

ON THE FAMILIES OF POLYNOMIALS FORMING A PART OF THE ASKEY–WILSON SCHEME AND THEIR PROBABILISTIC APPLICATIONS.

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

To the memory of Richard Askey

ABSTRACT. We review the properties of six families of orthogonal polynomials that form the main bulk of the collection called the Askey–Wilson scheme of polynomials. We give connection coefficients between them as well as the so-called linearization formulae and other useful important finite and infinite expansions and identities. An important part of the paper is the presentation of probabilistic models where most of these families of polynomials appear. These results were scattered within the literature in recent 15 years. We put them together to enable an overall outlook on these families and understand their crucial rôle in the attempts to generalize Gaussian distributions and find their bounded support generalizations. The paper is based on 65 items in the predominantly recent literature.

Part 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to review the basic properties of the orthogonal polynomials of one variable, which constitute a part of the so-called Askey–Wilson (briefly AW) scheme. The AW scheme of orthogonal polynomials is a large family of orthogonal polynomials that can be divided into 5 subsets of orthogonal polynomials and each of these subsets is characterized by the common number of parameters that define it. Even though we do not analyze and review all families of polynomials that form AW scheme, but only a part of it for the sake of brevity, we will refer to this part as to the AW scheme. The whole AW scheme is presented in, e.g., [27]. In fact, among parameters, there is one playing a special rôle. It will be traditionally denoted by the letter q and, usually, it will be assumed that $q \in (-1, 1)$. All other parameters defining appropriate families of polynomials will be denoted by a, b, c, d . Hence we will discuss the family characterized by 1 parameter q . In fact, in the one-parameter family, there are two families of polynomials that have some importance. Namely, one of them constitutes the so-called Rogers–Szegő family that are not orthogonal and closely related with them the so-called q –Hermite polynomials.

Date: April 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D45, 05A30; Secondary 42C05, 60E05.

Key words and phrases. q –Hermite, Al-Salam–Chihara, Rogers–Szegő, Chebyshev polynomials, q –ultraspherical, continuous q –Hahn, Askey–Wilson polynomials, connection coefficients, summing kernels, generalization of Poisson–Mehler kernel, generalization of Kibble–Slepian formula, q –Wiener processes, conditional moments, joint, marginal, conditional distributions.

The author is deeply grateful to the unknown referee for his positive opinion of the paper as well as for pointing out numerous misprints, small mistakes as well as many remarks improving its style.

The next are the families with 2 parameters, i.e., q and a . Here we will have the so-called big q -Hermite polynomials and the so-called Rogers or q -ultraspherical polynomials. Then we have 3 parameter families of polynomials. Here are the so-called Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. After that, we have 4 parameter families including, the so-called continuous dual Hahn polynomials. Finally, we have the so-called Askey-Wilson polynomials a large family of polynomials depending on 5 parameters q, a, b, c, d .

Apart from these families, we will consider the so-called Chebyshev polynomials of the first and the second kind, whose some linear combinations are important as the special cases for $q = 0$ of the families of polynomials, considered in this paper. We will also consider the so-called Hermite (more precisely the so-called probabilistic Hermite polynomials), that is monic polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to $\exp(-x^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}$, i.e., the density of the Normal $N(0, 1)$ (or Gaussian) distribution.

Why these families of polynomials are important?. Well, because firstly, they have nice applications in mathematical analysis (see e.g., monograph of B. Simon [43]), combinatorics (see e.g., papers of et al. Corteel, in particular, [11] and the reference there) and what is surprising in representation theory of compact Lie groups (see e.g., monograph [63] and the later papers like e.g., [33]), probability theory, providing examples of compactly supported families of distributions and stochastic processes. We will present the most known of these probabilistic applications, since they seem to be less obvious and popular among the probabilists as well as among specialists in the so-called q -series theory.

The polynomials that we are going to present are of two types that can be distinguished on the basis of possible applications. They differ, in fact, in so to say, re-scaling. That is, if one transforms linearly the support of the measure, that makes a particular family of polynomials orthogonal, then we switch between these two types of polynomials. The main difference between them, as stated above, lies in the possible applications. Namely, the polynomials of the first type have applications in the theory of orthogonal polynomials and combinatorics. The polynomials of the second type appear mostly in stochastic applications since the linear transformation of the support enables consideration of the case $q \rightarrow 1^-$ which results in making the Gaussian case included in all applications. That enables some comparisons of the properties of the probabilistic models built with the help of AW polynomials with the properties of the Gaussian case. For probabilists such comparisons are very important.

Basically, the paper consists of three parts: the first one, i.e., Part 1 is an introduction as well as is dedicated to the notation and the introduction of some auxiliary families of polynomials. The second one, i.e., Part 2 is devoted to the polynomials of the first type. The third one, i.e., Part 3 deals with the stochastic application and description of new interesting families of distributions and stochastic processes that emerge here. This apart presents some infinite expansions called kernels. The examination, if such kernel is nonnegative for a given range of unknowns, is important in probability theory leading to the so-called Lancaster kernels.

Part 2 will be divided into sections referring to the number of parameters the polynomials presented in this subsection depend upon. Since all polynomials, except for some auxiliary ones, will depend on the parameter q we will not count it. Hence the sections will present polynomials depending on 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 parameters.

All polynomials, that we are going to present here, belong to the q -series theory, a part of mathematical analysis. This branch of analysis developed rapidly in the last 20 years of the previous century. The new insight into this theory and some surprising applications can be found in the works of Lusztig and his followers like, say, F. Brenti or L. J. Billera and many others. These works indicate connections of q -series theory with Macdonald polynomials and counting points of various algebraic varieties that arise in group representation theory and/or representation of Weil group.

As far as the probabilistic applications of AW polynomials are concerned, which is the main application presented in this review, about 20 years ago, there appeared the paper of W. Bryc [7] where the links between q -series theory and the theory of stochastic processes came into the light for the first time. Since then, several papers were published, where practically all families of orthogonal polynomials mentioned in this paper have their probabilistic interpretation (after proper re-scaling, described below). In Section, 3 we will present all known, so far, of these applications.

To define these polynomials and briefly describe their properties one has to adopt the notation used in q -series theory. Moreover, the terminology concerning these polynomials is not fixed and under the same name appears sometimes different, but related to one another families of polynomials. Thus, one has to be aware of these differences.

That is why the next section of the paper is devoted to notations, definitions and the next one 2 to the presentation of some auxiliary families of polynomials. The following Part 2 is dedicated to the definitions and basic properties of the families of polynomials that form AW scheme and the measures that make them orthogonal are supported on $[-1, 1]$. We present there also some 'finite expansions' formulae establishing relationships between these families of polynomials, including listing known the so-called 'connection coefficients' and 'linearization' formulae. The last Part 3 is devoted to the probabilistic applications, that is, more precisely, to the description of probabilistic models where polynomials from AW scheme appear including infinite expansions, in particular, kernels involving discussed polynomials. It consists of two subsections the first of which is devoted to different generalizations of the Mehler expansion formula, the second one to some useful infinite expansions including reciprocals of some kernels that have an auxiliary meaning.

1. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

q is a parameter. We will assume that $-1 < q \leq 1$ unless otherwise stated. The case $q = 1$ may not always be considered directly, but sometimes as left-hand side limit (i.e., $q \rightarrow 1^-$). We will point out these cases.

We will use traditional notations of the q -series theory i.e.,

$$[0]_q = 0, [n]_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, [n]_q! = \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_q, \text{ with } [0]_q! = 1,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q! [k]_q!} & , \quad n \geq k \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

$\binom{n}{k}$ will denote the ordinary, well known binomial coefficient.

It is useful to use the so-called q -Pochhammer symbol for $n \geq 1$:

$$(a|q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j), \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k|q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^k (a_j|q)_n,$$

with $(a|q)_0 = 1$.

Often $(a|q)_n$ as well as $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k|q)_n$ will be abbreviated to $(a)_n$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)_n$, if it will not cause misunderstanding.

We will also use the following symbol $[n]$ to denote the largest integer not exceeding n .

It is worth to mention the following two formulae, that are well known. Namely, the following formulae are true for $|t| < 1$, $|q| < 1$ (derived already by Euler, see [3] Corollary 10.2.2)

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{(t)_\infty} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{(q)_k},$$

$$(1.2) \quad (t)_\infty = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{t^k}{(q)_k}.$$

It is easy to notice that $(q)_n = (1 - q)^n [n]_q!$ and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_k} & , \quad n \geq k \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

The above-mentioned formula is just an example where direct setting $q = 1$ is senseless however, the passage to the limit $q \rightarrow 1^-$ makes sense.

Notice that, in particular,

$$(1.3) \quad [n]_1 = n, \quad [n]_1! = n!, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 = \binom{n}{k}, \quad (a)_1 = 1 - a, \quad (a|1)_n = (1 - a)^n$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad [n]_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}, \quad [n]_0! = 1, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_0 = 1, \quad (a|0)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1 - a & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

i will denote imaginary unit, unless otherwise clearly stated. Let us define also:

$$(1.5) \quad (ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta})_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|aq^k),$$

$$(1.6) \quad (te^{i(\theta+\phi)}, te^{i(\theta-\phi)}, te^{-i(\theta-\phi)}, te^{-i(\theta+\phi)})_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} w(x, y|tq^k),$$

$$(1.7) \quad (ae^{2i\theta}, ae^{-2i\theta})_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} l(x|aq^k),$$

where,

$$(1.8) \quad v(x|a) = 1 - 2ax + a^2,$$

$$(1.9) \quad l(x|a) = (1 + a)^2 - 4x^2a,$$

$$(1.10) \quad w(x, y|a) = (1 - a^2)^2 - 4xya(1 + a^2) + 4a^2(x^2 + y^2)$$

and, as usually in the q -series theory, $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \phi$.

We will use through the paper the following way of obtaining infinite expansions of the type

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} a_j p_j(x),$$

that are convergent almost everywhere on some subset of \mathbb{R} . Namely, in sight of [44] the following setting is considered. Say we have two measures on \mathbb{R} both having densities f and g . Suppose that, we know that $\int (f/g)^2 g dx$ is finite. Further suppose also that we know two families of orthogonal polynomials $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$, such that the first one is orthogonal with respect to the measure having the density f and the other is orthogonal with respect to the measure having the density g . Then we know that f/g can be expanded in an infinite series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \beta_n(x),$$

that is convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, g)$. We know in particular that $\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 < \infty$. If additionally

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 \log^2(n+1) < \infty,$$

then by the Rademacher–Meshov theorem, we deduce that the series in question converges not only in L^2 , but also almost everywhere with respect to g .

The point is that, in the sequel, all considered densities will be supported only on the segment $[-1, 1]$, for the proper values of some additional parameters all these densities are bounded and hence their ratios will be square integrable. Thus we will get the condition $\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 < \infty$ satisfied for free. Moreover, in all cases we will have $|a_n|^2 \leq r^n$ for some $r < 1$. Hence the condition $\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 \log^2(n+1) < \infty$ is also naturally satisfied. If one knows the connection coefficients between the families $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$, i.e., a set of coefficients $\{c_{k,n}\}_{n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq n}$ satisfying

$$\beta_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{k,n} \alpha_k(x),$$

then $a_n = c_{0,n} / \int \beta_n^2 g dx$.

We will refer to this type of reasoning as D(ensity) E(xpansion) I(idea) (*,*) (that is DEI(*,*)), where the stars point out to the connection coefficient formulae and the formula for $\int \beta_n^2 g dx$.

Apart from these expansions that lead to new, so to say, generating functions of some families of polynomials we will consider, together with some families of orthogonal polynomials say, $\{p_n(x|q)\}$ depending on the parameter $|q| < 1$, another auxiliary family is defined by:

$$\hat{p}_n(x|q) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} p_n(x|q^{-1}) & \text{if } q \neq 0 \\ (-1)^n \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q^{\binom{n}{2}} p_n(x|q^{-1}) & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases}.$$

These polynomials also satisfy a three-term recurrence related to the one satisfied by the polynomials $\{p_n\}$ however, they are not orthogonal with respect to a non-negative measure. They will play an important, auxiliary rôle. It will turn out, that they are equal to some finite sums, whose values and properties can be easily found and examined due to the simple form of a three-term recurrence they satisfy. We will try to stick to the convention, that these auxiliary polynomials will be denoted by a "hut" above the name of the orthogonal polynomials, with a few exceptions where the names of these auxiliary polynomials are already set.

The following convention will help in orderly listing of the properties of the discussed families of polynomials. Namely, the family of polynomials whose names start with say a letter A will be referred to as A (similarly for the lower case a).

Let us also define the following sets of polynomials and present their generating functions and measures with respect to which these polynomials are orthogonal if these measures are positive.

2. IMPORTANT AUXILIARY FAMILIES OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

2.1. Hermite polynomials. The Hermite polynomials are defined by the following three-term recurrence (2.1), below:

$$(2.1) \quad xH_n(x) = H_{n+1}(x) + nH_{n-1}(x),$$

with $H_0(x) = H_1(x) = 1$. They slightly differ from the Hermite polynomials h_n considered in most of the books on special functions. Namely,

$$2xh_n(x) = h_{n+1}(x) + 2nh_{n-1}(x),$$

with $h_{-1}(x) = 0$, $h_0(x) = 1$.

It is known that polynomials $\{h_n\}$ are orthogonal with respect to $\exp(-x^2)$ while the polynomials $\{H_n\}$ with respect to $\exp(-x^2/2)$. Moreover $H_n(x) = h_n(x/\sqrt{2}) / (\sqrt{2})^n$. Besides, we have (see [3] 6.1.7)

$$(2.2) \quad \exp(xt - t^2/2) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{k!} H_k(x),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \exp(2xt - t^2) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{k!} h_k(x).$$

2.2. Chebyshev polynomials. They are of two kinds. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\{T_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ as well as Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\{U_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ are defined by the same following three-term recursion

$$(2.4) \quad 2xT_n(x) = T_{n+1}(x) + T_{n-1}(x),$$

for $n \geq 1$, with different, however, initial conditions: namely with $T_{-1}(x) = x$, $U_{-1}(x) = 0$, $T_0(x) = U_0(x) = 1$.

One can define also these two families of polynomials in the following way for $n \geq 0$:

$$(2.5) \quad T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos(n\theta),$$

$$(2.6) \quad U_n(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}.$$

We have (see e.g., [20] or [3])

$$\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x) T_m(x) \frac{dx}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n = 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } m = n \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases},$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 U_n(x) U_m(x) \frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi} dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases},$$

and for $|t| \leq 1$

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k T_k(x) = \frac{1-tx}{v(x|t)},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k U_k(x) = \frac{1}{v(x|t)},$$

where $v(x|t)$ is given by (1.8). It has to be remarked that the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, i.e., polynomials $\{U_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ are related to polynomials $\{h_n(x|q)\}_{n \geq -1}$ defined below and play an extremely important rôle in the so-called free probability, an intensively developing theory that was basically first presented in papers of Voiculescu [64] and [65].

2.3. Rogers-Szegö polynomials. These polynomials are defined by the equality:

$$s_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k,$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $s_{-1}(x|q) = 0$. They will be playing here an important, auxiliary rôle. One can easily notice (by changing the order of summation and using (1.1)) that for all $|t| < 1$, $q \in [-1, 1)$ we have:

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{(q)_k} s_k(x|q) = \frac{1}{(tx)_{\infty} (t)_{\infty}}.$$

In the sequel, the following identities discovered by Carlitz (see Exercise 12.2(b) and 12.2(c) of [20]), are true for $|q|, |t| < 1$ of which the first one is trivial in the face of (2.9):

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k(1|q) t^k}{(q)_k} = \frac{1}{(t)_{\infty}^2}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k^2(1|q) t^k}{(q)_k} = \frac{(t^2)_{\infty}}{(t)_{\infty}^4},$$

which will allow us to show the convergence of many series considered in the sequel. Basically, these polynomials appeared for the first time in the three old papers of Rogers [39], [38] and [40]. One has to add that many useful and complicated formulae involving Rogers-Szegö polynomials were presented in works of Carlitz [13], [14], [12]. These polynomials were generalized further, like, for example, [15].

Part 2. Askey-Wilson scheme supported on $[-1, 1]$

3. 0–PARAMETER FAMILIES

3.1. q -Hermite polynomials.

3.1.1. *3 term recurrence.* The q -Hermite polynomials are defined by the following three-term recurrence:

$$(3.1) \quad 2xh_n(x|q) = h_{n+1}(x|q) + (1 - q^n)h_{n-1}(x|q),$$

for $n \geq 1$ with $h_{-1}(x|q) = 0$, $h_0(x|q) = 1$.

In particular, one shows (see e.g., [20](13.1.7)) that:

$$(3.2) \quad h_n(x|q) = e^{in\theta} s_n(e^{-2i\theta}|q),$$

where $x = \cos\theta$, and that:

$$\sup_{|x| \leq 1} |h_n(x|q)| \leq s_n(1|q).$$

The polynomials h_n are often called the continuous q -Hermite polynomials. Since the terminology is not fixed, we will use the name q -Hermite polynomials for brevity.

3.1.2. *Orthogonality relation.* We have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 h_n(x|q) h_m(x|q) f_h(x|q) dx = \begin{cases} (q)_n & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases},$$

where we denoted

$$(3.4) \quad f_h(x|q) = \frac{2(q)_\infty \sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} l(x|q^k),$$

and where $l(x|a)$ is given by (1.9). It is worth to mention, that f_h can be expanded in an infinite series. Namely, we have

$$(3.5) \quad f_h(x|q) = \frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} U_{2k}(x),$$

that was proved in [54], basically for the linearly transformed x , but that can be easily brought in the above mentioned form.

3.1.3. *Generating function.* Following (3.2) and (2.9), one can easily derive the following formula for the generating function of q -Hermite polynomials (see also [27](14.26.11))

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{(q)_j} h_j(x|q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^k)},$$

where $v(x|t) = 1 - 2tx + t^2$ and the series is convergent for $|x| \leq 1, |t| < 1$. In the sequel, we will use denote the right hand side of (3.6) by $\varphi_h(x|t, q)$. That is, we will denote

$$\varphi_h(x|t, q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^k)}.$$

In fact, one can give two more generating functions for these polynomials. They are given by the formulae (3.26.12) and (3.26.13) in [28]) and are less legible. In order to finish the topic of generating functions of q -Hermite polynomials let us mention the following two.

Using DEI((4.16),(3.3)), we get for all $|q|, |\beta|, |x| < 1$,

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\beta^k}{(q)_k (\beta)_{k+1}} h_{2k}(x|q) = \frac{(\beta^2)_\infty}{(\beta)_\infty^2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} l(x|\beta q^j)}.$$

Similarly, basing on (5.8) and the ideas of [44], we get for $\max(|x|, |a|, |b|, |q|) < 1$:

$$(ab)_\infty \varphi_h(x|a, q) \varphi_h(x|b, q) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(ab)^{j/2}}{(q)_j} h_j \left(\frac{(a+b)}{2(ab)^{1/2}} |q \right) h_j(x|q),$$

which is nothing else but the famous Poisson-Mehler expansion formula.

3.1.4. *Connection coefficients, linearization formulae and other finite expansion formulae.* One has to mention one other important formula, so-called "change of base formula" relating polynomials $h_n(x|p)$ and $h_n(x|q)$. Namely, we have

$$(3.8) \quad h_n(x|p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} h_{n-2k}(x|q) \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j p^{k-j} q^{j(j+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-2k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \\ \times \left(\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}_p - p^{n-2k+2j+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j-1 \end{bmatrix}_p \right),$$

that was proved say in [21], [5] or [18] (formula 7.2).

Remembering that $h_n(x|0) = U_n(x)$ and then setting $p = 0$ and then, later, setting $p = q$ and $q = 0$ in 3.8, we arrive at the following two formulae:

$$(3.9) \quad U_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{j(j+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q h_{n-2j}(x|q),$$

$$(3.10) \quad h_n(y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^k - q^{n-k+1}}{1 - q^{n-k+1}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q U_{n-2k}(y).$$

We have also the following, very useful, the so-called 'linearization formula', that is true for $n, m \geq 0$ and all x and q .

$$(3.11) \quad h_n(x|q) h_m(x|q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,m)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_j h_{n+m-2j}(x|q).$$

This formula can be extended, but is not as legible as the one above:

$$(3.12) \quad h_n(x|q) h_m(x|q) h_k(x|q) = \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (k+m+n)/2 \rfloor} \left(\sum_{r=\max(j-k,0)}^{\min(m,n,m+n-j)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j-r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2r \\ j-r \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_r (q)_{j-r} \right) \\ \times h_{n+m+k-2j}(x|q).$$

It is interesting, that one can easily get the generating function of the numbers

$$A_{j,k,n,m} = \int_{-1}^1 h_j(x) h_k(x) h_n(x) h_m(x) f_h(x) dx.$$

Namely, following [44](Remark 2.2) we have

$$\sum_{j,k,n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^j b^k c^n d^m}{(q)_j (q)_k (q)_n (q)_m} A_{i,j,k,l} = \frac{(abcd)_{\infty}}{(ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_{\infty}}.$$

Hence, setting $d = 0$ in the above-mentioned formula, we get

$$\sum_{j,k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^j b^k c^n}{(q)_j (q)_k (q)_n} \int_{-1}^1 h_j(x) h_k(x) h_n(x) f_h(x) dx = \frac{1}{(ab, ac, bc)_{\infty}}.$$

It is worth to mention that the first important results on q -Hermite appeared in [22], [24], [23] and [25].

3.2. q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials. This is an auxiliary, however important family of polynomials. It is related to the family of q -Hermite polynomials by the formula:

$$(3.13a) \quad b_n(x|q) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} h_n(x|q^{-1}) & \text{if } q \neq 0 \\ (-1)^n \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q^{\binom{n}{2}} h_n(x|q^{-1}) & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases}.$$

3.2.1. 3 term recurrence. It is easy to note that these polynomials satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$(3.14) \quad b_{n+1}(x|q) = -2q^n x b_n(y|q) + q^{n-1}(1 - q^n) b_{n-1}(x|q),$$

with $b_{-1}(x|q) = 0$, $b_0(x|q) = 1$. From Favard's theorem it follows that polynomials defined by (3.14) cannot be orthogonal with respect to a nonnegative measure for $|q| < 1$.

Notice that $b_{-1}(x|0) = 0$, $b_0(x|0) = b_2(x|0) = 1$, $b_1(x|0) = -2x$, $b_n(x|0) = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.

Polynomials $\{b_n\}$ will help us to define new families of orthogonal polynomials.

3.2.2. Generating function. To see the nice rôle of these polynomials let us recall that recently (see [57] Lemma 2(a)) it was shown that for $|t|, |q| < 1$:

$$1/\varphi_h(x|t, q) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^k) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{(q)_n} b_n(x|q).$$

3.3. Connection coefficients, linearization formulae and finite expansion formulae involving q -Hermite and q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials. To appreciate the importance of polynomials $\{b_n\}$, let us recall several formulae that expose the interrelation between them and the q -Hermite polynomials. They are related by the following formula:

$$(3.15) \quad b_n(x|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_k q^{k(k-n)} h_{n-2k}(x|q),$$

that was proved in [49] Lemma 2 assertion i. Further, for all $n, m \geq 0$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q b_{n-k}(x|q) h_{k+m}(x|q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > m \\ (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(q)_m}{(q)_{m-n}} h_{m-n}(x|q) & \text{if } n \leq m \end{cases},$$

that was proved also in [49] Lemma 2 assertion iii.

We also have for all $\forall n, m \geq 0$ (in [49] Lemma 2 assertion ii)
 (3.17)

$$h_m(x|q) b_n(x|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n+m)/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+m-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_k q^{-k(n-k)} h_{n+m-2k}(x|q).$$

4. 1-PARAMETER FAMILIES

4.1. Big continuous q -Hermite polynomials.

4.1.1. *3 term recurrence.* This family of polynomials satisfies the following three-term recurrence:

$$(4.1) \quad (2x - aq^n)h_n(x|a, q) = h_{n+1}(x|a, q) + (1 - q^n)h_{n-1}(x|a, q),$$

with $h_{-1}(x|a, q) = 0$ and $h_0(x|a, q) = 1$.

4.1.2. *Orthogonality relation.* As far as orthogonality relations are concerned, one has to distinguish two cases $|a| < 1$ and $a > 1$. In the first situation, there exists an absolutely continuous measure with the density equal to

$$(4.2) \quad f_{bh}(x|a, q) = f_h(x|q)\varphi_h(x|a, q),$$

where f_h is given by (3.4). The fact that then f_{bh} integrates to 1 is obvious since we have expansion (3.6). In case of $a > 1$ we have to assume that $q > 0$ and then the orthogonalizing measure has the density given by (4.2) but it has also $\#\{k : 1 < aq^k < a\}$ atoms at the points

$$(4.3) \quad x_k = (aq^k + a^{-1}q^{-k})/2,$$

with the weights

$$\hat{w}_k = \frac{(1 - a^2q^{2k})(a^{-2})_\infty (a^2)_k}{(1 - a^2)(q)_k} q^{-(3k^2+k)/2} \left(\frac{-1}{a^4}\right)^k.$$

For $|a| < 1$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 h_n(x|a, q) h_m(x|a, q) f_{bh}(x|q) dx = \begin{cases} (q)_n & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases}.$$

Remark 1. Notice that for $|a| < 1$, f_{bh} can be expanded in the following way:

$$f_{bh}(x|a, q) = f_h(x|q) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a^k}{(q)_k} h_k(x|q).$$

This will be later generalized in two ways.

4.1.3. *Connection coefficients, linearization formulae and finite expansion formulae.* One can easily show (by calculating the generating function and comparing it with (4.7), below, and then applying (1.5)) that

$$h_n(x|a, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (ae^{i\theta})_k e^{i(n-2k)\theta},$$

where, as usual, $x = \cos \theta$. From this equality follow immediately the following two formulae:

$$(4.5) \quad h_n(x|a, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} a^k h_{n-k}(x|q),$$

$$(4.6) \quad h_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q a^k h_{n-k}(x|a, q).$$

For more connection coefficients see Section 8, below.

4.1.4. *Generating function.* We have (see e.g., [27](14.18.13)) that:

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{(q)_j} h_j(x|a, q) = \frac{(at)_{\infty}}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^k)} = (at)_{\infty} \varphi_h(x|t, q),$$

for $|at| < 1$ and φ_h denotes as before the right-hand side of (3.6). Again, one can give two more formulae for slightly differently defined generating functions of these polynomials, namely (3.18.14) and (3.18.15) in [28].

Again using DEI((4.6),(4.4)) we end up with the following expansion:

$$(4.8) \quad 1/\varphi_h(x|a, q) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} v(x|aq^j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(-a)^k}{(q)_k} h_n(x|a, q).$$

Remark 2. Notice that for $q = 0$ (4.1) is identical with (2.4) for $n \geq 1$. Besides, we have $h_n(x|a, 0) = 2x - a = U_1(x) - aU_0(x)$, hence we deduce that for $n \geq -1$ we have

$$h_n(x|a, 0) = U_n(x) - aU_{n-1}(x).$$

4.2. **Big continuous q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials.** Like in the case of q -Hermite polynomials, we define an auxiliary family of polynomials $\{\hat{h}_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ that we will call big continuous q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials. They are defined by the relationship

$$\hat{h}_n(x|a, q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} h_n(x|a, q^{-1}),$$

for all $n \geq -1$.

4.2.1. *Three term recurrence.* It is elementary to check that they satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$\hat{h}_{n+1}(x|a, q) = (2xq^n - a)\hat{h}_n(x|a, q) - q^{n-1}(1 - q^n)\hat{h}_{n-1}(x|a, q),$$

with $\hat{h}_{-1}(x|a, q) = 0$ and $\hat{h}_0(x|a, q) = 1$. They are obviously not orthogonal as it follows from Favard's theorem. However, they play an important, auxiliary rôle, similar to the rôle played by the polynomials $\{b_n\}$. Namely, for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$(4.9) \quad \hat{h}_n(x|a, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q a^k b_{n-k}(x|q),$$

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \hat{h}_j(x|a, q) h_{n-j}(x|a, q) = 0.$$

(4.9) follows directly from the definition of polynomials b_n and (4.5). (4.10) follows from (4.9), (4.6), change the order of summation and finally from (3.16).

Remark 3. *It is easy to note that $\hat{h}_1(x|a, 0) = a - 2x$, $\hat{h}_n(x|a, 0) = a^{n-2}v(x|a)$, for $n \geq 2$.*

4.2.2. *Generating function.* We have for $|at| < 1$:

$$1 / \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{(q)_j} h_j(x|a, q) = \frac{1}{(at)_{\infty}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^j) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{(q)_k} \hat{h}_k(x|a, q).$$

Firstly we apply (4.10) and then change of the order of summation.

4.3. Continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials.

4.3.1. *3 term recurrence.* It turns out that the polynomials $\{h_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ are also related to another family of orthogonal polynomials $\{C_n(x|\beta, q)\}_{n \geq -1}$, which was considered by Rogers in 1894 (see [38], [40]). Now, they are called the continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials. Polynomials $\{C_n\}$ can be defined through their 3-recurrence (see [27](14.10.19)) in the following way:

$$(4.11) \quad 2(1 - \beta q^n)x C_n(x|\beta, q) = (1 - q^{n+1})C_{n+1}(x|\beta, q) + (1 - \beta^2 q^{n-1})C_{n-1}(x|\beta, q),$$

for $n \geq 0$, with $C_{-1}(x|\beta, q) = 0$, $C_0(x|\beta, q) = 1$, where β is a real parameter such that $|\beta| < 1$. One shows (see e.g., [20](13.2.1)) that for $|q|, |\beta| < 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(4.12) \quad C_n(x|\beta, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\beta)_k (\beta)_{n-k}}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}} e^{i(n-2k)\theta},$$

where $x = \cos \theta$.

4.3.2. *Orthogonality relation.* We have (see e.g., [20](13.2.4))

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{-1}^1 C_n(x|\beta, q) C_m(x|\beta, q) f_C(x|\beta, q) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ \frac{(\beta^2)_n}{(1 - \beta q^n)(q)_n} & \text{if } m = n \end{cases},$$

where

$$(4.14) \quad f_C(x|\beta, q) = \frac{(\beta^2)_{\infty}}{(1 - \beta)(\beta, \beta q)_{\infty}} f_h(x|q) / \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} l(x|\beta q^j),$$

with, as before, $l(x|a) = (1 + a)^2 - 4x^2 a$.

4.3.3. *Connection coefficients, linearization formulae and finite expansion formulae.* We have the celebrated connection coefficient formula for the Rogers polynomials see [20],(13.3.1).

$$(4.15) \quad C_n(x|\gamma, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{\beta^k (\gamma/\beta)_k (\gamma)_{n-k} (1 - \beta q^{n-2k})}{(q)_k (\beta q)_{n-k} (1 - \beta)} C_{n-2k}(x|\beta, q).$$

As special cases (once we take $\gamma = \beta$, $\beta = 0$ and (4.19) to get (4.16) and then $\gamma = 0$ and (4.19) to get (4.17)) we get the following useful formulae true for $|\beta|, |\gamma| < 1$:

$$(4.16) \quad C_n(x|\beta, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-\beta)^k \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} (\beta)_{n-k}}{(q)_k (q)_{n-2k}} h_{n-2k}(x|q),$$

$$(4.17) \quad h_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \beta^k \frac{(q)_n (1 - \beta q^{n-2k})}{(q)_k (1 - \beta) (\beta q)_{n-k}} C_{n-2k}(x|\beta, q).$$

4.3.4. *Generating function.* It is also known (see [28] (3.10.25)), that

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k C_k(x|\beta, q) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{v(x|\beta t q^k)}{v(x|t q^k)}.$$

Again one can give several other (less legible) generating functions involving these polynomials given by (3.10.26)-(3.10.31) in [28].

Remark 4. We have (following formulae (4.12) and (3.2)), that

$$(4.19) \quad C_n(x|0, q) = \frac{h_n(x|q)}{(q)_n}.$$

Remark 5. Notice that taking $\beta = q$ we get $C_n(x|q, q) = U_n(x)$. To see this put $\beta = q$ in (4.11) and cancel out by q^{n+1} .

Remark 6. For $q = 0$ we have (by (4.18))

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k C_k(x|\beta, 0) = \frac{1 - 2\beta t x + \beta^2 t^2}{1 - 2t x + t^2},$$

from which we deduce that for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$C_n(x|\beta, 0) = (1 - \beta)U_n(x) - \beta(1 - \beta)U_{n-2}(x).$$

5. 2-PARAMETER FAMILY

5.1. Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials.

5.1.1. *3 term recurrence.* In the literature connected with the special functions, the following polynomials defined recursively function as the Al-Salam–Chihara (ASC) polynomials:

$$(5.1) \quad (2x - (a+b)q^n)Q_n(x|a, b, q) = Q_{n+1}(x|a, b, q) + (1 - abq^{n-1})(1 - q^n)Q_{n-1}(x|a, b, q),$$

with $Q_{-1}(x|a, b, q) = 0$, $Q_0(x|a, b, q) = 1$.

Remark 7. Notice that polynomials Q_n depend only on $s = a + b$ and $p = ab$. These are their real parameters. Notice also, that for any set of values of s and p we get two sets of values of a and b (possibly complex). Further notice, that if $a + b$ and ab are real then each polynomial Q_n has real coefficients. This, of course, may happen if either both a and b are real or they form a conjugate pair.

Remark 8. It follows from Favard's theorem ([20]), that if $|ab| \leq 1$, then there exists a positive measure with respect to which polynomials Q_n are orthogonal.

Comparing (5.1) with (4.1) one can notice that when $b = 0$, then we deal with big q -Hermite polynomials.

Sometimes, it turns out to be useful to change parameters; in the case when $ab \geq 0$ we will sometimes introduce parameters ρ and y defined by the following relationships:

$$a + b = 2\rho y, \quad ab = \rho^2.$$

Polynomials Q_n with these parameters will be denoted by p_n . More precisely, for ρ and y defined by the above mentioned relationship, we define

$$(5.2) \quad p_n(x|y, \rho, q) = Q_n(x|a, b, q).$$

5.1.2. *Orthogonal relations.* For details see [27](14.8.3). We have the following orthogonality relationships (see [27](14.8.2)) satisfied for $|a|, |b| < 1$:

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x|a, b, q) Q_m(x|a, b, q) f_Q(x|a, b, q) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq m \\ (q)_n (ab)_n & \text{if } m = n \end{cases},$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad f_Q(x|a, b, q) = (ab)_\infty f_h(x|h) \varphi_h(x|a, q) \varphi_h(x|b, q).$$

As in the case of big q -Hermite polynomials, if one of the parameters a and b is greater than 1, then the measure that makes ASC polynomials orthogonal, has $\#\{k : 1 < aq^k < a\}$ atoms located at points x_k defined by (4.3) with weights given by:

$$\hat{w}_k = \frac{(a^{-2})_\infty (1 - a^2 q^{2k}) (a^2, ab)_k}{(b/a)_\infty (1 - a^2) (q, aq/b)_k} q^{-k^2} \left(\frac{1}{a^3 b}\right)^k.$$

Remark 9. Notice that following ([47], (2.7)) for $|a|, |b| < 1$, the density f_Q can be expanded in the following way:

$$(5.5) \quad f_Q(x|a, b, q) = f_h(x|q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_j^{(2)}(a, b)}{(q)_j} h_j(x|q),$$

where $S_j^{(2)}(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} j \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q a^n b^{j-n}$ and also (following [53], (2.13)) in the following way:

$$f_Q(x|a, b, c) = f_{bh}(x|b, q) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a^j}{(q)_j} h_j(x|b, q).$$

Notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} w\left(x, \frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} | (ab)^{1/2}\right) &= (1+a^2)(1+b^2) - 2x(a+b)(1+ab) + 4x^2 ab \\ &= v(x|a)v(x|b), \end{aligned}$$

$w(x, y|a)$ is given by (1.10). So the density f_Q can be written as

$$(5.6) \quad f_Q(x|a, b, q) = f_h(x|q) \frac{(ab)_\infty}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} w\left(x, \frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} | (ab)^{1/2} q^j\right)}.$$

Again, this observation will be important in the next part dedicated mostly to probabilistic interpretations.

5.1.3. *Connection coefficients and other finite expansions.* In [8] (see Remark 1 following Theorem 1) there has been shown (for some specific values of a and b but that can be easily extended to the general case) the following formula combining ASC, q -Hermite and q^{-1} -Hermite $\forall n \geq -1, x, a, b, q \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$(5.7) \quad Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (ab)^{(n-k)/2} b_{n-k} \left(\frac{(a+b)}{2\sqrt{ab}} | q \right) h_k(x|q).$$

We have below, the other formula combining ASC and q -Hermite polynomials, namely for $\forall n \geq -1, x, a, b, q$:

$$(5.8) \quad h_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (ab)^{(n-k)/2} h_{n-k} \left(\frac{(a+b)}{2\sqrt{ab}} | q \right) Q_k(x|a, b, q).$$

As far as the relationships with big q -Hermite polynomials are concerned we have for $n \geq 0$:

$$Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_j^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^j b^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} h_{n-j}(x|a, q),$$

which can be easily justified given the forms of generating functions of the ASC and big q -Hermite polynomials as well as (1.2).

We have also the following formula that combines ASC polynomials with different parameters, namely for all $n \geq -1$ and all x, a, b, c, d, q we have

$$(5.9) \quad Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (cd)^{(n-j)/2} Q_j(x|c, d, q) Q_{n-j} \left(\frac{c+d}{2(cd)^{1/2}} \middle| \frac{a}{(cd)^{1/2}}, \frac{b}{(cd)^{1/2}}, q \right).$$

That was proved in [51] for some complex values of a, b, c, d , but that can be easily extended to the above-mentioned form since on both sides of this formula we deal with the rational function of polynomials in x, a, b, c, d .

Notice that if say $cd \geq 0$, then defining parameters y and ρ by the relationships $cd = \rho^2$ and $2\rho y = c + d$ and introducing polynomials

$$(5.10) \quad p_n(x|y, \rho, q) = Q_n(x|c, d, q),$$

and denoting the density f_Q with new parameters by f_p i.e.,

$$f_Q(x|c, d, q) = f_p(x|y, \rho, q),$$

the identity (5.9) takes now the more friendly form for all $n \geq 0$ and $\rho \neq 0$:

$$Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^{n-j} p_j(x|y, \rho, q) Q_{n-j}(y|a/\rho, b/\rho, q).$$

Below, we present yet another formula combining two families of ASC polynomials with so to say a reversed rôle of argument and parameters:

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{Q_n(x|a, b, q)}{(ab)_n} = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} (ab)^{j/2} h_{n-j}(x|q) \\ \times \frac{Q_j \left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} \middle| (ab)^{1/2} (x + (x^2 - 1)^{1/2}), (ab)^{1/2} (x - (x^2 - 1)^{1/2}), q \right)}{(ab)_j}.$$

Similarly, if $ab \geq 0$ and we introduce parameters ρ and y by the relationship $2\rho y = a + b$ and $\rho^2 = ab$ and the polynomials p_n , then (5.11) takes the more friendly form:

$$p_n(x|y, \rho, q)/(\rho^2)_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \rho^j h_{n-j}(x|q) p_j(y|x, \rho, q)/(\rho^2)_j.$$

Again, this formula was proved in [49] (Corollary 3) for complex conjugate parameters a and b and $ab \geq 0$. Since, however, on both sides of the above-mentioned formula, we have rational functions in all arguments x, y, ρ, q we can naturally extend it to complex values of arguments.

Remark 10. From (5.8) follows directly the following integration formula that is very important for the probabilistic interpretation:

$$\int_{-1}^1 h_n(x|q) f_Q(x|a, b, q) dx = (ab)^{n/2} h_n\left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}}|q\right),$$

for all $n \geq 1$. While from (5.11), after introducing new parameters defined by $\rho^2 = ab$ and $2y\rho = a + b$ and polynomials p_n and assuming $ab \geq 0$, follows the other more readable form of the formula above as well as the following integration formula also important for the probabilistic interpretation:

$$\int_{-1}^1 h_n(x|q) f_p(x|y, \rho, q) dx = \rho^n h_n(y|q),$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 p_n(x|y, \rho, q) f_p(y|x, \rho, q) dy = (\rho^2)_n h_n(x|q).$$

for $\max(|x|, |\rho|, |y|) \leq 1$.

All formulae (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.11) look much more friendly when applied to parameters that form conjugate pairs and, what is more, have nice probabilistic interpretations allowing to construct some Markov processes compactly supported. See their interpretations presented in Part 3.

5.1.4. *Generating function.* We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(q)_k} Q_k(x|a, b, q) = (at, bt)_{\infty} \varphi_h(x|t, q),$$

for all $|t|, |q|, |ab| < 1$.

Again, there exist many more formulae for the generating functions of ASC polynomials. They are given say by the formulae (3.8.14)-(3.8.16) in [28].

We have, however, another two ones that are based on DEI((2.16),(2.9) of [53]) and DEI((3.11),(2.11) [53]). Namely, for all $\max(|x|, |a|, |b|, |c|, |d|, |q|) < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(cd)^{j/2}}{(abcd, q)_j} Q_j(x|a, b, q) Q_j\left(\frac{c+d}{2(cd)^{1/2}}|a(cd)^{1/2}, b(cd)^{1/2}, q\right) \\ &= \frac{(ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_{\infty}}{(abcd)_{\infty}} \varphi_h(x|c, q) \varphi_h(x|d, q), \\ & \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(ab)^{k/2}}{(q)_k (ab)_k} b_k \left(\frac{a+b}{2\sqrt{ab}}|q\right) Q_k(x|a, b, q) = \frac{1}{(ab)_{\infty}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} v(x|aq^j) v(x|bq^j). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 11. Comparing formulae (2.16) and (3.11) of [53], we get for free the following, otherwise hard to prove, summation formula:

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c^{n-k} d^k \frac{(ac, bc)_k}{(abcd)_k} = \frac{(cd)^{n/2}}{(abcd)_n} Q_n \left(\frac{c+d}{2(cd)^{1/2}} |a(cd)^{1/2}, b(cd)^{1/2}, q \right),$$

that is true for all $n \geq 0$ and all complex a, b, c, d, q such that $abcdq^k \neq 1$ for all $k \geq 0$. This is so, since first we check the validity of (5.12) for $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|, |q|) < 1$ and then extend it, because on both sides we have rational functions.

Remark 12. When $q = 0$ then, (5.1) is reduced to

$$2xQ_n(x|a, b, 0) = Q_{n+1}(x|a, b, 0) + Q_{n-1}(x|a, b, 0),$$

for $n \geq 2$, with $Q_{-1}(x|a, b, 0) = 0$, $Q_0(x|a, b, 0) = 1$, $Q_1(x|a, b, 0) = U_1(x) - (a+b)U_0(x)$, $U_2(x|a, b, 0) = U_2(x) - (a+b)U_1(x) + abU_0(x)$, while the formula (5.4) to

$$(5.13) \quad f_Q(x|a, b, 0) = \frac{2(1-ab)\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi(1-2xa+a^2)(1-2xb+b^2)}.$$

Following [44] and the fact that $\frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi}$ is the density with respect to which Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\{U_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ are orthogonal, we deduce that n -th polynomial of the family must have the form of the linear combination of the last 3 (i.e., n -th, $n-1$ -th and $n-2$ -th) Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Taking into account the fact that polynomials of the form

$$U_n(x) - (a+b)U_{n-1}(x) + abU_{n-2}(x)$$

satisfy (5.13) for $n \geq 2$ and for $n = -1, 0, 1, 2$ these polynomials are polynomials Q_n given above, hence we deduce that

$$Q_n(x|a, b, 0) = U_n(x) - (a+b)U_{n-1}(x) + abU_{n-2}(x),$$

for all $n \geq -1$.

5.2. q^{-1} -Al-Salam-Chihara. As before, we consider an auxiliary family of polynomials, that we call q^{-1} -Al-Salam-Chihara (q^{-1} -ASC) polynomials. They are defined, as before, by

$$(5.14) \quad \hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} Q_n(x|a, b, q^{-1}),$$

for $q \neq 0$ and as a limit when $q \rightarrow 0$ when $q = 0$.

5.2.1. Three-term recurrence. It is elementary to check, that they satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$\hat{Q}_{n+1}(x|a, b, q) = -2(xq^n - (a+b))\hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, q) - (1-q^n)(ab - q^{n-1})\hat{Q}_{n-1}(x|y, \rho, q),$$

with $\hat{Q}_{-1}(x|a, b, q) = 0$, $\hat{Q}_0(x|a, b, q) = 1$.

In [53] (Proposition 3.1(iv)) it has been shown (for complex conjugate parameters, but this can easily be extended to all cases of parameters) that for $\forall n \geq 1$:

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q Q_j(x|a, b, q) \hat{Q}_{n-j}(x|a, b, q) = 0.$$

From this identity almost directly follows the following form of the generating function:

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{(q)_n} \hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, q) = \frac{1}{(at, bt)_\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} v(x|tq^j).$$

Apart from these facts we have the following unexpected relationship proved in [53], Prop 3.1(i) (again proved for the complex, mutually, conjugate pair, but can be easily extended since we deal with polynomials). For $\forall n \geq 0$ and $ab \neq 0$ we have

$$\hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, q) = (ab)^{n/2} Q_n \left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} \middle| \frac{x - (x^2 - 1)^{1/2}}{(ab)^{1/2}}, \frac{x + (x^2 - 1)^{1/2}}{(ab)^{1/2}}, q \right),$$

and $\hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, q) = b_n(x|q)$ if $ab = 0$. Again, the above-mentioned identity has a more friendly form, if one switches to polynomials p_n defined by (5.10). Namely, we have

$$\hat{p}_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \rho^n p_n(y|x, 1/\rho, q),$$

for $\rho \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_n(x|y, 0, q) = b_n(x|q)$ for $\rho = 0$.

Remark 13. *Following either (5.15) or (5.16) and setting $q = 0$, we see that*

$$\hat{Q}_n(x|a, b, 0) = -2xD_n(a, b) + D_{n-1}(a, b) + D_{n+1}(a, b),$$

$$\text{where } D_n(a, b) = \begin{cases} (a^n - b^n)/(a - b) & \text{if } a \neq b \\ na^{n-1} & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}.$$

6. 3-PARAMETER FAMILY

6.1. Continuous dual Hahn polynomials.

6.1.1. *3 term recurrence.* Out of the 3– parameter family of polynomials of the AW scheme, we will consider only the continuous dual Hahn polynomials (briefly cdH polynomials). Following [53], they satisfy the following three-term recurrence for $n \geq 1$:

$$(6.1) \quad (2x - e_n(a, b, c|q))\psi_n(x|a, b, c, q) = \psi_{n+1}(x|a, b, c, q) + f_n(a, b, c|q)\psi_{n-1}(x|a, b, c, q),$$

where

$$f_n(a, b, c|q) = (1 - q^n)(1 - abq^{n-1})(1 - cbq^{n-1})(1 - acq^{n-1}),$$

and

$$e_n(a, b, c|q) = (a + b + c)q^n + abcq^{n-1}(1 - q^n(1 + q)),$$

with $\psi_{-1}(x|a, b, c, q) = 0$, $\psi_0(x|a, b, c, q) = 1$,

$$\psi_1(x|a, b, c, q) = 2x - (a + b + c) - abc.$$

Remark 14. *As in the case of ASC polynomials, ψ depends, in fact, on parameters $s_1 = a + b + c + d$, $s_2 = ab + ac + cb$ and $s_3 = abc$. This is so we have $f_n(a, b, c|q) = (1 - q^n)(1 - s_2q^{n-1} + s_1s_3q^{2n-2} - s_3^2q^{3n-3})$ and $e_n(a, b, c|q) = s_1q^n + s_3q^{n-1}(1 - q^n(1 + q))$. By the fundamental theorem of algebra we know that for every triplet of parameters (s_1, s_2, s_3) we can find 3 triplets of parameters (a, b, c) .*

6.1.2. *Orthogonality relations.* As before, if $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \leq 1$, then the measure that makes these polynomials orthogonal, is absolutely continuous with the density

$$(6.2) \quad f_\psi(x|a, b, c, q) = (ab, ac, bc)_\infty \varphi_h(x|a, q) \varphi_h(x|b, q) \varphi_h(x|c, q) f_h(x|q).$$

If one of the parameters, say $a > 1$, then this measure has $\#\{k : 1 < aq^k < a\}$ atoms at points (4.3) with masses given by (formula (3.3.3) in [28]).

Besides, for $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) < 1$ we have

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{[-1,1]} \psi_n(x|a, b, c, q) \psi_m(x|a, b, c, q) f_\psi(x|a, b, c, q) dx = \delta_{mn} (ab, ac, bc, q)_n.$$

Remark 15. *It turns out (see, e.g., [47], Lemma2) that the density f_ψ can be expanded in the following way, when $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \leq 1$:*

$$f_\psi(x|a, b, c, q) = f_h(x|q) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\sigma_n^{(3)}(a, b, c|q)}{(q)_n} h_n(x|q),$$

where

$$\sigma_n^{(3)}(a, b, c|q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{j}{2}} (-abc)^j S_{n-j}^{(3)}(a, b, c|q),$$

and

$$S_n^{(3)}(a, b, c|q) = \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0, \\ j+k \leq n}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_j (q)_k (q)_{n-k-j}} a^k b^j c^{n-j-k}.$$

Following [53] (2.13 with $d = 0$) we also have

$$f_\psi(x|a, b, c, q) = f_Q(x|b, c, q) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a^j}{(q)_j} Q_j(x|b, c, q).$$

6.1.3. *Connection coefficients and other finite expansions.* Following [2] (general formula for the connection coefficients between AW polynomials with different sets of parameters), we have the following formula for the connection coefficients between cdH and ASC polynomials.

$$(6.4) \quad \psi_n(x|a, b, c, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-a)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} (bcq^k)_{n-k} Q_k(x|b, c, q),$$

$$(6.5) \quad Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c^{n-k} (abq^k)_{n-k} \psi_k(x|a, b, c, q).$$

6.1.4. *Generating function.* In fact, we have at least 5 different generating functions. The first four are given by the formulae (3.3.13)-(3.3.16) in [28]. The fifth one is based on DEI((6.4),(6.3)). We have for $\max(|x|, |a|, |b|, |c|, |q|) < 1$:

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{\binom{j}{2}} \frac{(-c)^j}{(ac)_j (bc)_j (q)_j} \psi_j(x|a, b, c, q) = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} v(x|cq^k)}{(bc)_\infty (ac)_\infty}.$$

The sixth was derived first by Atakishiyeva and Atakishiyev in [4] and is the following

$$\sum_j \frac{a^j}{(abcd, q)_j} \psi_j(x|b, c, d, q) = \frac{(ab, ac, ad)_\infty}{(abcd)_\infty} \varphi_h(x|a, q),$$

that is true for $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|, |q|) < 1$. However, following DEI((7.7),(6.3)), we can get it almost immediately.

Remark 16. *Let us set $q = 0$. Then*

$$f_\psi(x|a, b, c, 0) = \frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{v(x|a)v(x|b)v(x|c)}.$$

Now let us consider the fact that $\frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi}$ is the density with respect to which Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\{U_n\}$ are orthogonal and let us follow the ideas of [44]. Thus we deduce that the n -th polynomial of the family must be of the form of the linear combination of the last 4 (i.e., n -th, $n-1$ -th, $n-2$ -th and $n-3$ -th) Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. This is so since $v(x|a)v(x|b)v(x|c)$ is the polynomial of order 3. Secondly notice, that for $n \geq 2$ (6.1) takes the form:

$$2x\psi_n(x|a, b, c, 0) = \psi_{n+1}(x|a, b, c, 0) + \psi_{n-1}(x|a, b, c, 0),$$

that is the form of the three-term recurrence defining Chebyshev polynomials. Taking into account the fact that polynomials of the form

$$U_n(x) - (a+b+c)U_{n-1}(x) + (ab+bc+ac)U_{n-2}(x) - abcU_{n-3}$$

satisfy the above-mentioned three term recurrence for $n \geq 2$. For $n = -1, 0, 1, 2$ these polynomials are polynomials ψ_n (one has to remember that $U_{-2}(x) = -U_0(x) = -1$) given above hence we deduce that

$$\psi_n(x|a, b, 0) = U_n(x) - (a+b+c)U_{n-1}(x) + (ab+ac+bc)U_{n-2}(x) - abcU_{n-3}(x),$$

for all $n \geq -1$.

6.2. q^{-1} Continuous dual Hahn polynomials. They are defined as

$$\hat{\psi}_n(x|a, b, c, q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \psi_n(x|a, b, c, q^{-1})$$

if $q \neq 0$ and $\hat{\psi}_n(x|a, b, c, 0) = (-1)^n \psi_n(x|a, b, c, 0)$ for $n = -1, 0, 1$ and $\psi_n(x|a, b, c, 0) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

$\{\hat{\psi}_n\}$ satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_{n+1}(x|a, b, c, q) &= -(2xq^n - q^n e_n(a, b, c|q^{-1}))\hat{\psi}_n(x|a, b, c, q) \\ &\quad - q^{2n-1} f_n(a, b, c|q^{-1})\hat{\psi}_{n-1}(x|a, b, c, q), \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{\psi}_{-1}(x|a, b, c, q) = 0$, $\hat{\psi}_0(x|a, b, c, q) = 1$.

Remark 17. *Numerical simulations suggest, that the following identity holds $\forall n \geq 1$:*

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \psi_j(x|a, b, c, d, q) \hat{\psi}_{n-j}(x|a, b, c, q) = 0.$$

Notice that this identity is true for $q = 0$ and $n \geq 0$, since we have $\hat{\psi}_n(x|a, b, c, 0) = (-1)^n$, $n = -1, 1$ and $\hat{\psi}_n(x|a, b, c, 0) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. In this case (6.7) reduces itself to three-term recurrence satisfied by polynomials ψ_n , hence is true for all $n \geq 1$. Is it true in general, i.e., for all q ?

7. 4-PARAMETER FAMILY

7.1. Askey–Wilson polynomials. 4-parameter family of polynomials of the AW scheme are simply the Askey-Wilson polynomials (briefly AW polynomials) introduced and described in '85 in the celebrated paper [2].

7.1.1. *3 term recurrence.* Taking into account remarks in [53], they satisfy the following three-term recurrence for $n \geq 1$:

$$(7.1) \quad 2x\alpha_n(x) = \alpha_{n+1}(x) + e_n(a, b, c, d, q)\alpha_n(x) - f_n(a, b, c, d, q)\alpha_{n-1}(x),$$

with $\alpha_{-1}(x) = 0$, $\alpha_0(x) = 1$, where for simplicity, we denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(a, b, c, d, q) &= (1 - q^n) \times \\ & \frac{(abq^{n-1}, acq^{n-1}, adq^{n-1}, bcq^{n-1}, bdq^{n-1}, cdq^{n-1}, abcdq^{n-2})_1}{(abcdq^{2n-3})_3(abcdq^{2n-2})_1}, \\ e_n(a, b, c, d, q) &= \frac{q^{n-2}}{(1 - abcdq^{2n-2})(1 - abcdq^{2n})} \times \\ & ((a + b + c + d)(q^2 - abcdq^n(1 + q - q^{n+1})) + \\ & (abc + abd + acd + bcd)(q - q^{n+2} - q^{n+1} + abcdq^{2n})). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the polynomials α_n are related to the polynomials p_n , defined say in [28], in the following way:

$$\alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, q) = p_n(x|a, b, c, d, q) / (abcdq^{n-1})_n.$$

for all $n \geq -1$.

7.1.2. *Orthogonality relations.* Following [53], we have for $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|) < 1$

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{[-1,1]} \alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, q) \alpha_m(x|a, b, c, d, q) f_{AW}(x|a, b, c, d, q) dx \\ = \delta_{mn} \frac{(abcdq^{n-1})_n (ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd, q)_n}{(abcd)_{2n}},$$

where

$$(7.3) \quad f_{AW}(x|a, b, c, d, q) = f_h(x|q) \varphi_h(x|a, q) \varphi_h(x|b, q) \varphi_h(x|c, q) \varphi_h(x|d, q) \times \\ \frac{(ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_\infty}{(abcd)_\infty}.$$

If one of the parameters, say $a > 1$, then, as before, there exist atoms of the measure orthogonalizing polynomials AW. They are $\#\{k : 1 < aq^k < a\}$ atoms consecrated at points (4.3) with masses given by the formula below (3.1.3) of [28].

7.1.3. *Connection coefficients and other finite expansions.* Following [53](Corollary 2.1), we get

$$(7.4) \quad \alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} (cdq^k)_{n-k} Q_k(x|c, d, q) \\ \times \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-n+k)} a^m b^{n-k-m} \frac{(bcq^{n-m}, bdq^{n-m})_m}{(abcdq^{2n-m-1})_m}.$$

$$(7.5) \quad Q_n(x|c, d, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (cdq^j)_{n-j} \alpha_j(x|a, b, c, d, q) \\ \times \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q b^{n-j-m} a^m \frac{(bcq^j, bdq^j)_m}{(abcdq^{2j})_m},$$

while, following [53](Lemma 2.1), we have

$$(7.6) \quad \alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, q) = \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q (-a)^{n-i} q^{\binom{n-i}{2}} \frac{(bcq^i, bdq^i, cdq^i)_{n-i}}{(abcdq^{n+i-1})_{n-i}} \psi_i(x|b, c, d, q),$$

$$(7.7) \quad \psi_n(x|b, c, d, q) = \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q a^{n-i} \frac{(bcq^i, bdq^i, cdq^i)_{n-i}}{(abcdq^{2i})_{n-i}} \alpha_i(x|a, b, c, d, q).$$

Remark 18. Taking into account identity (5.12), we can rewrite (7.5) in the following form. For all $n \geq 1$:

$$Q_n(x|c, d, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (cdq^j)_{n-j} \alpha_j(x|a, b, c, d, q) \\ \times \frac{(ab)^{(n-j)/2}}{(abcdq^{2j})_{n-j}} Q_{n-j}\left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} |cq^j(ab)^{1/2}, dq^j(ab)^{1/2}, q\right).$$

7.1.4. *Generating function.* In [28] there are 3 types of generating functions of the AW polynomials, that can be reduced to a single one. This is so since starting from the formula (3.1.13) of [28], the other two one can obtain by different choices two pairs out of 3 possible choices of two pairs out of $\{a, b, c, d\}$. One can obtain, however, another generating function based on the ideas present in say (6.6). That is, we consider DEI((7.6),((7.2)) and get for $\max(|x|, |a|, |b|, |c|, |d|, |q|) < 1$:

$$\frac{(abcd)_\infty}{(ad, bd, cd)_\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} v(x|dq^j) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-d)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \frac{(abcd)_{2j}}{(abcdq^{j-1})_j^2 (ad, bd, cd, q)_j} \alpha_j(x|a, b, c, d, q).$$

The other 3 similar generating functions can be obtained by changing choices of pairs in the denominator of right hand side of the above-mentioned formula.

Remark 19. For $q = 0$ we have $e_n(a, b, c, d, 0) = 0$ for $n \geq 3$ and $f_n(a, b, c, d, 0) = 1$ for $n \geq 4$. That is for $n \geq 4$ (7.1) is the same as the three-term recurrence satisfied by the Chebyshev polynomials. Besides, taking into account results of [46] and [44] we deduce that for $n \geq 4$ polynomials $\{\alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, 0)\}$ are linear combinations of

$U_n, U_{n-1}, U_{n-2}, U_{n-3}, U_{n-4}$. To get the coefficients of this combination, we check the first four α 's. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x|a, b, c, d, 0) &= U_1(x) - \frac{a + b + c + d - (abc + abd + acd + bcd)}{1 - abcd}, \\ a_2(x|a, b, c, d, 0) &= U_2(x) - (a + b + c + d)U_1(x) \\ &+ (ab + ac + ad + bc + bd + cd)U_0(x) + abcdU_{-1}(x), \\ a_3(x|a, b, c, d, 0) &= U_3(x) - (a + b + c + d)U_2(x) \\ &+ (ab + ac + ad + bc + bd + cd)U_1(x) \\ &- (abc + abd + acd + bcd)U_0(x) + abcdU_{-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account the observations from the beginning of this remark, we deduce, that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(x|a, b, c, d, 0) &= U_n(x) - (a + b + c + d)U_{n-1}(x) + \\ &(ab + ac + ad + bc + bd + cd)U_{n-2}(x) \\ &- (abc + abd + acd + bcd)U_{n-3}(x) + abcdU_{n-4}(x). \end{aligned}$$

8. REMAINING FORMULAE FOR CONNECTION COEFFICIENTS AND OTHER USEFUL FINITE OR INFINITE EXPANSIONS INCLUDING BIVARIATE ONES.

This section is organized in such a way that the reference to a particular family of polynomials will be exposed by the reference to its name. So, for example, the connection coefficients between different families of Chebyshev polynomials will be preceded by the heading T&U.

8.1. Connection coefficients.

8.1.1. $T\mathcal{E}U$. We have for $n \geq 0$

$$(8.1) \quad T_n(x) = (U_n(x) - U_{n-2}(x))/2,$$

$$(8.2) \quad U_n(x) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} T_{n-2k}(x) - (1 + (-1)^n)/2.$$

These expansions belong to common knowledge of the theory of special functions.

8.1.2. $h\mathcal{E}T$. Taking into account that (3.2) is equivalent to

$$h_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \cos(2k - n)\theta,$$

where $x = \cos\theta$ and (2.5), we arrive at the following nice formula:

$$h_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q T_{n-2k}(x),$$

if one sets $T_{-n}(x) = T_n(x)$, $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, taking into account (8.1) and (3.9), we get

$$T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} (q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q) h_{n-2k}(x|q).$$

8.1.3. $h\mathcal{E}h$. See formula (3.8).

8.1.4. $b\mathcal{E}h$. See formula (3.15).

8.1.5. $bh\mathcal{E}h$. See formula (4.5) and (4.6).

8.1.6. $q^{-1}bh\mathcal{E}b$. See formula (4.9).

8.1.7. $Q\mathcal{E}h$.

Proposition 1.

$$Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_k q^{k(k-1)} (ab)^k \times \\ \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} (-q^k)^s q^{\binom{s}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-2k \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q (ab)^{s/2} h_{n-2k-s}(x|q) h_s \left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} | q \right).$$

Proof. First we use (5.7) and then (3.15) obtaining

$$Q_n(x|a, b, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (ab)^k h_{n-k}(x|q) (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \times \\ \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k-s \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q (q)_s q^{s(s-k)} h_{k-2s} \left(\frac{a+b}{2(ab)^{1/2}} | q \right).$$

Now we change the order of summation. □

8.1.8. $C\mathcal{E}C$. See formula (4.15).

8.1.9. $Q\mathcal{E}Q$. See formulae (5.9) and (5.11).

8.2. Linearization formulae. These are the formulae expressing a product of two or more polynomials of the same type as linear combinations of polynomials of the same type as the ones produced. We will extend the name 'linearization formulae' by relaxing the requirement of the polynomials involved to be of the same type. Generally, obtaining 'linearization formula' is not simple and requires a lot of tedious calculations.

8.2.1. $h\mathcal{E}h$. See formulae (3.11) and (3.12).

8.2.2. $h\mathcal{E}b$. See formula (3.17).

8.2.3. $h\mathcal{E}C$. We have also the useful formula:

$\forall n, m \geq 1 :$

$$(8.3) \quad (q)_n h_m(x|q) C_n(x|\beta, q) = \sum_{\substack{k, j \geq 0 \\ k+j \leq (n+m)/2}} (-\beta)^k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}_q \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} n-k-j \\ k \end{bmatrix} (q)_{k+j} q^{\binom{k}{2}} (\beta)_{n-k} h_{n+m-2k-2j}(x|q),$$

which was proved in [1] (1.9).

8.2.4. $Q\mathcal{E}Q$. For the sake completeness let us mention that in [42] there is a very complicated linearization formula for Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials given in Theorem 1.

8.3. Useful finite sums and identities. We have also a very useful generalization of the formula (1.12) of [8] which was proved in [49] (Lemma2 assertion i)).

Let us remark that for $q = 0$, (8.17) reduces to three-term recurrence of polynomials $U_n(x/2)$.

Let us return to the modified version of ASC polynomials. More precisely, to the polynomials defined by (5.2), i.e., let us define:

$$p_n(x|y, \rho, q) = Q_n(x|\rho(y - \sqrt{y^2 - 1}), \rho(y + \sqrt{y^2 - 1}), q),$$

remembering that polynomials Q_n depend on the sum and product of parameters a and b .

Recently in [53] the following identities involving ASC polynomials p_n were given:
i) $\forall n \geq 1, 0 \leq k < n, z, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{p_j(z|y, tq^k, q)}{(t^2q^{2k})_j} \frac{g_{n-k-j}(z|y, tq^{n-1}, q)}{(t^2q^{n+j+k-1})_{n-k-j}} = 0,$$

ii) $\forall n \geq 1, 0 \leq k < n, z, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{p_{n-k-m}(z|y, tq^{m+k}, q)}{(t^2q^{2m+2k})_{n-k-m}} \frac{g_m(z|y, tq^{m+k-1}, q)}{(t^2q^{m+2k-1})_m} = 0,$$

where polynomials g_n are somewhat analogous to the polynomials b_n and are defined by the formula:

$$(8.4) \quad g_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \begin{cases} \rho^n p_n(y|x, \rho^{-1}, q) & \text{if } \rho \neq 0 \\ b_n(x|q) & \text{if } \rho = 0 \end{cases}.$$

One showed also there, that for $n \geq -1$:

$$g_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \hat{Q}_n(x|\rho(y - \sqrt{y^2 - 1}), \rho(y + \sqrt{y^2 - 1}), q),$$

where polynomials \hat{Q}_n are q^{-1} -ASC polynomials that are defined in (5.14).

Recall in this context, that we have also (5.15) and (5.16).

Exploring Carlitz paper [12] and confronting it with the above Lemma 2, below we arrive at the following conversion Lemma.

Lemma 1. $\forall n, m \geq 0, |t| < 1, \theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$:

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(te^{i(-\theta+\eta)})_k (te^{i(\theta-\eta)})_l (te^{-i(\theta+\eta)})_{k+l}}{(t^2)_{k+l}} e^{-i(m-2k)\theta} e^{-i(n-2l)\eta} \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q t^j h_{n-j}(y|q) p_{m+j}(x|y, t, q) / (t^2)_{j+m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_n(x|y, t, q) = Q_n(x|t(y + (y^2 - 1)^{1/2}), t(y - (y^2 - 1)^{1/2}), q)$ and $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \eta$.

Proof. See [53] Proposition 6. □

8.4. Useful bivariate identities including infinite ones. The most important in the context of q -series theory is undoubtedly the so-called Poisson–Mehler identity. It that can be obtained from (5.5) and (5.6) when the new parameters y and ρ are introduced. Recall that these parameters are expressed in terms of a and b in the following form $a + b = 2\rho y$ and $ab = \rho^2$. Then $\frac{(a+b)}{2(ab)^{1/2}} = y$ so we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho^n}{(q)_n} h_n(x|q) h_n(y|q) = \frac{(\rho^2)_\infty}{\prod_{j=0}^\infty w(x, y|\rho q^j)},$$

where by $w(x, y|r)$ is given by (1.10). Let us denote for simplicity

$$(8.6) \quad V(x, y|\rho, q) = \prod_{j=0}^\infty w(x, y|\rho q^j).$$

Notice that the right-hand side of the above mentioned equality is nonnegative for $\max(|x|, |y|, |\rho|, |q|) < 1$ and also that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho^n}{(q)_n} h_n(x|q) h_n(y|q) \right) f_h(x|q) dx = 1;$$

hence the following function

$$f_h(x) \frac{(\rho^2)_\infty}{V(x, y|\rho, q)}$$

is the density.

The polynomials, that are orthogonal with respect to this density, are, in fact, ASC polynomials Q_n considered for specific values of parameters. They were already introduced and named $p_n(x|y, \rho, q)$. A generalization of this formula and its probabilistic applications are presented in the next section in particular in Lemma 2.

There are other important formulae involving polynomials $\{h_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ considered with different arguments. Here we will mention only the finite ones.

Let us start with the formula that has been proved by Ismail and Stanton in [25]

$$(8.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{-k(n-k)/2} h_k(x|q) h_{n-k}\left(y|\frac{1}{q}\right) = e^{-in\phi} \left(-q^{(1-n)/2} e^{i(\theta+\phi)}, -q^{(1-n)/2} e^{i(-\theta+\phi)} | q \right)_n,$$

where $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \phi$, that later was simplified by Szablowski in [50] to the following form

$$(8.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{-k(n-k)/2} h_k(x|q) h_{n-k}\left(y|\frac{1}{q}\right) = 2^n \begin{cases} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} t_{2j+1}(x, y|q) & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \prod_{j=0}^k t_{2j}(x, y|q) & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases},$$

where

$$t_n(x, y|q) = x^2 + y^2 + xy \left(q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2} \right) + (q^n + q^{-n} - 2) / 4,$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $t_0(x, y|q) = x + y$.

Recently in [57] the following formulae have been proved

$$(8.9) \quad d_n^{(2)}(\cos \theta, \cos \varphi | q) = \sum_{m=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q b_m(\cos(\theta + \varphi) | q) b_{n-m}(\cos(\theta - \varphi) | q),$$

$$(8.10) \quad f_n^{(2)}(\cos \theta, \cos \varphi | q) = \sum_{m=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q h_m(\cos(\theta + \varphi) | q) h_{n-m}(\cos(\theta - \varphi) | q),$$

where $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \varphi$ and

$$(8.11) \quad d_n^{(2)}(x, y | q) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{-\binom{n-2j}{2} - j + \binom{j}{2}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_j (q)_{n-2j}} b_{n-2j}(x | q) b_{n-2j}(y | q),$$

$$(8.12) \quad f_n^{(2)}(x, y | q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_j (q)_{n-2j}} h_{n-2j}(x | q) h_{n-2j}(y | q).$$

The rôle of the functions $d_n^{(2)}$ and $f_n^{(2)}$ can be seen in the following relationships:

$$\frac{n!}{(q)_n} d_n^{(2)}(x, y | q) = \left. \frac{d^n}{d\rho^n} V(x, y | \rho, q) \right|_{\rho=0},$$

hence

$$V(x, y | \rho, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho^n}{(q)_n} d_n^{(2)}(x, y | q),$$

and

$$\frac{n!}{(q)_n} f_n^{(2)}(x, y | q) = \left. \frac{d^n}{d\rho^n} V^{-1}(x, y | \rho, q) \right|_{\rho=0},$$

hence

$$V^{-1}(x, y | \rho, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho^n}{(q)_n} f_n^{(2)}(x, y | q),$$

where V is given above by (8.6). We have also for free the following identity:

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q f_j^{(2)}(x, y | q) d_{n-j}^{(2)}(x, y | q) = 0,$$

for $n \geq 1$, $x, y, q \in \mathbb{C}$. Of course, this identity was proved for $\max(|x|, |y|, |q|) < 1$, but since both $f_n^{(2)}$ s and $d_n^{(2)}$ s are polynomials in x , y and q , the identity can be extended for all values complex of unknowns.

It was proved recently in [57] that we also have

$$(8.13) \quad \sum_{m=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q d_m^{(2)}(x, y | q) h_{k-m}(x | q) h_{k-m}(y | q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ (-1)^l q^{\binom{l}{2}} (q^{l+1})_l & \text{if } k = 2l \end{cases}.$$

Part 3. Askey–Wilson scheme compactly supported on
 $[-2/\sqrt{1-q}, 2/\sqrt{1-q}]$. **Probabilistic interpretation**

This part is devoted to applications of all the mentioned above families of polynomials that appear in the theory of probability and the theory of stochastic processes, more precisely the Markov processes. Namely, we will define multidimensional distributions and (or) Markov processes, whose marginal or conditional distributions are the distributions that make orthogonal all or some of the families of orthogonal polynomials that were mentioned in the previous sections of the paper. It turns out that these applications become more noticeable when the parameters defining the above-mentioned families of polynomials are redefined and moreover, the support of measures, that made these families of polynomials orthogonal, is made dependent on the parameter q . Namely, in this section, all measures considered will be supported on the segment

$$S(q) = [-2/\sqrt{1-q}, 2/\sqrt{1-q}].$$

Notice that when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $S(q)$ tends to the real line \mathbb{R} .

Consequently, the densities of the considered measures and the families of polynomials, have to be redefined. We start with the q -Hermite polynomials. Now we will consider the polynomials

$$(8.14) \quad H_n(x|q) = (1-q)^{-n/2} h_n \left(\frac{x\sqrt{1-q}}{2} |q \right),$$

where polynomials h_n are the q -Hermite polynomials considered above. They satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$(8.15) \quad xH_n(x|q) = H_{n+1}(x|q) + [n]_q H_{n-1}(x|q),$$

for $n \geq 1$ with $H_{-1}(x|q) = 0$, $H_1(x|q) = 1$. Notice that now polynomials H_n are monic and also that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} H_n(x|q) = H_n(x),$$

since $[n]_1 = n$. The density of the probability measure, that makes these polynomials orthogonal, is now given by

$$f_N(x|q) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q} f_h(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|q)/2 & \text{if } |q| < 1 \\ \exp(-x^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi} & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases}.$$

For the sake of completeness, let us define also polynomials $\{B_n(x|q)\}_{n \geq -1}$ by the following formula (compare [8]):

$$B_n(x|q) = \begin{cases} i^n q^{n(n-2)/2} H_n(i\sqrt{q}x|q^{-1}) & \text{for } 1 \geq q > 0 \\ (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} |q|^{n(n-2)/2} H_n(-\sqrt{|q}|x|q^{-1}) & \text{for } -1 < q < 0 \end{cases},$$

and satisfying the following three-term recurrence:

$$(8.16) \quad B_{n+1}(y|q) = -q^n y B_n(y|q) + q^{n-1} [n]_q B_{n-1}(y|q).$$

In fact, polynomials B_n can be also defined by

$$B_n(x|q) = (1-q)^{-n/2} b_n \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2} x |q \right),$$

where b_n is given by (3.13a).

The important identity (3.16) now (that is with newly defined polynomials H_n and B_n) takes the following form. For all $n \geq 0$:

$$(8.17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-k}(x|q) H_{k+m}(x|q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > m \\ (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-n]_q!} H_{m-n}(x|q) & \text{if } m \geq n \end{cases} .$$

Notice that due to the fact that the support depends on the parameter q , we are able to include the case $q \rightarrow 1^-$. The property that $[n]_1 = n$ shows that $H_n(x|1) = H_n(x)$ hence; it suggests, that the measure defined by the density f_N is a kind of generalization of the Normal or Gaussian measure.

Indeed, the fact that $f_N(x|q) \rightarrow \exp(-x^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ as $q \rightarrow 1^-$ was established by Ismail years ago in [22]. Thus we could have defined density f_N for $q = 1$. We will call the measure with this density q -Normal or q -Gaussian. Similarly, for $\forall n \geq 1$ we have

$$B_n(x|q) \rightarrow i^n H_n(ix),$$

as $q \rightarrow 1^-$.

Let us also remark that for $\forall n \geq -1$

$$H_n(x|0) = U_n(x/2).$$

Notice that for $q = 1$ we get from (8.17) the following identity true for all nonnegative integers n, m

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} i^{n-k} H_{n-k}(ix) H_{k+m}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > m \\ (-1)^n \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} H_{m-n}(x) & \text{if } m \geq n \end{cases} .$$

Let us also define the modified q -ultraspherical polynomials. Namely, we will consider now polynomials $R_n(x|\beta, q)$ related to the polynomials C_n through the relationship:

$$(8.18) \quad C_n(x|\beta, q) = (1-q)^{n/2} R_n\left(\frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-q}}|\beta, q\right) / (q)_n,$$

for $n \geq 1$. It is not difficult to notice, that polynomials R_n satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$(8.19) \quad (1 - \beta q^n) x R_n(x|\beta, q) = R_{n+1}(x|\beta, q) + (1 - \beta^2 q^{n-1}) [n]_q R_{n-1}(x|\beta, q).$$

The density of the measure that makes polynomials R_n orthogonal, is now given by

$$(8.20) \quad f_R(x|\beta, q) = \sqrt{1-q} f_C(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|q)/2,$$

where f_C is given by (4.14)

Below, we analyze extreme or particular cases and we have the following observations:

Proposition 2. For $n \geq 0$ and, remembering that polynomials H_n, T_n and U_n are defined in Section 2, we have

- i) $R_n(x|0, q) = H_n(x|q)$,
- ii) $R_n(x|q, q) = (q)_n U_n(x\sqrt{1-q}/2)$,
- iii) $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow > 1^-} \frac{R_n(x|\beta, q)}{(\beta)_n} = 2 \frac{T_n(x\sqrt{1-q}/2)}{(1-q)^{n/2}}$,
- iv) $R_n(x|\beta, 1) = \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^{n/2} H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}}x\right)$,
- v) $R_n(x|\beta, 0) = (1-\beta)U_n(x/2) - \beta(1-\beta)U_{n-2}(x/2)$.

vi) $R_n(x|\beta, q) = P_n(x|x, \beta, q)$, where P_n is defined by its three-term recurrence (8.23), below.

Proof. i), ii), iii) were proved in [58], while iv) and v) were shown in [48]. To see vi) compare (8.19 and (8.23) and recall that $C_{-1} = 0$, while $C_0 = 1$. \square

Since the ideas of the probability theory and in particular of the distribution theory are simpler to comprehend for the non-specialists, we will define a 3–dimensional distribution having density such that their both marginal and conditional distributions are one of the types mentioned above, i.e., belonging to Askey–Wilson scheme. To do this we need, firstly to redefine parameters and instead, parameters a, b, c, d we consider two conjugate pairs of complex numbers. Hence now we will have

$$(8.21) \quad a = \rho_1 e^{i\theta}, \quad b = \rho_1 e^{-i\theta}, \quad c = \rho_2 e^{i\varphi}, \quad d = \rho_2 e^{-i\varphi}.$$

Let us denote also $\cos \theta = \sqrt{1-q}y_1/2$ and $\cos \varphi = \sqrt{1-q}y_2/2$. Hence $y_1, y_2 \in S(q)$. We have also $a + b = \rho_1 y_1 \sqrt{1-q}$ and $ab = \rho_1^2$. Let us agree that, if only one pair of parameters is used, then the related to them parameters ρ and y will not have subindices. Further, for $n \geq -1$ let us denote

$$(8.22) \quad P_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n/2}} Q_n \left(x \frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2} | a, b, q \right),$$

with

$$a = \frac{\rho \sqrt{1-q}}{2} \left(y - i \sqrt{\frac{4}{1-q} - y^2} \right), \quad b = \frac{\rho \sqrt{1-q}}{2} \left(y + i \sqrt{\frac{4}{1-q} - y^2} \right).$$

Let us also notice, that polynomials $\{P_n\}$ satisfy the following three-term recurrence:

$$(8.23) \quad P_{n+1}(x|y, \rho, q) = (x - \rho y q^n) P_n(x|y, \rho, q) - (1 - \rho^2 q^{n-1}) [n]_q P_{n-1}(x|y, \rho, q),$$

with $P_{-1}(x|y, \rho, q) = 0$ and $P_0(x|y, \rho, q) = 1$.

Notice also, that for $q = 1$ we have

$$P_{n+1}(x|y, \rho, 1) = (x - \rho y) P_n(x|y, \rho, 1) - n(1 - \rho^2) P_{n-1}(x|y, \rho, 1),$$

that is we have

$$(8.24) \quad P_n(x|y, \rho, 1) = (1 - \rho^2)^{n/2} H_n \left((x - \rho y) / \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right).$$

The important formulae (5.7) and (5.8) with new parameters, now take the more legible forms, (given and proved originally in the form presented below in [8]), namely, we have

$$(8.25) \quad P_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^{n-j} B_{n-j}(y|q) H_j(x|q),$$

$$(8.26) \quad H_n(x|q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^{n-j} H_{n-j}(y|q) P_j(x|y, \rho, q).$$

Polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ have many properties important for different applications. Among others we have

$$(8.27) \quad P_n(x|y, \rho, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q r^{n-j} P_j(x|z, r, q) P_{n-j}(z|y, \rho/r, q),$$

$$(8.28) \quad \frac{P_n(y|z, t, q)}{(t^2)_n} = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q t^j H_{n-j}(y|q) \frac{P_j(z|y, t, q)}{(t^2)_j},$$

if one extends the definition of polynomials P_n for $|\rho| > 1$ by (8.25). (8.27) has been proved in [51], while (8.28) is given in [49] Corollary 2. Besides, it follows directly from one of the infinite expansions that will be presented in section 11.

Remark 20. Notice that both (8.27) and (8.28) provide nontrivial identities satisfied by the Hermite polynomials if one sets $q = 1$, applies 8.24 and (1.3).

Modifying formula for f_Q and taking into account (5.6), we end up with the following one:

$$(8.29) \quad f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q) = f_N(x|q) \frac{(\rho^2)_\infty}{W(x, y|\rho, q)},$$

where

$$(8.30) \quad W(x, y|\rho, q) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} w\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2, x\sqrt{1-q}/2|\rho q^k\right).$$

Recall that w was given by (1.10) and also that now we have

$$w(x\sqrt{1-q}/2, x\sqrt{1-q}/2|t) = (1-t^2)^2 - (1-q)xyt(1+t^2) + (1-q)t^2(x^2+y^2).$$

Notice also, that, on the way, we took into account of the last statement of the Remark 9.

Of course, modifying (5.3) we get

$$(8.31) \quad \int_{S(q)} P_n(x|y, \rho, q) P_m(x|y, \rho, q) f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ [n]_q! (\rho^2)_n & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, one can deduce from (8.24), that

$$(8.32) \quad f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\rho y)^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}\right),$$

as $q \rightarrow 1^-$, which is the density of the conditional distribution ($X|Y = y$) of the 2-dimensional normal distribution of (X, Y) with $\text{var}(X) = \text{var}(Y) = 1$ and $\text{cov}(X, Y) = \rho$. That is why we call the distribution with the density f_{CN} q -conditional normal.

This is also the reason why we set as $f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, 1)$ the right-hand side of (8.32).

Now recall formula (5.5) with parameters y and ρ instead of a and b . It is easy to notice that

$$S_n(a, b) = \rho^n H_n(y),$$

and consequently that

$$(8.33) \quad f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q) = f_N(x|q) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho^n}{[n]_q!} H_n(x|q) H_n(y|q),$$

where $[n]_q! = (q)_n/(1-q)^n$. This is a very important formula called Poisson-Mehler formula. There exist many alternative proofs of it mentioned, e.g., in [56].

One has to mention the following result showing that polynomials P_n have somehow specific properties that are not simply reflected by the properties of polynomials Q_n . Namely, the following Lemma was proved in [49].

Lemma 2. For $x, y \in S(q)$, $|\rho| < 1$ let us denote for $m, k \geq 0$

$$\gamma_{m,k}(x, y|\rho, q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^j}{[j]_q!} H_{j+m}(x|q) H_{j+k}(y|q).$$

Then

$$(8.34) \quad \gamma_{m,k}(x, y|\rho, q) = \gamma_{0,0}(x, y|\rho, q) \Xi_{m,k}(x, y|\rho, q),$$

where $\Xi_{m,k}$ is a polynomial in x and y of order at most $m+k$.

Further, let us denote

$$D_n(x, y|\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \rho_1^{n-k} \rho_2^k \Xi_{n-k,k}(x, y|\rho_3, q).$$

Then, we have:

$$i) \quad \Xi_{m,k}(x, y|\rho, q) = \Xi_{k,m}(y, x|\rho, q) \text{ and}$$

$$\Xi_{m,k}(x, y|\rho, q) = \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s q^{\binom{s}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^s H_{k-s}(y|q) P_{m+s}(x|y, \rho, q) / (\rho^2)_{m+s},$$

ii)

$$(8.35) \quad D_n(x, y|\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, q) = \sum_{s=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q H_{n-s}(y|q) P_s(x|y, \rho_3, q) \rho_1^{n-s} \rho_2^s (\rho_1 \rho_3 / \rho_2)_s / (\rho_3^2)_s.$$

Remark 21. Recently in [57] (Proposition 3.6, iii) the compact and more legible form for the polynomials $\{\Xi_{m,k}\}$ was obtained for $q = 0$. Also a generalization of the formula 8.34 was obtained. A generalization in the sense that polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ are replaced by polynomials $U_n(x/2)$ (this refers to the case $q = 0$) but also when polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ are replaced by polynomials $T_n(x)$ that is linear combinations of polynomials $\{U_n(x)\}$ and $q = 0$ (compare (8.1)).

Polynomials D_n were used in an unsuccessful attempt to generalize the Kibble-Slepian formula presented below. However, quite successful was an attempt to generalize some other properties of the Normal distributions.

It is worth to mention the following formula defining polynomials $\Phi_{k,m}$ which is obtained from (8.34) by setting $y = x$:

$$(8.36) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{r^i}{[i]_q!} H_{i+k}(x|q) H_{i+m}(x|q) = \Phi_{k,m}(x|r, q) \times \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{r^i}{[i]_q!} H_i(x|q) H_i(x|q),$$

where polynomials $\{\Phi_{k,m}(x|r, q)\}$ are given by the following formula:

$$(8.37) \quad \Phi_{k,m}(x|r, q) = \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{q^{\binom{s}{2}} (-r)^s (r)_{m+s}}{(r^2)_{m+s}} H_{k-s}(x|q) R_{m+s}(x|r, q),$$

for $k, m \geq 0$. From the definition above, it follows directly that, $\Phi_{k,m}(x|r, q) = \Phi_{m,k}(x|r, q)$, $k, m \geq 0$.

Using formula (8.33) one can show, as it was done in [8], that:

$$(8.38) \quad \int_{S(q)} f_{CN}(z|y, \rho_1, q) f_{CN}(y|x, \rho_2, q) dy = f_{CN}(x|z, \rho_1 \rho_2, q),$$

which is nothing else but the so-called Chapman-Kolmogorov property of the q -conditional normal distribution.

Now, let us consider polynomials

$$A_n(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q) = \alpha_n \left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|y\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho_1, z\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho_2, q \right) / (1-q)^{n/2},$$

where α_n is defined by its three-term recurrence (7.1), in other words they are the classical Askey–Wilson polynomials. In [53] (4.3) the three-term recurrence satisfied by the so modified AW polynomials is given. It is complicated and we will not need it. The more important is an observation made also in [53] (4.9) that the modified AW density $f_{C2W}(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q)$ (given originally by (7.3)) can be presented in the following way:

$$f_{C2N}(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q) = \frac{f_{CN}(y|x, \rho_1, q) f_{CN}(x|z, \rho_2, q)}{f_{CN}(y|z, \rho_1 \rho_2, q)}.$$

Having this, we are ready for the first probabilistic model. Below, where we will present the probabilistic application of the polynomials mentioned above, we will use the following notation, being used traditionally in the probability theory. Namely, $X \sim *$ means that random the variable X has a distribution that is denoted by $*$. For example, $X \sim N(m, \gamma)$ means that the random variable X has a normal distribution with expectation m and variance γ , or $X \sim f$ has a distribution that has density f .

9. FINITE MARKOV CHAIN

Consider three random variables $(X_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ forming a stationary, finite Markov chain. More precisely, that $X_1 \sim f_N(\cdot|q)$, further, let's assume that the conditional distribution of $X_2|X_1 = y$ is q -CN with the density $f_{CN}(\cdot|y, \rho_1, q)$ and $X_3|X_2 = y$ is q -CN with the density $f_{CN}(\cdot|y, \rho_2, q)$. Hence the joint density of say (X_1, X_2) is $f_2(y, x) = f_N(y|q)f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q)$. Now recall formula (8.29) and we see that

$$\int_{S(q)} f_2(x, y) dy = f_N(x|q).$$

Probabilistic properties of the distribution with the density $f_{CN}(\cdot|y, \rho_2, q)$ were thoroughly analyzed in [45]. That is, that the marginal distribution of X_2 is the same as that of X_1 . Similarly we show that $X_3 \sim X_2 \sim X_1$. From (8.38), it follows that the joint distribution of (X_1, X_3) has a density equal to $f_N(x|q)f_{CN}(z|x, \rho_1 \rho_2, q)$ and of course that joint density of (X_1, X_2, X_3) is given by

$$f_N(x|q)f_{CN}(y|x, \rho_1, q)f_{CN}(z|y, \rho_2, q).$$

Consequently, the conditional density of $X_2|X_1 = x, X_3 = z$ is equal to the ratio of the joint density of (X_1, X_2, X_3) and a marginal density of (X_1, X_3) . Hence, consequently

$$X_2|X_1 = x, X_3 = z \sim \frac{f_{CN}(y|x, \rho_1, q)f_{CN}(z|y, \rho_2, q)}{f_{CN}(z|x, \rho_1 \rho_2, q)} = f_{C2N}(y|x, \rho_1, z, \rho_2).$$

Thus q -Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ are the polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the marginal densities of our finite Markov chain. The modified

ASC polynomials $\{P_n(x|y, \rho_k, q)\}_{n \geq -1}$ with ρ_k being equal ρ_1 , ρ_2 or $\rho_1\rho_2$, are the polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the conditional $X_i|X_j = y$ ($i \neq j$) densities of our chain. Finally, the modified AW $\{A_n(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2)\}$ polynomials are the ones that are orthogonal with respect to the conditional densities $X_2|X_1 = y, X_2 = z$.

Of course, one can generalize this example by considering continuous-time Markov processes.

In fact, the first probabilistic model where the q -Hermite polynomials appeared, was defined by W. Bryc in [7]. It was called the stationary Markov field (that is, time-symmetric, discrete-time, stationary Markov process). There, it was shown that the defined in the paper, Markov field is stationary and has marginal distribution with the density f_N and has the property that

$$E(H_m(X_{n+k}|q)|X_n = x) = \rho^k H_m(x|q),$$

for all nonnegative integers m, n, k .

What has surprised R. Askey in the description of this Markov chain (i.e., process with discrete-time), is the fact that in the original probabilistic description of the field there is no parameter q . In fact, it was defined as some (quite nontrivial at the first sight) function of the parameters that were used in the description of the field. In [8], the conditional distribution and the polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to it, were identified. The fact that the conditional distribution $X_2|X_1 = y, X_2 = z$ is AW was shown in [49].

In [7] and [8] it was shown, that Bryc's random field can exist for $q \geq -1$ and $|\rho| < 1$. Since $\rho = 0$ leads to the trivial case of independent random variables we exclude this case from considerations.

For $q \in [-1, 1]$ the one-dimensional distributions are uniquely defined and depend only on q .

In particular, for $q = -1$ this marginal distribution is discrete symmetric with support on $\{-1, 1\}$. Similarly, the conditional (transitional) distribution is also discrete supported on $\{-1, 1\}$ with the transitional probabilities depending on ρ . These facts were signaled in [7] and developed and generalized in [34], [35] and of course [8].

For $-1 < q < 1$ the marginal distributions have densities and the bounded support (these distributions are, in fact, the q -Normal distributions introduced by Bożejko et al. in [6]), for $q = 1$ the marginal distribution is the standard normal $N(0, 1)$. Conditional distributions are also uniquely defined and depend on q and ρ . For $-1 < q \leq 1$ and $\rho \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ these conditional distributions have densities (see e.g., [8]). In particular, for $q = 1$ such conditional distribution of say X_{k+1} under condition $X_k = y$ is the normal distribution $N(\rho y, 1 - \rho^2)$.

The existence of such random fields for $q > 1$ was an open question. It was answered positively in [50]. For $q > 1$ the one-dimensional distributions are not uniquely defined. These distributions have only known moments of all orders. It turns out that the conditional distributions do not exist for all pairs (q, ρ) . They might exist only if $\rho^2 \in \left\{ \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q^2}, \dots \right\}$.

For $q > 1$ and $\rho^2 = \frac{1}{q^{m-1}}$ (see [8]) the conditional distribution of $X_{n+1}|X_n = y$ is concentrated on zeros $\{\chi_j(y, q)\}_{j=1}^m$ of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomial $P_m(x|y, \rho, q)$ defined above (more precisely by (8.23)). Moreover, the masses $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$ assigned to

these zeros, are defined by the equalities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1, \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j P_k(\chi_j(y, q) | y, \rho, q) = 0,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$.

The problem was if the defined in this way, discrete conditional distributions satisfy the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation. Following [50], it turned out that yes, they do. The proof heavily depends on the adopted to the present setting, formulae 8.7 and 8.8. The referee of [50] was really surprised that a very abstract formula (i.e., 8.7), has found its probabilistic application.

10. ATTEMPTS TO GENERALIZE GAUSSIAN DISTRIBUTIONS AND PROCESSES.

In this subsection, we expose one or more important property of the Gaussian process or distribution and indicate, so to say, a q - version of the process or distribution that has similar properties.

10.1. Three dimensional distributions generalizing some properties of Normal distributions. In this subsection, we try to generalize the following property of the Gaussian distributions. Let $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ be a random vector having Normal distribution with parameters $\mathbf{m} = E\mathbf{X}$ and variance-covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma} = E(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{m})^T$. Assume that $\mathbf{X}^T = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Then, it turns out that for every subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and non-negative integers $\{i_j\}_{j \in I}$ the conditional expectation

$$E\left(\prod_{j \in I} X_j^{i_j} \mid \{X_k\}_{k \notin I}\right)$$

is a polynomial in variables $\{X_k\}_{k \notin I}$ of order not exceeding $\sum_{j \in I} i_j$. We will call such property PCM(n) (polynomial conditional moment property of n -dimensional distribution). So far, it is known that only Normal distributions have this property for every integer n . Below, we will see that it is possible to construct non-Normal distribution having this property, unfortunately, so far, only for dimension 3.

As shown in [48], the distribution that has PCM(3) property is the following defined for some $\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23} \in (-1, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{3D}(x, y, z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) &= f_N(x|q) f_N(y|q) f_N(z|q) \\ &\times \frac{C_{3D} (\rho_{12}^2)_\infty (\rho_{13}^2)_\infty (\rho_{23}^2)_\infty}{W(x, y | \rho_{12}, q) W(x, z | \rho_{13}, q) W(y, z | \rho_{23}, q)} \\ &= C_{3D} f_{CN}(x|y, \rho_{12}, q) f_{CN}(y|z, \rho_{23}, q) f_{CN}(z|x, \rho_{13}, q), \end{aligned}$$

where $W(x, y | \rho, q)$ is defined by (8.30) and C_{3D} is the suitably chosen constant. To proceed further, let us denote also by $r = \rho_{12}\rho_{23}\rho_{13}$. The first result proved in [48] is the following theorem presenting marginal distributions.

Theorem 1. *Let us denote for simplicity $r = \rho_{12}\rho_{13}\rho_{23}$. Then*

i) $C_{3D} = 1 - r$.

ii) Two-dimensional marginals depend, in fact, on two parameters (except for q). In the case of f_{YZ} it depends on ρ_{23} and $\rho_{12}\rho_{13}$ only. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{YZ}(y, z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) &= \int_{S(q)} f_{3D}(x, y, z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) dx = \\ &= (1-r) f_N(y|q) f_N(z|q) \frac{(\rho_{23}^2)_\infty (\rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)_\infty}{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega(y, z | \rho_{23} q^i) \omega(y, z | \rho_{12} \rho_{13} q^i)} \\ &= (1-r) f_{CN}(y|z, \rho_{23}, q) f_{CN}(z|y, \rho_{12} \rho_{13}, q) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for f_{XZ} , and f_{XY} .

iii) Marginal one-dimensional densities $\int_{S(q)} \int_{S(q)} f_{3D}(x, y, z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) dx dy = f_Z(z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)$ depend on the product $r = \rho_{12}\rho_{23}\rho_{13}$ only. Moreover we have $f_Z(z | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) = f_R(z | r, q)$, where f_R is a Rogers distribution given by (8.20).

Remark 22. This result provides the first example of the probabilistic interpretation of the q -ultraspherical density and polynomials known so far in the literature.

The second result presented in [48] concerns the conditional moments. Recall that in fact, we have 9 types of conditional moments. Three of them are of the form $E(X^n | Y = y, Z = z)$ (in fact, we will find $E(H_n(X) | Y = y, Z = z)$) and similarly for the remaining choices of the conditioned random variable. Next 3 of them are of the form $E(X^n Y^m | Z = z)$ (again we will find $E(H_n(X) H_m(Y) | Z = z)$) and similarly the other choices of the conditioning random variable. Finally, we have 3 conditional moments of the form $E(H_n(X) | Y = y)$ and similarly the other two choices of the variables. We have the following results.

Theorem 2. One-dimensional conditional moments say $E(H_n(Y|q) | Z = z)$ are polynomials of order not exceeding n in Z . More precisely for $n = 2m + 1$ we have

$$E(H_{2m+1}(Y|q) | Z = z) = \sum_{s=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2m+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q (\rho_{23}^{2m+1-s} + (\rho_{12}\rho_{13})^{2m+1-s}) \Phi_{s, 2m+1-s}(z | r, q),$$

and for $n = 2m$, $m \geq 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E(H_{2m}(Y|q) | Z = z) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q r^m \Phi_{m, m}(z | r, q) \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q (\rho_{23}^{2m-s} + (\rho_{12}\rho_{13})^{2m-s}) \Phi_{s, 2m-s}(z | r, q), \end{aligned}$$

where polynomials $\{\Phi_{m, k}(z | r, q)\}_{m, k \geq 0}$ are given by (8.37).

In particular, we have:

$$(10.1) \quad E(Y | Z = z) = \frac{(\rho_{23} + \rho_{12}\rho_{13})}{(1+r)} z,$$

and

$$(10.2) \quad E(Y^2 | Z = z) = \frac{(\rho_{23}^2 + \rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)(1 - qr) + r(1 - r)(1 + q)}{(1 + r)(1 - qr^2)} z^2 + \frac{1 + r^2 - \rho_{23}^2 - \rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2}{(1 - qr^2)}.$$

Theorem 3. $E(H_n(X|q)|Y = y, Z = z)$ assumes one of the following equivalent forms:

i)

$$(10.3) \quad E(H_n(X|q)|Y = y, Z = z) = \sum_{s=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q \rho_{12}^{n-s} \rho_{13}^s (\rho_{12}^2)_s H_{n-s}(y|q) P_s(z|y, \rho_{12}\rho_{13}, q) / (\rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)_s,$$

where $\{P_s(z|y, \rho_1\rho_2, q)\}_{s \geq -1}$ constitute the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials with new parameters defined by the three-term recurrence (8.23).

ii)

$$(10.4) \quad E(H_n(X|q)|Y = y, Z = z) = \frac{1}{(\rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [k]_q! \rho_{13}^{2k} \rho_{12}^{2k} \times (\rho_{12}^2, \rho_{13}^2)_k \sum_{j=0}^{n-2k} \begin{bmatrix} n-2k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (\rho_{12}^2 q^k)_j (\rho_{13}^2 q^k)_{n-2k-j} \rho_{12}^{n-2k-j} \rho_{13}^j H_j(z|q) H_{n-2k-j}(y|q).$$

$$iii) \quad E(P_n(X|y, \rho_{12}, q)|Y = y, Z = z) = \frac{\rho_{13}^n (\rho_{12}^2)_n}{(\rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)_n} P_n(z|y, \rho_{12}\rho_{13}, q).$$

In particular, we have

$$(10.5) \quad E(X|Y = y, Z = z) = \frac{y\rho_{12}(1 - \rho_{13}^2) + z\rho_{13}(1 - \rho_{12}^2)}{1 - \rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2}.$$

Finally, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. $\forall n, m \geq 0$: $E(H_n(X|q)H_m(Y|q)|Z)$ is a polynomial of order at most $n + m$ of the conditioning random variable Z .

In particular, we have:

$$(10.6) \quad E(XY|Z = z) = z^2 \frac{\rho_{12}(\rho_{13}^2 + \rho_{23}^2)(1 - qr) + (1 - r)(\rho_{13}\rho_{23} + qr\rho_{12})}{(1 + r)(1 - qr^2)} + \frac{\rho_{12}(1 - \rho_{13}^2)(1 - \rho_{23}^2)}{(1 - qr^2)}.$$

Maybe it is worth to recall that the conditional densities are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|Y,Z}(x|y, z, \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) &= \frac{f_{3D}(x, y, z|\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)}{f_{YZ}(y, z|\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)} \\ &= \frac{(\rho_{12}^2)_\infty (\rho_{13}^2)_\infty}{(\rho_{12}^2 \rho_{13}^2)_\infty} f_X(x|q) \frac{W(y, z|\rho_{12}\rho_{13}, q)}{W(x, y|\rho_{12}, q)W(x, z|\rho_{13}, q)} \\ &= \frac{f_{CN}(x|y, \rho_{12}, q)f_{CN}(z|x, \rho_{13}, q)}{f_{CN}(y|z, \rho_{12}\rho_{13}, q)} = f_{C2N}(x|y, \rho_{12}, z, \rho_{13}, q). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have

$$E(A_m(X|y, \rho_{12}, z, \rho_2, q)A_n(X|y, \rho_{12}, z, \rho_2, q)|Y = y, Z = z) = 0,$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$, $n \neq m$, where $A(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2)$ is the AW polynomial with parameters y, ρ_1, z, ρ_2 .

Similarly, as far as the other conditional densities are concerned, we have

$$f_{XY|Z}(x, y|z, \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) = \frac{f_{3D}(x, y, z|\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)}{f_R(z|r, q)},$$

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y, \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) = \frac{f_{XY}(x|y, \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)}{f_Y(y|\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)}.$$

10.2. q -Wiener stochastic process. It is known that the Wiener process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a stochastic process defined on $[0, \infty)$ that has i) independent increments, ii) Gaussian marginal distributions $N(0, \sigma^2 t)$, iii)

$$(10.7) \quad E \left(t^{n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}^Y \right) = s^{n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_s}{\sigma \sqrt{s}} \right),$$

$$(10.8) \quad E \left(s^{-n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_s}{\sigma \sqrt{s}} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\geq t}^Y \right) = t^{-n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \right)$$

a.s. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq s < t$. Here H_n denotes the Hermite polynomial defined (2.1) and $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}^Y$, $\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}^Y$ denote the σ -fields generated by the process (Y_τ) for $\tau \leq s$ or $\tau \geq t$ i.e., so to say, the past or the future of the process (Y_t) that happen before the moment s or past the moment t . Properties (10.7) and (10.8) are sometimes expressed in the following form. Namely, that the families (indexed by n) of processes $\left\{ t^{n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \right) \right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\left\{ s^{-n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_s}{\sigma \sqrt{s}} \right) \right\}_{s \geq 0}$ are respectively called martingales and reversed martingales.

To simplify the further description let us assume $\sigma = 1$. Now, in [59], for every $|q| < 1$, there has been defined Markov stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that:

- i) each of its marginal distributions, say, X_t has density $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} f_N \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\tau}} | q \right)$,
- ii) for every $n \geq 1$ the following stochastic processes $\left\{ t^{n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sqrt{t}} | q \right) \right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\left\{ s^{-n/2} H_n \left(\frac{Y_s}{\sqrt{s}} | q \right) \right\}_{s \geq 0}$ are repetitively martingale and reversed martingale.

That is (10.7) and (10.8) are satisfied where the polynomials $H_n(x)$ are substituted by $H_n(x|q)$. For the probabilists, it is obvious that the increments of this process cannot be independent unless $q = 1$, which is when we deal with the Gaussian case.

In [59] there has been defined, the other, related process called q -Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. Both these processes provide examples of Markov processes that have all conditional moments of order n being polynomials of the condition of the order not exceeding n . The theory of such processes has been developed in the series of papers [60], [61], [62]. It should be mentioned that together with the q -Normal distribution the initial idea to define q -Wiener process can be traced to the pioneering works of Bożejko et al. [6] in 1997, W. Bryc [7] in 2001, later developed in [9] and [10].

10.3. Generalization of Kibble–Slepian formula. Recall that Kibble in 1949 [26] and independently Slepian in 1972 [41] extended the Mehler’s formula to higher dimensions. Namely, they expanded the ratio of the standardized multidimensional Gaussian density divided by the product of one-dimensional marginal densities in the multiple sums involving only constants (correlation coefficients) and the Hermite polynomials. The formula, in its generality, can be found in [20] (4.7.2

p.107). Since we are going to generalize its 3-dimensional version, only this version will be presented here.

Namely, let us consider 3 dimensional density $f_{3D}(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23})$ of Normal random vector

$$N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\ \rho_{12} & 1 & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Of course, we must assume that the parameters ρ_{12} , ρ_{13} , ρ_{23} are such that the variance-covariance matrix is positive definite i.e., such that $|\rho_{ij}| < 1$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j$ and

$$(10.9) \quad 1 + 2\rho_{12}\rho_{13}\rho_{23} - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{13}^2 - \rho_{23}^2 > 0.$$

Then, the Kibble–Slepian formula reads that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}{2} \right) f_{3D}(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}) \\ &= \sum_{k,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{12}^k \rho_{13}^m \rho_{23}^n}{k!m!n!} H_{k+m}(x_1) H_{k+n}(x_2) H_{m+n}(x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the immediate generalization of this formula would be to substitute the Hermite polynomials by the q -Hermite ones and the factorials by the q -factorials.

The question is if such a sum is positive. It turns out that not in general, i.e., not for all ρ_{12} , ρ_{13} , ρ_{23} satisfying (10.9). Nevertheless, it is interesting to compute the sum

$$(10.10) \quad \sum_{k,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{12}^k \rho_{13}^m \rho_{23}^n}{[k]_q! [m]_q! [n]_q!} H_{k+m}(x_1|q) H_{k+n}(x_2|q) H_{m+n}(x_3|q).$$

For simplicity let us denote this sum by $g(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)$.

In [52] the following result has been formulated and proved.

Theorem 4. *Sum $g(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q)$ has one of the following two equivalent forms:*

i)

$$(10.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) &= \frac{(\rho_{13}^2)_{\infty}}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} W_q(x_1, x_3 | \rho_{13} q^k)} \\ &\times \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{[s]_q!} H_s(x_2|q) D_s(x_1, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{13}, q), \end{aligned}$$

where $D_n(x_1, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{13}, q)$ is given by either (8.35) or can be expressed in terms of polynomials H_n in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x_1, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{13}, q) &= \frac{1}{(\rho_{13}^2)_n} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [k]_q! \rho_{12}^k \rho_{13}^k \rho_{23}^k \left(\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{23}} \right)_k \left(\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{12}} \right)_k \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{n-2k} \begin{bmatrix} n-2k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho_{23}^j \left(\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{23}} q^k \right)_k \rho_{12}^{n-j-2k} \left(\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{12}} q^k \right)_{n-2k-j} H_j(x_1|q) H_{n-2k-j}(x_3|q), \end{aligned}$$

similarly for other pairs (1, 3) and (2, 3),
 ii)

$$(10.12) \quad g(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) = \frac{(\rho_{13}^2, \rho_{23}^2)_\infty}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} W_q(x_1, x_3 | \rho_{13} q^k) W_q(x_3, x_2 | \rho_{23} q^k)} \\ \times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{12}^s (\rho_{13} \rho_{23} / \rho_{12})_s}{[s]_q! (\rho_{13}^2)_s (\rho_{23}^2)_s} P_s(x_1 | x_3, \rho_{13}, q) P_s(x_2 | x_3, \rho_{23}, q),$$

similarly for other pairs (1, 3) and (2, 3).

Unfortunately, as shown in [52], one can find such $\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}$ that function the g with these parameters assumes negative values for some $x_j \in S(q)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$; hence consequently, $g(x_1, x_2, x_3 | \rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}, q) \prod_{j=0}^3 f_N(x_j | q)$ with these values of parameters is not a density of a probability distribution.

11. INFINITE EXPANSIONS

11.1. **Kernels.** In the literature, there is a small confusion concerning terminology. Sometimes the expression of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} r_n A_n(x) B_n(y),$$

where $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are the families of polynomials, is called a kernel (like in [55]) or even sometimes 'bilinear generating function' (see e.g., [37]) or also a Poisson kernel. In general, if A_n and B_n are different we talk about non-symmetric kernels, if $A_n(x) = B_n(x)$ then the kernels are called symmetric or simply kernels.

The process of expressing these sums in a closed-form is then called 'summing of kernels'.

Summing the kernel expansions is, in general, a difficult thing to do. The proving positivity of the kernels is another difficult problem. Only some are known and have relatively simple forms. In most cases, sums are in the form of a complex finite sum of the so-called basic hypergeometric functions.

If such a kernel is nonnegative for all x and y that belong to the supports of measures μ and ν that make orthogonal the following families of polynomials respectively $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$, then such a kernel is called (at least among probabilists) a Lancaster kernel.

Here, below we have another, the probabilistic application of q -series theory.

Properties and applications in the theory of probability of such kernels were described in the series of papers of H.G. Lancaster [29], [31], [30], [32].

If we do not deal with Lancaster kernels, then their importance in applications stems directly from Mercer's theorem and the theory following it. Anyway, summing kernels is an important and ambitious task.

In the case of Lancaster kernels, it turns out that in many cases the number sequence $\{r_n\}$ has to be a moment sequence. It is the necessary condition in case of unbounded supports of the measures μ and ν .

We start with the famous Poisson–Mehler expansion of $f_{CN}(x|y, \rho, q) / f_N(x|q)$ in an infinite series of Mercer's type (compare e.g., [36]). Namely, the following fact is true:

Theorem 5. For all $\forall |q|, |\rho| < 1$, $x, y \in S(q)$ we have

$$(11.1) \quad \frac{(\rho^2)_\infty}{\prod_{k=0}^\infty W_q(x, y | \rho q^k)} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\rho^n}{[n]_q!} H_n(x|q) H_n(y|q).$$

For $q = 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(11.2) \quad \frac{\exp\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2-2\rho xy}{2(1-\rho^2)}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\rho^n}{n!} H_n(x) H_n(y).$$

Proof. There exist many proofs of both formulae (see e.g., [20], [5]). One of the shortest, exploiting connection coefficients, given in (8.25) is given in [44]. The simplest seems to be based on (5), (5.6) and then introducing parameters 8.21. \square

Corollary 2. For all $\forall |q|, |\rho| < 1$, $x \in S(q)$ we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\rho^k (\rho q^{k+1})_\infty}{[k]_q!} H_{2k}(x|q) = \frac{(\rho^2)_\infty}{(\rho)_\infty} \prod_{k=0}^\infty L_q^{-1}(x | \rho q^k).$$

Proof. We put $y = x$ in (11.1), then we apply a modified version of (3.11), change the order of summation and finally apply formulae $\frac{1}{(\rho)_{j+1}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{[j+k]_q}{[k]_q} \rho^k$ and $\frac{(\rho)_\infty}{(\rho)_{j+1}} = (q^{j+1} \rho)_\infty$. \square

Remark 23. This formula has been obtained by other means in the first section compare with (3.7)

We will call the expression of the form on the right-hand side of (11.1) the kernel expansion, while the expressions from the left-hand side of (11.1) kernels. The name refers to Mercer's theorem and the fact that for example

$$\int_{S(q)} k(x, y | \rho, q) H_n(x|q) f_N(x|q) dx = \rho^n H_n(y|q) f_N(y|q),$$

where we denoted by $k(x, y | \rho, q)$ the left-hand side of (11.1). Hence we see that k is a kernel, while functions $H_n(x|q) f_N(x|q)$ are eigenfunctions of the kernel k with ρ^n being an eigenvalue related to an eigenfunction $H_n(x|q) f_N(x|q)$. Such kernels and kernel expansions are very important in the analysis or in quantum physics in the analysis of different models of harmonic oscillators.

Below we will present several of them, mostly the ones involving the big q -Hermite, Al-Salam-Chihara and q -ultraspherical polynomials.

To present more complicated sums, we will need the following definition of the basic hypergeometric function, namely

$$(11.3) \quad {}_j\phi_k \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_j \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_k \end{matrix} ; q, x \right] = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_j)_n}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_k)_n} \left((-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right)^{1+k-j} x^n,$$

$$(11.4) \quad {}_{2m}W_{2m-1}(a, a_1, \dots, a_{2m-3}; q, x) = {}_{2m}\phi_{2m-1} \left[\begin{matrix} a & q\sqrt{a} & -q\sqrt{a} & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{2m-3} \\ \sqrt{a} & -\sqrt{a} & \frac{qa}{a_1} & \frac{qa}{a_2} & \dots & \frac{qa}{a_{2m-3}} \end{matrix} ; q, x \right].$$

We will present now the kernels built of families of polynomials that are discussed here and their sums.

Theorem 6. *i) For all $|t| < 1, |x|, |y| < 2$:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n U_n(x/2) U_n(y/2) = \frac{(1-t^2)}{\left((1-t^2)^2 - t(1+t^2)xy + t^2(x^2+y^2)\right)}.$$

ii) For all $|t| < 1, |x|, |y| < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta q^n)(q)_n}{(1-\beta)(\beta^2)_n} t^n C_n(x|\beta, q) C_n(y|\beta, q) = \\ & \frac{(\beta q)_{\infty}^2}{(\beta^2)_{\infty}(\beta t^2)_{\infty}} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w(x, y|t\beta q^n)}{w(x, y|tq^n)} \times \\ & {}_8W_7\left(\frac{\beta t^2}{q}, \frac{\beta}{q}, te^{i(\theta+\phi)}, te^{-i(\theta+\phi)}, te^{i(\theta-\phi)}, te^{-i(\theta-\phi)}; q, \beta q\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = \cos \theta, y = \cos \phi$.

iii) For all $|x|, |y|, |t|, |tb/a| \leq 1$:

$$(11.5) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(tb/a)^n}{(q)_n} h_n(x|a, q) h_n(y|b, q) = \left(\frac{b^2 t^2}{a^2}\right)_{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{v(x|tbq^k)}{w(x, y|t\frac{b}{a}q^k)} \times \\ {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} t & bte^{i(\theta+\phi)}/a & bte^{i(-\theta+\phi)}/a \\ b^2 t^2/a^2 & bte^{i\phi} \end{matrix}; q, be^{-i\phi}\right),$$

with $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \phi$.

iv) For all $|t| < 1, x, y \in S(q), ab = \alpha\beta$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(t\alpha/a)^n}{(q)_n(ab)_n} Q_n(x|a, b, q) Q_n(y|\alpha, \beta, q) = \\ & \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha^2 t^2}{a}, \frac{\alpha^2 t}{a} e^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}, bte^{i\theta}, \alpha te^{-i\phi}, \alpha te^{i\phi}\right)_{\infty}}{(ab, \frac{\alpha^2 t^2}{a} e^{i\theta})_{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} w(x, y|\frac{\alpha t}{a} q^k)} \times \\ & {}_8W_7\left(\frac{\alpha^2 t^2 e^{i\theta}}{aq}, t, \frac{\alpha t}{\beta}, ae^{i\theta}, \frac{\alpha t}{a} e^{i(\theta+\phi)}, \frac{\alpha t}{a} e^{i(\theta-\phi)}; q, be^{-i\theta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where as before $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = \cos \phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{(q)_n(ab)_n} Q_n(x|a, b, q) Q_n(y|\alpha, \beta, q) = \\ & \frac{\left(\frac{\beta t}{a}\right)_{\infty}}{(\alpha at)_{\infty}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha^2 t^2 q^{2k})^2 - 2\alpha t q^k (x+y)(1 + \alpha^2 t^2 q^{2k}) + 4\alpha^2 x y t^2 q^{2k}}{w(x, y|tq^k)} \\ & {}_8W_7\left(\frac{\alpha at}{q}, \frac{\alpha t}{b}, ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta}, \alpha e^{i\phi}, \alpha e^{-i\phi}; q; \frac{\beta t}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

v) For all $|\rho_1|, |\rho_2|, |q| < 1, x, y \in S(q)$
(11.6)

$$0 \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho_1^n}{[n]_q! (\rho_2)_n} P_n(x|y, \rho_2, q) P_n\left(z|y, \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}, q\right) = \frac{(\rho_1^2)_\infty}{(\rho_2^2)_\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_q(x, z|\rho_2 q^k)}{W_q(x, y|\rho_1 q^k)}.$$

Remarks concerning the proof. i) We set $q = 0$ in (11.1) and use the fact that $H_n(x|0) = U_n(x/2)$. ii) It is formula (1.7) in [37] based on [19]. iii) it is formula (14.14) in [55]. iv) these are formulae (14.5) and (14.8) of [55]. v) Notice that it cannot be derived from assertion iv) since the condition $ab = \alpha\beta$ is not satisfied. Recall that (see (8.22)) $ab = \rho_2^2$ while $\alpha\beta = \rho_1^2$. For the proof, recall the idea of expansion of ratio of densities presented in [44], use formulae (8.27) and (8.31) and finally notice that $f_{CN}(x|y, \rho_1, q) / f_{CN}(x|z, \rho_2, q) = \frac{(\rho_1^2)_\infty}{(\rho_2^2)_\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_q(x, z|\rho_2 q^k)}{W_q(x, y|\rho_1 q^k)}$. \square

Corollary 3. For all $|a| > |b|, x, y \in S(q)$:

$$0 \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{b^n}{[n]_q! a^n} H_n(x|a, q) H_n(y|b, q) = \left(\frac{b^2}{a^2}\right)_\infty \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{V_q(x|bq^k)}{W_q(x, y|\frac{b}{a}q^k)}.$$

Proof. We set $t = 0$ in (11.5) and assume $|b| < |a|$. For an alternative simple proof see [51]. \square

11.2. Expansions of kernel's reciprocals. We have the following infinite expansions:

Theorem 7. i) For $|q|, |\rho| < 1, x, y \in S(q)$:

$$1 / \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{[n]_q!} H_n(x|q) H_n(y|q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{(\rho^2)_n [n]_q!} B_n(y|q) P_n(x|y, \rho, q).$$

ii) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho^2 < 1/2$

$$1 / \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n!} H_n(x) H_n(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n i^n}{n! (1 - \rho^2)^{n/2}} H_n(ix) H_n\left(\frac{(x - \rho y)}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right).$$

iii) For $|q| < 1, |a| < |b|, x, y \in S(q)$:

$$1 / \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a^n}{[n]_q! b^n} H_n(x|a, q) H_n(y|b, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a^n}{[n]_q! b^n (a^2/b^2)_n} B_n(y|b, q) P_n(x|y, a/b, q).$$

iv) For $|\rho_1|, |\rho_2|, |q| < 1, x, y \in S(q)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 / \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho_1^n}{[n]_q! (\rho_2)_n} P_n(x|y, \rho_2, q) P_n\left(z|y, \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}, q\right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\rho_2^n}{[n]_q! (\rho_1)_n} P_n(x|z, \rho_1, q) P_n\left(y|z, \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2}, q\right). \end{aligned}$$

Remarks concerning the proof. i) and ii) are proved in [44]. iii) is proved in [51]. iv) directly follows (11.6). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Salam, W. A.; Ismail, Mourad E. H. q -beta integrals and the q -Hermite polynomials. *Pacific J. Math.* **135** (1988), no. 2, 209–221. MR0968609 (90c:33001)
- [2] Richard Askey and James Wilson, Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54**(319) (1985) iv+55 pp.
- [3] Andrews, George E.; Askey, Richard; Roy, Ranjan. Special functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71. *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 1999. xvi+664 pp. ISBN: 0-521-62321-9; 0-521-78988-5 MR1688958 (2000g:33001)
- [4] Mesuma Atakishiyeva and Natig Atakishiyev, A non-standard generating function for continuous dual q -Hahn polynomials. *Revista de Matemática: Teoría y Aplicaciones* **18**(1)(2011) 111–120.
- [5] Bressoud, D. M. A simple proof of Mehler’s formula for q -Hermite polynomials. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), no. 4, 577–580. MR0578207 (81f:33009)
- [6] Bożejko, Marek; Kümmerer, Burkhard; Speicher, Roland. q -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), no. 1, 129–154. MR1463036 (98h:81053)
- [7] Bryc, Włodzimierz. Stationary random fields with linear regressions. *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), no. 1, 504–519. MR1825162 (2002d:60014)
- [8] Bryc, Włodzimierz; Matysiak, Wojciech; Szablowski, Paweł J. Probabilistic aspects of Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), no. 4, 1127–1134 (electronic). MR2117214 (2005m:33033)
- [9] Włodek Bryc and Jacek Wesolowski, Askey–Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales, *Annals of Probability*, **38**(3), (2010), 1221–1262
- [10] Bryc, Włodzimierz; Matysiak, Wojciech; Wesolowski, Jacek. The bi-Poisson process: a quadratic harness. *Ann. Probab.* **36** (2008), no. 2, 623–646. MR2393992 (2009d:60103)
- [11] Corteel, Sylvie; Williams, Lauren K. Staircase tableaux, the asymmetric exclusion process, and Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107** (2010), no. 15, 6726–6730. MR2630104
- [12] Carlitz, L. Generating functions for certain q -orthogonal polynomials. *Collect. Math.* **23** (1972), 91–104. MR0316773 (47 #5321)
- [13] Carlitz, L. Some polynomials related to theta functions. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **41** (1956), 359–373. MR0078510 (17,1205e)
- [14] Carlitz, L. Some polynomials related to Theta functions. *Duke Math. J.* **24** (1957), 521–527. MR0090672 (19,849e)
- [15] Chen, William Y. C.; Saad, Husam L.; Sun, Lisa H. The bivariate Rogers-Szegő polynomials. *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007), no. 23, 6071–6084. MR2343510 (2008k:33064)
- [16] Floreanini, Roberto; LeTourneux, Jean; Vinet, Luc. More on the q -oscillator algebra and q -orthogonal polynomials. *J. Phys. A* **28** (1995), no. 10, L287–L293. MR1343867 (96e:33043)
- [17] Floreanini, Roberto; LeTourneux, Jean; Vinet, Luc. Symmetry techniques for the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. *J. Phys. A* **30** (1997), no. 9, 3107–3114. MR1456902 (98k:33036)
- [18] K. Garrett, M. E. H. Ismail, and D. Stanton, (1999) Variants of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **23** (1999), 274-299.
- [19] Gasper, George; Rahman, Mizan. Positivity of the Poisson kernel for the continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **14** (1983), no. 2, 409–420. MR0688587 (84f:33008)
- [20] Ismail, Mourad E. H. Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche. With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98. *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 2005. xviii+706 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-78201-2; 0-521-78201-5 MR2191786 (2007f:33001)
- [21] Mourad E. H. Ismail, Dennis Stanton (2003), Tribasic integrals and Identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Trans. of the American Math. Soc.* **355**(10), 4061-4091
- [22] Ismail, Mourad E. H.; Stanton, Dennis; Viennot, Gérard. The combinatorics of q -Hermite polynomials and the Askey-Wilson integral. *European J. Combin.* **8** (1987), no. 4, 379–392. MR0930175 (89h:33015)

- [23] Ismail, Mourad E. H.; Rahman, Mizan; Stanton, Dennis. Quadratic q -exponentials and connection coefficient problems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), no. 10, 2931–2941. MR1621949 (2000a:33027)
- [24] Ismail, M. E. H.; Masson, D. R. q -Hermite polynomials, biorthogonal rational functions, and q -beta integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), no. 1, 63–116. MR1264148 (96a:33022)
- [25] Ismail M., E., and Stanton D., W., (2000). Addition theorems fo the q - exponential functions. *Contemporary Mathematics*. Vol. **254**. 235–245.
- [26] Kibble, W. F. An extension of a theorem of Mehler’s on Hermite polynomials. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **41**, (1945). 12–15. MR0012728 (7,65f)
- [27] Koekoek, Roelof; Lesky, Peter A.; Swarttouw, René F. Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues. With a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. xx+578 pp. ISBN: 978-3-642-05013-8 MR2656096 (2011e:33029)
- [28] Koekoek, Roelof; Swarttouw, René F., The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/9602214.pdf>
- [29] H. O. Lancaster, The structure of bivariate distributions, *Ann. Math. Statistics*, vol. **29**, no. 3, pp. 719-736, September 1958.
- [30] H. O. Lancaster, Correlation and complete dependence of random variables, *Ann. Math. Statistics*, vol. **34**, no. 4, pp. 1315-1321, December 1963.
- [31] H. O. Lancaster, Correlations and canonical forms of bivariate distributions, *Ann. Math. Statistics*, vol. **34**, no. 2, pp. 532-538, June 1963.
- [32] Lancaster, H. O. Joint probability distributions in the Meixner classes. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **37** (1975), no. 3, 434–443. MR0394971 (52 #15770)
- [33] Nesterenko, M.; Patera, J.; Szajewska, M.; Tereszkieicz, A. Orthogonal polynomials of compact simple Lie groups: branching rules for polynomials. *J. Phys. A* **43** (2010), no. 49, 495207, 27 pp. MR2740368
- [34] Matysiak, Wojciech; Szablowski, Pawel J. A few remarks on Bryc’s paper on random fields with linear regressions. *Ann. Probab.* **30** (2002), no. 3, 1486–1491. MR1920274 (2003e:60111)
- [35] Matysiak, Wojciech; Szablowski, Pawel J. (2005), Bryc’s Random Fields: The Existence and Distributions Analysis, ArXiv:math.PR/math/0507296
- [36] Mercer, J. (1909). "Functions of positive and negative type and their connection with the theory of integral equations". *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* **209**: 415–446.
- [37] Rahman, Mizan; Tariq, Qazi M. Poisson kernel for the associated continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials. *Methods Appl. Anal.* **4** (1997), no. 1, 77–90. MR1457206 (98k:33038)
- [38] L. J. Rogers, (1894), Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **25**, 318-343
- [39] L. J. Rogers, (1893), On the expansion of certain infinite products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **24**, 337-352
- [40] L. J. Rogers, (1895), Third memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **26**, 15-32
- [41] Slepian, David. On the symmetrized Kronecker power of a matrix and extensions of Mehler’s formula for Hermite polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **3** (1972), 606–616. MR0315173 (47 #3722)
- [42] Kim, Dongsu; Stanton, Dennis; Zeng, Jiang. The combinatorics of the Al-Salam–Chihara q -Charlier polynomials. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **54** (2005/07), Art. B54i, 15 pp. (electronic). MR2223031 (2007b:05024)
- [43] Simon, Barry. The classical moment problem as a self-adjoint finite difference operator. *Adv. Math.* **137** (1998), no. 1, 82–203. MR1627806 (2001e:47020)
- [44] Szablowski, Pawel J., Expansions of one density via polynomials orthogonal with respect to the other., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011) 35–54, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1492>
- [45] Szablowski, Pawel J. Multidimensional q -normal and related distributions—Markov case. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), no. **40**, 1296–1318. MR2678392
- [46] Szablowski, Pawel J. On affinity relating two positive measures and the connection coefficients between polynomials orthogonalized by these measures. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2013), no. 12, 6768–6776. MR3027843

- [47] Szablowski, Paweł J. Askey–Wilson Integral and its Generalizations, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2014**, 2014:316, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4830>
- [48] Szablowski, Paweł J. On three dimensional multivariate version of q -normal distribution and probabilistic interpretations of Askey–Wilson, Al-Salam–Chihara and q -ultraspherical polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **474** (2019), no. 2, 1021–1035. MR3926153
- [49] Szablowski, Paweł J., On the structure and probabilistic interpretation of Askey–Wilson densities and polynomials with complex parameters. *J. Functional Anal.* **262**(2011), 635–659, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1541>
- [50] Szablowski, Paweł J. Probabilistic implications of symmetries of q -Hermite and Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **11** (2008), no. 4, 513–522. MR2483794
- [51] Szablowski, Paweł J., On summable form of Poisson–Mehler kernel for big q -Hermite and Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, **15**, No. 3 (2012), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1848>
- [52] Szablowski, Paweł J. Towards a q -analogue of the Kibble–Slepian formula in 3 dimensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), 210–233, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.4929>
- [53] Szablowski, Paweł J. Befriending Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, Vol . **17**, No. 3 (2014) 1450015 (25 pages), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.0601>.
- [54] Szablowski, Paweł J. q -Gaussian distributions: simplifications and simulations. *J. Probab. Stat.* **2009**, Art. ID 752430, 18 pp. MR2602881
- [55] Askey, Richard A.; Rahman, Mizan; Suslov, Sergeĭ K. On a general q -Fourier transformation with nonsymmetric kernels. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **68** (1996), no. 1-2, 25–55. MR1418749 (98m:42033).
- [56] Szablowski, Paweł J. Around Poisson–Mehler summation formula. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **45** (2016), no. 6, 1729–1742. MR3699734, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3024>
- [57] Szablowski, Paweł J. Multivariate generating functions involving Chebyshev polynomials and some of its generalizations involving q -Hermite ones., in print *Colloq. Math.* DOI: 10.4064, ArXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00316>.
- [58] Szablowski, Paweł, J. On the q -Hermite polynomials and their relationship with some other families of orthogonal polynomials, *Dem. Math.* **66**(2013) no.4, 679–708, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.2875>,
- [59] Szablowski, Paweł J. q -Wiener and (α, q) - Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. A generalization of known processes, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, **56** (4), 2011, 742–772, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507303>
- [60] Szablowski, Paweł J. On Markov processes with polynomial conditional moments. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), no. 12, 8487–8519. MR3403063, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6055>
- [61] Szablowski, Paweł J. On stationary Markov processes with polynomial conditional moments. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **35** (2017), no. 5, 852–872. MR3686472, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4887>,
- [62] Szablowski, Paweł J. Markov processes, polynomial martingales and orthogonal polynomials. *Stochastics* **90** (2018), no. 1, 61–77. MR3750639
- [63] Vilenkin, N. Ja.; Klimyk, A. U. Representation of Lie groups and special functions. Recent advances. Translated from the Russian manuscript by V. A. Groza and A. A. Groza. Mathematics and its Applications, 316. *Kluwer Academic Publishers Group*, Dordrecht, 1995. {xvi}+497 pp. ISBN: 0-7923-3210-5 MR1371383
- [64] Voiculescu, Dan. (2000)Lectures on free probability theory. *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1998)*, 279–349, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1738, Springer, Berlin, 2000. MR1775641 (2001g:46121)
- [65] Voiculescu, D. V.; Dykema, K. J.; Nica, (1992) A. Free random variables. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups. *CRM Monograph Series*, 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. vi+70 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-6999-X MR1217253

EMERITUS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES., WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL. KOSZYKOWA 75, 00-662 WARSAW, POLAND
 Email address: pawel.szablowski@gmail.com