

RIGIDITY FOR BACH-FLAT METRICS ON MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY AND APPLICATIONS

MATTHEW J. GURSKY AND SIYI ZHANG

1. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT

In this paper we study a conformally invariant boundary value problem in four dimensions. Our work is partially inspired by the following rigidity result of Hang-Wang in [16]:

Theorem A. ([16], *Theorem 4.1*) *Let (M, g) be a smooth n -dimensional compact Einstein manifold with boundary Σ . If Σ is totally geodesic and is isometric to S^{n-1} with the standard metric, then (M, g) is isometric to the hemisphere S_+^n with the standard metric.*

This result can be viewed as a uniqueness statement for solutions of an overdetermined boundary value problem for Einstein metrics. The assumption that the induced metric is round plays the role of the Dirichlet data, while the assumption that the boundary is totally geodesic is the Neumann data. Theorem A states that the unique solution of the Einstein equation in M^n satisfying both of these boundary conditions is the upper hemisphere with the standard metric. For classical elliptic PDE the model for such a uniqueness result is the famous symmetry theorem of Serrin [20].

There is also a variational interpretation of Theorem A. Given a Riemannian metric g defined on the manifold with boundary (M, Σ) , let R_g denote the scalar curvature of g and H_g the mean curvature (i.e., the trace of the second fundamental form) of the boundary. Let $\mathfrak{M}(M)_1$ denote the space of unit volume metrics on M . In [2], Araujo showed that critical points of the functional

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_b : g \mapsto \int_M R_g dv_g + 2 \int_\Sigma H_g d\sigma_g$$

restricted to \mathfrak{M}_1 correspond to Einstein metrics with totally geodesic boundary. Therefore, we can restate Theorem A in the following way:

Theorem B. *The upper hemisphere S_+^n with the standard metric is the unique critical point (up to isometry) of $\mathcal{E}_b|_{\mathfrak{M}_1}$ such that the induced metric on Σ is isometric to the round sphere.*

In this paper we consider a high order version of Theorems A and B in four dimensions. In order to state our results we will need some additional notation.

From now on, we assume $(M^4, \Sigma^3 = \partial M^4, g)$ is a compact four-dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary. Let W_g denote the Weyl curvature tensor of

g and L the second fundamental form of the boundary. In place of \mathcal{E}_b , consider the functional

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{W}_b : g \mapsto \int_{M^4} \|W_g\|^2 dv_g + 2 \oint_{\Sigma^3} W_{i_0 j_0} L^{ij} d\sigma_g,$$

where 0 subscripts correspond to components of a tensor with respect to the outward unit normal, and $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm of W as a section of $End(\Lambda^2(M))$. This functional generalizes the Weyl functional

$$\mathcal{W} : g \mapsto \int_{M^4} \|W_g\|^2 dv_g$$

for closed manifolds. Critical points of \mathcal{W} are metrics with vanishing Bach tensor $B_{\alpha\beta}$ defined by

$$(1.3) \quad B_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} + P^{\gamma\delta} W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta},$$

where P is the Schouten tensor (see Section 2 for more details). Four-manifolds with vanishing Bach tensor are also called *Bach-flat* manifolds. We remark that \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}_b are conformally invariant, hence Bach-flatness and S -flatness are conformally invariant conditions.

As pointed out in [7], critical points of \mathcal{W}_b are Bach-flat metrics such that the tensor

$$(1.4) \quad S_{ij} := \nabla^\alpha W_{\alpha i 0 j} + \nabla^\alpha W_{\alpha j 0 i} - \nabla^0 W_{0 i 0 j} + \frac{4}{3} H W_{0 i 0 j}$$

vanishes on the boundary. In this case, we will say that the boundary is S -flat. Since the Bach-flat condition is fourth order in the metric, it should be possible to specify a boundary condition in addition to S -flatness. In the Appendix, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Given a compact four-dimensional manifold with boundary $(M^4, \Sigma^3 = \partial M^4)$, let $\mathcal{M}^0(M^4, \Sigma^3)$ denote the space of all Riemannian metrics on (M^4, Σ^3) such that Σ^3 is umbilic. Then g is a critical point of*

$$\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{M}^0(M^4, \Sigma^3)},$$

if and only if g is Bach-flat and Σ^3 is S -flat and umbilic.

We remark that when the boundary is umbilic the functionals \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}_b are actually the same, since $W_{i_0 j_0} L^{ij} \equiv 0$.

Our goal is to prove a uniqueness result for critical points of the variational problem described in Theorem 1.1. Due to conformal invariance of the functional and the constraint any uniqueness result can only hold modulo conformal changes of metric, unless a choice of conformal representative is specified. A natural candidate for a conformal representative is a Yamabe metric.

Given a compact manifold with boundary (M^4, Σ^3, g) , let $[g] = \{e^{2f}g : f \in C^\infty(M)\}$ denote the conformal class of M . If we restrict the functional \mathcal{E}_b in (1.1) to unit-volume metrics in $[g]$, then critical points are precisely those metrics with constant scalar curvature and zero mean curvature on the boundary. The *first Yamabe invariant* of (M^4, Σ^3, g) is the infimum of \mathcal{E}_b (restricted to unit volume metrics):

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{Y}(M^4, \Sigma^3, [g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g], Vol(\tilde{g})=1} \left(\int_M R_{\tilde{g}} dv_{\tilde{g}} + 2 \int_\Sigma H_{\tilde{g}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}} \right)$$

By the work of Escobar [12], there is always a metric $g_Y \in [g]$ that attains $\mathcal{Y}(M^4, \Sigma^3, [g])$ (see Section 2 for more details). However, g_Y need not be unique: the round metric g_0 on S^4_+ is Yamabe, but for any conformal transformation $\varphi : (S^4_+, S^3, g_0) \rightarrow (S^4_+, S^3, g_0)$, the metric $\tilde{g}_0 = \phi^*g_0$ is also Yamabe.

With these preliminaries, we can now state our main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^4, Σ^3, g) be a Bach-flat Riemannian four-manifold with boundary such that the boundary is S -flat and umbilic. Suppose for some Yamabe metric $g_Y \in [g]$ with $R_{g_Y} = 12$, the induced metric $g_Y|_{\Sigma^3}$ is isometric to S^3 with the standard metric. Then (M^4, Σ^3, g_Y) is isometric to the hemisphere S^4_+ with the standard metric.*

In view of Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary (compare with Theorem B):

Corollary 1.1. *The upper hemisphere S^4_+ with the standard metric is the unique critical point of $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{M}^0(M^4, \Sigma^3)}$ admitting a Yamabe metric g_Y such that the induced metric on the boundary is isometric to the round S^3 .*

As with the Hang-Yang result, Theorem 1.2 can be viewed as a uniqueness result (modulo conformal transformations) for an overdetermined boundary value problem. In this case, the Bach-flat condition is fourth order in the metric, so it is natural to impose two boundary conditions on the metric g ; i.e., S -flatness and umbilic, which are third order and first order respectively in the metric. The additional assumption on the Yamabe metric is a kind of ‘‘conformally invariant Dirichlet condition’’, and makes the problem overdetermined.

At first glance it may seem that the assumption on the Yamabe metric is too strong, and it would be more natural to just assume that the metric g when restricted to the boundary is conformal to the round S^3 . However, by the work of Schoen-Yau [19] one can construct examples of manifolds satisfying this weaker condition that are not even diffeomorphic to the upper hemisphere:

Theorem 1.3. *(See [19]) The manifold with boundary $(S^3 \times S^1 \setminus B^4, S^3)$, where B^4 is a four-dimensional ball, admits a metric \tilde{g} with the following properties:*

- (1) \tilde{g} is locally conformally flat, hence Bach-flat and S -flat;
- (2) The boundary S^3 is umbilic with respect to \tilde{g} ;
- (3) The induced metric $\tilde{g}|_{S^3}$ is conformal to the round metric h_0 on S^3 .

In fact, for any $k \geq 1$ the Schoen-Yau construction implies the existence of a metric \tilde{g}_k on $k\sharp(S^3 \times S^1)$ with the same properties. In view of Theorem 1.3, one needs a stronger condition on the induced metric in order to distinguish the upper hemisphere among Bach-flat and S -flat manifolds with umbilic boundary.

To conclude the introduction we point out that four-dimensional Bach-flat manifolds with umbilic boundary arise naturally in the context of theory of conformally compact Einstein (CCE) manifolds. CCE manifolds are central to the Fefferman-Graham theory of conformal invariants, and appear in the physics literature in the AdS/CFT correspondence. Here, we give a very brief explanation of the connection to our work, and refer the reader to [14] for more details.

Suppose X is the interior of a smooth, compact manifold with boundary $(\bar{X}, N = \partial X)$. A metric g_+ defined in X is *conformally compact* if there is a defining function for the boundary $\rho : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g_+$ defines a metric on \bar{X} . By a

defining function, we mean a smooth function with $\rho > 0$ in X , $\rho = 0$ and $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂X . We will assume in the following that \bar{g} is at least C^2 up to the boundary. If $(X, \partial X, g_+)$ is Einstein, then we say that $(X, \partial X, g_+)$ is a conformally compact Einstein (CCE) manifold.

The choice of defining function is not unique, and thus a conformally compact manifold $(X, N = \partial X, g_+)$ naturally defines a conformal class of metrics on the boundary, $[h]$, called the *conformal infinity*. Given a metric h in the conformal infinity there is a canonical choice of defining function, called a *special* or *geodesic* defining function r , such that near the boundary $\bar{g} = r^2 g_+$ can be written as

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{g} = dr^2 + h_r$$

where h_r is a one-parameter family of metrics on N . Moreover, the boundary N is totally geodesic with respect to \bar{g} .

Now suppose $(X^4, N^3 = \partial X^4, g_+)$ is a four-dimensional CCE manifold. Given h in the conformal infinity, let $\bar{g} = r^2 g_+$ be the compactification by the special defining function associated to h . Since g_+ is Einstein, it is Bach-flat. By conformal invariance of the Bach-flat condition \bar{g} is also Bach-flat. As we observed above, N^3 is totally geodesic (hence umbilic) with respect to \bar{g} . Moreover, the metric h_r in (1.6) can be expanded near N^3 to give

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{g} = dr^2 + h + g^{(2)}r^2 + g^{(3)}r^3 + O(r^4),$$

where $g^{(2)}$ and $g^{(3)}$ are tensors on N^3 . As shown in [14], $g^{(2)}$ is determined by the metric h , but $g^{(3)}$ is formally undetermined. In [7], Chang-Ge showed that

$$S_{\bar{g}} = -\frac{3}{2}g^{(3)}.$$

To summarize: Four-dimensional CCE manifolds provide many examples of Bach-flat manifolds with umbilic boundary. Moreover, the vanishing of the S -tensor has a concrete interpretation via the Fefferman-Graham expansion (1.7). We remark that the vanishing of S can be used in some cases to characterize the geometry; see [18].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic notations and properties for manifolds with boundary. Suppose (M^n, Σ^{n-1}, g) is a Riemannian manifold with boundary (Σ^{n-1}, h) , where $h = g|_{\Sigma}$ is the induced metric. Throughout this note, we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor by Rm (or Rm_g if we need to specify the metric), the Ricci tensor by Ric , and the scalar curvature by R . We also denote the Weyl curvature tensor by W , and the Schouten tensor

$$(2.1) \quad P = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R \cdot g \right).$$

In terms of the Weyl and Schouten tensors the Riemannian curvature tensor can be decomposed as

$$(2.2) \quad Rm = W + P \oslash g$$

where \oslash is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product. We use Rm^{Σ} , W^{Σ} , Ric^{Σ} , P^{Σ} , and R^{Σ} to denote the respective curvature tensors calculated with respect to the intrinsic metric h on Σ^{n-1} .

The boundary is called *umbilic* if

$$(2.3) \quad L_{ij} = \lambda h_{ij},$$

where λ is a smooth function on Σ^{n-1} and L_{ij} is the second fundamental form of Σ^{n-1} . In other words, the boundary is umbilic if its second fundamental form is pointwise proportional to the metric. By taking trace, we obtain that $\lambda = \frac{H}{n-1}$, where H is the mean curvature of Σ^{n-1} . The boundary is called *minimal* if its mean curvature is vanishing, i.e., $H = 0$. The boundary is called *totally geodesic* if its second fundamental form is vanishing, which is equivalent to the fact that the boundary is minimal and umbilic. Note that the umbilic condition is conformally invariant: if (Σ^{n-1}, h) is umbilic with respect to the metric g and $\tilde{g} = u^2g$ is a metric conformal to g , then $(\Sigma^{n-1}, \tilde{h})$ is also umbilic with respect to the metric \tilde{g} .

The *first Yamabe invariant* of (M^n, Σ^{n-1}, g) is defined as

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{Y}(M^n, \Sigma^{n-1}, [g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \text{Vol}(\tilde{g})^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \left(\int_M R_{\tilde{g}} dv_{\tilde{g}} + 2 \int_{\Sigma} H_{\tilde{g}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}} \right)$$

Any smooth metric achieving this infimum has constant scalar curvature and minimal boundary. From the work of Escobar [12], it is known that in many cases such a minimizer exists. In particular, for $3 \leq n \leq 5$, a minimizer always exists. In this note, we shall call the minimizing metric scaled to have constant scalar curvature $n(n-1)$ and minimal boundary a *Yamabe metric* in its conformal class. In addition, Escobar established the following inequality for $3 \leq n \leq 5$:

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{Y}(M^n, \Sigma^{n-1}, [g]) \leq \mathcal{Y}(S_+^n, S^{n-1}, [g_{S_+^n}]),$$

where equality holds if and only if (M^n, Σ^{n-1}, g) is conformally equivalent to the round upper hemisphere $(S_+^n, S^{n-1}, g_{S_+^n})$. Note that for a manifold with umbilic boundary, the Yamabe metric has constant scalar curvature and totally geodesic boundary.

2.2. The Weyl functional on four-manifolds with boundary. On a closed smooth four-manifold, the Weyl functional is defined as

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{W} : g \rightarrow \int_{M^4} \|W_g\|^2 dv_g.$$

It has played an important role in the study of the geometry and topology of the underlying manifold. On a smooth four-manifold with boundary (M^4, Σ^3) , the Weyl functional is defined as

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{W}_b : g \rightarrow \int_{M^4} \|W_g\|^2 dv_g + 2 \oint_{\Sigma^3} W_{i0j0} L^{ij} d\sigma_g,$$

where L_{ij} and H are the second fundamental form and mean curvature of Σ^3 , respectively, Latin letters run through 1, 2, 3 as tangential directions, and 0 is the outward normal direction on Σ . The functional \mathcal{W}_b is conformally invariant in four dimensions in the sense that $\mathcal{W}_b(\tilde{g}) = \mathcal{W}_b(g)$ for any $\tilde{g} \in [g]$. Indeed, $\|W_g\|^2 dv_g$ and $W_{i0j0} L^{ij} d\sigma_g$ are pointwise conformally invariant differential forms in M^4 and on Σ^3 , respectively. Also note that for umbilic boundary, $W_{i0j0} L^{ij} \equiv 0$ on Σ^3 since Weyl curvature is trace-free. It follows that \mathcal{W}_b coincides with \mathcal{W} on four-manifolds with umbilic boundary.

As mentioned in the Introduction (a proof will be given in the Appendix), critical points of \mathcal{W}_b are Bach-flat metrics in M^4 with vanishing S -tensor on Σ^3 . The basic

conformal properties of the Bach tensor and the S -tensor are given in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 ([4][7][10]). *The Bach tensor $B_{\alpha\beta}$ and S -tensor S_{ij} on (M^4, Σ^3, g) have the following properties:*

- (1) $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric, trace-free, divergence-free and conformally invariant in the sense that for $\tilde{g} = e^{2w}g$,

$$B_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2w} B_g.$$

- (2) S_{ij} is symmetric, trace-free and conformally invariant in the sense that for $\tilde{g} = e^{2w}g$,

$$S_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-w} S_g.$$

- (3) If Σ^3 is totally geodesic, then

$$S_{ij} = \nabla^0 P_{ij}.$$

3. WEYL CURVATURE ON UMBILIC BOUNDARY

In this section, we list and prove several useful properties of the Weyl curvature tensor on umbilic boundary.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (M^4, Σ^3, g) has umbilic boundary. Then on Σ^3*

$$(3.1) \quad W_{0i0j} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2 g_{ij},$$

$$(3.2) \quad W_{ijk0} = 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^3 |W_{ijkl}|^2 = 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^3 |P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2 g_{ij}|^2.$$

In particular, $W = 0$ on Σ^3 if and only if $W_{0i0j} = 0$ on Σ^3 .

Proof. Recall that (Σ^3, h) being umbilic means that

$$(3.4) \quad L_{ij} = \frac{1}{3}H g_{ij}.$$

With (3.4), the Gauss equations imply on Σ^3 that

$$(3.5) \quad R_{ikjl} = R_{ikjl}^\Sigma - L_{ij}L_{kl} + L_{il}L_{jk} = R_{ikjl}^\Sigma - \frac{1}{9}H^2 g_{ij}g_{kl} + \frac{1}{9}H^2 g_{il}g_{jk}$$

Taking the trace, we have on Σ^3 that

$$(3.6) \quad R_{ij} - R_{0i0j} = R_{ij}^\Sigma - \frac{2}{9}H^2 g_{ij}.$$

Taking the trace once more, we have on Σ^3 that

$$(3.7) \quad R - 2R_{00} = R^\Sigma - \frac{2}{3}H^2$$

The decomposition of curvature implies on Σ^3 that

$$(3.8) \quad R_{0i0j} = W_{0i0j} + g_{00}P_{ij} - g_{ij}P_{00}.$$

By the definition of Schouten tensor, we have

$$(3.9) \quad P_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{00} - \frac{1}{6}Rg_{00} \right), \quad P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{6}Rg_{ij} \right), \quad P_{ij}^\Sigma = R_{ij}^\Sigma - \frac{1}{4}R_{ij}^\Sigma.$$

If we substitute (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.6), then

$$(3.10) \quad 2P_{ij} + \frac{1}{6}Rg_{ij} - W_{0i0j} - P_{ij} - P_{00}g_{ij} = P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{4}R^\Sigma g_{ij} - \frac{2}{9}H^2 g_{ij},$$

which implies

$$(3.11) \quad W_{0i0j} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \left(\frac{1}{6}R - \frac{1}{4}R^\Sigma - P_{00} + \frac{2}{9}H^2 \right) g_{ij}.$$

Also, substituting (3.9) into (3.7) gives:

$$(3.12) \quad P_{00} = \frac{1}{6}R - \frac{1}{4}R^\Sigma + \frac{1}{6}H^2.$$

Finally, substituting (3.12) into (3.11) we get

$$(3.13) \quad W_{0i0j} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2 g_{ij}.$$

By (3.4), the Codazzi equations imply

$$(3.14) \quad R_{ijk0} = -\nabla_j^\Sigma L_{ik} + \nabla_i^\Sigma L_{jk} = -\frac{1}{3}\nabla_j^\Sigma H g_{ik} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_i^\Sigma H g_{jk}$$

Taking the trace, we have on Σ^3 that

$$(3.15) \quad R_{j0} = -\frac{2}{3}\nabla_j^\Sigma H.$$

The decomposition of curvature implies on Σ^3 that

$$(3.16) \quad R_{ijk0} = W_{ijk0} + g_{ik}P_{j0} - g_{jk}P_{i0}$$

By definition, we have from (3.15) on Σ^3 that

$$(3.17) \quad P_{i0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{i0} - \frac{1}{6}Rg_{i0} \right) = \frac{1}{2}R_{i0} = -\frac{1}{3}\nabla_i^\Sigma H.$$

Combining (3.14), (3.16), and (3.17), we have on Σ^3

$$(3.18) \quad -\frac{1}{3}\nabla_j^\Sigma H g_{ik} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_i^\Sigma H g_{jk} = W_{ijk0} - \frac{1}{3}\nabla_j^\Sigma H g_{ik} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_i^\Sigma H g_{jk},$$

which implies $W_{ijk0} = 0$ on Σ^3 .

Next, we write the Gauss equation (3.5) using the decomposition of Rm into W , P and R . Recall

$$(3.19) \quad R_{ikjl} = W_{ikjl} + g_{ij}P_{kl} + g_{kl}P_{ij} - g_{il}P_{kj} - g_{kj}P_{il},$$

and similarly

$$(3.20) \quad R_{ikjl}^\Sigma = h_{ij}P_{kl}^\Sigma + h_{kl}P_{ij}^\Sigma - h_{il}P_{kj}^\Sigma - h_{kj}P_{il}^\Sigma,$$

where we have used $W_{ijkl}^\Sigma = 0$ since the Weyl curvature tensor vanishes on any Riemannian three-manifold. Note that $g_{ij} = h_{ij}$ on Σ^3 . Putting (3.5), (3.19), and (3.20) together, we have on Σ^3 that

$$(3.21) \quad W_{ikjl} + g_{ij}A_{kl} + g_{kl}A_{ij} - g_{il}A_{jk} - g_{jk}A_{il} = 0,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad A_{ij} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2 g_{ij} = W_{0i0j}.$$

Next, square both sides of (3.21) and combine like terms. To simplify we calculate at $p \in \Sigma$ with respect to Fermi coordinates, so at p we have

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, \quad g_{i0} = 0, \quad g_{00} = 1.$$

Also, in the following calculations we adopt the Einstein summation convention. Since W is trace-free, at p we have

$$(3.23) \quad 0 = W_{ikil} + W_{0k0l}g_{00}.$$

Hence by (3.22)

$$(3.24) \quad W_{ikil}A_{kl} = -W_{0k0l}A_{kl} = -|A|^2.$$

At p ,

$$(3.25) \quad g_{ij}g_{il} = g_{ij}g_{il} + g_{0j}g_{0l} = \delta_{jl},$$

hence

$$(3.26) \quad -g_{ij}A_{kl}g_{il}A_{jk} = -\delta_{jl}A_{kl}A_{jk} = -|A|^2.$$

Putting everything together, we conclude that

$$(3.27) \quad |W_{ijkl}|^2 - 4|A|^2 + 4(g_{ij}A_{ij})^2 = 0.$$

Once again using the fact that W is trace-free,

$$(3.28) \quad g_{ij}A_{ij} = g_{ij}W_{i0j0} = g_{ij}W_{i0j0} + g_{00}W_{0000} = 0,$$

hence

$$(3.29) \quad |W_{ijkl}|^2 = 4|A|^2.$$

Plugging $A_{ij} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2g_{ij}$ into (3.29), we obtain the desired identity. \square

Remark 3.1. There are two model cases for Lemma 3.1:

- For the round hemisphere $(S_+^4, S^3, g_{S_+^4})$, we have on S^3 that

$$(3.30) \quad W = 0, \quad P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}, \quad P_{ij}^{S^3} = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}, \quad H = 0.$$

- For the flat disc (B^4, S^3, g_{Eucl}) , we have on S^3 that

$$(3.31) \quad W = 0, \quad P_{ij} = 0, \quad P_{ij}^{S^3} = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}, \quad H = 3.$$

From Lemma 3.1, it is natural to ask under what conditions the Weyl curvature is vanishing on the boundary. The following lemma reveals that the Weyl curvature is vanishing on the boundary under appropriate conformally invariant conditions. This lemma may be of some independent interest.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose (M^4, Σ^3, g) satisfies*

- $B_g = 0$ in M ;
- $S_g = 0$ on Σ ;
- (Σ^3, h) is umbilic;
- (Σ^3, h) is conformally equivalent to a three-dimensional space form.

Then $W \equiv 0$ on Σ^3 .

Proof. Since the conditions and the conclusion are both conformally invariant, we may assume that the boundary (Σ^3, h) is isometric to a three-dimensional space form after a conformal transformation of the metric. In any case, we may scale the metric to obtain $P_{ij}^\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}ch_{ij}$, where $c = 0, \pm 1$.

Recall the Bach-flat condition is

$$0 = B_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} + P^{\gamma\delta} W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}.$$

If we consider the pure normal directions of Bach tensor, we have

$$(3.32) \quad 0 = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{0\gamma 0\delta} + P^{\gamma\delta} W_{0\gamma 0\delta}.$$

From the symmetry of Weyl curvature, this implies

$$(3.33) \quad 0 = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{0\gamma 0\delta} + P^{ij} W_{0i0j}.$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have on Σ^3 that

$$(3.34) \quad W_{0i0j} = P_{ij} - P_{ij}^\Sigma + \frac{1}{18}H^2 g_{ij}$$

and thereby

$$(3.35) \quad P^{ij} = g^{\alpha i} g^{\beta j} P_{\alpha\beta} = g^{ki} g^{lj} P_{kl} = h^{ki} h^{lj} \left(P_{kl}^\Sigma + W_{0k0l} - \frac{1}{18}H^2 h_{kl} \right).$$

Plugging (3.35) into (3.33), we have on Σ^3

$$(3.36) \quad 0 = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{0\gamma 0\delta} + W_{0i0j} h^{ki} h^{lj} \left(P_{kl}^\Sigma + W_{0k0l} - \frac{1}{18}H^2 h_{kl} \right).$$

Since $P_{kl}^\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}ch_{kl}$, we have

$$(3.37) \quad W_{0i0j} h^{ki} h^{lj} P_{kl}^\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}ch^{ij} W_{0i0j} = \frac{1}{2}cg^{\alpha\beta} W_{0\alpha 0\beta} = 0,$$

and

$$(3.38) \quad \frac{1}{18}H^2 W_{0i0j} h^{ki} h^{lj} h_{kl} = \frac{1}{18}H^2 h^{ij} W_{0i0j} = 0.$$

Plugging (3.37) and (3.38) into (3.36), we obtain on Σ^3

$$(3.39) \quad 0 = \nabla^\gamma \nabla^\delta W_{0\gamma 0\delta} + |W_{0i0j}|_\Sigma^2.$$

We now simplify the first term in (3.39). To simplify, we once again use Fermi coordinates based at a point $p \in \Sigma^3$. Then at p ,

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ij}^k &= 0, & \Gamma_{ij}^0 &= L_{ij} = \frac{1}{3}H g_{ij}, \\ \Gamma_{i0}^j &= -L_{ij} = -\frac{1}{3}H g_{ij}, & \Gamma_{i0}^0 &= \Gamma_{00}^0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.41) \quad \nabla_\gamma \nabla_\delta W_{0\gamma 0\delta} = \nabla_0 \nabla_0 W_{0000} + \nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{0i00} + \nabla_0 \nabla_i W_{000i} + \nabla_i \nabla_j W_{0i0j}$$

Using symmetries of the Weyl tensor, we note that

$$(3.42) \quad \nabla_0 \nabla_0 W_{0000} = \nabla_\delta W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0.$$

We now calculate $\nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{0i00}$ at $p \in \Sigma^3$ using (3.40):

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.43) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{0i00} &= \partial_i (\nabla_0 W_{0i00}) - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_\alpha W_{0i00} - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_0 W_{\alpha i00} - \Gamma_{ii}^\alpha \nabla_0 W_{0\alpha 00} \\
&\quad - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_0 W_{0i\alpha 0} - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_0 W_{0i0\alpha} \\
&= -\Gamma_{i0}^j \nabla_0 W_{0ij0} - \Gamma_{i0}^j \nabla_0 W_{0i0j} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used once again that all contractions of W vanish. Thus, we have at $p \in \Sigma^3$

$$(3.44) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{0i00} = 0,$$

and similarly at p

$$(3.45) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{000i} = 0.$$

Next, calculate $\nabla_0 \nabla_i W_{000i}$ at $p \in \Sigma^3$. By the Ricci identity and symmetry of curvature tensor,

$$(3.46) \quad \nabla_0 \nabla_i W_{000i} = \nabla_i \nabla_0 W_{000i} - R_{j00i} W_{j00i} - R_{j00i} W_{0j0i} = 0.$$

We now claim that at p ,

$$(3.47) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_j W_{0i0j} = \nabla_i^\Sigma \nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j}.$$

To see this, first note

$$(3.48) \quad \nabla_k^\Sigma W_{0i0j} = \partial_k W_{0i0j},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.49) \quad \nabla_k W_{0i0j} &= \partial_k W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^\alpha W_{\alpha i0j} - \Gamma_{ki}^\alpha W_{0\alpha 0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^\alpha W_{0i\alpha j} - \Gamma_{kj}^\alpha W_{0i0\alpha} \\
&= \partial_k W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^m W_{mi0j} - \Gamma_{ki}^m W_{0m0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^m W_{0imj} - \Gamma_{kj}^m W_{0i0m} \\
&= \partial_k W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^m W_{mi0j} - \Gamma_{k0}^m W_{0imj} \\
&= \nabla_k^\Sigma W_{0i0j},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $W_{ijk0} = 0$ on Σ^3 by Lemma 3.1. Therefore,

$$(3.50) \quad \nabla_k W_{0i0j} = \nabla_k^\Sigma W_{0i0j}.$$

Also,

$$(3.51) \quad \nabla_i^\Sigma \nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j} = \partial_i (\nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.52) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_j W_{0i0j} &= \partial_i (\nabla_j W_{0i0j}) - \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha \nabla_\alpha W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{\alpha i0j} - \Gamma_{ii}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{0\alpha 0j} \\
&\quad - \Gamma_{i0}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{0i\alpha j} - \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{0i0\alpha} \\
&= \partial_i (\nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j}) - \Gamma_{ij}^0 \nabla_0 W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{ki0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{0ikj} \\
&= \nabla_i^\Sigma \nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{ij}^0 \nabla_0 W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{ki0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{0ikj},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$(3.53) \quad \Gamma_{ii}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{0\alpha 0j} = \Gamma_{ii}^0 \nabla_j W_{000j} = 0$$

and

$$(3.54) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha \nabla_j W_{0i0\alpha} = \Gamma_{ij}^0 \nabla_j W_{0i00} = 0.$$

Note that $W_{ijk0} = 0$ on Σ^3 . Now we calculate the last three terms in (3.52):

$$(3.55) \quad \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{ki0j} = -\Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{j0}^m W_{kimj} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{jk}^0 W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{ji}^0 W_{k00j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{jj}^0 W_{ki00} = 0$$

$$(3.56) \quad \Gamma_{i0}^k \nabla_j W_{0ikj} = -\Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{j0}^m W_{mikj} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{ji}^0 W_{00kj} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{jk}^0 W_{0i0j} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \Gamma_{jj}^0 W_{0ik0} = 0$$

Since $S_g = 0$ on Σ ,

$$(3.57) \quad 0 = \nabla_m W_{mi0j} + \nabla_k W_{kj0i} + \nabla_0 W_{0i0j} + \frac{4}{3} H W_{0i0j},$$

which implies at p

$$(3.58) \quad \nabla^0 W_{0i0j} = -\nabla_m W_{mi0j} - \nabla_k W_{kj0i} - \frac{4}{3} H W_{0i0j}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.59) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^0 \nabla^0 W_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{3} H g_{ij} \left(\nabla^m W_{mi0j} + \nabla^k W_{kj0i} + \frac{4}{3} H W_{0i0j} \right) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows the same way as (3.55) is established.

To summarize, we have shown that

$$(3.60) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_j W_{0i0j} = \nabla_i^\Sigma \nabla_j^\Sigma W_{0i0j},$$

which is (3.47).

Plugging (3.42), (3.44), (3.46), and (3.47) into (3.39), we have on Σ^3

$$(3.61) \quad 0 = \nabla_\Sigma^i \nabla_\Sigma^j W_{0i0j} + |W_{0i0j}|_\Sigma^2.$$

Integrating this over Σ^3 and using the divergence theorem, we conclude that $W_{0i0j} \equiv 0$ on Σ^3 . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $W \equiv 0$ on Σ^3 . \square

Remark 3.2. If (X^4, N^3, g_+) is a CCE four-manifold, then any compactification $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g_+$ has $W_{\bar{g}}|_{N^3} = 0$; see [7], Lemma 2.3.

4. EXPANSION OF THE METRIC NEAR THE BOUNDARY

In this section we compute the expansion of the metric near the boundary that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Although some of the terms in the expansion are well known, we will need the precise form up to order four. Also, we carry out the calculations in arbitrary dimension.

Suppose (M^n, Σ^{n-1}, g) is a smooth manifold with boundary and g is a Riemannian metric smooth up to the boundary. Let $\{x^i\}$ be local coordinates on Σ^{n-1} . If r is the distance function to Σ^{n-1} , then we can identify a collar neighborhood of the boundary with $\Sigma^{n-1} \times [0, \epsilon)$, with coordinates given by (x_i, r) . We want to compute the expansion of g in $\Sigma^{n-1} \times [0, \epsilon)$. In $\Sigma^{n-1} \times [0, \epsilon)$, write the metric g as

$$(4.1) \quad g = dr^2 + h_{ij}(x, r) dx^i dx^j,$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad h_{ij} = \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle.$$

The first derivative is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} h_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \partial_i \rangle$$

Note that

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_r = \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i = -L_{il} h^{lk} \partial_k.$$

Hence,

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} h_{ij} = -2L_{ij}.$$

The second derivative is given by

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} h_{ij} &= \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \partial_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \partial_i \rangle + 2L_{ik} L_j^k \end{aligned}$$

From the Jacobi field equation, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i = -R_{0i0}^k \partial_k$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle = -R_{0i0j}$$

and thereby

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} h_{ij} = -2R_{0i0j} + 2L_{ik} L_j^k$$

The third derivative is given by

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} h_{ij} &= \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \partial_i \rangle \\ &\quad + 3 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j \rangle + 3 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4) and (4.7), We calculate

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j \rangle = R_{0i0}^k h_{km} L_{jl} h^{lm} = R_{0i0k} L_j^k$$

The right hand side of (4.7) can be understood as the contraction of two tensors. We may take the covariant derivative:

$$(4.12) \quad \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i = -\nabla_0 R_{0i0}^k \partial_k - R_{0i0}^k \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_k,$$

which implies

$$(4.13) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle = -\nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + L_j^k R_{i0k0}.$$

This identity easily implies

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} h_{ij} = -2\nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + 8L_{(i}^k R_{j)0k0},$$

where parentheses around a pair of subscripts denotes symmetrization in that pair.

The fourth derivative is given by

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial r^4} h_{ij} &= \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \partial_i \rangle \\ &\quad + 4 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j \rangle + 4 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i \rangle \\ &\quad + 6 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4)(4.7) and (4.12), We calculate

$$(4.16) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j \rangle = \nabla_0 R_{0i0k} L_j^k - R_{0i0k} L_i^k L_j^l$$

$$(4.17) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_j, \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i \rangle = R_{0j0}^k R_{0i0k}$$

For the term $\langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle$, we take the covariant derivative of (4.12) to obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i = -\nabla_0 \nabla_0 R_{0i0}^k \partial_k - 2\nabla_0 R_{0i0}^k \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_k - R_{0i0}^k \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_k,$$

which implies

$$(4.19) \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle = -\nabla_0 \nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + 2\nabla_0 R_{0i0k} L_j^k + R_{0i0}^k R_{0j0k}$$

Putting (4.16)(4.17) and (4.19) together, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial r^4} h_{ij} &= -2\nabla_0 \nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + 6\nabla_0 R_{0i0k} L_j^k + 6\nabla_0 R_{0j0k} L_i^k \\ &\quad - 4R_{0i0k} L_l^k L_j^l - 4R_{0j0k} L_l^k L_i^l + 8R_{0i0}^k R_{0j0k} \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the preceding calculations in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (M^n, Σ^{n-1}, g) is a Riemannian manifold with boundary. Then we have the expansion for metric g in $\Sigma \times [0, \epsilon)$*

$$(4.21) \quad g = dr^2 + h_{ij}(x, r) dx^i dx^j$$

where

$$(4.22) \quad h_{ij}(x, r) = h_{ij}^{(0)} + r h_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{r^2}{2!} h_{ij}^{(2)} + \frac{r^3}{3!} h_{ij}^{(3)} + \frac{r^4}{4!} h_{ij}^{(4)} + O(r^5)$$

where $h_{ij}^{(k)}$ are symmetric 2-tensors defined on Σ^{n-1}

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{(0)} &= g_{ij} \\ h_{ij}^{(1)} &= -2L_{ij} \\ h_{ij}^{(2)} &= -2R_{0i0j} + 2L_{ik} L_j^k \\ h_{ij}^{(3)} &= -2\nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + 4L_i^k R_{j0k0} + 4L_j^k R_{i0k0}, \\ h_{ij}^{(4)} &= -2\nabla_0 \nabla_0 R_{0i0j} + 6\nabla_0 R_{0i0k} L_j^k + 6\nabla_0 R_{0j0k} L_i^k \\ &\quad - 4R_{0i0k} L_l^k L_j^l - 4R_{0j0k} L_l^k L_i^l + 8R_{0i0}^k R_{0j0k} \end{aligned}$$

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 follows the outline of the proof of Theorem A given by Hang-Wang, and can be divided into two steps. The first step is to show that the metric near the boundary has an expansion coinciding with the round upper hemisphere up to arbitrary order. The the second step is to use the analyticity of Bach-flat metrics with constant scalar curvature (see below), to show that the manifold has constant sectional curvature. We remark that in the proof of the Hang-Wang result, since the Einstein condition is second order in the metric they only needed the explicit expansion of the metric up to second order. In our setting, since the Bach-flat condition is fourth order we needed to calculate the expansion of metric to the fourth order in the previous section.

Assume (M^4, Σ^3, g) is Bach-flat with S -flat and umbilic boundary. Since these assumptions are conformally invariant, we may further assume that g is a Yamabe metric with scalar curvature normalized so that $R_g = 12$ and totally geodesic

boundary. Finally, we assume that the induced metric $h = g|_{\Sigma^3}$ is isometric to the standard metric on S^3 . Under these assumptions, we have on Σ^3

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{ij}^\Sigma &= \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}, \\ R^\Sigma &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Gauss curvature equations imply (see (3.7)) on Σ^3 that

$$(5.2) \quad P_{00} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Also, from Lemma 3.2 we conclude

$$(5.3) \quad W|_{\Sigma^3} \equiv 0.$$

The vanishing of the Weyl tensor on the boundary implies, by Lemma 3.1, that the Schouten tensor of g satisfies on Σ^3

$$(5.4) \quad P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}.$$

Using the decomposition of curvature tensor along with (5.3), (5.2), and (5.4), we obtain on Σ^3 that

$$(5.5) \quad R_{0i0j} = W_{0i0j} + P_{00}g_{ij} + P_{ij}g_{00} = h_{ij}.$$

Recall from Section 4 that near the boundary, the metric g can be expressed as

$$(5.6) \quad g = dr^2 + h_{ij}(x, r)dx^i dx^j,$$

and by Lemma 4.1, $h_{ij}(x, r)$ has the expansion (up to order four)

$$(5.7) \quad h_{ij}(x, r) = h_{ij}^{(0)} + rh_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{r^2}{2!}h_{ij}^{(2)} + \frac{r^3}{3!}h_{ij}^{(3)} + O(r^4),$$

and $h_{ij}^{(k)}$ are given by (4.23). In particular, by (5.5) and the fact that Σ^3 is totally geodesic we immediately have

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{(1)} &= 0 \\ h_{ij}^{(2)} &= -2R_{0i0j} = -2g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

To determine $h_{ij}^{(3)}$, we need the following result from [23]:

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose (M^4, Σ^3, g) is a smooth Riemannian manifold with constant scalar curvature and totally geodesic boundary. Then*

$$(5.9) \quad h_{ij}^{(3)} = -4S_{ij}.$$

Combining (5.8) with Lemma 5.1, we conclude

$$(5.10) \quad h_{ij}(x, r) = \cos^2(r)h_{ij}(x, 0) + O(r^4), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 5.2. *For every integer $m \geq 1$,*

$$(5.11) \quad h_{ij}(x, r) = \cos^2(r)h_{ij}(x, 0) + O(r^m), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We have already established this identity for $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The proof for general m will follow from induction.

Suppose (5.11) is valid for some $m \geq 4$. Our strategy is to calculate the fourth order derivative $h_{ij}^{(4)}$ with the Bach-flat condition and get an improvement on the order of derivatives in r . Without loss of generality, we may calculate in Fermi

coordinates based at $p \in \Sigma^3$ and assume $h_{ij}(p, 0) = \delta_{ij}$ and have $h_{ij} = \cos^2(r)\delta_{ij} + O(r^m)$ by induction hypothesis. Note that $g_{0i} = 0$, $g_{00} = 1$ and

$$(5.12) \quad h^{ij} = \frac{1}{\cos^2(r)}\delta_{ij} + O(r^m).$$

Note that L_{ij} only involves differentiating the metric in r once. Hence, we have

$$(5.13) \quad L_{ij} = \sin(r)\cos(r)\delta_{ij} + O(r^{m-1})$$

Note that curvature tensor only involves differentiating the metric in r twice. Hence, we have

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{0i0j} &= \cos^2(r)\delta_{ij} + O(r^{m-2}) \\ R_{ikj0} &= O(r^{m-2}) \\ R_{ikjl} &= \cos^4(r)(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{kj}) + O(r^{m-2}) \\ P_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\cos^2(r)\delta_{ij} + O(r^{m-2}) \\ P_{i0} &= O(r^{m-2}) \\ P_{00} &= \frac{1}{2} + O(r^{m-2}) \\ R &= 12 + O(r^{m-2}) \\ W &= O(r^{m-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the derivative of curvature tensor only involves differentiating the metric in r three times. Hence, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \nabla Rm = O(r^{m-3})$$

Finally we need to deal with the item $\nabla_0\nabla_0 R_{0i0j}$ which involves differentiating the metric in r four times. The Bach-flat condition will enable us to reduce the order of differentiation of the metric in r . The decomposition of curvature implies

$$(5.16) \quad \nabla_0\nabla_0 R_{0i0j} = \nabla_0\nabla_0 W_{0i0j} + \nabla_0\nabla_0 P_{00}g_{ij} + \nabla_0\nabla_0 P_{ij}.$$

Note that the metric is Bach-flat and has constant scalar curvature. By Bianchi identities, the Bach-flat condition can be written in two ways [10]:

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^\gamma\nabla^\delta W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} + P^{\gamma\delta}W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} &= 0, \\ \Delta P_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta R + R_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}P^{\gamma\delta} - R_{\alpha\gamma}P_\beta^\gamma + P^{\gamma\delta}W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nabla_0\nabla_0 W_{0i0j} = \nabla^0\nabla^0 W_{0i0j}$. Now we simplify the three items in the right hand side of (5.16) by (5.17):

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_0\nabla_0 W_{0i0j} &= \nabla^\gamma\nabla^\delta W_{\gamma i \delta j} - \nabla^k\nabla^l W_{kilj} - \nabla^0\nabla^l W_{0ilj} - \nabla^k\nabla^0 W_{ki0j} \\ &= -P^{\gamma\delta}W_{i\gamma j\delta} - \nabla^k\nabla^l W_{kilj} - \nabla^0\nabla^l W_{0ilj} - \nabla^k\nabla^0 W_{ki0j} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_0\nabla_0 P_{00} &= \Delta P_{00} - \nabla_k\nabla_k P_{00} \\ &= -R_{0\gamma 0\delta}P^{\gamma\delta} + R_{0\gamma}P_0^\gamma - P^{\gamma\delta}W_{0\gamma 0\delta} - \nabla_k\nabla_k P_{00} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_0\nabla_0 P_{ij} &= \Delta P_{ij} - \nabla_k\nabla_k P_{ij} \\ &= -R_{i\gamma j\delta}P^{\gamma\delta} + R_{i\gamma}P_j^\gamma - P^{\gamma\delta}W_{i\gamma j\delta} - \nabla_k\nabla_k P_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right hand sides only involve differentiating the metric in r three times. By (5.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.21) \quad & \nabla_0 \nabla_0 W_{0i0j} = O(r^{m-3}) \\
& \nabla_0 \nabla_0 P_{00} = -\frac{1}{\cos^4(r)} \cos^2(r) \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cos^2(r) + \frac{1}{\cos^2(r)} 3 \cos^2(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} + O(r^{m-3}) \\
& \quad = O(r^{m-3}) \\
& \nabla_0 \nabla_0 P_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \cos^2(r) \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{\cos^4(r)} \cos^4(r) (\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{kj}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cos^2(r) \delta_{kl} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\cos^2(r)} 3 \cos^2(r) \delta_{ik} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cos^2(r) \delta_{kj} + O(r^{m-3}) = O(r^{m-3})
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.16) and (5.21) we have

$$(5.22) \quad \nabla_0 \nabla_0 R_{0i0j} = O(r^{m-3}).$$

Therefore, combining (5.14)(5.15)(5.22) we calculate by (4.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.23) \quad & \frac{\partial^4}{\partial r^4} h_{ij} = -\frac{4 \cos^2(r) \sin^2(r) \cos^2(r)}{\cos^4(r)} \delta_{ij} - \frac{4 \cos^2(r) \sin^2(r) \cos^2(r)}{\cos^4(r)} \delta_{ij} \\
& + 8 \frac{\cos^4(r)}{\cos^2(r)} \delta_{ij} + O(r^{m-3}) \\
& = 8 \cos(2r) \delta_{ij} + O(r^{m-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that $h_{ij}(x, r) = \cos^2(r) h_{ij}(x, 0) + O(r^{m+1})$. Hence, the lemma follows from induction. \square

Now we consider the double manifold of (M^4, Σ^3, g) which is denoted by (\overline{M}, g_d) . It is easy to see that (\overline{M}, g_d) is Bach-flat and has constant scalar curvature. Recall that in harmonic coordinates a Bach-flat metric with constant scalar curvature satisfies an elliptic system of fourth order [21][22]. Indeed, if the scalar curvature is constant ($R = c$), then we have

$$(5.24) \quad B_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{\alpha\beta} - E^{\gamma\delta} W_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} + E_{\alpha}^{\gamma} E_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{4} |E|^2 g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{6} c E_{\alpha\beta}.$$

By the formula of Ricci tensor in harmonic coordinates in [11], we can write the Bach-flat equation in harmonic coordinates as

$$(5.25) \quad 0 = B_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} g^{\gamma\delta} g^{\mu\lambda} \frac{\partial^4 g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^{\gamma} \partial x^{\delta} \partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\lambda}} + \dots$$

where the dots indicate terms involving at most three derivatives of the metric and the principal part of Bach tensor is just one quarter of the square of Laplacian. Hence, the metric g_d is real analytic in harmonic coordinates. We define Ω to be the set of points where g has constant sectional curvature 1 in a neighborhood. Note that Ω is nonempty since $\Sigma^3 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \Omega$ by (5.11) and the analytic property of metric g . Also note that Ω is an open set by definition. We now show that Ω coincides with \overline{M} . We argue by contradiction. Suppose there is a point $p \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying $p \notin \Omega$. Choose a local harmonic coordinates y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4 on a connected neighborhood U of p . The analytic functions $R_{ikjl} - g_{ij}g_{kl} + g_{il}g_{jk}$ vanishes on $U \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ and thereby vanish identically on U . Then $p \in \Omega$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\Omega = \overline{M}$ and thereby (\overline{M}, g_d) has constant sectional curvature 1. It is then easy to see that (M^4, Σ^3, g) is isometric to $(S_+^4, S^3, g_{S_+^4})$.

6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let (X^n, g_X) and (Y^n, g_Y) be closed, locally conformally flat manifolds with positive scalar curvature. By Corollary 5 of [19], the connected sum $Z = X \# Y$ obtained by deleting balls around p and q and identifying their boundaries, admits a locally conformally flat metric \tilde{g} with positive scalar curvature. This follows from the general surgery result of [19], since the metric \tilde{g} constructed in Theorem 3 of [19] is locally conformally flat in a neighborhood U of the gluing point, and conformal to g_X and g_Y outside of U .

Let us apply this result when $X^n = S^4$, $g_X = g_0$ the round metric, $Y^n = S^3 \times S^1$, and $g_Y = h_0 \times d\theta^2$ is the standard product metric. Let $p = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \in S^4$ be the ‘north pole’ of $S^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, and let $q \in S^3 \times S^1$ be any point. By the Schoen-Yau construction, there is a locally conformally flat metric \tilde{g} on $S^4 \# (S^3 \times S^1) \approx S^3 \times S^1$ with positive scalar curvature. Moreover, \tilde{g} is conformal to g_0 on $S^4 \setminus U$, where U is a small neighborhood of p . In particular, the induced by \tilde{g} on the equatorial $S^3 = \{(x^1, \dots, x^5) \in S^4 : x^5 = 0\} \subset S^4 \# (S^3 \times S^1)$ is umbilic. Therefore, if $S_-^4 = \{(x^1, \dots, x^5) \in S^4 : x^5 \leq 0\} \approx B^4$ denotes the ‘lower hemisphere’, then $(S^3 \times S^1 \setminus S_-^4, \tilde{g})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.3.

7. APPENDIX

In this appendix we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since most of the formulas are fairly standard we will only provide a sketch.

Let $(M^4, \Sigma^3 = \partial M^4, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Given a symmetric 2-tensor v , let $g(t) = g + tv$; then $g(0) = g$ and $g'(0) = v$. By the formula for the variation of the metric tensor and volume form, it is readily calculated

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g(t))|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M^4} \|W_{g(t)}\|^2 dv_{g(t)}|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{4} \int_{M^4} (W_{g(t)})^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} (W_{g(t)})_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} dv_{g(t)}|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{M^4} \{ -W^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta v_{\mu\nu} - P_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} v_{\mu\nu} \} dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

If we integrate by parts in the first term, we obtain

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g(t))|_{t=0} = - \int_{M^4} B^{\mu\nu} v_{\mu\nu} dv_g + \oint_{\Sigma^3} \{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha\mu 0\nu} v_{\mu\nu} - W^{0\mu\beta\nu} \nabla_\beta v_{\mu\nu} \} d\sigma_h,$$

where B is the Bach tensor¹. Using the convention that Latin indices indicate tangential components, we can rewrite the boundary integrand as

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \oint_{\Sigma^3} \{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha\mu 0\nu} v_{\mu\nu} - W^{0\mu\beta\nu} \nabla_\beta v_{\mu\nu} \} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0 0j} v_{0j} + \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} - W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_0 v_{ij} + W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_j v_{i0} + W^{i 0 j k} \nabla_j v_{ik} \} d\sigma_h. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are restricting to metrics for which the boundary is umbilic we assume that v preserves the umbilic condition to first order; i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ L(g(t))_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} H(g(t)) g(t)_{ij} \right\} \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

By standard formulas for the variation of the second fundamental form (see, for example, (2.6) in [2]) this implies

$$(7.3) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_i v_{j0} + \nabla_j v_{i0} - \nabla_0 v_{ij}) - \frac{1}{3} H v_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \left([\nabla^\alpha v_{\alpha 0} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_0(\text{tr } v) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_0 v_{00}] - L^{k\ell} v_{k\ell} \right) h_{ij}.$$

Remark 7.1. Symmetric 2-tensors on M^4 whose restriction to the boundary have vanishing trace and satisfy (7.3) can be viewed as the formal tangent space to $\mathcal{M}_0(M^4, \Sigma^3)$, the space of Riemannian metrics on M^4 with umbilic boundary.

Pairing both sides of (7.3) with $W^{i 0 j 0}$ and integrating over Σ^3 gives

$$(7.4) \quad 0 = \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_j v_{i0} - \frac{1}{2} W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_0 v_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} H W^{i 0 j 0} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h,$$

which we rewrite as

$$(7.5) \quad \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_0 v_{ij} d\sigma_h = \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ 2 W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_j v_{i0} - \frac{2}{3} H W^{i 0 j 0} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h.$$

Substituting this into (7.2) we obtain

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \oint_{\Sigma^3} \{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha\mu 0\nu} v_{\mu\nu} - W^{0\mu\beta\nu} \nabla_\beta v_{\mu\nu} \} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0 0j} v_{0j} + \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} H W^{i 0 j 0} v_{ij} - W^{i 0 j 0} \nabla_j v_{i0} + W^{i 0 j k} \nabla_j v_{ik} \right\} d\sigma_h. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we rewrite the last two terms above via integration by parts. On Σ^3 , define the symmetric two-tensor $D_{ij} = W_{i 0 j 0}$ and the one-form $\eta_k = v_k$. More precisely, for tangent vectors $X, Y \in T\Sigma^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(X, Y) &= W(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, Y, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}), \\ \eta(X) &= v(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}). \end{aligned}$$

¹There is often a disagreement in the literature whether the Bach tensor is the L^2 -gradient of W or *minus* the gradient.

Using the formulas for the Christoffel symbols in (3.40), we can write

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_j v_{i0} &= \nabla_j^\Sigma \eta_i - \frac{1}{3} H h_{ij} v_{00} + \frac{1}{3} H v_{ij}, \\ \nabla_j^\Sigma D^{ij} &= \nabla_j W^{i0j0} = \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0i0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can express the next to last term in (7.6) as

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0j0} \nabla_j v_{i0} d\sigma_h &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0j0} \left\{ \nabla_j^\Sigma \eta_i - \frac{1}{3} H h_{ij} v_{00} + \frac{1}{3} H v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} D^{ij} \nabla_j^\Sigma \eta_i d\sigma_h + \frac{1}{3} \oint_{\Sigma^3} H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} d\sigma_h. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts on Σ^3 and using (7.7) gives

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0j0} \nabla_j v_{i0} d\sigma_h &= - \oint_{\Sigma^3} \nabla_j^\Sigma D^{ij} \eta_i d\sigma_h + \frac{1}{3} \oint_{\Sigma^3} H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} d\sigma_h \\ &= - \oint_{\Sigma^3} \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0i0} \eta_i d\sigma_h + \frac{1}{3} \oint_{\Sigma^3} H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} d\sigma_h \\ &= - \oint_{\Sigma^3} \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0i0} v_{i0} d\sigma_h + \frac{1}{3} \oint_{\Sigma^3} H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 00j} v_{j0} + \frac{1}{3} H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the symmetries of the Weyl tensor and re-indexed.

We use a similar argument to rewrite the last term on the right in (7.6). This time we define the tensor A on the boundary by $A_{ijk} = W_{i0jk}$. Again using the formulas for the Christoffel symbols on Σ^3 , it follows that

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_j v_{ik} &= \nabla_j^\Sigma v_{ik} - \frac{1}{3} H v_{0k} h_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} H v_{i0} h_{jk}, \\ \nabla_j^\Sigma A^{ijk} &= \nabla_j W^{i0jk} + H W^{i00k} = \nabla_\alpha W^{i0\alpha k} - \nabla_0 W^{i00k} + H W^{i00k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can express the last term in (7.6) as

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0jk} \nabla_j v_{ik} d\sigma_h &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0jk} \left\{ \nabla_j^\Sigma v_{ik} - \frac{1}{3} H v_{0k} h_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} H v_{i0} h_{jk} \right\} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0jk} \nabla_j^\Sigma v_{ik} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} A^{ijk} \nabla_j^\Sigma v_{ik} d\sigma_h. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (7.10), we have

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \oint_{\Sigma^3} W^{i0jk} \nabla_j v_{ik} d\sigma_h &= - \oint_{\Sigma^3} \nabla_j^\Sigma A^{ijk} v_{ik} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ - \nabla_\alpha W^{i0\alpha k} v_{ik} + \nabla_0 W^{i00k} v_{ik} - H W^{i00k} v_{ik} \right\} d\sigma_h \\ &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i0j} v_{ij} - \nabla_0 W^{i0j0} v_{ij} + H W^{i0j0} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h, \end{aligned}$$

where once again we re-indexed and used the symmetries of W .

We now substitute (7.9) and (7.12) into (7.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.13) \quad & \oint_{\Sigma^3} \{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha\mu 0\nu} v_{\mu\nu} - W^{0\mu\beta\nu} \nabla_\beta v_{\mu\nu} \} d\sigma_h \\
&= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0 0j} v_{0j} + \nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} HW^{i0j0} v_{ij} - \left[\nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha 0 0j} v_{j0} - \frac{1}{3} HW^{i0j0} v_{ij} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} - \nabla_0 W^{i0j0} v_{ij} + HW^{i0j0} v_{ij} \right] \right\} d\sigma_h \\
&= \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ 2\nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} - \nabla_0 W^{0i0j} v_{ij} + \frac{4}{3} HW^{0i0j} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the tensor S ,

$$\oint_{\Sigma^3} S^{ij} v_{ij} d\sigma_h = \oint_{\Sigma^3} \left\{ 2\nabla_\alpha W^{\alpha i 0j} v_{ij} - \nabla_0 W^{0i0j} v_{ij} + \frac{4}{3} HW^{0i0j} v_{ij} \right\} d\sigma_h.$$

Therefore, from (7.13), (7.1) and (7.2) we conclude

$$(7.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g(t))|_{t=0} = - \int_{M^4} B^{\mu\nu} v_{\mu\nu} dv_g + \oint_{\Sigma^3} S^{ij} v_{ij} d\sigma_h.$$

By restricting to variations supported in the interior of X^4 , we immediately see that a metric that a critical metric for \mathcal{W} over variations that preserve the umbilic condition to first order must be Bach-flat. In particular, for any such variation v we have

$$(7.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g(t))|_{t=0} = \oint_{\Sigma^3} S^{ij} v_{ij} d\sigma_h.$$

To see that g is also S -flat, let v^Σ be a symmetric 2-tensor defined on Σ^3 , and assume v^Σ is trace-free with respect to $h = g|_{\Sigma^3}$, the induced metric. We can extend v^Σ trivially to a collar neighborhood U of the boundary by using the identification of U with $\Sigma^3 \times [0, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ small, as described in Section 4. More precisely, if X, Y are tangent vectors defined at a point $p = (x, r) \in U$, then we can write

$$X = X^T + X^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad Y = Y^T + Y^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where $X^T, Y^T \in T_x \Sigma^3$. Then define v by the formula

$$v(X, Y) = v^\Sigma(X^T, Y^T).$$

We then use a cut-off function to extend v to all of M^4 , so that $v \equiv 0$ away from Σ^3 . Note that with respect to the coordinate system in U described in Section 4, near Σ^3 we have

$$(7.16) \quad v = v_{ij}^\Sigma dx^i dx^j.$$

By construction it follows that v is trace-free (with respect to g), and on Σ^3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_0 v_{ij} &= 0, \\
\nabla_0 v_{00} &= 0, \\
\nabla_i v_{j0} &= 0, \\
L^{ij} v_{ij} &= \frac{1}{3} H \operatorname{tr} v^\Sigma = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, v satisfies the constraint in (7.3), meaning that variations g_t of the metric g with $\frac{d}{dt}g_t|_{t=0} = v$ preserve the umbilic condition to first order. As we observed above, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.17) \quad 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g_t)|_{t=0} \\
 &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} S^{ij} v_{ij} d\sigma_h \\
 &= \oint_{\Sigma^3} S^{ij} v_{ij}^\Sigma d\sigma_h.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since v^Σ was an arbitrary trace-free 2-tensor on Σ^3 , it follows that $S = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Anderson, *L^2 curvature and volume renormalization of AHE metrics on 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Let. **8**, 171–188 (2001), 171–188.
2. H. Araujo, *Critical points of the total scalar curvature plus total mean curvature functional*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), no. 1, 85–107.
3. A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
4. S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky, and P. Yang, *An equation of Monge-Ampère type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, Ann. Math. **155** (2002), 709–787.
5. S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky, and P. Yang, *A conformally invariant sphere theorem in four dimensions*, Publ. Math. IHES **98** (2003), 105–143.
6. S.-Y. A. Chang, J. Qing, and P. Yang, *On a conformal gap and finiteness theorem for a class of four-manifolds*, Geo. Func. Anal. **17** (2007), 404–434.
7. S.-Y. A. Chang and Y. Ge, *Compactness of conformally compact Einstein manifolds in dimension 4*, A dv. Math. **340** (2018), 588–652.
8. S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky, and S. Zhang, *A conformally invariant gap theorem characterizing $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ via the Ricci flow*, Math. Z. (2019), 721–746.
9. S. Chen, *Conformal Deformation on Manifolds With Boundary*, Geo. Func. Anal. **19**, (2009), 1029–1064.
10. A. Derdziński, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Comp. Math. **49** (1983), 405–433.
11. D. DeTurck and J. Kazdan, *Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., No. 4, **14** (1981), 249–260.
12. J. Escobar, *The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, J. Diff. Geom. **35** (1992), 21–84.
13. C. R. Graham and M. Gursky, *Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula for singular Yamabe metrics in dimension four*, arXiv:1902.01562v1.
14. C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *The ambient metric*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 178. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. x+113 pp.
15. M. Gursky and J. Viaclovsky, *Rigidity and stability of Einstein metrics for quadratic curvature functionals*, J. reine angew. Math. **700** (2015), 37–91.
16. F. Hang and X. Wang, *Rigidity and non-rigidity results on the sphere*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **14** (2006), no. 1, 91–106.
17. F. Hang and X. Wang, *Rigidity theorems for compact manifolds with boundary and positive Ricci curvature*, J. Geo. Anal. **19** (2009), 628–642.
18. G. Li, J. Qing, and Y. Shi, *Gap phenomena and curvature estimates for conformally compact Einstein manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), 4385–4413.
19. R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), no. 1–3, 159–183.
20. J. Serrin, *A symmetry problem in potential theory*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **43** (1971), 304–318.
21. G. Tian and J. Viaclovsky, *Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics*, Invent. Math. **160** (2005), 357–415.
22. G. Tian and J. Viaclovsky, *Moduli spaces of critical Riemannian metrics in dimension four*, Adv. Math. **196** (2005), 346–372.

23. S. Zhang, *Conformally invariant rigidity theorems on four-manifolds with boundary*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556