the distinct parts of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , then  $x_ix_{i+1}x_{i+2}x_{i+3}x_i$  is a rainbow  $C_4$ , a contradiction. Thus  $l \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}[V(C_l)]$  contains exactly  $\frac{l}{3}$  independent triangles. Since G is a spanning subgraph of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}$ , we have  $t = \frac{n}{3}$ .

Since  $t = \frac{n}{3}$ , the maximum number of independent triangles of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r} - x$  is  $\frac{n-3}{3}$ , for all  $x \in V$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|C(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}-x)|=n+t-d^c(x)=n+t-2=ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}-x,C_4)+1,$$

and there is a rainbow  $C_4$  in  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}-x$ , a contradiction.

#### 4. Open problems

We notice that the lower bound of Theorem 6 is also the lower bound for odd cycles, and we would conjecture the lower bound is the exact value when the number of the vertex of each part is sufficient large.

Conjecture 1. For  $r \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \gg k$ , we have

$$ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r},C_{2k+1}) = \begin{cases} n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2}n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1n_2 + n_3n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1}n_r + \frac{r}{2} - 1, & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Also, it is interesting to investigate the anti-Ramsey number of even cycles in the complete r-partite graphs.

**Problem 1.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ , determine  $ar(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_r}, C_{2k})$ .

Finally we give the following conjecture which strengthens Lemma 4.

Conjecture 2. For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$ , if  $G \subset K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_r}$  and

$$|E(G)| \ge \begin{cases} n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-2} n_{r-1} + n_r + \frac{r-1}{2}, & r \text{ is odd;} \\ n_1 n_2 + n_3 n_4 + \dots + n_{r-1} n_r + \frac{r}{2}, & r \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then G contains a multipartite cycle of length no more than  $\frac{3}{2}r$ .

Fang, Győri, Xiao and Xiao recently proved that Conjecture 2 holds for r=3.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, On the conjecture of Erdős, Simonovits and Sós concerning anti-Ramsey theorems. J. Graph Theory 7, 91-94, 1983.
- [2] M. Axenovich and T. Jiang, Anti-Ramsey numbers for small complete bipartite graphs. Ars Combinatoria, 73: 311-318, 2004.
- [3] M. Axenovich, T. Jiang and A. Kündgen, Bipartite anti-Ramsey numbers of cycles, J. Graph Theory 47, 9-28, 2004.
- [4] G. Chen, Y. Lan and Z. Song, Planar anti-Ramsey numbers of paths and cycles. Discrete Math. 342(7): 2106-2111, 2019.
- [5] H. Chen, X. Li and J. Tu, Complete solution for the rainbow number of matchings, Discrete math. 309(10): 3370-3380, 2009.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Simonovits and Sós, Anti-Ramsey theorems, infinite and finite sets, in: A. Hajnal, R. Rado, V. Sós (Eds.) Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai. NorthHolland, 633-643, 1975.
- [7] S. Fujita, C. Magnant and K. Ozeki, Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory: A survey, Graphs Combin. 26: 1-30, 2010.
- [8] S. Gilboa and Y. Roditty, Anti-Ramsey numbers of graphs with small connected components, Graphs Combin. 32: 649-662, 2016.
- [9] I. Gorgol, Anti-Ramsey numbers in complete split graphs, Discrete Math. 339,1944-1949, 2016.
- [10] R. Gu, J. Li and Y. Shi, Anti-Ramsey number of paths in hypergraphs, arXiv:1901.06092.
- [11] R. Haas and M. Young, The anti-Ramsey number of perfect matching, Discrete Math. 312(5): 993-937, 2012.
- [12] T. Jiang, Edge-coloring with no large polychromatic stars, Graphs Combin. 18(2): 303-308, 2002.
- [13] T. Jiang, I. Schiermeyer, and D. B. West, The Erdős-Simonovites-Sós conjecture for  $k \leq 7$ , Unpublished manuscript.
- [14] T. Jiang and D. B. West, Edge colorings of complete graphs that avoid polychromatic trees, Discrete Math. 274: 137-147, 2004.
- [15] Z. Jin, K. Ye, Y. Sun and H. Chen, Rainbow matchings in edge-colored complete split graphs, European J. Combin. 70: 297-316, 2018.

- [16] Y. Lan, Y. Shi, and Z. Song, Planar anti-Ransey numbers of paths and cycles, Discrete Math. 342(11), 3216-3224, 2019.
- [17] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara, An anti-Ramsey theorem on cycles, Graphs Combin. 21(3): 343-354, 2005.
- [18] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara, An anti-Ramsey theorem, Combinatorica 22: 445-449, 2002.
- [19] L. Özkahya and M. Young, Anti-Ramsey number of matchings in hypergraphs, Discrete Math. 313(20): 2359-2364, 2013.
- [20] I. Schiermeyer, Rainbow numbers for matchings and complete graphs, Discrete Math 286(1-2): 157-162, 2004.
- [21] L. Yuan and X. Zhang, Anti-Ramsey numbers of graphs with some decomposition family sequences, arXiv preprint arxiv: 1903.10319v1, 2019.