

On the Besov regularity of the bifractional Brownian motion

Brahim Boufoussi and Yassine Nachit

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia,
Cadi Ayyad University, 2390 Marrakesh, Morocco
boufoussi@uca.ac.ma, yassine.nachit.fssm@gmail.com

Abstract

Our aim in this paper is to improve Hölder continuity results for the bifractional Brownian motion (bBm) $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$. We prove that almost all paths of the bBm belong (resp. do not belong) to the Besov spaces $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$ (resp. $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$) for any $\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} < p < \infty$, where $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$ is a separable subspace of $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$. We also show the Itô-Nisio theorem for the bBm with $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ in the Hölder spaces \mathcal{C}^γ , with $\gamma < \alpha\beta$.

Keywords: Bifractional Brownian motion, Self-similar, Besov spaces, Itô-Nisio

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary: 60G15; Secondary: 60G18, 60G17.

1 Introduction

Let $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a bifractional Brownian motion (bBm for short), i.e., a centred real-valued Gaussian process with covariance function

$$R^{\alpha,\beta}(s, t) := R(s, t) = \frac{1}{2^\beta} \left((t^{2\alpha} + s^{2\alpha})^\beta - |t - s|^{2\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Point out that, when $\beta = 1$, $B^{\alpha,1}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. However the

increments of $B^{\alpha,\beta}$ are not stationary except for the case when $\beta = 1$. The bBm has the following general properties: it is self-similar with index $\alpha\beta$, that is, for every $a > 0$,

$$\{B^{\alpha,\beta}(at), t \geq 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{a^{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha,\beta}(t), t \geq 0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ means that the two processes have the same finite-dimensional distributions. It is a quasi-helix (see [12] and [13] for various properties and applications of quasi-helices) since for every $s, t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$2^{-\beta}|t - s|^{2\alpha\beta} \leq \mathbb{E} (B^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(s))^2 \leq 2^{1-\beta}|t - s|^{2\alpha\beta}. \quad (1.3)$$

Based on the fractional Brownian motion structure, Houdré and Villa [10] have constructed the bifractional Brownian motion as a more general self-similar Gaussian process. Russo and Tudor [21] have shown that the bBm behaves like a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $\alpha\beta$. There is a rich literature investigating the properties of the bifractional Brownian motion, we refer for example to the following non-exhaustive list: Bojdecki et al. [3], El-Nouty [7], El-Nouty and Journé [8], Kruk et al. [15], Es-Sebaiy and Tudor [9], Tudor and Xiao [23] and Lei and Nualart [17], just to mention a few. It was shown essentially in this last paper the following decomposition of the bBm

$$\{C_2 B^{\alpha\beta}(t), t \geq 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{C_1 X^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + B^{\alpha,\beta}(t), t \geq 0\},$$

where C_1, C_2 are two constants and $(B^{\alpha\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with parameter $\alpha\beta$ and $(X^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Gaussian process with infinitely differentiable trajectories on $(0, +\infty)$ and absolutely continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. On the other hand, we know from Ciesielski et al. [6] that almost all paths of the fBm $(B^{\alpha\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ belong (resp. do not belong) to the Besov space $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$ (resp. to the separable subspace $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$) (definitions are given in Section 2). Needless to mention that, if we take $0 < a < b$ one can deduce directly by Lei and Nualart decomposition that the sample paths of $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{a \leq t \leq b}$ satisfy the same Besov regularity as those of fractional Brownian motion of parameter $\alpha\beta$. Otherwise, we are unable to get the Hölder regularity of $X^{\alpha,\beta}$ on intervals of type $[0, \varepsilon]$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, since the trajectories of this process are only absolutely continuous near 0. Hence we can not derive directly from Lei and Nualart decomposition the Besov regularity for the bBm on the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$. Our main purpose in this paper

is to investigate the Besov regularity for sample paths of the bBm $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Besov spaces $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)$ are a general framework to investigate the modulus of smoothness in L^p -norms for trajectories of continuous time stochastic processes. In our paper we are concerned by a particular class of Besov spaces of real functions $(f(t), t \in [0, 1])$ (for a more general context we can see Triebel [22]). We note by \mathcal{C}^γ the space of functions satisfying a Hölder condition of order $\gamma > 0$ endowed with the usual norm. It's known that Besov spaces cover the Hölder spaces as particular cases, more precisely $\mathcal{C}^\gamma = \mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, \infty)$. And, for p large enough, we have the following continuous injections (see Section 2):

$$\mathcal{C}^{\gamma+\frac{1}{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\gamma-\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p)$ is a separable subspace of the Besov space $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)$. It is well known that almost surely the sample paths of the bBm $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ belong to the Hölder spaces \mathcal{C}^γ for $\gamma < \alpha\beta$, and do not belong a.s. to $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta}$. Our aim is to improve these classical results by showing that we can get smoothness of order $\alpha\beta$ in the Besov spaces $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$ for $\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} < p < \infty$. This is the best regularity one can get in the context of Besov spaces, because we also prove that almost surely the trajectories of the bBm do not belong to the separable spaces $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta, p)$ for $\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} < p < \infty$. So the above injections explain clearly the sharpness of our results. Note that our paper leads to some previous Besov regularity results: For $\beta = 1$ we recover the fBm situation considered in [6]; and the case $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the regularity of the mild solution for a linear stochastic heat equation driven by a white noise (see [5]).

Among Itô's accomplishments, there is the Itô-Nisio theorem (cf. [11]), in which the authors have established on one hand a general improvement of the Fourier series decomposition of the Brownian motion, and on the other hand a generalization of Wiener's construction of the Brownian motion. They have given the expansion as the convergence of normalized sums of independent random variables. Later, Kerkycharian and Roynette [14] have proved the same result of the Itô-Nisio in Hölder spaces with a sample proof. In this paper we show the Itô-Nisio theorem for the bBm with $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ in the Hölder spaces \mathcal{C}^γ , with $\gamma < \alpha\beta$. The case $\beta = 1$ corresponds to the fBm with Hurst parameter $\alpha > 1/2$.

This paper is organized as follows. In the second paragraph we give a brief introduction to Besov spaces. The third paragraph is devoted to study the

Besov regularity for the sample paths of the bifractional Brownian motion. In the fourth paragraph we investigate the Itô-Nisio theorem for bBm with $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$. The proofs of our results use technical and very fine calculations based on dyadic coordinate expansions of the bifractional Brownian motion and descriptions of the Besov norms in terms of the corresponding expansion coefficients of a function.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Besov spaces

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(I; \mathbb{R})$. We define for any $t > 0$

$$\Delta_p(f, I)(t) = \sup_{|s| \leq t} \left\{ \int_{I_s} |f(x+s) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $I_s = \{x \in I; x+s \in I\}$. $\Delta_p(f, I)(t)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of f in the L^p -norm. For $\gamma > 0$, we consider the norm

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, p} := \|f\|_{L^p(I)} + \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{\Delta_p(f, I)(t)}{t^\gamma}.$$

The Besov space is given by $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I) = \{f \in L^p(I); \|f\|_{\gamma, p} < \infty\}$. The space $(\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I), \|\cdot\|_{\gamma, p})$ is a non separable Banach space. We also define $\mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p)(I) = \{f \in L^p(I); \Delta_p(f, I)(t) = o(t^\gamma) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+\}$ a separable subspace of $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I)$. For $p = \infty$, the space $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, \infty)(I)$ is defined in the same way by using the usual L^∞ -norm.

In the case of unit interval $I = [0, 1]$ Besov spaces are characterized in terms of sequences of the coefficients of the expansion of continuous functions with respect to the Schauder basis. The following isomorphism theorem has been established by Ciesielski et al. [6]

Theorem 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} < \gamma < 1$, we have*

1. $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)([0, 1])$ is linearly isomorphic to a sequences space and we have the following equivalence of norms:

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, p} \sim \sup \left\{ |f_0|, |f_1|, \sup_j 2^{-j(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \frac{1}{p})} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |f_{jk}|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\},$$

where the coefficients $\{f_0, f_1, f_{jk}, j \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq 2^j\}$ are given by

$$f_0 = f(0), \quad f_1 = f(1) - f(0),$$

$$f_{jk} = 2 \cdot 2^{j/2} \left\{ f\left(\frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{2k}{2^{j+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{2k-2}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\}.$$

2. f is in $\mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p)([0, 1])$ if and only if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} 2^{-j\left(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |f_{jk}|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0.$$

Remark 2.2. 1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < \gamma' < 1$, then we have

$$\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma', p)(I) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p)(I).$$

2. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(I)$ the Hölder space define by

$$\mathcal{C}^\gamma(I) := \left\{ f \in C(I), \sup_{\substack{x, y \in I \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} < \infty \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

endowed with the norm $\|f\|_\gamma = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)| + \sup_{\substack{x, y \in I \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}$.

- $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, \infty)(I) = \mathcal{C}^\gamma(I)$.
- For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(I) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I)$.
- For $\frac{1}{p} < \gamma < 1$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}^{\gamma + \frac{1}{p}}(I) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{bes}(\gamma, p)(I) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\gamma - \frac{1}{p}}(I).$$

In the next, we will restrict ourselvse to the interval $I = [0, 1]$, so we will omit to precise the interval I in our notations, e.g. $\mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p) := \mathbf{Bes}(\gamma, p)(I)$.

3 Besov regularity of the bifractional Brownian motion

Our main result is the following theorem

Theorem 3.1. For each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} < p < \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(B^{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) \in \mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta, p)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(B^{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) \in \mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta, p)) = 0,$$

where $B^{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ are the sample paths $t \in [0, 1] \rightarrow B^{\alpha,\beta}(t)$.

To show this theorem, we will adapt the techniques in [6]. Let us first give some preliminary results.

The below lemma is a useful tool to obtain precise estimations in the calculations of this paper. For the proof we refer to [6].

Lemma 3.2. Let (X, Y) be a mean zero Gaussian vector such that $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \mathbb{E}(Y^2) = 1$ and $\rho = |\mathbb{E}XY|$. So for any measurable functions f and g such that $\mathbb{E}(f(X))^2 < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(f(Y))^2 < \infty$ and $f(X)$, $f(Y)$ are centred, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}f(X)g(Y)| \leq \rho \{ \mathbb{E}(f(X))^2 \}^{1/2} \{ \mathbb{E}(f(Y))^2 \}^{1/2},$$

when f (or g) is even we can replace ρ by ρ^2 in the previous inequality.

We define

$$u_{jk} := 2 \cdot 2^{j/2} \left\{ B^{\alpha,\beta} \left(\frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha,\beta} \left(\frac{2k}{2^{j+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha,\beta} \left(\frac{2k-2}{2^{j+1}} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

We set

$$v_{jk} = \frac{u_{jk}}{\sigma_{jk}} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_{jk} = \{ \mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \}^{1/2}. \quad (3.2)$$

By using (1.1) and (3.1), we have for all $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}] = \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} (\Delta_y^2 \Delta_x^2 \Psi_{k,k'}(0, 0) - \Delta^4 \Phi_{k,k'}(0)), \quad (3.3)$$

where Δ_y^2 (resp. Δ_x^2) is the one step progressive difference of order 2 in the y variable (resp. x variable), and Δ^4 is the one step progressive difference of order 4. The functions in (3.3) are

$$\Psi_{k,k'}(x, y) = ((2k-2+x)^{2\alpha} + (2k'-2+y)^{2\alpha})^\beta,$$

and

$$\Phi_{k,k'}(x) = |2(k-k')-2+x|^{2\alpha\beta}.$$

Lemma 3.3. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ with $k' < k$, there exist $C > 0$, $\kappa_{k,k'} \in (0, 2)$ and $c_{k,k'} \in (0, 4)$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}]| \leq C2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2k' - 2 + \kappa_{k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} + \frac{1}{(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

And there exist two constants $m_1, m_2 > 0$ such that, for all $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$,

$$m_1 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \leq \mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \leq m_2 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Denote by $\Phi_{k,k'}^{(4)}$ the derivative of order 4 of $\Phi_{k,k'}$. So by the mean value theorem and (3.3), there exist three constants $c_{1,k,k'}, c_{2,k,k'} \in (0, 2)$ and $c_{3,k,k'} \in (0, 4)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}] = \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} (\partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k'}(c_{1,k,k'}, c_{2,k,k'}) - \Phi_{k,k'}^{(4)}(c_{3,k,k'})), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\partial_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. On the other hand, we get for all $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k'}(c_{1,k,k'}, c_{2,k,k'}) \\ &= 4\alpha^2(2\alpha - 1)^2\beta(\beta - 1)(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha-2}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha-2} \\ & \quad \times ((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{\beta-2} \\ &+ 8\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha-2}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{4\alpha-2} \\ & \quad \times ((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{\beta-3} \\ &+ 8\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{4\alpha-2}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha-2} \\ & \quad \times ((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{\beta-3} \\ &+ 16\alpha^4\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)(\beta - 3)(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{4\alpha-2}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{4\alpha-2} \\ & \quad \times ((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{\beta-4} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

And for all $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ such that $k' < k$, we have

$$\Phi_{k,k'}^{(4)}(c_{3,k,k'}) = \prod_{l=0}^3 (2\alpha\beta - l)(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{3,k,k'})^{2\alpha\beta-4}. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us first investigate the inequality (3.4). Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get for all $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ such that $k' < k$

$$|\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}]| \leq \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^4 |I_l| + \frac{\prod_{l=0}^3 |2\alpha\beta - l|}{(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{3,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

- For $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ such that $k' < k$, we have by (3.7)

$$\sum_{l=1}^4 |I_l| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta)}{(2k' - 2 + c_{1,k,k'} \wedge c_{2,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta) = & 2^\beta \alpha^2 |\beta - 1| \{ \beta(2\alpha - 1)^2 + 2\alpha |2\alpha - 1| |\beta| |\beta - 2| \\ & + \alpha^2 \beta |\beta - 2| |\beta - 3| \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$|\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}]| \leq \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta)}{(2k' - 2 + c_{1,k,k'} \wedge c_{2,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} + \frac{\prod_{l=0}^3 |2\alpha\beta - l|}{(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{3,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

- For $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ such that $k' < k$, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 + I_3 = & \frac{8\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)}{(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2-2\alpha}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2-2\alpha}} \\ & \times \frac{1}{((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{2-\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

And by the inequality $\frac{ab}{a^2+b^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| \leq & \frac{4\alpha^4 \beta |\beta - 1| |\beta - 2| |\beta - 3|}{(2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2-2\alpha}(2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2-2\alpha}} \\ & \times \frac{1}{((2k - 2 + c_{1,k,k'})^{2\alpha} + (2k' - 2 + c_{2,k,k'})^{2\alpha})^{2-\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.7), (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^4 |I_l| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta)}{(2k' - 2 + c_{1,k,k'} \wedge c_{2,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (3.11). According to (3.9) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}]| \leq & \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta)}{(2k' - 2 + c_{1,k,k'} \wedge c_{2,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\prod_{l=0}^3 |2\alpha\beta - l|}{(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{3,k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

We put

$$C = \frac{\tilde{C}(\alpha, \beta) \vee \prod_{l=0}^3 |2\alpha\beta - l|}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}}.$$

So for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ such that $k' < k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[u_{jk}u_{jk'}]| \leq & C 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2k' - 2 + \kappa_{k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{(2(k - k') - 2 + c_{k,k'})^{4-2\alpha\beta}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where $\kappa_{k,k'} = c_{1,k,k'} \wedge c_{2,k,k'}$ and $c_{k,k'} = c_{3,k,k'}$. This finishes the proof of (3.4).

Now we will prove (3.5). For this end, let us start with proving the upper bound, for all $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$, we have by (3.3) and the mean value theorem, there exist $c_{1,k}, c_{2,k} \in (0, 2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] &= \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} (\partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k}(c_{1,k}, c_{2,k}) - \Delta^4 \Phi_{k,k}(0)) \\ &= \frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} (\partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k}(c_{1,k}, c_{2,k}) + 8 - 2^{2\alpha\beta+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

- For $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By (3.7) we remark that $\partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k}(c_{1,k}, c_{2,k}) \leq 0$, so by using (3.18) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \leq \frac{8 - 2^{2\alpha\beta+1}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}. \quad (3.19)$$

- For $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. By (3.7) we remark that $I_1, I_4 \leq 0$, and by (3.13) we get, for all $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{2, \dots, 2^j\}$,

$$I_2 + I_3 \leq \frac{\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)}{2^{3-2\alpha\beta-\beta}}. \quad (3.20)$$

So (3.18) and (3.20) entail

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \\ & \leq 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \left(\frac{\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)}{8} + \frac{8 - 2^{2\alpha\beta+1}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Now for $j \geq 1$ and $k = 1$, we have by (3.1) and (1.2),

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{j1}|^2] = 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \frac{4\mathbb{E} \left[B^{\alpha,\beta}(1) - \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha,\beta}(2) \right]^2}{2^{2\alpha\beta}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Put

$$m_2 = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha^3(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)}{8} + \frac{8 - 2^{2\alpha\beta+1}}{2^{\beta+2\alpha\beta}} \right); \frac{4\mathbb{E} \left[B^{\alpha,\beta}(1) - \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha,\beta}(2) \right]^2}{2^{2\alpha\beta}} \right\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.21), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.19), we get for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \leq m_2 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}. \quad (3.24)$$

This finishes the proof of the upper bound in (3.5). Let us now investigate the lower bound of (3.5). According to (3.1) and (1.2), it follows that for all $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] = 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \frac{4C(k)}{2^{2\alpha\beta}}, \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$C(k) = \mathbb{E} \left[B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k - 1) - \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k) - \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k - 2) \right]^2.$$

We know by [1, Lemma 3.3] (In this last article to prove the local-nondeterminism of the bBm the authors use the same techniques as those of [18]) that the process $(B^{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is locally non-deterministic i.e. for all $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < 1$ with $t_m - t_1 < \delta$ and $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j [B^{\alpha,\beta}(t_j) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(t_{j-1})] \right) \geq C_m \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^2 \text{Var} (B^{\alpha,\beta}(t_j) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(t_{j-1})). \quad (3.26)$$

On the other hand by (1.2), we have for some $\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{2k}$,

$$C(k) = \varepsilon^{-2\alpha\beta} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} [B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon(2k-1)) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon(2k-2))] - \frac{1}{2} [B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon(2k)) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon(2k-1))] \right]^2. \quad (3.27)$$

Combining (3.26), (3.27) and (1.3), we get $C(k) \geq \frac{C_3}{2^{1+\beta}}$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \geq m_1 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \quad \text{with} \quad m_1 = \frac{4C_3}{2^{2\alpha\beta+\beta+1}}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Remark 3.4. We remark that when $\beta = 1$, $\partial_y^2 \partial_x^2 \Psi_{k,k'}(c_{1,k,k'}, c_{2,k,k'}) = 0$ and hence $\Delta_y^2 \Delta_x^2 \Psi_{k,k'}(0, 0) = 0$, so equation (3.3) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{jk} u_{jk'}] = -\frac{2^{j(1-2\alpha)}}{2^{1+2\alpha}} \Delta^4 \Phi_{k,k'}(0), \quad (3.28)$$

and this is the same equation as the (IV.9) in [6], for the fractional Brownian motion.

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that, for all $j \geq 1$ and $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k,k'=1}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E} v_{jk} v_{jk'}|^2 \leq M 2^j. \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. Equality (3.2) and Hölder's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,k'=1}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E}v_{jk}v_{jk'}|^2 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{k' < k, k' \geq 2 \\ k-k' \geq 2}}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E}v_{jk}v_{jk'}|^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{k' < k, k' \geq 2 \\ k-k'=1}}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E}v_{jk}v_{jk'}|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E}v_{jk}v_{j1}|^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \{\mathbb{E}[|v_{jk}|^2]\}^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{k' < k, k' \geq 2 \\ k-k' \geq 2}}^{2^j} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}u_{jk}u_{jk'}}{\sigma_{jk}\sigma_{jk'}} \right|^2 + 2(2^j - 2) + 2(2^j - 1) + 2^j \\
&= 2J + 5 \cdot 2^j - 6.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate J . For this end, let us note $A = \frac{2C^2}{m_2^2}$, so we obtain by (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\leq A \sum_{\substack{k' < k, k' \geq 2 \\ k-k' \geq 2}}^{2^j} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2k' - 2 + \kappa_{k,k'})^{8-4\alpha\beta}} + \frac{1}{(2(k-k') - 2 + c_{k,k'})^{8-4\alpha\beta}} \right\} \\
&= A \sum_{k=4}^{2^j} \sum_{k'=2}^{k-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2k' - 2 + \kappa_{k,k'})^{8-4\alpha\beta}} + \frac{1}{(2(k-k') - 2 + c_{k,k'})^{8-4\alpha\beta}} \right\} \\
&\leq A \sum_{k=4}^{2^j} \sum_{k'=2}^{k-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2k' - 2)^{8-4\alpha\beta}} + \frac{1}{(2(k-k') - 2)^{8-4\alpha\beta}} \right\} \\
&\leq A \sum_{k=4}^{2^j} \sum_{k'=2}^{k-2} \left\{ \int_{2k'-3}^{2k'-2} \frac{1}{x^{8-4\alpha\beta}} dx + \int_{2(k-k')-3}^{2(k-k')-2} \frac{1}{x^{8-4\alpha\beta}} dx \right\} \\
&= 2A \sum_{k=4}^{2^j} \sum_{k'=2}^{k-2} \int_{2k'-3}^{2k'-2} \frac{1}{x^{8-4\alpha\beta}} dx \\
&\leq 2A \sum_{k=4}^{2^j} \int_1^{2k-6} \frac{1}{x^{8-4\alpha\beta}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{2A}{7-4\alpha\beta} (2^j - 3).
\end{aligned}$$

And this proves Lemma 3.5. \square

Lemma 3.6. For all $j \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} (|v_{jk}|^p - c_p) \right]^2 \leq (c_{2p} - c_p^2) M 2^j, \quad (3.30)$$

where $c_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$.

Proof. First we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} (|v_{jk}|^p - c_p) \right]^2 = \sum_{k,k'=1}^{2^j} \mathbb{E} [(|v_{jk}|^p - c_p)(|v_{jk'}|^p - c_p)].$$

And by applying Lemma 3.2, with $f(x) = g(x) = |x|^p - c_p$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} (|v_{jk}|^p - c_p) \right]^2 \leq (c_{2p} - c_p^2) \sum_{k,k'=1}^{2^j} |\mathbb{E}[v_{jk} v_{jk'}]|^2.$$

Inequality (3.29) of Lemma 3.5 ends the proof of Lemma 3.6. \square

Now, we are ready to show Theorem 3.1

of Theorem 3.1. We are going to prove that, almost surly

$$2^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |v_{jk}|^p \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} c_p. \quad (3.31)$$

For this end we will show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |v_{jk}|^p \notin [c_p - \varepsilon, c_p + \varepsilon] \right\} < \infty. \quad (3.32)$$

Markov's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ 2^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |v_{jk}|^p \notin [c_p - \varepsilon, c_p + \varepsilon] \right\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 2^{2j}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} (|v_{jk}|^p - c_p) \right]^2. \quad (3.33)$$

Combining the inequality (3.33) and Lemma 3.6, we get that (3.32) holds and (3.31) is then a consequence of Borel-Cantelli Lemma. Finally our main result, Theorem 3.1, is a simple consequence of Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 3.7. 1. Let $(Y_t^\alpha)_{t \geq 0}$ be a sub-fractional Brownian motion i.e. a mean zero Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t^\alpha Y_s^\alpha] = s^{2\alpha} + t^{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} [(s+t)^{2\alpha} + |t-s|^{2\alpha}],$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We believe that by the same calculations as in the above one can get that almost all paths of the sub-fractional Brownian motion belong (resp. do not belong) to the Besov spaces $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha, p)$ (resp. $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha, p)$).

2. Let $r(t)$ be a real valued function, such that the kernel $K_r(t, s)$ defined by

$$K_r(t, s) := r(t) - r(s) - r(t-s),$$

is positive on the real line, and let φ be as follows

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-u|t|}) dm(u),$$

where m is a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\int_1^\infty dm(u) < \infty$. Therefore by [2, Theorem 5.1.], we get that

$$K(s, t) := \varphi(r(t) + r(s)) - \varphi(r(t-s)),$$

is a positive Kernel on the real line. Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a centred Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t X_s] = K(s, t).$$

If in addition we assume that φ and r are in $\mathcal{C}^4((0, \infty))$, and that for all $a > 0$, we have $r(at) = a^\alpha r(t)$ and $\varphi(ax) = a^\beta \varphi(x)$ (i.e. X is a self-similar Gaussian process with index $\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$). With the analogous (but more involved) computations as above, one can get that almost all paths of the process X belong (resp. do not belong) to the Besov spaces $\mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta/2, p)$ (resp. $\mathbf{bes}(\alpha\beta/2, p)$).

4 An Itô-Nisio theorem for the bifractional Brownian motion.

Let \mathcal{E} be the linear space generated by the indicator functions $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}$ endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}, \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R(s, t), \quad s, t \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.1)$$

Define \mathcal{H} as the completion of \mathcal{E} w.r.t. the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. The application $\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)$ is an isometry from \mathcal{H} to the Gaussian space generated by $B^{\alpha, \beta}$. $B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)$ is the Wiener integral of φ w.r.t. $B^{\alpha, \beta}$.

Our main result in this paragraph is the following Itô-Nisio theorem for the bifractional Brownian motion

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then we have almost surely*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi_n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} B^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \quad \text{in the Besov space } \mathbf{Bes}(\alpha\beta - \varepsilon, p),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \geq 1$ are such that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha\beta - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{p}$.

By classical continuous injections we can deduce

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then we have almost surely*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi_n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} B^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \quad \text{in the Hölder space } \mathbf{C}^{\gamma},$$

for any $\gamma < \alpha\beta$.

of Theorem 4.1. Put $X_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi_n)$. And define

$$z_{jk} := 2 \cdot 2^{j/2} \left\{ X_N \left(\frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} X_N \left(\frac{2k}{2^{j+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} X_N \left(\frac{2k-2}{2^{j+1}} \right) \right\}.$$

Let $\{h_{jk}, j \geq 0, k = 1, \dots, 2^j\}$ be the Haar functions defined as follows

$$h_{jk} = \sqrt{2^j} \mathbb{1}_{\left[\frac{2k-2}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}}\right[} - \sqrt{2^j} \mathbb{1}_{\left[\frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{2k}{2^{j+1}}\right[} \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}.$$

Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{jk} - z_{jk} &= B^{\alpha, \beta}(h_{jk}) - X_N(h_{jk}) \\ &= B^{\alpha, \beta}(h_{jk}) - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, h_{jk} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi_n). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\omega_{jk}^N = \frac{u_{jk} - z_{jk}}{\varrho_{jk}^N} \quad \text{with} \quad \varrho_{jk}^N = \{\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk} - z_{jk}|^2]\}^{1/2}. \quad (4.2)$$

First, by Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can easily show that almost surly

$$2^{-j(1+\varepsilon p)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\omega_{jk}^N|^p \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{L_n} \lambda_i^n \mathbb{1}_{[0, t_i^n]}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{M_m} \mu_j^m \mathbb{1}_{[0, s_j^m]}$ be two sequences in \mathcal{E} such that

$$\phi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{L_n} \lambda_i^n \mathbb{1}_{[0, t_i^n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{M_m} \mu_j^m \mathbb{1}_{[0, s_j^m]} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.$$

Define for all $a > 0$,

$$\phi^a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{L_n} \lambda_i^n \mathbb{1}_{[0, at_i^n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^a = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{M_m} \mu_j^m \mathbb{1}_{[0, as_j^m]} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.$$

One can see easily by (1.2) and a density argument that

$$\mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha, \beta}(\phi^a) B^{\alpha, \beta}(\psi^a)] = a^{2\alpha\beta} \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) B^{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)]. \quad (4.4)$$

Set $\theta_{j,k}^n = \langle \varphi_n, h_{jk} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho_{jk}^N|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[B^{\alpha, \beta}(h_{jk}) - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, h_{jk} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} B^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi_n) \right]^2 \\ &= \|h_{jk}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N |\theta_{j,k}^n|^2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N |\theta_{j,k}^n|^2 = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\theta_{j,k}^n|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{jk} &= \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{2k-2}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}}[} - \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{2k-1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{2k}{2^{j+1}}[}, & \tilde{g}_{jk} &= \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{2k-2}{2^{(j+1)/2}}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{(j+1)/2}}[} - \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{2k-1}{2^{(j+1)/2}}, \frac{2k}{2^{(j+1)/2}}[}, \\ g_k &= \mathbb{1}_{[2k-2, 2k-1[} - \mathbb{1}_{[2k-1, 2k[}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Let $a_j = 2^{-(j+1)/2}$, we have by (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{j,k}^n &= \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(h_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n)] \\
&= 2^{j/2}\mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{h}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n)] \\
&= 2^{j/2}\mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk}^{a_j})B^{\alpha,\beta}((\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})^{a_j})] \\
&= 2^{-\alpha\beta}2^{j(1/2-\alpha\beta)}\mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})]. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence combining (4.5) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varrho_{jk}^N|^2 &= 2^{-2\alpha\beta}2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})] \right\}^2 \\
&\leq 2^{-2\alpha\beta}2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)} \sup_{j,k} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})] \right\}^2. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

The supremum in the last term of the inequality is finite. In fact we remark that $(a_j^{\alpha\beta}\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} , therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})] \right\}^2 &= a_j^{-2\alpha\beta} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \langle \tilde{g}_{jk}, a_j^{\alpha\beta}\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\leq a_j^{-2\alpha\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \tilde{g}_{jk}, a_j^{\alpha\beta}\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&= a_j^{-2\alpha\beta} \|\tilde{g}_{jk}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = a_j^{-2\alpha\beta} \|g_k^{a_j}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|g_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have by (3.24) that $\mathbb{E}[|u_{jk}|^2] \leq m_2 2^{j(1-2\alpha\beta)}$. Therefore by (3.1), (1.2), (4.6) and (4.1) we get for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\|g_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \mathbb{E}[2B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k-1) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k) - B^{\alpha,\beta}(2k-2)]^2 \leq m_2. \tag{4.10}$$

According to (4.9) we obtain for all $N \geq 1$,

$$A_N := \sup_{j,k} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B^{\alpha,\beta}(\tilde{g}_{jk})B^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi_n^{a_j^{-1}})] \right\}^2 < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

$(A_N)_{N \geq 1}$ is a non-increasing real valued sequence such that

$$\lim_N A_N = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{4.12}$$

We derive from (4.2), (4.8) and (4.11) the following inequality

$$2^{-j(1+\varepsilon p)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\omega_{jk}^N|^p \geq 2^{p\alpha\beta} 2^{-jp(\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha\beta - \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{p})} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \frac{|u_{jk} - z_{jk}|^p}{A_N^{p/2}}. \quad (4.13)$$

We remark that the sequence $2^{-jp(\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha\beta - \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{p})} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |u_{jk} - z_{jk}|^p$ is increasing in j for ε small enough, p large enough and $\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore by (4.3) and (4.13), we get that almost surely,

$$\sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{-jp(\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha\beta - \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{p})} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |u_{jk} - z_{jk}|^p \leq 2^{-p\alpha\beta} A_N^{p/2}.$$

Which finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1 by applying (4.12) and Theorem 2.1 . \square

Remark 4.3. When $\beta = 1$ we get the Itô-Nisio theorem for the fractional Brownian motion with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

References

- [1] M. Ait Ouahra, H. Ouahhabi and A. Sghir, *Continuity in law of some additive functionals of the bifractional Brownian motion*, Stochastics 91 (2019), pp. 613–628.
- [2] D. Alpay and D. Levanony, *On the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces associated with the fractional and bi-fractional Brownian motions*, Potential Anal. 28(2) (2008), pp. 163–184.
- [3] T. Bojdecki, L. G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, *Some extensions of fractional Brownian motion and sub-fractional Brownian motion related to particle systems*, Electron. Comm. Probab. 12 (2007), pp. 161–172.
- [4] B. Boufoussi, E. Lakhel and M. Dozzi, *A Kolmogorov and tightness criterion in modular Besov spaces and an application to a class of Gaussian processes*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 23 (2005), pp. 665–685.
- [5] B. Boufoussi, Y. Nachit, *Besov regularity and local time of the solution to stochastic heat equation*, arXiv:2006.04235v1 [math.PR] 2020.

- [6] Z. Ciesielski, G. Keryacharian and B. Roynette, *Quelques espaces fonctionnels associés à des processus gaussiens*, *Studia Mathematica* 107(2) (1993), pp. 171-204.
- [7] C. El-Nouty, *The increments of a bifractional Brownian motion*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 46 (2009), pp. 449–478.
- [8] C. El-Nouty and J. L. Journé, *Upper classes of the bifractional Brownian motion*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 48 (2011), pp. 371–407.
- [9] K. Es-Sebaiy and C. A. Tudor, *Multidimensional bifractional Brownian motion: Itô and Tanakas formulas*, *Stochastics and Dynamics* 3 (2007), pp. 365-388.
- [10] C. Houdré and J. Villa, *An example of infinite dimensional quasi-helix*, *Contemporary Mathematics* 366 (2003), pp. 195-201.
- [11] K. Itô and M. Nisio, *On the convergence of sums of independent Banach space valued random variables*, *Osaka Jour. Math.* 5 (1968), pp. 35-48.
- [12] J. P. Kahane, *Hélices et quasi-hélices*, *Adv. Math.* 7B (1981), pp. 417–433.
- [13] J. P. Kahane, *Some random series of functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [14] G. Kerkyacharian and B. Roynette, *Une démonstration simple des théorèmes de Kolmogorov, Donsker et Ito-Nisio*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 312, Série I (1991), pp. 877–882.
- [15] I. Kruk, F. Russo and C. A. Tudor, *Wiener integrals, Malliavin calculus and covariance structure measure*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 249 (2007), pp. 92-142.
- [16] H. Lakhel, Y. Ouknine and C. A. Tudor, *Besov regularity for the indefinite Skorohod integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion: the singular case*, *Stochastics and Stoch. Reports* 74 (2002), pp. 597–615.
- [17] P. Lei and D. Nualart, *A decomposition of the bi-fractional Brownian motion and some applications*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 79 (2009), pp. 619-624.

- [18] I. Mendy, *On the local time of sub-fractional Brownian motion*, Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal 17 (2010), pp. 357–374.
- [19] D. Nualart and Y. Ouknine, *Besov regularity of stochastic integrals with respect to the fractional Brownian motion with parameter $H > 1/2$* , J. Theoret. Probab. 16 (2003), pp. 451–470.
- [20] B. Roynette, *Mouvement Brownien et espaces de Besov*, Stochastics and Stoch. Reports 43 (1993), pp. 221–260.
- [21] F. Russo and C. A. Tudor, *On the bifractional Brownian motion*, Stoch. Process. Appl. 5 (2006), pp. 830–856.
- [22] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, second ed.. Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg (1995).
- [23] C. A. Tudor and Y. Xiao, *Sample paths properties of bifractional Brownian motion*, Bernoulli 13 (4), pp. 1023–1052.