

COMPACT SETS WITH LARGE PROJECTIONS AND NOWHERE DENSE SUMSET

RICHÁRD BALKÁ, MÁRTON ELEKES, VIKTOR KISS, DONÁT NAGY,
AND MÁRK POÓR

ABSTRACT. We answer a question of Banach, Jabłońska and Jabłoński by showing that for $d \geq 2$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the projection of K onto each hyperplane is of non-empty interior, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense. The proof relies on a random construction.

A natural approach in the proofs is to construct such a K in the unit cube with full projections, that is, such that the projections of K agree with that of the unit cube. We investigate the generalization of these problems for projections onto various dimensional subspaces as well as for ℓ -fold sumsets. We obtain numerous positive and negative results, but also leave open many interesting cases.

We also show that in most cases if we have a specific example of such a compact set then actually the generic (in the sense of Baire category) compact set in a suitably chosen space is also an example.

Finally, utilizing a computer-aided construction, we show that the compact set in the plane with full projections and nowhere dense sumset can be self-similar.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Line traces	4
4. Strong intersections	6
5. Projections with non-empty interior	9
6. Constructions with full projections	12
7. ℓ -fold sumsets	17
8. A non-existence result	18
9. Generic compact sets	19
10. A self-similar construction	20
11. Further remarks and open problems	25
Acknowledgments	25
References	26

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 51F99; Secondary 54E52, 05D40.

The authors were supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH, grants no. 113047, 129211 and 124749. The first author was supported by the MTA Premium Postdoctoral Research Program. The third author was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH, grant no. 128273.



The fifth author was supported through the New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of Human Capacities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many intensively studied problems in additive combinatorics ask how large a set K can be if its Minkowski sumset $K + K$ is small in some sense. Somewhat similarly, numerous classical problems and results in geometric measure theory relate the size (e.g. Hausdorff measure or dimension) of a set K to the size of its projections. Working on a seemingly unrelated problem, namely on the continuity of additive and convex functions, Banach, Jabłońska and Jabłoński have arrived at a question that is an interesting combination of the two above mentioned themes. More precisely, they have asked the following, see [1, Problem 2.7].

Question 1.1 (Banach–Jabłońska–Jabłoński). *Is there a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the projection of K on each line is of non-empty interior, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense?*

Our first main result will be an answer to this question in arbitrary dimension. Recall that in \mathbb{R}^d a hyperplane is a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional affine subspace.

Theorem 5.1. *For every $d \geq 2$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that the projection of K is of non-empty interior on every hyperplane, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

The proof will be based on a random construction.

We will strengthen and generalize this result in various directions, which will naturally lead us to the following definition. For an affine subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ let us denote the orthogonal projection on V by pr_V .

Definition 1.2. We say that a set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ has *full m -dimensional projections*, if $\text{pr}_V(K) = \text{pr}_V([0, 1]^d)$ for every m -dimensional affine subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

The following fact is obvious.

Fact 1.3. *A set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ has full m -dimensional projections iff K intersects each $(d - m)$ -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$.*

Clearly, in Theorem 5.1 hyperplanes can be replaced by subspaces of smaller dimension, as well. However, as our next main result will show, the analogous results are not true for full projections (see Sections 6 and 8). The threshold for the dimension of subspaces will turn out to be $\frac{d}{2}$.

Corollary 8.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$,
- (ii) *there exists a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

The picture for ℓ -fold sumsets instead of $K + K$ is much less complete. For brevity, let us introduce the following notation.

Definition 1.4. For $\ell, k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$, $k < d$, $d \geq 2$ we say that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is an (ℓ, k, d) -set, if K is compact, K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but the ℓ -fold sumset $\ell K = K + \dots + K$ (ℓ times) is nowhere dense.

Then, for example, the second main result above states that there exists a $(2, k, d)$ -set iff $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$.

From now on, throughout the paper, let ℓ, k, d be as in the above definition.

For ℓ -fold sumsets we obtain numerous positive and negative partial results in Sections 7 and 8, for example we show that $\exists(d, k, d)$ -set iff $\exists(d-1, k, d)$ -set iff $k = d-1$, but the general case is left open.

We also show in Section 9 that if $\exists(\ell, k, d)$ -set then actually the generic (in the sense of Baire category) compact set in $\{K \subseteq [0, 1]^d : K \text{ is compact and intersects each } k\text{-dimensional affine subspace that meets } [0, 1]^d\}$ is also an (ℓ, k, d) -set.

Then, by an explicit construction that was found with the aid of a computer search, we show in Section 10 that there exists a self-similar $(2, 1, 2)$ -set. This self-contained proof only works in the planar case, but it avoids both the probabilistic method and the combinatorial lemmas from Section 3.

Finally, we mention some open problems in Section 11.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and a natural number $\ell \geq 2$, we denote the ℓ -fold sumset as

$$\ell K = \{k_1 + \cdots + k_\ell : k_1, \dots, k_\ell \in K\}.$$

We should caution the reader that the iterated sumset ℓK is in general not the same as the dilate $\lambda \cdot K = \{\lambda x : x \in K\}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is not necessarily an integer.

We will construct the sets K with the desired properties by approximating them in gradually refined grids of (hyper)cubes. (Note that although we work in an arbitrary dimension $d \geq 2$, for the sake of brevity we use the word ‘cube’ instead of ‘hypercube’.) The following notions allow us to refer to the grid cubes and their vertices.

Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t_1, t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ denote the cube

$$C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \left[\frac{t_1}{n}, \frac{t_1+1}{n} \right] \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{t_d}{n}, \frac{t_d+1}{n} \right].$$

We will subdivide the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ into a grid of these small cubes and introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{C}_n^d = \{C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) : t_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \leq t_i < n \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

for the set of these small cubes.

We call a point $(\frac{t_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{t_d}{n})$ a *grid point* if $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq t_i \leq n$ for each $i \leq d$. (That is, the grid points are the vertices of the cubes in \mathcal{C}_n^d .)

For a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we write x_i to denote the i th coordinate of x .

In various parts of the proof, we will use the notation ‘pr’ to denote several kinds of projections. As we already mentioned in the introduction, if $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is an affine subspace, then $\text{pr}_V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ is the orthogonal projection onto V . With a slight abuse of notation, if $1 \leq i \leq d$ is an index, then we use $\text{pr}_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to denote the projection map $\text{pr}_i(x) = x_i$ onto the i th coordinate. Moreover, in the proof of Corollary 3.5 we will also use projection maps $\text{pr}_i^- : \mathcal{C}_n^d \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^{d-1}$ (where $1 \leq i \leq d$ is an integer) that can be defined as

$$\text{pr}_i^-(C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_d)) = C_n^{d-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_d).$$

3. LINE TRACES

In a cube grid a line L is represented by its trace: the grid cubes intersected by L . (Note that L is an arbitrary line, we do not assume that it passes through any grid points.)

Definition 3.1. For a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ that intersects $[0, 1]^d$ and $n \geq 1$, the *associated line trace* is $\text{lt}_n(L) = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_n^d : C \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$.

This representation will be useful in the random constructions described in Section 5 and Section 6, because each of the infinitely many lines intersecting $[0, 1]^d$ is represented by one of the finitely many possible traces.

Definition 3.2. We call a non-empty subset S of \mathcal{C}_n^d a *line trace* if there is a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\text{lt}_n(L) = S$. We denote the number of line traces in \mathcal{C}_n^d by $\text{LT}^{(d)}(n)$.

In the rest of this section we show that $\text{LT}^{(d)}(n)$ grows polynomially in n (for a fixed dimension d).

Lemma 3.3. *In two dimensions $\text{LT}^{(2)}(n) \leq (2n)^5$.*

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$. To count the number of line traces in \mathcal{C}_n^2 , first look at those that can be obtained in the form of $\text{lt}_n(L)$ where

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &L \text{ is a line that has a non-negative (possible infinite) slope,} \\ &\text{and it does not pass through any grid points.} \end{aligned}$$

The second condition implies that there is a natural enumeration of the squares in \mathcal{C}_n^2 that L intersects. Let us enumerate these squares as C_0, C_1, \dots, C_m , where C_0 contains the bottom left endpoint of the segment $[0, 1]^2 \cap L$ and for each $i < m$, the cubes C_i and C_{i+1} share a common side.

Using that the slope of L is non-negative, for each $i < m$, one has to go either up or to the right from C_i to get C_{i+1} . Let us record the sequence of moves as a sequence $s \in \{U, R\}^m$, where U represents a move up, and R represents a move to the right. It is well-known (see e.g. [6]) that s is *balanced*, that is, for each $m' \leq m$, if we take two subsequences of s , both consisting of consecutive elements and both having length m' , then the number of U s in the two sequences are either equal, or differ by 1.

Since the number of U s and the number of R s in the sequence s are both at most $n - 1$, we obtain that $m \leq 2n - 2$. So to get all line traces $\text{lt}_n(L)$ where L satisfies (3.1), one has to pick the square where L enters the unit square and then check all balanced sequences. Using that the slope is non-negative, L has to enter the unit square on the bottom or on the left side. This gives us $2n - 1$ many options for the first grid square it intersects. The number of balanced words of length $2n - 2$ is $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} (2n - 1 - i)\varphi(i)$, where φ is Euler's totient function (this was established by Lipatov [3], see also [2]). Then the number of line traces coming from a line satisfying (3.1) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} (2n - 1) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} (2n - 1 - i)\varphi(i) \right) &\leq (2n - 1) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} (2n - 2)i \right) \\ &= (2n - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1)(2n - 2)^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1)^4, \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 2$. If we allow L to have negative slope then the number of line traces we get will be at most twice the previous bound, hence at most $(2n-1)^4$. Notice that this bound now works for $n = 1$ as well.

The only thing that remains to count every line trace of \mathcal{C}_n^d , is to count those $\text{lt}_n(L)$ that come from a line L passing through grid points. We only have finitely many lines L that passes through at least two grid points inside the unit square, their number is at most $(n+1)^4$, since the number of grid points in the unit square is $(n+1)^2$.

Now suppose that L passes through exactly one grid point p . It is easy to see that we can move L to another line L' such that L' does not pass through any grid points, moreover, L' intersects exactly those grid squares that L intersects, except maybe for one grid square that contains p . In particular, $\text{lt}_n(L') \subseteq \text{lt}_n(L)$ and $|\text{lt}_n(L')| \geq |\text{lt}_n(L)| - 1$.

Hence, to get all line traces $\text{lt}_n(L)$ where L passes through exactly one grid point, it is enough to consider the line traces of the form $\text{lt}_n(L')$ with L' not passing through any grid points, and add a grid square that has a common side with one of the squares in $\text{lt}_n(L')$. If the absolute value of the slope of L' is at most 1 then each adjacent square is above or below a square in $\text{lt}_n(L')$, hence the number of adjacent squares is at most $2n$.

An analogous argument works if the absolute value of the slope is at least 1, hence the number of line traces in \mathcal{C}_n^2 is at most

$$\begin{aligned} LT^{(2)}(n) &\leq (2n+1)(2n-1)^4 + (n+1)^4 = (2n)^5 - 47n^4 + 20n^3 + 14n^2 - 2n + 2 \\ &\leq (2n)^5 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$, proving the lemma. \square

Recall that for an index $1 \leq i \leq d$, $\text{pr}_i: \mathcal{C}_n^d \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^{d-1}$ denotes the projection onto the hyperplane orthogonal to the i th coordinate axis.

Claim 3.4. *The projections $\{\text{pr}_i(\text{lt}_n(L))\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ determine $\text{lt}_n(L)$, that is, if the lines $L, L' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy $\text{pr}_i(\text{lt}_n(L)) = \text{pr}_i(\text{lt}_n(L'))$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, then $\text{lt}_n(L) = \text{lt}_n(L')$.*

Proof. Suppose we have two line traces $\text{lt}_n(L)$ and $\text{lt}_n(L')$ with $\text{pr}_i(\text{lt}_n(L)) = \text{pr}_i(\text{lt}_n(L'))$ for all $i \leq d$, we need to show that $\text{lt}_n(L) = \text{lt}_n(L')$. By symmetry, it is enough to show that if $C = C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \text{lt}_n(L')$ then $C \in \text{lt}_n(L)$. Since the projections of $\text{lt}_n(L)$ and $\text{lt}_n(L')$ are the same, for each $i \leq d$ we can find a cube $C^i \in \text{lt}_n(L)$ with $\text{pr}_i(C^i) = \text{pr}_i(C)$, that is, $C^i = C_n^d(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, b_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_d)$ for some b_i .

The intersections of the form $C^i \cap L$ are compact convex subsets of L . After identifying L with \mathbb{R} , we can view these intersections as closed bounded intervals, and denote the left endpoint of $C^i \cap L$ as p^i . We can also order the left endpoints in a non-decreasing order as $p^{i_1}, p^{i_2}, \dots, p^{i_d}$. It is enough to show that $p^{i_2} \in C$, since then $L \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $C \in \text{lt}_n(L)$.

Notice that each $1 \leq r \leq d$ satisfies that $p_j^{i_r} \in [\frac{t_j}{n}, \frac{t_j+1}{n}]$ for each $j \neq i_r$, because $p^{i_r} \in C^{i_r}$. In particular, $p_j^{i_2} \in [\frac{t_j}{n}, \frac{t_j+1}{n}]$ for each $j \neq i_2$, and $p_{i_2}^{i_1}, p_{i_2}^{i_3} \in [\frac{t_{i_2}}{n}, \frac{t_{i_2}+1}{n}]$. However, p^{i_2} is a convex combination of the points p^{i_1} and p^{i_3} , therefore $p_{i_2}^{i_2} \in [\frac{t_{i_2}}{n}, \frac{t_{i_2}+1}{n}]$. It follows that p^{i_2} is indeed in C , completing the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *For $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$\text{LT}^{(d)}(n) \leq (2n)^{\frac{5}{2}d!}.$$

Proof. The two-dimensional case was handled in Lemma 3.3. Now let $d > 2$, and assume that L is a line in \mathbb{R}^d that intersects the unit cube.

Using Claim 3.4, the number of line traces in \mathcal{C}_n^d is at most

$$\text{LT}^{(d)}(n) \leq (\text{LT}^{(d-1)}(n))^d \leq (2n)^{5d \frac{(d-1)!}{2}} = (2n)^{5 \frac{d!}{2}},$$

proving the corollary by induction. \square

4. STRONG INTERSECTIONS

The idea behind our construction is that if a line L passes through a grid cube C and we subdivide C into smaller cubes, then L ‘usually’ intersects many of these smaller cubes and we may discard a large portion of the smaller cubes.

Unfortunately there are exceptions: for example if $|L \cap C| = 1$ (e.g. L passes through a vertex of C), then L cannot intersect more than one small cube from the subdivision. To rule out cases like this, we will only consider *strong intersections* where the segment $L \cap C$ is large enough compared to the side length of the cube C . To simplify some calculations, we will quantify this ‘large enough’ with the ℓ^∞ (i.e. maximum) norm:

Definition 4.1. Assume that L is a line and C is a cube with axis-parallel edges in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that L *strongly intersects* C when

$$\text{diam}^\infty(L \cap C) \geq \frac{\text{diam}^\infty(C)}{2d},$$

where diam^∞ denotes the diameter in the ℓ^∞ -norm.

Recall that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex compact set (e.g. a line segment) and pr_i denotes the projection onto the i th coordinate axis, then $\text{pr}_i(S)$ is clearly a segment for each $1 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\text{diam}^\infty(S) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \text{length}(\text{pr}_i(S))$$

is just the length of the longest possible projection of S onto a coordinate axis. In particular, if C is a cube with axis-parallel edges, then $\text{diam}^\infty(C)$ is simply the side length of C .

It is easy to verify that Definition 4.1 implies the following fact:

Fact 4.2. *Strong intersection is invariant under translations and scaling. In other words, if $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as $\phi(x) = \lambda x + t$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then L strongly intersects C if and only if $\phi(L)$ strongly intersects $\phi(C)$.*

Let us introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L : L \text{ is a line that strongly intersects } [0, 1]^d\}.$$

Analogously to Definition 3.1, we can introduce the following notion:

Definition 4.3. For a line $L \in \mathcal{L}$ that strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, the *associated strong line trace* is

$$\text{slt}_n(L) = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_n^d : L \text{ strongly intersects } C\}.$$

The main idea behind the notion of strong intersection is the following observation:

Claim 4.4. *If $L \in \mathcal{L}$, then $|\text{slt}_n(L)| \geq \frac{n}{2d} - 2$.*

For the random construction described in Section 5 we would also need to show that the number of traces is small enough, i.e. polynomial in n (for a fixed d). Instead of directly proving this for the map $\text{slt}_n : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_n^d)$, we will prove Lemma 4.5 which is sufficient for our goals (and immediately implies Claim 4.4).

Lemma 4.5. *For each positive integer n , there exists a map $\text{slt}'_n : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_n^d)$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *if $L \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\text{slt}'_n(L) \subseteq \text{slt}_n(L)$ is a set of cubes strongly intersecting L ,*
- (ii) *for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, $\text{slt}'_n(L)$ is 'large enough': there exists a coordinate $1 \leq r \leq d$ such that*

$$|\{\text{pr}_r(C) : C \in \text{slt}'_n(L)\}| \geq \frac{n}{2d} - 2,$$

- (iii) *the range of the map slt'_n is small:*

$$|\{\text{slt}'_n(L) : L \in \mathcal{L}\}| \leq d(8dn)^{\frac{5}{2}d!}.$$

Proof. For a segment S in \mathbb{R}^d , let us define the associated *reference coordinate* as

$$\text{refc}(S) = \min\{i : 1 \leq i \leq d, \text{diam}^\infty(S) = \text{length}(\text{pr}_i(S))\}.$$

It is clear that if L is a line in \mathbb{R}^d and $S_1, S_2 \subseteq L$ are segments of positive length, then $\text{refc}(S_1) = \text{refc}(S_2)$. Using this, we can define the *reference coordinate* of a line L in \mathbb{R}^d as

$$\text{refc}(L) = \text{refc}(S), \quad \text{where } S \subseteq L \text{ is an arbitrary segment of positive length.}$$

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and a line $L \in \mathcal{L}$ that strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$. We will define the set $\text{slt}'_n(L)$ of grid cubes that will satisfy properties (i), (ii) and (iii).

In this proof we will work with two cube grids: our goal is to select grid cubes of the *coarse* grid \mathcal{C}_n^d that are strongly intersected by L , but we will also study the line trace of L in the *fine* grid \mathcal{C}_{4dn}^d . Each cube $C \in \mathcal{C}_n^d$ from the coarse grid can be written as the union of $(4d)^d$ cubes from the fine grid; we will denote the set of these cubes by

$$[C] = \{C' \in \mathcal{C}_{4dn}^d : C' \subseteq C\}.$$

Now we are ready to define $\text{slt}'_n(L)$ as the set of cubes $C \in \mathcal{C}_n^d$ that satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad |\{\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C^*) : C^* \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)\}| \geq 4.$$

This formula only depends on L through the index $1 \leq \text{refc}(L) \leq d$ and the line trace $\text{lt}_{4dn}(L)$, therefore the map slt'_n may take at most $d \text{LT}^{(d)}(4dn)$ many different values. This implies that property (iii) holds, because according to Corollary 3.5, $\text{LT}^{(d)}(4dn) \leq (8dn)^{\frac{5}{2}d!}$.

After this, we prove that property (i) is satisfied, that is, (4.1) implies that L strongly intersects C .

For a fine grid cube $C^* = C_{4dn}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathcal{C}_{4dn}^d$ it is clear from the definitions that $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C^*) = \left[\frac{t_{\text{refc}(L)}}{4dn}, \frac{t_{\text{refc}(L)}+1}{4dn} \right]$ is determined by the integer $t_{\text{refc}(L)}$. Therefore, (4.1) implies that there are (fine) grid cubes

$$C_{4dn}^d(s_1, \dots, s_d), C_{4dn}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)$$

such that $s_{\text{refc}(L)} - t_{\text{refc}(L)} \geq 3$. Pick points

$$p \in C_{4dn}^d(s_1, \dots, s_d) \cap L \quad \text{and} \quad q \in C_{4dn}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \cap L.$$

Clearly $p, q \in L \cap C$ and we can estimate the relevant coordinates of p and q as

$$p_{\text{refc}(L)} \geq \frac{s_{\text{refc}(L)}}{4dn} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t_{\text{refc}(L)} + 1}{4dn} \geq q_{\text{refc}(L)}.$$

These show that

$$\text{diam}^\infty(L \cap C) = \text{length}(\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(L \cap C)) \geq p_{\text{refc}(L)} - q_{\text{refc}(L)} \geq \frac{2}{4dn} = \frac{\text{diam}^\infty(C)}{2d}$$

and therefore L strongly intersects C .

It remains to show that property (ii) is satisfied. As L strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, we know that

$$\text{length}(\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(L \cap [0, 1]^d)) = \text{diam}^\infty(L \cap [0, 1]^d) \geq \frac{\text{diam}^\infty([0, 1]^d)}{2d} = \frac{1}{2d}.$$

Using this, it is easy to verify that $|B| \geq \frac{n}{2d} - 2$ for the set

$$B = \left\{ b \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq b < n, \left[\frac{b}{n}, \frac{b+1}{n} \right] \subseteq \text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(L \cap [0, 1]^d) \right\}.$$

We will prove that for each $b \in B$ there exists at least one grid cube $C \in \text{slt}'_n(L)$ such that $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C) = [\frac{b}{n}, \frac{b+1}{n}]$. As $|B| \geq \frac{n}{2d} - 2$, this immediately implies property (ii).

Fix $b \in B$ and consider the open segment

$$S_b = \left\{ x \in L : \frac{b}{n} < x_{\text{refc}(L)} < \frac{b+1}{n} \right\}.$$

This segment is contained in the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$, because we know that $[\frac{b}{n}, \frac{b+1}{n}] \subseteq \text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(L \cap [0, 1]^d)$ (and the restriction $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)} \upharpoonright_L$ is a bijection).

For $1 \leq j \leq d$ consider the set

$$P_j = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_j = \frac{a}{n} \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq a \leq n \right\}$$

(which is the union of $n+1$ parallel ‘cutting’ hyperplanes). These sets describe the coarse grid \mathcal{C}_n^d in the sense that the bounded connected components of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d P_j$ are the interiors of cubes $C \in \mathcal{C}_n^d$.

We say that a point $p \in S_b$ is a *partitioning point* if there is $1 \leq j \leq d$ such that $p \in P_j$, but $L \not\subseteq P_j$. It is clear that for any $1 \leq j \leq d$,

$$\text{length}(\text{pr}_j(S_b)) \leq \text{diam}^\infty(S_b) = \text{length}(\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(S_b)) = \frac{1}{n}$$

and therefore if $L \not\subseteq P_j$, then $|S_b \cap P_j| \leq 1$ (also using the fact that S_b is an open segment). Moreover, it is clear from the definition of S_b that $S_b \cap P_{\text{refc}(L)} = \emptyset$. These show that there are at most $d-1$ partitioning points in the open segment S_b .

This implies that we can find an open segment $S \subseteq S_b$ which does not contain any partitioning points and satisfies that

$$\text{diam}^\infty(S) \geq \frac{\text{diam}^\infty(S_b)}{d} = \frac{1}{dn}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that this segment S must be contained in the closure \overline{U} of a (not necessarily uniquely determined) connected component U of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d P_j$.

As $S \subseteq S_b \subseteq [0, 1]^d$, we may assume that this component U is a bounded one, which means that the cube $C = \overline{U} \in \mathcal{C}_n^d$ satisfies that $S \subseteq C$. The definition of S_b clearly implies that $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C) = [\frac{b}{n}, \frac{b+1}{n}]$.

Notice that the segment $S \subseteq L \cap C$ is covered by the elements of $[C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)$ (which are cubes from the fine grid) and therefore

$$\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{C^* \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)} \text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C^*).$$

As the cubes $C^* \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)$ are from the fine grid, their projections under $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}$ are all intervals of length $\frac{1}{4dn}$. These projections cover the interval $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(S)$, but the choice of S guarantees that

$$\text{length}(\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(S)) = \text{diam}^\infty(S) \geq \frac{1}{dn},$$

therefore this can only happen if there are at least four distinct intervals of the form $\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C^*)$ for $C^* \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)$, i.e.

$$|\{\text{pr}_{\text{refc}(L)}(C^*) : C^* \in [C] \cap \text{lt}_{4dn}(L)\}| \geq 4.$$

But this is the condition (4.1) for the cube C , so we proved that $C \in \text{slt}'_n(L)$. As this grid cube C corresponds to the arbitrary element $b \in B$, we have proved that property (ii) is satisfied. \square

We will also need the following straightforward lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *Consider a cube C with axis-parallel edges and assume that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies that if a line L strongly intersects C , then L intersects K . Then for each hyperplane H , the projection $\text{pr}_H(S)$ has non-empty interior on H .*

Proof. Let o denote the center of C and consider a homothety with fixed point o and similarity ratio $(1 - \frac{1}{d})$. This transformation shrinks C into the cube

$$C' = o + \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right) \cdot (C - o).$$

Assume that a line L intersects C' . As C' is contained in C , it is clear that L also intersects the boundary ∂C of the cube C . This implies that

$$\text{diam}^\infty(L \cap C) \geq \frac{1}{2d} \text{diam}^\infty(C),$$

because it is easy to verify that the ℓ^∞ -distance between C' and ∂C is $\frac{1}{2d} \text{diam}^\infty(C)$.

This shows that for an arbitrary line L , if L intersects C' , then L strongly intersects C , and therefore L intersects K according to our assumption. Applying this observation to the lines orthogonal to H yields that $\text{pr}_H(C') \subseteq \text{pr}_H(S)$. As C' is a cube, its projection has non-empty interior, concluding our proof. \square

5. PROJECTIONS WITH NON-EMPTY INTERIOR

We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *For every $d \geq 2$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that the projection of K is of non-empty interior on every hyperplane, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. Our compact set K is constructed as the intersection of sets K_n such that each K_n is a union of finitely many closed cubes from $\mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$ for some a_n .

Let U_1, U_2, \dots be an enumeration of a countable basis of $[0, 1]^d$ which does not contain the empty set.

For each $m \geq 1$, fix a map slt'_m with the properties guaranteed in Lemma 4.5. (That is, the range of slt'_m has cardinality $\leq d(8dm)^{\frac{5}{2}d!}$ and if L strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, then $\text{slt}'_m(L) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m^d$ contains cubes which are all strongly intersected by L and there exists a coordinate $1 \leq r \leq d$ such that $|\{\text{pr}_r(C) : C \in \text{slt}'_m(L)\}| \geq \frac{m}{2d} - 2$.)

The constructed sequence of sets K_0, K_1, \dots and sequence of positive integers a_0, a_1, \dots will have the following properties for each $n \geq 0$:

- (i) there is a collection $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$ such that $K_n = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_n$,
- (ii) if $n \neq 0$, then $K_n \subseteq K_{n-1}$ and a_n is divisible by a_{n-1} ,
- (iii) if a line L strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, it strongly intersects a cube $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$,
- (iv) if $n \neq 0$, then $\frac{K_n + K_n}{2} \not\subseteq U_n$.

Before describing this construction, we show that these properties imply that $K = \bigcap_n K_n$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem. If a line L strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, then (iii) implies that $K_n \cap L \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as this is a decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets, this implies that $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Now we can apply Lemma 4.6 with $C = [0, 1]^d$ and $S = K$ to see that the projection of K is of non-empty interior on every hyperplane. On the other hand, (iv) clearly implies that $K + K$ is nowhere dense.

To start the construction, let $a_0 = 1$ and $K_0 = [0, 1]^d$. It is easy to check that conditions (i)–(iv) are all satisfied for $n = 0$.

Now suppose that positive integers $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ and compact sets $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_n$ are defined and satisfy conditions (i)–(iv). Our task is to define a_{n+1} and K_{n+1} . To construct K_{n+1} , we use a probabilistic argument that depends on the choice of a_{n+1} . We first describe the construction, then show that if a_{n+1} is large enough, then a_{n+1} and the resulting set K_{n+1} satisfy (i)–(iv) with positive probability.

So let a_{n+1} be some fixed positive integer which is an integer multiple of a_n . According to (i), we need to define K_{n+1} by selecting some grid cubes from the ‘fine’ grid $\mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d$ and taking their union. To satisfy (ii), we may only select grid cubes from the set

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+1} = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d : C \subseteq K_n\}.$$

As U_{n+1} is open and the points with irrational coordinates form a dense set, we can fix a point $x \in U_{n+1} \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^d$. During the construction we will ensure that $x \notin \frac{K_n + K_n}{2}$ and this will clearly imply (iv).

Let us color each cube in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} black with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ independently of each other, and denote by \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B the set of black cubes.

We can define \mathcal{C}_{n+1} as

$$\mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \left\{ C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B : \text{every } C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B \text{ satisfies that } x \notin \frac{C + C'}{2} \right\}.$$

It is clear that for any a_{n+1} , which is divisible by a_n , the number a_{n+1} and the set $K_{n+1} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ satisfy properties (i), (ii) and (iv) for any possible coloring of the cubes. To conclude the proof, we show that if a_{n+1} is large enough, then K_{n+1} satisfies (iii) with a positive probability.

For a cube $C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$, the event $\{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}\}$ is determined by the coloring of the cube C and the cubes in

$$\mathcal{E}_C = \left\{ C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} : x \in \frac{C + C'}{2} \right\},$$

therefore this event is independent of the coloring of cubes $\tilde{C} \notin \{C\} \cup \mathcal{E}_C$. Notice that $|\mathcal{E}_C| \leq 2^d$, because the condition $x \in \frac{C+C'}{2}$ is equivalent to $C' \cap (2x - C) \neq \emptyset$ and we assumed that x has no rational coordinates. This shows that

$$\mathbb{P}(C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2^{2^d+1}}.$$

As property (iii) holds for n , we know that if a line L strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, then it strongly intersects a cube $C_L \in \mathcal{C}_n$. For each line L that strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, let us fix one such C_L . Let ψ_L denote the homothety which maps C_L onto $[0, 1]^d$ and has a positive similarity ratio a_n .

As a_n is a divisor of a_{n+1} , we may note that the homothety ψ_L induces a bijection between the grids

$$\{C' \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d : C' \subseteq C_L\} \text{ (subdividing } C_L) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}}^d \text{ (subdividing } [0, 1]^d).$$

According to Fact 4.2, $\psi_L(L)$ strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$ and therefore we may consider the family

$$\mathcal{F}_L = \left\{ C \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d : C \subseteq C_L \text{ and } \psi_L(C) \in \text{slt}'_{\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}}(\psi_L(L)) \right\}$$

of grid cubes. Note that $C_L \in \mathcal{C}_n$ means that $C_L \subseteq K_n$, and this implies that $\mathcal{F}_L \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n+1} = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d : C \subseteq K_n\}$.

We know that the family \mathcal{F}_L satisfies that

$$\exists r \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\} : |\{\text{pr}_r(C) : C \in \mathcal{F}_L\}| \geq \frac{\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}}{2d} - 2 = \frac{a_{n+1}}{2da_n} - 2$$

because Lemma 4.5 guarantees that the maps slt'_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfy an analogous condition and ψ_L preserves the relation that two cubes have the same projection onto a certain coordinate.

We want to define a subfamily $\mathcal{F}_L'' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_L$ which is ‘large’ but satisfies that the events $(\{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}\})_{C \in \mathcal{F}_L''}$ are independent.

First, notice that the projections $\text{pr}_r(C)$ (where $C \in \mathcal{F}_L$) are all closed intervals of the form $\left[\frac{i}{a_{n+1}}, \frac{i+1}{a_{n+1}}\right]$ for some integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we may select a set \mathcal{F}_L' that contains at least $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2da_n} - 2\right)$ many cubes and satisfies that $\text{pr}_r(C_1) \cap \text{pr}_r(C_2) = \emptyset$ for any two distinct cubes $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{F}_L'$. It is straightforward to verify that this implies that \mathcal{E}_{C_1} is disjoint from \mathcal{E}_{C_2} .

Among the selected cubes there may be at most one cube $C^* \in \mathcal{F}_L'$ which satisfies that $\text{pr}_r(x) \in \text{pr}_r(C^*)$. With the possible exception of this C^* , partition the selected cubes into the sets

$$\mathcal{F}_L^+ = \{C \in \mathcal{F}_L' : \min(\text{pr}_r(C)) > x_r\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_L^- = \{C \in \mathcal{F}_L' : \max(\text{pr}_r(C)) < x_r\}.$$

This partition guarantees that if $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{F}_L^\varepsilon$ for a sign $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, then $x \notin \frac{C_1 + C_2}{2}$ and therefore $C_1 \notin \mathcal{E}_{C_2}$ (and analogously $C_2 \notin \mathcal{E}_{C_1}$).

If we pick the larger of these two sets as \mathcal{F}_L'' , then we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_L''| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2da_n} - 2 \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{a_{n+1}}{8da_n} - 1.$$

Moreover, the events $(\{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}\})_{C \in \mathcal{F}_L''}$ are independent, because the system

$$\{\{C\} \cup \mathcal{E}_C : C \in \mathcal{F}_L''\}$$

consists of pairwise disjoint sets.

This independence implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_L \cap \mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \emptyset) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_L'' \cap \mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \emptyset) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2^d+1}}\right)^{\frac{a_{n+1}}{8da_n} - 1}.$$

Although there are infinitely many lines that strongly intersect $[0, 1]^d$, we may notice that there are at most a_n^d choices for the cube $C_L \in \mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$. In each of these cubes, there are at most $d \left(8d \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}d!}$ possible choices for the set \mathcal{S}_L , because Lemma 4.5 guarantees that the maps slt'_m (for $m = 1, 2, \dots$) have small range.

This means that the probability of that (iii) is not satisfied (i.e. there exists a line L such that it strongly intersects $[0, 1]^d$, but there is no $C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ which is strongly intersected by L) is at most

$$a_n^d d \left(8d \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}d!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2^d+1}}\right)^{\frac{a_{n+1}}{8da_n} - 1}.$$

It is easy to see that if a_{n+1} is large enough, then this probability is less than 1, which concludes our proof. \square

6. CONSTRUCTIONS WITH FULL PROJECTIONS

We now turn our attention to constructing compact sets $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $K + K$ nowhere dense such that K has full $(d - k)$ -dimensional projections, instead of only requiring that the projections have non-empty interior. However, as we will see in Section 8, one cannot construct such examples for each k and d .

Theorem 6.1. *If $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$, then there exists a $(2, k, d)$ -set, that is, a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 5.1, our compact set K is constructed as the intersection of sets K_n such that each K_n is a union of finitely many closed cubes from $\mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$ for some a_n .

Let U_1, U_2, \dots be an enumeration of a countable basis of $[0, 1]^d$ containing non-empty sets. The constructed sequence of sets K_0, K_1, \dots and sequence of positive integers a_0, a_1, \dots will have the following properties for each $n \geq 0$:

- (i) $K_n = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_n$ for some $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$,
- (ii) if $n \neq 0$, then $K_n \subseteq K_{n-1}$ and a_n is divisible by $20a_{n-1}$,
- (iii) $K_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each k -dimensional affine subspace V that meets $[0, 1]^d$,
- (iv) if $n \neq 0$, then $\frac{K_n + K_n}{2} \not\supseteq U_n$,
- (v) U_{n+1} contains a cube from $\mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$.

Clearly, if we can carry out the construction in a way that the resulting sequence of sets satisfies the above properties, then $K = \bigcap_n K_n$ satisfies the condition of the theorem: For an affine subspace V with $V \cap [0, 1]^d \neq \emptyset$, the sequence $(K_n \cap V)_n$ is decreasing and consists of non-empty compact sets, and therefore $K \cap V \neq \emptyset$, while (iv) implies that $K + K$ is nowhere dense.

To start the construction, let a_0 be large enough so that U_1 contains a cube from $\mathcal{C}_{a_0}^d$, and let $K_0 = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{a_0}^d = [0, 1]^d$. It is easy to check that conditions (i)–(v) are all satisfied for $n = 0$.

Now suppose that positive integers $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ and compact sets $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_n$ are defined and satisfy conditions (i)–(v). Our task is to define a_{n+1} and K_{n+1} . We use a probabilistic argument to construct K_{n+1} that depends on a_{n+1} . We first describe the construction, then show that if a_{n+1} is large enough, then a_{n+1} and the resulting set K_{n+1} satisfy (i)–(v) with positive probability.

So let a_{n+1} be some fixed positive integer, a multiple of $20a_n$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{n+1} = \{C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \subseteq K_n : |\{i \leq d : \{\frac{t_i a_n}{a_{n+1}}\} \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]\}| \geq k+1\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+1} = \{C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \subseteq (K_n \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1}) : |\{i \leq d : \{\frac{t_i a_n}{a_{n+1}}\} \in [\frac{9}{20}, \frac{13}{20}]\}| \leq k\},$$

where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of the number x . We note that since a_{n+1} is a multiple of $20a_n$, for each cube $C = C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d)$, index $i \leq d$, and integers $a < b$,

$$(6.1) \quad \left\{ \frac{t_i a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right\} \in \left[\frac{a}{20}, \frac{b}{20} \right) \iff \forall x \in C : \{x_i a_n\} \in \left[\frac{a}{20}, \frac{b}{20} \right].$$

We select a cube $C_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d$ with $C_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$ by choosing first a cube $C'_{n+1} = C_{a_n}^d(u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$ with $C'_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$ using (v). Then let

$$C_{n+1} = C_{a_{n+1}}^d\left(\left(u_1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}, \dots, \left(u_d + \frac{1}{4}\right)\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d.$$

Since a_{n+1} is a multiple of $20a_n$, the “coordinates” of C_{n+1} are integers. One can also easily check that $C_{n+1} \subseteq C'_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$.

We now pause a bit in the proof and say a few words about the role of \mathcal{S}_{n+1} , \mathcal{T}_{n+1} and C_{n+1} . The cube C_{n+1} makes sure of satisfying (iv): the set K_{n+1} will satisfy $\frac{K_{n+1} + K_{n+1}}{2} \cap \text{int } C_{n+1} = \emptyset$. \mathcal{S}_{n+1} is some kind of neighborhood of the $(d - k - 1)$ -skeleton of the cubes in $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$. The family of cubes \mathcal{T}_{n+1} is chosen so that a k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$ intersects either a cube from \mathcal{S}_{n+1} or many cubes from \mathcal{T}_{n+1} ; we will derive this fact from Lemma 6.2. The sets \mathcal{S}_{n+1} and \mathcal{T}_{n+1} also have the property

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{C^S + C^T}{2} \cap \text{int } C_{n+1} = \emptyset \text{ for all } C^S \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \text{ and } C^T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}.$$

To see this, note that (6.1) implies $|\{i \leq d : \{x_i a_n\} \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]\}| \geq k+1$ for each $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$, and also that $|\{i \leq d : \{y_i a_n\} \in [\frac{9}{20}, \frac{13}{20}]\}| \leq k$ for each $y \in \bigcup \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$. It follows that there is an $i \leq d$ with $\{x_i a_n\} \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]$ and $\{y_i a_n\} \notin [\frac{9}{20}, \frac{13}{20}]$, therefore

$$\left\{ \frac{x_i + y_i}{2} a_n \right\} \in [0, \frac{10}{40}] \cup [\frac{12}{40}, \frac{30}{40}] \cup [\frac{32}{40}, 1].$$

Since $\{c_i a_n\} \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{20}) = (\frac{10}{40}, \frac{12}{40})$ for each point $c \in \text{int } C_{n+1}$, (6.2) easily follows.

We now describe our probabilistic argument to leave out some cubes from \mathcal{T}_{n+1} in order to satisfy (iv). We color each cube in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} black with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ independently of each other, and denote by \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B the set of black cubes. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \cup \left\{ C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B : \forall C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B \left(\frac{C+C'}{2} \cap \text{int } C_{n+1} = \emptyset \right) \right\}.$$

Now we show that if a_{n+1} is sufficiently large then $K_{n+1} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ and a_{n+1} satisfy the conditions (i)–(v) above for $n+1$ with positive probability. Clearly (i) and (ii) are satisfied. If a_{n+1} is chosen to be large enough then U_{n+2} contains a cube from $\mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}^d$, taking care of (v).

To check (iv), we show that if $x, x' \in K_{n+1}$ then $\frac{x+x'}{2} \notin \text{int } C_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$. Let C and C' be cubes with $x \in C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ and $x' \in C' \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$. If $C, C' \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ then using that $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$, there is an $i \leq d$ with $\{x_i a_n\}, \{x'_i a_n\} \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]$. It is then straightforward to check that $\frac{x+x'}{2}$ cannot coincide with a point $c \in \text{int } C_{n+1}$, since $c_i \in [\frac{5}{20}, \frac{6}{20}]$ for each i . If $C, C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ then $\frac{x+x'}{2} \notin \text{int } C_{n+1}$ follows from our definition of \mathcal{C}_{n+1} , and if $C \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ and $C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ then it follows from (6.2).

Therefore it remains to check (iii). Let V be a k -dimensional affine subspace in \mathbb{R}^d that intersects $[0, 1]^d$. Using (iii) for n , V intersects some cube $C_V \in \mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{a_n}^d$. We now use the following lemma to show that V intersects either a cube from \mathcal{S}_{n+1} or many cubes from \mathcal{T}_{n+1} .

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that V is a k -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d for some $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$ that meets $[0, 1]^d$. Then V intersects either the set*

$$S = \left\{ x \in [0, 1]^d : \left| \left\{ i \leq d : x_i \in \left[0, \frac{1}{20}\right] \cup \left[\frac{19}{20}, 1\right] \right\} \right| \geq k+1 \right\},$$

or the set

$$T = \left\{ x \in \left[\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}\right]^d : \left| \left\{ i \leq d : x_i \in \left[\frac{8}{20}, \frac{14}{20}\right] \right\} \right| \leq k \right\}.$$

We now continue with the proof of the theorem, and prove Lemma 6.2 later. We can enlarge C_V and V using a homothety $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with a ratio a_n so that $\psi(C_V) = [0, 1]^d$, and then apply Lemma 6.2. Notice that $\psi(V)$ intersects the set S of the lemma if and only if V intersects a cube from \mathcal{S}_{n+1} .

If V does not intersect $\bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$, then $\psi(V)$ intersects the set T of the lemma, meaning that there is a point $x \in V$ such that $\{x_i a_n\} \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]$ for all $i \leq d$, and

$$\left| \left\{ i \leq d : \{x_i a_n\} \in \left[\frac{8}{20}, \frac{14}{20}\right] \right\} \right| \leq k.$$

Let $S_V \subseteq V$ be any open segment of length $\frac{2}{20a_n}$ with midpoint x . Clearly, $S_V \subseteq C_V \subseteq K_n$, and $|\{i \leq d : \{y_i a_n\} \in [\frac{9}{20}, \frac{13}{20}]\}| \leq k$ for each $y \in S_V$. Now we show that

$$(6.3) \quad S_V \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{T}_{n+1}.$$

Let $y \in S_V$ be any point. Using (6.1), the cube $C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{n+1}}$ containing y satisfies $|\{i \leq d : \{t_i a_n\} \in [\frac{9}{20}, \frac{13}{20}]\}| \leq k$. Using also that $S_V \subseteq K_n$ and that $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1} = \emptyset$, we obtained that $y \in K_n \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$, hence it is in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} .

For each cube $C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$, the event of C being chosen into \mathcal{C}_{n+1} only depends on the events $\{C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B\}$ and $\{\{C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^B\} : C' \in \mathcal{E}_C\}$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_C = \left\{ C' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} : \frac{C+C'}{2} \cap \text{int } C_{n+1} \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Since these are independent events each with probability of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $|\mathcal{E}_C| \leq 3^d$ for each $C \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$,

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbb{P}(C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}) \geq p, \text{ where } p = \frac{1}{2^{3^d+1}}.$$

Moreover, if for the cubes $C = C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t_1, \dots, t_d), C' = C_{a_{n+1}}^d(t'_1, \dots, t'_d) \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ there is an i such that

$$(6.5) \quad |t_i - t'_i| \geq 3,$$

then $\mathcal{E}_C \cap \mathcal{E}_{C'} = \emptyset$.

Let $i \leq d$ be a coordinate such that $\text{length}(\text{pr}_i(S_V)) \geq \frac{1}{10\sqrt{da_n}}$, such a coordinate exists. Then, using (6.3), we can choose a family $\mathcal{F}_V \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ with $|\mathcal{F}_V| \geq \lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{10\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a non-negative real number x , such that $C, C' \in \mathcal{F}_V, C \neq C'$ imply $\text{pr}_i(C) \neq \text{pr}_i(C')$ and $C \cap S_V \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_V^- &= \{C \in \mathcal{F}_V : \min(\text{pr}_i(C)) < \min(\text{pr}_i(C_{n+1}))\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_V^+ &= \{C \in \mathcal{F}_V : \min(\text{pr}_i(C)) > \min(\text{pr}_i(C_{n+1}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since at most one cube C from \mathcal{F}_V satisfies $\text{pr}_i(C) = \text{pr}_i(C_{n+1})$, we clearly have $|\mathcal{F}_V^-| + |\mathcal{F}_V^+| \geq |\mathcal{F}_V| - 1$. Let us pick the larger of these two sets as \mathcal{F}'_V , then $|\mathcal{F}'_V| \geq \lfloor \frac{|\mathcal{F}_V|}{2} \rfloor$, therefore $|\mathcal{F}'_V| \geq \lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{20\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor$. Let us choose every third cube from \mathcal{F}'_V into \mathcal{F}''_V so that $|\mathcal{F}''_V| \geq \lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{60\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor$, and the cubes in \mathcal{F}''_V satisfy (6.5).

We claim that the events

$$\{\{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}\} : C \in \mathcal{F}''_V\}$$

are independent. In order to prove our claim, it is enough to show that the system $\{\{C\} \cup \mathcal{E}_C : C \in \mathcal{F}''_V\}$ consists of pairwise disjoint sets. Let $C, C' \in \mathcal{F}''_V$. (6.5) makes sure that $\mathcal{E}_C \cap \mathcal{E}_{C'} = \emptyset$. To show that $C \notin \mathcal{E}_{C'}$, (and similarly, $C' \notin \mathcal{E}_C$), one needs to show that $\frac{C \cap C'}{2} \cap \text{int } C_{n+1} = \emptyset$, a fact that easily follows from \mathcal{F}''_V being a subset of either \mathcal{F}_V^- or \mathcal{F}_V^+ .

Using this claim and (6.4), it follows that the probability that no cube from \mathcal{F}''_V is selected is at most $(1-p)^{\lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{60\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor}$.

Consider an arbitrary k -dimensional subspace V that meets $[0, 1]^d$. If $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1} = \emptyset$, then let L_V denote the line containing the segment S_V ; otherwise (i.e. when $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$) choose an arbitrary line $L_V \subseteq V$ such that $L_V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$. In either case,

$$\mathbb{P}(L_V \cap K_{n+1} = \emptyset) \leq (1-p)^{\lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{60\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor},$$

since this is obvious if $L_V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$, and otherwise it is clear that $L_V \cap K_{n+1} = \emptyset$ implies that no cube from \mathcal{C} is selected.

Using Corollary 3.5, the number of line traces in $\mathcal{K}_{a_{n+1}}^d$ associated to lines of the form L_V is at most $(2a_{n+1})^{\frac{5}{2}d!}$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists V (V \cap K_{n+1}) = \emptyset) \leq \mathbb{P}(\exists V (L_V \cap K_{n+1}) = \emptyset) \leq (2a_{n+1})^{\frac{5}{2}d!} (1-p)^{\lfloor \frac{a_{n+1}}{60\sqrt{da_n}} \rfloor}.$$

Since p only depends on d by (6.4), it is easy to check that the above quantity tends to 0 as $a_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for large enough a_{n+1} it is less than 1, therefore with positive probability the random construction yields a compact set K_{n+1} satisfying (iii). \square

We conclude this section by proving Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that V is a k -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d for some $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$ that intersects $[0, 1]^d$. Then V intersects either the set*

$$S = \left\{x \in [0, 1]^d : \left| \left\{ i \leq d : x_i \in \left[0, \frac{1}{20}\right] \cup \left[\frac{19}{20}, 1\right] \right\} \right| \geq k+1 \right\},$$

or the set

$$T = \left\{x \in \left[\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}\right]^d : \left| \left\{ i \leq d : x_i \in \left[\frac{8}{20}, \frac{14}{20}\right] \right\} \right| \leq k \right\}.$$

Proof. We first show that V intersects either S or $\left[\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}\right]^d$; in the second case it will be easy to find an intersection point that is actually in T .

For any point $x \in [0, 1]^d \cap V$ let $I(x) = \{i \leq d : x_i \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]\}$. Let us fix

$$(6.6) \quad x^* \in [0, 1]^d \cap V \text{ with } |I(x^*)| \text{ maximal among points from } [0, 1]^d \cap V.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x_i^* \in [0, \frac{1}{20}]$ for each $i \in I(x^*)$ (although T is not symmetric, we are now only proving the existence of a point in $S \cup [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$). If $|I(x^*)| \geq k+1$, then we are done, $x^* \in S \cap V$. Otherwise, let U be the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^d generated by the coordinate vectors corresponding to coordinates in $I(x^*)$. Then $\dim U = |I(x^*)| \leq k$.

Recall that $\text{pr}_U : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow U$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the affine (in fact, linear) subspace U . If $\text{pr}_U \upharpoonright V$ is not injective, then there is a line L orthogonal to U such that $x^* \in L \subseteq V$. Then for each $y \in L$, $\text{pr}_U(y) = \text{pr}_U(x^*)$, hence $y_i = x_i^*$ for each $i \in I(x^*)$. If y is chosen to be a boundary point of the set $L \setminus [0, 1]^d$ in the space L , then it will have a coordinate i with $i \notin I(x^*)$, but $y_i \in [0, \frac{1}{20}] \cup [\frac{19}{20}, 1]$, therefore $|I(y)| > |I(x^*)|$ contradicting (6.6). It follows that $\text{pr}_U \upharpoonright V$ is injective.

Since $\dim U \leq k$ and $\dim V = k$, the injectivity of $\text{pr}_U \upharpoonright V$ implies that it is a bijection. Let $z = (\text{pr}_U \upharpoonright V)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{20}, \dots, \frac{1}{20} \right)$. We now show that $z \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$.

Clearly, for $i \in I(x^*)$, $z_i = \frac{1}{20}$. Consider the affine map

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [x^*, z], \quad f(p) = (1-p)x^* + pz,$$

where $[x^*, z]$ is the segment in \mathbb{R}^d determined by the points x^* and z . Let

$$B = \left\{ p \in [0, 1] : f(p)_j \in \left[\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20} \right] \text{ for each } j \notin I(x^*) \right\}.$$

Note that $0 \in B$ and if $1 \in B$ as well, then we are done, $z \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$. If $1 \notin B$, let $p = \sup\{q \in [0, 1] : [0, q] \subseteq B\}$. Using that $f(p)$ is on the segment determined by x^* and z , $f(p)_i \in [0, \frac{1}{20}]$ for each $i \in I(x^*)$. Since B is closed, $p \in B$, hence $f(p)_j \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]$ for each $j \notin I(x^*)$. Since each neighborhood of p contains a point outside B , there has to be a coordinate $j \notin I(x^*)$ with $f(p)_j \in \{\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}\}$. It follows that $|I(f(p))| \geq k+1$, contradicting (6.6).

The above argument yields that indeed, z must be in $V \cap [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$. For a point $x \in V$ let $J(x) = \{i \leq d : x_i \in [\frac{8}{20}, \frac{14}{20}]\}$. Let $z^* \in V \cap [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$ with $|J(z^*)|$ minimal among such points. We finish the proof of the lemma by showing that $|J(z^*)| \leq k$.

Suppose towards the contrary that $|J(z^*)| \geq k+1$. Since $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$, we obtain $|\{1, 2, \dots, d\} \setminus J(z^*)| < k$. Let W be the linear subspace generated by the coordinate vectors corresponding to coordinates in $\{1, 2, \dots, d\} \setminus J(z^*)$, which clearly satisfies that $\dim W < k$. Since $\dim V = k$, the map $\text{pr}_W \upharpoonright V$ is not injective. It follows that there is a line $L' \subseteq V$ which is orthogonal to W and passes through z^* . Using the

same idea as before, one can find a point $w \in L'$ with $w \in V \cap [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}]^d$, $w_i = z_i^*$ for each $i \notin J(z^*)$, and $w_j \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{19}{20}] \setminus [\frac{8}{20}, \frac{14}{20}]$ for some $j \in J(z^*)$. It follows that $|J(w)| < |J(z^*)|$, contradicting the minimality of $|J(z^*)|$. \square

7. ℓ -FOLD SUMSETS

In this section we extend our scope to ℓ -fold sumsets, and improve Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 7.1. $\exists(\ell, d-1, d)$ -set $\implies \exists(\ell+1, d, d+1)$ -set, that is, if there exists a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ that intersects each $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace (i.e. hyperplane) that meets $[0, 1]^d$ and the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK is nowhere dense, then there exists a compact set $K' \subseteq [0, 1]^{d+1}$ that intersects each d -dimensional affine (i.e. hyperplane) subspace that meets $[0, 1]^{d+1}$ and the $(\ell+1)$ -fold sumset $(\ell+1)K'$ is nowhere dense.

Proof. Let

$$K' = (K \times \{0\}) \cup \bigcup_{x \in \{0, 1\}^d} \{x\} \times [0, 1].$$

Now we will check that $(\ell+1)K'$ is nowhere dense, and K' intersects each d -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^{d+1}$. As $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ and the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \dots + x_d = 0\}$ intersects $[0, 1]^d$ only at the origin, K must contain the origin and therefore $iK \subseteq jK$ for $i \leq j$. Observe that this implies the following

$$(\ell+1)K' \subseteq (\ell+1)(K \times \{0\}) \cup (\ell K + \{0, 1, \dots, \ell+1\}^d) \times [0, \ell+1].$$

Now $(\ell+1)(K \times \{0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\}$, hence nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , and as ℓK was nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^d so is the union of its finitely many translates $(\ell K + \{0, 1, \dots, \ell+1\}^d)$, therefore $(\ell K + \{0, 1, \dots, \ell+1\}^d) \times [0, \ell+1]$ is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , so $(\ell+1)K'$ is indeed nowhere dense.

It remains to show that K' intersects every hyperplane $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with $V \cap [0, 1]^{d+1} \neq \emptyset$. Fix such a hyperplane V , a normal vector v , and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$(7.1) \quad V = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : v \cdot w = c\},$$

where $v \cdot w$ denotes the scalar product of v and w .

If $V \cap ([0, 1]^d \times \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $V \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\})$ is an at least $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace that intersects $[0, 1]^d \times \{0\}$, hence $K \times \{0\}$ intersects it by our assumptions.

Otherwise $V \cap ([0, 1]^d \times \{0\}) = \emptyset$, then it is straightforward to check (using $V \cap [0, 1]^{d+1} \neq \emptyset$) that $v_{d+1} \neq 0$. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ consider the unique number $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, f(x)) \in V$ (i.e. the solution of (7.1)). It is clear that $f: [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a well-defined affine function.

Now $V \cap ([0, 1]^d \times \{0\}) = \emptyset$ implies that 0 is not in the range of f , hence f takes only strictly positive values. We only have to show that there exists $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$ with $f(x) \in [0, 1]$. But otherwise $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$ implies $f(x) > 1$, and as f is an affine function f would map $[0, 1]^d$ into $(1, \infty)$, contradicting $V \cap [0, 1]^{d+1} \neq \emptyset$. \square

A straightforward induction starting with the case $d = 2$ of Theorem 6.1 yields the following.

Corollary 7.2. $\forall d \geq 2 \exists(d, d-1, d)$ -set, that is, a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but the d -fold sumset dK is nowhere dense.

We study another similar construction in the following theorem, which at first sight seems to be less powerful than Theorem 7.1. However, this construction works in a slightly more general setting, and we will also use it to generalize the main result of Section 10.

Theorem 7.3. *K is an (ℓ, k, d) -set $\implies K \times [0, 1]$ is an $(\ell, k+1, d+1)$ -set, that is, if $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ is a compact set intersecting each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$ and the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK is nowhere dense, then $K \times [0, 1]$ intersects each $(k+1)$ -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^{d+1}$, but the ℓ -fold sumset $\ell(K \times [0, 1])$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. $\ell(K \times [0, 1]) = \ell K \times [0, \ell]$, from which it is easy to see that $\ell(K \times [0, 1])$ is nowhere dense. Hence it suffices to check that $\ell(K \times [0, 1])$ intersects each $(k+1)$ -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^{d+1}$. Let V be such a subspace, and fix $x \in V \cap [0, 1]^{d+1}$. Consider the hyperplane $H = \mathbb{R}^d \times \{x_{d+1}\}$. Then $H \cap V$ is an affine subspace of dimension at least k (as H is of co-dimension 1), and since $H \cap (K \times [0, 1]) = K \times \{x_{d+1}\}$ we obtain that $H \cap V$ intersects $K \times \{x_{d+1}\}$, hence V also intersects $K \times [0, 1]$. \square

8. A NON-EXISTENCE RESULT

Theorem 8.1. *If there exists an (ℓ, k, d) -set then $k > \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}(d-1)$, that is, if there is a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ that intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$ and the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK is nowhere dense, then $k > \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}(d-1)$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is an (ℓ, k, d) -set K so that $k \leq \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}(d-1)$. We will obtain a contradiction by proving that ℓK has non-empty interior.

First we prove that the $(d-k-1)$ -dimensional faces of $[0, 1]^d$ are subsets of K . Let $z_1, \dots, z_{d-k-1} \in [0, 1]$ be given and let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{d-k-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in [0, 1]^d$. By symmetry it is enough to prove that there is a k -dimensional affine subspace V such that $V \cap [0, 1]^d = \{z\}$. Indeed, let

$$V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i = z_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d-k-1, \text{ and } x_{d-k} + \dots + x_d = 0\}.$$

Clearly V is a k -dimensional affine subspace and $z \in V$. If $x \in V \cap [0, 1]^d$ then $x_i \geq 0$ for all $i \geq d-k$ and $x_{d-k} + \dots + x_d = 0$, so $x_i = 0$ for all $i \geq d-k$. Thus $V \cap [0, 1]^d = \{z\}$.

Let $s = (\ell-1)(d-k-1)$. First assume that $s \geq d$. As the $(d-k-1)$ -dimensional faces of $[0, 1]^d$ are subsets of K , we obtain that $[0, 1]^d \subseteq (\ell-1)K \subseteq \ell K$, and we are done. Hence we may assume that $s < d$. Similarly as above we have

$$(8.1) \quad [0, 1]^s \times \{0\}^{d-s} \subseteq (\ell-1)K.$$

It is clear that our assumption $k \leq \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}(d-1)$ is equivalent to $s \geq k$, hence for every $y \in [0, 1]^{d-s}$ the affine subspace $\mathbb{R}^s \times \{y\}$ intersects K . Let us write $[0, 1]^s$ as the union of 2^s closed dyadic cubes Q_1, \dots, Q_{2^s} of edge length $1/2$, and for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^s$ let

$$A_i = \{y \in [0, 1]^{d-s} : K \cap (Q_i \times \{y\}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

As A_i are compact sets such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^s} A_i = [0, 1]^{d-s}$, at least one of them has a non-empty interior. We may assume without loss of generality that $Q_1 = [0, 1/2]^s$ and there is a non-empty ball $B \subseteq [0, 1]^{d-s}$ such that $B \subseteq A_1$. That is,

$$(8.2) \quad \forall y \in B \exists x \in [0, 1/2]^s \text{ such that } (x, y) \in K.$$

Finally, we prove that

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]^s \times B \subseteq (\ell - 1)K + K = \ell K,$$

which implies that ℓK has non-empty interior, hence the proof will be complete. Let $(x, y) \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]^s \times B$, by (8.2) there is an $x' \in [0, 1/2]^s$ such that $(x', y) \in K$. By (8.1) we have $(x - x', 0) \in (\ell - 1)K$ (here 0 denotes the origin of \mathbb{R}^{d-s}). Therefore, $(x, y) = (x - x', 0) + (x', y) \in (\ell - 1)K + K$. \square

Theorems 6.1 and 8.1 imply the following.

Corollary 8.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$,
- (ii) $\exists(2, k, d)$ -set, that is, a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.

Corollary 7.2 and Theorem 8.1 yield the following.

Corollary 8.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $k = d - 1$,
- (ii) $\exists(d, k, d)$ -set, that is, a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but the d -fold sumset dK is nowhere dense,
- (iii) $\exists(d - 1, k, d)$ -set, that is, a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but the $(d - 1)$ -fold sumset $(d - 1)K$ is nowhere dense.

9. GENERIC COMPACT SETS

In this section we prove that if there exists an (ℓ, k, d) -set, that in a reasonable complete metric space of compact sets the generic element is also an (ℓ, k, d) -set.

Definition 9.1. Let $(\mathcal{K}([0, 1]^d), d_H)$ be the set of non-empty compact subsets of $[0, 1]^d$ endowed with the *Hausdorff metric*; that is, for each $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}([0, 1]^d)$,

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \max \left\{ \max_{x_1 \in K_1} \min_{x_2 \in K_2} d(x_1, x_2), \max_{x_2 \in K_2} \min_{x_1 \in K_1} d(x_1, x_2) \right\}.$$

Definition 9.2. For $0 \leq k < d$ let

$$\mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}([0, 1]^d) : K \text{ intersects each } k\text{-dimensional affine subspace that meets } [0, 1]^d\}.$$

Now it is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$ is a closed subset in $\mathcal{K}([0, 1]^d)$, therefore it is a complete metric space.

Theorem 9.3. $\exists(\ell, k, d)$ -set \implies the generic element of $\mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$ is an (ℓ, k, d) -set, that is, if there exists $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$ such that the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK_0 is nowhere dense, then ℓK is nowhere dense for comeager many $K \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. Fixing a countable base $(B_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $[0, 1]^d$ it suffices to prove that for each i the set

$$U_i = \{K \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d) : \ell K \not\supseteq B_i\}$$

is dense open.

If $K \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$, $x \in B_i$ are such that

$$(9.1) \quad x \notin \ell K,$$

then there is a neighbourhood of K in $\mathcal{K}([0, 1]^d)$ satisfying (9.1) (hence also in $\mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$).

For the density of U_i , fix $K \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. We will construct $K' \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$ with $\ell K'$ nowhere dense and $d_H(K, K') < \varepsilon$. Fix $n > 0$ such that $\frac{2\sqrt{d}}{n} < \varepsilon$. Define the finite set

$$H = \left\{ x \in \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq m < n \right\}^d : \left(x + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d \right) \cap K \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Now obviously

$$H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d \supseteq K,$$

thus $H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$, and also $d_H(K, H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d) \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{n}$.

We know that $H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$, that is, this set intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace intersecting $[0, 1]^d$. But we also know that $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$, which implies that for any translation vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set $h + \frac{1}{n} \cdot K_0$ intersects the same k -dimensional affine subspaces as the set $h + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d$. These imply that $K' = H + \frac{1}{n} \cdot K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$.

It can be easily seen that $d_H(K', H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d) \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{n}$, thus recalling $d_H(K, H + \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]^d) \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{n}$ we obtain

$$d_H(K', K) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

Finally, $\ell K' = \ell H + \ell \left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot K_0\right)$ is nowhere dense because H is finite and the sum ℓK_0 is nowhere dense. \square

10. A SELF-SIMILAR CONSTRUCTION

In this section we prove the existence of a $(2, 1, 2)$ -set in a constructive fashion, without using the probabilistic method described in Section 6. Additionally, the $(2, 1, 2)$ -set constructed in this section will be self-similar. However, this proof cannot be easily generalized for $d > 2$, as it relies on some special properties of a certain planar pattern, which we found with the combination of computer-aided search and manual improvements.

Theorem 10.1. *There exists a self-similar $(2, 1, 2)$ -set, that is, a self-similar, compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^2$ such that K intersects each line that meets $[0, 1]^2$, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. The fractal K will be the intersection of the sets $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$, which are defined by a recursion of the form

$$K_0 = [0, 1]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad K_{i+1} = \bigcup_{t \in T} \varphi_t(K_i) \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Figure 1 illustrates the first two steps of the construction.

For a formal definition of this fractal, we use an iterated function system $\{\varphi_t : t \in T\}$ that consists of 27 contractions, each of similarity ratio $\frac{1}{10}$. For a translation vector $t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define the contraction φ_t as

$$\varphi_t : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \varphi_t(x) = \frac{1}{10}(x + t);$$




FIGURE 1. The sets K_1 (union of the 27 hatched squares from the grid \mathcal{C}_{10}^2) and K_2 (union of the 27 filled squares from \mathcal{C}_{100}^2)

and we construct the fractal using the translation vectors in

$$T = \{(0,0), (1,0), (2,1), (3,0), (3,2), (4,3), (5,3), (6,3), (7,3), (8,3), (9,3), (9,4), (9,5), (8,6), (8,7), (8,8), (7,9), (9,9), (6,0), (7,0), (8,0), (9,0), (0,9), (1,9), (2,8), (3,7), (4,7)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It is easy to see that this definition indeed implies that $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ and the intersection $K = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i$ will be a compact subset of $[0, 1]^2$.

Fact 10.2. *If a line L intersects $[0, 1]^2$, then it also intersects the set K_1 .*

Proof. It is easy to verify this fact on Figure 2, which shows the set K_1 in the unit square.




FIGURE 2. The set K_1 (union of the 27 hatched squares) in $[0, 1]^2$

For a more formal proof, observe that if the line L intersects the diagonal between $(0,0)$ and $(1,1)$, then it intersects K_1 because these corners are connected by a continuous path in K_1 . Otherwise there are two possible cases:

Case 1: L intersects the bottom and right sides of the square $[0, 1]^2$.

Notice that the set $K_1 \cup [a, b]$ (where $[a, b]$ denotes the closed segment between the points a and b marked on Figure 2) contains a continuous path between $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$, while $K_1 \cup [b, c]$ contains a continuous path between $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. These mean that L must intersect both of these sets, but then either L intersects K_1 or L intersects both of the collinear segments $[a, b]$ and $[b, c]$. The latter means that L passes through the common endpoint b , but then $b \in K_1$ allows us to conclude this case.

Case 2: L intersects the top and left sides of the square $[0, 1]^2$.

This case can be handled analogously to Case 1, using the collinear points a', b' and c' instead of a, b and c . \square

Claim 10.3. *If a line L intersects $[0, 1]^2$, then it also intersects K .*

Proof. For a line L , the set $L \cap K$ can be written as the intersection of the compact sets

$$L \cap K_0 \supseteq L \cap K_1 \supseteq L \cap K_2 \supseteq \dots$$

and this means that it is enough to prove that these sets are all non-empty.

We use induction to show that each index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that if $L \cap [0, 1]^2 \neq \emptyset$, then $L \cap K_i \neq \emptyset$. The case of $i = 0$ is trivial. For the induction step, assume that the induction hypothesis holds for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to Fact 10.2, our line L intersects K_1 and this implies that L intersects $\varphi_{t_0}(K_0)$ for a (not necessarily unique) $t_0 \in T$. Then the line $\varphi_{t_0}^{-1}(L)$ intersects K_0 and so the induction hypothesis implies that the intersection $\varphi_{t_0}^{-1}(L) \cap K_i$ is non-empty. But then

$$\emptyset \neq \varphi_{t_0}(\varphi_{t_0}^{-1}(L) \cap K_i) = L \cap \varphi_{t_0}(K_i) \subseteq L \cap K_{i+1},$$

and this shows the induction hypothesis also holds for $i + 1$. \square

Fact 10.4. *There is a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $v - K_1$ is disjoint from $K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Proof. We will choose $v = (1.45, 1.55)$. If $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that either $a \notin \{0, 1\}$ or $b \notin \{0, 1\}$, then $K_1 \subseteq [0, 1]^2$ immediately implies that $v - K_1$ is disjoint from $K_1 + (a, b)$. Therefore it is sufficient to verify that

$$v - K_1 \text{ is disjoint from } K_1 + \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}.$$

Note that $-K_1$ is the reflection of K_1 with respect to the origin and $v - K_1$ is the translation of $-K_1$ by the vector v ; while the set $K_1 + \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ is the union of four translated copies of K_1 . We illustrate these planar shapes on Figure 3, and here it is clearly visible that $v - K_1$ (the filled area) is disjoint from the four translated copies of K_1 .

The pedantic verification of this fact is also straightforward, as

$$K_1 = \bigcup_{t \in T} \varphi_t([0, 1]^2) = \bigcup_{(t_1, t_2) \in T} C_{10}^2(t_1, t_2)$$

is the union of 27 grid squares. For example, we may note that the set

$$T^+ = T \cup (T + (10, 0)) \cup (T + (0, 10)) \cup (T + (10, 10)) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

satisfies that

$$K_1 + \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\} = \bigcup_{(t_1, t_2) \in T^+} C_{10}^2(t_1, t_2).$$




FIGURE 3. The sets $v - K_1$ (filled area), K_1 (crosshatched area) and $K_1 + \{(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}$ (hatched areas)

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that if $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the grid square $C_{10}^2(s_1, s_2)$ intersects the square $v - C_{10}^2(t_1, t_2)$ if and only if $s_1 \in \{13 - t_1, 14 - t_1\}$ and $s_2 \in \{14 - t_2, 15 - t_2\}$. This implies that $v - K_1$ is intersected by the grid square $C_{10}^2(s_1, s_2)$ if and only if $(s_1, s_2) \in T^-$ for the set

$$T^- = ((13, 14) - T) \cup ((14, 14) - T) \cup ((13, 15) - T) \cup ((14, 15) - T) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Here we may mechanically check that $T^+ \cap T^- = \emptyset$, which concludes the proof. \square

Claim 10.5. *The sum $K + K$ is nowhere dense in the plane.*

Proof. As $K + K$ is closed, it is enough to prove that $\text{int}(K + K) = \emptyset$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (K + K)$ is dense in the plane.

First note that for $i \geq 2$ we can directly expand the recursive definition of K_i to get

$$K_i = 10^{-i+1} \cdot K_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 10^{-j} \cdot T.$$

As $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ (and $10 \in \mathbb{Z}$), this implies that

$$K \subseteq K_i \subseteq 10^{-i+1} \cdot (K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2),$$

which is also trivially true for $i = 1$. As \mathbb{Z}^2 is closed under addition,

$$K + K \subseteq 10^{-i+1} \cdot (K_1 + K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2) \quad \text{for every positive integer } i.$$

However, Fact 10.4 states that there exists a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the sets $K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $v - K_1$ are disjoint. This means that there are no $k, k' \in K_1$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $k + z = v - k'$. Rearranging this (and using that the integer lattice is closed under addition) yields that $v + \mathbb{Z}^2$ is disjoint from $K_1 + K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2$, and then for every positive integer i

$$10^{-i+1} \cdot (K_1 + K_1 + \mathbb{Z}^2) \quad \text{is disjoint from} \quad 10^{-i+1} \cdot (v + \mathbb{Z}^2).$$

The combination of our observations proves that $K + K$ is disjoint from

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} 10^{-i+1} \cdot (v + \mathbb{Z}^2)$$

and this is a dense set, which concludes our proof. \square

The combination of Claim 10.3 and Claim 10.5 completes the proof of Theorem 10.1. \square

Although this theorem was based on the properties of the planar pattern K_1 , it also implies the following simple result for higher dimensions:

Corollary 10.6. *For each integer $d \geq 2$, there exists a self-similar $(2, d-1, d)$ -set, that is, a self-similar, compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but $K + K$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. Let K_0 denote the self-similar $(2, 1, 2)$ -set constructed in Theorem 10.1. For a dimension $d \geq 2$, let us define

$$K = K_0 \times [0, 1]^{d-2} \subseteq [0, 1]^d.$$

The iterated application of Theorem 7.3 shows that K is a $(2, d-1, d)$ -set.

As K_0 is self-similar, it satisfies that $K_0 = \bigcup_{t \in T} \varphi_t(K_0)$ for some family $(\varphi_t)_{t \in T}$ of similarity transformations on the plane. For $t \in T$, let λ_t denote the similarity ratio of φ_t (which is clearly less than one). For each $t \in T$, consider the finite set

$$F_t = ((\lambda_t \cdot \mathbb{N}) \cap [0, 1 - \lambda_t]) \cup \{1 - \lambda_t\},$$

which clearly satisfies that $F_t + [0, \lambda_t] = [0, 1]$.

For a $t \in T$ and a vector $f = (f_3, f_4, \dots, f_d)$ where $f_i \in F_t$ for $2 < i \leq d$ let us define $\psi_{t,f}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$\psi_{t,f}(x) = ((\varphi_t((x_1, x_2)))_1, (\varphi_t((x_1, x_2)))_2, \lambda_t x_3 + f_3, \lambda_t x_4 + f_4, \dots, \lambda_t x_d + f_d).$$

It is clear from this definition that $\psi_{t,f}$ is a similarity with ratio λ_t . The choice of F_t implies that

$$\bigcup_{t \in T} \bigcup_{f \in F_t^{d-2}} \psi_{t,f}(K) = K,$$

which shows that K is indeed self-similar. \square

Remark 10.7. The so-called open set condition (see e.g. [5]) is satisfied by the self-similar $(2, 1, 2)$ -set constructed in Theorem 10.1 and the self-similar $(2, d-1, d)$ -sets constructed in Corollary 10.6.

Proof. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 10.1 we defined the self-similar $(2, 1, 2)$ -set with the help of a family $(\varphi_t)_{t \in T}$ of similarity transformations. It is easy to verify that for the open unit square $(0, 1)^2$ the images $\varphi_t((0, 1)^2)$ ($t \in T$) are pairwise disjoint, which shows that the open set condition holds.

To prove the second claim we have to use the additional fact that the similarity ratio of φ_t is $\lambda_t = \frac{1}{10}$ for each $t \in T$ (according to the definition in the proof of Theorem 10.1). As this common value happens to be the reciprocal of an integer, we can verify that the similarities $\psi_{t,f}$ (defined in the proof of Corollary 10.6) will map the open cube $(0, 1)^d$ onto disjoint subsets of itself. \square

11. FURTHER REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

First, we mention some facts about the possible Hausdorff dimension of the compact sets we constructed.

Remark 11.1. As we saw in Corollary 8.2, there exists a $(2, k, d)$ -set if and only if $k \geq \frac{d}{2}$. In this case there exists even a $(2, k, d)$ -set with Hausdorff dimension $d - k$ and another one with Hausdorff dimension d . (It is easy to see that these are the smallest and the largest possible values, since there is no $(2, k, d)$ -set of Hausdorff dimension less than $d - k$, as Fact 1.3 with $m = d - k$ implies that such sets have full $(d - k)$ -dimensional projections.)

To get a $(2, k, d)$ -set with Hausdorff dimension $d - k$ note that a standard argument shows that the generic element of $\mathcal{K}_k([0, 1]^d)$ has Hausdorff dimension $d - k$, while Theorem 9.3 implies that the generic element is also a $(2, k, d)$ -set.

To get a $(2, k, d)$ -set with Hausdorff dimension d , one needs go through the proof of Theorem 6.1 and notice the following. If the sequence a_n tends to infinity fast enough then at each subdivision of a cube $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$ a fixed ratio of the smaller cubes is chosen with high probability. A standard argument then shows that the resulting set indeed has Hausdorff dimension d .

We now move on to open problems. So far, very little seems to be known about the case $\ell > d$. The first instance of an open question is the following.

Question 11.2. *Does there exist a $(3, 1, 2)$ -set, that is, does there exist a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^2$ such that K intersects each line that meets $[0, 1]^2$, but $K + K + K$ is nowhere dense?*

We cannot even rule out the following.

Question 11.3. *Does there exist a set that is an $(\ell, 1, 2)$ -set for every ℓ , that is, does there exist a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^2$ such that K intersects each line that meets $[0, 1]^2$, but the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK is nowhere dense for each ℓ ?*

We remark that the additive semigroup generated by such a set would yield an interesting example of a meager F_σ subsemigroup intersecting every line in the plane.

In the easier-looking case $\ell \leq d$ the following instance is the first one left open by our results.

Question 11.4. *Does there exist a $(3, 3, 5)$ -set, that is, does there exist a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^5$ such that K intersects each 3-dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^5$, but $K + K + K$ is nowhere dense?*

Our last question asks if Corollary 10.6 can be generalized.

Question 11.5. $\exists(\ell, k, d)\text{-set} \implies \exists \text{ a self-similar } (\ell, k, d)\text{-set?}$ *That is, assume that there exists a compact set $K \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that K intersects each k -dimensional affine subspace that meets $[0, 1]^d$, but the ℓ -fold sumset ℓK is nowhere dense, does this imply that there exists such a set K that is also self-similar?*

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to Imre Z. Ruzsa for an illuminating conversation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banach, Taras; Jabłońska, Eliza; Jabłoński, Wojciech. The continuity of additive and convex functions which are upper bounded on non-flat continua in \mathbb{R}^n . *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **54** (2019), no. 1, 247–256.
- [2] Bédaride, Nicolas; Domenjoud, Éric; Jamet, Damien; Rémy, Jean-Luc. On the number of balanced words of given length and height over a two-letter alphabet. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **12** (2010), no. 3, 41–62.
- [3] Lipatov, E. P. A classification of binary collections and properties of homogeneity classes. (Russian) *Problemy Kibernet.* No. 39 (1982), 67–84.
- [4] A. S. Kechris, Classical descriptive set theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1995.
- [5] P. Mattila: *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics No. 44, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [6] Vuillon, Laurent. Balanced words. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **10** (2003), 787–805.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA U. 13–15, H-1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `balka.richard@renyi.hu`

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA U. 13–15, H-1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY AND EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER S. 1/C, 1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `elekes.marton@renyi.hu`

URL: `http://www.renyi.hu/~emarci`

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA U. 13–15, H-1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `kiss.viktor@renyi.hu`

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER S. 1/C, 1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `minagdon@gmail.com`

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER S. 1/C, 1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `sokmark@gmail.com`