

An approximation to zeros of the Riemann zeta function using fractional calculus

A. Torres-Hernandez ^{*,a} and F. Brambila-Paz ^{†,b}

^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science - UNAM, Mexico

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science - UNAM, Mexico

Abstract

A fractional iterative method is used to approximate the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. This iterative method, valid for one and several variables, uses the properties of fractional calculus, in particular the fact that the fractional derivatives of constants are not always zero, to find multiple zeros of a function using a single initial condition. This partly solves the intrinsic problem of iterative methods that if we want to find N zeros it is necessary to give N initial conditions. Consequently, the method is suitable for approximating nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function when the absolute value of its imaginary part tends to infinity. The deduction of the iterative method is presented, some examples of its implementation, and finally 53 different values near to the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are shown.

Keywords: Iteration Function, Order of Convergence, Fractional Derivative, Parallel Chord Method.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classic problem in mathematics, which is of common interest in physics and engineering, is finding the set of zeros of a function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, that is,

$$\ker(f) := \{\xi \in \Omega : \|f(\xi)\| = 0\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes any vector norm. Although finding the zeros of a function may seem like a simple problem, many times it involves solving an **algebraic equation system** given by the following expression

$$\begin{cases} [f]_1(x) = 0 \\ [f]_2(x) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ [f]_n(x) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where $[f]_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the k -th component of the function f . It should be mentioned that system (2) may represent a **linear system** or a **nonlinear system**. The function that has the set of zeros of most interest in mathematics, being possibly the most famous set of zeros, corresponds to the **Riemann zeta function**

$$\zeta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^x}.$$

The Riemann hypothesis, under the assumption that it is true, is responsible for giving a general expression to the zeros of function ζ , and may be written compactly as

^{*}Email address: anthony.torres@ciencias.unam.mx

[†]Email address: fernandobrambila@gmail.com

$$\text{If } \xi \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \xi \in \ker(\zeta) \Leftrightarrow \xi = \begin{cases} -2x, & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0.5 + xi, & \text{for some } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

when $\xi \neq -2x$ is known as a **nontrivial zero** of the function ζ . In general, it is necessary to use numerical methods of the iterative type to construct a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, that under certain conditions, allows to approximate to values $\xi \in \ker(\zeta)$ with $\xi \neq -2x$, that is,

$$x_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi \in \ker(\zeta).$$

If we want to find N values $\xi \in \ker(\zeta)$, it is necessary to give N initial conditions x_0 . The previously described is an intrinsic problem of iterative methods, because time must first be spent determining to initial conditions x_0 before beginning to search the values ξ . Moreover, it is sometimes necessary that the initial conditions are near to the searched values to guarantee convergence, that is,

$$|x_0 - \xi| < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow x_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi \in \ker(\zeta). \quad (4)$$

These problems are partially solved using **fractional iterative methods**, because they can determine N values ξ using a single initial condition x_0 , and the initial condition does not need to be near to the searched values. In this document is used a fractional iterative method does not explicitly depend on the fractional derivative of the function for which zeros are sought, then it is an ideal iterative method for working with functions that can be expressed in terms of a series or for solving nonlinear systems in several variables.

2. FIXED POINT METHOD

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function. It is possible to build a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ defining the following iterative method

$$x_{i+1} := \Phi(x_i), \quad (5)$$

if it is true that the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ converges to a value $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and if the functions Φ is continuous around ξ , it holds that

$$\xi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{i+1} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x_i) = \Phi\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i\right) = \Phi(\xi), \quad (6)$$

the above result is the reason by which the method (5) is known as **fixed point method**. Moreover, the function Φ is called an **iteration function**. To understand the nature of the convergence of the iteration function Φ , the following definition is necessary [1]

Definition 2.1. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an iteration function. The method (5) to determine $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is called **(locally) convergent**, if it there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all initial condition

$$x_0 \in B(\xi; \delta) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|y - \xi\| < \delta\},$$

it holds that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i - \xi\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = \xi. \quad (7)$$

Before continuing, it is necessary to define the order of convergence of an iteration function Φ [1].

Definition 2.2. Let $\Phi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an iteration function with a fixed point $\xi \in \Omega$. Then the method (5) is called **(locally) convergent of (at least) order p** ($p \geq 1$), if there are exists $\delta > 0$ and C a non-negative constant, with $C < 1$ if $p = 1$, such that for any initial value $x_0 \in B(\xi; \delta)$ it holds that

$$\|x_{k+1} - \xi\| \leq C \|x_k - \xi\|^p, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (8)$$

where C is called convergence factor.

The order of convergence is usually related to the speed at which the sequence generated by (5) converges. For the particular case $p = 1$ it is said that the method (5) has an **order of convergence (at least) linear**. The following theorem, allows characterizing the order of convergence of an iteration function Φ with its derivatives [1,2]. Before continuing, we need to consider the following multi-index notation. Let \mathbb{N}_0 be the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma! := \prod_{k=1}^n [\gamma]_k! \\ |\gamma| := \sum_{k=1}^n [\gamma]_k \\ x^\gamma := \prod_{k=1}^n [x]_k^{[\gamma]_k} \\ \frac{\partial^\gamma}{\partial x^\gamma} := \frac{\partial^{|\gamma|}}{\partial [x]_1^{[\gamma]_1} \partial [x]_2^{[\gamma]_2} \dots \partial [x]_n^{[\gamma]_n}} \end{array} \right. . \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Phi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an iteration function with a fixed point $\xi \in \Omega$. Assuming that Φ is p -times differentiable in ξ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and moreover

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial^\gamma [\Phi]_k(\xi)}{\partial x^\gamma} = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 1 \text{ and } \forall |\gamma| < p, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ \|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\| < 1, & \text{if } p = 1 \end{array} \right. , \quad (10)$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}$ denotes the **Jacobian matrix** of the function Φ , then Φ is (locally) convergent of (at least) order p .

Proof. Considering the case $p = 1$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an iteration function, and let $\{\hat{e}_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Considering the following index notation (Einstein notation)

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n [\Phi]_k(x) \hat{e}_k := [\Phi]_k(x) \hat{e}_k = \hat{e}_k [\Phi]_k(x),$$

and using the Taylor series expansion of a vector-valued function in multi-index notation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_i) &= \Phi(\xi) + \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \hat{e}_k \frac{\partial^\gamma [\Phi]_k(\xi)}{\partial x^\gamma} (x_i - \xi)^\gamma + \hat{e}_k [o]_k \left(\max_{|\gamma|=1} \{(x_i - \xi)^\gamma\} \right) \\ &= \Phi(\xi) + \Phi^{(1)}(\xi)(x_i - \xi) + \hat{e}_k [o]_k \left(\max_{|\gamma|=1} \{(x_i - \xi)^\gamma\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|\Phi(x_i) - \Phi(\xi)\| \leq \|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\| \|x_i - \xi\| + o(\|x_i - \xi\|),$$

assuming that ξ is a fixed point of Φ and that $\|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\| < 1$ is fulfilled, the previous expression implies that

$$\frac{\|\Phi(x_i) - \Phi(\xi)\|}{\|x_i - \xi\|} = \frac{\|x_{i+1} - \xi\|}{\|x_i - \xi\|} < \|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\| + \frac{o(\|x_i - \xi\|)}{\|x_i - \xi\|},$$

therefore

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_{i+1} - \xi\|}{\|x_i - \xi\|} < \|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\|,$$

as a consequence, if the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ generated by (5) converges to ξ , there exists a value $k > 0$ such that

$$\|x_{i+1} - \xi\| < \|\Phi^{(1)}(\xi)\| \|x_i - \xi\|, \quad \forall i \geq k,$$

then Φ is (locally) convergent of order (at least) linear. □

The next corollary follows from the previous theorem

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an iteration function. If Φ defines a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ such that $x_i \rightarrow \xi$, and if the following condition is true*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} \|\Phi^{(1)}(x)\| \neq 0, \tag{11}$$

then Φ has an order of convergence (at least) linear in $B(\xi; \delta)$.

3. RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE

One of the key pieces in the study of fractional calculus is the iterated integral, which is defined as follows [3]

Definition 3.1. *Let $L_{loc}^1(a, b)$ be the space of locally integrable functions in the interval (a, b) . If f is a function such that $f \in L_{loc}^1(a, \infty)$, then the n -th iterated integral of the function f is given by*

$${}_a I_x^n f(x) = {}_a I_x \left({}_a I_x^{n-1} f(x) \right) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f(t) dt, \tag{12}$$

where

$${}_a I_x f(x) := \int_a^x f(t) dt.$$

Considerate that $(n-1)! = \Gamma(n)$, a generalization of (12) may be obtained for an arbitrary order $\alpha > 0$

$${}_a I_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \tag{13}$$

the equation (13) correspond to the definition of **Riemann-Liouville (right) fractional integral**. Fractional integrals satisfy the **semigroup property**, which is given in the following proposition [3]

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be a function. If $f \in L_{loc}^1(a, \infty)$, then the fractional integrals of f satisfy that*

$${}_a I_x^\alpha {}_a I_x^\beta f(x) = {}_a I_x^{\alpha+\beta} f(x), \quad \alpha, \beta > 0. \tag{14}$$

From the previous proposition, and considering that the operator d/dx is the inverse operator to the left of the operator ${}_a I_x$, any integral α -th of a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(a, \infty)$ may be written as

$${}_a I_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} {}_a I_x^n ({}_a I_x^\alpha f(x)) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} ({}_a I_x^{n+\alpha} f(x)). \quad (15)$$

With the previous results, we can build the operator **Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative** as follows [3, 4]

$${}_a D_x^\alpha f(x) := \begin{cases} {}_a I_x^{-\alpha} f(x), & \text{if } \alpha < 0 \\ \frac{d^n}{dx^n} ({}_a I_x^{n-\alpha} f(x)), & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (16)$$

where $n = \lceil \alpha \rceil$. Considering $a = 0$, then applying the operator (16) to the function x^μ , with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu > -1$, we obtain the following result

$${}_0 D_x^\alpha x^\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu-\alpha+1)} x^{\mu-\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

4. FRACTIONAL PSEUDO-NEWTON METHOD

Let $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function. We can consider the problem of finding a value $\xi \in \Omega$ such that $\|f(\xi)\| = 0$. A first approximation to value ξ is by a linear approximation of the function f in a valor $x_i \in \Omega$ with $\|x_i - \xi\| < \epsilon$, that is,

$$f(x) \approx f(x_i) + f^{(1)}(x_i)(x - x_i), \quad (18)$$

then considering that ξ is a zero of f , from the previous expression we obtain that

$$0 \approx f(x_i) + f^{(1)}(x_i)(\xi - x_i) \Rightarrow \xi \approx x_i - \left(f^{(1)}(x_i)\right)^{-1} f(x_i),$$

consequently, may be generated a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ that approximates the value ξ using the iterative method

$$x_{i+1} := \Phi(x_i) = x_i - \left(f^{(1)}(x_i)\right)^{-1} f(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which corresponds to well-known Newton's method. However, the equation (18) is not the only way to generate a linear approximation to the function f in the point x_i , another alternative is to use the next approximation

$$f(x) \approx f(x_i) + m I_n (x - x_i), \quad (19)$$

where I_n corresponds to the identity matrix of $n \times n$ and m is any constant value of a slope, that allows the approximation (19) to the function f to be valid. The previous equation allows to obtain the following iterative method

$$x_{i+1} := \Phi(x_i) = x_i - \left(m^{-1} I_n\right) f(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (20)$$

which corresponds to a particular case of the **parallel chord method** [5]. It is necessary to mention that for some definitions of fractional derivative, it is satisfied that the derivative of the order α of a constant is different from zero, that is,

$$\partial_k^\alpha c := \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial [x]_k^\alpha} c \neq 0, \quad c = \text{constant}, \quad (21)$$

where ∂_k^α denotes any fractional derivative applied only in the component k , that does not cancel the constants (for example: Riesz, Grünwald–Letnikov, Riemann–Liouville, etc. [3, 4, 6, 7]) and that satisfies the following continuity relation with respect to the order α of the derivative

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \partial_k^\alpha c = \partial_k c. \quad (22)$$

Considering a function $\Phi : (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Then, using as a basis the idea of the method (20), and considering any fractional derivative that satisfies the conditions (21) and (22), we can define the **fractional pseudo-Newton method** as follows

$$x_{i+1} := \Phi(\alpha, x_i) = x_i - P_{\epsilon, \beta}(x_i) f(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (23)$$

in particular is possible to take $\alpha \in [-2, 2] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ [8], where $P_{\epsilon, \beta}(x_i)$ is a matrix evaluated in the value x_i , which is given by the following expression

$$P_{\epsilon, \beta}(x_i) := ([P_{\epsilon, \beta}]_{jk}(x_i)) = \left(\partial_k^{\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k)} \delta_{jk} + \epsilon \delta_{jk} \right)_{x_i}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\partial_k^{\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k)} \delta_{jk} := \frac{\partial^{\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k)}}{\partial [x]_k^{\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k)}} \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \quad (25)$$

with δ_{jk} the Kronecker delta, ϵ a positive constant $\ll 1$, and $\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k)$ a function defined as follows

$$\beta(\alpha, [x_i]_k) := \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } \sqrt{[x_i]_k [\bar{x}_i]_k} \neq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } \sqrt{[x_i]_k [\bar{x}_i]_k} = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (26)$$

We consider in the matrix (24) that the fractional derivative is obtained for a positive real variable $[x]_k$ and is subsequently evaluated on a complex variable $[x_i]_k$. It should be mentioned that the value $\alpha = 1$ in (26), is taken to avoid the discontinuity that is generated when using the fractional derivative of constants in the value $x = 0$. Moreover, since in the previous method $\|\Phi^{(1)}(\alpha, \xi)\| \neq 0$ if $\|f(\xi)\| = 0$, from the **Corollary 2.4**, any sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ generated by the iterative method (23) has an order of convergence (at least) linear.

To finish this section, it is necessary to mention that although the interest in fractional calculus has mainly focused on the study and development of techniques to solve differential equation systems of order non-integer [3, 4, 6, 9, 10]. Over the years, iterative methods have also been developed that use the properties of fractional derivatives to solve algebraic equation systems [8, 11–15]. These methods may be called **fractional iterative methods**, which under certain conditions, may accelerate their speed of convergence with the implementation of the Aitken's method [2, 13].

It should be noted that depending on the definition of fractional derivative used, fractional iterative methods have the particularity that they may be used of local form [11] or of global form [8]. These methods also have the peculiarity of being able to find complex roots of polynomials using real initial conditions [12].

4.1. SOME EXAMPLES

Instructions for implementing the method (23) along with information to provide values $\alpha \in [-2, 2] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ are found in the reference [8]. For rounding reasons, only for the examples the following function is defined

$$\text{Rnd}_m([x]_k) := \begin{cases} \text{Re}([x]_k), & \text{if } |\text{Im}([x]_k)| \leq 10^{-m} \\ [x]_k, & \text{if } |\text{Im}([x]_k)| > 10^{-m} \end{cases}. \quad (27)$$

Combining the function (27) with the method (23), the following iterative method is defined

$$x_{i+1} := \text{Rnd}_5(\Phi(\alpha, x_i)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (28)$$

Example 4.1. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions, with

$$f_k(x) = -\gamma - \log(x) - \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^m x^{2m}}{2m\Gamma(2m+1)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{\cos(t)}{t} dt,$$

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant [16]. Then considering the value $k = 50$, the initial condition $x_0 = 0.018$ is chosen to use the iterative method given by (28) along with fractional derivative given by (17). Consequently, we obtain the results of the Table 1

	α	x_n	$\ x_n - x_{n-1}\ _2$	$\ f_{50}(x_n)\ _2$	n
1	-1.11419	15.7703495	6.60000e-7	9.53989e-9	33
2	-1.10571	22.03613996	3.20000e-7	3.67622e-9	29
3	-1.09148	3.38418043	3.00000e-7	2.13662e-9	23
4	-1.09147	9.52557552	4.40000e-7	6.51952e-9	23
5	-1.00855	28.30949579	1.10000e-7	8.74154e-7	110
6	0.24869	-18.65007933 + 4.7866359i	5.12250e-7	9.98818e-7	61
7	0.25206	-24.9715585 + 5.07028087i	4.21900e-7	8.51586e-7	61
8	0.25269	-12.29980885 + 4.3899063i	5.19230e-7	9.35313e-7	61
9	0.25298	-18.65007948 - 4.78663644i	4.41022e-7	8.66794e-7	64
10	0.28661	-5.86092713 + 3.72436638i	5.74282e-7	9.63373e-7	57
11	0.29031	-24.97155803 - 5.07028097i	3.26497e-7	7.97508e-7	64
12	0.30109	-12.29980928 - 4.38990586i	4.44072e-7	9.93021e-7	66
13	0.31605	-5.86092745 - 3.72436648i	4.79270e-7	8.82199e-7	62
14	1.11281	31.44800139	2.00000e-8	1.56267e-7	3
15	1.14602	0.61650487	2.60000e-7	8.14734e-7	33

Table 1: Results obtained using the iterative method (28) with $\epsilon = e - 3$.

Example 4.2. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions, with

$$f_k(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{(-1)^m x^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)\Gamma(2m+2)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{\sin(t)}{t} dt.$$

Then considering the value $k = 50$, the initial condition $x_0 = 1.85$ is chosen to use the iterative method given by (28) along with fractional derivative given by (17). Consequently, we obtain the results of the Table 2

	α	x_n	$\ x_n - x_{n-1}\ _2$	$\ f_{50}(x_n)\ _2$	n
1	-0.83718	23.60399266	4.10000e-7	9.80551e-9	30
2	-0.81526	29.87824476	7.30000e-7	8.19133e-7	273
3	-0.71339	17.33566366	4.10000e-7	2.48591e-8	34
4	-0.71324	11.08303768	3.70000e-7	2.67499e-8	29
5	-0.71174	4.89383571	5.10000e-7	4.87621e-8	24
6	0.36251	-12.29964074 - 4.38965942i	4.41814e-7	9.42697e-7	42
7	0.36333	-31.27978791 - 5.29112884i	4.31045e-7	5.52647e-7	391
8	0.36684	-24.97153098 - 5.07020771i	3.56931e-7	7.71425e-7	42
9	0.36976	-18.65001989 - 4.78651292i	3.80132e-7	8.88913e-7	40
10	0.38451	-24.97153097 + 5.07020788i	2.05913e-7	5.36982e-7	44
11	0.38646	-18.65002028 + 4.78651268i	2.30000e-7	5.54454e-7	42
12	0.40682	-12.29964106 + 4.38965969i	4.49110e-7	9.97466e-7	37
13	0.44711	-5.86005858 - 3.72373544i	4.72017e-7	9.90371e-7	41
14	0.48437	-5.86005854 + 3.72373556i	4.52217e-7	9.82000e-7	38
15	0.55885	-31.27978639 + 5.2911368i	5.50000e-7	3.69789e-7	183
16	1.41172	1.92644561	1.10000e-7	9.97696e-7	196

Table 2: Results obtained using the iterative method (28) with $\epsilon = e - 3$.

Example 4.3. Let f be a function, with

$$f(x) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}[x]_1 \left(\sin([x]_1[x]_2) - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi}[x]_2 \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) (e^{2[x]_1} - e) + e \left(\frac{1}{\pi}[x]_2 - 2[x]_1 \right) \end{array} \right).$$

Then the initial condition $x_0 = (0.86, 0.86)^T$ is chosen to use the iterative method given by (28) along with fractional derivative given by (17). Consequently, we obtain the results of the Table 3

	α	$[x_n]_1$	$[x_n]_2$	$\ x_n - x_{n-1}\ _2$	$\ f(x_n)\ _2$	n
1	0.69508	1.01828092 + 0.52158397i	5.18478971 - 3.76689418i	1.15758e-7	7.24108e-7	48
2	0.69632	-0.13780201 + 0.87180277i	2.16460973 + 4.68221216i	1.31909e-7	9.55148e-7	80
3	0.7283	-0.13780202 - 0.87180273i	2.16460988 - 4.68221226i	9.11043e-8	8.81449e-7	100
4	0.72889	-0.15442216	1.14021866	6.22977e-7	8.30511e-7	60
5	0.75757	1.01828092 - 0.5215839i	5.18479004 + 3.76689413i	9.21954e-8	9.83408e-7	80
6	0.78188	-0.20477864 - 1.30850366i	2.21623485 - 7.86783099i	5.56776e-8	9.92736e-7	246
7	0.82863	1.14584377 - 0.68994257i	8.09450013 + 5.9960712i	3.31662e-8	9.77549e-7	193
8	0.86097	1.14584377 + 0.68994256i	8.09450017 - 5.99607116i	2.64575e-8	9.42041e-7	249
9	1.11159	1.70987637	-18.87534307	1.41421e-8	9.92487e-7	447
10	1.14766	1.48216448	-8.41311536	1.41421e-8	8.86632e-7	233
11	1.17262	-1.36674692 + 0.07786741i	-5.76423 + 0.47853094i	2.00000e-8	9.92337e-7	394
12	1.18538	-1.36674698 - 0.07786726i	-5.76422966 - 0.4785315i	2.23607e-8	9.88600e-7	387
13	1.19954	1.57643706	-12.098725	1.41421e-8	7.09538e-7	386
14	1.20058	1.64946521	-15.55495398	1.41421e-8	9.10544e-7	465
15	1.2852	-0.76073057 + 0.14192444i	-2.11123992 + 0.82667655i	1.02470e-7	8.39720e-7	97
16	1.28668	-0.76073047 - 0.14192446i	-2.11123884 - 0.8266763i	2.74044e-7	7.74152e-7	81
17	1.29642	1.34362303	-4.29400761	7.61577e-8	4.60872e-7	92

Table 3: Results obtained using the iterative method (28) with $\epsilon = e - 3$.

5. APPROXIMATION TO ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

A systematic study of the Riemann zeta function is beyond the intent of this document. However, the basic information necessary to approximate their zeros using the iterative method (28) will be presented. Let $\zeta : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the Riemann zeta function with $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(x) > 1\}$. The function ζ is defined as follows

$$\zeta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^x}, \quad (29)$$

the previous expression may be extended for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ by **analytic continuation**. With which it is possible to obtain the following functional equation

$$\zeta(x) = 2^x \pi^{x-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-x) \zeta(1-x). \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, there exists a series version of the Riemann zeta function, which has the characteristic of being globally convergent for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. This version of the function ζ was conjectured by Konrad Knopp and proved by Helmut Hasse in 1930 [17], and it is given by the following expression

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{1-2^{1-x}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \binom{m}{p} (p+1)^{-x}. \quad (31)$$

It is necessary to mention that the expression (31) is very useful, because it allows us to make numerical approximations of the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

Example 5.1. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions, with

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{1-2^{1-x}} \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \binom{m}{p} (p+1)^{-x} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(x).$$

Then considering the value $k = 50$, the initial condition $x_0 = 0.5 + 31.51i$ is chosen to use the iterative method given by (28) along with fractional derivative given by (17). Consequently, we obtain the results of the Table 4

	α	x_n	$\ x_n - x_{n-1}\ _2$	$\ f_{50}(x_n)\ _2$	n
1	-1.13348	-5.99997526	9.90000e-7	1.46057e-7	266
2	-0.94547	-2.00001275	8.00000e-7	3.88212e-7	146
3	-0.88567	-9.9999519	7.90000e-7	5.72079e-7	54
4	-0.15505	0.49999963 + 14.13472531i	9.89798e-7	3.22385e-7	22
5	-0.06835	0.54743578 + 947.15979693i	8.59593e-7	3.79999e-7	349
6	-0.06585	0.48286572 - 305.3825058i	8.95377e-7	4.74515e-7	251
7	-0.06135	0.47107264 - 430.95892398i	9.80051e-7	4.94661e-7	108
8	-0.02125	0.5460791 + 321.6875341i	7.92023e-7	5.38613e-7	61
9	-0.01965	0.48286548 + 305.38250571i	6.32456e-7	1.92115e-7	49
10	-0.01685	0.61664333 + 276.09845269i	5.95483e-7	2.99694e-7	99
11	-0.01275	0.58077376 + 224.41293459i	9.49210e-7	8.04311e-7	267
12	-0.01015	0.56816592 + 192.65292746i	9.39628e-7	7.02867e-7	192
13	-0.00755	0.46159425 + 158.41373653i	8.31505e-7	4.66903e-7	175
14	-0.00545	0.56284646 + 133.97913455i	9.39840e-7	7.30271e-7	213
15	-0.00465	0.48007233 + 123.75232554i	9.48472e-7	8.25385e-7	278
16	-0.00195	0.4913738 + 95.32674434i	5.73847e-7	1.78959e-7	172
17	0.01795	0.50002627 - 37.5861995i	9.40213e-7	9.17598e-7	255
18	0.02145	0.49137435 - 95.32674404i	9.88130e-7	3.70864e-7	125
19	0.02165	0.50000116 - 32.93506385i	8.62670e-7	6.14360e-7	120
20	0.03195	0.49999981 - 25.01085752i	7.35391e-7	3.11793e-7	53
21	0.03295	0.46159416 - 158.41373657i	8.10555e-7	3.57361e-7	139
22	0.03305	0.50000005 - 30.42487677i	9.08020e-7	6.57518e-7	73
23	0.03425	0.50007248 - 40.91868687i	6.35059e-7	3.03925e-7	87
24	0.03785	0.50000027 - 21.02203963i	9.83107e-7	3.08350e-7	31
25	0.04495	0.50000036 - 14.13472527i	8.24197e-7	3.03119e-7	21
26	0.06185	0.50000011 + 21.02203962i	3.21403e-7	1.28471e-7	40
27	0.06735	0.40419552 + 1185.59789987i	5.52268e-7	4.10670e-7	67
28	0.14095	0.50689898 - 2878.59861379i	3.55106e-7	9.12771e-7	330
29	0.24136	0.44802022 - 495.84458602i	2.32594e-7	8.59529e-7	60
30	0.24176	0.54285436 - 466.79078671i	2.08806e-7	9.24091e-7	218
31	0.24256	0.58122569 - 404.58829656i	2.62488e-7	9.89996e-7	354
32	0.24306	0.59895653 - 373.61214166i	2.26716e-7	9.90256e-7	464
33	0.24346	0.46960335 - 346.68847181i	2.10950e-7	8.74078e-7	496
34	0.24766	0.46263812 - 146.98942449i	3.08869e-7	8.33117e-7	496
35	0.24806	0.56284605 - 133.97913398i	3.61248e-7	9.02931e-7	478
36	0.24836	0.48007222 - 123.75232428i	2.72029e-7	7.75292e-7	484
37	0.25106	0.50076291 - 56.43890631i	3.64005e-7	8.55540e-7	354
38	0.25156	0.50021423 - 43.32696237i	4.86004e-7	7.09618e-7	312
39	0.26886	0.50000042 + 25.01085761i	3.53553e-7	5.54795e-7	94
40	0.28486	0.50007238 + 40.91868722i	3.13847e-7	8.22684e-7	51
41	0.29196	0.50002634 + 37.5861994i	3.74433e-7	9.61617e-7	46
42	0.29836	0.50021436 + 43.32696223i	2.56125e-7	5.52253e-7	42
43	0.30096	0.50125709 + 49.77289402i	2.41661e-7	6.80998e-7	63
44	0.30126	0.49834763 + 52.96633019i	2.45153e-7	4.93711e-7	43
45	0.30136	0.5007636 + 56.43890622i	2.72029e-7	9.06750e-7	69
46	0.30196	0.49914035 + 60.81054836i	2.87924e-7	9.28287e-7	66
47	0.30686	0.49914001 - 60.81054769i	2.86531e-7	9.54106e-7	59
48	0.30696	0.47366839 - 67.04250707i	2.62488e-7	8.53872e-7	94
49	0.30776	0.49834757 - 52.96632988i	2.64764e-7	5.86654e-7	288
50	0.30786	0.50125707 - 49.77289365i	2.34094e-7	6.76399e-7	325
51	0.31156	0.47366808 + 67.04250713i	2.78927e-7	9.54910e-7	44
52	0.32336	0.50000099 + 30.42487725i	2.59422e-7	9.16200e-7	120
53	0.32386	0.50000112 + 32.93506408i	2.73130e-7	7.59689e-7	40

Table 4: Results obtained using the iterative method (28) with $\epsilon = e - 3$.

The comments below are made under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis given in equation (3) is true. Table 4 shows certain x_n values with $|\operatorname{Re}(x_n) - 0.5| \geq 0.1$, this is partly a consequence of the approximation of the function ζ by means of the function f_k , because in general the following condition is true

$$\ker(f_k) \neq \ker(\zeta) \Leftrightarrow k < \infty.$$

In addition, it is necessary to mention that the use of iterative methods does not guarantee that we can get as near as we want to the value ξ , normally it is only possible to determine a value x_n near to the value ξ given by the following expression

$$x_n = \xi + \delta_\xi, \quad \delta_\xi = \delta_\xi(n), \quad \|\delta_\xi\| < 1, \quad (32)$$

considering (32), it is necessary to give a definition that allows us to characterize the behavior of a function with respect to the values x_n in $\bar{B}(\xi; \delta_\xi)$.

Definition 5.2. Let $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function with a value $\xi \in \Omega$ such that $\|f(\xi)\| = 0$. If when doing $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \delta_\xi$, with $\|\delta_\xi\| < 1$, it holds that

$$\|f(\xi + \delta_\xi)\| = \|\delta_f\| < 1, \quad (33)$$

then the function f is **(locally) stable** with respect to the value ξ in $\bar{B}(\xi, \delta_\xi)$.

The condition (33), implies that for a function f to be (locally) stable, it is necessary that a slight perturbation δ_ξ in its zeros does not generate a great perturbation δ_f in its images. To try to observe the stability of function ζ , we may consider $\delta = 10^{-12}$ and the following values

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x_{n_1} = -40 - 1\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_1})| \approx 4.8 \times 10^3 \\ x_{n_2} = -40 + 0\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_2})| = 0 \\ x_{n_3} = -40 + 1\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_3})| \approx 4.8 \times 10^3 \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{lcl} x_{n_1} = -60 - 1\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_1})| \approx 5.3 \times 10^{21} \\ x_{n_2} = -60 + 0\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_2})| = 0 \\ x_{n_3} = -60 + 1\delta & \Rightarrow & |\zeta(x_{n_3})| \approx 5.3 \times 10^{21} \end{array} \right. .$$

By performing multiple examples, it is possible to show for the trivial zeros of function ζ the validity of the following affirmation:

$$\text{If } \xi = -2x \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \zeta \text{ is (locally) unstable in } \xi \text{ if } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The previous affirmation implies that it is complicated to make numerical approximations to trivial zeros of ζ by iterative methods using an initial condition $x_0 \neq -2x$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, since the behavior of the nontrivial zeros of function ζ is not completely determined, it is possible to generate the following question:

$$\text{If } \xi = 0.5 + xi \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \text{Is } \zeta \text{ (locally) unstable in } \xi \text{ if } |x| \rightarrow \infty?$$

In case the previous question was true, there would be another factor to consider in the explanation of the values x_n of Table 4 for which $|\operatorname{Re}(x_n) - 0.5| \geq 0.1$.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Fractional iterative methods are efficient at finding multiple zeros of a function using a single initial condition, in addition to having the particularity of finding complex zeros of polynomials using real initial conditions. For this reason, they are suitable iterative methods for functions that have a large number of zeros, as is the case with the Riemann zeta function.

It is necessary to mention that the fractional pseudo-Newton method does not explicitly depend on the fractional derivative of the function for which zeros are sought, then it is an ideal iterative method for working with functions that can be expressed in terms of a series or for solving nonlinear systems in several variables.

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert Plato. *Concise numerical mathematics*. Number 57. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [2] Josef Stoer and Roland Bulirsch. *Introduction to numerical analysis*, volume 12. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Rudolf Hilfer. *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*. World Scientific, 2000.
- [4] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, and J.J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, 2006.
- [5] James M. Ortega and Werner C. Rheinboldt. *Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables*, volume 30. Siam, 1970.
- [6] Benito F. Martínez-Salgado, Rolando Rosas-Sampayo, Anthony Torres-Hernández, and Carlos Fuentes. Application of fractional calculus to oil industry. *Fractal Analysis: Applications in Physics, Engineering and Technology*, 2017. <https://www.intechopen.com/books/fractal-analysis-applications-in-physics-engineering-and-technology>.
- [7] Keith Oldham and Jerome Spanier. *The fractional calculus theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order*, volume 111. Elsevier, 1974.
- [8] A. Torres-Hernandez, F. Brambila-Paz, and E. De-la-Vega. Fractional newton-raphson method and some variants for the solution of nonlinear systems. *Applied Mathematics and Sciences: An International Journal (MathSJ)*, 2020. <https://airccse.com/mathsj/papers/7120mathsj02.pdf>.
- [9] Carlos Alberto Torres Martínez and Carlos Fuentes. Applications of radial basis function schemes to fractional partial differential equations. *Fractal Analysis: Applications in Physics, Engineering and Technology*, 2017. <https://www.intechopen.com/books/fractal-analysis-applications-in-physics-engineering-and-technology>.
- [10] A. Torres-Hernandez, F. Brambila-Paz, and C. Torres-Martínez. Proposal for use the fractional derivative of radial functions in interpolation problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.03760*, 2019. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.03760.pdf>.
- [11] Feng Gao, Xiaojun Yang, and Zongxin Kang. Local fractional newton’s method derived from modified local fractional calculus. In *2009 International Joint Conference on Computational Sciences and Optimization*, volume 1, pages 228–232. IEEE, 2009.
- [12] F. Brambila-Paz and A. Torres-Hernandez. Fractional newton-raphson method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07634*, 2017. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1710.07634.pdf>.
- [13] F. Brambila-Paz, A. Torres-Hernandez, U. Iturrarán-Viveros, and R. Caballero-Cruz. Fractional newton-raphson method accelerated with aitken’s method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.08445*, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.08445.pdf>.
- [14] Ali Akgül, Alicia Cordero, and Juan R Torregrosa. A fractional newton method with 2α th-order of convergence and its stability. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 98:344–351, 2019.
- [15] A Torres-Hernandez, F Brambila-Paz, P.M. Rodrigo, and E. De-la Vega. Fractional pseudo-newton method and its use in the solution of a nonlinear system that allows the construction of a hybrid solar receiver. *Applied Mathematics and Sciences: An International Journal (MathSJ)*, 2020. <https://airccse.com/mathsj/papers/7220mathsj01.pdf>.
- [16] G. Arfken and H.J. Weber. *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*. 1985.
- [17] David Joyner and Jon-Lark Kim. *Selected unsolved problems in coding theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.