

Liouville theorems for elliptic equations involving regional fractional Laplacian with order in $(0, 1/2]$

Huyuan Chen and Yuanhong Wei

Abstract

In this paper, some elliptic equation in a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$ is considered. The problem is driven by the regional fractional Laplacian, the infinitesimal generator of the censored symmetric 2α -stable process in Ω . Probability theory asserts that the censored 2α -stable process can not approach the boundary when $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, our purpose in this article is to show that non-existence of solutions bounded from above or bounded from below for the particular Poisson problem

$$(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} u = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and non-existence of nonnegative nontrivial solutions of the Lane-Emden equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Key Words: Regional Fractional Laplacian; Non-existence; Viscosity solution.

MSC2010: 35R11, 35D40, 35A01, 60J75.

1 Introduction

In the last few years, there has been an increasing interest in the study of nonlocal problems which are now widely used in physics, operation research, queuing theory, mathematical finance, risk estimation, and others (see [3, 7, 22, 26]). Given a bounded open Lipschitz set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$, a symmetric 2α -stable process $X = \{X_t\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N killed upon leaving the set Ω is called a symmetric 2α -stable process in Ω , denote it by X^{Ω} , see [10]. The Dirichlet form of X^{Ω} on $L^2(\Omega, dx)$ is $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\Omega})$, where

$$\mathcal{F}^{\Omega} = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ q.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dx dy < +\infty \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} c_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\alpha}(x) u(x) v(x) dx, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{F}^{\Omega}.$$

Here q.e. is the abbreviation for quasi-everywhere (cf. [5], [19]), and the density of the killing measure of X^{Ω}

$$\kappa_{\alpha}(x) = c_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} |x - y|^{-N-2\alpha} dy.$$

Some properties of killing measure κ_{α} are given in Appendix.

Elliptic differential equations and diffusion processes play significant roles in the theory of partial differential equations and in probability theory, respectively. There are close relationships between these two subjects. Sometimes for an elliptic operator, there is a diffusion process associated with it, so that the elliptic operator is the infinitesimal generator of the diffusion process.

The inhomogenous elliptic problem associated to this kind of process is

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha u(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(z)}{|z - x|^{N+2\alpha}} dz$$

is the the fractional Laplacian operator and $c_{N,\alpha} > 0$ is the normalized constant, see [17].

Define $\tau_\Omega = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin \Omega\}$ and note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau_\Omega} X_t$ exists and typically belongs to Ω . Bogdan, Burdzy and Chen [5] (also see Guan and Ma [22]) extended X^Ω beyond its lifetime τ_Ω and obtained a version of the strong Markov process, named censored symmetric stable process. A censored stable process in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is obtained from the symmetric stable process by suppressing its jumps from Ω to $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. In fact, the censored stable process is a stable process forced to stay inside Ω and the Dirichlet form has no killing term. It is known that the censored stable process has the generator the regional fractional Laplacian defined in Ω defined as

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (-\Delta)_{\Omega,\varepsilon}^\alpha u(x)$$

with

$$(-\Delta)_{\Omega,\varepsilon}^\alpha u(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(z)}{|z - x|^{N+2\alpha}} dz.$$

It is believed that censored stable processes deserve to be studied because the classical counterpart, killed Brownian motion, is an important model in both pure mathematics and in applied probability (see [5]). When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, Kulczycki [23] establishes the upper and the lower bound estimates of Green function for a bounded open domain. When $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, [9, 10] give estimates on the heat kernel and Green kernel related to the regional fractional Laplacian. [21] provides a version of integration by formula for regional fractional Laplacian and [11] extends this formula to solve regional fractional problem with kinds of inhomogenous terms. More study of regional fractional laplacian with $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ could see [12] boundary blowing-up solutions, [18] boundary regularity, [28] weak solution for Poisson problem, [16] involving Hardy potential and more related properties [2, 4, 15, 29].

It should be pointed out that the censored stable process has some interesting properties, which imply some differences between $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$ (see [5, Theorem 1]):

- (i) if $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, the censored symmetric 2α -stable process in Ω has a finite lifetime and will approach $\partial\Omega$;
- (ii) if $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, the censored symmetric 2α -stable process in Ω is conservative and will never approach $\partial\Omega$.

This probability phenomena indicates that the Poisson problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

would have very different solution's structures between $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\alpha \leq (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Moreover, [24] shows that the space $H_0^\alpha(\Omega)$ has zero trace when $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and has no zero trace when $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$; [6] shows that the optimal constant $\mathcal{A}_{N,\alpha}$ in the fractional Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dx dy \geq \mathcal{A}_{N,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{-2\alpha} u^2(x) dx \quad \text{for any } u \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+^N),$$

is positive if $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and zero if $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, where $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} : x_N > 0\}$. This property produces a big challenge to consider the solution of the Poisson problem (1.2) for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Via building the formula of integral by part and related embedding results, [11] obtains the existence of solutions to (1.2) for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ when Ω is a bounded regular domain. Precisely, we have that

Proposition 1.1 [11] *Assume that $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, Ω is a bounded C^2 domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 2$ and $h = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

(i) *If f is Hölder continuous, then (1.2) has a classical solution $u_f \in C_0(\Omega)$;*

(ii) *If $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, then (1.2) has a weak solution $u_f \in H_0^\alpha(\Omega)$, where $H_0^\alpha(\Omega)$ is the Hilbert space, which is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm*

$$\|u\|_\alpha := \sqrt{\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dx dy}.$$

In spite of some known results, the theory of elliptic problems driven by regional fractional Laplacian is far from mature and adequate.

For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, inversely, our interest in this article is to show the nonexistence of solution to particular problem

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.3)$$

Here we don't restrict our problem with a Dirichlet boundary condition, so we may consider solutions of (1.3) with a wild behavior at the boundary. Here a viscosity solution $u \in C(\Omega)$ of (1.3) is bounded from above (bounded from below resp.) if

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) < +\infty \quad (\inf_{x \in \Omega} u(x) > -\infty).$$

Our main results on Poisson problem (1.3) with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ states as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that the integer $N \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , which is C^2 if $N \geq 2$ and an open interval if $N = 1$.*

Then problem (1.3) has no solution bounded from above or bounded from below.

Our second purpose is to show the Liouville type theorem for the Lane-Emden equation with the regional fractional Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $p > 0$.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that the integer $N \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , which is C^2 if $N \geq 2$ and an open interval if $N = 1$. Then problem (1.4) has no nonnegative nontrivial solution for any $p > 0$.*

It is worth noting that equation (1.3) replacing the regional fractional Laplacian by Laplacian or fractional Laplacian has a unique solution under the Dirichlet boundary condition; equation (1.4) replacing the regional fractional Laplacian by Laplacian or fractional Laplacian could have a classical solution if $p \in (1, \frac{N+2\alpha}{N-2\alpha})$ Sobolev subcritical with $s \in (0, 1]$.

Our results show a very different phenomena of nonexistence, is to investigate non-existence of viscosity super-solutions, which, to some extent, can be seen as an interpretation of the result in [5] and an counterpart of [11] for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Our idea to prove the Liouville theorem is based on the non-existence boundary blowing up solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = +\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

It is worth noting that

- (i) when $s = 1$, there is no the classical harmonic functions with boundary blowing up;
- (ii) For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is harmonic functions with boundary blowing-up

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \lim_{x \in \Omega, x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u = +\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

which could see [1, 13], the solution has the behavior $\rho^{s-1}(x)$, where $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

The key point is to obtain estimates of $(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau$ for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ near the boundary, where

$$V_\tau(x) = \begin{cases} \rho(x)^\tau & \text{for } x \in A_\delta, \\ l(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus A_\delta \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$V_0^*(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln \rho(x) & \text{for } x \in A_\delta, \\ l(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus A_\delta \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

with $A_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) < \delta\}$, $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\tau \in (-1, 2\alpha)$ and the function l being positive such that V_τ is C^2 in Ω . It is worth noting that when $\tau \in (2\alpha - 1, 0)$ with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau$ blows up near the boundary positively, which leads to the non-existence of Poisson problem (1.7).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of viscosity solution and do the estimates of $(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau$. In Section 3, we prove the non-existence of Poisson problem (1.3) by contradiction that a boundary blow-up super solution could be constructed if (1.3) has a super solution. Finally, we annex properties of killing measure κ_α .

2 Preliminary

2.1 Viscosity solution

We start with the definition of viscosity solution, inspired by the definition of viscosity sense for nonlocal problem in [8].

Definition 2.1 *We say that a function $u \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity super solution (sub-solution) of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = h & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

if $u \geq h$ (resp. $u \leq h$) on $\partial\Omega$ and for every point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and some neighborhood V of x_0 with $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$ and for any $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{V})$ such that $u(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$ and x_0 is the minimum (resp. maximum) point of $u - \varphi$ in V , let

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{in } V, \\ u & \text{in } \Omega \setminus V, \end{cases}$$

we have that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha \tilde{u}(x_0) \geq f(x_0) \quad (\text{resp. } (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha \tilde{u}(x_0) \leq f(x_0)).$$

We say that u is a viscosity solution of (2.1) if it is a viscosity super-solution and also a viscosity sub-solution of (2.1).

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that the functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous. Let u and v be a viscosity super-solution and sub-solution of (2.1) respectively. Then*

$$v \leq u \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let us define $w = u - v$, then

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

If (2.2) fails, then there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$w(x_0) = u(x_0) - v(x_0) = \min_{x \in \Omega} w(x) < 0,$$

then in the viscosity sense,

$$(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} w(x_0) \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since w is a viscosity super solution x_0 is the minimum point in Ω and $w \in C^0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then we can take a small neighborhood V_0 of x_0 such that $\tilde{w} = w(x_0)$ in V_0 , From (3.1), we have that

$$(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} \tilde{w}(x_0) \geq 0.$$

But the definition of regional fractional Laplacian implies that

$$(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} \tilde{w}(x_0) = \int_{\Omega \setminus V_0} \frac{w(x_0) - w(y)}{|x_0 - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy < 0,$$

which is impossible. \square

Remark 2.1 *Let u be a continuous function in Ω and x_0 is a minimum point of u , then $(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{\alpha} u(x_0) > 0$ in the viscosity sense.*

2.2 Estimates for boundary blowing up functions

It is known the derivatives on the boundary blowing-up functions is related the one-dimensional problem and we start this subsection by the analysis of one-dimensional regional fractional problem on the half-line. Let

$$w_{\tau}(t) = t^{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad w_0^*(t) = -\ln t \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tau > -1$.

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\tau \in (-1, 2\alpha)$ and w_{τ}, w_0^* are defined in (2.5). Let*

$$I_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (0, 2\alpha - 1) & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ (2\alpha - 1, 0) & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\frac{1}{2}} w_0^* = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\alpha} w_{\tau} = c_{\alpha}(\tau) t^{\tau-2\alpha} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$c_{\alpha}(\tau) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for } \tau \in I_{\alpha}, \\ = 0 & \text{for } \tau = 2\alpha - 1, \\ < 0 & \text{for } \tau \in (-1, 2\alpha) \setminus \bar{I}_{\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. *Estimates of $c_\alpha(\tau)$:* For $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{8})$, by change variable we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha w_\tau(t) &= c_{1,\alpha} t^{\tau-2\alpha} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{(0,\infty) \setminus (1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)} \frac{1-s^\tau}{|1-s|^{1+2\alpha}} ds \\ &= c_{1,\alpha} t^{\tau-2\alpha} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^{1-\epsilon} \frac{1-s^\tau}{(1-s)^{1+2\alpha}} ds - \int_{1+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{1-s^{-\tau}}{(1-s^{-1})^{1+2\alpha}} s^{-2\alpha-1+\tau} ds \right) \\ &= c_{1,\alpha} t^{\tau-2\alpha} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^{1-\epsilon} \frac{(1-s^\tau)(1-s^{2\alpha-\tau-1})}{(1-s)^{1+2\alpha}} ds + \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{(1-s^\tau)s^{2\alpha-1-\tau}}{(1-s)^{1+2\alpha}} ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $1-\epsilon < \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} < 1-\epsilon + \epsilon^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{(1-s^\tau)s^{2\alpha-1-\tau}}{(1-s)^{1+2\alpha}} ds \right| &\leq c_1 |\tau| \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} (1-s)^{-2\alpha} ds \\ &\leq c_2 \epsilon^{2-2\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha w_\tau(t) = c_{1,\alpha} \gamma(\alpha, \tau) t^{\tau-2\alpha},$$

where

$$\gamma(\alpha, \tau) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s^\tau)(1-s^{2\alpha-1-\tau})}{(1-s)^{1+2\alpha}} ds.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\alpha, \tau) &> 0 && \text{if and only if } \tau(2\alpha - 1 - \tau) > 0, \\ \gamma(\alpha, \tau) &< 0 && \text{if and only if } \tau(2\alpha - 1 - \tau) < 0, \\ \gamma(\alpha, \tau) &= 0 && \text{if } \tau = 0 \text{ or } \tau = 2\alpha - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude (2.11) with $c_\alpha(\tau) = c_{1,\alpha} \gamma(\alpha, \tau)$.

Proof of $(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{1/2} w_0^ = 0$.* By change variable we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{1/2} w_0^*(t) &= c_{1,1/2} t^{-1} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{(0,\infty) \setminus (1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)} \frac{\ln s}{|1-s|^2} ds \\ &= c_{1,1/2} t^{-1} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^{1-\epsilon} \frac{\ln s}{(1-s)^2} ds + \int_0^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{-\ln s}{(1-s)^2} ds \right) \\ &= c_{1,1/2} t^{-1} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{-\ln s}{(1-s)^2} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $1-\epsilon < \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} < 1-\epsilon + \epsilon^2$ and for $c_3, c_4 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{-\ln s}{(1-s)^2} ds \right| &\leq c_3 \int_{1-\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} (1-s)^{-1} ds \\ &\leq c_4 \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{1/2} w_0^* = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+ . We complete the proof. \square

Proposition 2.1 *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $I_\alpha = (-1, 2\alpha)$, Ω is a bounded C^2 domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 1$ and V_τ is given in (1.7) with $\tau \in I_\alpha$. Let*

$$I_\alpha^* = \begin{cases} (2\alpha - 1, 0) & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ (0, 2\alpha - 1) & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad I_\alpha^\# = \begin{cases} \bar{I}_\alpha^* & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Then there exist $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$ and constant $c_5 > 1$ such that:

(i) If $\tau \in I_\alpha^*$, then

$$\frac{1}{c_5} \rho(x)^{\tau-2\alpha} \leq (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x) \leq c_5 \rho(x)^{\tau-2\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x \in A_{\delta_1}.$$

(ii) If $\tau \in I_\alpha \setminus I_\alpha^\#$, then

$$\frac{1}{c_5} \rho(x)^{\tau-2\alpha} \leq -(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x) \leq c_5 \rho(x)^{\tau-2\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x \in A_{\delta_1}.$$

(iii) If $\tau = 2\alpha - 1$ and $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x)| \leq c_5 (\rho(x)^{\tau-2\alpha+1} + \rho(x)^\tau + 1) \quad \text{for all } x \in A_{\delta_1}.$$

Proof. When $N = 1$, we assume that $(0, \delta_0) \subset \Omega$, for $x_1 \in (0, \frac{\delta_0}{4})$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x) &= c_{1,\alpha} \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\delta_0} \frac{x^\tau - y^\tau}{|x - y|^{1+2\alpha}} dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus (0, \delta_0)} \frac{x^\tau - y^\tau}{|x - y|^{1+2\alpha}} dy \\ &= c_{1,\alpha} x^{\tau-2\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{\delta_0}{x}} \frac{1 - t^\tau}{|1 - t|^{1+2\alpha}} dt + O(1)(x^\tau + 1) \\ &= x^{\tau-2\alpha} \left(c_\alpha(\tau) - \left(\frac{\delta_0}{x}\right)^{\tau-2\alpha} \right) + O(1)(x^\tau + 1) \\ &= c_\alpha(\tau) x^{\tau-2\alpha} + O(1)(x^\tau + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we concentrate on the case that $N \geq 2$. By compactness we prove that the corresponding inequality holds in a neighborhood of any point $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$ and without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{x} = 0$ and we further assume that $-e_1$ is the outer normal vector of Ω at 0, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For a given $0 < \eta \leq \delta$, we define

$$Q_\eta = \{y = (y_1, y') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, 0 < y_1 < \eta, |y'| < \eta\}$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}_\eta = \{y = (y_1, y') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, |y_1| < \eta, |y'| < \eta\}.$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 , then there is a C^2 function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(z_1, z') \in \Omega \cap \tilde{Q}_{2\eta}$ if and only if $z_1 = \varphi(z')$ for $|z'| < 2\eta$ for $\eta > 0$ small enough. In fact, we take $\eta > 0$ small enough,

then for any $z \in A_t := \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = t\}$ with $t \in (0, \eta]$, there exists a unique point $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that $|z - z_0| = t$, $z - z_0$ is a normal vector at z_0 and A_t is C^2 .

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a C^2 diffeomorphism such that

$$\Phi(z) = (z', z_1 + \varphi(z')) \quad \text{for } z = (z_1, z') \in Q_\eta.$$

Note that

$$\Phi(te_1) = te_1 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, \eta), \quad \Phi(0, y') \in \partial\Omega \cap \tilde{Q}_\eta \quad \text{for } |y'| < \eta$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}_{\frac{\eta}{2}} \cap \Omega \subset \Phi(Q_\eta) \subset \tilde{Q}_{2\eta} \cap \Omega.$$

Moreover, $\rho(\Phi(y)) = y_1 + O(|y'|^2)$ for $y \in Q_\eta$ and there exists $c_6 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(0) = 0, \quad |D\Phi(0) - E_1| \leq c_6|z'| \quad \text{and} \quad |\Phi(y) - y| \leq c_6|y'|^2 \quad \text{for } y = (y_1, y') \in Q_\eta,$$

where $E_1 = (d_{ij})_{N \times N}$ is the unit matrix, i.e. $d_{ii} = 1$ and $d_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Let $x_1 \in (0, \eta/4)$, $x = (x_1, 0)$ be a generic point in $A_{\eta/4}$. We observe that $|x - \bar{x}| = \rho(x) = x_1$. By definition we have

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha V_\tau(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \text{p.v.} \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{x_1^\tau - \rho^\tau(z)}{|x - z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz + c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{V_\tau(x) - V_\tau(z)}{|x - z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \quad (2.9)$$

and we see that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{V_\tau(x) - V_\tau(z)}{|x - z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \right| \leq c_7(x_1^\tau + 1), \quad (2.10)$$

where the constant c_7 is independent of x . Thus we only need to study the asymptotic behavior of the first integral, that from now on, we denote by

$$\mathbb{E}(x_1) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{x_1^\tau - \rho^\tau(z)}{|x - z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz.$$

Let $z = \Phi(y)$, then we have that

$$\mathbb{E}(x_1) = \text{p.v.} \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{|x - \Phi(y)|^{N+2\alpha}} |D\Phi(y)| dy + \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{O(|y'|^2)}{|x - \Phi(y)|^{N+2\alpha}} |D\Phi(y)| dy.$$

Observe that

$$|D\Phi(y)| = 1 + O(|y'|), \quad (2.11)$$

$$|x - \Phi(y)|^2 = |x - y + y - \Phi(y)|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2 + O(|y'|^4) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{O(|y'|^2)}{|x - \Phi(y)|^{N+2\alpha}} |D\Phi(y)| dy \right| \leq c_8, \quad (2.13)$$

where we use the fact that $|y - \Phi(y)| = O(|y'|^2)$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(x_1) &:= \text{p.v.} \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2 + O(|y'|^4))^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} (1 + O(|y'|)) dy \\
&= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta (x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau) \int_{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)} \frac{(1 + O(|y'|))}{(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dy' dy_1 \\
&= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{|x_1 - y_1|^{1+2\alpha}} \int_{\frac{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)}{|x_1 - y_1|}} \frac{(1 + O(|x_1 - y_1||z'|))}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' dy_1 \\
&= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{|x_1 - y_1|^{1+2\alpha}} F_\alpha(|x_1 - y_1|) dy_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_\alpha(|x_1 - y_1|) \\
&= \int_{\frac{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)}{|x_1 - y_1|}} \frac{(1 + O(|x_1 - y_1||z'|))}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\frac{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)}{|x_1 - y_1|}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' + O(|x_1 - y_1|) \int_{\frac{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)}{|x_1 - y_1|}} \frac{|z'|}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' + O(|x_1 - y_1|^{1+2\alpha}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{|z'|}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' O(|x_1 - y_1|) \\
&= d_\alpha + O(|x_1 - y_1|), \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

with $d_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz' > 0$ and $B_r^{N-1}(0)$ being the ball with center at the origin and radius r in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} . Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(x_1) &= d_\alpha \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{|x_1 - y_1|^{1+2\alpha}} dy_1 + O\left(\text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{x_1^\tau - y_1^\tau}{|x_1 - y_1|^{2\alpha}} dy_1\right) + O(1) \\
&= d_\alpha \left(x_1^{\tau-2\alpha} \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{x_1}} \frac{1 - t^\tau}{|1 - t|^{1+2\alpha}} dt + O(x_1^{\tau-2\alpha+1} \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{x_1}} \frac{1 - t^\tau}{|1 - t|^{2\alpha}} dt) \right) + O(1) \\
&= d_\alpha \left[x_1^{\tau-2\alpha} \left(c_\alpha(\tau) - \left(\frac{\eta}{x_1}\right)^{\tau-2\alpha} \right) + O(x_1^{\tau-2\alpha+1} \left(c_\alpha(\tau) - \left(\frac{\eta}{x_1}\right)^{\tau-2\alpha+1} \right)) \right] + O(1) \\
&= d_\alpha c_\alpha(\tau) x_1^{\tau-2\alpha} + O(x_1^{\tau-2\alpha+1}) + O(1). \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude from (2.10) and (2.16) that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x) = d_\alpha c_\alpha(\tau) x_1^{\tau-2\alpha} + O(x_1^\tau) + O(x_1^{\tau-2\alpha+1}) + O(1) \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{2.17}$$

Combining with Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.1 follows and the proof is complete. \square

Note that $I_\alpha = \{0\}$ when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and in this case, we need do the estimate for the following function

$$V_*(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln \rho(x) & \text{for } x \in A_\delta, \\ l(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus A_\delta, \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and the function l is positive such that V_* is C^2 in Ω .

Remark 2.2 For $\tau \in (-1, 2\alpha) \setminus \{2\alpha - 1, 0\}$, then

$$\lim_{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0^+} \rho^{2\alpha - \tau}(x) (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_\tau(x) = d_\alpha c_\alpha(\tau).$$

Proposition 2.2 Assume that Ω is a bounded C^2 domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 1$ and V_* is given in (2.18).

Then there exists $c_9 > 0$ such that

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2} V_*(x)| \leq c_9 V_*(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Proof. We omit the proof for the case $N = 1$. When $N \geq 2$ and under the same setting in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2} V_*(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \text{p.v.} \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln \rho(z)}{|x - z|^{N+1}} dz + c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{V_*(x) - V_*(z)}{|x - z|^{N+1}} dz \quad (2.19)$$

and we see that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{V_*(x) - V_*(z)}{|x - z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \right| \leq c_{10} V_*(x), \quad (2.20)$$

where the constant c_{10} is independent of x . Thus we only need to study the asymptotic behavior of the first integral, from now on, we denote by

$$\mathbb{E}(x_1) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta)} \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln \rho(z)}{|x - z|^{N+1}} dz.$$

Let $z = \Phi(y)$ and $0 < x_1 \ll 1$, it follows from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(x_1) &= \text{p.v.} \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{|x - \Phi(y)|^{N+1}} |D\Phi(y)| dy + \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{O(|y'|^2)}{|x - \Phi(y)|^{N+1}} |D\Phi(y)| dy, \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_{Q_\eta} \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2 + O(|y'|^4))^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} (1 + O(|y'|)) dy \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta (-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1) \int_{B_\eta^{N-1}(0)} \frac{(1 + O(|y'|))}{(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dy' dy_1 \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{|x_1 - y_1|^{1+1}} \int_{\frac{|x_1 - y_1|}{\eta} B_\eta^{N-1}(0)} \frac{(1 + O(|x_1 - y_1||z'|))}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dz' dy_1 \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{|x_1 - y_1|^2} F_{\frac{1}{2}}(|x_1 - y_1|) dy_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{\frac{1}{2}}(|x_1 - y_1|) = d_{\frac{1}{2}} + O(|x_1 - y_1|)$, $d_{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dz' > 0$.

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(x_1) &= d_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{|x_1 - y_1|^2} dy_1 + O(\text{p.v.} \int_0^\eta \frac{-\ln x_1 + \ln y_1}{|x_1 - y_1|} dy_1) + O(1) \\
&= d_{\frac{1}{2}} \left[x_1^{-1} \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{x_1}} \frac{\ln t}{|1-t|^2} dt + O\left(\int_0^{\frac{\eta}{x_1}} \frac{\ln t}{|1-t|} dt \right) \right] + O(1) \\
&= d_{\frac{1}{2}} \left[x_1^{-1} \left(-\left(\frac{\eta}{x_1}\right)^{-1} \right) + O\left(\ln \ln \frac{\eta}{x_1} \right) + O(1) \right] \\
&= O\left(\ln \frac{1}{x_1}\right) + O(1), \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (2.6) and $\text{p.v.} \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{x_1}} \frac{\ln t}{|1-t|^2} dt = -\int_{\frac{\eta}{x_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln t}{|1-t|^2} dt$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{\eta}{x_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln t}{|1-t|^2} dt &\leq 2 \int_{\frac{\eta}{x_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} dt \\
&= -\frac{\ln t}{t} \Big|_{t=\frac{\eta}{x_1}}^{+\infty} + \frac{1}{t} \Big|_{t=\frac{\eta}{x_1}}^{+\infty} \leq -c_\eta x_1 \ln x_1 + c_\eta x_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude from (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2} V_*(x)| \leq c_{11} V_*(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega, \tag{2.22}$$

which ends the proof. \square

3 Non-existence of positive solution

Proposition 3.1 *For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, there is no boundary blowing up regional fractional super-Harmonic function, i.e. (1.6) has no super solution.*

Proof. By contradiction, let (1.6) have a super solution u , then its minimal is achieved inside, thanks to the boundary blowing up. Let x_0 be the point such that

$$u(x_0) = \min_{x \in \Omega} u(x).$$

then in the viscosity sense,

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w(x_0) < 0, \tag{3.1}$$

since w blows up at the boundary, which contradicts the assumption that w is a viscosity super solution of (1.6). \square

Corollary 3.1 *For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, problem*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = -\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

has no sub solution.

Lemma 3.1 *Let*

$$w_1(x) = V_*(x) - V_{\tau_0}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$

where $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{4}$.

Then there exist $\delta_2 > 0$ and $c_{12} > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{c_{12}}\rho^{\tau_0-1}(x) \leq (-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2}w_1(x) \leq c_{12}\rho^{\tau_0-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in A_{\delta_2}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, for $\delta_1 > 0$ and $c_5 > 1$,

$$\frac{1}{c_5}\rho^{-3/4}(x) \leq -(-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2}V_{\tau_0}(x) \leq c_5\rho^{-3/4}(x), \quad \forall x \in A_{\delta_1}$$

and from Proposition 2.2,

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2}V_*(x)| \leq c_9V_*(x), \quad \forall x \in A_{\delta_1}.$$

Then there exist $\delta_2 > 0$ and $c_{12} > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{c_{12}}\rho^{\tau_0-1}(x) \leq (-\Delta)_\Omega^{1/2}w_1(x) \leq c_{12}\rho^{\tau_0-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in A_{\delta_2}.$$

The proof ends □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. *Case 1:* $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Take $\tau_0 = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \in (2\alpha - 1, 0)$ and from Proposition 2.1, there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and $c_{12} > 0$ such that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_{\tau_0}(x) \geq c_{12}\rho^{\tau_0-2\alpha}, \quad x \in A_{\delta_1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since V_{τ_0} is C^2 in Ω , then there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_{\tau_0}(x)| \leq T_0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus A_{\delta_1}. \quad (3.4)$$

By contradiction, we assume that problem (1.3) has a solution u_1 bounded from below.

Let

$$w = V_{\tau_0} + tu_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $t > 0$ will be choosing later.

In the viscosity sense, we have that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w = (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_{\tau_0} + t \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.5)$$

if $t \geq T_0$.

Therefore, w is a viscosity super-solution of $(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = 0$ and blows up at boundary of Ω , since u_1 is bounded from below. A contradiction comes from Proposition 3.1.

By contradiction, we assume that problem (1.3) has a solution u_1 bounded from above. Let

$$w = -V_{\tau_0} + tu_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for $t < 0$ suitable, then w will be a solution of (3.2), which is impossible.

Case 2: $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. From Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w_1(x) \geq c_{12}\rho^{\tau_0-2\alpha}, \quad x \in A_{\delta_1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Since w_1 is C^2 in Ω , then there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$|(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_{\tau_0}(x)| \leq T_1 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus A_{\delta_1}. \quad (3.7)$$

The rest proof is the same as *Case 1*. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $u_p \geq 0$ is a nontrivial classical solution of (1.4), if there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u_p(x_0) = 0$, then it is the minimum point in Ω and the definition of fractional laplacian implies that

$$0 > (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u_p(x_0) = u_p^p(x_0) = 0,$$

which is impossible. Thus, we have that $u_p > 0$ in Ω .

For $\delta_1 > 0$ in (3.6), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$u_p \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus A_{\delta_1}.$$

Let

$$w = V_{\tau_0} + tu_p \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $t > 0$ will be choosing later.

In the viscosity sense, we have that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w = (-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha V_{\tau_0} + t\varepsilon_0^p \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus A_{\delta_1}.$$

If $t \geq T_0\varepsilon_0^{-p}$, then w is a viscosity super-solution of $(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha u = 0$ and blows up at boundary of Ω . A contradiction comes from Proposition 3.1. \square

Appendix: properties of killing measure

The killing measure κ_α is the connection between global fractional Laplacian and regional fractional Laplacian. For a regular function w such that $w = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, we observe that

$$(-\Delta)_\Omega^\alpha w(x) = (-\Delta)^\alpha w(x) - w(x)\kappa_\alpha(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

where

$$\kappa_\alpha(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz.$$

Proposition A.1 *Let $N \geq 2$, Ω be a C^2 domain and $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, then $\kappa_\alpha \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\lim_{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0^+} \kappa_\alpha(x)\rho(x)^{2\alpha} = d_\alpha c_{N,\alpha}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$d_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz'.$$

Proof. *Lipchitz continuity of κ_α .* For $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ and any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, we have that

$$|z - x_1| \geq \rho(x_1) + \rho(z), \quad |z - x_2| \geq \rho(x_2) + \rho(z)$$

and

$$||z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha} - |z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha}| \leq c_{13}(|x_1 - x_2|(|z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha-1} + |z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha-1})),$$

for some $c_2 > 0$ independent of x_1 and x_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa_\alpha(x_1) - \kappa_\alpha(x_2)| &\leq c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{||z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha} - |z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha}|}{|z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha}|z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq c_{N,\alpha} c_{13} |x_1 - x_2| \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{dz}{|z - x_1||z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{dz}{|z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha}|z - x_2|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|z - x_1||z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha}} dz &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\rho(x_1)}(x_1)} \frac{1}{|z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha+1}} dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\rho(x_2)}(x_2)} \frac{1}{|z - x_2|^{N+2\alpha+1}} dz \\ &\leq c_{14} [\rho(x_1)^{-1-2\alpha} + \rho(x_2)^{-1-2\alpha}] \end{aligned}$$

and similar to obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|z - x_1|^{N+2\alpha}|z - x_2|} dz \leq c_{15} [\rho(x_1)^{-1-2\alpha} + \rho(x_2)^{-1-2\alpha}],$$

where $c_{15} > 0$ is independent of x_1, x_2 . Then

$$|\kappa_\alpha(x_1) - \kappa_\alpha(x_2)| \leq c_{14} c_{15} [\rho(x_1)^{-1-2\alpha} + \rho(x_2)^{-1-2\alpha}] |x_1 - x_2|,$$

that is, κ_α is $C^{0,1}$ locally in Ω .

Proof of (3.8). By compactness we prove that the corresponding inequality holds in a neighborhood of any point $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$ and without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{x} = 0$ and we further assume that $-e_1$ is the outer normal vector of Ω at 0, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For a given $0 < \eta \leq \delta$, we define

$$Q_\eta^- = \{y = (y_1, y') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, -\eta < y_1 \leq 0, |y'| < \eta\}$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}_\eta = \{y = (y_1, y') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, |y_1| < \eta, |y'| < \eta\}.$$

For C^2 domain Ω , we recall C^2 diffeomorphism mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ that

$$\Phi(z) = (z', z_1 + \varphi(z')) \quad \text{for } z = (z_1, z') \in Q_\eta.$$

More properties of Φ is given in the proof of *Proposition 2.1*.

Let $x_1 \in (0, \eta/4)$, $x = (x_1, 0)$ be a generic point in $A_{\eta/4}$. By the definition of κ_α , we have that

$$\frac{1}{c_{N,\alpha}} \kappa_\alpha(x) = \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta^-)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy + \int_{\Omega^c \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta^-)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy,$$

where $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$.

On the one hand, we have that $|x-z| \geq \frac{1}{4}(\eta + |z|)$ for any $z \in \Omega^c \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta^-)$ and then

$$\int_{\Omega^c \setminus \Phi(Q_\eta^-)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{4^{N+2\alpha}}{(\eta + |z|)^{N+2\alpha}} dy \leq c_{15},$$

where $c_{15} > 0$ depends on η .

On the other hand, by change variable $z = \Phi(y)$, we have that

$$\int_{\Phi(Q_\eta^-)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz = \int_{Q_\eta^-} \frac{|D\Phi(y)|}{|x-\Phi(y)|^{N+2\alpha}} dy.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |D\Phi(z)| &= 1 + O(|z'|), \\ |x - \Phi(y)|^2 &= |x - z + z - \Phi(y)|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2 + O(|y'|^4), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Phi(Q_\eta^-)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2\alpha}} dx &= \int_{Q_\eta^-} \frac{1 + O(|y'|)}{(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} dz \\ &= \int_{-\eta}^0 \frac{1}{|x_1 - y_1|^{1+2\alpha}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{|x_1 - y_1|}}(0)} \frac{1 + O(|x_1 - y_1||\zeta'|)}{(1 + |\zeta'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} d\zeta' dz_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |\zeta'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} d\zeta' \left(x_1^{-2\alpha} - (x_1 + \eta_1)^{-2\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{|\zeta'|}{(1 + |\zeta'|^2)^{\frac{N+2\alpha}{2}}} d\zeta' \varphi(x_1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_r^{N-1}(0)$ is the ball center at origin with radius r in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , $\varphi_\alpha(t) = t^{1-2\alpha}$ if $2\alpha \neq 1$ and $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) = -\log t$. The proof ends. \square

Remark A.1. *When the domain has the property of uniformly interior tangent ball, i.e. then it has the rough estimate:*

$$\frac{1}{c_{16}} \rho(x)^{-2\alpha} \leq \kappa_\alpha(x) \leq c_{16} \rho(x)^{-2\alpha}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

where $c_{16} > 1$.

References

- [1] Abatangelo, N. Large s -harmonic functions and boundary blow-up solutions for the fractional Laplacian. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 35(12), 5555–5607 (2015).
- [2] Alves, C. Torres, C.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a non-linear Schrödinger equation with non-local regional diffusion. *J. Math. Phys.* 58(11), 111507, 19 pp. (2017)
- [3] Bertoin, J.: Levy Process. *Cambridge University Press*, (1996)
- [4] Bhattacharyya, S., Ghosh, T., Uhlmann, G.: Inverse problems for the fractional-Laplacian with lower order non-local perturbations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374(5), 3053–3075 (2021).
- [5] Bogdan, K., Burdzy, K., Chen Z. : Censored stable processes. *Probability theory and related fields*, 127, 89–152 (2003)
- [6] Bogdan, K., Dyda, B.: The best constant in a fractional Hardy inequality. *Math. Nachr.*, 284, 629–638 (2011)
- [7] Caffarelli, L., Salsa, S., Silvestre, L.: Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian. *Invent. Math.* 171(2), 425–461 (2008)
- [8] Caffarelli, L., Silvestre, L.: Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62, 597–638 (2009)
- [9] Chen, Z., Kim, P.: Green function estimate for censored stable processes. *Probability theory and related fields*, 124, 595–610 (2002)
- [10] Chen, Z., Kim, P., Song, R.: Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes. *Probability theory and related fields*, 146, 361–399 (2010)
- [11] Chen, H.: The Dirichlet problem involving regional fractional Laplacian. *J. Math. Phys.*, 59(7), 071504 (2018)
- [12] Chen, H., Hajaiej H.: Boundary blow-up solutions of elliptic equations involving regional fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 17(4), 989–1004 (2019)
- [13] Chen, H., Felmer, P., Quaas, A. Large solutions to elliptic equations involving fractional Laplacian. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C-AN* 32(6), 1199–1228 (2015)
- [14] Chen, H., Véron, L.: Semilinear fractional elliptic equations involving measures. *J. Diff. Eq.*, 257, 1457–1486 (2014)
- [15] Djitte, S., Fall, M., Weth, T.: A fractional Hadamard formula and applications. *Calc. Var. PDE* 60(6), No. 231, 31 pp. (2021)
- [16] Dyda, B.: A fractional order Hardy inequality. *Illinois J. Math.*, 48, 575–588 (2004)

- [17] Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., Valdinoci, E.: Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136 (5), 521–573 (2012)
- [18] M. Fall, Regional fractional Laplacians: boundary regularity. *J. Diff. Eq.* 320, (2022) 598–658.
- [19] Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., Takeda, M.: Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes. *Walter de Gruyter*, Berlin, (1994)
- [20] Gressman, P.: Fractional Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for measure spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 265(6) 867–889 (2003)
- [21] Guan, Q.: Integration by parts formula for regional fractional laplacian. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 266, 289–329 (2006)
- [22] Guan, Q., Ma Z.: Reflected symmetric A -stable processes and regional fractional Laplacian. *Probability theory and related fields*, 134, 649–694 (2006)
- [23] Kulczycki, T.: Properties of Green function of symmetric stable processes. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 17(2), 339–364 (1997)
- [24] Mikhailov, S.: Traces, extensions and co-normal derivatives for elliptic systems on Lipschitz domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 378, 324–342 (2011)
- [25] Ros-Oton X., Serra, J.: The Dirichlet problem for the fractional laplacian: regularity up to the boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 101, 275–302 (2014)
- [26] Sato, K.: Levy processes and infinitely divisible distributions, *Cambridge Univ. Press*, Cambidge 1999
- [27] Sire, Y., Valdinoci, E.: Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result. *J. Funct. Anal.* 256(6), 1842–1864 (2009)
- [28] Temgoua, R. : On the s -derivative of weak solutions of the Poisson problem for the regional fractional Laplacian, arXiv:2112.09547.
- [29] Torres, C., Cuti, H. , Montalvo, M., Pichardo, O.: Multiplicity of solutions for a class of nonlocal regional elliptic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 468(1), 87–102 (2018) .