

# Bounds for Gallai-Ramsey functions and numbers <sup>\*</sup>

Zhao Wang<sup>†</sup>, Yaping Mao<sup>‡§¶</sup>, Ran Gu<sup>||</sup>, Suping Cui<sup>\*\*,\*</sup>, Hengzhe Li<sup>††</sup>

## Abstract

For two graphs  $G, H$  and a positive integer  $k$ , the *Gallai-Ramsey number*  $\text{gr}_k(G, H)$  is defined as the minimum number of vertices  $n$  such that any  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_n$  contains either a rainbow (all different colored) copy of  $G$  or a monochromatic copy of  $H$ . If  $G$  and  $H$  are both complete graphs, then we call it Gallai-Ramsey function. Fox and Sudakov proved  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq s^{4kt}$ . Alon et al. showed that  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq (2s^3 + 4s^2)^{kt}$ . In this paper, we prove that  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq 2^{kt}s^{3kt}$  for  $t \geq 47$ . We also give better upper bounds for  $\text{gr}_k(G, H)$  when  $G, H$  are some special graphs. In this paper, we derive some lower bounds for Gallai-Ramsey functions and numbers by Lovász Local Lemma.

**Keywords:** Ramsey theory; Gallai-Ramsey function; Gallai-Ramsey number; Lovász Local Lemma.

**AMS subject classification 2020:** 05D10; 05C80.

## 1 Research Background

Ramsey theory, named from Frank P. Ramsey, is a branch of mathematics that studies the conditions under which order must appear. Problems in Ramsey theory typically ask a question of the form: “how many elements of some structure must there be to guarantee that a particular property will hold?” More specifically, Ron Graham describes Ramsey theory as a “branch of combinatorics”. We refer the readers to [15] for a classical book of Ramsey theory.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11601254, 11551001, 11161037, 61763041, 11661068, and 11461054) and the Qinghai Key Laboratory of Internet of Things Project (2017-ZJ-Y21).

<sup>†</sup>College of Science, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018, China. wangzhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>§</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal University, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China. maoyaping@ymail.com

<sup>¶</sup>Academy of Plateau Science and Sustainability, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China.

<sup>||</sup>College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province 210098, China. rangu@hhu.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal University, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China. jiayoucui@163.com

<sup>††</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, P.R. China. lhz@htu.cn

## 1.1 Ramsey theorem

Let  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $e(G)$ ,  $\delta(G)$  be the vertex set, edge set, size, minimum degree of graph  $G$ , respectively. An  $r$ -coloring is *exact* if all colors are used at least once. In this work, we consider only edge-colorings of graphs. A coloring of a graph is called *rainbow* if no two edges have the same color. Let  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $[n]^2 = \{Y : Y \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, |Y| = 2\}$ .

We write  $n \rightarrow (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  if, for every  $r$ -coloring of  $[n]^2$ , there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and a set  $T$ ,  $|T| = \ell_i$  so that  $[T]^2$  is colored  $i$ . The *Ramsey function*  $R(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  denotes the minimal  $n$  such that

$$n \rightarrow (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r).$$

**Theorem 1.1. (Ramsey's theorem)** [15] *The function  $R$  is well defined, that is, for all  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r$  there exists  $n$  such that*

$$n \rightarrow (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r).$$

The Ramsey function only consider complete graphs. But later, the Ramsey number are considered for general graphs. Given  $k$  graphs  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$ , let  $R(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k)$  denote the minimum number of vertices  $n$  needed so that every  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_n$  contains a monochromatic  $H_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If  $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_k$ , then we write the number as  $R_k(H)$ .

We refer the readers to [25] for a dynamic survey of small Ramsey numbers.

## 1.2 Gallai-Ramsey number and function

Colorings of complete graphs that contain no rainbow triangle have very interesting and somewhat surprising structure. In 1967, Gallai [14] first examined this structure under the guise of transitive orientations. The result was reproven in [19] in the terminology of graphs and can also be traced to [2]. For the following statement, a trivial partition is a partition into only one part.

**Theorem 1.2** ([2, 14, 19]). *In any coloring of a complete graph containing no rainbow triangle, there exists a nontrivial partition of the vertices (that is, with at least two parts) such that there are at most two colors on the edges between the parts and only one color on the edges between each pair of parts.*

For ease of notation, we refer to a colored complete graph with no rainbow triangle as a *Gallai-coloring* and the partition provided by Theorem 1.2 as a *Gallai-partition*. The induced subgraph of a Gallai colored complete graph constructed by selecting a single vertex from each part of a Gallai partition is called the *reduced graph* of that partition. By Theorem 1.2, the reduced graph is a 2-colored complete graph.

Although the reduced graph of a Gallai partition uses only two colors, the original Gallai-colored complete graph could certainly use more colors. With this in mind, we consider the following generalization of the Ramsey numbers. Given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the  *$k$ -colored Gallai-Ramsey number*  $gr_k(G : H)$  is defined to be the minimum integer  $m$  such that every  $k$ -edge-coloring

of the complete graph on  $m$  vertices contains either a rainbow copy of  $G$  or a monochromatic copy of  $H$ . With the additional restriction of forbidding the rainbow copy of  $G$ , it is clear that  $\text{gr}_k(G : H) \leq R_k(H)$  for any graph  $G$ .

In [18], Gyárfás et al. obtained the following nice result.

**Theorem 1.3.** [18] *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph with no isolated vertices. If  $H$  is not bipartite, then  $\text{gr}_k(K_3 : H)$  is exponential in  $k$ . If  $H$  is bipartite, then  $\text{gr}_k(K_3 : H)$  is linear in  $k$ .*

We refer the interested reader to [23] for a book and [5, 12, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 24, 29, 30] for recent papers on Gallai-Ramsey numbers.

We write  $n \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_k} (s, t)$  if, for every  $k$ -coloring of  $[n]^2$ , there exists a set  $S$  such that  $[S]^2$  is rainbow or there exists a set  $T$  so that  $[T]^2$  is monochromatic. If  $|S| = s$  and  $|T| = t$ , then the *Gallai-Ramsey function*  $\text{gr}_k(s, t, t, \dots, t)$  ( $k$  times of  $t$ ) or  $\text{gr}_k(s, t)$  denotes the minimal  $n$  such that

$$n \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_k} (s, t).$$

Note that  $\text{gr}_k(s, t) = \text{gr}_k(K_s : K_t)$ . If  $k = 2$ , then  $\text{gr}_2(s, t) = \text{gr}_2(K_s : K_t) = r(s, t)$ .

Since  $\text{gr}_k(G : H) \leq R_k(H)$  for any graph  $G$ , it follows that the following corollary is immediate from Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.4.** *The function  $\text{gr}_k$  is well defined, that is, for all  $s, t$  there exists  $n$  such that*

$$n \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_k} (s, t).$$

### 1.3 Main results

In 1981, Erdős [7] proposed studying the following generalization of the classical Ramsey problem. Let  $p, q$  be positive integers with  $2 \leq q \leq \binom{p}{2}$ . A  $(p, q)$ -coloring of  $K_n$  is an edge-coloring such that every copy of  $K_p$  receives at least  $q$  distinct colors. Let  $f(n, p, q)$  be the minimum number of colors in a  $(p, q)$ -coloring of  $K_n$ . Determining the numbers  $f(n, p, 2)$  is equivalent to determining the multicolor Ramsey numbers  $R_k(K_p)$ , as an edge-coloring is a  $(p, 2)$ -coloring if and only if it does not contain a monochromatic  $K_p$ . Let  $g(k)$  be the largest positive integer  $n$  for which there is a  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_n$ , in which every  $K_4$  receives at least three colors, i.e., for which  $f(n, 4, 3) \leq k$ . Restated,  $g(k) + 1$  is the smallest positive integer  $n$  for which every  $k$ -edge-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains a  $K_4$  that receives at most two colors.

Fox and Sudakov [11] obtained the first exponential upper bound for this problem.

**Theorem 1.5.** [11] *For  $k > 2^{100}$ , we have  $g(k) < 2^{2000k}$ .*

They got the following upper bound.

**Theorem 1.6.** [11]  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq s^{4kt}$ .

Given a positive integer  $m$ , we define an edge-coloring of a (host) graph to be  $m$ -good if each color appears at most  $m$  times at each vertex. Given any graph  $H$ , let  $g(m, H)$  denote the smallest  $n$  such that every  $m$ -good coloring of  $E(K_n)$  yields a rainbow copy of  $H$ .

Alon et al. [1] derived the following result.

**Theorem 1.7.** [1] *For all positive integers  $m, s$  we have  $g(m, K_s) \leq 2ms^3 + 4ms^2$ .*

**Corollary 1.8.**  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq (2s^3 + 4s^2)^{kt}$ .

In Section 2, we get the following result by the idea in [1, 11].

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $r, s, t$  be three integers with  $r \leq 2(s-r)^3 - \binom{s-r}{2}$  and  $t \geq 47$ . Let  $G_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) be a graph obtained from the following steps.*

- (1)  $G_1$  is a graph of order  $s$ ;
- (2)  $G_i$  is a graph obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  by deleting all leaves;
- (3) There exists an integer  $a$  such that  $G_a$  is a complete graph.

If the total number of deleted edges is  $r$ , then

$$\text{gr}_k(G_1, K_t) \leq 2^{kt}(s-r)^{3kt}.$$

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 1.10.** *For  $t \geq 47$ ,  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq 2^{kt}s^{3kt}$ .*

The following result is also due to Alon et al. [1].

**Theorem 1.11.** [1] *For any positive integer  $s$  sufficiently large, there exists an absolute constant  $c$  such that, for all admissible integers  $m$  and  $s$ , we have  $g(m, K_s) < \frac{cms^3}{\ln s}$ .*

We can give a better upper bound by Theorem 1.11.

**Corollary 1.12.** *For any positive integer  $s$  sufficiently large, there exists an absolute constant  $c$  such that, for all admissible integers  $m$  and  $s$ , we have  $\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) \leq (\frac{cs^3}{\ln s})^{kt}$ .*

**Theorem 1.13.** [1] *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $s$  vertices and maximum degree  $d$ . For all positive integers  $m$ , we have  $g(m, G) \leq 2md^2s + 32md^4 + 4s$ .*

**Theorem 1.14.** *Let  $s, t$  be two integers, and let  $F_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) be a graph obtained from the following steps.*

- (1)  $F_1$  is a graph of order  $s$ ;
- (2)  $F_i$  is a graph obtained from  $F_{i-1}$  by deleting all leaves;

(3) *There exists an integer  $a$  such that  $F_a$  has no leaves.*

If  $\Delta(F_a) = d \geq 2$ , and  $e(F_1) = b$ ,  $b \leq 2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4$ , and the total number of deleted edges is  $r$ , then

$$\text{gr}_k(F_1, K_t) \leq (2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4)^{kt} + 4(s-r) \frac{(2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4)^{kt} - 1}{2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4 - 1}.$$

**Theorem 1.15.** *Let  $s, t$  be two integers, and let  $T$  be a tree of order  $s$ . Then*

$$\text{gr}_k(T, K_t) \leq (s-1)^{kt}.$$

The probabilistic method is a powerful technique for approaching asymptotic combinatorial problems. The following probability result, due to L. Lovász, fundamentally improves the Existence argument in many instances.

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be events in a probability space  $\Omega$ . We say that the graph  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a *dependency graph*  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  if:

$$\{i\} \text{ not joined to } j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s \implies A_i \text{ and } A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_s} \text{ are independent.}$$

**Theorem 1.16. (Lovász Local Lemma [9])** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be events in a probability space  $\Omega$  with dependence graph  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that there exists  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with  $0 < x_i \leq 1$  such that*

$$\Pr[A_i] < (1 - x_i) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \Gamma} x_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then  $\Pr[\bigwedge_i \overline{A_i}] > 0$ .

A slightly more convenient form of the local lemma results from the following observation. Set

$$y_i = \frac{1 - x_i}{x \Pr[A_i]},$$

so that

$$x_i = \frac{1}{1 + y_i \Pr[A_i]}.$$

Since  $1 + z \leq \exp(z)$ , we have:

**Corollary 1.17.** [15] *Suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are events in a probability space having dependence graph  $\Omega$ , and there exist positive  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  satisfying*

$$\ln y_i > \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \Gamma} y_j \Pr[A_j] + y_i \Pr[A_i],$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\Pr[\bigwedge_i \overline{A_i}] > 0$ .

In [27], Spencer studied the some asymptotic lower bounds for Ramsey functions. Li et al. [22] investigated the asymptotic upper bounds for Ramsey functions. Chen et al. [4] got the asymptotic bounds for irredundant and mixed Ramsey numbers. Caro et al. [3] obtained the asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graphs. In [17], Godbole et al. studied the asymptotic lower bound on the diagonal Ramsey numbers. Erdős and Hattingh [8] investigated the asymptotic bounds for irredundant Ramsey numbers.

In Subsection 2.1, we obtain a lower bound of Gallai-Ramsey function for a fixed probability of receiving colors for each edge. By Lovász Local Lemma, we derive another lower bound of Gallai-Ramsey function for a flexible probability of receiving colors for each edge. In Subsection 2.2, we got some lower bounds for Gallai-Ramsey numbers by the same method.

## 2 Upper bounds

For an edge-coloring of  $K_n$ , a vertex  $x$ , and a color  $i$ , let  $d_i(x)$  denote the degree of vertex  $x$  in color  $i$ . Our first lemma shows that if, for every vertex  $x$  and color  $i$ ,  $d_i(x)$  is not too large, then the coloring contains many rainbow cliques.

**Lemma 2.1.** [11] *If an edge-coloring of the complete graph  $K_n$  satisfies  $d_i(x) \leq \delta n$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , then this coloring has at most  $\frac{5}{8}\delta t^4 \binom{n}{t}$  non-rainbow copies of  $K_t$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $t$  be an integer with  $t \geq 47$ . If an edge-coloring of the complete graph  $K_n$  satisfies  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n}{2t^3}$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , then there is a rainbow copy of  $K_t$ .*

*Proof.* If a  $K_t$  is not rainbow, then it has two adjacent edges of the same color or two nonadjacent edges of the same color. Let  $\nu(i, t, n)$  be the number of copies of  $K_t$  in  $K_n$  in which there are at least two adjacent edges of color  $i$ . To bound the number of such  $K_t$  we can first choose the vertex, then the two edges with color  $i$  incident to this vertex and then the remaining  $t-3$  vertices. Hence, the number of  $K_t$ 's for which there is a vertex with degree at least two in some color is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \nu(i, t, n) &\leq \sum_i \sum_{x \in V} \binom{d_i(x)}{2} \binom{n-3}{t-3} \leq 2nt^3 \binom{\frac{n}{2t^3}}{2} \binom{n-3}{t-3} \\ &< \frac{n^3}{4t^3} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^3 \binom{n}{t} = \frac{1}{4} \binom{n}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi(i, t, n)$  be the number of copies of  $K_t$  in  $K_n$  in which there is a matching of size at least two in color  $i$ . Let  $x$  be the number of two edges of a matching with the same color. Then the

number of  $K_t$ 's in which there is a matching of size at least two in some color is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \psi(i, t, n) &\leq x \binom{n-4}{t-4} - \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{4} \rfloor} \binom{x}{i} \binom{n-4i}{t-4i} \\
&\leq x \binom{n-4}{t-4} - \binom{x}{2} \binom{n-8}{t-8} \\
&\leq \frac{\binom{n-4}{t-4}}{\binom{n-8}{t-8}} \binom{n-4}{t-4} - \binom{\binom{n-4}{t-4}}{\binom{n-8}{t-8}} \binom{n-8}{t-8} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(n-4)(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)}{(t-4)(t-5)(t-6)(t-7)} + 1 \right) \binom{n-4}{t-4} \leq \frac{3}{4} \binom{n}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the number of  $K_t$ 's which are not rainbow is at most  $\frac{1}{4} \binom{n}{t} + \frac{3}{4} \binom{n}{t} - 1 = \binom{n}{t} - 1$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

The following idea for the upper bound is from [11].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $r, s, t$  be three integers with  $r \leq 2(s-r)^3 - \binom{s-r}{2}$  and  $t \geq 47$ . Let  $G_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) be a graph obtained from the following steps.*

- (1)  $G_1$  is a graph of order  $s$ ;
- (2)  $G_i$  is a graph obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  by deleting all leaves;
- (3) There exists an integer  $a$  such that  $G_a$  is a complete graph.

*If the total number of deleted edges is  $r$ , and an edge-coloring of  $K_n$  satisfies  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n}{2(s-r)^3}$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , then there is a rainbow copy of  $G_1$  in  $K_n$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, for every edge-coloring of  $K_n$ , there exists a rainbow  $K_{s-r}$ . Since  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n}{2(s-r)^3}$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , it follows that for any vertex  $u \in K_{s-r}$ , the number of different colors of  $u$  is at least  $2(s-r)^3$ . So there is a rainbow copy of  $G$ .  $\square$

Let  $M_s(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  be the maximum  $n$  such that there is a  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_n$  with colors  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  without a rainbow  $G$  defined in Lemma 2.3 and without a monochromatic  $K_{t_i}$  in color  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$M_s(t_1, \dots, t_k) \leq 2(s-r)^3 \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} M_s(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_k).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, for every edge-coloring of  $K_n$  without a rainbow  $G$ , there is a vertex  $v$  with degree at least  $n/2(s-r)^3$  in some color  $i$ . If the coloring of  $K_n$  does not contain a monochromatic  $K_{t_i}$  in color  $i$ , then the neighborhood of  $v$  in color  $i$  has at least  $n/2(s-r)^3$  vertices and does not contain  $K_{t_i}$  in color  $i$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.8:** Let  $m = \frac{n}{2s^3+4s^2}$  in Theorem 1.7 and apply Lemma 2.4, the result is immediate.

**Proof of Theorem 1.9:** From Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, the result follows.

**Proof of Corollary 1.12:** Let  $m = \frac{n \ln s}{cs^3}$  in Theorem 1.7 and apply Lemma 2.4, the result follows.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $r, s, t$  be three integers. Let  $F_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) be a graph obtained from the following steps.*

- (1)  $F_1$  is a graph of order  $s$ ;
- (2)  $F_i$  is a graph obtained from  $F_{i-1}$  by deleting all leaves;
- (3) There exists an integer  $a$  such that  $F_a$  has no leaves.

Let  $\Delta(F_a) = d \geq 2$ , and  $e(F_1) = b$ ,  $b \leq 2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4$ , and the total number of deleted edges is  $r$ . If an edge-coloring of  $K_n$  satisfies  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n-4(s-r)}{2d^2(s-r)+32d^4}$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , then there is a rainbow copy of  $F_1$  in  $K_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m = \frac{n-4(s-r)}{2d^2(s-r)+32d^4}$  in Theorem 1.7, we have  $g(\frac{n-4(s-r)}{2d^2(s-r)+32d^4}, G) \leq n$ . For every edge-coloring of  $K_n$ , there exists a rainbow copy of  $F_a$ . Since  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n-4(s-r)}{2d^2(s-r)+32d^4}$  for each  $x \in V(K_n)$  and each color  $i$ , it follows that for any vertex  $u \in F_a$ , the number of different colors of  $u$  at least  $2d^2(s-r) + 32d^4$ . So there is a rainbow copy of  $F_1$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.14:** From Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, the result follows.

**Lemma 2.6.** *let  $T$  be a tree of order  $s$ . If an edge-coloring of the  $T$  satisfies  $d_i(x) \leq \frac{n}{s-1}$  for each  $x \in V(T)$  and each color  $i$ , then there is a rainbow copy of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* For any vertex  $u \in T$ , the number of different colors of  $u$  is at least  $s-1$ , and so there is a rainbow copy of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.15:** From Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, the result follows.

## 3 Lower bounds

### 3.1 Results for Gallai-Ramsey function

For a fixed probability of receiving colors for each edge, we can derive the following lower bound of  $\text{gr}_k(s, t)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $s, t$  be two positive integers with  $r, s \geq 3$ . For  $k \geq \binom{s}{2}$ , we have*

$$\text{gr}_k(K_s, K_t) > \frac{1}{e} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{s}{\sqrt[s]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}}, \frac{t}{\sqrt[t]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}} \right\},$$

$$\text{where } L = \left( \frac{e}{\binom{s}{2}} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}} \binom{s}{2}!.$$



*Proof.* More precisely, we show that if

$$\max \left\{ \left( \frac{ne}{s} \right)^s \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right), \left( \frac{ne}{t} \right)^t \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right) \right\} < 1,$$

then  $\text{gr}_k(s, t) > n$ , that is, there exists a  $k$ -coloring of  $K_n$  with vertex set  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  containing neither a rainbow  $K_s$  nor a monochromatic  $K_t$ . Consider a random  $k$ -coloring of  $K_n$ , where the color of each edge is determined by the toss of a fair coin. More precisely, we have a probability space whose elements are the  $k$ -colorings of  $K_n$ , and whose probabilities are determined by setting

$$\Pr[\{u_i, u_j\} \text{ is } c_x] = k^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $u_i, u_j \in V(K_n)$ ,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are the all colors and  $1 \leq x \leq k$ , for all  $i, j$  and making these probabilities mutually independent.

Thus there are  $k^{\binom{n}{2}}$  colorings, each with probability  $k^{-\binom{n}{2}}$ . For any set of vertices  $S$ ,  $|S| = s$ , let  $A_S$  denote the event “ $S$  is rainbow.” Then

$$\Pr[A_S] = \frac{k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k - \binom{s}{2} + 1)}{k^{\binom{s}{2}}}.$$

For any set of vertices  $T$ ,  $|T| = t$ , let  $B_T$  denote the event “ $T$  is monochromatic.” Then

$$\Pr[B_T] = k^{1-\binom{t}{2}},$$

as the  $\binom{t}{2}$  “coin flips” to determine the colors of  $[S]^2$  must be the same.

The event “some  $s$ -element set of vertices  $S$  is rainbow” is represented by  $\bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S$ , and “some  $t$ -element set of vertices  $T$  is monochromatic” is represented by  $\bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T \right) \right] &\leq \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S \right) \right] + \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{|S|=s} \Pr[A_S] + \sum_{|T|=t} \Pr[B_T] \\ &= \binom{n}{s} \frac{k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k - \binom{s}{2} + 1)}{k^{\binom{s}{2}}} + \binom{n}{t} k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \\ &< \binom{n}{s} \binom{k}{\binom{s}{2}} \frac{\binom{s}{2}!}{k^{\binom{s}{2}}} + \binom{n}{t} k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \\ &< \binom{n}{s} \left( \frac{ke}{\binom{s}{2}} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}} \frac{\binom{s}{2}!}{k^{\binom{s}{2}}} + \binom{n}{t} k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \\ &< \binom{n}{s} \left( \frac{e}{\binom{s}{2}} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}} \binom{s}{2}! + \binom{n}{t} k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \\ &= \binom{n}{s} L + \binom{n}{t} k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N = \binom{n}{s}L + \binom{n}{t}k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}$ . If  $s \leq t$ , then

$$N < \binom{n}{t} \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right) \leq \left( \frac{ne}{t} \right)^t \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right), \text{ and hence } n < \frac{t}{e \sqrt[t]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}}.$$

If  $s > t$ , then

$$N < \binom{n}{s} \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right) \leq \left( \frac{ne}{s} \right)^s \left( L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}} \right), \text{ and hence } n < \frac{s}{e \sqrt[s]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}}.$$

From the above argument, we have

$$\text{gr}_k(s, t) > \frac{1}{e} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{s}{\sqrt[s]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}}, \frac{t}{\sqrt[t]{L + k^{1-\binom{t}{2}}}} \right\}.$$

□

For a flexible probability of receiving colors for each edge, we have the following two results.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If, for some  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ ,  $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ),*

$$\binom{n}{s} \left[ \left( \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \right)! \right] \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\binom{s}{2}} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} p_{x_1} p_{x_2} \cdots p_{x_{\binom{s}{2}}} + \binom{n}{t} \sum_{x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} p_{x_i}^{\binom{t}{2}} < 1,$$

*then  $\text{gr}_k(s, t) > n$ , where  $k \geq \binom{s}{2}$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are all  $k$  colors.*

*Proof.* We use the existence argument of Theorem 3.1, replacing by (1),

$$\Pr[\{u_i, u_j\} \text{ is } c_x] = p_x,$$

where  $u_i, u_j \in V(K_n)$ ,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are the all colors and  $1 \leq x \leq k$ , for all  $i, j$  and making these probabilities mutually independent.

For  $S$ ,  $|S| = s$  let  $A_S$  be the event “[ $S$ ]<sup>2</sup> is rainbow,” and for  $T$ ,  $|T| = t$ , let  $B_T$  be the event “[ $T$ ]<sup>2</sup> is monochromatic.” Then

$$\Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T \right) \right] < 1,$$

so the desired coloring of  $K_n$  exists. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $k, s, t$  be two positive integers with  $r, s \geq 6$  and  $k \geq \binom{s}{2}$ . Then*

$$\text{gr}_k(s, t) > \frac{1}{\beta c_2} \left( \frac{(t-1)N^{-1/\gamma}}{\ln((t-1)N^{-1/\gamma})} \right)^\beta,$$

where

$$\beta = \left[ \binom{s}{2} - 1 \right] / (s+1), \quad \gamma = \binom{s}{2} - 1, \quad N = \binom{s}{2} (k-1)^{2-\binom{s}{2}} (k-2)(k-3) \cdots \left( k - \binom{s}{2} + 1 \right).$$

*Proof.* Let the edges of  $K_n$  be independently  $k$ -colored with the probability that an edge is colored  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) always being  $\frac{p}{k-1}$ , and  $c_k$  being  $1-p$ . To each  $s$ -element subset of vertices  $S$  associate the event  $A_S$  that all the edges spanned by  $S$  have colored rainbow. To each  $t$ -element subset of vertices  $T$  associate the event  $B_T$  that all the edges spanned by  $T$  have colored monochromatic. Observe that  $\text{gr}_k(s, t) > n$  if

$$\Pr \left[ \left( \bigwedge_S \overline{A_S} \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_T \overline{B_T} \right) \right] > 0.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the graph  $\binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{t}$  vertices corresponding to all possible  $A_S$  and  $B_T$ , where  $\{A_S, B_T\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $|S \cap T| \geq 2$  (i.e., the events  $A_S$  and  $B_T$  are independent), the same applies to pairs of the form  $\{A_S, A_{S'}\}$  and  $\{B_T, B_{T'}\}$ . Let  $N_{AA}$  denote the number of vertices of the form  $A_S$  for some  $S$  joined to some other vertex of this form, and let  $N_{AB}, N_{BA}$  and  $N_{BB}$  be defined analogously. If there exist positive  $p, y, z$  such that

$$\log y > y \Pr[A_S](N_{AA} + 1) + z \Pr[B_T]N_{AB}, \quad \log z > y \Pr[A_S]N_{BA} + z \Pr[B_T](N_{BB} + 1), \quad (2)$$

then  $\text{GR}_k(s, t) > n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[A_S] &= (k-1)(k-2) \cdots \left( k - \binom{s}{2} \right) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}} \\ &\quad + \binom{s}{2} (1-p)(k-1)(k-2) \cdots \left( k - \binom{s}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}-1} \\ &\leq \binom{s}{2} (k-1)(k-2) \cdots \left( k - \binom{s}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{s}{2}-1} \left[ \left( k - \binom{s}{2} \right) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right) + (1-p) \right] \\ &\leq Np^{\binom{s}{2}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[B_T] &= (1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}} + (k-1) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{t}{2}} \leq (1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}} + (k-1) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{t}{2}} \\ &\leq (1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}} + p \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{\binom{t}{2}-1} \leq (1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}} + p(1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}-1} = (1-p)^{\binom{t}{2}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N(A, B) &= \binom{n}{t} - \binom{n-s}{t} - s \binom{n-s-1}{t-1} \leq \binom{n}{t}, \quad N(A, A) + 1 \leq \binom{n}{s}, \\ N(B, B) + 1 &= \binom{n}{t} - \binom{n-t}{t} - t \binom{n-t}{t-1} < \binom{n}{t}, \quad N(B, A) \leq \binom{n}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$p = c_1 n^{-1/\beta} \cdot N^{(-1)/\gamma}, \quad t-1 = c_2 n^{1/\beta} (\ln n) N^{1/\gamma},$$

and

$$z = \exp [c_3 n^{1/\beta} (\ln n)^2 N^{1/\gamma}], \quad y = 1 + \epsilon,$$

where

$$\ln(1 + \epsilon) > \frac{(1 + \epsilon)c_1 \binom{s}{2}^{-1}}{n} + \exp(n^{1/\beta} (\ln n)^2 N^{1/\gamma}) \left( c_3 + c_2 - \frac{c_1 c_2^2}{4} \right).$$

Observe that

$$\ln y > y \cdot N p \binom{s}{2}^{-1} \cdot \binom{n}{s} + z \left[ (1 - p) \binom{t}{2}^{-1} \right] \cdot \binom{n}{t}$$

and

$$\ln z > y \cdot N p \binom{s}{2}^{-1} \cdot \binom{n}{s} + z \left[ (1 - p) \binom{t}{2}^{-1} \right] \cdot \binom{n}{t}.$$

If  $c_3 + c_2 - \frac{c_1 c_2^2}{4} < 0$  and  $t$  is large, then the equations (2) hold. Since

$$t - 1 = c_2 n^{1/\beta} (\ln n) N^{1/\gamma} = (\beta c_2) n^{1/\beta} (\ln n^{1/\beta}) N^{1/\gamma} < (\beta c_2) n^{1/\beta} \ln(t N^{-1/\gamma}),$$

it follows that

$$n^{1/\beta} > \frac{(t - 1) \cdot N^{1/\gamma}}{(\beta c_2) \ln((t - 1) N^{-1/\gamma})},$$

and hence the result follows.  $\square$

### 3.2 Results for Gallai-Ramsey number

For a fixed probability of receiving colors for each edge, we can derive the following lower bound of  $\text{gr}_k(G, H)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G, H$  be two graphs of order  $r, s \geq 4$  and size  $m_s, m_t$ , respectively. Let  $x^* = \min\{x \mid \binom{x}{2} \geq m_s\}$  and  $y^* = \min\{y \mid \binom{y}{2} \geq m_t\}$ . For  $k \geq 2$ ,*

$$\text{gr}_k(G, H) > \frac{\ell}{e} \cdot \left( k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k - m_s + 1) X \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)^{m_s} + k \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)^{m_t} Y \right)^{(-1)/\ell},$$

where

$$X = \binom{\binom{s}{2}}{\binom{m_s}{2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-x^*} \binom{s}{i} \binom{\binom{s-i}{2}}{\binom{m_s}{2}}, \quad Y = \binom{\binom{t}{2}}{\binom{m_t}{2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{t-y^*} \binom{t}{i} \binom{\binom{t-i}{2}}{\binom{m_t}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* More precisely, we show that if

$$\left( \frac{ne}{\ell} \right)^\ell N < 1,$$

then  $\text{gr}_k(s, t) > n$ , that is, there exists a  $k$ -coloring of  $K_n$  with vertex set  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  containing neither a rainbow  $G$  nor a monochromatic  $H$ . Consider a random  $k$ -coloring of  $K_n$ , where the color of each edge is determined by the toss of a fair coin. More precisely, we have a probability space whose elements are the  $k$ -colorings of  $K_n$ , and whose probabilities are determined by setting

$$\Pr[\{u_i, u_j\} \text{ is } c_x] = k^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are the all colors and  $1 \leq x \leq k$ , for all  $i, j$  and making these probabilities mutually independent.

Thus there are  $k^{\binom{n}{2}}$  colorings, each with probability  $k^{-\binom{n}{2}}$ . For any set of vertices  $S$ ,  $|S| = s$ , let  $A_S$  denote the event that all the induced graphs spanned by  $S$  contains a rainbow  $G$ . Then

$$\Pr[A_S] \leq k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_s}.$$

For any set of vertices  $T$ ,  $|T| = t$ , let  $B_T$  denote the event that all the induced graphs spanned by  $T$  contains a monochromatic  $H$ . Then

$$\Pr[B_T] \leq k \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_t} Y.$$

as the  $\binom{t}{2}$  ‘‘coin flips’’ to determine the colors of  $[S]^2$  must be the same.

The event ‘‘some  $S$  of vertices that  $G$  is rainbow’’ is represented by  $\bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S$ , and ‘‘some  $T$  of vertices that  $H$  is monochromatic’’ is represented by  $\bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T \right) \right] &\leq \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|S|=s} A_S \right) \right] + \Pr \left[ \left( \bigvee_{|T|=t} B_T \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{|S|=s} \Pr[A_S] + \sum_{|T|=t} \Pr[B_T] \\ &= \binom{n}{s} k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \cdot \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_s} \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{t} k \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_t} Y \\ &\leq \binom{n}{\ell} N, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell = \max\{\min\{s, n-s\}, \min\{t, n-t\}\}$  and

$$N = k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_s} + k \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_t} Y.$$

Furthermore, if

$$\binom{n}{\ell} N < \left(\frac{ne}{\ell}\right)^\ell N < 1,$$

then

$$n < \frac{\ell}{eN^{1/\ell}}.$$

From the above argument, we have

$$\text{gr}_k(G, H) > \frac{\ell}{e} \cdot \left( k(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_s} + k \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{m_t} Y \right)^{(-1)/\ell}.$$

□

For two general graphs, we can give lower bound for Gallai-Ramsey number.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $s \geq 4$  and size  $m_s$ , respectively. and  $H$  is a complete graph of order  $t$ . Let  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  be three numbers with  $c_3 + \frac{c_2}{2} - \frac{c_1 c_2^2}{2} < 0$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , if and  $m_s \geq 2s$ , then*

$$\text{gr}_k(G, H) > \left( \frac{(t-1)(s+1)L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)}}{c_2(m_s-1) \ln [(t-1)L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)}]} \right)^{(m_s-1)/(s+1)},$$

where

$$L = m_s(k-1)^{2-m_s}(k-2)(k-3) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X,$$

and

$$X = \binom{\binom{s}{2}}{m_s} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-x^*} \binom{s}{i} \binom{\binom{s-i}{2}}{m_s}.$$

*Proof.* Let the edges of  $K_n$  be independently  $k$ -colored with the probability that an edge is colored  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) always being  $\frac{p}{k-1}$ , and  $c_k$  being  $1-p$ . For a vertex subset  $S$  with exactly  $s$  vertices, the event  $A_S$  that all the induced graphs spanned by  $S$  contains a rainbow  $G$ . For a vertex subset  $T$  with exactly  $t$  vertices, the event  $B_T$  that all the induced graphs spanned by  $T$  contains a rainbow  $H$ . Observe that  $\text{gr}_k(G, H) > n$  if

$$\Pr \left[ \left( \bigwedge_S \overline{A_S} \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_T \overline{B_T} \right) \right] > 0.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the graph  $\binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{t}$  vertices corresponding to all possible  $A_S$  and  $B_T$ , where  $\{A_S, B_T\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $|S \cap T| \geq 2$  and  $|E(G) \cap E(H)| = 2$  (i.e., the events  $A_S$  and  $B_T$  are independent), the same applies to pairs of the form  $\{A_S, A_{S'}\}$  and  $\{B_T, B_{T'}\}$ . Let  $N_{AA}$  denote the number of vertices of the form  $A_S$  for some  $S$  joined to some other vertex of this form, and let  $N_{AB}, N_{BA}$  and  $N_{BB}$  be defined analogously.

If there exist positive  $p, y, z$  such that

$$\log y > y \Pr[A_S](N_{AA} + 1) + z \Pr[B_T]N_{AB}, \quad \log z > y \Pr[A_S]N_{BA} + z \Pr[B_T](N_{BB} + 1), \quad (4)$$

then  $\text{gr}_k(G, H) > n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[A_S] &\leq (k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s) X \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_s} \\ &\quad + m_s(1-p)(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_s-1} \\ &= m_s(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_s-1} \cdot \left[ (k-m_s) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right) + 1-p \right] \\ &\leq m_s(k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_s-1} \\ &= m_s(k-1)^{2-m_s}(k-2)(k-3) \cdots (k-m_s+1) X p^{m_s-1} = L p^{m_s-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[B_T] &\leq \left[ (1-p)^{m_t} + (k-1) \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_t} \right] = \left[ (1-p)^{m_t} + p \left( \frac{p}{k-1} \right)^{m_t-1} \right] \\ &\leq \left[ (1-p)^{m_t} + p(1-p)^{m_t-1} \right] = (1-p)^{m_t-1} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N(A, B) &= \binom{n}{t} - \binom{n-s}{t} - s \binom{n-s-1}{t-1} \leq \binom{n}{t}, \quad N(A, A) + 1 \leq \binom{n}{s}, \\ N(B, B) + 1 &= \binom{n}{t} - \binom{n-t}{t} - t \binom{n-t}{t-1} < \binom{n}{t}, \quad N(B, A) \leq \binom{n}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$p = c_1 n^{-(s+1)/(m_s-1)} \cdot L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)}, \quad t-1 = c_2 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} (\ln n) L^{1/(m_s-1)},$$

and

$$z = \exp \left[ c_3 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} (\ln n)^2 L^{1/(m_s-1)} \right], \quad y = 1 + \epsilon.$$

Observe that

$$\ln y > y \cdot L p^{m_s-1} \cdot \binom{n}{s} + z \cdot (1-p)^{m_t-1} \cdot \binom{n}{t}$$

and

$$\ln z > y \cdot L p^{m_s-1} \cdot \binom{n}{s} + z \cdot (1-p)^{m_t-1} \cdot \binom{n}{t}.$$

Note that  $m_t = \binom{t}{2}$ . If  $c_3 + \frac{c_2}{2} - \frac{c_1 c_2^2}{2} < 0$  and  $t$  is large, then the equations (4) hold. Since

$$\begin{aligned} t &= c_2 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} (\ln n) L^{1/(m_s-1)} + 1 \\ &= c_2 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} \frac{m_s-1}{s+1} (\ln n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)}) L^{1/(m_s-1)} + 1 \\ &\leq c_2 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} \frac{m_s-1}{s+1} (\ln n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)}) L^{1/(m_s-1)} + 1 \\ &\leq c_2 n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} \cdot \frac{m_s-1}{s+1} \cdot \ln \left[ (t-1) L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)} \right] \cdot L^{1/(m_s-1)} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$n^{(s+1)/(m_s-1)} > \frac{(t-1)(s+1)L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)}}{c_2(m_s-1) \ln \left[ (t-1)L^{(-1)/(m_s-1)} \right]},$$

and hence the result follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, T. Jiang, Z. Miller, and D. Pritikin, Properly colored subgraphs and rainbow subgraphs in edge-colorings with local constraints, *Random Struct. Algor.* 23 (2003), 409–433.

- [2] K. Cameron and J. Edmonds, Lambda composition, *J. Graph Theory* 26(1) (1997), 9–16.
- [3] Y. Caro, Y. Li, C.C. Rousseau, Y. Zhang, Asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graph: complete graph Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Math.* 220 (1-3) (2000), 51–56.
- [4] G. Chen, J.H. Hattingh, C.C. Rousseau, Asymptotic bounds for irredundant and mixed Ramsey numbers, *J. Graph Theory* 17 (2) (1993), 193–206.
- [5] M. Chen, Y. Li, C. Pei, Gallai-Ramsey numbers of odd cycles and complete bipartite graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 34 (2018), 1185–1196.
- [6] G. A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3)2 (1993), 69–81.
- [7] P. Erdős, Solved and unsolved problems in combinatorics and combinatorial number theory, *Congr. Numer.* 32 (1981), 49–62.
- [8] P. Erdős, J.H. Hattingh, Asymptotic bounds for irredundant Ramsey numbers, *Quaest. Math.* 16(3) (1993), 319–331.
- [9] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions. In: A. Hajnal, R. Rado, V.T. Sós (eds.) *Infinite and Finite Sets* (to Paul Erdős on his 60th birthday), (1975), 609–627.
- [10] R. J. Faudree, R. H. Schelp, All Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, *Discrete Math.* 8 (1974), 313–329.
- [11] J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Ramsey-type problem for an almost monochromatic  $K_4$ , *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 23(1) (2008), 155–162.
- [12] S. Fujita, C. Magnant, and K. Ozeki, Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory—a dynamic survey. *Theo. Appl. Graphs*, 0(1), 2014.
- [13] S. Fujita, C. Magnant, Gallai-Ramsey numbers for cycles, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011), 1247–1254.
- [14] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* 18 (1967), 25–66.
- [15] R.L. Graham, B.L. Rothschild, J.H. Spencer, *Ramsey Theory*, JOHN WILEY & SONS, 1990.
- [16] R.L. Graham, V. Rödl, *Numbers in Ramsey theory*, Surveys in Combinatorics 1987, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 123 (1987), 111–153.
- [17] A.P. Godbole, D.E. Skipper, R.A. Sunley (1995), *The Asymptotic Lower Bound on the Diagonal Ramsey Numbers: A Closer Look*, In: D. Aldous, P. Diaconis, J. Spencer, J.M. Steele (eds) *Discrete Probability and Algorithms. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, vol 72. Springer, New York, NY.



- [18] A. Gyárfás, G. Sárközy, A. Sebő, and S. Selkow, Ramsey-type results for Gallai colorings, *J. Graph Theory* 64(3) (2010), 233–243.
- [19] A. Gyárfás, G. Simonyi, Edge colorings of complete graphs without tricolored triangles, *J. Graph Theory* 46(3) (2004), 211–216.
- [20] X. Li, L. Wang, Gallai-Ramsey numbers for a class of graphs with five vertices, *Graphs Comb.* 36 (2020), 1603–1618.
- [21] X. Li, P. Besse, C. Magnant, L. Wang, N. Watts, Gallai-Ramsey numbers for rainbow paths, *Graphs Comb.* 36 (2020), 1603–1618.
- [22] Y. Li, C. Rousseau, W. Zang, Asymptotic upper bounds for Ramsey functions, *Graphs Comb.* 17 (2001), 123–128.
- [23] C. Magnant, P.S. Nowbandegani, *Topics in Gallai-Ramsey Theory*, Springer, Switzerland, 2020.
- [24] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, C. Maganant, I. Schiermeyer, Gallai-Ramsey number for the union of stars, *Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser.* 38 (2022), 1317–1332.
- [25] S. P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.*, Dynamic Survey 1, 30 pp. (electronic), 1994.
- [26] V. Rosta, On a Ramsey-type problem of J. A. Bondy and P. Erdős. I, II, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 15 (1973), 94–104; 15 (1973), 105–120.
- [27] J. Spencer, Asymptotic lower bounds for Ramsey functions, *Discrete Math.* 20 (1977), 69–76.
- [28] E. Szemerédi, Regular partitions of graphs, in “Proc. Colloque Inter. CNRS” (J.C. Bermond, J.C. Fournier. M. das Vergnas, and D. Sotteau, Eds.), 1978.
- [29] Z. Wang, Y. Mao, J. Zou, C. Maganant, Ramsey and Gallai-Ramsey numbers for two classes of unicyclic graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 37(1) (2021), 337–354.
- [30] Q. Zhao, B. Wei, Gallai–Ramsey numbers for graphs with chromatic number three, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 304(15) (2021), 110–118.