

THE PARTITION POSET COMPLEX AND THE GOODWILLIE DERIVATIVES OF THE IDENTITY IN SPACES

DUNCAN A. CLARK

ABSTRACT. We produce a canonical highly homotopy-coherent operad structure on the derivatives of the identity functor in spaces via a pairing of cosimplicial objects, providing a new description of an operad structure on such objects first described by Ching. In addition, we show the derived primitives of a commutative coalgebra in spectra form an algebra over this operad.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Goodwillie derivatives of the identity on the category of based spaces \mathbf{Top}_* (denoted $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$) play a central role to the homotopy theory of based spaces. As the coefficients of the Taylor tower, the sequence controls a natural filtration of a space X which begins with stabilization QX and converges to X whenever X is 1-connected¹. An operad structure on $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ was first constructed by Ching in [15]. Arone-Ching [2] have further shown that the Goodwillie derivatives of a reduced homotopy functor $F: \mathbf{Top}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_*$ naturally form a $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ -bimodule, which can be used to rebuild the Taylor tower of F [3], [4].

The author has recently shown in [20] that the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity on the category of algebras over a (reduced) operad of spectra \mathcal{O} forms a natural “highly homotopy-coherent” operad, and moreover, that this operad structure is equivalent to that on \mathcal{O} . In this document, we show that similar techniques may be utilized to provide an alternate construction for an operad structure on the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity in based spaces, specifically we show the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The symmetric sequence $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ is a highly homotopy coherent operad (i.e., A_∞ -operad in the language of [20]).*

Our main interest in operads is in what structure is described on their algebras. Ching further shows that the homology of $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ gives a “Lie operad” [15], which is *Koszul dual* to the commutative cooperad [25]. The operad $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ of spectra is similarly Koszul dual to the commutative cooperad in spectra (as in [24]) and so is often referred to as the *spectral Lie operad*.

Date: September 16, 2020.

¹In fact, this tower will converge for nilpotent spaces as well.

In addition, we prove the following theorem (Theorem 1.2). As the commutative cooperad in \mathbf{Spt} is also an operad, Theorem 1.2 may be thought of as an amplified version of the classic result from commutative algebra which states that the primitives of a Hopf algebra naturally form a Lie algebra (see, e.g. [1]).

Theorem 1.2. *The derived primitives (Definition 3.13) of a commutative coalgebra² in spectra admit a natural action by $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$.*

The above theorem is also not necessarily new. For a commutative coalgebra Y , the derived primitives $\mathrm{Prim}(Y)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{TQ}(Y^\vee)^\vee$ (see Example 3.14)—where here $Y^\vee = \mathrm{Map}(Y, S)$ is the Spanier-Whitehead dual—and the latter is known to admit an action by $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ (e.g. as in [15], [10], [30]). However, our constructions provide an easy to use, algebraic alternative to the pioneering operad structure on $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ found in [15]. In particular, we expect our approach to allow us to show that the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity in a suitable model category \mathbf{C} come equipped with a canonical operad structure, induced in a similar way.

1.3. Outline of the argument. We make use of the models for $\partial_n\mathrm{Id}$ described by Johnson [31] and Arone-Mahowald [6] as the Spanier-Whitehead dual of the n -th *partition poset complex* $\mathrm{Par}(n)$ (Definition 2.4),

$$\partial_n\mathrm{Id} \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Par}(n), S).$$

We then show that $\mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Par}(n), S)$ can be modeled as the totalization of the cobar resolution $C(\underline{S})[n]$ on the commutative cooperad of spectra. Essentially, $C(\underline{S})[n]$ has p -simplices given by the p -fold composition $\underline{S}^{\circ p}[n]$, where \underline{S} is the reduced symmetric sequence with $\underline{S}[n] = S$ (with trivial Σ_n action) for $n \geq 1$. We show that the cosimplicial symmetric sequence $C(\underline{S})$ admits a natural \square -monoid structure (see [20, Definition 5.6]) induced, essentially, by the canonical isomorphisms $\underline{S}^{\circ p} \circ \underline{S}^{\circ q} \cong \underline{S}^{\circ p+q}$. This \square -monoid structure then induces the desired “highly homotopy coherent” operad structure on $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ upon passing to totalization.

We similarly show that the derived primitives may be calculated as the totalization of a certain cosimplicial spectrum $C(Y)$. In particular, $C(Y)$ is precisely the dual notion of derived indecomposables which underlies the construction of *topological Quillen homology* (TQ) [7], [8], [34], [29] of structured ring spectra described as an algebra over an operad in spectra. We show that $C(Y)$ is a \square -module over the cobar resolution $C(\underline{S})$ and that this module structure induces an action of $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$ on the derived primitives upon passing to totalization.

1.4. Applications and future work. Recent work of Heuts has shown that certain chromatic localizations M_n^f of the ∞ -category of based spaces are equivalent to the ∞ -category of spectral Lie algebras (i.e., algebras over $\partial_*\mathrm{Id}$) in $T(n)$ -local spectra [30]. We expect this result may be reformulated in terms of our constructions found in this document and that our constructions may shed light on extending this result to more general categories \mathbf{C} .

²For us, coalgebra means *coalgebra with divided powers* (see, e.g. [24]).

Any space X gives rise to a commutative coalgebra $\Sigma^\infty X$ and so the map

$$X \mapsto \text{Prim } C(\Sigma^\infty X)$$

provides a functor from Top_* to algebras over $\partial_* \text{Id}$. Heuts' work [30] shows that this functor induces an equivalence after localization with respect to v_n -periodic homotopy equivalences (see, e.g. [10]).

The key idea is that for $X \in \text{Top}_*$ there is a coaugmentation

$$\Phi_n(X) \rightarrow C(\Sigma_{T(n)}^\infty X)$$

where Φ_n denotes the Bousfield-Kuhn functor (see, e.g. [13], [33], [35], [30]) and $C(\Sigma_{T(n)}^\infty X)$ is the cosimplicial diagram which computes the derived primitives the commutative coalgebra $\Sigma_{T(n)}^\infty X = L_{T(n)} \Sigma^\infty X$ in $T(n)$ -local spectra. For instance, when $v = 0$ this is precisely the result of Quillen's annals paper [37] which provides algebraic models for rational homotopy theory as either Lie algebras or commutative coalgebras in chain complexes.

Similarly, we expect that our \square -pairings of underlying cosimplicial objects may be utilized to provide an alternate description of a “highly homotopy coherent chain rule” $\partial_* F \circ \partial_* G \rightarrow \partial_*(FG)$ [2] (see also [32], [40]) for composable functors F, G between Top_* or Spt .

1.5. Comparison to the operad structure constructed by Ching. The author expects the operad structure on $\partial_* \text{Id}$ described in this document is equivalent to that constructed by Ching in [15].

In particular, our main technical lemma (Lemma 4.4) is reminiscent of the “tree ungrafting” arguments found in [15], and our notion of \square -monoid is similar to Ching's notion of “pre-cooperad” in [16]. However, despite these similarities, the author is not aware of an explicit comparison between the two constructions.

1.6. Conventions and notation. We make use of the pointed, compactly generated Hausdorff spaces as our model for Top_* , and use the theory of EKMM S -modules developed in [23] as our model for a closed symmetric monoidal category of spectra. The main technical benefit for us is that every spectrum will be fibrant. We write Spt for the category of S -modules and refer to such objects as *spectra*. We will use $\text{Map}(-, -)$ to denote the mapping spectrum in Spt .

We write Δ^{res} for the subcategory of the usual simplex category Δ obtained by omitting degeneracy maps. We will write Δ^\bullet for the cosimplicial space of topological simplices. The category Spt is topologically enriched and we write Tot for the *restricted totalization* $\text{Tot}^{\text{res}} = \text{Map}_{\Delta^{\text{res}}}(\Delta^\bullet, -)$. A useful observation is that since all spectra are fibrant, given any $Y \in \text{Spt}^\Delta$ there are equivalences

$$\text{holim}_\Delta Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim}_{\Delta^{\text{res}}} Y \simeq \text{Tot } Y$$

without separately requiring that Y be Reedy fibrant (see, e.g., [19, §8]).

We denote by SymSeq the category of symmetric sequences in spectra. A symmetric sequence A consists of objects $A[n] \in \text{Spt}$ with (right) action by Σ_n for each $n \geq 0$. We will often assume that our symmetric sequences are reduced, that

is, $A[0] = *$. The category **SymSeq** admits a monoidal product—the composition product \circ (Definition 3.2)—whose unit we denote I .

1.7. Organization of the paper. Section 2 provides the necessary background on functor calculus and the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity functor on \mathbf{Top}_* . In Section 3 we develop the necessary language of cooperads and their coalgebras. Section 4 is dedicated to proving the two main theorems of this document.

1.8. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank John Harper for continued advising, feedback and support. The author also benefited from useful discussions with Michael Ching and Jens Kjaer. The author was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1547357 and Simons Foundation: Collaboration Grants for Mathematicians #638247.

2. FUNCTOR CALCULUS AND THE DERIVATIVES OF THE IDENTITY

Functor calculus was introduced by Goodwillie in [26], [27] as a method for analyzing homotopy preserving functors between \mathbf{Top}_* and \mathbf{Spt} . A central construction in functor calculus is that of the *Taylor tower* of n -excisive approximations associated to a functor $F: \mathbf{Top}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_*$ as follows

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & D_n F & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ F & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_n F & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} F \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 F. \end{array}$$

The functors $P_n F$ are called the *n -th excisive approximation* to F and are initial in the homotopy category of n -excisive functors receiving a map from F . All of our approximations are based at the zero object $* \in \mathbf{Top}_*$.

For $n \geq 1$, we set the *n -th homogeneous layer*, denoted $D_n F$, to be

$$D_n F := \mathrm{hofib}(P_n F \rightarrow P_{n-1} F).$$

The following proposition summarizes the salient properties of $D_n F$ (see [27]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F: \mathbf{Top}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_*$ be a homotopy functor and $n \geq 1$. Then:*

- $D_n F$ is *n -homogeneous*³.
- $D_n F$ naturally factors through \mathbf{Spt} as $D_n F \simeq \Omega^\infty \circ \mathbb{D}_n F \circ \Sigma^\infty$ such that $\mathbb{D}_n F$ is *n -homogeneous*.
- $D_n F$ is characterized by a spectrum with (right) Σ_n -action, $\partial_n F$ called the *n -th derivative of F* , and there is an equivalence⁴

$$D_n F(X) \simeq \Omega^\infty(\partial_n F \wedge_{\Sigma_n} (\Sigma^\infty X)^{\wedge n}).$$

³Recall a functor G is *n -homogeneous* if G is *n -excisive* and $P_{n-1} G \simeq *$.

⁴For X which have the homotopy type of a finite CW-complexes; or on an arbitrary space X if F commutes with filtered colimits (i.e., F is *finitary*).

- The spectrum $\partial_n F$ may be calculated via cross effects [27, §3] as

$$\partial_n F \simeq \mathrm{cr}_n \mathbb{D}_n F(S, \dots, S)$$

with Σ_n -action given by permutting the inputs S .

2.2. The Taylor tower of the identity functor. The Taylor tower of the identity is a central object of homotopy theory. From the definitions in [27], is not hard to show

$$(2) \quad P_1 \mathrm{Id}(X) \simeq D_1 \mathrm{Id}(X) \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(X)$$

the stabilization of a space X . The higher Blakers-Massey theorems [26, 2.1] show that Id is 1-analytic and therefore the Taylor tower of the identity in Top_* offers an interpolation between a simply connected space $X \simeq \mathrm{holim}_n P_n \mathrm{Id}(X)$ and its stabilization $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$.

The equivalences from (2) show that the first derivative of Id is just the sphere spectrum S . Johnson [31] and later Arone-Mahowald [6] give a description of the higher homogeneous layers and derivatives of Id in terms of the *partition poset complex* (Definition 2.4). Specifically, they show

$$(3) \quad D_n \mathrm{Id}(X) \simeq \Omega^\infty \left(\mathrm{Map} \left(\mathrm{Par}(n), \Sigma^\infty X^{\wedge n} \right)_{h\Sigma_n} \right)$$

and similarly

$$(4) \quad \partial_n \mathrm{Id} \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Par}(n), S)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, the n -th derivative of Id is just the Spanier-Whitehead dual to $\mathrm{Par}(n)$.

2.3. The partition poset complex. For $n \geq 0$ we denote by \mathbf{n} the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, note that $\mathbf{0} = \emptyset$. A *partition* λ of \mathbf{n} is a decomposition $\mathbf{n} = \coprod_{i \in I} T_i$ into nonempty subsets (here I is required to be a nonempty set). Given partition $\lambda = \{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\lambda' = \{T'_j\}_{j \in J}$ of \mathbf{n} we say that $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ if there is a surjection $f: J \rightarrow I$ such that $T_i = \coprod_{j \in f^{-1}(i)} T'_j$ for all $i \in I$.

Note that the set of partitions of \mathbf{n} has a minimal element \min consisting of only the trivial partition $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and a maximal element \max consisting of the partition of \mathbf{n} into singletons, i.e. $\{\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}\}$. The set of partitions of \mathbf{n} then forms a poset with respect to \leq , and so may be interpreted as a category. The partition poset complex as defined below is (a quotient of) the nerve of this category.

Definition 2.4. Define the n -th *partition poset complex* $\mathrm{Par}(n)$ to be the geometric realization of the pointed simplicial set $P(n)$ defined as follows. The k -simplices of $P(n)$ are given by sequences

$$\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{k-1} \leq \lambda_k$$

of partitions of \mathbf{n} such that any chain that does not satisfy $\lambda_0 = \min$ and $\lambda_k = \max$ is identified with the basepoint.

Face maps $d_i: P(n)_k \rightarrow P(n)_{k-1}$ are given by removing the i -th entry λ_i and degeneracy maps $s_j: P(n)_k \rightarrow P(n)_{k+1}$ are given by repeating the j -th entry λ_j .

Note, that the image of d_0 (resp. d_k) is only the basepoint if $\lambda_1 \neq \min$ (resp. $\lambda_{k-1} \neq \max$).

More generally, for a finite set T we define $\text{Par}(T)$ analogously, e.g. by setting $|T| = n$ and specifying a bijection $T \cong \mathbf{n}$.

Remark 2.5. Note that $\text{Par}(n)$ inherits a natural action of Σ_n by permuting the elements of \mathbf{n} . A useful observation is that non-basepoint elements $\alpha \in P(n)_k$ are in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of planar, rooted trees with n labelled leaves and k levels, up to planar isomorphism.

Example 2.6. It is possible to calculate some low dimensional examples of partition poset complexes. For instance, $\text{Par}(0) = *$, $\text{Par}(1) \cong S^0$ and $\text{Par}(2) \cong S^1$ with trivial Σ_2 action. Similarly, $\text{Par}(3)$ may be identified with the 2-sphere with a disc glued-in at the equator, Σ_3 acts on $\text{Par}(3)$ by permuting the three 2-discs (top hemisphere, bottom hemisphere and equator).

Moreover, it is known that there is a (nonequivariant) equivalence (see [31], [6])

$$\text{Par}(n) \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^{(n-1)!} S^{n-1}.$$

We briefly describe one route of arriving at the model (4), using the approach of Arone-Kankaanrinta [5] to analyze Id by the Snaith splitting.

2.7. Analysis of the Taylor tower of the identity by higher stabilization. Associated to the stabilization adjunction $(\Sigma^\infty, \Omega^\infty)$ between Top_* and Spt , for any space X , there is a coaugmented cosimplicial diagram $X \rightarrow C(\Sigma^\infty X)$. Here, $C(\Sigma^\infty X)$ is the cobar resolution

$$C(\Sigma^\infty X) := \text{Cobar}(\Omega^\infty, \Sigma^\infty \Omega^\infty, \Sigma^\infty X)$$

and the coaugmented is provided by the unit map $X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$. $C(\Sigma^\infty X)$ is functorial in X and provides a cosimplicial functor

$$(5) \quad C(\Sigma^\infty -) = \left(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty \rightrightarrows (\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty)^2 \rightrightarrows (\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty)^3 \dots \right)$$

whose coface maps are induced by inserting the unit map $\text{Id} \rightarrow Q := \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty$ and codegeneracy maps are induced by the counit map $\Sigma^\infty \Omega^\infty =: K \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{Spt}}$.

Blomquist-Harper [11] utilize the cubical higher Blakers-Massey theorems of [26] to recover a classical result of Bousfield-Kan [12] (see also [14]) that simply connected spaces are equivalent to their completion with respect to stabilization. Specifically, if $X \in \text{Top}_*$ is 1-connected, then

$$X \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim}_\Delta C(X) \simeq X_{\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty}^\wedge.$$

The key to their proof is strong connectivity estimates of the following form.

Proposition 2.8. *The comparison map $X \rightarrow \text{holim}_{\Delta \leq k-1} C(\Sigma^\infty X)$ is $(c(k+1)+1)$ -connected for $X \in \text{Top}_*$ c -connected.*

We make use of the above connectivity estimates to show that $P_n \text{Id}$ may be recovered as the totalization of $P_n(Q^{\bullet+1})$ (see also [2, §16]).

Corollary 2.9. *Let $k \geq n \geq 1$, then $P_n \text{Id} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim}_{\Delta \leq k-1} P_n(Q^{\bullet+1})$.*

Proof. Using the estimates from Proposition 2.8, this follows from the same argument as in [20, Proposition 4.7]. \square

The above corollary readily extends to equivalences on D_n and ∂_n as well. The upshot for us is that $\partial_n(Q^{k+1})$ is readily computable via the Snaith splitting, as follows.

2.10. The Snaith splitting. Let \underline{S} denote the symmetric sequence in \mathbf{Spt} such that $\underline{S}[n] = S$ with trivial Σ_n action. The *Snaith splitting* (see e.g., [39], [21]) provides equivalences

$$(6) \quad \Sigma^\infty \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X \simeq \bigvee_{k \geq 1} \Sigma^\infty X_{h\Sigma_k}^{\wedge k} \simeq \bigvee_{k \geq 1} S \wedge_{\Sigma_k} (\Sigma^\infty X)^{\wedge k}$$

Said differently, the Taylor tower for $K = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty$ splits as a coproduct of its homogeneous layers when evaluated on a suspension spectrum and that $\partial_* K \simeq \underline{S}$.

A result of Arone-Kankaanrinta [5] uses the above splittings to recover the model for n -th homogeneous layers and n -th derivatives of the identity in spaces in (3) and (4), respectively. The crux of their argument is that iterating the Snaith splitting provides equivalences

$$\partial_n(Q^{k+1}) \simeq \partial_n(K^k) \simeq \underline{S}^{\circ k}[n].$$

Here, $\underline{S}^{\circ k}$ denotes the k -fold composition of the symmetric sequence \underline{S} .

Remark 2.11. A key observation is that $\underline{S}^{\circ k}[n]$ is just a wedge of copies of the sphere spectrum S indexed by the k -simplices of $P(n)$. This symmetric sequence \underline{S} admits both an operad and *cooperad* structure, the derivatives of the identity may be further recognized as the totalization of the cobar complex with respect to this cooperad structure.

3. COOPERADS AND THEIR COALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to describe what we mean by cooperads and their coalgebras. We recall that an operad \mathcal{O} is just a monoid for the composition product \circ of symmetric sequences; the dual notion of cooperad is a more delicate matter.

3.1. Reinterpreting the composition product. We now adopt the notation of [20] regarding \mathbf{N}_{lev} -objects. Given a non-basepoint element $\alpha \in P(n)_k$, we let $|\alpha|$ denote the corresponding profile in $\mathbf{N}^{\delta k}[n]$ and $\alpha_{j,i}$ be such that

$$|\alpha| = (\alpha_{1,1}, (\alpha_{2,1}, \dots, \alpha_{2,\alpha_1}), \dots, (\alpha_{k,1}, \dots, \alpha_{k,\alpha_{k-1}})).$$

Here, α_j is inductively defined as $\alpha_j := \alpha_{j,1} + \dots + \alpha_{j,\alpha_{j-1}}$. Note $n = \alpha_k$. Said differently, α_j is the number of partitions in λ_j , and $\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,\alpha_{j-1}}$ is the size of the partitions appearing in λ_{j-1} for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Note that $|\alpha|$ is *not* uniquely determined by α .

Definition 3.2. Let A_1, \dots, A_k be reduced symmetric sequences. We define their *composition product* as follows.

$$(A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_k)[n] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in P(n)_k} (A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k)[\alpha]$$

Here, we use the notation

$$(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k)[\alpha] = A_1[\alpha_1] \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\alpha_1} A_2[\alpha_{2,i}] \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} A_k[\alpha_{k,i}]$$

as Σ_α -objects⁵ if α is not the basepoint, and set $(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n)$ of the basepoint to be the terminal spectrum $*$. There is a Σ_n action by permutation on $P(n)_k$.

Similarly, their *dual composition product* is defined as

$$(A_1 \breve{\circ} A_2 \breve{\circ} \dots \breve{\circ} A_k)[n] = \prod_{\alpha \in P(n)_k} (A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k)[\alpha].$$

We write $A^{\otimes k}$ for the k -fold product $A \otimes \dots \otimes A$ and note that $A^{\otimes 0} := I$. Note that this symbol \otimes is different from the tensor of symmetric sequences as in [38], [28]. Let $P(n)_k^\circ$ denote the set of non-basepoint k -simplices of $P(n)$. Since **Spt** is *stable*, finite coproducts and products are equivalent and hence the natural comparison

$$(7) \quad A_1 \circ \dots \circ A_k \xrightarrow{\sim} A_1 \breve{\circ} \dots \breve{\circ} A_k$$

is a weak equivalence of symmetric sequences.

Remark 3.3. The dual composition product is rarely strictly associative, and therefore we cannot say that a cooperad is a comonoid with respect to $\breve{\circ}$. The issue is that the smash product of spectra will rarely commute with limits; however for F a small diagram of spectra and $X \in \mathbf{Spt}$, the induced maps $(\lim F) \wedge X \rightarrow \lim(F \wedge X)$ make $\breve{\circ}$ *oplax monoidal* (see, e.g. [22], [17]).

We eschew the full development of (op)lax (co)monoids and only state what we need for a symmetric sequence \mathcal{Q} to be a *cooperad*. Note that what we are calling a cooperad is more precisely a *coaugmented cooperad with divided powers*; similarly, our notion of coalgebra is that of a *coalgebra with divided powers* [24].

3.4. Cooperads. Informally, a cooperad is a reduced symmetric sequence \mathcal{Q} that admits *cocomposition* maps of the form

$$\delta: \mathcal{Q}[k] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[k_1] \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{Q}[k_n]$$

for all $n, k = k_1 + \dots + k_n \geq 1$, along with a *counit* $\epsilon: \mathcal{Q}[1] \rightarrow S$, which satisfy certain associativity, unitality and equivariance conditions.

Equivalently, we may write the above cocomposition maps as a collection

$$\mathcal{Q}[d_1 \alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes 2}[\alpha] \quad (\alpha \in P(n)_2).$$

Further our cooperads will be coaugmented in the counit $\mathcal{Q}[1] \rightarrow S$ admits a retract $\eta: S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[1]$.

⁵We denote by $\Sigma_\alpha \leq \Sigma_n$ the subgroup of permutations σ which fix the partition α .

Definition 3.5. We say that \mathcal{Q} is a *cooperad* if there are well-defined cosimplicial objects in \mathbf{Spt}^{Σ_n}

$$C(\mathcal{Q})[n] = \prod_{\alpha \in P(n)_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes \bullet}[\alpha]$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Coface and codegeneracy maps are induced by the face and degeneracy maps from $P(n)_\bullet$ as follows.

Given $\alpha \in P(n)_k$, $d^i: C(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes k-1}[n] \rightarrow C(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes k}[n]$ and $s^j: C(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes k+1}[n] \rightarrow C(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes k}[n]$ are induced by

$$d^i: \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k-1}[d_i \alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha] \quad (\text{comultiplication maps})$$

$$s^j: \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k+1}[s_j \alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha] \quad (\text{counit maps})$$

Example 3.6. Recall that $\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes 0} = I$ and that $I[\alpha] = S$ for $\alpha \in P(1)_k$ (for all $k \geq 0$). We write out the first few factors of $C(\mathcal{Q})[n]$. First, if $n = 1$, we have

$$(8) \quad C(\mathcal{Q})[1] \cong \left(I[1] \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Q}[1] \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1] \cdots \right).$$

The two maps $I[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[1]$ are induced by the coaugmentation $\eta: S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[1]$, and $d^i: \mathcal{Q}[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1]$ is given by

$$d^0: \mathcal{Q}[1] \cong S \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1] \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge \text{id}} \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1]$$

$$d^1: \mathcal{Q}[1] \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1]$$

$$d^2: \mathcal{Q}[1] \cong \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge S \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \eta} \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1]$$

Here, δ is the comultiplication on $\mathcal{Q}[1]$. More generally, if $n \geq 2$ then

$$(9) \quad C(\mathcal{Q})[n] \cong \left(I[n] \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Q}[n] \rightrightarrows \prod_{\alpha \in P(n)_2^\circ} \mathcal{Q}[s] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[t_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{Q}[t_s] \cdots \right)$$

where we write $(s, (t_1, \dots, t_s)) = |\alpha|$. The maps $I[n] = * \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}[n]$ are the initial maps; and $d^i: C(\mathcal{Q})[n]^1 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{Q})[n]^2$ is induced by the following maps

$$d^0: \mathcal{Q}[n] \cong S \wedge \mathcal{Q}[n] \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge \text{id}} \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[n]$$

$$d^1: \mathcal{Q}[n] \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha \in P(n)_2^\circ} \mathcal{Q}[s] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[t_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{Q}[t_s] \quad ((s, (t_1, \dots, t_s)) = |\alpha|)$$

$$d^2: \mathcal{Q}[n] \cong \mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge S^{\wedge n} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \eta^{\wedge n}} \mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge \mathcal{Q}[1]^{\wedge n}$$

and the trivial map $\mathcal{Q}[n] \rightarrow *$ on all other factors. Again, δ contains the information of the various comultiplication maps on \mathcal{Q} .

For any $n \geq 1$ the counit maps s^j are induced by $\mathcal{Q}[1] \rightarrow S$ and $\mathcal{Q}[k] \rightarrow *$ ($k \geq 2$).

3.7. The commutative cooperad of spectra. The symmetric sequence \underline{S} introduced before admits a natural cooperad structure with comultiplication δ induced by the natural isomorphisms $S \rightarrow S \wedge S \wedge \cdots \wedge S$.

In particular, since $\underline{S}^{\otimes k}[\alpha] = S$ for any non-basepoint $\alpha \in P(n)_k$, it follows that

$$C(\underline{S})[n]^k = \prod_{P(n)_k^\circ} S$$

and the coface (resp. codegeneracy) maps are just induced by the face (resp. degeneracy) maps of $P(n)$.

Proposition 3.8. *There is an equivalence $\partial_* \text{Id} \simeq \text{Tot } C(\underline{S})$.*

Proof. Using the model from (3), this follows from the equivalences

$$\partial_n \text{Id} \simeq \text{Map}(|P(n)_\bullet|, S) \simeq \text{Tot} \prod_{P(n)_\bullet} \text{Map}(S^0, S) \simeq \text{Tot } C(\underline{S})[n]$$

for all $n \geq 1$. □

3.9. Coalgebras over a cooperad. Let \mathcal{Q} be a cooperad. Informally, \mathcal{Q} -coalgebra structure on a spectrum Y consists of comultiplication maps

$$Y \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge Y^{\wedge n})_{\Sigma_n} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

which are required to further satisfy associativity, unitality and equivariance conditions. Note these comultiplications induce a map

$$Y \mapsto \mathcal{Q}\breve{\circ}(Y) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (\mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge Y^{\wedge n})_{\Sigma_n}$$

which we essentially require to be “oplax comonoidal” [22], and that \mathcal{Q} -coalgebras are the coalgebras for this comonoid.

Definition 3.10. Let \mathcal{Q} be a cooperad. A \mathcal{Q} -coalgebra is a spectrum Y that admits a well-defined cosimplicial object $C(Y)$ as follows. For $k \geq 0$,

$$C(Y)^k = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in P(n)_k} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha] \wedge Y^{\wedge n} \right)_{\Sigma_n}.$$

Coface maps are induced the face maps from $P(n)$ for each $n \geq 1$ along with the diagonal maps on Y as follows.

- $d^0: C(Y)^k \rightarrow C(Y)^{k+1}$ is induced by $Y_{\Sigma_k}^{\wedge k} \rightarrow *$ if the image of $d_0: P(n)_k \rightarrow P(n)_{k-1}$ is the basepoint, and induced by the identity on $Y_{\Sigma_k}^{\wedge k}$ otherwise.
- For $i = 1, \dots, k$, d^i is induced by \mathcal{Q} -cooperad structure maps

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[d_i \alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k+1}[\alpha]$$

for $\alpha \in P(n)_{k+1}$.

- d^{k+1} is induced as follows. For $m \geq n$, if $\alpha \in P(m)_{k+1}$ has $(k+1)$ -st partition given by $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$, then let $\alpha' \in P(n)_k$ be the result of quotienting the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ by the relation $a \sim b$ if $a, b \in T_i$. Set $t_j = |T_j|$. d^{k+1} is induced by the composites

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha'] \wedge Y^{\wedge n} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha'] \wedge (\mathcal{Q}[t_1] \wedge Y^{\wedge t_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\mathcal{Q}[t_n] \wedge Y^{\wedge t_n}) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k+1}[\alpha] \wedge Y^{\wedge m} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in P(m)_{k+1}$ and $m \geq n$.

Codegeneracy maps are induced by $\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k+1}[s_j \alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes k}[\alpha]$ for $\alpha \in P(n)_k$ as in $C(\mathcal{Q})$.

Remark 3.11. Note that $C(Y)$ is essentially the cobar resolution on Y with respect to the comultiplication map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\bar{\circ}(Y)$. In particular, there are isomorphisms

$$C(Y)^k \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{Q}^{\bar{\circ}k}[n] \wedge_{\Sigma_n} Y^{\wedge n} = \mathcal{Q}^{\bar{\circ}k}\bar{\circ}(Y) \quad (k \geq 0)$$

3.12. Derived primitives. Let Y be a \mathcal{Q} -coalgebra. The *primitives* of Y is given by the (coreflexive) equalizer in \mathbf{Spt}

$$(10) \quad \bar{Y} = \lim \left(Y \rightrightarrows \prod_{n \geq 1} (\mathcal{Q}[n] \wedge Y^{\wedge n})_{\Sigma_n} \right).$$

The top map is induced by the coaugmented structure

$$Y \cong S \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge \text{id}} \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge Y$$

and the bottom map is induced by the comultiplication maps $Y \rightarrow Y_{\Sigma_n}^{\wedge n}$ for $n \geq 1$. There is a common retract of both maps given by applying $\epsilon \wedge \text{id}: \mathcal{Q}[1] \wedge Y \rightarrow S \wedge Y \cong Y$ and $\mathcal{Q}[n] \rightarrow *$ for $n \geq 2$.

This gives the precise analog of primitives of a coalgebra from commutative algebra, but fails to be homotopy invariant in general. The derived primitives as defined below gives the homotopy-theoretic analog to this construction, and arises as the dual notion of *topological Quillen homology* (TQ) for algebras over operads in spectra.

Definition 3.13. For Y a \mathcal{Q} -coalgebra we define the *derived primitives* $\text{Prim } Y$ to be the totalization $\text{Tot } C(Y)$.

Note that $C(Y)|_{\Delta \leq 1}$ is precisely the equalizer diagram defining \bar{Y} from (10).

Example 3.14. Any $X \in \mathbf{Top}_*$ gives rise to an \underline{S} -coalgebra $\Sigma^\infty X$ whose comultiplication maps are induced by the diagonals on X . That is,

$$\Sigma^\infty X \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty(X \wedge \cdots \wedge X) \cong \Sigma^\infty X \wedge \cdots \wedge \Sigma^\infty X$$

In particular, for any $Y \in \underline{S}$ -coalgebra, $Y^\vee = \text{Map}(Y, S)$ is a commutative ring spectrum; i.e. algebra over the commutative operad \mathbf{Com} . There is further an equivalence

$$\text{TQ}(Y^\vee)^\vee \simeq \text{Prim}(Y).$$

4. OPERAD STRUCTURE ON THE DERIVATIVES OF THE IDENTITY

As in [20], we make use of the box product \square of cosimplicial objects as introduced by Batanin [9].

4.1. The box product. Given a monoidal category $(\mathbf{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ and cosimplicial objects $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}^\Delta$, we define the cosimplicial object $X \square Y$ as follows

$$(11) \quad (X \square Y)^n := \text{colim} \left(\coprod_{r+s=n-1} X^r \otimes Y^s \rightrightarrows \coprod_{p+q=n} X^p \otimes Y^q \right)$$

The maps in (11) are induced by $\text{id} \otimes d^0$ and $d^{r+1} \otimes \text{id}$, see e.g. [19, Definition 4.13] for the cosimplicial structure maps of $X \square Y$.

Given $c \in \mathbf{C}$ we let \underline{c} denote the constant cosimplicial object on c .

Remark 4.2. A useful fact is that if \mathbf{C} is closed symmetric monoidal then $(\mathbf{C}^\Delta, \square, \underline{1})$ is a monoidal category. The box product has been used before to construct A_∞ -pairings on totalizations of \square -monoids in \mathbf{C}^Δ : McClure-Smith [36] show that if \mathcal{X} is a \square -monoid in \mathbf{Top}_*^Δ , then $\mathbf{Tot} \mathcal{X}$ is an A_∞ -monoid. Similarly, Arone-Ching [4] and Ching-Harper [19] use the box product to construct an A_∞ -composition for maps of certain coalgebras.

We write $\overset{\circ}{\square}$ for the box product with respect to the composition product of symmetric sequences. In our previous work [20], we produce an A_∞ operad structure on the derivatives of the identity in the category of algebras over a reduced operad of spectra by an underlying pairing of certain cosimplicial symmetric sequences with respect to $\overset{\circ}{\square}$. This is precisely what we will implement in the following section.

Note that $\overset{\circ}{\square}$ is *not* a strictly monoidal product for \mathbf{SymSeq}^Δ . In [20] we introduced the notion of a $\overset{\circ}{\square}$ -monoid ([20, Definition 5.6]) which is a sufficient for a cosimplicial sequence \mathcal{X} to admit an A_∞ -operad structure on its totalization $\mathbf{Tot} \mathcal{X}$. While we will not make explicit use of this observation, we note that our notion of $\overset{\circ}{\square}$ -monoid is closely related to that of *pre-cooperad* from [16]

4.3. Operad structure on the derivatives of the identity in spaces. We are now prepared to show that $C(\underline{S})$ admits $\overset{\circ}{\square}$ -monoidal structure. We need a technical lemma first; note the following is similar to the “tree ungrafting” argument from [15].

Lemma 4.4. *For $k, p, q \geq 0$, there is a Σ_k -equivariant “decomposition” map*

$$\Psi_{k,(p,q)}: P(k)_{p+q} \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in P(k)_2} P(n)_p \times P(k_1)_q \times \cdots \times P(k_n)_q$$

where n and k_1, \dots, k_n are obtained by setting $|\alpha| = (n, (k_1, \dots, k_n))$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ and T_1, \dots, T_n be a partition of \mathbf{k} . Let $\beta_j \in P(T_j)_q$ be given by $\mu_0^j \leq \cdots \leq m_q^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma \in P(n)_p$ given by $\lambda_0 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_p$, and let λ'_j denote the partition obtained by replacing a set $\{\gamma_s\}_{s \in S} \in \lambda_j$ by $\coprod_{s \in S} T_s$. There is then an element $\gamma \circ (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in P(k)_{p+q}$ given by

$$\lambda'_0 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'_{p-1} \leq \lambda'_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_0^i \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_1^i \leq \cdots \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_q^i.$$

Given $\alpha \in P(k)_2$, let T_1, \dots, T_n be the corresponding partition of \mathbf{k} determined. Given $\gamma' \in P(k)_{p+q}$, the image $\Psi_{k,(p,q)}(\gamma')$ at the α -factor of the product is defined to be the string $(\gamma, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ if there is a decomposition $\gamma' = \gamma \circ (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ where $\gamma \in P(n)_p$ and $\beta_j \in P(T_j)_q$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and by the basepoint otherwise. \square

Proposition 4.5. *For $p, q \geq 0$ there are maps $m_{p,q}: C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(\underline{S})^q \longrightarrow C(\underline{S})^{p+q}$.*

Proof. Let $p, q \geq 0$. For $k \geq 1$, $m_{p,q}$ at level k is given by the following composite

$$\begin{aligned}
(C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(\underline{S})^q)[k] &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in P(k)_2} \left(\left(\prod_{P(n)_p} S \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{P(k_i)_q} S \right) \right) \\
&\rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha \in P(k)_2} \left(\prod_{P(n)_p} S \wedge \prod_{P(k_1)_q \times \dots \times P(k_n)_q} S \wedge \dots \wedge S \right) \\
&\rightarrow \prod_{\prod_{\alpha \in P(k)_2} P(n)_p \times P(k_1)_q \times \dots \times P(k_n)_q} S \wedge S \wedge \dots \wedge S \\
&\xrightarrow{\Psi_{k,(p,q)}^*} \prod_{\text{Par}_{p+q}(k)} S \wedge S \wedge \dots \wedge S \cong C(\underline{S})^{p+q}[k]
\end{aligned}$$

where n, k_1, \dots, k_n are such that $|\alpha| = (n, (k_1, \dots, k_n))$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *The cosimplicial symmetric sequence $C(\underline{S})$ admits a natural \square° -monoid structure, i.e., there are maps $m: C(\underline{S}) \square^\circ C(\underline{S}) \rightarrow C(\underline{S})$ and $u: \underline{I} \rightarrow C(\underline{S})$ which satisfy associativity and unitality.*

Proof. The map m is induced as follows. For $p + q = n$, the maps described in Proposition 4.5 fit into the following commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(\underline{S})^{q+1} & \xrightarrow{m_{p,q+1}} & C(\underline{S})^{p+q+1} \\
\text{id} \circ d^0 \uparrow & & \uparrow m_{p+1,q} \\
C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(\underline{S})^q & \xrightarrow{d^{p+1} \circ \text{id}} & C(\underline{S})^{p+1} \circ C(\underline{S})^q
\end{array}$$

which induce m at level $n + 1$ upon taking colimits.

The unit map is induced by the coaugmentation $I \rightarrow C(\underline{S})$ given by the identity on I . Associativity and unitality of m and u follow from the same argument as in [20, Proposition 5.8] with $C(\mathcal{O})$ ⁶ replaced by $C(\underline{S})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Proposition 3.8, we know $\partial_* \text{Id} \simeq \text{Tot } C(\underline{S})$. The theorem then follows from [20, Proposition 5.9]. \square

4.7. Derived primitives are spectral Lie algebras. Arone-Ching have shown that the operad $\partial_* \text{Id}$ plays a central role in homotopy theory [3], [4]. We now show explicitly that the derived primitives of a \underline{S} -coalgebra Y admit a left action by this operad. In particular, this defines a functor

$$\Xi: \text{Top}_* \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\partial_* \text{Id}}, \quad X \mapsto \text{Tot } C(\Sigma^\infty X)$$

It is known that Ξ induces an equivalence after localizations with respect to certain equivalences on v_n -periodic homotopy groups [30]. The author is particularly interested in understanding whether Ξ induces an equivalence without localizations,

⁶Though, perhaps to reflect the notation in this article, the cosimplicial object $C(\mathcal{O})$ in [20] should be written as $C(B(\mathcal{O}))$, as the bar construction $B(\mathcal{O})$ is a cooperad [15], not \mathcal{O} .

or in describing a similar equivalence between a suitable model category \mathbf{C} and the category of $\partial_* \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ -algebras⁷.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea is to show that $C(Y)$ is a left \square -module over $C(\underline{S})$ concentrated in symmetric sequence level 0. That is, the following associativity (12) and unitality (13) diagrams commute

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C(\underline{S}) \square C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & C(\underline{S}) \square (C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \square \mu} & C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y) \\ \downarrow \cong & & & & \downarrow \mu \\ (C(\underline{S}) \square C(\underline{S})) \square C(Y) & \xrightarrow{m \square \text{id}} & C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C(Y) \end{array}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C(Y) \\ u \square \text{id} \uparrow & \nearrow \cong & \\ I \square C(Y) & & \end{array}$$

Once we have shown this structure, it follows that $\text{Tot } C(Y)$ is a $\partial_* \mathbf{Id}$ -algebra in the sense of [20, Definition 6.32]. We first produce maps $\mu_{p,q}: C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(Y)^q \rightarrow C(Y)^{p+q}$.

Let $p, q \geq 0$ be given, $\mu_{p,q}$ is then the composite

$$\begin{aligned} C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(Y)^q &= \bigvee_{n \geq 1} C(\underline{S})^p[n] \wedge_{\Sigma_n} (C(Y)^q)^{\wedge n} \\ &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\prod_{P(n)_p} S \right) \wedge_{\Sigma_n} \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \prod_{k_i \geq 1} \left(\prod_{P(k_i)_q} Y^{\wedge k_i} \right)_{\Sigma_{k_i}} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\prod_{P(n)_p} S \right) \wedge_{\Sigma_n} \left(\prod_{k \geq 1} \prod_{k=k_1+\dots+k_n} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{P(k_i)_q} Y^{k_i} \right)_{\Sigma_{k_i}} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\prod_{P(n)_p} S \right) \wedge_{\Sigma_n} \left(\prod_{k=k_1+\dots+k_n} \left(\prod_{P(k_1)_q \times \dots \times P(k_n)_q} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n Y^{\wedge k_i} \right)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} \right) \\ &\cong \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in P(k)_2} \prod_{P(n)_p \times P(k_1)_q \times \dots \times P(k_n)_q} Y^{\wedge k} \right)_{\Sigma_k} \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi_{k,(p,q)}^*} \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(\prod_{P(k)_{p+q}} Y^{\wedge k} \right)_{\Sigma_k} \end{aligned}$$

⁷Assuming that a suitable model for $\partial_* \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ as an operad can be described—this is the matter of ongoing work, though see [18] for a recent approach via ∞ -categories.

The map $\mu: C(\underline{S}) \square C(Y) \rightarrow C(Y)$ is induced at cosimplicial level 0 by

$$C(\underline{S})^0 \circ C(Y)^0 \cong S \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$$

and for $n \geq 0$ at level $n + 1$ by the commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(Y)^{q+1} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{p,q+1}} & C(Y)^{p+q+1} \\ \text{id} \circ d^{q+1} \uparrow & & \uparrow \mu_{p+1,q} \\ C(\underline{S})^p \circ C(Y)^q & \xrightarrow{d^0 \circ \text{id}} & C(\underline{S})^{p+1} \circ C(Y)^q \end{array}$$

Here $p, q \geq 0$ are such that $p + q = n$.

Associativity and unitality of μ again follows from a similar straightforward modification of the argument from [20, Proposition 5.8] (see also Corollary 8.4 and Remark 8.5 in [20]). \square

Remark 4.8. It is worth remarking that a straightforward modification to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 presented in this document shows that $\text{Tot } C(\mathcal{Q})$ is an A_∞ -operad and, if Y is a \mathcal{Q} -coalgebra, that $C(Y)$ is an algebra over this operad. In particular, our constructions provide a point-set model for $\text{Tot } C(\mathcal{Q})$ as a (homotopy coherent) operad which is *Koszul dual* to \mathcal{Q} , and $Y \rightarrow \text{Tot } C(Y)$ provides a comparison from \mathcal{Q} -coalgebras to algebras over this operad (see [24]).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abe. *Hopf algebras*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1977.
- [2] Gregory Arone and Michael Ching. *Operads and Chain Rules for the Calculus of Functors*. Number 338 in Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France, 2011.
- [3] Gregory Arone and Michael Ching. A classification of Taylor towers of functors of spaces and spectra. *Advances in Mathematics*, 272:471–552, Feb 2015.
- [4] Gregory Arone and Michael Ching. Cross-effects and the classification of Taylor towers. *Geometry and Topology*, 20(3):1445–1537, Jul 2016.
- [5] Gregory Arone and Marja Kankaanrinta. A functorial model for iterated Snaith splitting with applications to calculus of functors. In *Fields Institute Communications*, volume 19. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [6] Gregory Arone and Mark Mahowald. The Goodwillie tower of the identity functor and the unstable periodic homotopy of spheres. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 135(3):743–788, Feb 1999.
- [7] Maria Basterra. André-Quillen cohomology of commutative S -algebras. *Journal of pure and applied algebra*, 144:111–143, 1999.
- [8] Maria Basterra and Michael A. Mandell. Homology and cohomology of E_∞ -ring spectra. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 249(4):903–944, 2005.
- [9] Mikhail A. Batanin. Coherent categories with respect to monads and coherent prohomotopy theory. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques*, 34(4):279–304, 1993.
- [10] Mark Behrens and Charles Rezk. Spectral algebra models of unstable v_n -periodic homotopy theory, 2017.
- [11] Jacobson R. Blomquist and John E. Harper. Suspension spectra and higher stabilization, 2016.
- [12] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, volume 304 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1972.
- [13] Aldridge Bousfield. Uniqueness of infinite deloopings for K -theoretic spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 129(1):1–31, 1987.

- [14] Gunnar Carlsson. Derived completions in stable homotopy theory. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 212(3):550–577, 2008.
- [15] Michael Ching. Bar constructions for topological operads and the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity. *Geometry and Topology*, 9(2):833–934, 2005.
- [16] Michael Ching. Bar-cobar duality for operads in stable homotopy theory. *Journal of Topology*, 5(1):39–80, Jan 2012.
- [17] Michael Ching. A note on the composition product of symmetric sequences. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 7:237–254, 2012.
- [18] Michael Ching. Infinity-operads and Day convolution in Goodwillie calculus, 2020.
- [19] Michael Ching and John E. Harper. Derived Koszul duality and TQ-homology completion of structured ring spectra. *Advances in Mathematics*, 341:118–187, Jan 2019.
- [20] Duncan A. Clark. On the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity in structured ring spectra, 2020.
- [21] F. R. Cohen, J. P. May, and L. R. Taylor. Splitting of certain spaces CX . *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 84(3):465–496, 1978.
- [22] Brian Day and Ross Street. Lax monoids, pseudo-operads, and convolution. In *In Diagrammatic Morphisms and Applications, volume 318 of Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 75–96, 2003.
- [23] AD Elmendorf, I Kriz, MA Mandell, and JP May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, volume 47. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.
- [24] John Francis and Dennis Gaitsgory. Chiral Koszul duality. *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 18:27–87, 2012.
- [25] Victor Ginzburg and Mikhail Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.*, 76(1):203–272, 10 1994.
- [26] Thomas G. Goodwillie. Calculus II: Analytic functors. *K-Theory*, 5(4):295–332, 1991/1992.
- [27] Thomas G. Goodwillie. Calculus III: Taylor series. *Geometry and Topology*, 7(2):645–711, 2003.
- [28] John E. Harper. Homotopy theory of modules over operads in symmetric spectra. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 9(3):1637–1680, Aug 2009.
- [29] John E. Harper. Bar constructions and Quillen homology of modules over operads. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(1):87–136, 2010.
- [30] Gijs Heuts. Lie algebras and v_n -periodic spaces, 2018.
- [31] Brenda Johnson. The derivatives of homotopy theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 347(4):1295–1321, 1995.
- [32] John R Klein and John Rognes. A chain rule in the calculus of homotopy functors. *Geometry and Topology*, 6(2):853–887, 2002.
- [33] Nicholas Kuhn. Morava K -theories and infinite loop spaces. In *Algebraic Topology (Arcata, CA, 1986)*, volume 1370 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, page 243–257. Springer, 1989.
- [34] Nicholas J Kuhn. Localization of André-Quillen-Goodwillie towers and the periodic homology of infinite loopspaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 201:318–378, 2006.
- [35] Nicholas J. Kuhn. A guide to telescopic functors. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 10(3):291–319, 2008.
- [36] James E. McClure and Jeffrey H. Smith. Cosimplicial objects and little n -cubes, i. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 126(5):1109–1153, 2004.
- [37] Daniel Quillen. Rational homotopy theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, 90(2):205–295, 1969.
- [38] Charles Rezk. *Spaces of algebra structures and cohomology of operads*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1996.
- [39] V. P. Snaith. A stable decomposition of $\Omega^n S^n X$. *J London Math. Soc.*, 7(2), 1974.
- [40] Sarah Yeakel. A monoidal model for multilinearization, 2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 W 18TH AVE, COLUMBUS, OH 43210-1174, USA

E-mail address: clark.1843@osu.edu