On hyperbolic characteristic functions from an analytic and a free-probability point of view

Zbigniew J. Jurek (University of Wrocław)

May 25, 2020

Abstract. For free-probability Voiculescu transforms, analogous to hyperbolic characteristic functions, we show how to get their representing measures in an integral form. For that purpose it is enough to know those transforms only on the imaginary axis. This is in a contrast to a complex analysis where one needs to know them in some domains in the complex plane.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2020): Primary 60E10, 60E07, 44A20.

Key words and phrases: a characteristic function; hyperbolic characteristic functions; infinite divisibility; Lévy measure; Voiculescu free-infinite divisibility; Laplace transform; convolution.

Abbreviated title: On hyperbolic characteristic function.

Author's address:

Institute of Mathematics, University of Wrocław, Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland;

Email: zjjurek@math.uni.wroc.pl ; www.math.uni.wroc.pl/ \sim zjjurek

In a classical complex analysis one of the fundamental results is the integral representation of *analytic functions* from the upper to the lower complex half-plane. Those functions, say H, admit unique canonical form

$$H(z) = a + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zx}{z-x} \rho(dx) = a - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{x-z} - \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right] (1+x^2) \rho(dx), \ (\star)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, ρ is a finite (Borel) measure on a real line \mathbb{R} ; (in a literature H are coined as Pick functions and a representation (\star) is called

Nevalinna Theorem.) One simply notes that a constant $a = \Re H(i)$ (a real part), and a total mass $\rho(\mathbb{R}) = -\Im(H(i))$. Finally, for a measure ρ we have an inversion formula

$$\rho([c,d]) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_c^d \Im H(x+i\epsilon) dx, \text{ whenever } \rho(\{c,d\}) = 0; \qquad (\star\star)$$

cf.Akhiezer(1965), p.125, or Lang(1975), p.380, or Bondensson(1992), p.21.

However, what can be said about a measure ρ if we only have values H(it), for $t \neq 0$, and we don't know if it is a restriction of an analytic function to the imaginary axis ? Note that in $(\star\star)$ we need to know H in some strips of the complex plane to retrieve a measure ρ . Never the less, in Jankowski and Jurek (2012), Theorem 1, there is an inversion procedure that allows us to identify a measure ρ or more precisely its characteristic function $\hat{\rho}$.

In a couple of last decades representation of the form (\star) appeared in so-called *free-probability* as a free-analog of the classical Lévy-Khintchine formula for infinite divisible characteristic functions (Fourier transforms).

In this note we show applications of the inversion formula from Jankowski and Jurek (2012) for $\tilde{C}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{T}$ free-analogs of the classical hyperbolic functions C, S and T. Recall that C, S and T are defined by their characteristic functions as follows

$$\phi_C(t) := \frac{1}{\cosh(t)}, \quad \phi_S(t) := \frac{\sinh(t)}{t}, \quad \phi_T(t) := \frac{\tanh(t)}{t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In free-probability variables $\tilde{C}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{T}$ are given by their Voiculescu transforms $V_{\tilde{C}}(z), V_{\tilde{S}}(z)$ and $V_{\tilde{T}}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, although in our approach to free-probability theory we consequently use only purely imaginary $z = it, t \neq 0$; cf. Jurek (2019), Corollaries 3,4 and 5, respectively.

Let us recall that hyperbolic characteristic functions, from an infinite divisibility point of view, were studied in Pitman and Yor (2003) and from a selfdecomposability point of view in Jurek (1997) (as infinite series of independent exponentially distributed variables), and in Jurek-Yor (2004) (from stochastic representations of their background driving processes). The last description can be done as all hyperbolic characteristic functions are selfdecomposable ones and therefore they admit a representation by random integrals; cf. Jurek and Mason (1993), Chapter 3, Theorem 3.6.8 or Jurek and Vervaat (1983), Theorem 3.2.

1. Introduction.

Let us for an index X (where X can be a random variable or a measure or a characteristic function) define a function V_X on the imaginary axis $i(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$ as follows

$$V_X(it) := a_X + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + itx}{it - x} m_X(dx), \quad t \neq 0, \tag{1}$$

where $a_X \in \mathbb{R}$ and m_X is a non-negative, finite Borel measure. Then note that $V_X(i) = a_X - i m_X(\mathbb{R})$ and hence we get

$$a_X = \Re V_X(i) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad m_X(\mathbb{R}) = -\Im V_X(i) \in [0, \infty).$$
 (2)

Furthermore, if $\hat{m}_X(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{isx} m_X(dx)$ denotes a characteristic function of a measure m_X then its Laplace transform $\mathfrak{L}[\hat{m}_X; w]$, for w > 0, satisfies equality

$$\mathfrak{L}[\hat{m}_X;w] = \int_0^\infty \hat{m}_X(x)e^{-wx}dx = \frac{iV_X(-iw) - i\Re V_X(i) - w\Im V_X(i)}{w^2 - 1};$$
 (3)

cf. Theorem 1 in Jankowski and Jurek (2012). Equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{L}[\hat{m_X}(s) + ia_X \sinh(s) - m_X(\mathbb{R}) \cosh(s); w] = \frac{iV_X(-iw)}{w^2 - 1}, \quad \text{because}$$
$$\mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; w] = \frac{1}{w^2 - 1}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{L}[\cosh x; w] = \frac{w}{w^2 - 1}, \text{ for } w > 1.$$
(4)

In propositions below our aim is to show that functions $w \to \frac{iV_X(-iw)}{w^2-1}$, (on the right hand side in (4)), are indeed Laplace's transform of some functions or measures. This, in principle, enables us to identify a representing measure m_X in the canonical form (1).

2. Results. In order to present our results we will need some special functions. Therefore before each proposition we recall their definitions and/or characterizations. Many of those functions are derived from *Euler's* Γ gamma function: $\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx$; $\Re z > 0$ and digamma function $\psi(z) := d/dz \log \Gamma(z)$. For more facts and formulas we refer to the Appendix at the end of this article.

In the first proposition we need β beta function which admits a representation $\beta(z) = \int_0^\infty (1 + e^{-x})^{-1} e^{-zx} dx$, $\Re z > 0$, and originally was defined via digamma function ψ ; cf. Appendix (A).

Proposition 1. For a free-infinitely divisible Voiculescu transform

$$V_{\tilde{C}}(it) = i[1 - t\beta(t/2)], t \neq 0,$$

we have that in its representation (1) a real parameter $a_{\tilde{C}} = 0$ and a measure $m_{\tilde{C}}$ is such that a total mass $m_{\tilde{C}}(\mathbb{R}) = \pi/2 - 1 \approx 0.57079$ and its characteristic function $\hat{m}_{\tilde{C}}$ is equal to

$$\hat{m}_{\tilde{C}}(s) = 2\sinh(s)\tan^{-1}(e^{-s}) + \frac{\pi}{2}e^{-s} - 1$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \cos(sx)\frac{|x|}{1+x^2}\frac{1}{\sinh(\pi|x|/2)}dx \quad (5)$$

 $(\tilde{C} \text{ indicates a free-probability analogue of a classical hyperbolic cosine char$ $acteristic function <math>\phi_C(t) = 1/\cosh(t)$.)

To formulate next proposition we need two special functions. Namely, a digamma function ψ , which is defined as $\psi(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \log \Gamma(z)$, and an exponential integral function $Ei(x) := -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt$, for x < 0; for more see Appendix (B).

Proposition 2. . For a free-infinitely divisible Voiculescu transform

$$V_{\tilde{S}}(it) = i[t\psi(t/2) - t\log(t/2) + 1], t \neq 0,$$

we have that in its representation (1) a parameter $a_{\tilde{S}} = 0$ and a measure $m_{\tilde{S}}$ is a such that $m_{\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}) = \gamma + \log 2 - 1 \approx 0.270362$ and its characteristic function $\hat{m}_{\tilde{S}}$ is of a form

$$\hat{m}_{\tilde{S}}(s) = -1 + \cosh(s) \left(\log(1 + e^{-s}) - \log(1 - e^{-s}) \right) + \frac{e^{-s}}{2} Ei(s) + \frac{e^{s}}{2} Ei(-s)$$
$$= 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(sx) \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \frac{1}{e^{\pi x} - 1} dx, \quad s > 0, \tag{6}$$

and Ei(x) is an exponential integral function.

(S indicates a free-probability counter part of the hyperbolic sine characteristic function $\phi_S(t) = t/\sinh(t)$.)

In next statements appear special functions from two previous propositions because of the elementary relation: $\phi_C(t) = \phi_S(t) \cdot \phi_T(t)$.

Proposition 3. For a free-infinitely divisible Voiculescu transform

$$V_{\tilde{T}}(it) = V_{\tilde{C}}(it) - V_{\tilde{S}}(it) = it \left[\log(t/2) - \beta(t/2) - \psi(t/2) \right], t \neq 0,$$

we have that in its a representation (1) a parameter $a_{\tilde{T}} = 0$ and for a measure $m_{\tilde{T}}$ we have that $m_{\tilde{T}}(\mathbb{R}) = \pi/2 - \gamma - \log 2$ (≈ 0.3004), and its characteristic

function $\hat{m}_{\tilde{T}}$ has a form

$$\hat{m}_{\tilde{T}}(s) = \frac{\pi}{2}e^{-s} + 2\sinh(s)\tan^{-1}(e^{-s}) - \cosh s\log(1+e^{-s}) - \frac{e^{-s}}{2}\left(Ei(s) - \log(1-e^{-s})\right) - \frac{e^s}{2}\left(Ei(-s) - \log(1-e^{-s})\right) = \int_0^\infty \cos(sx)\frac{|x|}{1+x^2}\frac{e^{-\pi|x|/4}}{\cosh(\pi|x|/4)}dx, \quad s > 0.$$
(7)

(T indicates a hyperbolic tangent characteristic function $\phi_T(t) = \tanh(t)/t$.)

All three Voiculescu transforms from Propositions 1, 2 and 3 are freeprobability analogs of selfdecomposable characteristic functions. Therefore they have so called *background driving terms* from corresponding random integral representations. In particular, these are background driving characteristic functions $\psi_{\tilde{C}}, \psi_{\tilde{S}}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{T}}$, and Lévy (spectral) measures N_C, N_S and N_T ; cf. Jurek (2019), Section 2.1 or Jurek-Yor (2004).

As in previous propositions we have similar results for them as well, although we computed it only for a background driving characteristic function $\psi_{\tilde{C}}$, only; cf. Proposition 4 below.

For a following proposition, we need another two special functions. Namely, Riemann's zeta function, ζ , and polylogarithm functions $Li_n(z)$ (in Wolframalpha.com language written as PolyLog[n, z]); cf. Appendix (C).

Proposition 4. For a free-infinitely divisible Voiculescu transform

$$V_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}(it) = i \left[\frac{t^2}{2} \zeta(2, t/2) - \frac{t^2}{4} \zeta(2, t/4) + 1 \right]$$

we have that in its representation (1) a parameter $a_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}} = 0$, a total mass $m_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}(\mathbb{R}) = 2C - 1 \ (\approx 0.83193)$ and for a measure $m_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}$ we have its characteristic function

$$\hat{m}_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}(t) = -1 - t \tanh(t) - \cosh(t) \left(i(Li_2(ie^t) - Li_2(-ie^t)) + 2t \arctan(e^t) \right) \\ = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} \frac{\cosh(\pi x/2)}{\sinh^2(\pi x/2)} dx.$$

In particular, we have:

$$i(Li_2(ie^t) - Li_2(-ie^t)) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{e^{(2k-1)t}}{(2k-1)^2}; \quad i(Li_2(i) - Li_2(-i)) = -2C.$$

As a by-product of our Proposions 1 and 4, we have

Corollary 1. For a hyperbolic cosine function $\phi_C(t) = 1/\cosh t$ we have

$$\hat{m}_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}(t) + \hat{m}_{\tilde{C}}(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \frac{x^3}{1+x^2} (k_C(x))' dx$$

where a function $k_C(x) := (2x \sinh(\pi x/2))^{-1}$ is a density of Lévy measure of a hyperbolic cosine function. ϕ_C .

3. PROOFS

All boldface numbers appearing below refer to the corresponding fromulae in Gradstheyn-Ryzhik (1994).

Proof of Proposition 1.

First, note that $V_{\tilde{C}}(i) = i(1 - \beta(1/2)) = -i(\pi/2 - 1)$ and therefore $m_{\tilde{C}}(\mathbb{R}) = \pi/2 - 1$. Second, since by (8),

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} e^{-sx} dx = \mathfrak{L}[(1 + e^{-x})^{-1}; s], \quad \Re s > 0, \ \mathbf{8.371}(2)$$

consequently

$$\frac{iV_{\tilde{C}}(-iw)}{w^2 - 1} = \frac{1 - w\beta(w/2)}{w^2 - 1} = \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; w] - \mathfrak{L}[\cosh x; w] \,\mathfrak{L}[2/(1 + e^{-2x}); w] \\ = \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; w] - \mathfrak{L}[\underline{(\cosh(s) * (2/(1 + e^{-2s})))}(x); w], \tag{8}$$

where * denotes a convolution of functions on positive half-line.

Third, one checks by a differentiation (or by Wolfram Alpha or Mathematica) that for x > 0 we have

$$\frac{\left(\cosh(s) * (2/(1+e^{-2s}))\right)(x) := \int_0^x \cosh(x-s) \frac{2}{1+e^{-2(x-s)}} ds}{= 2\sinh(x) \arctan(e^{s-x}) - e^{-s} + constant|_{s=0}^{s=x}}$$
$$= 2\sinh(x) \arctan(e^{x-x}) - e^{-x} - \left(2\sinh(x) \arctan(e^{-x}) - 1\right)$$
$$= 2\sinh(x)(\pi/4 - \arctan(e^{-x})) - e^{-x} + 1,$$

and inserting it into (9) we get

$$\frac{iV_{\tilde{C}}(-iw)}{w^2 - 1} = \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x - 2\sinh x(\pi/4 - \arctan(e^{-x})) + e^{-x} - 1; w]$$
(9)

Finally, since $m_C(\mathbb{R}) = \pi/2 - 1$ and taking into account (4) we have

$$\hat{m}_C(x) = (\pi/2 - 1)\cosh x + \sinh x - 2\sinh x(\pi/4 - \arctan(e^{-x}) + e^{-x} - 1)$$
$$= 2\sinh x \arctan(e^{-x}) + \pi/2e^{-x} - 1,$$

which gives a first equality in (5).

On the other hand, from Jurek (2019), Example 1 we know that $V_{\tilde{C}}(it)$ is a free-probability analog of a classical hyperbolic characteristic function $1/\cosh(t)$ whose (finite) Khintchine measure m_C has a density $\frac{1}{2} \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi |x|/2)}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\hat{m}_C(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi|x|/2)} dx = \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi|x|/2)} dx,$$

which completes proof of Proposition 1.

Remark 1. From a formula in Proposition 1, we have an identity

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(sx) \,\frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi|x|/2)} dx = -1 + \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-s} + 2\sinh(s) \tan^{-1}(e^{-s}), \ s > 0;$$

which is confirmed by 4.113(8).

Proof of Proposition 2.

Since $\psi(1/2) = -\gamma - 2 \log 2$, (8.366(2)) then $V_S(i) = -i(\gamma + \log 2 - 1)$. Hence a parameter $a_{\tilde{S}} = 0$ and a finite measure $m_{\tilde{S}}$ in (1) has a finite mass $m_{\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}) = \gamma + \log 2 - 1$. Finally, using an integral formula for ψ function,

$$\psi(z) = \log z + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-s}}\right) e^{-zs} ds, \ \Re z > 0; \ \mathbf{8.361}(8),$$

we have

$$\frac{iV_S(-iw)}{w^2 - 1} = \frac{1 + w(\psi(w/2) - \log(w/2))}{w^2 - 1}$$

= $\mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; w] + \mathfrak{L}[\cosh x; w] \mathfrak{L}[\frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2x}}; w]$
= $\mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + (\cosh s * (\frac{1}{s} - \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2s}}))(x); w], \quad (10)$

where " * " denotes a convolution of functions.

By a direct differentiation using properties quoted before a proof of this proposition (or using www.Wolframalpha.com) one checks that:

$$\int \cosh(x-s) \left[\frac{1}{s} - \frac{2}{1-e^{-2s}} \right] ds$$

= $\frac{e^{-x}}{2} Ei(s) + \frac{e^x}{2} Ei(-s) - e^{s-x} + \cosh(x) \log \frac{1+e^{-s}}{1-e^{-s}} + constant.$

Thus for x > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\left(\cosh s*\left(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{2}{1-e^{-2s}}\right)\right)(x) &= \int_{0}^{x}\cosh(x-s)\left[\frac{1}{s}-\frac{2}{1-e^{-2s}}\right]ds\\ &= \left[\frac{e^{-x}}{2}Ei(s)+\frac{e^{x}}{2}Ei(-s)-e^{s-x}+\cosh(x)\log\frac{1+e^{-s}}{1-e^{-s}}\right]\Big|_{s=0^{+}}^{s=x}\\ &= \frac{e^{-x}}{2}Ei(x)+\frac{e^{x}}{2}Ei(-x)-e^{x-x}+\cosh(x)\log\frac{1+e^{-x}}{1-e^{-x}}\\ &-\lim_{s\to 0^{+}}\left[\frac{e^{-x}}{2}(Ei(s)-\log(1-e^{-s}))+\frac{e^{x}}{2}(Ei(-s)-\log(1-e^{-s}))\right]\\ &-e^{s-x}+\cosh x\log(1+e^{-s})\right] = \frac{e^{-x}}{2}Ei(x)+\frac{e^{x}}{2}Ei(-x)-1\\ &+\cosh(x)\log\frac{1+e^{-x}}{1-e^{-x}}-\gamma\cosh x+e^{-x}-\cosh x\log 2\\ &= \frac{e^{-x}}{2}Ei(x)+\frac{e^{x}}{2}Ei(-x)-1+e^{-x}+\cosh(x)\left(\log\frac{1+e^{-x}}{1-e^{-x}}-\gamma-\log 2\right) \end{split}$$

Inserting above line into (11) and using (4) with $m_S(\mathbb{R}) = \gamma + \log 2 - 1$ we get

$$\hat{m}_{S}(x) = (\gamma + \log 2 - 1) \cosh(x) + \sinh(x) + \frac{e^{-x}}{2} Ei(x) + \frac{e^{x}}{2} Ei(-x) - 1 + e^{-x} + \cosh(x) \left(\log \frac{1 + e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} - (\gamma + \log 2)\right) = -\cosh(x) + \sinh(x) + \frac{e^{-x}}{2} Ei(x) + \frac{e^{x}}{2} Ei(-x) - 1 + e^{-x} + \cosh(x) \log \frac{1 + e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{-x}}{2} Ei(x) + \frac{e^{x}}{2} Ei(-x) - 1 + \cosh(x) (\log(1 + e^{-x}) - \log(1 - e^{-x})), \quad (11)$$

which proves first equality in Proposition 2.

Since from Jurek (2019), Corollary 4, we know that V_{ϕ_S} is a free-analog of a classical hyperbolic sine characteristic function $\phi_S(t) = t/\sinh(t)$ whose (finite) Khintchine measure is equal to

$$m_S(dx) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{e^{-\pi|x|/2}}{\sinh(\pi|x|/2)} dx = \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} \frac{1}{e^{\pi|x|}-1} dx, \text{ on } \mathbb{R},$$

we get second equality in Proposition 2.

Remark 2. From Proposition 2 we get an integral identity

$$2\int_0^\infty \cos(sx)\frac{x}{1+x^2}\frac{1}{e^{\pi x}-1}dx$$

= -1+\cosh(s)\left(\log(1+e^s)-\log(1-e^{-s})\right)+\frac{e^{-s}}{2}Ei(s)+\frac{e^s}{2}Ei(-s) \ s > 0,

that might be of some interest and it seems to be new?

Since for hyperbolic characteristic functions ϕ_C , ϕ_S and ϕ_T we have that $\phi_C(t) = \phi_S(t) \cdot \phi_T(t)$ therefore for their Khintchine measures m_C, m_S, m_T we have $m_C(dx) = m_S(dx) + m_T(dx)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.

Taking into account a discussion above and the fact that free-infinitely divisible transforms $V_{\tilde{C}}, V_{\tilde{S}}, V_{\tilde{T}}$, in Jurek (2019) were defined via one - to - one correspondence with classical infinite divisibility we get that $V_{\tilde{C}}(it) = V_{\tilde{S}}(it) + V_{\tilde{T}}(it), t \neq 0$. Consequently, proof of Proposition 3 follows from proofs of Propositions 1 and 2.

Proof of Proposition 4.

Since $V_{\tilde{\psi}_C}(i) = -i(2C-1) \approx -i0,83193$, (*C* is Catalan constant ≈ 0.9159), then in (1), $a_{\psi_C} = 0$, and for a measure m_{ψ_C} we have $m_{\psi_C}(\mathbb{R}) = 2C - 1$. Using (4) and the integral representation for $\zeta(2, s)$ function in Appendix (C), we have

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{L}[m_{\psi_{C}}(x) - (2C - 1)\cosh(x); t] &= \frac{t^{2}/2(\zeta(2, t/2) - 1/2\zeta(2, t/4)) + 1}{t^{2} - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{2} - 1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^{2}}{t^{2} - 1} \left[8 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1 - e^{-2w}} e^{-tw} dw - 16 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1 - e^{-4x}} e^{-tw} dw \right] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] + 2(1 + \frac{1}{t^{2} - 1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} w \frac{e^{-2w} - 1}{1 - e^{-4w}} e^{-tw} dw = \\ \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] + (1 + \frac{1}{t^{2} - 1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} w \frac{1 - e^{2w}}{\sinh(2w)} e^{-tw} dw \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x; t] \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh(2x) + \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}; t] + \mathfrak{L}[\sinh(2x) + \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)} + \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}[\sinh x + x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)} + \mathfrak{L}[x \frac{1 - e^{2x}}{\sinh(2x)}] \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$h_{\hat{\psi}_C}(x) := (\sinh u * u \frac{1 - e^{2u}}{\sinh(2u)})(x) = \int_0^x \sinh(x - u) u \frac{1 - e^{2u}}{\sinh(2u)} du.$$
(12)

Consequently from the above calculation we get

$$\hat{m}_{\psi_C}(x) = (2C - 1)\cosh x + \sinh x + \frac{x(1 - e^{2x})}{\sinh(2x)} + h_{\hat{\psi_C}}(x), \text{ for } x \ge 0.$$
(13)

Using website www.Wolframalpha.com or just by elementary computations, knowing that $d/dxLi_2(\pm ix) = -x^{-1}\log(1\pm ix)$, (cf. Appendix (C)), one checks that

$$\int \sinh(x-s)s \frac{1-e^{2s}}{\sinh(2s)} ds = e^{-x}(s-1)e^{s}$$
$$-i\cosh(x) \left(-Li_2(-ie^s) + Li_2(ie^s) + s\log(1-ie^s) - s\log(1+ie^s)\right) + const.$$

Since $\lim_{x\to 0^+} (Ei(\pm x) - \log x) = \gamma$ (Euler constant), from (13), for x > 0,

$$h_{\hat{\psi_C}}(x) = e^{-x}(s-1)e^s -i\cosh(x)\big(-Li_2(-ie^s) + Li_2(ie^s) + s\log(1-ie^s) - s\log(1+ie^s)\big)|_{s=0}^{s=x}$$

$$= e^{-x}(x-1)e^{x} - i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_{2}(-ie^{x}) + Li_{2}(ie^{x}) + x\log(1-ie^{x}) - x\log(1+ie^{x})\right) - [e^{-x}(-1) - i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_{2}(-i) + Li_{2}(i)\right)]$$

$$= (x-1)-i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_{2}(-ie^{x}) + Li_{2}(ie^{x}) + x\log\frac{1-ie^{x}}{1+ie^{x}}\right) + e^{-x} - 2C\cosh(x)$$

$$= -1 + x + e^{-x} - 2C\cosh(x-i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_{2}(-ie^{x}) + Li_{2}(ie^{x}) - 2ix\arctan(e^{x})\right),$$

where in the last line we use $\log(1 - ie^x) - \log(1 + ie^x) = -2i \arctan(e^x)$; cf. Appendix C. And finally from (14) we arrive at

$$\hat{m}_{\psi_C}(x) = (2C - 1)\cosh x + \sinh x + \frac{x(1 - e^{2x})}{\sinh(2x)} - 1 + x + e^{-x} - 2C\cosh x$$
$$-i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_2(-ie^x) + Li_2(ie^x) - 2ix\arctan(e^x)\right)$$
$$= -1 + x + \frac{x(1 - e^{2x})}{\sinh(2x)} - i\cosh(x)\left(-Li_2(-ie^x) + Li_2(ie^x) - 2ix\arctan(e^x)\right)$$

and since $(1 + (1 - e^{2x}) / \sinh(2x)) = -\tanh(x)$ therefore this completes a first part of Proposition 4.

For the second one, let us recall that from Jurek and Yor (2004), Corollary 1 and a formula (7) on p. 186, that Khintchine (finite) measure corresponding to BDCF ψ_C is given by

$$m_{\psi_C}(dx) = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \frac{\cosh(\pi x/2)}{\sinh^2(\pi x/2)} dx$$
, on \mathbb{R} .

Hence we get that

$$\hat{m}_{\psi_{\tilde{C}}}(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \frac{\cosh(\pi x/2)}{\sinh^2(\pi x/2)} dx = -1 + t + \frac{t(1-e^{2t})}{\sinh(2t)} - i\cosh(t) \Big(-Li_2(-ie^t) + Li_2(ie^t) + t\log\frac{1-ie^t}{1+ie^t} \Big), \text{ for } t \ge 0.$$

which completes a proof of Proposition 4.

Remark 3. As a consequence of Proposition 4 we have a formula

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \frac{\cosh(\pi x/2)}{\sinh^2(\pi x/2)} dx = -1 + t + \frac{t(1-e^{2t})}{\sinh(2t)} \\ -i\cosh(t) \left(-Li_2(-ie^t) + Li_2(ie^t) - 2it\arctan(e^t) \right), \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

that might be of some interest as well.

Proof of Corollary 1.

In general, if M(dx) = h(x)dx, h(x) > 0, is a spectral measure of a selfdecomposable characteristic function, say ϕ , then N(dx) := -(xh(x)'dx) is a spectral measure of a characteristic function $\psi(t) := \exp[t(\log \phi(t))']$; so called background driving characteristic function; cf. Jurek (1997), Corollary 1.1., p.97 or Jurek and Yor (2004), p. 183, formulae (d) and (e).

Consequently, on the level of Khintichine (finite) measures have;

$$n(dx) := \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} N(dx)$$

= $-\frac{x^2}{1+x^2} (h(x) + xh'(x)) dx = -m(dx) - \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} xh'(x) dx,$

which gives a proof of Corollary 1, when applied to $h(x) := k_C(x)$.

Remark 4. Since a hyperbolic sine and a hyperbolic tangent are selfdecopmosable as well we may have statements about \tilde{S} and \tilde{T} analogous to the one in Corollary 1, a for hyperbolic cosine function.

5. Appendix.

For a convenience of reading we collect here some useful facts. Boldface numbers refer to formulae from Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1994).

(A)
$$\beta(x) := \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(\frac{x+1}{2}) - \psi(\frac{x}{2}) \right], \ \beta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{x+k}, \ -x \notin \mathbb{N}, \ 8.732(1).$$

(**B**) For the exponential integral function Ei we have :

$$Ei(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \text{ for } x < 0; \quad \mathbf{8.211}(1);$$

$$Ei(x) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\int_{-x}^{-\epsilon} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \text{ for } x > 0; \quad \mathbf{8.211}(2) \right]$$

$$Ei(x) = \gamma + \log x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k \cdot k!}, \text{ for } x > 0; \quad \mathbf{8.214}(2); \quad (\gamma \text{ is Euler constant})$$

From above we get: $\frac{d}{dx}Ei(\pm x) = \frac{e^{\pm x}}{x}$ and $\lim_{x\to 0^+} Ei(\pm x) - \log x = \gamma$.

(C) For Riemann ζ functions we have:

$$\zeta(s,a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^s}, \ \Re s > 1, \ -a \notin \mathbb{N}; \ \ \mathbf{9.521}(1)$$

In particular, for s = 2, we have ζ function as a Laplace transform:

$$\zeta(2,a) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}} e^{-ax} dx, \text{ for } \Re a > 0;$$

because $\sum_{k=0}^\infty x e^{-kx} = \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}};$ and $\mathfrak{L}[x e^{-kx}, w] = \frac{1}{(w+k)^2}.$

Polylogarithmic functions $Li_n(z)$, (n is a fixed parameter) are defined via series

$$Li_n(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^n} \equiv z\Phi(z, n, 1) \ z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad (\text{Lerch function}), 9.550$$

In particular, $\frac{d}{dz}Li_2(z) = -z^{-1}\log(1-z)$; $Li_2(i) - Li_2(-i) = 2iC$, where C stands for a Catalan constant.

(**D**) For the equality, $i \log \frac{1-ie^x}{1+ie^x} = 2 \arctan(e^x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, firstly, note that for x = 0, indeed we have $i \log \frac{1-i}{1+i} = \pi/2$, and secondly, note equality of derivatives $\frac{d}{dx}(i \log \frac{1-ie^x}{1+ie^x}) = \frac{d}{dx}(2 \arctan(e^x))$.

REFERENCES.

[1] N.I. Akhiezer (1965), *The classical moment problem*, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh and London,

[2] L. Bondesson (1992), Generalized gamma convolutions and related classes of distributions and densities, Springer-Verlag, New York; Lecture Notes in Statistics, vol. 76.

[3] I.S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik (1994), *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Academic Press, New York.

[4] L. Jankowski and Z. J. Jurek (2012), Remarsk on restricted Nevalinna transforms, *Demonstratio. Math.*, vol. XLV, no.2, pp.297-307.

[5] Z. J. Jurek (1993), Operator-limit distributions in probability theory, J. Wiley, New York.

[6] Z. J. Jurek (1997), Selfdecomposability: an exception or a rule ?, Ann. Unviversitatis M. Curie-Sklodowska, Lublin-Polonia, vol. LI. 1 Sectio A.

[7] Z. J. Jurek (2019), On a relation between classical and free infinitely divisible transforms, *Probab.Math. Statist.*, to appear;
[Also: math.arXiv:1707.02540 [math.PR],9 July 2017.]

[8] Z.J. Jurek and W. Vervaat (1983), An integral representation for selfdecomposable Banch space valued random variables., Z. Wahrschein lichkeitstheorie verv. Gebiete, vol. 62, pp. 247-262.

[9] Z. J. Jurek and M. Yor (2004), Selfdecomposable laws associated with hyperbolic functions, *Probab. Math. Statist.*, vol.24, Fasc.1, pp. 181-190.

[10] S. Lang(1975), $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts.

[11] J. Pitman and M. Yor (2003), Infinitely divisible laws associated with hyperbolic functions, *Canad. J. Math.* 55 (2), pp. 292-330.

Author's address:

Institute of Mathematics, University of Wrocław, Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland;

Email: zjjurek@math.uni.wroc.pl; www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~zjjurek