CONTROLLED K-FUSION FRAME FOR HILBERT SPACES

N. ASSILA, S. KABBAJ AND B. MOALIGE

ABSTRACT. K-fusion frames are a generalization of fusion frames in frame theory. In this paper, we extend the concept of controlled fusion frames to controlled K-fusion frames, and we develop some results on the controlled K-fusion frames for Hilbert spaces, which generalized some well known of controlled fusion frames case. also we discuss some characterizations of controlled Bessel K-fusion sequences and of controlled Bessel K-fusion. Further, we analyse stability conditions of controlled K-fusion frames under perturbation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fames are more flexible than bases to solve some problems in Hilbert They were firstly introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [DS52] to spaces. study nonharmonic Fourier series in 1952, and widely studied by Daubechies, Grossman and Meyer [DGM86] in 1986. More results of frames are in [Ch16]. Fusion frames as a generalisation of frames were introduced by Casazza and Kutyniok in [CK04] and further there were developed in their joint paper [CKL08] with Li. The theory for fusion frames is available in arbitrary separable Hilbert spaces (finite-dimensional or not). The motivation behind fusion frames comes from signal processing, more precisely, the desire to process and analyze large data sets efficiently. A natural idea is to split such data sets into suitable smaller "blocks" which can be treated independently. From a pure mathematical point of view, fusion frames are special cases of the g-frames [SW06]. However, the connection to concrete applications is less apparent from the more abstract definition of q-frames. In 2012, L. Gavruta [Ga12] introduced the notions of K-frames in Hilbert space to study the atomic systems with respect to a bounded linear operator K. Controlled frames in Hilbert spaces have been introduced by P. Balaz [ABG10] to improve the numerical efficiency of iterative algorithms for inventing the frame operator. Further A. Khosravi [KM12] generalized this concept to the case of fusion frames. He has showed that controlled fusion framee as a generalization of fusion frames give a generalized way to obtain numerical advantage in the sense of preconditioning to check the fusion frame condition. In 2015 Rahimi [NNR15] defined the concept of controlled K-frames

Date: July 13, 2020

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15, 46A35, 26A18.

Key words and phrases. Fusion Frame, K-Fusion Frame, Controlled Fusion Frame, Stabiliy.

in Hilbert spaces and showed that controlled K- frames are equivalent to K-frames.

Motivated by the above literature, we introduce and investigate some properties of controlled K-fusion frames, we also generalize some known results for controlled fusion frames to controlled K-fusion frames. Finally, we present perturbation result for controlled K-fusion frames.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall several definitions about fusion frames, K-fusion frames and controlled fusion frames. Then, we give a basic properties about a bounded linear operator. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of controlled K-fusion frames and discuss their properties. In section 4, we analyze stability conditions of controlled K-fusion frames under perturbation.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Throughout this paper, we will adopt the following notations. \mathcal{H} is separable Hilbert space, $\{W_i\}_{i\in I}$ is sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} , where I is a countable index set. the family of all bounded linear operators for \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H} is denoted $B(\mathcal{H})$. We denote \mathcal{R}_T , \mathcal{N}_T , range and null space of a bounded linear operator T, respectively. $GL(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all bounded invertible operators on \mathcal{H} with bounded inverse, and $GL(\mathcal{H})^+$ denotes the set of all positive operators in $GL(\mathcal{H})$. π_{W_i} is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H} into W_i , and $\{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of weights, i.e. $w_i > 0$, for any $i \in I$. The space $(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{H})_{l^2}$ is defined by

$$(\oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H})_{l^2} = \{\{f_i\}_{i \in I} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, i \in I, \sum_{i \in I} ||f_i||^2 < \infty\},\$$

with the inner product is defined by

$$\langle \{f_i\}_{i\in I}, \{g_i\}_{i\in I} \rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \langle f_i, g_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

 $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H})_{l^2}$ is a separable Hilbert space [KM12].

2.1. Preliminaries.

2.2. Fusion frames.

Definition 2.1. [CKL08] let $\{W_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . let $\{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of weights, the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w\}_{i\in I}$ is called a K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , if there exist positive constants $A \leq B < \infty$ such that

(2.1)
$$A\|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A and B are called lower and upper bounds of fusion frame, respectively. If only the right inequality of 2.1 holds, we call the family $\{W_i, w\}_{i \in I}$ is fusion bessel sequence.

 $\mathbf{2}$

2.3. K-fusion frames.

Definition 2.2. [AN18] Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let $\{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of weights. Then the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w\}_{i \in I}$ is called a K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , if there exist positive constants $A \leq B < \infty$ such that

(2.2)
$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i}f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}$$

Where K^* is the adjoint operator of K.

A and B are called lower and upper bounds of K-fusion frame, respectively.

suppose that $\{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a fusion Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} , then the synthesis operator of $\{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is defined by T_W : $(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{l^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$,

$$T_W(\{f_i\}_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} w_i f_i, \quad \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{l^2}$$

Where

$$\left((\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{l^2} = \{ \{f_i\}_{i \in I} : f_i \in W_i, i \in I, \sum_{i \in I} \|f_i\|^2 < \infty \}.$$

Its adjoint operator, which is called the analysis operator T_W^* : $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow (\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{l^2}$, is defined by

$$T_W^*(f) = \{ w_i \pi_{W_i} f \}_{i \in I}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

And the K-fusion frame operator associated is $S_W: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

(2.3)
$$S_W(f) = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \pi_{W_i} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

2.4. controlled fusion frame.

Definition 2.3. [KM12] Let $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $\{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of weights, and let $T, U \in GL(\mathcal{H})$. Then the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w\}_{i \in I}$ is called a (T, U)-controlled fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , if there exist positive constants $A \leq B < \infty$ such that

(2.4)
$$A \| K^* f \|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} T f, \pi_{W_i} U f \rangle \le B \| f \|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A and B are called lower and upper bounds of (T, U)-controlled fusion frame, respectively. For further information in K-fusion frame and controlled fusion frame theory we refer the reader to [AN18] and [KM12].

In theory of frames, often use the following theorem, which describes some properties of the adjoint operator.

Theorem 2.4. [Ch16] Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and suppose that $U \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then,

i)
$$U^* \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$$
 and $||U^*|| = ||U||$.

ii) U is surjective if and only if $\exists A > 0$ such that $||U^*h||_{\mathcal{H}_2} \ge A||h||_{\mathcal{H}_1}$.

It is well-Known that not all bounded operator U on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} are invertible: an operator U needs to be injective and surjective in order to be invertible. For doing this, one can use right-inverse operator. The following lemma shows that if an operator U has closed range, there exists a "right-inverse operator" U^{\dagger} in the following sense:

Lemma 2.5. [Ch16] Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and suppose that $U \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with closed range \mathcal{R}_U . then there exists a bounded operator U^{\dagger} : $\mathcal{H}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ for which

$$(2.5) UU^{\dagger}x = x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}_U$$

and

$$(U^*)^\dagger = (U^\dagger)^*.$$

The operator U^{\dagger} is called the Pseudo-inverse of U. In the literature, one will ofen see the pseudo-inverse of an operator U with closed range defined as the unique operator U^{\dagger} satisfying that

$$\mathcal{N}_{U^{\dagger}} = \mathcal{R}_{U}^{\perp}, \quad UU^{\dagger}x = x, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}_{U}.$$

The following lemma is necessary for our results.

Lemma 2.6. [Ga07] Let $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a closed subspace, and T be a linear bounded operator on \mathcal{H} . Then

(2.6)
$$\pi_V T^* = \pi_V T^* \pi_{\overline{TV}}$$

If T is a unitary (i.e. $T^*T = TT^* = Id_{\mathcal{H}}$, then

(2.7)
$$\pi_{\overline{TV}}T = T\pi_V.$$

Proposition 2.7. [Pa73] Let $T : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a linear operator. Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (1) There exist m > 0 and $M < \infty$, such that $mI \leq T \leq MI$;
- (2) T is positive and there exist m > 0 and $M < \infty$, such that $m \|f\|^2 \le \|T^{\frac{1}{2}}f\|^2 \le M \|f\|^2$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (3) T is positive and $T^{\frac{1}{2}} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$;
- (4) There exists a self-adjoint operator $A \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, such that $A^2 = T$; (5) $T \in GL^+(\mathcal{H})$.

The following lemma will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.8. [FYY09] Let \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} be Hilbert spaces. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $T' \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$ with $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{T^*}$ be orthogonally complemented. Then the following statement are equivalent:

- i) $T'T'^* \leq \lambda TT^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$.
- ii) There exists $\mu > 0$ such that $||T'^*z|| \le \mu ||T^*z||$, for all $z \in \mathcal{G}$.

3. Controlled K-fusion frame

In this section, we introduce the notion of Controlled K-fusion frames in Hilbert spaces and we discuss some their properties.

Definition 3.1. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $\{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of weights, and let $C, C' \in$ $GL(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a K-fusion frame controlled by Cand C' or (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame if there exist two constantants

$$0 < A_{CC'} \le B_{CC'} < \infty$$

such that

(3.1)
$$A_{CC'} \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Where K^* is the adjoint operator of K

 $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$ are called lower and upper bounds of a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame respectively.

- (1) We call \mathcal{W} a (C, C')-controlled Parsval K- fusion frame if $A_{CC'} = B_{CC'} = 1.$
- (2) If only the second inequality of 3.1 is required, we call \mathcal{W} a (C, C')controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence with Bessel bound B.
- **Remark 3.2.** i) If K = I (where is the identity operator), then every (C, C')-controlled K- fusion frame is a (C, C')-controlled fusion frame.
 - ii) If C = C' = I, then every (C, C')-controlled K- fusion frame is a K-fusion frame.
 - iii) Every (C, C')-controlled fusion frame is a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame. Indeed, by definition 3.1 there exist constants $0 < A_{CC'} \leq B_{CC'}$, such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC'} \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

Therefore, for ||K|| > 0, one has

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|K^*\|^2 \|f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|K\|^2 \|f\|^2,$$

that is,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|K\|^2} \|K^*f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|f\|^2,$$

it follows that,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|K\|^2} \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

Hence, the family \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .

The next example shows that in general, frames may be controlled Kfusion frame without being a controlled fusion frame.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{H} = l_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty\}$ be a Hilbert space, with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \rangle = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n \overline{b}_n,$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|_{l_2(\mathbb{C})} = (\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |a_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consider two operators C and C' defined by

$$C: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$
$$\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \longmapsto \{\alpha a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

resp.
$$C': \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

 $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \longmapsto \{\beta a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$.

Tt is easy to see that:

- C and C' are positives.
- C and C' are invertibles.

There invertible operators are given respectively by:

$$C^{-1}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \longmapsto \{\alpha^{-1}a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$C^{\prime-1}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \\ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \longmapsto \{\beta^{-1}a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Let $E_i = \{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $a_j = \{\delta_i^j\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (where δ_i^j is the Kronecker symbol). Let $\{W_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} such that $W_i = \mathbb{C}E_i$, and let $w_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel fusion sequence.

Indeed for each $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} C(\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}), \pi_{W_i} C'(\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \rangle = \alpha \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{i+1} |a_i|^2$$
$$\leq \alpha \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i|^2$$
$$= \alpha \beta ||\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})||_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

But is not (C, C')-controlled fusion frame, For this, assume the contrary that exists $A_{CC'} > 0$ such that:

(3.2)
$$A_{CC'} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\alpha \beta}{i+1} |a_i|^2.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i|^2 < \infty \Longrightarrow \lim_{i \longrightarrow +\infty} a_i = 0$$

So, we have

$$\begin{split} |a_{j}| &\longrightarrow 0 \quad as \quad j \longrightarrow \infty; \\ \frac{\alpha\beta}{i+1} &\longrightarrow 0 \quad as \quad i \longrightarrow \infty. \\ \implies \begin{cases} \forall \varepsilon \ge 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \quad j \ge N \quad \Longrightarrow |a_{j}| < \varepsilon, \\ \forall \gamma \ge 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \quad j \ge M \quad \Longrightarrow \frac{\alpha\beta}{j+1} < \gamma. \\ \implies \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\alpha\beta - (j+1)A_{CC'}}{j+1} |a_{j}|^{2} \ge 0. \end{split}$$

By fixing $\varepsilon = \gamma$, there exist $N, M \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j \geq N & \Longrightarrow \mid a_j \mid < \varepsilon, \\ j \geq M & \Longrightarrow \frac{\alpha\beta}{j+1} < \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

Now, let $N_1 = \max(N, M)$, then $\forall j \geq N_1$, $|a_j| < \varepsilon$ and $\frac{\alpha\beta}{i+1} < \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_1-1} \frac{\alpha\beta - (i+1)A_{CC'}}{i+1} \mid a_i \mid^2 + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=N_1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon - A_{CC'}) \ge 0.$$

Now, for $\varepsilon = \frac{A_{CC'}}{2}$, we obtain $\frac{N_1 - 1}{2} \sim \beta = (i + 1) A$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_1-1} \frac{\alpha\beta - (i+1)A_{CC'}}{i+1} \mid a_i \mid^2 + (\frac{A_{CC'}}{2})^2 \sum_{i=N_1}^{\infty} (\frac{-A_{CC'}}{2}) \ge 0.$$

absurde.

Now if we considere the operator

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} K: & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} \\ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} & \longmapsto & \{\frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n+1}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \end{array}$$

then, K is a bounded linear for \mathcal{H} . furthermore, for each $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle K^*(\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}), K^*(\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1}.$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\beta}{n+1} |a_n|^2 \le \alpha\beta \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2,$$

The following proposition provides a relation between controlled K-fusion frames and controlled fusion frames.

Proposition 3.4. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed range operator \mathcal{R}_K . Then, every (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame is a (C, C')-controlled fusion frame for \mathcal{R}_K .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{(W_i, w_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a (C, C')-controlled K- fusion frame with frame bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$. Then for all $f \in \mathcal{R}_K$, we have

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

Therefore, via lemma 2.5, we have

$$A_{CC'} ||f||^2 \le A_{CC'} ||(K^*)^{\dagger} f||^2 ||K^* f||^2$$

Hence,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|(K^*)^{\dagger}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|K^*f\|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|(K^*)^{\dagger}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

So, we have the result.

If \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled K- fusion frame and $C'^* \pi_{W_i} C$ is a positive operator for each $i \in I$, then $C'^* \pi_{W_i} C = C^* \pi_{W_i} C'$ and we have

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|(C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|^2 \le B_{CC'} \|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{W_i} Cf, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f, (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \| (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \|^2. \end{split}$$

We define the controlled analysis operator by

$$T_{CC'}: \quad \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

$$f \longmapsto T_{CC'}(f) := (w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I},$$

Where

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ (w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I} | f \in \mathcal{H} \} \subseteq (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H})_{l^2}.$$

 \mathcal{K} is closed [KM12] and $T_{CC'}$ is well defined. Morever $T_{CC'}$ is a bounded linear operator. Its adjoint operator is given by

$$T^*_{CC'}: \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} (w_i(C'^*\pi_{W_i}C)^{\frac{1}{2}}f)_{i\in I}) \longmapsto T^*_{CC'}((w_i(C'^*\pi_{W_i}C)^{\frac{1}{2}}f)_{i\in I}) := \sum_{i\in I} w_i^2 C'^*\pi_{W_i}Cf,$$

and is called the controlled synthesis operator.

Therefore, we define the controlled K-fusion frame operator $S_{CC'}$ on \mathcal{H} by

(3.3)
$$S_{CC'} = T^*_{CC'} T_{CC'}(f) = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 C'^* \pi_{W_i} Cf, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In fact, many of the properties of the ordinary K-fusion frames are valid in this case.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$. Then the operator $S_{CC'}$ (3.3) is a well defined, linear, positive, bounded and self-adjoint operator. furthermore, we have

$$(3.4) A_{CC'}KK^* \le S_{CC'} \le B_{CC'}Id_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

- *Proof.* By definition, $S_{CC'}$ is a linear bounded and well defined operator, and it is clear to see that $S_{CC'}$ is a positive and self-adjoint operator.
 - The family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w\}_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$ if and only if

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \langle S_{CC'} f, f \rangle = \langle \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 C'^* \pi_{W_i} Cf, f \rangle \le B_{CC'} \|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

that is,

$$A_{CC'}\langle KK^*f, f\rangle \leq \langle S_{CC'}f, f\rangle \leq B_{CC'}\langle f, f\rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence,

$$A_{CC'}KK^* \le S_{CC'} \le B_{CC'}.Id_{\mathcal{H}},$$

so the conclusion holds.

The next theorem generalizes the situation of controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence. Since it has similar procedure, the proof is omitted.

Theorem 3.6. \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence with bound $B_{CC'}$ if and only if

$$T^*_{CC'}: \quad \mathcal{K} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H} (w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I} \quad \longmapsto \quad T^*_{CC'} ((w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I}) := \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 C'^* \pi_{W_i} C f,$$

is well-defined bounded operator and $||T^*_{CC'}|| \leq \sqrt{B}$.

Controlled K-fusion frame operator of (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame is not invertible in general, but we can show that it is invertible on the subspace $\mathcal{R}_K \subset \mathcal{H}$. In fact, since \mathcal{R}_K is closed

$$KK^{\dagger} \mid_{\mathcal{R}_K} = id_{\mathcal{R}_K},$$

so we have

$$id_{\mathcal{R}_K}^* = (K^{\dagger} \mid_{\mathcal{R}_K})^* K^*.$$

Hence for any $f \in \mathcal{R}_K$

$$||f|| = ||(K^{\dagger}|_{\mathcal{R}_{K}})^{*}K^{*}f|| \le ||K^{\dagger}|| ||K^{*}f||,$$

that is, $||K^*f||^2 \ge ||K^{\dagger}||^{-2} |||f||^2$. Combined which 3.1 we have

$$\langle S_{CC'}f,f\rangle \ge A_{CC'} \|K^*f\|^2 \ge A_{CC'} \|K^{\dagger}\|^2 \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{R}_K.$$

So from the definition of (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame, which implies that $S: \mathcal{R}_K \longrightarrow S(\mathcal{R}_K)$ is an isomorphism, furthermore we have

$$B_{CC'}^{-1} \|f\| \le \|S^{-1}f\| \le A_{CC'}^{-1} \|K^{\dagger}\|^2 \|f\|, \forall f \in (S(\mathcal{R}_K)).$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed range operator \mathcal{R}_K , \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$ if and only if

$$T^*_{CC'}: \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} (w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I} \longmapsto T^*_{CC'} ((w_i (C'^* \pi_{W_i} C)^{\frac{1}{2}} f)_{i \in I}) := \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 C'^* \pi_{W_i} C f,$$

is well-defined and surjective

Proof. Let the sequence \mathcal{W} be a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , and let $S_{CC'}$ be its controlled K-fusion frame operator. Then, it is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence and therefore, by Theorem 3.6, the bounded operator $T^*_{CC'}$ is well-defined. It remains to show that By definition, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC'} \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f \ge B_{CC'} \|f\|^2$$

In particular, we have

$$A_{CC'} \| K^* f \|^2 \le \langle S_{CC'} f, f \rangle \le \| S_{CC'} \| \| f \|.$$

Since, $S_{CC'} = T^*T$, then

$$||S_{CC'}||||f|| \le ||T|| ||Tf||||f||.$$

Hence,

$$A_{CC'} ||T||^{-1} ||K^*f||^2 \le ||Tf|| ||f||.$$

Therefore, via lemma 2.5, we have

$$A_{CC'} \|f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|(K^*)^{\dagger}\|^2 \|K^* f\|^2.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|(K^*)^{\dagger}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \le A_{CC'} \|K^*f\|^2.$$
$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\|T\|\|(K^*)^{\dagger}\|^2} \|f\| \le \|Tf\|$$

Thus, $T^*_{CC'}$ is surjective.

Conversely, let $T^*_{CC'}$ be a well-defined, bounded and surjective, then theorem 3.6 shows that \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence for \mathcal{H} . Therefore, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, since $T^*_{CC'}$ is surjective, then, by Lemma 2.5, there exists an operator $(T^*_{CC'})^{\dagger}$: $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$, such that

$$T^*_{CC'}(T^*_{CC'})^{\dagger} = id$$

Hence,

$$T_{CC'}^{\dagger}T_{CC'} = id.$$

So, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^*f\|^2 &\leq \|K\|^2 \|T_{CC'}^{\dagger}\|^2 \|T_{CC'}\|^2 \\ &= \|T_{CC'}^{\dagger}\|^2 \|K\|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 < \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} C'f > . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proposition 3.8. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $C, C' \in GL^+(\mathcal{H})$ and let \mathcal{W} be a (C, C')controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$ with $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{T^*}$ is orthogonally complemented. If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathcal{R}_T \subset \mathcal{R}_K$. Then \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled T-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{W} be a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC'}\langle K^*f, K^*f\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i}Cf, \pi_{W_i}Cf\rangle \leq B_{CC'}\langle f, f\rangle.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_T \subset \mathcal{R}_K$, so by using lemma 2.8, there exists some $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$TT^* \leq \lambda KK^*.$$

This implies that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC'}\langle T^*f, T^*f\rangle \le A_{CC'}\lambda\langle K^*f, K^*f\rangle.$$

Therfore,

$$\frac{A_{CC'}}{\lambda} \langle T^*f, T^*f \rangle \le A_{CC'} \lambda \langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} Cf, \pi_{W_i} Cf \rangle \le B_{CC'} \langle f, f \rangle \le B_{$$

Then, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled T-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $\frac{A_{CC'}}{\lambda}$ and $B_{CC'}$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $K_1, K_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{K_1} \perp \mathcal{R}_{K_2}$. If \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')controlled K_i -fusion frame for \mathcal{H} (i = 1, 2). Then \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled $(\alpha K_1 + \beta K_1)$ -fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled K_i -fusion frame for \mathcal{H} (i = 1, 2), there exist $A_{CC'}^j, B_{CC'}^j > 0$, such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}, j = 1, 2$, we have

$$A_{CC'}^{j}\langle K_{j}^{*}f, K_{j}^{*}f\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} w_{i}^{2}\langle \pi_{W_{i}}Cf, \pi_{W_{i}}Cf\rangle \leq B_{CC'}^{j}\langle f, f\rangle.$$

Then for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle (\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2)^* f, (\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2)^* f \rangle = \langle \overline{\alpha} K_1^* f + \overline{\beta} K_2^* f, \overline{\alpha} K_1^* f + \overline{\beta} K_2^* f \rangle$$

 $= |\alpha|^2 \langle K_1^* f, K_1^* f \rangle + \overline{\alpha} \beta \langle K_1^* f, K_2^* f \rangle + \alpha \overline{\beta} \langle K_2^* f, K_1^* f \rangle + |\beta|^2 \langle K_2^* f, K_2^* f \rangle.$ Since $\mathcal{R}_{K_1} \perp \mathcal{R}_{K_2}$, then, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle K^* f \ K^* f \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle K_1^* f, K_1^* f \rangle = 0$$

Thus,

, .

$$\langle (\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2)^* f, (\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2)^* f \rangle = |\alpha|^2 \langle K_1^* f, K_1^* f \rangle + |\beta|^2 \langle K_2^* f, K_2^* f \rangle.$$

Therfore, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{A_{CC'}^{1}A_{CC'}^{2}}{2(|\alpha|^{2}A_{CC'}^{1}+|\beta|^{2}A_{CC'}^{2})}\langle(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2})^{*}f,(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2})^{*}f\rangle\\ &= \frac{A_{CC'}^{1}A_{CC'}^{2}|\alpha|^{2}}{2(|\alpha|^{2}A_{CC'}^{1}+|\beta|^{2}A_{CC'}^{2})}\langle K_{1}^{*}f,K_{1}^{*}f\rangle + \frac{A_{CC'}^{1}A_{CC'}^{2}|\beta|^{2}}{2(|\alpha|^{2}A_{CC'}^{1}+|\beta|^{2}A_{CC'}^{2})}\langle K_{2}^{*}f,K_{2}^{*}f\rangle\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i\in I}w_{i}^{2}\langle\pi_{W_{i}}Cf,\pi_{W_{i}}Cf\rangle + \sum_{i\in I}w_{i}^{2}\langle\pi_{W_{i}}Cf,\pi_{W_{i}}Cf\rangle)\\ &\leq \frac{B_{CC'}^{1}+B_{CC'}^{2}}{2}\langle f,f\rangle. \end{split}$$

Thus, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ -fusion frame with bounds $\frac{A_{CC'}^1 A_{CC'}^2}{2(|\alpha|^2 A_{CC'}^1 + |\beta|^2 A_{CC'}^2)}$ and $\frac{B_{CC'}^1 + B_{CC'}^2}{2}$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $C, C' \in GL^+(\mathcal{H})$. Assume that CK = KC, C'K = KC' and SC = CS. Then, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if \mathcal{W} is a K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} . Where S is the K-fusion frame operator (2.3), defined by

$$Sf = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \ \pi_{W_i} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{W} is a K-fusion frame with bounds A and B. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i}f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2.$$

Since, C and C' are linear bounded operators, applying 2.7, there exist constants m, m', M and M' > 0 such that

$$\begin{cases} mI \leq \quad \mathcal{C} \leq MI, \\ m'I \leq \quad \mathcal{C}' \leq M'I. \end{cases}$$
$$\langle SCf, f \rangle = \langle f, CSf \rangle.$$

Then,

$$mKK^* \le CS \le MS \le MBI.$$

We deduce that

$$mm'KK^* \le C'SC \le MM'BI.$$

Therfore, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$mm'A\langle K^*f, K^*f\rangle \le \sum_{i\in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} Cf\pi_{W_i} C'f\rangle \le MM'B||f||^2$$

Thus, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame.

Conversely, Assume that \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds A and B. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} C f, \pi_{W_i} C' f \le B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CC'}\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle &= A_{CC'}\langle (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} K^*f, (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} K^*f \rangle \\ &\leq A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} C(CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, \pi_{W_i} C(CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \\ &= A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} (C)^{\frac{1}{2}} (C')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, \pi_{W_i} (C)^{\frac{1}{2}} (C')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \\ &= A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \langle \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \pi_{W_i} (C)^{\frac{1}{2}} (C')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, \pi_{W_i} (C)^{\frac{1}{2}} (C')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \\ &= A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \langle \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \pi_{W_i} f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^{-2} \langle K^* f, K^* f \rangle \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} f, \pi_{W_i} f \rangle.$$

In the other hand

$$\sum_{i\in I} w_i^2 \langle \pi_{W_i} f, \pi_{W_i} f \rangle = \langle Sf, f \rangle,$$

where $Sf = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \pi_{W_i} f.$

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \langle (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} Sf, f \rangle = \langle (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} Sf, (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle = \langle (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} Sf, (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle = \langle C'SC(CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \le B_{CC'} \| (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \| f \|^2.$$

Thus, \mathcal{W} is a K-fusion frame with bounds $A_{CC'} \| (CC')^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^{-2}$ and $B_{CC'} \| (CC')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|^{2}$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let \mathcal{W} be a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds A_{CC} and B_{CC} . If $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an invertible operator such that $U^*C = CU^*$ and $K^*(U^*)^{-1} = (U^*)^{-1}K^*$, then $(UW_i, w_i)_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds A_{CC} and B_{CC} .

By definition, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} C f\|^2 \le B_{CC} \|f\|^2.$$

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Via lemma 2.6 and since UW_i is closed, we have

$$\|\pi_{W_i} C U^* f\| = \|\pi_{W_i} U^* C f\| = \|\pi_{W_i} U^* \pi_{\overline{UW_i}} C f\| = \|\pi_{W_i} U^* \pi_{UW_i} C f\| \le \|U\| \|\pi_{UW_i} C f\|.$$

Therefore,

$$A_{CC} \|K^* U^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} C U^* f\|^2$$

$$\leq \|U\|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} C f\|^2.$$

$$A_{CC} \|K^* f\|^2 = \|K^* (U^*)^{-1} U^* f\|^2 = \|(U^*)^{-1} K^* U^* f\|^2$$

$$\leq \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|K^* U^* f\|^2.$$

Then, we have

(3.5)
$$\frac{A}{\|U^{-1}\|^{-2}}\|W^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{i\in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i}Cf\|^2.$$

On the other hand, Via lemma 2.6, we obtain with U^{-1} instead of T:

$$\pi_{UW_i} = \pi_{UW_i} (U^*)^{-1} \pi_{W_i} U^*$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{UW_i}Cf\|^2 &= \|\pi_{UW_i}(U^*)^{-1}\pi_{W_i}U^*Cf\|^2 \\ &\leq \|U^{-1}\|^2\|\pi_{W_i}U^*Cf\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} Cf\|^2 \le \|U^{-1}\|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} U^* Cf\|^2.$$

hence,

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} Cf\|^2 \le B_{CC} \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|U\|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds $A_{CC} \|U^{-1}\|^{-2} \|U\|^{-2}$ and $B_{CC} \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|U\|^2$.

Corollary 3.12. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let \mathcal{W} be a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds A_{CC} and B_{CC} If $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary operator such that $U^{-1}C = CU^{-1}$ and $K^*U = UK^*$, then $(UW_i, w_i)_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds A_{CC} and B_{CC} .

By definition, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$A_{CC} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} C f\|^2 \le B_{CC} \|f\|^2.$$

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Since,

$$\|\pi_{W_i} C U^{-1} f\| = \|\pi_{W_i} U^{-1} C f\|$$

Via 2.6, we obtain

$$\pi_{W_i} U^{-1} = \pi_{W_i} U^{-1} \pi_{UW_i}.$$

since by assumption, we have $(U^* = U^{-1})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{W_i} C U^{-1} f\| &= \|\pi_{W_i} U^{-1} \pi_{U W_i} C f\| \\ &\leq \|U^{-1}\| \|\pi_{U W_i} C f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$A_{CC} \| K^* U^{-1} f \|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \| \pi_{W_i} C U^{-1} f \|^2$$

$$\leq \| U^{-1} \|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \| \pi_{UW_i} C f \|^2.$$

And since $K^*U = UK^*$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|K^*f\|^2 &= \|K^*UU^{-1}f\|^2 \\ &= \|UK^*U^{-1}f\|^2 \\ &\leq \|U\|^2 \|K^*U^{-1}f\|^2. \end{split}$$

Thus,

(3.6)
$$\frac{A_{CC}}{\|U\|^2 \|U^{-1}\|^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} C f\|^2.$$

On the other hand, since $\pi_{UW_i}U = U\pi_{W_i}$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} Cf\|^2 = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} UU^{-1} Cf\|^2$$
$$= \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|U\pi_{W_i} U^{-1} Cf\|^2$$
$$\leq \|U\|^2 \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i} U^{-1} Cf\|^2$$
$$\leq B_{CC} \|U\|^2 \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|F\|^2.$$

Thus,

(3.7)
$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \|\pi_{UW_i} Cf\|^2 \le B_{CC} \|U\|^2 \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|F\|^2.$$

By 3.6 and 3.7 we conclude that \mathcal{W} is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame with bounds $A_{CC} \| U^{-1} \|^{-2} \| U \|^{-2}$ and $B_{CC} \| U^{-1} \|^{2} \| U \|^{2}$.

4. Perturbation on Controlled K-fusion frame

The following result provides a sufficient condition on a family of closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} to be a controlled K-fusion frame, in the precence of another controlled K-fusion frame. In fact it is a generalisation of Proposition 2.4 in [AK05], Proposition 4.6 in [CH97] and Proposition 2.6 in [KM12].

Proposition 4.1. Let $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed range operator \mathcal{R}_K , let $T, U \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} with lower and upper bounds $A_{CC'}$ and $B_{CC'}$, respectively. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} . if there exists a number $0 < R < A_{CC'}$ such that

(4.1)
$$0 < \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^*(\pi_{V_i} - \pi_{W_i}) Cf, f \rangle \le R \|f\|^2, \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

then $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence for \mathcal{H} and a (C, C')-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{R}_K .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Considering that the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C)-controlled K-fusion frame for \mathcal{H} , we have

$$A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{W_i} C f, f \rangle \le B_{CC'} \|f\|^2.$$

Firstly, let us prove that $\{V_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a (C, C')-controlled Bessel K-fusion sequence for \mathcal{H} . We have

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{V_i} Cf, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* (\pi_{V_i} - \pi_{W_i}) Cf, f \rangle + \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{W_i} Cf, f \rangle$$

$$\leq R \|f\|^2 + B_{CC'} \|f\|^2,$$

consequently,

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{V_i} C f, f \rangle \le (R + B_{CC'}) \|f\|^2.$$

Now, let us establish for $\{V_i, w_i\}_{i \in I}$ the left-hand side. We obtain

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{V_i} Cf, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{W_i} Cf, f \rangle - \sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* (\pi_{V_i} - \pi_{W_i}) Cf, f \rangle$$
(4.9)

(4.2)
$$\geq A_{CC'} \|K^* f\|^2 - R \|f\|^2$$

Therfore, for any $f \in \mathcal{R}_K$, we have

$$||f|| = ||(K^{\dagger}|_{\mathcal{R}_{K}})^{*}K^{*}f|| \le ||K^{\dagger}|| ||K^{*}f||,$$

that is, $||K^*f||^2 \ge ||K^{\dagger}||^{-2}|||f||^2$.

(4.3)
$$-R\|f\|^2 \ge -R\|K^{\dagger}\|^{-2}\|K^*f\|^2$$

Then, according to 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i^2 \langle C'^* \pi_{V_i} C f, f \rangle \ge (A_{CC'} - R \| K^{\dagger} \|^{-2}) \| K^* f \|^2.$$

Which completes the proof.

Aknowlegements : The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers whose comments helped us to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

- [ABG10] P. Balaz, J-P. Antoine and A.Grybos, Wighted and controlled frames. Int. J. Wavelets Multi. Inf. Process., 8(10) (2010) 109-132.
- [AK05] M. S. Asgari, A. Khoosravi, Frames and bases of subspaces in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. appl. 308 (2005),541-553.
- [AN18] F. A. Neyshaburi and A. A. Arefijamaal. Characterization and construction of K-fusion frames and their duals in Hilbert spaces. Results Math, 73 (2018), 26pp.
- [Ar07] L.Arambasic, On frames for countably generated Hilbert C*-modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(2007) 469-478.
- [CK04] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, Frames and subspaces. In: Wavelets, Frames, and Operator Theory. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 345, pp. 87113. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2004).
- [CKL08] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok and S. Li, Fusion frames and distributed processing, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 25 (2008), 114-132.
- [Ch16] O. Christensen, An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Birkhauser, Boston (2016).

- [CH97] O. Christensen, C. Heil, Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decomposition, Math. Nachr. 185(1997), 33-47.
- [CKL08] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok and S. Li, Fusion frames and distributed processing, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 25 (2008), 114-132.
- [DGM86] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, Y. Meyer, Painless non orthogonal expansions, J. Math. Phys. 27(1986) 1271-1283.
- [DS52] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Am. Math. Soc 72. (1952), 341366.
- [FYY09] X.Fang, J. Yu and H. Yao, Solutions to operator equations On Hilbert Cmodules, linear Alg. Appl, 431(11) (2009) 2142-2153
- [Ga07] L. Gavruta, On the duality of fusion frames, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007), 871-879.
- [Ga12] L. Gavruta, Frames for operators, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 32 (2012), 139-144.
- [KM12] A. Khosravi and K. Musazadeh, Controlled fusion frames, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology (2012), 18(3), 256-265.
- [LR00] D. Han and D. R. Larson, Frames, bases and group representations, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 147, no. 697, pp. 191, 2000.
- [NNR15] M.Nouri, A. Rahimi and Sh. Najafzadeh, Controlled K-frames in Hilbert Spaces, J. of Ramanujan Society of Math. and Math. Sc., 4(2)(2015)39-50.
- [Pa73] W. Paschke, Inner product modules over B^{*}-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (182)(1973), 443-468.
- [SW06] Sun, W., G-Frames and G-Riesz bases. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326, 437-452 (2006).
- [Yo80] R. Young, An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhauser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.

Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Marocco $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{nadia}.assila@uit.ac.ma$

FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, KENITRA, MAROCCO *E-mail address*: samkabbaj@yahoo.fr

FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, KENITRA, MAROCCO *E-mail address*: brahim.moalige@uit.ac.ma