

DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION WITH SMOOTH NUMBERS

ROGER BAKER

In Memory of Richard Askey

ABSTRACT. Let θ be an irrational number and φ a real number. Let $C > 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There are infinitely many positive integers n free of prime factors $> (\log n)^C$, such that

$$\|\theta n + \varphi\| < n^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3C}) + \varepsilon}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let θ be an irrational number and φ a real number. There are many results in the literature concerning small distances $\|\theta n + \varphi\|$ of $\theta n + \varphi$ from the nearest integer, suggested by the classical inequality.

$$\|\theta n\| < 1/n$$

for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We mention five results here. Harman [3] showed that

$$\|\theta p + \varphi\| < p^{-7/22}$$

for infinitely many primes p , and Matomaki [9] improved the exponent to $-1/3 + \varepsilon$ in the case $\varphi = 0$. The exponent in [9] is the limit of current methods; however, Irving [8] showed that

$$\|\theta n\| < n^{-8/23 + \varepsilon}$$

for infinitely many n that are products of two primes. (As usual, ε denotes an arbitrary positive number.)

For square-free integers one can do much better. Heath-Brown [6] proved that

$$\|\theta n\| < n^{-2/3 + \varepsilon}$$

for infinitely many square-free integers n .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J25, Secondary 11L07.

Key words and phrases. exponential sums, smooth numbers, distribution modulo one.

It is natural to ask what can be done for smooth integers n . Yau [10] has shown (among other results) that if θ is badly approximable, then

$$(1.1) \quad \|\theta n + \varphi\| < n^{-\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}$$

for infinitely many integers n free of prime factors greater than n^ε . (Thanks are due to Glyn Harman for pointing out this paper to me.)

In the present paper we consider stronger smoothness conditions on n .

Theorem. *Let θ be an irrational number and φ a real number. Let $C > 2$. There are infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ free of prime factors greater than $(\log n)^C$ for which*

$$(1.2) \quad \|\theta n + \varphi\| < n^{-\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2}{3C}\right)+\varepsilon}.$$

Clearly this implies that in (1.1) we may replace $\frac{1}{4}$ by $\frac{1}{3}$ (without assuming θ badly approximable.)

Exponential sum bounds for the y -smooth numbers up to x given by Fouvry and Tenenbaum [2] and Harper [5] appear to deliver weaker bounds than (1.2). Our exponential sum, by contrast, is tailored to this particular application.

We conclude this section with lemmas that will be used in the proof of the theorem. Let $\Psi(x, y)$ denote the number of $n \leq x$ that are free of prime factors $> y$. Let $u = \log x / \log y$.

Lemma 1. *For $x \geq y \geq 2$, denote by $\alpha = \alpha(x, y)$ the unique solution of*

$$\sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha - 1} = \log x.$$

For $1 \leq c \leq y$, we have

$$\Psi(cx, y) = \Psi(x, y)c^{\alpha(x, y)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{\log y}{y}\right) \right).$$

Proof. This is Corollary 1.7 of [7]. □

Lemma 2. *For sufficiently large x and $y = (\log x)^C$, $C > 1$, we have, with $\alpha = \alpha(x, y)$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{C}\right) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad x^{1-\frac{1}{c}-\varepsilon} \ll \Psi(x, y) \ll x^{1-\frac{1}{c}+\varepsilon}.$$

Implied constants will depend at most on ε .

Proof. In [7] it is shown that

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\log(u \log(u+1))}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right).$$

The inequality (1.3) follows on substituting $y = (\log x)^C$.

The bounds in (1.4) are obtained by combining (1.7) of [7] with Theorem 2 of [7]. \square

Lemma 3. *Let x_1, \dots, x_N be a real sequence with $\|x_n\| \geq M^{-1}$ ($1 \leq n \leq N$) where M is a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{h=1}^M \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_n) \right| \geq N/6.$$

Proof. See e.g. [1]. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $M > 1$, $N > 1$. Let a_m ($m \sim M$), b_n ($n \sim N$) be complex numbers with $|a_m| \leq 1$, $|b_n| \leq 1$. For a real number θ and a fraction a/q with $|\theta - a/q| < 1/q^2$, $(a, q) = 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} a_m b_n e(mn\theta) \\ & \ll \left(\sum_{m \sim M} |a_m|^2 \sum_{n \sim N} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{MN}{q} + M + N + q \right)^{1/2} (\log 2MN)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See p. 23 of [4]. \square

We write ' $m \sim M$ ' to indicate $M \leq m < 2M$. Let $S_c(x, y)$ denote the set of integers in $[x, cx)$ free of prime factors $> y$.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let a/q be a convergent to the continued fraction of θ with q sufficiently large. Thus

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \theta - \frac{a}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1.$$

We may suppose that ε is sufficiently small, so that

$$\gamma := \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3C} - \frac{5\varepsilon}{6}$$

is positive.

Define x by

$$(2.2) \quad x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}} = q.$$

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \frac{an}{q} + \varphi \right\| \leq x^{-\gamma}, \quad n \in S_4(x, y).$$

Here and below, $y = (\log x)^C$. Then n is free of prime factors $> (\log n)^C$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta n + \varphi\| &\leq x^{-\gamma} + \left| \theta - \frac{a}{q} \right| \cdot 4x \\ &\leq x^{-\gamma} + \frac{4x}{x^{1+\gamma}} < n^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3C}) + \varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1)–(2.3). Hence it will suffice to show that (2.3) has a solution n .

Let $S = S_2(x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}, y)$, $J = S_2(x^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}, y)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$(2.4) \quad x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})-\frac{\varepsilon}{16}} \ll |S| \ll x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}},$$

$$(2.5) \quad x^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})-\frac{\varepsilon}{16}} \ll |J| \ll x^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}},$$

Here $|\cdot\cdot\cdot|$ indicates cardinality. We shall show that there are solutions of (2.3) with

$$n = uv, \quad u \in S, \quad v \in J.$$

Suppose there are no such solutions of (2.3). Let

$$S_h = \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in J} e\left(\frac{hauv}{q}\right).$$

Let $H = [x^\gamma] + 1$. We deduce from Lemma 3 and (2.4), (2.5) that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{h=1}^H |S_h| \gg x^{1-\frac{1}{C}-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}.$$

We choose complex numbers c_h , $|c_h| \leq 1$, such that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^H |S_h| &= \sum_{h=1}^H c_h \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in J} e\left(\frac{hauv}{q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{u \leq 2x^{(1+\gamma)/2}} \sum_{w \leq 2x^{(1-\gamma)/2}H} a_u b_w e\left(\frac{auw}{q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$a_u = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$b_w = \sum_{\substack{hv=w \\ h \leq H, v \in J}} c_h.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{u \leq 2x^{(1+\gamma)/2}} a_u^2 \ll x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}},$$

while $b_w \ll x^{\varepsilon/8}$ and

$$\sum_{w \leq 2x^{(1-\gamma)/2}H} b_w^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon/8} \sum_{w \leq 2x^{(1-\gamma)/2}H} b_w \ll x^{(\frac{1-\gamma}{2})(1-\frac{1}{C})+\gamma+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}.$$

Here we use (2.4), (2.5).

Lemma 4 can be applied to the double sum in (2.7) (after a dyadic dissection of the ranges of u , w) to give the bound

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^H |S_h| &\ll \left(x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\frac{1-\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\gamma+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{x^{1+\gamma}}{q} + x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}H + q\right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll \left(x^{1-\frac{1}{C}+\gamma+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.6), (2.8), we have

$$x^{1-\frac{1}{C}-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{C})+\frac{3\gamma}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}},$$

$$\frac{3\gamma}{4} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{C}\right) - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{5},$$

$$\gamma \geq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3C} - \frac{4\varepsilon}{5}.$$

This contradicts the definition of γ . We conclude that solutions of (2.3) exist. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Baker and G. Harman, Small fractional parts of quadratic forms, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **25** (1982), 269–277.
- [2] E. Fouvry and G. Tenenbaum, Entiers sans grand facteur premier en progressions arithmétiques, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **63** (1991), 449–494.
- [3] G. Harman, On the distribution of αp modulo one. II, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **72** (1996), 241–260.
- [4] G. Harman, *Prime-detecting Sieves*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007.
- [5] A Harper, Minor arcs, mean values and restriction theory for exponential sums over smooth numbers, *Composito Math.* **152** (2016), 1121–1158.
- [6] D. R. Heath-Brown, Diophantine approximation with square-free numbers, *Math. Zeit.* **187** (1984), 335–344.
- [7] A. Hildebrand and G. Tenenbaum, Integers without large prime factors. *J. Théorie Nombres Bordeaux* **2** (1993), 265–290.
- [8] A. J. Irving, Diophantine approximation with products of two primes, *J. London Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), 581–602.
- [9] K. Matomaki, The distribution of αp modulo one, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **147** (2009), 267–283.
- [10] K. H. Yau, Distribution of $\alpha n + \beta$ modulo 1 over integers free from large and small primes, *Acta Arith.* **198** (2019), 95–107.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
 PROVO, UT 84602, U.S.A
E-mail address: baker@math.byu.edu