

A higher order generalized uncertainty principle and Jeans instability

Zhong-Wen Feng^{1,2*} and Shu-Zheng Yang^{1,2}

¹ Physics and Space Science College, China West Normal University, Nanchong, 637009, China

² Department of Astronomy, China West Normal University, Nanchong 637009, China

(Dated: December 22, 2024)

The Jeans instability is regarded as important tool for analyzing dynamical of a self-gravitating system. However, the astronomical observation data show that some Bok globules, which mass are less than the Jeans mass still have stars or at least experience the star formation process. In this paper, we investigate the effects of higher order generalized uncertainty principle on the Jeans mass of collapsing molecular cloud. It is found that the higher order generalized uncertainty principle has a very significant effect on the canonical energy and gravitational potential of idea gas, and final leads to modified Jeans mass lower than the original case, this result can be used to explain the problem of Bok globules. Furthermore, we constrain the upper limits of the parameter of higher order generalized uncertainty principle γ_0 by using the different data of Bok globules. The results show that the range from 10^{15} to 10^{17} , which are more stringent than most of those derived from previous works.

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein's theory of the general relativity is regarded as the cornerstone of the development of modern physics and astronomy. However, with the deepening of research, it is found that general relativity has flaws, which lead to many problems, such as to the black hole information paradox, naked singularity of spacetimes, and so on [1]. Now, one of most potential candidate to solve those problems is the quantum gravity. Based on theories the string theory, loop quantum gravity and noncommutative geometry, people believed that there is the existence of a minimum measurable length of the order of the Planck length, which is supported by the Gedanken experiments [2–4].

According the minimum measurable length, it is found that the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) can be modified as Generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). In 1995, Kempf, Mangano and Mann proposed a quadratic form of GUP, which we now call as “KMM model” with the expression as $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} [1 + \gamma \Delta p^2]$, where $\beta = \beta_0 / M_p^2 c^2 = \beta_0 \ell_p^2 / \hbar^2$ and β_0 is the GUP parameter. It is easy to find that KMM model predicts a minimal length $\Delta x_{\min}^{\text{KMM}} \approx \ell_p \sqrt{\beta_0}$ [5]. Subsequently, incorporating the idea of the maximal momentum, Ali, Das and Vagenas constructed another GUP (ADV model), namely, $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} [1 + 2\alpha_0 \ell_p \langle p \rangle / \hbar + 4 \langle p^2 \rangle \alpha_0^2 \ell_p^2 / \hbar^2]$, where α_0 is the GUP parameter. Moreover, this linear and quadratic GUP suggests the existence of a minimal length $\Delta x_{\min}^{\text{ADV}} \approx \alpha_0 \ell_p$ and a maximal momentum $\Delta p_{\max}^{\text{ADV}} \approx \ell_p / \alpha_0$ [6]. In recent years, the KMM model and ADV model play important roles in the researches of many physics contexts. For example, by using the KMM model, people derived the GUP corrected Hamilton-Jacobi equation and investigated the modified tunneling rate of particles with arbitrarily spins from

event of curved spacetimes [7]. Moreover, the KMM model and ADV model can be also extended to Proca equation, which leads to the modified Hawking temperature of black holes [8, 9]. Besides, Vagenas *et al.* studied the validity of the no-cloning theorem within the framework of GUP. They pointed out that the energy required to send information to a black hole is affected by quantum gravity [10]. In Ref. [11], the authors investigated how the KMM model affect the Casimir wormhole spacetime, and obtain a class of asymptotically flat wormhole solutions.

Despite KMM model and ADV model are two of most studied GUP, they still have the some defects, such as the minimal length and the maximal momentum are only valid for small GUP parameters, and does not imply noncommutative geometry [12]. Therefore, for overcoming those difficulties, Pedram introduced a nonperturbative higher order GUP, which agree with various proposals of quantum gravity [13]. Subsequently, this higher order GUP was used to corrected the blackbody radiation spectrum and predicts the cosmological constant. Based on this heuristic work, many new form of higher order GUP were presented [14–16]. Recently, in Ref. [17], Shababi and Chung proposed a new generalized Heisenberg algebra as follows:

$$[x, p] = \frac{i\gamma}{1 - 3\gamma p^2}, \quad (1)$$

which leads to a higher order GUP:

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{(1 - 3\gamma \Delta p^2)}, \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_0 / M_p^2 c^2 = \gamma_0 \ell_p^2 / \hbar^2$ with the dimensionless GUP parameter γ_0 , the Planck mass M_p and the Planck length ℓ_p . Meanwhile, Eq. (2) guarantees the existence of an absolute smallest uncertainty in position $\Delta x_{\min} = 4\hbar\sqrt{\gamma}/9$ and a maximal uncertainty in momentum $\Delta p_{\max} = 1/\sqrt{3\gamma}$, which never appears in the framework of Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP). How-

* Email: zwfengphy@163.com; zwfengphy@cwnu.edu.cn

ever, when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the expression of Eq. (2) reduces to that of HUP.

According to the higher order GUP (2), people investigated the modified eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for the particle in a box and one-dimensional hydrogen atom, respectively. Moreover, one may also find that the higher order GUP corrected behavior of Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization, which can be used to calculate energy spectra of quantum harmonic oscillator and quantum bouncer [16]. In the light of the previous work, it is believed that the higher order GUP can not only be used to study the properties of the quantum systems, but also to solve the problems of astrophysics, black hole physics, cosmology, and so on. For example, a lot of astronomical data show that there are some Bok globules have stars or at least experience the star formation process [18]. However, their mass are less than the Jeans mass [19]. For solving this problem, Moradpour, *et al.* used the KMM model and ADV model to correct the limited of Jeans mass [20]. Motivated by the above arguments, we try to extend the higher order GUP into the Jeans instability and calculate the GUP corrected limited of Jeans mass of those especial Bok globules in the present article.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II is devoted to a review of the Jeans instability limit in classical case. In Section III, according to the new higher order GUP (2), we compute the corrections to the potential energy and canonical energy of a molecular cloud, respectively. Then, we discuss the modified Jeans gravitational instability, and then derive the GUP corrected Jeans mass for explaining the problem of Bok globules. By using the GUP corrected Jeans mass, the parameter of the new higher order GUP is constrained in Section IV. Finally, conclusions can be found in Section V.

II. THE JEANS INSTABILITY LIMIT IN CLASSICAL CASE

In this section, we briefly review the Jeans instability limit and derive the Jeans mass in classical case. Based on the argument that relies upon the virial theorem in Ref. [20], the authors pointed out that the collapse of molecular cloud happen if the gravitational potential energy E_p is larger than the canonical energy U , namely

$$U < -E_p/2. \quad (3)$$

Since the gravitational potential energy and the canonical energy are related to the mass and free energy of a molecular cloud, respectively. For obtaining the Jeans mass, it is necessary to derive the expressions of gravitational potential energy and the canonical energy. Since the space-time is flat in the classical case, the Newton's law of gravitation is $F_0 = GMm/r^2$, and the gravitational potential becomes $V(r) = -GM/r$, the corresponding potential

energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_p &= \int_0^M V(r) dr = \int_0^R 4\pi\rho(r) rGM dr \\ &= -\frac{3GM^2}{5R}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It should be noted that, the density of dark cloud $\rho(r)$ in Eq. (4) is assumed as a constant ρ_0 for the sake of simplicity.

Next, the classical fundamental commutation relation in the flat spacetime can be expressed as $[x, p] = i\hbar$, which leads to HUP $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$. When considering the Liouville theorem, the density of states in momentum space in the spherical coordinate systems is $D(p) dp = 4\pi V p^2 dp / h^3$, which leads to the original partition function of the ideal gas as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{Z_1^N}{N!} = \frac{4\pi V}{N!} \int \frac{p^2}{h^3} \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2\mu k_B T}\right) dp \\ &= \frac{4\pi V}{N!} \frac{(2\pi\mu k_B T)^{3/2}}{h^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where N , μ and T are the numbers of non-interacting particles, mass and temperature of the ideal gas, respectively [21]. Besides, considering mass of each ideal gas molecule is μ , the total mass of the ideal gas satisfies the relationship $M = \mu N$. According to Eq. (5), one can straightforward to derive the equation of state of the ideal gas $PV = Nk_B T$, and the canonical energy can be expressed as

$$U_0 = Nk_B T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial T} \right)_{N,V} = \frac{3}{2} Nk_B T. \quad (6)$$

Obviously, the original canonical energy is depended the number of non-interacting particles N and their temperature T . Now, substituting Eq. (4) and Eq. (6) into inequality (3) and considering the radius as $R = (3M/4\pi\rho_0)^{1/3}$, the result is

$$M > \left(\frac{5k_B T}{G\mu} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\rho_0} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, the abovemention equation indicates a lower bound of the cloud mass to collapse, which is known as the Jeans mass

$$M_0^J = \left(\frac{5k_B T}{G\mu} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\rho_0} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

Despite the formation of most stars can be examined by the original Jeans instability and Jeans mass, people still observed some Bok globules, such as CB 84, CB 110, they mass are less than M_0^J but still have stars or at least experience the star formation process [19]. For explant that problem that the astronomical observation brought out, we investigate the modified Jeans mass in the framework of higher order GUP.

III. THE JEANS INSTABILITY LIMIT IN HIGHER ORDER GUP CASE

The GUP have various implications for a wide range of physical systems. Meanwhile, they are regarded as a powerful tool to solve various difficult problems in these research fields. Therefore, in order to obtain the modified Jeans gravitational instability, we discuss how higher order affects the gravitation potential energy and canonical energy of idea gases, respectively.

A. GUP corrected gravitation potential energy of idea gases

For deriving the GUP corrected gravitation potential energy, we need to use the theory of entropic force. It is an intriguing explanation for Newton's law of gravity, which is based on the holographic principle and an equipartition rule. Now, by taking $\hbar = 1$ and using Eq. (2), one can easily find the following inequality

$$\Delta p \geq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{2^{2/3} \Delta x}{\left(-9\Delta x^2 \gamma^2 + \sqrt{-16\Delta x^6 \gamma^3 + 81\Delta x^4 \gamma^4} \right)^{1/3} + \left(-9\Delta x^2 \gamma^2 + \sqrt{-16\Delta x^6 \gamma^3 + 81\Delta x^4 \gamma^4} \right)^{1/3}} \frac{1}{2^{2/3} \Delta x \gamma} \right]. \quad (9)$$

Expanding RHS of Eq. (9) with respect to γ and considering the absolute smallest uncertainty in position $\sqrt{\gamma} = 9\Delta x/4$ [23], one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p &\geq -\frac{1}{4\Delta x} \left[1 - \frac{4\Delta x}{\sqrt{3}\gamma} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}\gamma}{8\Delta x} + \frac{3\gamma}{4\Delta x^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right] \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4\Delta x} \left[1 + \frac{217}{288\sqrt{3}} + \frac{3\gamma}{4\Delta x^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right] \\ &\approx -\frac{7}{20\Delta x} \left[1 + \frac{15}{28\Delta x^2} \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In Ref. [24], it was proposed that the uncertainty momentum Δp can be defined as the energy ω . Hence, the abovementioned inequality can be rewritten as

$$\omega \geq -\frac{7}{20\Delta x} \left[1 + \frac{15}{28\Delta x^2} \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right]. \quad (11)$$

From the Verlinde's entropy force theory, for calculating the modified gravitational force, one should consider a spherically symmetric gravitational system, which allows the quantum particles entry or exit its horizon [25]. Accordingly, when the system absorbs or releases particles, the minimal change in the horizon area is $\Delta A_{\min} \geq 8\pi\omega R\ell_p^2$, where R is the size of a quantum particle. Based on the arguments of Ref. [26], the quantum particle has a lower boundary $R \geq \Delta x$, which leads to $\Delta A_{\min} \geq$

$8\pi\omega\Delta x\ell_p^2$. Substituting this relation into Eq. (11), one has $\Delta A_{\min} \geq -14\pi\ell_p^2 [1 + 15\gamma/28\Delta x^2 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)]/5$. Near the horizon, the position uncertainty satisfies the relation $\Delta x = 2r$ with the radius of the gravitational system r . Furthermore, considering the geometric properties of the gravitational system, the relation between Δx and A is given by $\Delta x^2 = 4\pi r^2 = A/\pi$ [27, 28]. Therefore, the minimal change in the horizon area of the gravitational system goes to

$$\Delta A_{\min} \geq \lambda\ell_p^2 \left[1 + \frac{15A}{28\pi} \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right], \quad (12)$$

where λ is an undetermined coefficient. In Ref. [26], the authors pointed out that the information of the gravitational system is reflected in its area. On the other hand, based on the information theory, it is believed that the area of one system can affect its smallest increase in entropy [29]. Since the fundamental unit of entropy as one bit of information is $\Delta S_{\min} = b = \ln 2$, one obtains

$$\frac{dS}{dA} = \frac{\Delta S_{\min}}{\Delta A_{\min}} = \frac{b}{\lambda\ell_p^2} \frac{1}{[1 + \frac{15A}{28\pi} \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)]}, \quad (13)$$

which turns out to be

$$S = \frac{Ak_B}{4\ell_p^2} \left[1 - \frac{15\pi}{28A} \gamma \ln A + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right], \quad (14)$$

where we fix $b/\lambda = k_B/4$ since when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ the entropy-area law $S_0 = Ak_B/4$ should be recovered [30]. From Eq. (14), it is realize that the entropy of gravitational system has been corrected by the higher orders of GUP. When ignore the effect of GUP, the modified entropy reduces to the original case. The correction term in brackets are determined by the parameter γ and the logarithmic term $\ln A$, which is coincident with previous works [31–34]. According to the holographic principle and entropy-area law, when assuming the gravitational system has $\mathcal{N}$ -bits information, the number of bits can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{4S}{k_B} = \frac{A}{\ell_p^2} \left[1 - \frac{15\pi}{28A} \gamma \ln A + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right]. \quad (15)$$

Next, one can further denotes the total energy of the gravitational system as E , which is average distribution is in $\mathcal{N}$ bits. Hence, each bit contains $k_B T/2$ energy, then, following the equipartition rule, the total energy takes the form

$$E = \mathcal{N} T k_B / 2. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, in Ref. [25], Verlinde demonstrated the Entropic force is more fundamental than gravity, and entropic force of a gravitational system is

$$F \Delta x = T \Delta S, \quad (17)$$

where F is the entropy force, T is the temperature, ΔS is the change of entropy of the gravitational system, and

Δx represents the displacement of the particle with the mass m from the gravitational system, which satisfy the relation $\Delta S = 2\pi mc\Delta x$ [35, 36]. Now, substituting the expression of change of entropy, Eq. (14)-Eq. (16) into Eq. (17), and considering $E = Mc^2$ and $A = 4\pi r^2$, the Newton's law of gravitation should be corrected as follows:

$$F_{\text{GUP}} = F_0 \left[1 + \frac{15}{112} \frac{\gamma}{r^2} \ln(4\pi r^2) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right]. \quad (18)$$

Obviously, when $\gamma = 0$, Eq. (18) reduce to the original Newton's gravitation $F_0 = GMm/r^2$. Based on the modified Newton's law of gravitation, the corresponding GUP corrected gravitational potential is

$$V_{\text{GUP}} = \int \frac{F_{\text{GUP}}}{m} dr = -\frac{GM}{r} \left\{ 1 + \frac{5\gamma}{168r^2} \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} \ln(4\pi r^2) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where the terms of $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$ are ignored since their contribution to gravitational potential is very small. Applying Eq. (19) to a molecular cloud with radius R , mass M and the almost uniform density ρ_0 , the following expression for the modified potential energy is obtained

$$E_{p(\text{GUP})} = \int_0^R V_{\text{GUP}}(r) dM = -\frac{3GM^2}{5R} \left[1 + \frac{25\gamma}{336R^2} \ln(4\pi R^2) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \right]. \quad (20)$$

It is obvious that this field equation is affected by the mass M , the radius R , and the GUP parameter γ . When taking $\gamma = 0$, the modified potential energy reduces to the original case original potential energy Eq. (4).

B. GUP corrected canonical energy of idea gases

According to Eq. (3) and Liouville theorem, one can obtain the weighted phase space volume $(1 - \gamma p^2)^4 (1 - 3\gamma p^2) d^3\vec{x} d^3\vec{p}$ remains invariant under the time evolution, and the density of states in momentum space in the spherical coordinate systems reads

$$D(p) dp = \frac{4\pi V}{h^3} p^2 (1 - \gamma p^2)^2 (1 - 3\gamma p^2) dp. \quad (21)$$

Plugging Eq. (21) into the partition function of a dark cloud, which approximated by an ideal gas containing N non-interacting particles with mass m at temperature T , one reaches

$$Z_{\text{GUP}} = \frac{4\pi V}{N!} \int \frac{p^2}{h^3} (1 - \gamma p^2)^2 (1 - 3\gamma p^2) \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2\mu k_B T}\right) d\vec{p} = \frac{4\pi V}{N!} \frac{(2\mu k_B T)^{3/2} I(\gamma)}{h^3}, \quad (22)$$

where $I(\gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} [1 - 15k_B \mu T \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)]$. the GUP corrected canonical energy is presented as

$$U_{\text{GUP}} = N k_B T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \ln Z_{\text{GUP}}}{\partial T} \right)_{N,V} = U_0 [1 - 10\mu k_B T \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)], \quad (23)$$

where original canonical energy $U_0 = 3Nk_B T/2$. Obviously, the modified canonical energy dose not only related to the original canonical energy U_0 , but also to parameter γ , mass of a non-interacting particle m and temperature T .

C. GUP corrected Jeans mass

Now, by retaining the terms up to $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$, and substituting Eq. (20) and Eq. (23) into Eq. (3), one yields

$$N k_B T (1 - 10k_B \mu T \gamma) < \frac{GM^2}{5R} \left[1 + \frac{25\gamma}{336R^2} \ln(4\pi R^2) \right]. \quad (24)$$

Considering the radius $R = (3M/4\pi\rho_0)^{1/3}$, Eq. (24) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{5k_B T (1 - 10k_B \mu T \gamma)}{\mu(4\pi\rho_0/3)^{1/3}} < M^{2/3} \chi, \quad (25)$$

where $\chi = G \left\{ 1 + \frac{25\gamma}{336(3M/4\pi\rho_0)^{2/3}} \ln \left[4\pi \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi\rho_0} \right)^{2/3} \right] \right\}$. It is clear from Eq. (25) that if $\gamma = 0$, the upper bound of mass goes to the Jeans mass $M_0^J = (5k_B T/Gm)^{3/2} (3/4\pi\rho_0)^{1/2}$. On the other hand, due to $M \gg 1$ and γ is finite, the GUP corrected Jeans mass is obtained by saturating Eq. (25), with the result

$$M_{\text{GUP}}^J = M_0^J (1 - 10\mu k_B T \gamma)^{3/2}. \quad (26)$$

One may see that the GUP corrected Jeans mass M_{GUP}^J is related to the original Jeans mass M_0^J , the idea gas mass m , and the GUP parameter γ . Meanwhile, it should be noting that the mass of non-interaction particle and the temperature of gravity system must be real numbers greater than zero, if $\gamma > 0$, the M_{GUP}^J is positive when $\mu k_B T \gamma < 1/10$, which leads to M_{GUP}^J is lower than M_0^J . Up to now, the astronomical observations show that some Bok globules' mass are less than their corresponding Jeans mass. The GUP corrections to the Jeans mass can be a candidate to explain those observational facts. On the other hand, Ong claimed that the negative GUP parameter is physically meaningful since it restore the Chandrasekhar limit in Ref. [37]. So, it is interesting to investigate how modified Jeans mass change for $\gamma < 0$. Evidently, the negative parameter in GUP is able to increase the modified Jeans mass.

IV. CONSTRAINTS FOR PARAMETER γ_0

In many pervious works, the GUP parameter γ_0 is always assumed to be 1, so that modified results are negligible unless energy approaches Planck scale. However, if the assumptions regarding the GUP parameters is not be considered, the bound of GUP parameters can be obtained by previous experimental and observational data. For example, the astronomical observations show that some Bok globules' mass is smaller than their original Jeans mass, however, gravitational collapse still occurred and produce the stars. Those facts can be explained by our work since the effect of GUP is able reduce the Jeans mass. Now, substituting the mass M , temperature T and the original Jeans mass M_0^J of a Bok globules into Eq. (26), and considering the GUP corrected Jeans mass equals to the mass of Bok globules, namely, $M_{\text{GUP}}^J = M$, the upper bound of the dimensionless GUP parameter γ_0 is as follows:

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{c^2 M_p^2}{10 k_B \mu T} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{M_0^J} \right)^{2/3} \right]. \quad (27)$$

Remarkably, the different data of Bok globules leads to the different upper limit for GUP. Hence, according to Ref. [18], the upper bounds on γ_0 set by different data of Bok globules are presented in Table. I.

TABLE I. Name, temperature, mass, Jeans mass and the upper bounds on GUP parameter γ_0 of Bok globules

Name	T (K)	$\mathcal{M}$ ($M_\odot$)	M_0^J ($M_\odot$)	γ_0
CB 87	11.4	2.73	9.6	4.53×10^{17}
CB 110	21.8	7.21	8.5	4.35×10^{16}
CB 131	25.1	7.83	8.1	8.12×10^{15}
CB 161	12.5	2.79	5.4	2.60×10^{17}
CB 188	19.0	7.19	7.7	2.15×10^{16}
FeSt 1-457	10.9	1.12	1.4	1.16×10^{17}
Lynds 495	12.6	2.95	6.6	3.01×10^{17}
Lynds 498	11.0	1.42	5.7	5.01×10^{17}

From Table. I, we set with $M_p = 2.18 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}$, $c = 2.99 \times 10^8 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, and $M_\odot$ denotes the Sun mass. The final results are determined

by the mass of non-interaction particles μ . For getting the exact value of the upper bounds on γ_0 , we further assume that the non-interacting particles are composed of the hydrogen atoms, which is most abundant element in the universe with the mass $\mu_H = 1.67 \times 10^{-26} \text{kg}$. In this case, one can find that the range of the order of GUP parameter γ_0 from 10^{15} to 10^{17} .

Since it is the first time to get the upper limit of the parameter of GUP (4), we can not compare the GUP parameter γ_0 horizontally. However, one can compare our results with those from KMM model and ADV model. According to a series of experiments, such as Electron tunneling, Gravitational bar detectors, ^{87}Rb cold-atom-recoil experiment, Late-time cosmology *et al.* the upper bound range of the GUP parameter of KMM model from 10^6 to 10^{81} [38–47], and the upper bound range of the GUP parameter of ADV model from 10^{16} to 10^{83} [38, 42, 43, 45, 47–49], respectively. As we stated earlier in the introduction, the higher order GUP overcomes the problems of KMM model and ADV model, and considers more factors, which leads to our results are more stringent than most of those derived from previous ones. Therefore, GUP (4) is a more effective quantum gravity phenomenon mode, it can help researchers better solve the problems in physics in the future work

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, by incorporating a new higher order of GUP with the virial equilibrium and Verlinde's entropy force theory, we investigated the modified canonical energy U_{GUP} and the modified gravitational potential energy $E_{p(\text{GUP})}$ of a molecular cloud, respectively. Subsequently, according to those modifications, the GUP corrected Jeans mass M_{GUP}^J is obtained. It is found that the GUP can effectively increase the gravitational potential, and reduce the canonical energy and the Jeans mass. This leads to collapse of Bok globules with masses less than the standard value M_0^J , which is consistent with the facts of astronomical observations. Finally, using the different data of Bok globules, we constrain the upper bound of the GUP parameter γ_0 . The results showed that its range of upper bound from 10^{15} to 10^{17} , which are more stringent than most of those derived from previous works.

-
- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>[1] P. Chen, Y. C. Ong, D.-H. Yeom, Phys. Rep. 603 (2015) 1. arXiv:1412.8366</p> <p>[2] M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B 319 (1993) 83. arXiv:hep-th/9309034</p> <p>[3] G. Amelino-Camelia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 11 (2002) 35. arXiv:gr-qc/0012051</p> <p>[4] F. Scardigli, Phys. Lett. B 452 (1999) 39. arXiv:hep-th/9904025</p> | <p>[5] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1108. arXiv:hep-th/9412167</p> <p>[6] A. F. Ali, S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B 678 (2009) 497. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.061</p> <p>[7] Z. W. Feng, H. L. Li, X. Z. Tao, S. Z. Yang, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 212. arXiv:1604.04702</p> <p>[8] I. Sakalli, A. Övgün, Europhys. Lett. 118 (2017) 60006. arXiv:1702.04636</p> |
|--|---|

- [9] A. Övgün, K. Jusufi, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **132** (2017) 298. [arXiv:1703.08073](#)
- [10] E. C. Vagenas, A. F. Ali, H. Alshal, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) 276. [arXiv:1811.06614](#)
- [11] K. Jusufi, P. Channuie, M. Jamil, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) 127. [arXiv:2002.01341](#)
- [12] P. Pedram, Phys. Lett. B **714** (2012) 317. [arXiv:1110.2999](#)
- [13] P. Pedram, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2012) 638. DOI: [10.1016/j.physletb.2012.10.059](#)
- [14] W. S. Chung, H. Hassanabadi, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) 213. DOI: [10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6718-3](#)
- [15] H. Hassanabadi, E. Maghsoodi, W. S. Chung, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) 358. DOI: [10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6871-8](#)
- [16] H. Shababi, W. S. Chung, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **33** (2018) 1850068. DOI: [10.1142/S0217732320500182](#)
- [17] H. Shababi, W. S. Chung, Phys. Lett. B **770** (2017) 445. DOI: [10.1016/j.physletb.2017.05.015](#)
- [18] J. Vainio, I. Vilja, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **48** (2016) 129. [arXiv:1512.04220](#)
- [19] R. Kandori, Y. Nakajima, M. Tamura, K. Tatematsu, Y. Aikawa, T. Naoi, K. Sugitani, H. Nakaya, T. Nagayama, T. Nagata, M. Kurita, D. Kato, C. Nagashima, S. Sato, Astron. J. **130** (2005) 2166. [arXiv: astro-ph/0506205](#)
- [20] H. Moradpour, A. H. Ziaie, S. Ghaffari, F. Feleppa, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **488** (2019) L69. [arXiv:1907.12940](#)
- [21] W. S. Chung, H. Hassanabadi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **34** (2019) 1950041. DOI: [10.1142/S0217751X19500416](#)
- [22] P. Wang, H. Yang, X. Zhang, J. High Energy Phys. **1008** (2010) 043. [arXiv:1006.5362](#)
- [23] Z.-W. Feng, S.-Z. Yang, H.-L. Li, X.-T. Zu, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2016** (2016) 2341879. [arXiv:1607.04114](#)
- [24] R. J. Adler, P. Chen, D. I. Santiago, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **33** (2001) 2101. DOI: [10.1023/A:101528143041](#)
- [25] E. Verlinde, J. High Energy Phys. **2011** (2011) 29. [arXiv:1001.0785](#)
- [26] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 2333. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333](#)
- [27] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, A. Procaccini, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 107501. [arXiv:gr-qc/0405084](#)
- [28] B. Majumder, Phys. Lett. B **703** (2011) 402. [arXiv:1106.0715](#)
- [29] C. Adami, [arXiv:quant-ph/0405005](#)
- [30] A. Awad, A. F. Ali, Central Eur. J. Phys. **12** (2014) 245. [arXiv:1403.5319](#)
- [31] P. Bargueño, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B, **742** (2015) 15. [arXiv:1501.03256](#)
- [32] N. M.-Durán, A. F. Vargas, P. Hoyos-Restrepo, P. Bargueño, Eur. Phys. J. C **76** (2016) 559. [arXiv:1606.06635](#)
- [33] Z.-W. Feng, S.-Z. Yang, Phys. Lett. B **772** (2017) 737. [arXiv:1501.03256](#)
- [34] Z.-Y. Fu, H.-L. Li, Y. Li, D.-W. Song, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135** (2020) 125. DOI: [10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00190-5](#)
- [35] I. Sakalli, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **50** (2011) 2426. [arXiv:1103.1728](#)
- [36] A. Sheykhi, H. Moradpour, N. Riazi, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **45** (2013) 1033. [arXiv:1109.3631](#)
- [37] Y. C. Ong, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2018) 195. [arXiv:1806.03691](#)
- [38] S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 221301. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.221301](#)
- [39] F. Marin, F. Marino, M. Bonaldi, M. Cerdonio, L. Conti, P. Falferi, R. Mezzena, A. Ortolan, G. A. Prodi, L. Taffarello, G. Vedovato, A. Vinante, J.-P. Zendri, Nat. Phys. **9** (2013) 71. DOI: [10.1038/nphys2503](#)
- [40] S. Ghosh, Class. Quant. Grav. **31** (2014) 025025. [arXiv:1303.1256](#)
- [41] F. Scardigli, R. Casadio, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 425. [arXiv:1407.0113](#)
- [42] D. Gao, M. Zhan, Phys. Rev. A **94** (2016) 013607. [arXiv:1607.04353](#)
- [43] Z.-W. Feng, S.-Z. Yang, H.-L. Li, X.-T. Zu, Phys. Lett. B **768** (2017) 81. [arXiv:1610.08549](#)
- [44] S. Kounn, Phys. Dark Univ. Volume **21** (2018) 76. [arXiv:1805.07278](#)
- [45] P. Bushev, J. Bourhill, M. Goryachev, N. Kukharchyk, E. Ivanov, S. Gallioui, M. Tobar, and S. Danilishin, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 066020. [arXiv: 1903.03346](#)
- [46] S. Giardino, V. Salzano, [arXiv:2006.01580](#)
- [47] J. C. S. Neves, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) 343. [arXiv:1906.11735](#)
- [48] S. Das and R. Mann, Phys. Lett. B **704** (2011) 596. [arXiv:1109.3258](#)
- [49] A. F. Ali, S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 044013. [arXiv:1107.3164](#)