DADE GROUPS FOR FINITE GROUPS AND DIMENSION FUNCTIONS

MATTHEW GELVIN AND ERGÜN YALÇIN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and k an algebraically closed field of characteristic p>0. We define the notion of a Dade kG-module as a generalization of endo-permutation modules for p-groups. We show that under a suitable equivalence relation, the set of equivalence classes of Dade kG-modules forms a group under tensor product, and the group obtained this way is isomorphic to the Dade group D(G) defined by Lassueur. We also consider the subgroup $D^{\Omega}(G)$ of D(G) generated by relative syzygies Ω_X , where X is a finite G-set. If C(G,p) denotes the group of superclass functions defined on the p-subgroups of G, there are natural generators ω_X of C(G,p), and we prove the existence of a well-defined group homomorphism $\Psi_G: C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$ that sends ω_X to Ω_X . The main theorem of the paper is the verification that the subgroup of C(G,p) consisting of the dimension functions of k-orientable real representations of G lies in the kernel of Ψ_G .

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup S, and let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p>0. Throughout we assume that all kG-modules are finitely generated. A kG-module M is a permutation module if it has a G-invariant basis. A kG-module M is called an endo-permutation module if $\operatorname{End}(M)\cong M^*\otimes M$ is a permutation kG-module. Endo-permutation modules play an important role in representation theory, for example, they appear as sources of simple modules.

When G=S is a p-group, every permutation kS-module k[S/Q] formed by linearizing a transitive S-set is indecomposable. Hence a summand of a permutation module is also a permutation module. This together with other properties make it possible to define a group of endo-permutation modules. An endo-permutation module is said to be capped if it has a summand with vertex S. Two endo-permutation modules M and N are called compatible if $M \oplus N$ is also an endo-permutation module. Compatibility defines an equivalence relation on endo-permutation modules. The C-modules C-modules are the equivalence classes of capped endo-permutation C-modules and whose group operation is induced by tensor product, i.e., C-modules C-modules group of a C-group has been studied by many authors; a complete description in terms of the genetic sections of the group is given by Bouc in C-modules C-modules C-modules and C-modules genetic sections of the group is given by Bouc in C-modules C

When G is a finite group, the situation is more complicated since transitive permutation kG-modules need not be indecomposable. For finite groups it makes sense to extend the definition of endo-permutation kG-modules in the following way: A kG-module M is a p-permutation module if it is a summand of a permutation kG-module. This is equivalent

Date: August 4, 2020.

Key words and phrases. Dade group, Endo-permutation module, Burnside ring, Borel-Smith functions. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 19A22; Secondary: 20C20, 57S17.

to requiring that $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ be a permutation kS-module. A kG-module M is called an endo-p-permutation module if $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$ is a p-permutation module.

In [28], Urfer showed that the source of an indecomposable endo-p-permutation module M with vertex S is a capped endo-permutation kS-module and its equivalence class in D(S) is G-stable (see [28, Theorem 1.5]). Two endo-p-permutation modules are declared equivalent if their corresponding source modules represent the same class in D(S). Under this equivalence relation, the equivalence classes of capped endo-p-permutation modules have a natural group structure induced by the tensor product, which yields a group isomorphic to the subgroup of G-stable elements $D(S)^{G-st}$ in D(S). Unfortunately, this definition of equivalence is too coarse; many interesting endo-p-permutation modules are identified with each other. For example, if S is normal in G, then any two one-dimensional kG-representations with kernel S are equivalent.

Another approach to defining the Dade group of a finite group is given by Lassueur in [24]. There, one considers endo-p-permutation modules that are endotrivial relative to the family of non-Sylow p-subgroups of G. Such modules are called strongly capped. Every strongly capped kG-module M has a unique indecomposable summand, called the cap of M. Two strongly capped modules are declared equivalent if their caps are isomorphic. Lassueur defines the Dade group D(G) as the group whose elements are the equivalence classes of strongly capped endo-p-permutation kG-modules and whose group operation is induced by the tensor product (see [24, Cor.Def. 5.5]).

Lassueur's definition of the Dade group captures the differences between one dimensional representations when the Sylow p-subgroup S is normal in G. Moreover, the relationship between Lassueur's Dade group and Urfer's G-stable elements notion is completely understood: Let $\Upsilon(G) \leq D(G)$ denote the subgroup of equivalence classes of strongly capped indecomposable kG-modules corresponding to one-dimensional representations of $N_G(S)/S$ under the Green correspondence. Lassueur proves that there is a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \to \Upsilon(G) \to D(G) \to D(S)^{G-st} \to 0$$

where the second map in the sequence is given by restriction to the Dade group of S (see [24, Theorem 7.3]).

As a generalization of Lassueur's definition of strongly capped endo-p-permutation module, we define a notion of a Dade kG-module.

Definition 1.1. A kG-module M is a Dade kG-module if there is an integer $n \geq 0$ such

$$\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k^n \oplus W$$

for some p-permutation module W, all of whose indecomposable summands have vertices that are non-Sylow p-subgroups of G.

A Dade module is *capped* if it has a Sylow-vertex component, or equivalently if $n \ge 1$ in the above decomposition (see Lemma 2.6). Two Dade modules M and N are *compatible* if $M \oplus N$ is a Dade module, or equivalently if $M^* \otimes N$ is a Dade module. Being compatible defines an equivalence relation on the set of capped Dade modules, which we denote by $M \sim N$ (see Lemma 2.14). We show the following:

Theorem 1.2. Let D(G) denote the set of equivalence classes of capped Dade kG-modules under the equivalence relation defined above. Then the operation $[M] + [N] := [M \otimes N]$ defines an abelian group structure on D(G). Moreover, the group D(G) defined this way

is isomorphic to the Dade group defined by Lassueur in [24] using relative endotrivial modules.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in two parts, as Propositions 2.15 and 3.10. We also show that every capped Dade module has, up to isomorphism, a unique indecomposable summand with vertex S, called the cap of M (see Proposition 3.4). The cap of a Dade module is a strongly capped endotrivial module in the sense of Lassueur. In fact, an endo-p-permutation kG-module M is strongly capped if and only if M is a capped Dade module with a unique copy of its cap (see Proposition 3.8).

One important source of Dade modules are the kG-modules defined as relative syzygies. Given a G-set X, the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon: kX \to k$ is called the relative syzygy of X, and is denoted by $\Delta(X)$. Since the restriction of $\Delta(X)$ to S is a endopermutation module, $\Delta(X)$ is an endo-p-permutation module. Using arguments similar to those used in the p-group case, one can show that if X is a G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$, then $\Delta(X)$ is a capped Dade module, and hence defines a class $\Omega_X := [\Delta(X)]$ in D(G). We extend this definition to all G-sets by declaring $\Omega_X = 0$ whenever $X^S \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1.3. The subgroup of D(G) generated by the elements Ω_X as X ranges over all G-sets is called the *Dade group generated by relative syzygies*, and is denoted by $D^{\Omega}(G)$.

Many results known for relative syzygies over p-groups hold for relative syzygies over finite groups as well. We prove these results in Section 5. In particular, we show that $D^{\Omega}(G)$ is generated by relative syzygies of the form $\Omega_{G/P}$ where $P \leq G$ is a non-Sylow p-subgroup of G (see Proposition 5.14).

For a finite group G and a fixed prime p, we denote by \mathcal{F}_p the family of all p-subgroups in G. A function $f: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ that is constant on the G-conjugacy classes of subgroups in \mathcal{F}_p is called a *superclass function*. The set of superclass functions defined on \mathcal{F}_p forms a group under addition. We denote this group by C(G,p). For each G-set X, there is a superclass function ω_X defined by

$$\omega_X(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X^P \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There are relations among the superclass functions ω_X coming from the inclusion-exclusion principle. We prove that all relations satisfied by the generators ω_X in C(G, p) are already satisfied by the generators Ω_X of $D^{\Omega}(G)$. This gives us the following:

Theorem 1.4. There is a well-defined surjective group homomorphism

$$\Psi_G: C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$$

that sends ω_X to Ω_X for every G-set X.

We prove this theorem in Section 6. We call the homomorphism Ψ_G the Bouc homomorphism for G since it is a generalization of the homomorphism defined by Bouc in [8] for p-groups.

In the rest of the paper we focus on identifying the kernel of the homomorphism Ψ_G in terms of the subgroup of dimension functions of k-orientable real representations. The dimension function of a real representation V is the superclass function $\text{Dim}(V): C(G,p) \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$Dim(V)(P) = dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V^P)$$

for every p-subgroup $P \leq G$.

For a real G-representation V, let S(V) denote the unit sphere of V under a G-invariant norm. Then X := S(V) is a G-space, and for every p-subgroup $P \leq G$, the fixed point subspace $X^P = S(V^P)$ is homeomorphic to a sphere of dimension $\dim(V^P) - 1$.

Definition 1.5. A real G-representation V is called k-oriented if the $\overline{N}_G(P) = N_G(P)/P$ action on the reduced homology group $\widetilde{H}_*(S(V)^P, k) \cong k$ is trivial for every $P \in \mathcal{F}_p$. The
set of isomorphism classes of k-orientable real representations forms a group under direct
sum, which we denote by $R^+_{\mathbb{R}}(G, k)$.

There is a group homomorphism

$$Dim: R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G, k) \to C(G, p)$$

that takes a representation V to its dimension function. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. The image of the dimension function $Dim : R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G,k) \to C(G,p)$ lies in the kernel of Bouc homomorphism $\Psi_G : C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$.

We prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 8. The proof relies on topological methods, which require us to consider Moore G-spaces. A Moore G-space over k relative to the family \mathcal{F}_p is a G-CW-complex X such that for every $P \in \mathcal{F}_p$, the fixed-point subspace X^P is a Moore space over k, i.e., the reduced homology $\widetilde{H}_*(X^P;k)$ is nonzero only in a single dimension. The dimension function of a Moore G-space X is defined to be the superclass function $\operatorname{Dim}(X): C(G,p) \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$Dim(X)(P) = \dim(X^P) + 1$$

for every p-subgroup $P \in \mathcal{F}_p$.

If V is a k-oriented real G-representation, then the unit sphere X = S(V) can be triangulated to obtain a G-CW-complex, which is a Moore G-space whose homology is the trivial module k. Note that the dimension function of X = S(V) as a Moore G-space coincides with the algebraic dimension function Dim(V) defined before.

We show that the reduced homology of an n-dimensional Moore G-space X whose point-stabilizers are all non-Sylow p-subgroups is a capped Dade kG-module. Moreover, if X_i denotes the G-set of i-cells of X, then we have that the equality

$$[\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{X_i}$$

holds in $D^{\Omega}(G)$ (see Theorem 7.10). This gives the equality $[\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)] = \Psi_G(\text{Dim}(X))$ under some minor technical assumptions. This is analogous to the result proved in [31] for Moore S-spaces when S is a p-group.

We then consider Moore G-spaces whose isotropy subgroups are arbitrary, including the possibility that X^S is nonempty. If X is a Moore space such that X^S is m-dimensional, we say X is capped if the reduced homology module $\widetilde{H}_m(X^S;k)$ has the trivial module k as a summand. We prove in Theorem 8.4 that if X is a capped n-dimensional Moore G-space, then the reduced homology module $\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)$ has a summand M that is a Dade module whose class in D(G) is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{X_i} = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X))$. We then apply this result to a k-orientable real representation sphere X = S(V) and obtain that $\Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X)) = 0$ in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6 (see the end of Section 8).

In the last section of the paper, we study the subgroup of C(G,p) formed by dimension functions of k-orientable real representations of G. We show that these superclass functions are characterized by a set of conditions defined on certain subquotients of G. Some of these conditions come from the Borel-Smith conditions defined on p-subgroups of G. We denote the subgroup of C(G,p) satisfying the Borel-Smith conditions on the p-subgroups of G by $C_b(G,p)$ (see Definition 9.1). We also consider some additional conditions called the oriented Artin conditions similar to the conditions given by Bauer in [2] for finite groups (see Definition 9.6). We denote by $C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ the subgroup of C(G,p) satisfying both the Borel-Smith conditions and the oriented Artin conditions.

By modifying the arguments given by Bauer, we show that the image of the dimension function Dim : $R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G) \to C(G,p)$ is equal to the subgroup $C_{ba^+}(G,p)$. For p=2 the oriented Artin conditions always hold by trivial reasons, so in that case we have $C_b(G,p) = C_{ba^+}(G,p)$. This allows us to conclude the following:

Corollary 1.7. With the notation introduced above, we have

$$C_{ba^+}(G,p) \subseteq \ker \Psi_G \subseteq C_b(G,p).$$

Moreover, when p = 2, all the subgroups above are equal and we have a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \to C_b(G,2) \xrightarrow{j} C(G,2) \xrightarrow{\Psi_G} D^{\Omega}(G) \to 0.$$

It is an open question whether the equality $C_{ba^+}(G,p) = \ker \Psi_G$ also holds when p is odd. This question is related to the existence of well-defined restriction and deflation maps on $D^{\Omega}(-)$ that commute with the homomorphism $\Psi_{(-)}$. If such maps exist, then one can prove the equality $C_{ba^+}(G,p) = \ker \Psi_G$ using an inductive argument and by applying Lemma 9.11. Conversely, the existence of an exact sequence as the one given in Corollary 1.7 gives a biset functor structure to $D^{\Omega}(-)$, in a modified sense that allows only deflations with normal p-subgroups.

Acknowledgement: The second author is supported by a Tübitak 1001 project (grant no: 116F194).

Contents

Ι.	Introduction	_
2.	Dade modules	6
3.	Indecomposable Dade modules and Lassueur's Dade group	10
4.	Tensor induction of Dade modules	13
5.	The Dade group generated by relative syzygies	17
6.	Superclass functions and the Bouc homomorphism	24
7.	Moore G -spaces with non-Sylow p -subgroup isotropy	27
8.	Capped Moore G -spaces	32
9.	Oriented Artin-Borel-Smith functions	35
References		39

2. Dade modules

Throughout this paper, let G be a finite group and p a prime number, not necessarily dividing |G|. We write S for a Sylow p-subgroup of G and P, Q, \ldots for arbitrary p-subgroups. Let k be a fixed algebraically closed field of characteristic p.

Our aim in this section is to give the definition and basic properties of Dade kG-modules. We assume that all modules are finitely generated. For a kG-module M, we write M^* for the dual kG-module $\operatorname{Hom}_k(M,k)$. When N is a summand of M we use the standard notation $N \mid M$ to express this relation. An indecomposable direct summand of a kG-module M is called a *component* of M.

We recall the following notion: The kG-module M is called a permutation kG-module if M has a G-invariant k-basis X, i.e., $g \cdot X = X$ for all $g \in G$. Any permutation kG-module can be written

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{[H \le G]} c_H \cdot k[G/H], \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum is taken over the G-conjugacy classes of subgroups of G and each c_H is some non-negative integer.

If G = S is a p-group, Green's Indecomposability Criterion implies that a transitive permutation S-module is indecomposable (see [29, Cor 11.6.3]). Hence in this case the right hand side of Equation (2.1) is uniquely determined by the left. In particular, any direct summand of a permutation kS-module is also a permutation kS-module.

For arbitrary finite groups none of these properties needs to hold, so we turn to a more natural class of a module.

Definition 2.1. A kG-module M is called a p-permutation module if any of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (i) The restriction $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ is a permutation kS-module.
- (ii) M is a direct summand of a permutation module of the form

$$\bigoplus_{[P \le G]} c_P \cdot k[G/P],$$

where the sum is taken over the G-conjugacy classes of p-subgroups of G.

(iii) M is a direct summand of a permutation module.

The equivalence of the above conditions is easy to show. The main observation is that a kG-module M is a direct summand of the module $\operatorname{Ind}_S^G \operatorname{Res}_S^G M$, which gives the implication $(i) \implies (ii)$. The other implications are obvious (see [3, Lemma 3.11.2] for details).

Given an indecomposable kG-module M, there is a subgroup $H \leq G$ that is minimal with the property that M is a summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G \operatorname{Res}_H^G M$. Such a subgroup is called a vertex of M. It is easy to see that a vertex of M is a p-subgroup of G and that any two vertices are G-conjugate.

If M is an indecomposable kG-module with vertex P, then there is an indecomposable kP-module U such that M is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G U$. This module is unique up to isomorphism and conjugation by elements in $N_G(P)$, and is called the *source* of M (see [29, Thm 11.6.1]).

From Definition 2.1, it is easy to see that an indecomposable kG-module M is a p-permutation kG-module if and only if a vertex-source pair of M is of the form (P, k), so

that the source is the trivial kP-module. A kG-module is called a *trivial source module* if all of its components have a trivial source. A kG-module M is a p-permutation module if and only if it is a trivial source module.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a p-permutation kG-module. A component of M whose vertex is a Sylow p-subgroup of G is called a Sylow-vertex component of M. We call a p-permutation module Sylow-vertex trivial if all its Sylow-vertex components, should they exist, are isomorphic to k.

Note that a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation kG-module is isomorphic to a direct sum of the form $k^n \oplus W$, where $n \geq 0$ is a natural number and W is a p-permutation module whose components all have non-Sylow vertices. It is easy to see the following:

Lemma 2.3. The class of Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation kG-modules is closed under taking direct sums, direct summands, and tensor products.

We now expand from permutation and p-permutation kG modules to a larger class. Recall that the kG-module M is an endo-permutation kG-module if $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$ is a permutation kG-module via the natural diagonal action. As above, this definition really works best when G = S is a p-group, but it has the following natural extension: We say that M is an endo-p-permutation kG-module if $\operatorname{End}(M)$ is a p-permutation kG-module, or equivalently if $\operatorname{Res}_S^G(M)$ is an endo-permutation kS-module.

In this paper we consider endo-p-permutation kG-modules that satisfy an extra property. A kG-module M is called a $Dade\ kG$ -module if

$$\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k^n \oplus W$$

for some $n \geq 0$ and for some p-permutation module W, all of whose components have non-Sylow vertices (see Definition 1.1). In other words, a kG-module M is a Dade module if $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$ is a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation module. By definition a Dade module is an endo-p-permutation module, but not every endo-p-permutation module is a Dade module.

Example 2.4. Consider the symmetric group $G = \Sigma_3$ at the prime p = 3. The Sylow 3-subgroup S is isomorphic to C_3 , the cyclic group of order 3. Let M = k[G/S] be the permutation kG-module whose basis is the transitive G-set G/S. Then M is a p-permutation module, hence an endo-p-permutation module. However, M is not a Dade module since

$$\operatorname{End}(M) \cong M^* \otimes M \cong 2k[G/S] \cong 2k \oplus 2k(-1),$$

where k(-1) denotes the alternating representation of G. Note that the component k(-1) of End(M) has vertex S but is not trivial.

We also have a notion of a capped Dade module.

Definition 2.5. A Dade kG-module M is capped if it has a Sylow-vertex component.

There are equivalent ways to say a Dade module is capped.

Lemma 2.6. Let M be a Dade kG-module. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is capped, i.e., M has a component with vertex S.
- (ii) $\operatorname{Res}_{S}^{G} M$ is a capped endo-permutation kS-module.
- (iii) k is a summand of End(M).

Proof. If M has a component with vertex S, then $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ also has a component with vertex S, so $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ is a capped endo-permutation module. This gives $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$.

Now assume $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ is a capped endo-permutation kS-module. Then $\operatorname{Res}_S^G(\operatorname{End}(M)) = \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Res}_S^G M)$ has k as a summand (see [9, Lemma 12.2.6]). Since $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k^n \oplus W$ where W has no components with vertex S, we see that we must have $n \geq 1$ in order to have that k is a summand of $\operatorname{Res}_S^G(\operatorname{End}(M))$. This gives $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$.

To show the final implication $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$, observe that if all components of M have vertices strictly smaller than S, then all components of $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$ have vertices strictly smaller than S as well, so that k cannot be a summand of $\operatorname{End}(M)$.

An indecomposable summand of a capped Dade module M is called a cap of M. In Section 3 we show that the cap of a Dade kG-module M is unique up to isomorphism (Theorem 3.4), so we will write Cap(M) for the isomorphism class of the cap of M without confusion.

We note that the property of being a Dade module is detected on the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup.

Lemma 2.7. A kG-module M is a (capped) Dade module if and only if $\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G M$ is a (capped) Dade $kN_G(S)$ -module.

Proof. The "only if" assertion is trivial. For the other direction, assume that $\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G M$ is a Dade $kN_G(S)$ -module. Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S}^{G} M = \operatorname{Res}_{S}^{N_{G}(S)} \operatorname{Res}_{N_{G}(S)}^{G} M$$

is an endo-permutation kS-module, and hence M is an endo-p-permutation module. Let U be a Sylow-vertex component of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ (if there is any). Then $\mathrm{Res}_{N_G(S)}^GU$ is a Sylow-vertex component of

$$\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G(\operatorname{End}(M)) \cong \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G M) \cong k^n \oplus W,$$

where all the components of the $N_G(S)$ -module W have non-Sylow vertices. This gives that $\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G U \cong k$. By the Green correspondence, we obtain that $U \cong k$ as a kG-module. This proves that M is a Dade kG-module. \square

We now give a few examples of capped Dade modules.

Example 2.8. If G = S is a p-group, then the notions of endo-permutation kS-module and Dade kS-module coincide, and the adjective "capped" is consistent with this identification. More generally, Lemma 2.7 implies that if S is self-normalizing in G, then every endo-p-permutation kG-module is a Dade module.

Example 2.9. Every one-dimensional character $\chi: G \to k$ is a capped Dade kG-module, as $\operatorname{End}(\chi) \cong \chi^* \otimes \chi \cong k$. More generally, if M is an indecomposable kG-module whose Green correspondent is a one-dimensional $kN_G(S)$ -module, then by Lemma 2.7, M is a capped Dade module. The Dade modules obtained this way generate a subgroup of the Dade group denoted by $\Upsilon(G)$, which is equal to the kernel of the restriction map from the Dade group of G to the Dade group of S (see Theorem 4.8).

Example 2.10. Let X be a G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$, and let $\Delta(X)$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon : kX \to k$ defined by $\varepsilon(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\Delta(X)$ is a capped Dade module (see Proposition 5.5).

Example 2.11. A kG-module M is called endotrivial if $M^* \otimes M \cong k \oplus (proj)$. An endotrivial kG-module is a Dade module since a projective module is easily seen to be a p-permutation module. In particular, if M is a Sylow-trivial module in the sense of [19], i.e., if $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M \cong k \oplus (proj)$, then M is an endotrivial module, and hence also a Dade module (see [13, Thm 2.2]).

It is immediate that the class of Dade modules is closed under taking a direct summand and tensor products, although not in general under taking direct sums (just as the direct sum of two endo-permutation kS-modules need not be endo-permutation). We use this to define an equivalence relation on Dade modules.

Definition 2.12. The Dade kG-modules M and N are *compatible* if $M \oplus N$ is a Dade module.

There is a useful alternative way to define compatibility of Dade modules.

Lemma 2.13. The Dade kG-modules M and N are compatible if and only if $\text{Hom}(M, N) \cong M^* \otimes N$ is a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation module.

Proof. Dade's original argument [16, Proposition 2.3] applies here too. Consider the standard decomposition

$$\operatorname{End}(M \oplus N) \cong \operatorname{End}(M) \oplus \operatorname{End}(N) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(M,N) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(N,M)$$

of kG-modules, together with the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(N,M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(M,N)^*$. As the dual of a Sylow-vertex trivial module is Sylow-vertex trivial, the result is immediate. \square

The following is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.13.

Lemma 2.14. The compatibility relation is an equivalence relation on the set of capped Dade modules. We denote this relation by $M \sim N$.

Proof. The relation is reflexive by the definition of Dade module and Lemma 2.13. It is clearly symmetric as it is defined by a direct sum. For transitivity, assume that $M \sim N$ and $N \sim L$. Then

$$T := (M^* \otimes N) \otimes (N^* \otimes L)$$

is a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation module. Since N is capped, we have $N \otimes N^* \cong k^n \oplus W$ for some $n \geq 1$. This gives that $M^* \otimes L$ is a summand of T, hence the result follows from the fact that a summand of a Sylow-vertex trivial module is Sylow-vertex trivial.

Proposition 2.15. Let D(G) denote the set of equivalence classes of capped Dade kGmodules with respect to the compatibility relation. Then the operation $[M]+[N] := [M \otimes N]$ defines an abelian group structure on D(G).

Proof. It is clear that the tensor product of two capped Dade modules is a capped Dade module. For well-definedness we need to show that if $M \sim M'$ and $N \sim N'$, then $M \otimes N \sim M' \otimes N'$, which follows easily from the definition of compatibility. So the operation is well-defined and it is obviously commutative. The zero element is the equivalence class [k] of the trivial module. The inverse of [M] is $[M^*]$.

When we want to emphasize the field k, we write $D_k(G)$ for the Dade group. In the next section we show that the Dade group defined in Proposition 2.15 is isomorphic to the Dade group defined by Lassueur (see Proposition 3.10).

3. Indecomposable Dade modules and Lassueur's Dade group

We begin with a lemma due to Benson and Carlson:

Lemma 3.1 ([4, Theorem 2.1]). Let M and N be indecomposable kG-modules. The trivial module k is a component of $M \otimes N$ if and only if $M \cong N^*$ and $p \nmid \dim_k M$, in which case $M \otimes N$ contains exactly one copy of k.

We will also need another result due to Lassueur. Recall that for a fixed kG-module V, a kG-module M is called V-projective or projective relative to V, if there is a kG-module N such that $M \mid V \otimes N$.

Lemma 3.2 ([24, Lemma 5.1]). Let M be an indecomposable endo-p-permutation kGmodule with vertex S. Then

- (i) $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ is a capped endo-permutation kS-module. (ii) $p \nmid \dim_k M$.
- (iii) k is a summand of End(M) with multiplicity 1.

Proof. We give a self-contained proof here; more details can be found in [24, Lemma 5.1]. Let M be an indecomposable endo-p-permutation module with vertex S. Then $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ is an endo-permutation module that has a summand with vertex S, hence it is capped (see [9, Definition 12.2.5]). This proves (i). By Lemma 3.1, (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, so it remains to show that (ii) holds.

Let U be a component of $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$ with vertex S. By applying Higman's Criteria [3, Prop 3.6.4, we conclude that $\operatorname{End}(\tilde{U}) \cong U^* \otimes U$ has the trivial module k as a summand (see [9, Lemma 12.2.6]). This gives that k is projective relative to U, hence it is also projective relative to $\operatorname{Res}_S^G M$. Let N be a kS-module such that $k \mid N \otimes (\operatorname{Res}_S^G M)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ind}_S^G k \mid \operatorname{Ind}_S^G(N \otimes \operatorname{Res}_S^G M) \cong (\operatorname{Ind}_S^G N) \otimes M$$

by Frobenius Reciprocity. Since [G:S] is coprime to p, we have $k \mid \operatorname{Ind}_S^G k \cong k[G/S]$. Hence $k \mid (\operatorname{Ind}_S^G N) \otimes M$. This means that there is a component V of $\operatorname{Ind}_S^G N$ such that $k \mid V \otimes M$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to V and M, we conclude that $p \nmid \dim_k M$. This completes the proof.

It is interesting to ask whether every indecomposable endo-p-permutation module is a Dade module. The following example shows that the answer is "no" in general.

Example 3.3. Let $G = D_8$ be the dihedral group of order 8 and set Z = Z(G). Let p be an odd prime. Then S=1 and every kG-module is semisimple. Let M be the (unique) 2-dimensional irreducible kG-module. Then, M is an endo-p-permutation module because its restriction to S is a direct sum of trivial modules. However M is not a Dade module because $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k[G/Z]$ has a nontrivial Sylow-vertex summand.

More generally, if G is a finite group such that $S \subseteq G$ with G/S nonabelian, we can take M to be an irreducible G/S-representation of degree at least 2. Viewing M as a G-module by inflation, we have by construction that the S-action on M is trivial, and hence M is an endo-p-permutation kG-module. We similarly have that S acts trivially on End(M), so that all components of the endomorphism module have Sylow vertices. Schur's Lemma implies that $\operatorname{End}(M)$ contains a unique trivial summand, but as $\dim(\operatorname{End}(M)) > 1$ there must be a second nontrivial Sylow-vertex component. Thus M is not a Dade module.

We now prove the uniqueness of the cap of an endo-permutation kS-module by adapting Dade's original argument from [16, Theorem 3.8] to our situation.

Proposition 3.4. Let M be a capped Dade kG-module. If U and V are two Sylow-vertex components of M, then $U \cong V$.

Proof. Let U and V be two Sylow-vertex components of M. Consider the kG-module $\operatorname{Hom}(U,V)\cong U^*\otimes V$, which is a direct summand of $\operatorname{End}(M)$. If we can show that $\operatorname{Hom}(U,V)$ contains a Sylow-vertex component, then this component will also be a component of $\operatorname{End}(M)$, and thus isomorphic to the trivial kG-module k by the assumption that $\operatorname{End}(M)$ is Sylow-vertex trivial. Lemma 3.1 will then imply that $U^*\cong V^*$, and hence $U\cong V$, as desired.

Consider $U \otimes U^* \otimes V \cong \operatorname{End}(U) \otimes V$. Since U has vertex S, by Lemma 3.2 $\operatorname{End}(U)$ has the trivial module k as a component. Thus V is a Sylow-vertex component of $U \otimes (U^* \otimes V)$. As the vertices of the components of a tensor product of indecomposable kG-modules are contained in the intersection of the vertices of the modules being tensored, it follows that $U^* \otimes V$ has a Sylow-vertex component, and the result is proved.

As a consequence, every capped Dade kG-module M has a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable Sylow-vertex summand. As mentioned before, we call this summand the cap of M and denote it by Cap(M). We may then define the $Dade\ group$ of G, written D(G), to be the the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable capped Dade kG-modules with abelian group structure

$$[M] + [N] := [Cap(M \otimes N)].$$

Clearly the identity for this operation is [k], and the inverse of [M] is $[M^*]$. This definition gives the same Dade group as that defined in Theorem 1.2 because of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5.

- (i) Let U be a Sylow-vertex component of the Dade kG-module M. Then U is a capped Dade module and $U \sim M$.
- (ii) Let U and V be two capped indecomposable Dade kG-modules. Then $U \sim V$ if and only if $U \cong V$.

Proof.

- (i) Since $U^* \otimes U$ is a summand of $M^* \otimes M$, we conclude that U is a capped Dade module. Note that $U^* \otimes M$ is a summand of $M^* \otimes M$, hence $U^* \otimes M$ is a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation module. Hence by Lemma 2.13, U is compatible with M
- (ii) If U and V are compatible, then $U \oplus V$ is a Dade kG-module. Applying Proposition 3.4, we obtain that $U \cong V$. For the converse, observe that if $U \cong V$, then $U^* \otimes V \cong U^* \otimes U$ is a Sylow-vertex trivial p-permutation module. Hence $U \sim V$ by Lemma 2.13.

The isomorphism between these two definitions of the Dade group is given by

$$[M] \mapsto [Cap(M)],$$

where the brackets on the left indicate the equivalence class of the capped Dade module, while those on the right refer to the isomorphism class of the capped indecomposable Dade module.

We now discuss Lassueur's definition of the Dade group of a finite group, where the subject is approached using relative endotrivial modules (see [24, Cor.Def. 5.5]). If V is a kG-module such that the trivial module k is V-projective, then all kG-modules are V-projective. It is therefore most interesting to consider the case where k is not V-projective. If V has a summand V_i such that $p \nmid \dim_k V_i$, then $k \mid \operatorname{End}(V_i)$, and hence $k \mid \operatorname{End}(V) \cong V^* \otimes V$. Therefore if we want k not to be V-projective, we must have $p \mid \dim_k V_i$ for every component V_i of V (see [24, Prop 2.6]). A kG-module V satisfying this property is called absolutely p-divisible.

Definition 3.6. Let V be an absolutely p-divisible kG-module. A kG-module M is en-dotrivial relative to V, or simply V-endotrivial, if $\operatorname{End}(M)$ is isomorphic to $k \oplus W$ for some V-projective kG-module W. In this case we write $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k \oplus (V - proj)$.

Recall that a kG-module is called endotrivial if $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k \oplus W$ for W a projective kG-module. Hence an endotrivial module is a V-endotrivial module in the case where V = kG. Relative endotrivial modules have properties similar to endotrivial modules (see [24, Lemma 2.8]). In particular, given a V-endotrivial module M, there is a direct sum decomposition $M \cong M_0 \oplus (V - proj)$, where M_0 is the unique indecomposable summand of M that is V-endotrivial. This summand is called the cap of M.

Two V-endotrivial modules M and N are declared equivalent if their caps M_0 and N_0 are isomorphic. Lassueur defines the group $T_V(G)$ of V-endotrivial modules as the group of equivalence classes of V-endotrivial modules, with addition given by $[M] + [N] := [M \otimes N]$.

To define the Dade group of a finite group, Lassueur considers V-endotrivial modules for a special choice of permutation module V.

Definition 3.7. Let \mathcal{F}_G denote the set of all non-Sylow *p*-subgroups of G, and \mathcal{F}_G/G the G-conjugacy classes of subgroups in \mathcal{F}_G . The kG-module $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ is defined to be the permutation module

$$V(\mathcal{F}_G) := \bigoplus_{[Q] \in \mathcal{F}_G/G} k[G/Q].$$

It is easy to see that k is not $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ -projective since k has vertex S. In particular, $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ is absolutely p-divisible. Note that a module's being $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ -projective is equivalent to its not having any Sylow-vertex components. For $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ -endotrivial modules Lassueur proves the following result:

Proposition 3.8 ([24], Proposition 5.2). Let M be an endo-p-permutation module. The following are equivalent:

- (i) M is $V(\mathcal{F}_G)$ -endotrivial.
- (ii) Res_S^G M is $V(\mathcal{F}_S)$ -endotrivial.
- (iii) M has a unique indecomposable summand M_0 with vertex S.
- (iv) $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong k \oplus W$, where W is a p-permutation kG-module all of whose components have non-Sylow vertices.

Lassueur calls an endo-p-permutation module strongly capped if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.8. The unique indecomposable summand M_0 of M is called the cap of M. Similar to the V-endotrivial case, one defines $M \sim N$ if $M_0 \cong N_0$. Lassueur

defines the Dade group D(G) of a finite group G as the group of equivalence classes of strongly capped endo-p-permutation modules with addition given by $[M]+[N]:=[M\otimes N]$.

Lassueur's definitions are related to our notion of a Dade module by the following observation.

Lemma 3.9. The endo-p-permutation kG-module M is strongly capped if and only if it is a capped Dade module with a unique copy of its cap.

Proof. If M is strongly capped, then by Lemma 3.8(iv) M is a Dade module such that End(M) has a single copy of k. Note that if a Dade module has more than one copy of its cap, then End(M) has more than one copy of k as a direct summand. This shows that M must have only one copy of its cap.

For the other direction, observe that if M is an indecomposable Dade module with vertex S then by Lemma 3.2 the trivial module k has multiplicity one in $\operatorname{End}(M)$. Hence M is strongly capped. This shows that if M is a Dade module with a unique copy of its cap, then M is strongly capped.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.9 we can conclude the following:

Proposition 3.10. The Dade group D(G) of a finite group G defined in Theorem 1.2 is isomorphic to the Dade group defined by Lassueur in [24, Cor.Def. 5.5].

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.5 and the discussion thereafter. If we write D'(G) for Lassueur's Dade group, then there is a natural map $D'(G) \to D(G)$ that takes the equivalence class [M] of a strongly capped endo-p-permutation module M to its equivalence class [M] in D(G). In the other direction, we have $D(G) \to D'(G)$ defined by $[M] \mapsto [Cap(M)]$. It is easy to see by Lemma 3.5 that these maps are well-defined mutual inverses.

Remark 3.11. In [23], Lassueur also considers the possibility of defining the Dade group using endo-p-permutation modules that contain multiple copies of their caps. Such endo-p-permutation modules are termed weakly capped, however an independent condition for being weakly capped is not given. By Proposition 3.4, a Dade module is a weakly capped kG-module, so our definition of the Dade group D(G) coincides with $\widetilde{D}(G)$ defined on [23, pg. 92].

4. Tensor induction of Dade modules

As we have shown in the previous section, the notion of a Dade module is a slight generalization of Lassueur's "strongly capped" endo-p-permutation modules: A capped Dade module may contain multiple (isomorphic) caps, while a strongly capped endo-p-permutation module by definition has a unique Sylow-vertex component. Our relaxation was motivated by the fact that the class of strongly capped endo-p-permutation modules is not closed under tensor induction (see [24, Counterexample 6.3]). We show below that in the example considered by Lassueur, tensor induction of a particular strongly capped endo-p-permutation module does yield a Dade module, even though it is no longer strongly capped. However, we also give a different example to show that the class of Dade modules is not generally closed under tensor induction.

Recall that for a subgroup $H \leq G$, the tensor-induced kG-module $\operatorname{Ten}_H^G M$ of the kH-module M is defined analogously to the usual induction $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G M$, but with the direct sum

replaced by a tensor product:

$$\operatorname{Ind}_H^G M := \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} g \cdot M \qquad \text{vs.} \qquad \operatorname{Ten}_H^G M := \bigotimes_{gH \in G/H} g \cdot M.$$

The G-action on $\operatorname{Ten}_H^G M$ is induced by the embedding $G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{G/H} \wr H$. We refer the reader to [3, Section 3.15] for more details.

Let G be a finite group with $S \in Syl_p(G)$, and let Ω denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon: kS \to k$. By Alperin's theorem on relative syzygies [1], the kS-module Ω is an endo-permutation module. An easy calculation shows that

$$\operatorname{End}(\Omega) \cong \Omega^* \otimes \Omega \cong k \oplus m \cdot k[S/1]$$

as kS-modules, where m = |S| - 2. Since tensor induction commutes with tensor products we have

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega) \cong \operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\operatorname{End}(\Omega)) \cong \operatorname{Ten}_S^G(k \oplus m \cdot k[S/1]).$$

Let $X := [S/S] + m \cdot [S/1]$. We want to compute $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(kX)$.

For an S-set X, let $\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G(X)$ denote the G-set $\operatorname{Map}_S(G,X)$, where the G-action is given by the rule $g \cdot \varphi : g' \mapsto \varphi(g'g)$. This determines a functor $\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G : S\operatorname{-set} \to G\operatorname{-set}$, called multiplicative induction (see [30, Sect. 3]). From the definition of tensor induction, and by the fact that $kX \otimes kY \cong k[X \times Y]$, it is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(kX) \cong k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X]$$

(see [9, Example 12.4.10]). As $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G\Omega) \cong \operatorname{Ten}_S^G(kX) \cong k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^GX]$ is a permutation kG-module, $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\Omega)$ is endo-p-permutation. To see that $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\Omega)$ is a Dade kG-module, it remains to show that the Sylow-vertex components of its endomorphism module are trivial.

We can write

$${\rm Jnd}_S^GX=\sum_{[H\leq G]}n_H\cdot [G/H]$$
 for some natural numbers $n_H\geq 0.$ This gives the kG -module isomorphism

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega) \cong k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X] \cong \bigoplus_{[H \leq G]} n_H \cdot k[G/H].$$

Note that if T is a Sylow p-subgroup of H, then the summand k[G/H] is relatively Tprojective. From this we can conclude that the only components of $k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G(X)]$ that could possibly be Sylow-vertex are summands of k[G/H] where $p \nmid [G:H]$. This observation allows us to prove the following:

Lemma 4.1. With notation as above, if $O_p(G) \neq 1$ then $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega$ is a strongly capped Dade module.

Proof. For a G-set Y and a subgroup $K \leq G$, write $\mathfrak{f}_K(Y)$ for the order of the fixed-point set Y^K . Recall that Y is determined as a G-set by the values $\{\mathfrak{f}_K(Y)\}$ as K ranges over the G-conjugacy classes of subgroups of G. For multiplicative induction we have the following formula (see [30, Sect. 3]):

$$\mathfrak{f}_K(\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X) = \prod_{KgS \in K \setminus G/S} \mathfrak{f}_{K^g \cap S}(X). \tag{4.1}$$

Since $X = [S/S] + m \cdot [S/1]$, we have $\mathfrak{f}_{K^g \cap S}(X) = 1$ whenever $K^g \cap S \neq 1$. If K is a subgroup of G such that $p \nmid [G:K]$, then K must contain a Sylow p-subgroup of G, and hence K contains $O_p(G) \neq 1$. This implies that for every $g \in G$ we have $K^g \cap S \neq 1$, so $\mathfrak{f}_K(\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G(X)) = 1$ for every K with p'-index. It follows that $n_G = 1$ and $n_K = 0$ for all $K \leq G$ with p'-index. Thus $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega) \cong k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G(X)]$ has a unique Sylow-vertex component, which is k. The result is proved.

When $O_p(G) = 1$, the conclusion of Lemma 4.1 need not hold, as Lassueur illustrates in [24, Counterexample 6.3].

Example 4.2. Consider the group $G := C_7 \rtimes C_3$ at the prime p = 3. In this case $S = C_3$ and Ω is the unique 2-dimensional irreducible kS-module. By direct computation using the fixed-point formula of Equation 4.1, we can see that

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega) \cong k[G/G] \oplus 3 \cdot k[G/C_7] \oplus 15 \cdot k[G/C_3] \oplus 7 \cdot k[G/1].$$

Note that, as $\operatorname{Res}_S^G([G/S]) = [S/S] + 2 \cdot [S/1]$, we have $k[G/S] \cong k \oplus U$, where no component of U is Sylow-vertex. Lassueur concludes that in this case $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega$ is not strongly capped. However, it is also clear from the computation that $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G \Omega$ is a Dade module.

Unfortunately, the tensor induction $\operatorname{Ten}_H^G M$ of a Dade module M need not be a Dade module in general (even when H is of coprime index), as the following example shows:

Example 4.3. Let $G = \Sigma_4$ be the symmetric group on 4 letters, and take p = 3. Then $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ is again C_3 , the module Ω is a 2-dimensional kS-module, and $X \cong [S/S] + [S/1]$. In this case we have

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\Omega)) \cong k[G/G] \oplus 3 \cdot k[G/S_3] \oplus 6 \cdot k[G/S] \oplus \cdots$$

The coefficients above were computed using Equation 4.1 and the fixed-point values $\mathfrak{f}_G(X) = 1$, $\mathfrak{f}_{A_4}(X) = 1$, $\mathfrak{f}_{S_3}(X) = 4$, and $\mathfrak{f}_S(X) = 16$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{f}_{A_4}(\mathrm{Jnd}_S^G(X)) = \prod_{g \in A_4 \setminus S_4/S} \mathfrak{f}_{A_4^g \cap S}(X) = (\mathfrak{f}_S(X))^2 = 1$$

since $\mathfrak{f}_S(X) = 1$. We also have

$$\mathfrak{f}_S(\mathrm{Jnd}_S^G(X)) = \prod_{g \in S \setminus S_4/S} \mathfrak{f}_{S^g \cap S}(X) = (\mathfrak{f}_S(X))^2 (\mathfrak{f}_1(X))^2 = 16$$

since $\mathfrak{f}_S(X) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{f}_1(X) = 4$. The values of \mathfrak{f}_K for other subgroups are computed in a similar way.

The module k[G/S] contains a copy of the alternating representation. It follows that $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\Omega))$ has a non-trivial Sylow-vertex component, so $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G(\Omega)$ is not a Dade module.

On the positive side, for $G = \Sigma_3$, we do have $\operatorname{Ten}_{C_3}^G \Omega$ is a Dade module by Lemma 4.1. In this case we have

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_{C_3}^G\Omega) = \operatorname{Ten}_{C_3}^G(kX) = k \oplus 3k[G/C_2] \oplus k[G/1].$$

This is a special case of a more general observation that holds for finite groups with normal Sylow *p*-subgroup.

Lemma 4.4. Let G be a finite group such that $S \subseteq G$. Then for every indecomposable endo-permutation kS-module M with vertex S, the tensor-induced module $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G M$ is a capped Dade module.

Proof. Let X be the S-set such that $\operatorname{End}(M) \cong kX$. By the assumption that M has vertex S, we have

$$X = [S/S] + \sum_{[P < S]} n_P \cdot [S/P],$$

where the sum is taken over the S-conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of S. (The existence of the summand k = k[S/S] follows from [9, Lemma 12.2.6], its uniqueness from Lemma 3.1). As above we have

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G M) \cong \operatorname{Ten}_S^G(kX) \cong k[\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X],$$

so $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G M$ is an endo-p-permutation module. To find the components of $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Ten}_S^G M)$ with vertex S, we need to consider the orbits [G/K] of $\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X$ for those K satisfying $K \geq S$. Since $S \subseteq G$, we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_S(\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X) = \prod_{gS \in G/S} \mathfrak{f}_S(X) = 1.$$

Since $f_G(\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X) = 1$, we have [G/G] is an orbit in $\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G(X)$. Since $f_S(\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X) = 1$, the G-set $\operatorname{Jnd}_S^G X$ has no orbits [G/K] with $S \leq K < G$. Hence $\operatorname{Ten}_S^G M$ is a capped Dade module.

In certain circumstances, there is a restriction homomorphism between Dade groups.

Lemma 4.5. Let S be a Sylow p-subgroup of G, and let H be a subgroup of G containing S. Then the restriction of a capped Dade kG-module to H is a capped Dade kH-module. This induces a well-defined group homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}_H^G: D(G) \to D(H)$.

Proof. For every kG-module M, we have

$$\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Res}_H^GM) \cong \operatorname{Res}_H^G(\operatorname{End}(M)) \cong \operatorname{Res}_H^G(k^n \oplus W)$$

for some endo-p-permutation kG-module W whose components have vertices strictly smaller than S. Since S is also a Sylow p-subgroup of H, all the components of $\operatorname{Res}_H^G W$ have non-Sylow vertices, so $\operatorname{Res}_H^G M$ is a capped Dade kH-module.

The restriction homomorphism can be also described using strongly capped endo-p-permutation modules (see [24, Lemma 6.1]). In general the restriction map Res_H^G is not injective, but it is when H contains $N_G(S)$.

Proposition 4.6 (Lassueur [24], Lem. 6.1). Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup such that $N_G(S) \leq H$. Then the restriction map $\operatorname{Res}_H^G: D(G) \to D(H)$ is an injection.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{Res}_H^G[M_1] = \operatorname{Res}_H^G[M_2]$. Then $\operatorname{Res}_H^G(M_1 \oplus M_2)$ is a Dade kH-module. By Lemma 4.5, $\operatorname{Res}_{N_G(S)}^G(M_1 \oplus M_2)$ is also a Dade module. Applying Lemma 2.7 we obtain that $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is a Dade kG-module. We conclude that $[M_1] = [M_2]$.

The restriction map $\operatorname{Res}_H^G:D(G)\to D(H)$ is not defined for an arbitrary subgroup $H\leq G$. Even though the restriction of an endo-p-permutation module is always an endo-p-permutation module, the restriction Res_H^GM of a Dade kG-module M may not be a Dade kH-module, due to the Sylow-vertex triviality condition.

Example 4.7. Consider the group $G = \Sigma_3$ at the prime p = 3. Let $H = C_2$ and $\Delta(G/H)$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon : k[G/H] \to k$. By direct computation, one can see that the relative syzygy $\Delta(G/H)$ is a capped Dade module (or apply Proposition 5.5). Note that $\Delta(G/H)$ is an indecomposable kG-module because its restriction to S is indecomposable. Set $N := \operatorname{Res}_H^G(\Delta(G/H)) \cong k[H/1] \cong k \oplus k(-1)$, where k(-1) denotes the one-dimensional sign representation for H. Then N is not a Dade kH-module because $\operatorname{End}(N) \cong \operatorname{End}(k \oplus k(-1))$ has two Sylow-vertex components isomorphic to k(-1).

We end this section with a result by Lassueur on the structure of the Dade group D(G). Following Lassueur's notation, let $X(N_G(P))$ denote the group of one-dimensional representations of $N_G(S)$. We write $\Upsilon(G)$ for the subgroup of D(G) whose elements are the isomorphism classes of the kG-modules arising as the Green correspondents of modules in $X(N_G(S))$. It is shown in [24, Proposition 4.1] that such modules are indeed strongly capped endo-p-permutation modules.

Theorem 4.8 (Thm. 7.3, [24]). Let G be a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup S. Then there is an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \to \Upsilon(G) \longrightarrow D(G) \stackrel{\mathrm{Res}_S^G}{\longrightarrow} D(S)^{G\text{-}st} \to 0,$$

where $D(S)^{G-st}$ denotes the subgroup formed by G-stable elements in D(S) as defined in [28, Def. 1.4].

This is an important structural result for the Dade group of a finite group that makes computation possible in many cases. In particular, Theorem 4.8 shows that D(G) is a finitely generated abelian group, as both $\Upsilon(G)$ and $D(S)^{G-\text{st}}$ are finitely generated.

5. The Dade group generated by relative syzygies

In this section, we describe an important class of Dade modules that will be the focus of the remainder of the paper. For G an arbitrary finite group and X a non-empty finite G-set, let $\Delta(X)$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon: kX \to k$ defined by

$$\sum_{x \in X} c_x \cdot x \mapsto \sum_{x \in X} c_x.$$

The kG-module $\Delta(X)$ is called the *relative syzygy of X*. Throughout the paper we assume all G-sets are non-empty and finite.

When G = S is a p-group, Alperin showed in [1, Theorem 1] that $\Delta(X)$ is always an endo-permutation kS-module and it is capped if $|X^S| \neq 1$. For an S-set X with $|X^S| \neq 1$, we define the element $\Omega_X := [\Delta(X)] \in D(S)$. When $|X^S| = 1$, we declare that $\Omega_X = 0$. The set of elements $\{\Omega_X\}$, as X runs over all finite S-sets, generates a subgroup $D^{\Omega}(S)$ of the Dade group D(S), called the Dade group generated by relative syzygies or the group of relative syzygies. This group plays an important role in the calculation of the full Dade group for p-groups.

Our goal in this section is to show that Alperin's construction works in essentially the same way to give rise to elements of D(G) when G is an arbitrary finite group. We follow the arguments of [6], which actually do the vast majority of the work for us. Some of the results in this section also appear in [22] and [24] in different forms, using the language of relative endotrivial modules. We begin by recalling the definition of X-split sequences.

Definition 5.1. ([6, Def. 2.1.1]) Let X be a finite G-set. An exact sequence of kG-modules $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ is called X-split if it splits after tensoring with kX, i.e., $0 \to L \otimes kX \to M \otimes kX \to N \otimes kX \to 0$ is a split-exact sequence of kG-modules.

There is also a notion of relative X-projectivity defined using a list of equivalent conditions.

Definition 5.2. ([6, Def. 2.2.1]) Let X be a finite G-set. A kG-module M is called *relatively* X-projective if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

- (i) M is a direct summand of $kX \otimes N$ for some kG-module N.
- (ii) The map $kX \otimes M \to M$ defined by $x \otimes m \mapsto m$ is a split epimorphism of kG-modules.
- (iii) The lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{f} & & M \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
L & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

has a solution whenever $\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{kX} : L \otimes kX \to N \otimes kX$ is a split epimorphism of kG-modules.

These conditions are indeed equivalent, as can be seen by using arguments similar to the standard ones given for projective kG-modules (see [3, Sect. 3.6]).

We now state a relative version of Schanuel's Lemma ([6, Proposition 2.3.1]):

Lemma 5.3 (Relative Schanuel's Lemma). For a finite G-set X, suppose that

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$0 \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

are two X-split short exact sequences of kG-modules, where M and M' are both relatively X-projective. Then $M \oplus L' \cong M' \oplus L$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the well-known version of Schanuel's lemma. See [3, Lemmas 3.9.1 and 1.5.3].

We have the following technical result.

Lemma 5.4. Let X be a finite G-set and let $\Delta(X)$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $\varepsilon: kX \to k$. Then there is an isomorphism of kG-modules

$$\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X)) \oplus kX \oplus kX \cong k \oplus k[X \times X].$$

Proof. In the case that G = S is a p-group, this result is due to Alperin [1, Theorem 1]. His proof also works for finite groups. Here we give a proof based on an argument due to Bouc [6, Lemma 2.3.3] that uses the Relative Schanuel's Lemma.

We begin with the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \to kX \to k \to 0$$
,

which we claim to be X-split. Indeed, after tensoring with kX we have

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \otimes kX \to kX \otimes kX \to kX \to 0$$

where the last map is given by $x' \otimes x \mapsto x$. Clearly $kX \to kX \otimes kX : x \mapsto x \otimes x$ defines a splitting, as desired. It is easy to see that the dual sequence

$$0 \to k \to kX \to \Delta(X)^* \to 0$$

is X-split as well, using $(kX)^* \cong kX$. Note that this implies that

$$kX \otimes kX \cong (\Delta(X)^* \otimes kX) \oplus kX.$$

On the other hand, starting from our original exact sequence, if we tensor with $\Delta(X)^*$ we obtain

$$0 \to \operatorname{End}(\Delta(X)) \to kX \otimes \Delta(X)^* \to \Delta(X)^* \to 0.$$

As an X-split exact sequence clearly remains X-split after tensoring with a kG-module, this second sequence is X-split. Finally, noting that both kX and $kX \otimes \Delta(X)^*$ are relatively X-projective by definition, the Relative Schanuel's Lemma gives

$$\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X)) \oplus kX \cong k \oplus (kX \otimes \Delta(X)^*).$$

Adding kX to both sides, the isomorphism $kX \otimes kX \cong (kX \otimes \Delta(X)^*) \oplus kX$ noted above yields

$$\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X)) \oplus kX \oplus kX \cong k \oplus (kX \otimes kX),$$

from which the desired isomorphism is obtained using $kX \otimes kX \cong k[X \times X]$.

We can now prove the first main result of this section.

Proposition 5.5. Let X be a finite G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$. Then $\Delta(X)$ is a capped Dade kG-module.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, there is an isomorphism of kG-modules

$$\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X)) \oplus kX \oplus kX \cong k \oplus k[X \times X].$$

This shows that $\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X))$ is a summand of a permutation module, and hence it is an p-permutation module by Definition 2.1. Note that since $X^S = \emptyset$, the product $X \times X$ has no S-fixed-points. This gives that the right hand side of the above isomorphism has a unique Sylow-vertex component, namely the trivial module k. Since kX has no Sylow-vertex components, it follows that k must be a summand of $\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X))$ and that $\operatorname{End}(\Delta(X))$ has no other Sylow-vertex components. As this is precisely the definition of a capped Dade module, the result is proved.

The condition that $X^S = \emptyset$ is essential for the conclusion of Proposition 5.5.

Example 5.6. Suppose that $S \triangleleft G$, so that G/S is a nontrivial p'-group. Assume moreover that G/S is abelian. As before, let X(G) denote the group of 1-dimensional characters $\chi: G \to k^{\times}$. It is easy to see that

$$k[G/S] \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in X(G)} \chi,$$
 so $\Delta(G/S) \cong \bigoplus_{1 \neq \chi \in X(G)} \chi,$

where 1 denotes the trivial character. It follows that

$$\operatorname{End}(\Delta(G/S)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi, \psi \in X(G) \\ \chi \neq 1 \neq \psi}} \chi^* \otimes \psi.$$

In particular, so long as |G/S| > 2, at least one of these terms is a 1-dimensional (hence Sylow-vertex) nontrivial kG-module, so $\Delta(G/S)$ is not a Dade module.

Definition 5.7. If X is a finite G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$, then we write Ω_X for the class $[\Delta(X)] \in D(G)$. The group of relative syzygies of G is the subgroup $D^{\Omega}(G) \leq D(G)$ generated by the set $\{\Omega_X\}$ as X runs over all G-sets satisfying $X^S = \emptyset$.

As noted above, allowing only the relative syzygies $\Delta(X)$ with $X^S = \emptyset$ as generators for $D^{\Omega}(G)$ is a necessary condition, however this makes it difficult to capture the elements in $\Upsilon(G)$ (cf. Theorem 4.8) as elements in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. For example, when the order of G is coprime to p, then by definition $D^{\Omega}(G) = 0$ even though there may be many one-dimensional representations giving nonzero elements of $\Upsilon(G)$. However it is still possible to produce some elements in $\Upsilon(G)$ using relative syzygies with the condition $X^S = \emptyset$, as shown in the following example, so in general $\Upsilon(G) \cap D^{\Omega}(G) \neq 0$.

Example 5.8. Consider the group $G = \Sigma_3$ at the prime p = 3. We have $G = \langle \sigma := (123), \tau := (12) \rangle$, so that any kG-module is determined by a pair of compatible actions of σ and τ . Write $S := \langle \sigma \rangle \cong C_3$ and $H := \langle \tau \rangle \cong C_2$.

We consider the kG-module $M := \Delta(G/H) = \ker(k[G/H] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} k)$. With respect to the basis $\{x := \sigma H - H, y := \sigma^2 H - H\}$ for $\Delta(G/H)$, the generators of G act as

$$\sigma \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\tau \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

We are interested in computing the element $2[M] = [M \otimes M]$ in D(G). With respect to the basis

$$\{\alpha := x \otimes x, \ \beta := x \otimes y, \ \gamma := y \otimes x, \ \delta := y \otimes y\},$$

the action of G on $M \otimes M$ is given by the matrices

$$\sigma \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we now change our basis to the ordered basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, where

$$v_1 := \beta - \gamma$$
, $v_2 := \alpha - \beta - \gamma + \delta$, $v_3 := \delta$, and $v_4 := \alpha$,

then the matrices with respect to this new basis are

$$\sigma \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \leadsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

hence we conclude that $M \otimes M \cong \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \langle v_2, v_3, v_3 \rangle$. The one-dimensional summand $\langle v_1 \rangle$ is the sign representation k(-1) of $G = \Sigma_3$, and the 3-dimensional summand is isomorphic to the permutation module k[G/H]. It follows that $2 \cdot [M] = [k(-1)] \in D(G)$, or that [M] has order 4 in the Dade group of Σ_3 . The computation $2 \cdot [M] = k(-1)$ shows that the group $\Upsilon^{\Omega}(G) := \Upsilon(G) \cap D^{\Omega}(G)$ is not trivial when $G = \Sigma_3$.

By Theorem 4.8, the Dade group D(G) is finitely generated, hence $D^{\Omega}(G)$ is also finitely generated. As there are infinitely many G-sets X satisfying $X^S = \emptyset$, there must be many relations among the relative syzygies $\{\Omega_X\}$. In particular the assignment $X \to \Omega_X$ is not a one-to-one correspondence.

In the rest of the section we prove a sequence of lemmas, each of which is designed to impose relations on the generating set $\{\Omega_X\}$. At the end of the section we use these

relations to prove that $D^{\Omega}(G)$ has an explicit finite generating set, namely those $\Omega_{G/P}$ where P is a non-Sylow p-subgroup of G (Proposition 5.14).

Lemma 5.9. Let X and Y be G-sets such that $X^S = Y^S = \emptyset$. If for every p-subgroup $P \leq G$, the fixed-point set $X^P \neq \emptyset$ precisely when $Y^P \neq \emptyset$, then $\Omega_X = \Omega_Y$ in D(G).

Proof. The argument given in [6, Lem. 3.2.7] also applies here. The condition implies that there exist S-maps $f: X \to Y$ and $f': Y \to X$. Note that a sequence of kG-modules splits if and only if its restriction splits as a sequence of kS-modules, since a splitting of kS-modules can be averaged to get a splitting of kG-modules. This implies that a sequence of kG-modules is kX-split if and only if its restriction of S is $k[\operatorname{Res}_S^G X]$ -split.

Consider the sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(Y) \to kY \to k \to 0. \tag{5.1}$$

This sequence is Y-split, hence its restriction to S is $k[\operatorname{Res}_S^G Y]$ -split. Since there is a S-map $f: X \to Y$, by [6, Cor. 2.1.5] the restricted kS-module sequence is also $\operatorname{Res}_S^G X$ -split. This gives that the sequence in (5.1) is also X-split

By definition kY is Y-projective, so $k[\operatorname{Res}_S^G Y]$ is $\operatorname{Res}_S^G Y$ -projective as a kS-module. Since there is an S-map $f': Y \to X$, by [6, Cor. 2.2.4] we can conclude that $k[\operatorname{Res}_S^G Y]$ is also $\operatorname{Res}_S^G X$ -projective. This implies that kY is also X-projective, as one can easily see using part (iii) of Definition 5.2.

We have shown that the sequence in (5.1) is X-split with X-projective middle term. Note that the sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \to kX \to k \to 0$$

is also X-split and the middle term kX is X-projective. Applying the Relative Schanuel's Lemma to these two sequences, we obtain

$$\Delta(X) \oplus kY \cong \Delta(Y) \oplus kX.$$

Since $X^S = Y^S = \emptyset$, both $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta(Y)$ are capped Dade kG-modules. From the isomorphism above and by the uniqueness of caps proved in Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\Omega_X = [\Delta(X)] = [Cap(\Delta(X))] = [Cap(\Delta(Y))] = [\Delta(X)] = \Omega_Y,$$

and the result is proved.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.9.

Corollary 5.10. Let X be a G-set with isotropy in \mathcal{F}_G . If there is a decomposition $X = X_0 \coprod X_1$ such that there is an S-map $X_0 \to X_1$, then $\Omega_X = \Omega_{X_1}$.

This shows that we may delete any G-orbit that maps into a distinct orbit without affecting the resulting element in the group of relative syzygies. Another consequence of Lemma 5.9 is the following.

Corollary 5.11. Let X be a finite G-set such that $X^S \neq \emptyset$, and let Y be the G-set obtained by replacing each orbit [G/H] of X by [G/T] for some $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$. Then $\Omega_X = \Omega_Y$ in D(G). In particular, if $H \leq G$ is a subgroup with $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$ such that $T \notin \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$, then $\Omega_{G/H} = \Omega_{G/T}$ in D(G).

Corollary 5.11 allows us to restrict our attention to p-subgroup isotropy G-sets when considering the generators $\{\Omega_X\}$. These two corollaries are used in the proof of Proposition 5.14 to give a generating set for $D^{\Omega}(G)$.

The following is the analogue of [6, Lem. 3.2.8]. A similar result for strongly capped endo-p-permutation modules was also proven by Lassueur (see [24, Lemma 5.7]).

Lemma 5.12. Let X be a G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$, and let $0 \to L \to kX \to N \to 0$ be an X-split short exact sequence of kG-modules. Then L is a capped Dade module if and only if N is a capped Dade module, in which case $[L] = \Omega_X + [N]$ in D(G).

Proof. Tensoring the short exact sequence with L^* yields

$$0 \to L \otimes L^* \to kX \otimes L^* \to N \otimes L^* \to 0$$
,

while first dualizing and then tensoring with N yields

$$0 \to N^* \otimes N \to kX \otimes N \to L^* \otimes N \to 0.$$

Both of these sequences are X-split and have X-projective middle term, so we may apply the Relative Schanuel's Lemma to obtain

$$\operatorname{End}(L) \oplus (kX \otimes N) \cong \operatorname{End}(N) \oplus (kX \otimes L^*).$$

The dual of the original sequence $0 \to N^* \to kX \to L^* \to 0$ is X-split, which gives $\operatorname{End}(kX) \cong kX \otimes kX \cong (kX \otimes N^*) \oplus (kX \otimes L^*)$. Thus adding $kX \otimes N^*$ to both sides of the above isomorphism yields

$$\operatorname{End}(L) \oplus (kX \otimes N) \oplus (kX \otimes N^*) \cong \operatorname{End}(N) \oplus \operatorname{End}(kX).$$

If N is a Dade module, then $\operatorname{End}(N)$ is p-permutation module, so our observation that $\operatorname{End}(L) \mid (\operatorname{End}(N) \oplus \operatorname{End}(kX))$ shows that $\operatorname{End}(L)$ is also a p-permutation module. Moreover, as $X^S = \emptyset$, every component of $\operatorname{End}(kX) \cong (kX)^* \otimes kX \cong k[X \times X]$ has a non-Sylow vertex, so the only Sylow-vertex components of the right hand side are those of $\operatorname{End}(N)$, which must be trivial as N is a Dade module. It follows that the only Sylow-vertex components of $\operatorname{End}(L)$ must be trivial as well, so L is a Dade module.

If N is a capped Dade module, then k is a component of $\operatorname{End}(N)$. Note that k cannot be a component of either $kX \otimes N^*$ or $kX \otimes N$ since these modules have components with non-Sylow vertices. This implies that k is a summand of $\operatorname{End}(L)$, and hence L must also be capped. The other direction of the statement can be proved in a similar way.

Assume now that both L and N are capped Dade kG-modules. The exact sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \to kX \to k \to 0$$

is X-split, and it remains X-split after tensoring with N, so we may apply the Relative Schanuel's Lemma to the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow kX \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Delta(X) \otimes N \longrightarrow kX \otimes N \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

to obtain

$$L \oplus (kX \otimes N) \cong kX \oplus (\Delta(X) \otimes N).$$

Again, all components of kX, and hence $kX \otimes N$, have non-Sylow vertices, so $Cap(L) = Cap(\Delta(X) \otimes N)$, proving the last statement.

Continuing to follow Bouc (cf. [6, Lem. 5.2.1]), we have the following:

Lemma 5.13. If X and Y are G-sets such that $X^S = Y^S = \emptyset$, then

$$\Omega_{XIIY} + \Omega_{X \times Y} = \Omega_X + \Omega_Y$$

in D(G).

Proof. In light of Lemma 5.12, it suffices to find an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \otimes \Delta(Y) \to k[X \times Y] \to \Delta(X \coprod Y) \to 0$$

that is $k(X \times Y)$ -split. If we take the tensor product of the short exact sequences

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \to kX \to k \to 0 \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$0 \to \Delta(Y) \to kY \to k \to 0, \tag{5.3}$$

then we obtain the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \otimes \Delta(Y) \to kX \otimes kY \to kX \oplus kY \to k \to 0. \tag{5.4}$$

We claim that this long exact sequence is $X \times Y$ -split, meaning that it becomes a split sequence (as a long exact sequence) after it is tensored with $k[X \times Y]$. To see this, note that the short exact sequences (5.2) and (5.3) are X-split and Y-split, respectively, so their tensor product becomes a split exact sequence after we tensor with $kX \otimes kY$. This gives that the long exact sequence (5.4) is $X \times Y$ -split since $k[X \times Y] \cong kX \otimes kY$.

Note that $kX \oplus kY \cong k[X \coprod Y]$, hence the kernel of the map $kX \oplus kY \to k$ is isomorphic to $\Delta(X \coprod Y)$. Using the exactness of the sequence (5.4), we conclude that

$$0 \to \Delta(X) \otimes \Delta(Y) \to kX \otimes kY \to \Delta(X \coprod Y) \to 0$$

is exact. This gives the desired short exact sequence.

We are now ready to give a finite set of generators for $D^{\Omega}(G)$. A similar theorem was proved by Lassueur [24, Lem. 12.1]. Since we define $D^{\Omega}(G)$ using G-sets, the statements are slightly different, although the idea of the proof is the same.

Proposition 5.14. Let \mathcal{F}_G denote the family of all non-Sylow p-subgroups of G and let \mathcal{F}_G/G denote the set of G-conjugacy classes in \mathcal{F}_G . Then the set $\{\Omega_{G/P} \mid [P] \in \mathcal{F}_G/G\}$ generates $D^{\Omega}(G)$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.11, we may assume that each point-stabilizer of X is a non-Sylow p-subgroup of G. If $|S| = p^n$, we filter $D^{\Omega}(G)$ by

$$0 := D^{\Omega}(G)_{-1} \le D^{\Omega}(G)_0 \le D^{\Omega}(G)_1 \le \dots \le D^{\Omega}(G)_{n-1} = D^{\Omega}(G),$$

where for $0 \le i \le n-1$ we define $D^{\Omega}(G)_i$ to be the subgroup generated by those relative syzygies Ω_X for X a G-set whose every point-stabilizer is a p-subgroup of order at most p^i . We will prove inductively that each $D^{\Omega}(G)_i$ is generated by elements of the form $\Omega_{G/P}$ with $P \in \mathcal{F}_G$.

To begin, the generating set for $D^{\Omega}(G)_0$ is those relative syzygies Ω_X where $X \cong m \cdot [G/1]$ is a free G-set. Corollary 5.10 then gives $X = \Omega_{G/1}$. Thus we have established the base case.

Suppose that we have established the result for k-1. Let

$$X = \coprod_{i=1}^{m} G/P_i$$

be such that for each i, the p-subgroup of P_i is of order at most p^k . By Corollary 5.10, we may assume that there are no S-maps from G/P_i to G/P_j for $i \neq j$, as deleting the orbit G/P_i does not affect the resulting relative syzygy in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. We now induct on m, the base case m = 1 being the desired conclusion.

Write
$$X=(G/P_1)$$
 II X' where $X'=\coprod_{i=2}^m G/P_i$. Lemma 5.13 gives us
$$\Omega_X=\Omega_{[(G/P_1)\amalg X']}=\Omega_{G/P_1}+\Omega_{X'}-\Omega_{[(G/P_1)\times X']}.$$

By the second induction (on m), we have that $\Omega_{X'}$ is a sum of $\{\Omega_{G/Q_j}\}$ for some p-subgroups Q_j . It therefore suffices to show that $\Omega_{[(G/P_1)\times X']}$ can also be expressed as a sum of Ω_{G/Q_j} for some p-subgroups Q_j .

Consider the point-stabilizer of an element in $(G/P_1) \times X'$, which is the intersection of the point-stabilizers of the two component elements. In other words, the point-stabilizer of (gP_1, x') is ${}^gP_1 \cap G_{x'}$. From our assumption that there are no S-maps from G/P_i to G/P_j when $i \neq j$, we must have that P_1 is not G-subconjugate to any of the point-stabilizers of X'. Therefore the point-stabilizers of $(G/P_1) \times X'$ are all properly contained in conjugates of P_1 , and thus have order at most p^{k-1} . This gives that $\Omega_{[(G/P_1) \times X']} \in D^{\Omega}(G)_{k-1}$, and our inductive assumption on k completes the proof.

6. Superclass functions and the Bouc homomorphism

Let G be a finite group. A set of subgroups of G is called a *family* if it is closed under G-conjugation and taking subgroups. We denote an arbitrary family of subgroups by \mathcal{H} . As before, \mathcal{F}_G denotes the family of all non-Sylow p-subgroups of G, and \mathcal{F}_p denotes the family of all p-subgroups of G.

Definition 6.1. A superclass function of G defined on a family \mathcal{H} is a function $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{Z}$ that is constant on each G-conjugacy class. We write $C(G, \mathcal{H})$ for the set of superclass functions defined on \mathcal{H} . Note that $C(G, \mathcal{H})$ is an abelian group under pointwise addition.

Remark 6.2. For every family \mathcal{H} of subgroups of G, the relative Burnside ring $B(G,\mathcal{H})$ is defined as the subring of the Burnside ring generated by those G-sets whose point-stabilizers lie in \mathcal{H} . The group of superclass functions $C(G,\mathcal{H})$ can be identified with the \mathbb{Z} -dual of the relative Burnside ring $B^{\vee}(G,\mathcal{H}) := \text{Hom}(B(G,\mathcal{H}),\mathbb{Z})$ by the assignment

$$B^{\vee}(G,\mathcal{H}) \to C(G,\mathcal{H}): f \mapsto (H \mapsto f(G/H))$$

for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$. We sometimes use this identification without referring to it explicitly.

Let \mathcal{H}/G denote the set of G-conjugacy classes of subgroups in \mathcal{H} . There is a canonical \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\delta_{[H]} \mid [H] \in \mathcal{H}/G\}$ for $C(G,\mathcal{H})$, whose elements are defined by

$$\delta_{[H]}(K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [K] = [H] \\ 0 & \text{if } [K] \neq [H] \end{cases}$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{H}$.

For every G-set X, let $\omega_X \in C(G, \mathcal{H})$ denote the superclass function defined by

$$\omega_X(K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X^K \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } X^K = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{H}$. We have the following observation due to Bouc [8, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 6.3. Let \mathcal{H} be any family of subgroups in G. Then the set $\{\omega_{G/H} \mid [H] \in \mathcal{H}/G\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $C(G,\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, we can write

$$\omega_{G/H} = \sum_{[K] \le [H]} \delta_{[K]},$$

where the partial order is induced by G-subconjugacy. By totally ordering \mathcal{H}/G appropriately, it is easy to see that the matrix for the linear transformation that takes $\{\delta_{[K]}\}$ to $\{\omega_{G/H}\}$ can be made an upper triangular matrix with integral entries and all diagonal terms equal to 1. The inverse matrix must therefore be an integer matrix of the same form, and the result follows.

It is easy to see that the superclass functions $\{\omega_X\}$, as X ranges over all G-sets, satisfy the following relations:

Lemma 6.4. Let X and Y be G-sets. If for every subgroup $H \in \mathcal{H}$, the fixed point set $X^H \neq \emptyset$ precisely when $Y^H \neq \emptyset$, then $\omega_X = \omega_Y$ in $C(G, \mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Immediate from the definitions of ω_X and ω_Y .

We also have a relation coming from a product of two G-sets.

Lemma 6.5. If X and Y are two G-sets, then

$$\omega_{XIIY} + \omega_{X\times Y} = \omega_X + \omega_Y$$

in $C(G,\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Fix some subgroup $H \in \mathcal{H}$. There are three cases to consider: both X and Y have an H-fixed-point, exactly one has a H-fixed-point, and neither has a H-fixed-point. Since $(X \times Y)^H = X^H \times Y^H$ and $(X \coprod Y)^H = X^H \coprod Y^H$, these cases correspond respectively to: both $X \times Y$ and $X \coprod Y$ have an H-fixed-point, $X \times Y$ has no H-fixed-point but $X \coprod Y$ does, and neither $X \times Y$ nor $X \coprod Y$ has an H-fixed-point. The result is now immediate. \square

Note that the conclusions of Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 are the direct analogues of Lemmas 5.9 and 5.13, with ω_X in place of Ω_X . As before (cf. Corollaries 5.10 and 5.11), Lemma 6.4 has the following consequences:

Corollary 6.6. Let \mathcal{H} be a family of p-subgroups of G and X a finite G-set.

- (i) If there is a decomposition $X \cong X_0 \coprod X_1$ such that there is an S-map $X_0 \to X_1$, then $\omega_X = \omega_{X_1}$ in $C(G, \mathcal{H})$.
- (ii) If Y is formed from X by replacing each orbit [G/H] by [G/T] for $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$, then $\omega_X = \omega_Y$ in $C(G, \mathcal{H})$. In particular, for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$, we have $\omega_{G/H} = \omega_{G/T}$ in $C(G, \mathcal{H})$.

The assignment $\omega_{G/P} \mapsto \Omega_{G/P}$ induces the group homomorphism

$$\overline{\Psi}_G: C(G, \mathcal{F}_G) \to D^{\Omega}(G).$$

As $\{\omega_{G/P} \mid [P] \in \mathcal{F}_p/G\}$ forms a free \mathbb{Z} -basis for $C(G, \mathcal{F}_p)$, this rule gives a well-defined map, and since $\{\Omega_{G/P} \mid [P] \in \mathcal{F}_G/G\}$ forms a (nonfree) generating set of $D^{\Omega}(G)$ by

Proposition 5.14, $\overline{\Psi}_G$ is a surjection. To extend this homomorphism to C(G, p) we declare $\Omega_{G/S} = 0$ and define

$$\Psi_G: C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$$

to be the homomorphism that sends $\omega_{G/P}$ to $\Omega_{G/P}$ for every $[P] \in \mathcal{F}_p/G$. This homomorphism is still well-defined and surjective. Since Ψ_G is a generalization of the homomorphism defined for p-groups by Bouc in [8, Theorem 1.7], we refer to it as the *Bouc homomorphism*.

The declaration of $\Omega_{G/S}=0$ suggests that we can extend the definition of Ω_X to arbitrary G-sets X as follows:

Definition 6.7. For any G-set X, set

$$\Omega_X = \begin{cases} [\Delta(X)] & \text{if } X^S = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } X^S \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Note that this definition is consistent with the convention that is used when G=S is a p-group. In this earlier situation, when $|X^S|>1$ we have $\Delta(X)$ is a capped endopermutation module with cap k, so we have $\Omega_X=[\Delta(X)]=0$ in D(X) by definition. However if $|X^S|=1$ then $\Delta(X)$ is not a capped endo-permutation module. In this case Ω_X was taken to be trivial by convention.

In the general finite group situation, if $X^S \neq \emptyset$ then $\Delta(X)$ may contain non-isomorphic Sylow-vertex summands, and hence it may not be a Dade module. Our choice of setting $\Omega_X = 0$ in $D^{\Omega}(G)$ when $X^S \neq \emptyset$ is therefore not only consistent with the p-group situation, it is the only reasonable generic option available.

Remark 6.8. In the non-p-group case one should be careful when using this convention, as it is sometimes possible that $\Delta(X)$ may be a Dade module with $[\Delta(X)] \neq 0$ even though $X^S \neq \emptyset$. In this case we still have $\Omega_X = 0$. For example, when $G = C_2$ and $p \neq 2$ we have $\Delta(G/1) \cong k(-1)$ and $[k(-1)] \neq 0$ in D(G), however we still take $\Omega_{G/1} = 0$. In fact, for this group we have $D_k(C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ but $D_k^{\Omega}(C_2) = 0$ when k is a field of odd characteristic.

With this convention we have the following theorem.

Proposition 6.9. The Bouc homomorphism

$$\Psi_G: C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$$

sends ω_X to Ω_X for every G-set X.

Proof. If X is a G-set such that $X^S \neq \emptyset$, then $\omega_X : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the constant superclass function with value 1. In particular, we have $\omega_X = \omega_{G/S}$. Then $\Psi_G(\omega_X) = \Psi_G(\omega_{G/S}) = 0$. Since in this case by definition $\Omega_X = 0$, we have obtained the desired conclusion $\Psi_G(\omega_X) = \Omega_X$.

Now assume that $X^S=\emptyset$. By Corollaries 5.11 and 6.6(ii), we may take the point-stabilizers of X to be p-subgroups. As in the proof of Proposition 5.14, we induct on the maximum order of the point-stabilizers of X. In the case where this order is 1, we must have that X is a free G-set, so $X=m\cdot [G/1]$. We then have $\Omega_X=\Omega_{G/1}$ and $\omega_X=\omega_{G/1}$ by Corollaries 5.10 and 6.6(i), respectively, so it follows that $\Psi_G(\omega_X)=\Omega_X$ by the definition of Ψ_G . We have established our base case.

Suppose now that the result holds for all G-sets with point-stabilizers p-groups of order less than p^k . Among all G-sets having a point-stabilizer of order p^k , we induct on the

number of orbits m, the case m=1 being a transitive G-set and therefore covered by the definition of Ψ_G .

Now let X be such a G-set with m orbits and assume the result has been proved for all values less than m. If there are G-orbits [G/P] and [G/Q] in X such that P is G-subconjugate to Q, and if X' is X with one copy of [G/P] deleted, Corollaries 5.10 and 6.6(i) give $\Omega_X = \Omega_{X'}$ and $\omega_X = \omega_{X'}$, respectively, in which case the result is proved by the inductive hypothesis on m. We may therefore assume that no two orbits of X have point-stabilizers that are comparable by the G-subconjugacy relation.

Finally, we may write $X = [G/P] \coprod X'$ where $|P| = p^k$ and X' has m-1 orbits, each of which has point-stabilizers of order at most p^k and is not G-subconjugate to P. Writing

$$\Omega_X = \Omega_{G/P} + \Omega_{X'} - \Omega_{[(G/P) \times X']}$$
 and $\omega_X = \omega_{G/P} + \omega_{X'} - \omega_{[(G/P) \times X']}$

by Lemmas 5.13 and 6.5, we note that we already have $\Psi_G(\omega_{G/P}) = \Omega_{G/P}$ by definition and $\Psi_G(\omega_{X'}) = \Omega'_X$ by our induction on m. On the other hand, the assumption that no point-stabilizer of X' is G-subconjugate to P implies that all point-stabilizers of $(G/P) \times X'$ are of order at most p^{k-1} , so we also have $\Psi_G(\omega_{[(G/P) \times X']}) = \Omega_{[(G/P) \times X']}$ by the induction assumption. As Ψ_G is linear, we have proved $\Psi_G(\omega_X) = \Omega_X$, thereby completing the induction and the proof.

Note that Proposition 6.9 completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

One of the consequences of the existence of the Bouc homomorphism is that any equation that hold for ω_X also holds for Ω_X . Using this, we can conclude the following:

Corollary 6.10. Let G be a finite group and X be a G-set. Then

$$\Omega_X = \sum_{\substack{[Q], [P] \in \mathcal{F}_p/G, \\ Q \leq_C P, X^P \neq \emptyset}} \mu_G(Q, P) \Omega_{G/Q}$$

in $D^{\Omega}(G)$, where μ_G denotes the Möbius function of the poset of G-conjugacy classes of p-subgroups in G.

Proof. Repeating the arguments given in [8, 2.3, 2.6], one can easily see that the above formula holds for ω_X , and hence it also holds for Ω_X .

7. Moore G-spaces with non-Sylow p-subgroup isotropy

In this section we consider Moore G-spaces. We show that if all the point-stabilizers of a Moore G-space X are non-Sylow p-subgroups of G, then the top reduced homology group of X with coefficients in k is a Dade kG-module (Theorem 7.10). This result will be extended in the next section to all Moore G-spaces, with minor modifications. All this is ultimately aimed at showing that the dimension function of a k-oriented real representation sphere is in the kernel of the Bouc homomorphism.

Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{H} a family of subgroups of G (closed under conjugation and taking subgroups). The *orbit category of* G *with respect to the family* \mathcal{H} is the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ whose objects are the transitive G-sets $\{G/H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and whose morphisms from G/H to G/K are given by the G-maps $G/H \to G/K$. When the group G is clear from the context, we will write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$.

Let R be a commutative ring with unity. An $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module M is a contravariant functor from the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ to the category of R-modules. The value of an $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module M at

G/H is written M(H). By identifying $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}}(G/H)$ with $\overline{N}_G(H) := N_G(H)/H$, we view the R-module M(H) as an $R\overline{N}_G(H)$ -module. In particular, M(1) is an RG-module. Note that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$, the subgroup H acts trivially on M(H). For more information on modules over orbit categories, we refer the reader to [25, §9, §17] and [20].

Definition 7.1. For a G-set X, the $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module $R[X^?]$ is defined by the rule

$$G/H \mapsto R[X^H] \cong R \operatorname{Hom}_G(G/H, X),$$

where X^H denotes the $\overline{N}_G(H)$ -set of H-fixed points.

An $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module is called *free* if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules of the form $R[(G/K)^?]$ with $K \in \mathcal{H}$. By the Yoneda Lemma, every free $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module is projective (see [20, Section 2A]).

More generally, given a G-CW-complex X, we can associate to it a chain complex of $R \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules.

Definition 7.2. Let X be a finite G-CW-complex. For each $i \geq 0$, consider the $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module

$$C_i(X^?;R):G/H\mapsto C_i(X^H;R),$$

where $C_i(X^H; R)$ denotes the free R-module whose basis is the set of i-dimensional cells in the H-fixed subspace X^H . These $R \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules are connected by the CW-boundary maps to yield a chain complex of $R \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules

$$C_*(X^?,R): \cdots \to C_i(X^?;R) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} C_{i-1}(X^?;R) \to \cdots \to C_0(X^?;R) \to 0.$$

Note that for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, the complex $C_*(X^H; R)$ is the chain complex of the subspace X^H . This gives an interpretation of $C_*(X^?; R)$ as a functor from the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ to the category of chain complexes over R.

For each $i \geq 0$, $C_i(X; R) \cong R[X_i^?]$ where X_i denotes the G-set of all i-dimensional cells in X. From this it is easy to see that the chain complex of a G-CW-complex X is a chain complex of free $R \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules if all point-stabilizers of X lie in \mathcal{H} .

Let \underline{R} denote the constant functor with values $\underline{R}(H) = R$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. For each G-map $f: G/K \to G/H$ the induced map $f^*: \underline{R}(H) \to \underline{R}(K)$ is taken to be the identity map id: $R \to R$. For every free $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module $R[X^?]$ there is an augmentation map $\varepsilon: R[X^?] \to \underline{R}$, defined object-wise by the augmentation map $R[X^H] \to R$.

If $C_*(X^?;R)$ is a chain complex of free $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules, then there is an augmentation map $C_0(X^?;R) \to \underline{R}$. Appending this map to the chain complex $C_*(X^?;R)$ gives the augmented complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;R)$ whose *i*-th homology is an $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module. This $R\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module will be written $\widetilde{H}_i(X^?;R)$, and is called the *i*-th reduced homology module of X.

Recall that a G-CW-complex is called *finite* if it has finitely many cells.

Definition 7.3. A Moore G-space over R, relative to the family \mathcal{H} , is a finite G-CW-complex X such that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ there is exactly one $i \geq 0$ such that $\widetilde{H}_i(X^H; R) \neq 0$. If X is a Moore G-space then there is a superclass function $\underline{n}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\widetilde{H}_i(X^H; R)$ vanishes for all $i \neq \underline{n}(H)$. We sometimes record these data by referring to X as an \underline{n} -Moore G-space.

In our applications, we often need the homological dimension of the fixed point subspace X^H to coincide with its geometric dimension as a CW-complex, denoted by $\dim(X^H)$. We define the following condition for Moore G-spaces to guarantee this.

Definition 7.4. The <u>n</u>-Moore G-space X is tight if $\underline{n}(H) = \dim(X^H)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

We have many examples of tight Moore G-spaces.

Example 7.5. Let V be a real G-representation and X := S(V) the unit sphere in V with respect to some G-equivariant norm. The G-space X is a smooth G-manifold, which can be triangulated to obtain a G-CW-complex. In this case $X^H = S(V^H)$ is a sphere for every $H \le G$, hence $\widetilde{H}_i(X^H; R) = 0$ when $i \ne \dim X^H$. Thus X is a tight \underline{n} -Moore G-space over R where $\underline{n}(H) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V^H) - 1$.

Example 7.6. Let X be a finite G-set, considered as a zero dimensional G-CW-complex. The augmented complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;R)$ is of the form

$$0 \to R[X^?] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \underline{R} \to 0$$

where ε is the augmentation map. If $X^H = \emptyset$, then $\widetilde{H}_i(X^H; R) \cong R$ for i = -1 and vanishes in all other dimensions. If $X^H \neq \emptyset$, then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Delta(X^H) \to k[X^H] \to R \to 0,$$

so the reduced homology of X^H is concentrated in dimension 0 and $\widetilde{H}_0(X^H;R) \cong \Delta(X^H)$ as an $R\overline{N}_G(H)$ -module. We conclude that X is a tight \underline{n} -Moore G-space over R, where $\underline{n} = \omega_X - 1$.

Definition 7.7. The dimension function of an <u>n</u>-Moore space X is the superclass function $Dim(X): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $Dim(X)(H) = \underline{n}(H) + 1$.

For every finite G-set X, the superclass function ω_X is realized as the dimension function of the discrete G-space X. To realize sums of multiple such ω_X , one can use the join construction for G-Moore spaces.

Given two G-CW-complexes X and Y, the join X * Y is defined as the quotient space $X \times Y \times [0,1]/\sim$ with the identifications $(x,y,1)\sim (x',y,1)$ and $(x,y,0)\sim (x,y',0)$ for all $x,x'\in X$ and $y,y'\in Y$. The G-action on X*Y is given by g(x,y,t)=(gx,gy,t) for all $x\in X, y\in Y$, and $t\in [0,1]$. The join X*Y has a natural G-CW-complex structure, once we assume that the topology on the product is the compactly generated topology (see [31, Section 4] for more details).

Lemma 7.8. If X and Y are Moore G-spaces, then X * Y is a Moore G-space with dimension function Dim(X * Y) = Dim(X) + Dim(Y). Moreover if X and Y are tight Moore G-spaces, then X * Y is also tight.

Proof. We have $(X * Y)^H = X^H * Y^H$ for every $H \leq G$. Since the join of two Moore spaces is a Moore space the result follows.

As a consequence we obtain:

Proposition 7.9. Let X be the join of a finite set of finite G-sets $\{X_i\}$. Then X is a tight Moore G-space and $Dim(X) = \sum_i \omega_{X_i}$. In particular, every superclass function $f = \sum_i a_P \cdot \omega_{G/P}$ with $a_P \geq 0$ can be realized as the dimension function of a tight Moore G-space.

Proof. We can define a G-simplicial complex structure on X using the following: Define a relation on $\coprod_i X_i$ by declaring $x \leq y$ if $x \in X_i$ and $y \in X_j$ for some i < j. The topological realization of this poset is a G-simplicial complex which is homeomorphic to the join $*_i X_i$. It is clear that the dimension function of X is the function $\sum_i \omega_{X_i}$.

For a Moore G-space X constructed as the join of G-sets $\{X_i\}$, the augmented simplicial chain complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;R)$ is the tensor product of chain complexes

$$0 \to R[X_i^?] \to \underline{R} \to 0.$$

Hence the homology of the complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X;R)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $\bigotimes_i \Delta(X_i)$ as an RG-module. If all isotropy subgroups of the G-sets X_i are non-Sylow p-subgroups and R=k is a field of characteristic p>0, then by Proposition 5.5, each $\Delta(X_i)$ is a capped Dade module, hence in this case the reduced homology of X is a Dade kG-module whose class in the Dade group is the sum $\sum_i \Omega_{X_i}$.

This observation generalizes to all Moore G-spaces satisfying the same isotropy subgroup condition.

Theorem 7.10. Let G be a finite group and k be a field of characteristic p > 0. Suppose that X is an n-dimensional tight Moore G-space over k such that all the point-stabilizers of X are non-Sylow p-subgroups. Let X_i denote the G-set of i-dimensional cells of X. Then $\widetilde{H}_n(X,k)$ is a capped Dade kG-module, and

$$[\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{X_i}$$

in $D^{\Omega}(G)$.

Proof. We follow the argument given in [31] with some modifications. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_G$ be the family of all non-Sylow p-subgroups in G. Let $\underline{n}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a superclass function and X be an \underline{n} -Moore G-space with isotropy in \mathcal{H} . The augmented chain complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;k)$ is a chain complex of free $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules of the form

$$0 \to k[X_n^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \longrightarrow k[X_i^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_i} k[X_{i-1}^?] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow k[X_0^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \underline{k} \to 0.$$

The evaluation of this sequence at the trivial subgroup Q=1 gives an exact sequence of kG-modules

$$0 \to \widetilde{H}_n(X;k) \to k[X_n] \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \longrightarrow k[X_i] \xrightarrow{\partial_i} k[X_{i-1}] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow k[X_0] \xrightarrow{\partial_0} k \to 0.$$

If we show that for each $i \geq 0$, the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker \partial_i \to k[X_i] \to \operatorname{im} \partial_i \to 0 \tag{7.1}$$

is X_i -split then the conclusion will follow from repeated applications of Lemma 5.12. To show that the sequence in (7.1) is X_i -split, we consider the chain complex of $R \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules

$$\mathbf{D} := 0 \to k[X_i^?] \otimes k[X_i^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_i \otimes id} k[X_{i-1}^?] \otimes k[X_i^?] \to \cdots \to k[X_0^?] \otimes k[X_i^?] \to k[X_i^?] \to 0$$

obtained by first tensoring the augmented complex $\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;k)$ with the free module $k[X_i^?]$ and then truncating at dimension i. Note that for each $j \geq 0$, the $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module

$$\mathbf{D}_j = k[X_j^?] \otimes k[X_i^?] \cong k[(X_j \times X_i)^?]$$

is free, hence **D** is a chain complex of projective $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules.

We claim that **D** has no homology in dimensions strictly less than i. For each $Q \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $X_i^Q = \emptyset$, the complex $\mathbf{D}(Q)$ is identically zero. If $Q \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $X_i^Q \neq \emptyset$, then $\underline{n}(Q) = \dim X^Q \geq i$ by the tightness of X. This implies that $\widetilde{H}_j(X^Q; R) = 0$ for all j < i, meaning that the chain complex

$$0 \to k[X_i^Q] \xrightarrow{\partial_i} k[X_{i-1}^Q] \to \cdots \to k[X_0^Q] \to k \to 0$$

has no homology in dimensions j < i. Since tensoring an exact chain complex with $k[X_i^Q]$ over k does not change the exactness of a sequence, we conclude that

$$H_i(\mathbf{D})(Q) = H_i(\mathbf{D}(Q)) = 0$$

for all j < i and $Q \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence the $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module $H_j(\mathbf{D})$ vanishes for all j < i.

To complete the proof, observe that the condition $H_j(\mathbf{D}) = 0$ for j < i implies that $\operatorname{im}(\partial_i \otimes \operatorname{id})$ is a projective $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -module. Hence the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\partial_i \otimes \mathrm{id}) \to k[X_i^?] \otimes k[X_i^?] \to \mathrm{im}(\partial_i \otimes \mathrm{id}) \to 0$$

splits as an exact sequence of $k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -modules. This implies that the sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\partial_i) \otimes k[X_i] \to k[X_i] \otimes k[X_i] \to \operatorname{im}(\partial_i) \otimes k[X_i] \to 0$$

splits as a sequence of kG-modules. Hence for each i, the sequence

$$0 \to \ker \partial_i \to k[X_i] \to \operatorname{im}(\partial_i) \to 0$$

is X_i -split. This completes the proof.

A G-CW-complex is called full if for every $H \leq G$, we have $C_i(X^H) \neq 0$ whenever $i \leq \dim(X^H)$. This property always holds when X is a G-simplicial complex. By the equivariant simplicial approximation theorem, every G-CW-complex X is G-homotopy equivalent to a G-simplicial complex, and hence G-homotopy equivalent to a full G-CW-complex Y. Recall that two G-spaces X, Y are G-homotopy equivalent if there are G-maps $f: X \to Y$ and $f': Y \to X$ such that the compositions $f' \circ f$ and $f \circ f'$ are G-homotopic to the identity maps.

For a full complex we can prove the following.

Lemma 7.11. Let X be a tight Moore G-space of dimension n, relative to a family \mathcal{H} . Suppose that X is a full complex, and X_i denotes the G-set of i-dimensional cells of X. Then

$$Dim(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{X_i}$$

in $C(G, \mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Fix $H \in \mathcal{H}$. The sum $\sum_{i} \omega_{X_i}(H)$ is the number of indices i such that $X_i^H \neq \emptyset$. Since $X_i^H \neq \emptyset$ if and only if i satisfies $0 \leq i \leq \dim X^H$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{X_i}(H) = 1 + \dim(X^H) = \dim(X)(H).$$

We can define a group of Moore G-spaces for a finite group G, as was done in [31] for p-groups.

Definition 7.12. The Moore G-spaces X and Y are equivalent, denoted $X \sim Y$, if X and Y are G-homotopy equivalent. The equivalence class of a Moore G-space X will be written [X].

It is easy to show that if $X \sim X'$ and $Y \sim Y'$, then $X * Y \sim X' * Y'$. Hence the join operation defines an addition of the equivalence classes of Moore G-spaces given by [X] + [Y] = [X * Y]. Note that if the isotropy subgroups X and Y lie in \mathcal{H} , then the isotropy subgroups of X * Y also lie in \mathcal{H} . The set of equivalence classes of Moore G-spaces with isotropy in \mathcal{H} with this addition operation is a commutative monoid, so we can apply the Grothendieck construction to define the group of Moore G-spaces.

Definition 7.13. Let G be a finite group and k be a field of characteristic p > 0. The group of tight Moore G-spaces $\mathcal{M}_t(G, \mathcal{H})$ is the Grothendieck group of G-homotopy classes of tight Moore G-spaces with isotropy in \mathcal{H} with addition defined by [X] + [Y] := [X * Y].

Note that since every G-CW-complex X is G-homotopy equivalent to a full G-CW-complex we can define a homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Dim}: \mathcal{M}_t(G, \mathcal{H}) \to C(G, \mathcal{H}): [X] - [Y] \mapsto \operatorname{Dim}(X) - \operatorname{Dim}(Y).$$

We call this the dimension homomorphism. Note that the dimension homomorphism is surjective since $C(G, \mathcal{H})$ is generated by the $\{\omega_X\}$, and ω_X is the dimension function of a discrete G-space X, which is a tight Moore G-space.

As before let \mathcal{F}_G denote the family of all non-Sylow p-subgroups of G. By Theorem 7.10, if X is a tight Moore G-space relative to the family \mathcal{F}_G , then its reduced homology is a Dade module. Using this, we can define an homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{H}om: \mathcal{M}_t(G, \mathcal{F}_G) \to D^{\Omega}(G): [X] - [Y] \mapsto [\widetilde{H}_n(X; k)] - [\widetilde{H}_m(Y; k)],$$

where n and m are the dimensions of X and Y. Note that if $[X_1] - [Y_1] = [X_2] - [Y_2]$, then there is a tight Moore G-space Z such that

$$X_1 * Y_2 * Z \cong X_2 * Y_1 * Z.$$

Therefore we have $\mathfrak{Hom}([X_1]) - \mathfrak{Hom}([Y_1]) = \mathfrak{Hom}([X_2]) - \mathfrak{Hom}([Y_2])$ in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. This shows that \mathfrak{Hom} is a well-defined homomorphism.

Proposition 7.14. There is a factorization

$$\mathfrak{Hom} = \Psi_G \circ \mathrm{Dim}$$

relating the Bouc homomorphism $\Psi_G: C(G, \mathcal{F}_G) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$ to the maps $Dim\ and\ \mathfrak{Hom}$ defined above.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 7.10 and Lemma 7.11.

8. Capped Moore G-spaces

For our applications we also need to consider Moore G-spaces with arbitrary isotropy. The unit spheres of real representations are important examples of Moore G-spaces and in general they do not have p-subgroup isotropy. A real representation sphere may also have nonempty S-fixed-points.

Throughout this section we will work with the family \mathcal{F}_p of all p-subgroups of G. We denote the orbit category over \mathcal{F}_p by $\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ to simplify the notation.

Definition 8.1. Let $\underline{n}: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a superclass function and X an \underline{n} -Moore G-space over k. Let $m := \underline{n}(S)$. We say X is a capped Moore space if the reduced homology $\widetilde{H}_m(X^S; k)$, considered as an $\overline{N}_G(S)$ -module, has a trivial component k.

Note that if X is a Moore G-space whose point-stabilizers are all non-Sylow p-subgroups, then $X^S = \emptyset$. In this case we have m = -1 and $\widetilde{H}_m(X^S; k) \cong k$, so that X is a capped Moore G-space.

The join of two capped Moore G-spaces is a capped Moore G-space, so the G-homotopy classes of capped (tight) Moore G-spaces form an additive monoid under the operation [X]+[Y]:=[X*Y]. Let $\mathcal{M}_t(G,\mathcal{F}_p)$ denote the Grothendieck group of G-homotopy classes of capped tight Moore G-spaces over the field k. Note that, while our definition of Moore G-space allows for non-p-group isotropy, we only require that X^H be a Moore space when H is a p-group.

The dimension function of a capped Moore G-space can be defined as in Definition 7.7, yielding the group homomorphism

$$Dim : \mathcal{M}_t(G, \mathcal{F}_p) \to C(G, p).$$

The composition of Dim with the Bouc homomorphism $\Psi_G:C(G,p)\to D^\Omega(G)$ gives the group homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{Hom}: \mathcal{M}_t(G, \mathcal{F}_p) \to D^{\Omega}(G).$$

The homology of a capped Moore space may not be a Dade kG-module, so it is not possible to explain this new homomorphism using the assignment $X \mapsto [H_n(X^?;k)]$ as in the previous section. However it is still possible to give an interpretation of this homomorphism in terms of the homology of a Moore G-space. For this we first prove a lemma, which can be interpreted as a generalization of Lemma 5.12.

Lemma 8.2. Let X be a G-set such that $X^S = \emptyset$, and let $0 \to L \to kX \to N \to 0$ be an X-split short exact sequence of kG-modules. If N is an endo-p-permutation module, then L is as well. Moreover, if N has a capped Dade module summand N_1 , then L has a summand L_1 , also a capped Dade module, that satisfies $[L_1] = \Omega_X + [N_1]$ in D(G).

Proof. Repeating the argument in Lemma 5.12 we see that

$$\operatorname{End}(L) \oplus (kX \otimes N) \oplus (kX \otimes N^*) \cong \operatorname{End}(N) \oplus \operatorname{End}(kX).$$

As N is an endo-p-permutation module, $\operatorname{End}(L)$ is a p-permutation module, and thus L is an endo-p-permutation module.

Assume now that $N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$ where N_1 is a capped Dade kG-module. Applying the Relative Schanuel's Lemma to the sequences

we obtain

$$L \oplus (kX \otimes N) \cong kX \oplus (\Delta(X) \otimes N).$$

Now $\Delta(X) \otimes N_1$ is a capped Dade module that appears as a summand on the right hand side, so the cap of $\Delta(X) \otimes N_1$ is isomorphic to a component on the left hand side. Since all components of $kX \otimes N$ have non-Sylow vertices, $cap(\Delta(X) \otimes N_1)$ must be isomorphic to a summand of L, say L_1 . Then L_1 is a capped Dade module such that

$$[L_1] = [\Delta(X) \otimes N_1] = \Omega_X + [N_1],$$

completing the proof.

In the proof of the next proposition we make use of the Brauer quotient construction, which we now recall. Given a kG-module M and a p-subgroup $P \leq G$, we denote by M^P the submodule of P-fixed elements in M. For every $Q \leq P$, we have the relative trace map $tr_Q^P: M^Q \to M^P$ defined by $m \mapsto \sum_{xQ \in P/Q} x \cdot m$. The quotient

$$M[P] := M^P / \sum_{Q < P} tr_Q^P(M^Q)$$

is an $\overline{N}_G(P)$ -module, called the Brauer quotient of M at P. The quotient map $M^P \to M[P]$ will be written Br_P . It is easy to see that the Brauer quotient of the permutation module k[X] at P is isomorphic to $k[X^P]$ as a $k[\overline{N}_G(P)]$ -module (see [12, 1.1]).

Bouc [5] studied the connection between Brauer quotients and modules over the orbit category $\mathcal{O}_p(G)$. Let M be a $k\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ -module. For every p-subgroup $P \leq G$, there is a restriction homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}_1^P: M(P) \to M(1)$, which commutes with the maps induced by G-conjugation. Since P acts trivially on M(P), this implies that $\operatorname{Res}_1^P(M(P)) \subseteq M(1)^P$, and thus we can compose with the quotient map Br_P to obtain the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{BrRes}_P: M(P) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Res}_1^P} M(1)^P \xrightarrow{Br_P} M(1)[P].$$

We will need the following result due to Bouc.

Proposition 8.3 ([5], Proposition 6.5). A $k\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ -module M has a finite projective resolution if and only if M(1) is a p-permutation kG-module and the map $\operatorname{BrRes}_P: M(P) \to M(1)[P]$ is an isomorphism of $k\overline{N}_G(P)$ -modules for every p-subgroup $P \leq G$.

Brauer quotients interact nicely with the Green correspondence: If M is an indecomposable p-permutation module with vertex P, then the Brauer quotient M[P] is the indecomposable projective $k[\overline{N}_G(P)]$ -module corresponding to M under the Green correspondence (see [12, Theorem 3.2]).

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 8.4. Let $\underline{n}: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a superclass function and X an n-dimensional capped \underline{n} -Moore G-space. Assume also that X is full and tight. Then the reduced homology $\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)$ has a summand M that is a capped Dade G-module satisfying

$$[M] = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X))$$

in $D^{\Omega}(G)$.

Proof. Let m := n(S), and let

$$\widetilde{C}_*(X^?;k):0 \to k[X_n^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_n} k[X_{n-1}^?] \to \cdots \to k[X_m^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \cdots \to k[X_0^?] \to \underline{k} \to 0$$

be the reduced chain complex of X over the orbit category $\mathcal{O}_p(G)$. Note that this chain complex may not be a chain complex of free $k\mathcal{O}_p$ -modules since the isotropy subgroups of X are not assumed to be p-subgroups of G.

Consider the truncation of this complex at dimension m:

$$0 \to k[X_m^?] \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \cdots \to k[X_0^?] \to \underline{k} \to 0.$$

Since $\underline{n}(P) \geq \underline{n}(S) = m$ for every *p*-subgroup *P*, this complex has no homology in dimensions i < m. Let $K_m = \ker \partial_m$. The constant module \underline{k} and the modules $k[X_i^7]$ have finite projective resolutions (see [20, Cor 3.14, 3.15]), hence by an easy induction we can

conclude that K_m has a finite projective resolution. Then by Proposition 8.3, $K_m(1)$ is a p-permutation module and for every p-subgroup P,

$$BrRes_P: K_m(P) \to K_m(1)[P]$$

is an isomorphism of $k[\overline{N}_G(P)]$ -modules.

Since $X_i^S = \emptyset$ for every i > m, we have $K_m(S) \cong \widetilde{H}_m(X^S; k)$, and hence $k \mid K_m(S)$. This means that $K_m(1)[S]$ also has k as a summand. Let U be a component of $K_m(1)$ such that $U[S] \cong k$. Then U has vertex S, and by [12, Theorem 3.2] U corresponds to k under the Green correspondence. Thus $U \cong k$ by the uniqueness of the Green correspondent. We conclude that $k \mid K_m(1)$.

By applying Lemma 8.2 inductively, we see that $\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)$ has a summand M that is a capped Dade module satisfying $[M] = \sum_{i=m+1}^n \Omega_{X_i}$. Since $X_i^S \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \leq m$, by definition we have $\Omega_{X_i} = 0$ in $D^{\Omega}(G)$ for all $i \leq m$. Hence we obtain

$$[M] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{X_i} = \Psi_G\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{X_i}\right) = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X)).$$

Note that the last equality holds because X is full. This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to give a proof for Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume that $f = \dim(V) - \dim(W)$ for some k-oriented real representations V and W of G. The unit sphere X = S(V) can be triangulated to obtain a full G-CW-complex structure on X (see [21]). It is clear that X is a tight Moore G-space. By the assumption of k-orientability, the $\overline{N}_G(S)$ -action on $\widetilde{H}_*(X^S;k)$ is trivial, so X is a capped Moore space. Hence, by Theorem 8.4, the reduced homology $\widetilde{H}_n(X;k)$ has a summand M that is a capped Dade G-module satisfying

$$[M] = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X))$$

in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. Since $\widetilde{H}_n(X;k) \cong k$ as a kG-module, M is isomorphic to k. Hence

$$\Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X)) = [k] = 0.$$

A similar conclusion also holds for the G-sphere Y = S(W), so we obtain

$$\Psi_G(f) = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(V) - \operatorname{Dim}(W)) = \Psi_G(\operatorname{Dim}(X) - \operatorname{Dim}(Y)) = 0,$$

and hence $f \in \ker \Psi_G$.

9. Oriented Artin-Borel-Smith functions

In the case where G = S is a p-group, the kernel of the Bouc homomorphism $\Psi_S : C(S) \to D^{\Omega}(S)$ is precisely the subgroup of superclass functions satisfying the Borel-Smith conditions, which we now recall (see [11, Thm. 1.2 and Def. 3.1]).

Definition 9.1. Let S be finite p-group. A superclass function $f \in C(S) = C(S, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is called a Borel-Smith function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) If $L \triangleleft K \leq S$, $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, and p is odd, then f(L) f(K) is even.
- (ii) If $L \triangleleft K \leq S$, $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$, and $\{K_i/L\}_{i=0}^p$ are the subgroups of order p in K/L, then

$$f(L) - f(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (f(K_i) - f(K)).$$

(iii) If $L \triangleleft K \triangleleft N \leq N_S(L)$ and $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, then f(L) - f(K) is even if $N/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$, and f(L) - f(K) is divisible by 4 if N/L is the quaternion group Q_8 of order 8.

It is known from classical Smith theory that if X is a G-space whose mod-p homology is isomorphic to the mod-p homology of a sphere, then for every p-subgroup $P \leq G$, the fixed-point set X^P also has the mod-p homology of a sphere (see [17, Thm. 4.23]). Let $\underline{n}(P)$ denote the dimension for which $\widetilde{H}_*(X^P; \mathbb{F}_p) \neq 0$. Then \underline{n} is a superclass function, which satisfies the Borel-Smith functions (see [17, pg. 202-210]). We denote the group of Borel-Smith functions for a p-group S by $C_b(S)$.

Definition 9.2. Let G be a finite group and S be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. A superclass function $f: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *Borel-Smith function* if its restriction to S is a Borel-Smith function. We denote the subgroup of Borel-Smith functions in C(G, p) by $C_b(G, p)$.

The following is easy to observe.

Lemma 9.3. The kernel of the Bouc homomorphism $\Psi_G: C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$ lies inside $C_b(G,p)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, there is a well-defined restriction homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}_S^G:D(G)\to D(S)$, given by $\operatorname{Res}_S^G[M]=[\operatorname{Res}_S^GM]$ for every Dade kG-module M. It is easy to see from the definitions that

$$\operatorname{Res}_S^G(\Omega_X) = \Omega_{\operatorname{Res}_S^G X}$$

for every G-set X. There is also a homomorphism $\mathrm{Res}_S^G:C(G,p)\to C(S)$ defined by restriction of domain. We have

$$\operatorname{Res}_S^G(\omega_X) = \omega_{\operatorname{Res}_G^G X}$$

for every G-set X. This shows that Ψ_G commutes with the restriction map to S. If $f \in \ker \Psi_G$, then $\operatorname{Res}_S^G f \in \ker \Psi_S$. By [11, Thm. 1.2]), $\ker \Psi_G = C_b(S)$, and hence $f \in C_b(G, p)$.

In the case where G = S is a p-group, the group of Borel-Smith functions $C_b(S)$ is exactly equal to the image of the dimension function

$$Dim : R_{\mathbb{R}}(S) \to C(S)$$

from the real representation ring to the group of superclass functions (see [18]). For an arbitrary finite group G there is a similar theorem due to Bauer [2], stated in terms of dimension functions defined on prime-power order subgroups. Let \mathcal{P} denote the family of all subgroups of G with prime-power order and let $C(G,\mathcal{P})$ denote the group of superclass functions $f: \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{Z}$. Bauer imposes the following additional condition on the superclass functions in $C(G,\mathcal{P})$ (see also [26]).

Definition 9.4. A function $f \in C(G, \mathcal{P})$ satisfies the Artin condition if:

(*) For any distinct prime numbers p and q, consider $L \triangleleft K \triangleleft H \leq N_G(L)$ subgroups of G such that K is a p-group, $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, and $H/K \cong \mathbb{Z}/q^r$. Then $f(L) \equiv f(K) \pmod{q^{r-l}}$, where H/K acts on K/L with kernel of order q^l .

Bauer proves the following:

Theorem 9.5 (Bauer [2], Thm. 1.3). Let $f \in C(G, \mathcal{P})$ be a superclass function that satisfies the Borel-Smith conditions when restricted to a Sylow subgroup, as well as the Artin condition (*). Then there is a virtual real representation x = [V] - [W] such that $f = \dim V - \dim W$.

If one studies the proof of Bauer's theorem it is easy to see that one needs the Artin condition (*) to hold only in the case when K is a cyclic p-subgroup. This follows from Definition 9.1(ii), which implies that a Borel-Smith function is determined by its values on the cyclic p-subgroups. Also observe that when p = 2, there is no nontrivial automorphism of $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, so in this case the Artin condition always holds.

Let k be a field of characteristic p. The real representations in Bauer's theorem need not be k-orientable (see Definition 1.5) when p is odd. The problem derives from a certain real representation of the dihedral group D_{2p} , whose representation sphere is not k-orientable, used in the induction of Bauer's proof. We can modify Bauer's construction to obtain only k-orientable representations, at the cost of introducing a variation of the Artin condition. We name this condition only for functions defined on \mathcal{F}_p , but it can easily be extended to families defined on \mathcal{P} if necessary.

Definition 9.6. A function $f \in C(G, p)$ satisfies the oriented Artin condition if:

(**) For any distinct prime numbers p and q, consider $L \triangleleft K \triangleleft H \leq N_G(L)$ subgroups of G such that K is a cyclic p-group, $K/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, and $H/K \cong \mathbb{Z}/q^r$. Then $f(L) \equiv f(K) \pmod{2q^{r-l}}$, where H/K acts on K/L with kernel of order q^l .

Note that the oriented Artin condition (**) differs from the ordinary Artin condition (*) not only in the restriction of our attention to the cyclic p-subgroups H, but also in the extra factor of 2 in the modular equation. This factor is necessary to obtain k-orientable representations.

Definition 9.7. An oriented Artin-Borel-Smith function is a superclass function that satisfies the Borel-Smith conditions of Definition 9.1 and the oriented Artin condition of Definition 9.6. The subgroup of C(G, p) consisting of the oriented Artin-Borel-Smith functions is denoted by $C_{ba+}(G, p)$.

Let $R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G, k)$ denote the Grothendieck group of k-oriented real representations of G. The following is easy to prove using Bauer's construction in [2, Thm 1.3] with small variations.

Theorem 9.8. The image of the dimension function $R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G,k) \to C(G,p)$ is equal to $C_{ba^+}(G,p)$.

Proof. The argument given in [2, Proposition 1.2] directly applies here to give that the image of the dimension function lies in $C_{ba+}(G)$. Let $L \triangleleft K \triangleleft H \leq N_G(L)$ be as in Definition 9.6. If we take m = f(L) and n = f(K) and repeat Bauer's equivariant cohomology argument, we obtain that the periodicity of the mod-p cohomology of the group G divides m-n. The p-period of a group is computed by Swan in [27, Theorem 1 and 2]. According to these calculations, the p-period of H is $2q^{r-l}$.

Conversely, if $P \leq G$ is a non-cyclic p-subgroup then there is a normal subgroup $N \subseteq P$ such that $P/N \cong \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$. Condition (ii) of Definition 9.1 then implies that the value of a Borel-Smith function f at P is determined by its values on proper subgroups Q < P. This implies that a function in $f \in C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ is uniquely determined by its values on the cyclic p-subgroups of G.

Take $f \in C_{ba^+}(\mathcal{F})$. We will show that there is a virtual representation $x \in R_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ such that $\operatorname{Dim}(x)(P) = f(P)$ for all cyclic subgroups $P \leq S$. The argument is by induction, so we introduce some terminology for the intermediate step: Given a family of cyclic p-subgroups \mathcal{H} , we say f is realized over \mathcal{H} if there is a virtual k-oriented G-representation x such that $\operatorname{Dim}(x)(P) = f(P)$ for every $P \in \mathcal{H}$.

Any f is realizable at the trivial subgroup $1 \leq S$ by taking f(1) copies of the trivial representation \mathbb{R} . Suppose that f is realized over some nonempty family \mathcal{K} of cyclic subgroups of S. Let $K \leq S$ be a cyclic subgroup all of whose proper subgroups are contained in \mathcal{K} , and let \mathcal{K}' be the family obtained from \mathcal{K} by adding the conjugacy class of K. We will show that f is also realizable over \mathcal{K}' . By induction this will give us the realizability of f over all cyclic subgroups.

Since f is realizable over \mathcal{K} , there is an element $x \in R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G)$ such that Dim(x)(J) = f(J) for every $J \in \mathcal{K}$. By replacing f with f - Dim(x), we may assume that f(J) = 0 for every $J \in \mathcal{K}$. To prove that f is realizable over the larger family \mathcal{K}' , we will show that for every prime q, there is an integer n_q coprime to q such that $n_q f$ is realizable over \mathcal{K}' by some virtual representation $x_q \in R_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F})$. This will be enough to complete our proof by a simple number theory argument (see [26, Theorem 8.7] for details).

If q = p, then by earlier results due to tom Dieck [17] and Dotzel-Hamrick [18], there is an integer n_p , coprime to p, such that $n_p f$ is realized by an element in $x_p \in R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G)$ (see also [26, Proposition 4.9]). Thus we may assume that $q \neq p$.

Let $L \leq K$ be the unique subgroup of K of index p. Choose $H \leq N_G(K)$ such that H/K is a Sylow q-subgroup of $\overline{N}_G(K)$. Consider the homomorphism $\rho: H \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ determined by the H-conjugation action on K, and set $R = \ker \rho$. Note that R is nilpotent and hence isomorphic to the product $K \times R_q$, where R_q is the unique Sylow q-subgroup of R. Since K is cyclic and p is odd, $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is cyclic. Thus H/R is a cyclic q-group, say of order q^t . There is an element $h \in H$ such that $\langle h \rangle \cdot R = H$. The oriented Artin condition for the subgroups $L \leq K \leq \langle h \rangle \cdot R$ gives that $2q^t \mid (f(L) - f(K))$.

Let \overline{U} be the real K-representation defined by $\overline{U} = 2\mathbb{R} - W$, where W is the 2-dimensional real representation of K with kernel L defined by sending a generator of K/L to the rotation by $2\pi/p$. Note that \overline{U} is a k-orientable representation of K. We can consider \overline{U} as a representation of K via the projection map $K \to K$. Let $K = \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} \overline{U}$. It is easy to see that $\dim(U^{J}) = 0$ for any $K \to \mathcal{H}$. If $K \to \mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}$, then $K \to \mathcal{H}$ is conjugate to $K \to \mathcal{H}$ and we have

$$\dim(U^J) = \dim(\overline{U}) \cdot |N_G(K):K| = 2|N_G(K):H| \cdot |H:K| = 2n_q q^t,$$

where $n_q := |N_G(K): H|$ is coprime to q. If we take V_q as $f(H)/2q^t$ copies of U, then V_q realizes $n_q f$. This completes the proof.

As we pointed out above, when p = 2, both the Artin condition of Definition 9.4 and the oriented Artin condition of Definition 9.6 hold trivially. So in this case we have

$$C_{ba^+}(G, p) = C_{ba}(G, p) = C_b(G, p).$$

Note also that when k is of characteristic 2, every real representation is k-orientable, so $R_{\mathbb{R}}^+(G,k) = R_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Thus when p = 2, the conclusion of Theorem 9.8 coincides with that of Theorem 9.5. Thus $C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ is equal to the image of the dimension function Dim : $R_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \to C(G,p)$, which is also equal to the group of Borel-Smith functions $C_b(G,p)$.

When p is odd, for any $L \leq H$ with $H/L \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, a Borel-Smith function f will satisfy the modular equation $f(L) \equiv f(H) \pmod{2}$. In particular if $f \in C_{ba^+}(G, p)$ is such

that f(1) is even, then f(P) is even for all $P \in \mathcal{F}_p$. We call such functions even-valued. In the proof of Theorem 9.8 we used the representation \overline{U} , a restriction of a complex representations to \mathbb{R} . From this one can see that an even-valued oriented Artin-Borel-Smith function $f \in C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ can be realized by the (real) dimension function of a complex representation of G. We conclude the following.

Proposition 9.9. Let \mathbb{K} be the field such that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ if p = 2 and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ if p > 2. Let $f \in C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ be such that f(1) is even when p is odd. Then f is in the image of the dimension function

$$Dim : R_{\mathbb{K}}(G) \to C_{ba^+}(G, p)$$

defined by $(\text{Dim}V)(P) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V^P)$ for every p-subgroup P of G.

Proof. The case p=2 is clear from the discussion above. Let p>2 and assume that f(1) is even. We started the induction in the proof of Theorem 9.8 by subtracting f(1) copies of the dimension function of the constant real representation from f. Since f(1) is even, this step can be achieved using f(1)/2 copies of the constant complex representation. In the inductive steps of the proof, we use the representation $\overline{U} = 2\mathbb{R} - W$. Both $2\mathbb{R}$ and W come from complex representations, so $\operatorname{Ind}_R^G \overline{U} \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$.

As a consequence of Lemma 9.3 and Theorem 9.8, we have the following:

Theorem 9.10. Let G be a finite group and $\Psi_G : C(G,p) \to D^{\Omega}(G)$ the Bouc homomorphism. Then

$$C_{ba^+}(G,p) \subseteq \ker \Psi_G \subseteq C_b(G,p).$$

In particular, when p = 2, we have $\ker \Psi_G = C_{ba+}(G, p) = C_b(G, p)$.

It is interesting to ask whether the equality $\ker \Psi_G = C_{ba^+}(G, p)$ also holds when p is an odd prime; we were not able to find any counter-examples to this claim. The following computation gives some evidence for the relevance of the oriented Artin condition:

Lemma 9.11. Let $Q \subseteq G$ be a cyclic subgroup of G of order p such that $G/Q \cong \mathbb{Z}/q^r$. Suppose that G/Q acts on Q with kernel order q^l . Then, $D^{\Omega}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2q^{r-l}$ and the equality $\ker \Psi_G = C_{ba^+}(G,p)$ holds.

Proof. Since Q is a Sylow p-subgroup of G, we have $D^{\Omega}(G)$ is generated by $\Omega_{G/1}$. The cohomology of the group G with k coefficients is periodic, hence there exists an integer n such that $\Delta(G/1)^{\otimes n} \cong k \oplus (proj)$. The smallest such n is equal to the p-period of G. By Swan [27, Thm 1 and 2], the p-period of G is $2q^{r-l}$.

Note that $[k \oplus (proj)] = 0$ in $D^{\Omega}(G)$. Conversely if M is a Dade kG-module such that [M] = 0 in $D^{\Omega}(G)$, then $M \cong k \oplus (proj)$. We conclude that the smallest n such that $\Delta(G/1)^{\otimes n} \cong k \oplus (proj)$ is equal to the exponent of $\Omega_{G/1}$. Hence the exponent of $\Omega_{G/1}$ is q^{r-l} . Thus $D^{\Omega}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2q^{r-l}$.

If $f = a_1 \omega_{G/1} + a_Q \omega_{G/Q}$ is in the kernel of Ψ_G , then $a_1 \Omega_{G/1} = 0$ giving that $2q^{r-l}$ divides a_1 . Thus $a_1 = f(1) - f(Q) \equiv 0 \pmod{2q^{r-l}}$, and hence $f \in C_{ba^+}(G, p)$.

References

- [1] J.L. Alperin, A construction of endo-permutation modules, J. Group Theory, 4 (2001), 3-10.
- [2] S. Bauer, A linearity theorem for group actions on spheres with applications to homotopy representations, Comment. Math. Helv., **64**, (1989), 167-172.

- [3] D. J. Benson, Representations and cohomology I: Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [4] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, Nilpotent elements in the Green ring, J. Algebra, 104 (1986), 329-350.
- [5] S. Bouc, Résolutions de foncteurs de Mackey, Group representations: cohomology, group actions and topology (Seattle, WA, 1996) Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 31-83 (French, with English summary).
- [6] S. Bouc, Tensor induction of relative syzygies, J. Reine Angew. Math., 523 (2000), 113-171.
- [7] S. Bouc, The Dade group of a p-group, Inv. Math., 164 (2006), 189-231.
- [8] S. Bouc, A remark on the Dade group and the Burnside group, J. Algebra, 279 (2004), 180-190.
- [9] S. Bouc, Biset Functors for Finite Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1990, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [10] S. Bouc and J. Thévenaz, The group of endo-permutation modules, Invent. Math., 139 (2000), 275-349.
- [11] S. Bouc and E. Yalçın, Borel-Smith functions and the Dade group, J. Algebra, 311 (2007), 821-839.
- [12] M. Broué, On Scott modules and p-permutation modules: an approach through the Brauer morphism, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 401-408.
- [13] J. Carlson, Toward a classification of endotrivial modules, Contemporary Mathematics (2017), 139-150.
- [14] J. Carlson and J. Thévenaz, Torsion endo-trivial modules, Alg. Repr. Theory, 3 (2000), 303-335.
- [15] J. Carlson and J. Thévenaz, The classification of torsion endo-trivial modules, Ann. of Math. (2), 162 (2005), 823-883.
- [16] E. C. Dade, Endo-permutation modules over p-groups, I, II, Ann. Math., 107 (1978), 459-494; 108 (1978), 317-346.
- [17] T. tom Dieck, Transformation groups, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 8, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
- [18] R. M. Dotzel and G. Hamrick, p-Group actions on homology spheres, Invent. Math. 62, 437-442.
- [19] J. Grodal, Endotrivial modules for finite groups via homotopy theory, preprint, 2017.
- [20] I. Hambleton, S. Pamuk, and E. Yalçın, Equivariant CW-complexes and the orbit category, Comment. Math. Helv. 88 (2013), 369–425.
- [21] S. Illman, Smooth equivariant triangulations of G-manifolds for G a finite group, Math. Ann.233 (1978) 199-220.
- [22] C. Lassueur, Relative projectivity and relative endo-trivial modules, J. Algebra, 337 (2011), 285-317.
- [23] C. Lassueur, Relative projectivity and relative endo-trivial modules, Ph. D. Thesis, EPFL, Lausanne, 2012.
- [24] C. Lassueur, The Dade group of a finite group, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 217 (2013), 97-113.
- [25] W. Lück, Transformation Groups and Algebraic K-Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1408, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Mathematica Gottingensis.
- [26] S. P. Reeh and E. Yalçın, Representation rings for fusion systems and dimension functions, Math. Z. 288 (2018), 509-530.
- [27] R. G. Swan, The p-period of a finite group, Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960), no. 3, 341-346.
- [28] J. M. Urfer, Endo-p-permutation modules, J. Algebra, **316** (2013), 206-223.
- [29] P. Webb, A Course in Finite Group Representation Theory, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 161, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [30] E. Yalçın, An induction theorem for the unit groups of Burnside rings for 2-groups, J. Algebra, 318 (2005), 105-127.
- [31] E. Yalçın, Equivariant Moore spaces and the Dade group, Adv. Math. 309 (2017), 209-237.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BILKENT UNIVERSITY, 06800 BILKENT, ANKARA, TURKEY E-mail address: matthew.gelvin@bilkent.edu.tr, yalcine@fen.bilkent.edu.tr