

Stepanov ergodic perturbations for nonautonomous evolution equations in Banach spaces

May 28, 2020

Abdoul Aziz Kalifa Dianda

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Norbert Zongo University,
Koudougou B.P. 376, Burkina Faso

Khalil Ezzinbi and Kamal Khalil¹

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh
B.P. 2390-40000, Morocco

Abstract

In this work, we prove the existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions for some class of semilinear nonautonomous evolution equations of the form: $u'(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a family of closed densely defined operators acting on a Banach space X that generates a strongly continuous evolution family which has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{R} . The nonlinear term $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is just μ -pseudo almost automorphic in Stepanov sense in t and Lipschitzian with respect to the second variable. For illustration, an application is provided for a class of nonautonomous reaction diffusion equations on \mathbb{R} .

Keywords. Semilinear evolution equations, Stepanov almost automorphic functions, evolution family, exponential dichotomy, μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions.

1 Introduction

In this work, we study the existence and uniqueness of a μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions for the following semilinear evolution equation:

$$u'(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $(A(t), D(A(t)))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a family of closed linear operators that generates a strongly continuous evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ on a Banach space X which has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{R} . The nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is μ -pseudo almost automorphic in Stepanov sense in t for each $x \in X$ and satisfies some suitable conditions with respect to the second variable.

The existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost periodic (resp. automorphic) solutions to evolution equations in Banach spaces has attracted many researchers in the last decades, see [2, 3, 17, 18, 24, 31, 33]. In the autonomous case where $A(t) = A$, i.e., where the linear part is time independent, the study of existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost periodic (resp. automorphic) for equation (1.1) was recently studied in [3, 24, 31].

¹Corresponding author: e-mail: kamal.khalil.00@gmail.com
2010 Mathematics subject classification. Primary 46T20-47J35; Secondary 34C27-35K58.

In particular, in the parabolic case i.e., when $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ satisfies Acquistapace-Terreni conditions, see [1], it was shown that equation (1.1) has a unique μ -pseudo almost automorphic solution provided that the resolvent operator $R(\omega, A(\cdot))$, for ω large, is almost automorphic, see [2, 4]. More general, in [24] authors proved the existence and uniqueness of weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutions for equation (1.1), in the case where in particular f is weighted pseudo almost automorphic in the strong sense, the Green's function is bi-almost automorphic and $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ generates a strongly continuous exponentially stable evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$. A generalization of [24] was given in [33], under the same assumptions, authors proved the existence and uniqueness of weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutions for equation (1.1) provided that $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ generates a strongly continuous evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ which has an exponential dichotomy in \mathbb{R} and f is just weighted pseudo almost automorphic in Stepanov sense. Note that the concept of μ -pseudo almost automorphy due to Ezzinbi et al. [8, 14] generalize both notions of pseudo almost automorphy due to Xiao et al. [31] and weighted pseudo almost automorphy due to Diagana, see [10].

Inspired by the above and under assumptions that the operators $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ generates a strongly continuous evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ which has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{R} , the associated Green's function is bi-almost automorphic and f is μ -pseudo almost automorphic in Stepanov sense in the first variable and satisfies some suitable conditions with respect to the second variable, we prove the existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions to equation (1.1). Our strategy concerns to study the following linear inhomogenous equation:

$$u'(t) = A(t)u(t) + g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where g is μ -pseudo almost automorphic in Stepanov sense. We show that its mild solution given by:

$$u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the associated Green's function, is μ -pseudo almost automorphic. Hence, by suitable composition results, we prove the results to equation (1.1) using a fixed point argument.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we give preliminaries on evolution families and their asymptotic behavior. After that, we recall basic notions of μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions in the classical and Stepanov senses. Section 3 is devoted to our main results, we show the existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions to equation (1.1). For illustration, we prove our main results to a nonautonomous reaction diffusion equation on \mathbb{R} , see Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Let $A(t) : D(A(t)) \subset X \rightarrow X$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a family of closed linear operators in a Banach space X . In general $A(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ are time-dependent suitable differential operators that corresponding to the following non-autonomous Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = A(t)u(t), & t \geq s \\ u(s) = x \in X, & . \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

A solution (mild) for equation (2.1) can be expressed as $u(t) = U(t, s)x$ where $\{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s}$ is a two parameter family generated by $(A(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X that called strongly continuous evolution family, i.e., $\{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that:

(i) $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$ and $U(t, t) = I$ for all $t \geq r \geq s$ and $t, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) The map $(t, s) \rightarrow U(t, s)x$ is continuous for all $x \in X$, $t \geq s$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

see [23, 16, 26] for more details. Unlike to semigroups, there is no general theory for existence of a corresponding evolution family. However, we can rely on several quite existence theorems corresponding on different contexts. In fact, in the hyperbolic case, we refer to [21, 26, 30] and [1, 20] for the parabolic case.

An evolution family $(U(t, s))_{s \leq t}$ on a Banach space X is called has an exponential dichotomy (or hyperbolic) in \mathbb{R} if there exists a family of projections $P(t) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, being strongly continuous with respect to t , and constants $\delta, M > 0$ such that

(i) $U(t, s)P(s) = P(t)U(t, s)$;

(ii) $U(t, s) : Q(s)X \rightarrow Q(t)X$ is invertible with the inverse $\tilde{U}(t, s)$;

(iii) $\|U(t, s)P(s)\| \leq Me^{-\delta(t-s)}$ and $\|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)\| \leq Me^{-\delta(t-s)}$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq t$, where, $Q(t) = I - P(t)$.

Note that, exponential dichotomy is a classical concept in the study of long-time behaviour of evolution equations. If $P(t) = I$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(U(t, s))_{s \leq t}$ is exponential stable. For more details we refer [16].

Hence, for given a hyperbolic evolution family $(U(t, s))_{s \leq t}$, we define its associated Green's function by:

$$\Gamma(t, s) = \begin{cases} U(t, s)P(s), & t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \leq t \\ \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s), & t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s > t. \end{cases}$$

2.1 μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions

Notations. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be any Banach space. We denote by $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$,

the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ measurable such that $\left(\int_{[a,b]} \|f(s)\|^p ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ for all $a < b$ in \mathbb{R} . $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ equipped with the supremum norm is the Banach space of bounded continuous functions from \mathbb{R} into X . Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and q denotes its conjugate exponent defined by

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

In the following, we give properties of μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions in the classical sense and in Stepanov sense.

Definition 2.1 (H. Bohr) [7] A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is to be almost periodic if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $l_\varepsilon > 0$, such that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\tau \in [a, a + l_\varepsilon]$ satisfying:

$$\|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Definition 2.2 (S. Bochner) [5] A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is called almost automorphic if for every sequence $(s'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers, there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset (s'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and a measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, such that

$$g(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t + s_n) \text{ and } f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(t - s_n) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Remark 2.1 An almost automorphic function may not be uniformly continuous. Indeed, the real function $f(t) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2 + \cos(t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to $AA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, but is not uniformly continuous. Hence, f does not belong to $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Then, we have the following inclusions:

$$AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset AA(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset BC(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

Definition 2.3 A continuous function $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is said to be bi-almost automorphic if for every sequence $(s'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers, there exist a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset (s'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and a measurable function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, such that

$$G(t, s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(t + s_n, s + s_n) \text{ and } F(t, s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(t - s_n, s - s_n) \text{ for all } t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $bAA(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Example 2.1 [17] $F(t, s) = \sin(t) \cos(s)$ is bi-almost automorphic function from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{R} as

$$F(t + 2\pi, s + 2\pi) = F(t, s), \text{ for all } t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 2.4 [14] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A function $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be bounded in the sense of Stepanov if

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{[t, t+1]} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{[0, 1]} \|f(t+s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $BS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and is provided with the following norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{BS^p} &:= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{[t, t+1]} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t + \cdot)\|_{L^p([0, 1], X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following inclusions hold:

$$BC(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset BS^p(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we give the definition of almost automorphy in the sense of Stepanov.

Definition 2.5 [4] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A function $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be almost automorphic in the sense of Stepanov (or S^p -almost automorphic), if for every sequence $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers, there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and a measurable function $g \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, such that

$$\lim_n \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + s_n) - g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|g(s - s_n) - f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Remark 2.2 [4]

- (i) Every almost automorphic function is S^p -almost automorphic for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- (ii) For all $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$, if f is S^{p_2} -almost automorphic, then f is S^{p_1} -almost automorphic.

In this section we recall some properties of μ -ergodic and μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions. In the sequel, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ the Lebesgue σ -field of \mathbb{R} and by \mathcal{M} the set of all positive measures μ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$ and $\mu([a, b]) < +\infty$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(a \leq b)$. We assume the following hypothesis.

(M) For all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist $\beta > 0$ and a bounded interval I such that

$$\mu(\{a + \tau : a \in A\}) \leq \beta\mu(A) \quad \text{where } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } A \cap I = \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.6 [8] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. A continuous bounded function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is called μ -ergodic, if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \|f(t)\| d\mu(t) = 0.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

Examples 2.1

(1) In [32], the author defined an ergodic function as a μ -ergodic function in the particular case where the measure μ is the Lebesgue measure.

(2) In [19], the authors considered the space of bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r, r]} \|f(t)\| dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \|f(n)\| = 0.$$

This space coincides with the space of μ -ergodic functions where μ is defined in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by the sum $\mu(A) = \mu_1(A) + \mu_2(A)$ with μ_1 is the Lebesgue measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ and

$$\mu_2(A) = \begin{cases} \text{card}(A \cap \mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } A \cap \mathbb{Z} \text{ is finite} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \cap \mathbb{Z} \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.7 [8] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is said to be μ -pseudo almost automorphic if f is written in the form:

$$f = g + \varphi,$$

where $g \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

The space of all such functions is denoted by $PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

Now, we give the definition and the important properties of μ - S^p -pseudo almost automorphic functions.

Definition 2.8 [14] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. A function $f \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be μ -ergodic in the sense of Stepanov (or μ - S^p -ergodic) if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_{[t, t+1]} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

Remark 2.3 Using (2.3) we obtain that, $f \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$ if and only if $f^b \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], X), \mu)$.

Proposition 2.1 [14] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, $(\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_{BS^p})$ is a Banach space.

Proposition 2.2 [14] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy (H). Then, the following hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$ is translation invariant.
- (ii) $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu) \subset \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

2.2 Uniformly μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions

Definition 2.9 [4] Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a function such that $f(\cdot, x) \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ for each $x \in X$. Then, $f \in AAS^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y)$ if the following hold:

- (i) For each $x \in X$, $f(\cdot, x) \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, Y)$.
- (ii) f is S^p -uniformly continuous with respect to the second argument on each compact subset K in X , namely: for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_{K,\varepsilon}$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in K$, we have

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta_{K,\varepsilon} \implies \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s, x_1) - f(s, x_2)\|_Y^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.10 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(\cdot, x) \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ for each $x \in X$ is said to be μ - S^p -ergodic in t with respect to x in X if the following hold:

- (i) For all $x \in X$, $f(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, Y, \mu)$.
- (ii) f is S^p -uniformly continuous with respect to the second argument on each compact subset K in X .

Denote by $\mathcal{E}^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y, \mu)$ the set of all such functions.

Definition 2.11 [4] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow Y$ be such that $f(\cdot, x) \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ for each $x \in X$. The function f is μ - S^p -almost automorphic if f is written as:

$$f = g + \varphi,$$

where $g \in AAS^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y)$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y, \mu)$.

The space of all such functions is denoted $PAAS^pU(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

Theorem 2.1 [4] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow Y$. Assume that:

- (i) $f = g + \varphi \in PAAS^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y, \mu)$ with $g \in AAS^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, Y, \mu)$.
- (ii) $u = u_1 + u_2 \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$, where $u_1 \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.
- (iii) For every bounded subset $B \subset X$ the set $\wedge := \{f(\cdot, x) : x \in B\}$ is bounded in $BS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$.
Then, $f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in PAAS^p(\mathbb{R}, Y, \mu)$.

3 μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions of equation (1.1)

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost automorphic mild solutions to equation (1.1).

Definition 3.1 A mild solution for equation (1.1) is the continuous function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ that satisfies the following variation of constants formula:

$$u(t) = U(t, s)u(s) + \int_s^t U(t, r)f(r, u(r))dr \quad \text{for all } t \geq s, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.1)$$

In the sequel, we assume that:

- (H1) The family $A(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ generates a strongly continuous evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$.

(H2) The evolution family $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{R} , with constants $M \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$ and Green's function Γ .

(H3) For each $x \in X$, $\Gamma(t, s)x$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ is bi-almost automorphic.

Remark 3.1 An explicit example of a strongly bi-almost automorphic Green function i.e., hypothesis **(H3)**, is given in Section 4.

Sufficient conditions insuring hypothesis **(H3)** in the case where $A(t) = \delta(t)A + \alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and A is generator of a strongly continuous semigroup, provided that $\delta, \alpha \in AAS^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \delta(t) > 0$, which is a weak condition, see Section 4.

In the interest of establishing our problem, we first study the following linear inhomogeneous evolution equation associated to equation (1.1) :

$$u'(t) = A(t)u(t) + g(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

where $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is locally integrable. We recall that a mild solution to equation (3.2) is a continuous function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ that is given by the following variation of constant formula:

$$u(t) = U(t, s)u(s) + \int_s^t U(t, r)g(r)dr \text{ for all } t \geq s, \quad (3.3)$$

The following Lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.1 Let $g \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume that **(H1)**-**(H2)** hold. Then equation (3.2) has a unique bounded mild solution given by :

$$u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Let us show first that the integral given in formula (3.4) is defined and bounded on \mathbb{R} . We know from the exponential dichotomy of $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds = \int_{-\infty}^t U(t, \sigma)P(\sigma)g(\sigma)d\sigma - \int_t^{\infty} \tilde{U}(t, \sigma)Q(\sigma)g(\sigma)d\sigma, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $p > 1$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds \right\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t, s)P(s)g(s)\|ds + \int_t^{\infty} \|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)\|ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t Me^{-\delta(t-s)}\|g(s)\|ds + \int_t^{\infty} Me^{-\delta(t-s)}\|g(s)\|ds \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} Me^{-\delta(t-s)}\|g(s)\|ds + \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t+n-1}^{t+n} Me^{-\delta(t-s)}\|g(s)\|ds \\ &\leq M \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-q\delta(t-s)}ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + M \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\int_{t+n-1}^{t+n} e^{-q\delta(t-s)}ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t+n-1}^{t+n} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2M \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\delta n} \left(\frac{e^{\delta q} - 1}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\|_{BS^p} \\ &= 2M \|g\|_{BS^p} \left(\frac{e^{\delta q} - 1}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{e^{\delta} - 1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $p = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds \right\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t, s)P(s)g(s)\|ds + \int_t^{\infty} \|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} M e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds + \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t+n-1}^{t+n} M e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq 2M \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\delta n} \|g\|_{BS^1} \\
&= 2M \frac{1}{e^\delta - 1} \|g\|_{BS^1} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.4) is well defined. Now, the fact that the mild solution of equation (3.2) is given by (3.4) can be proved as in [4, Theorem 4.2-(i)].

Theorem 3.1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $g \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Assume that **(H1)**-**(H3)** are satisfied. Then equation (3.2) has a unique mild solution $u \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ given by (3.4).

$$u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $g \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$. By Lemma 3.1 it is obvious that u is the unique mild solution to equation (3.2) given by (3.4). Now, we show that $u \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_k(t)\| &\leq \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|U(t, s)P(s)g(s)\|ds + \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} M e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds + \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} M e^{\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq M \left(\int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-q\delta(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad + M \left(\int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} e^{-q\delta(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 2M \|g\|_{BS^p} \left(\frac{e^{\delta q} - 1}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} e^{-\delta k} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way, for $p = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_k(t)\| &\leq \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|U(t, s)P(s)g(s)\|ds + \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq 2M \|g\|_{BS^1} \left(\frac{e^{\delta q} - 1}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} e^{-\delta k} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\delta k} = \frac{e^{-\delta}}{1 - e^{-\delta}} < \infty$, it follows from Weierstrass theorem that the series $\sum_{k \geq 1} u_k(t)$ is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} . Then, we define

$$u(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} u_k(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In fact, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t) - \sum_{k=1}^n u_k(t)\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} U(t, s)P(s)g(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)ds \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} U(t, s)P(s)g(s)ds \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)ds \right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|U(t, s)P(s)g(s)\|ds + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \|\tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} M e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} M e^{\delta(t-s)} \|g(s)\|ds \\
&\leq M \sum_{k \geq n+1} \left(\int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-q\delta(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&+ M \sum_{k \geq n+1} \left(\int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} e^{-q\delta(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 2M \left(\frac{e^{\delta q} - 1}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\|_{BS^p} \sum_{k \geq n+1} e^{-\delta k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In otherwise, for $p = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t) - \sum_{k=1}^n u_k(t)\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} U(t, s)P(s)g(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)g(s)ds \right\| \\
&\leq 2M \|g\|_{BS^1} \sum_{k \geq n+1} e^{-\delta k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

To conclude, it suffices to prove that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, u_k belongs to $AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Let (s'_n) be a sequence of real numbers, as $g \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and Γ is bi-almost automorphic, then there exist a subsequence $(s_n) \subset (s'_n)$ and measurable functions \tilde{g} and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|g(s + s_n) - \tilde{g}(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|\tilde{\Gamma}(t - s_n, s - s_n) - \Gamma(t, s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0$$

and for each $x \in X$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Gamma(t + s_n, s + s_n)x - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s)x\| = 0; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{\Gamma}(t - s_n, s - s_n)x - \Gamma(t, s)x\| = 0.$$

Let $u_k(t) = \Phi_k(t) - \Psi_k(t)$, where $\Phi_k(t) = \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds$ and $\Psi_k(t) = \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s)g(s)ds$. Thus we define the measurable function by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_k(t) &= \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s)\tilde{g}(s)ds - \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s)\tilde{g}(s)ds \\
&= \tilde{\Phi}_k(t) - \tilde{\Psi}_k(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_k(t) := \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s) \tilde{g}(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Psi}_k(t) := \int_{t+k-1}^{t+k} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s) \tilde{g}(s) ds, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_k(t + s_n) - \tilde{\Phi}_k(t)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{t+s_n-k}^{t+s_n-k+1} \Gamma(t + s_n, s) g(s) ds - \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s) \tilde{g}(s) ds \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{k-1}^k \Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) g(t + s_n - s) ds - \int_{k-1}^k \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) ds \right\| \\ & \leq \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) g(t + s_n - s) - \Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) [g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{g}(t - s)]\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\ & \leq M \left(\int_{k-1}^k e^{-q\delta s} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{k-1}^k \|g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{g}(t - s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad + \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\ & = I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 := M \left(\int_{k-1}^k e^{-q\delta s} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{k-1}^k \|g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{g}(t - s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$I_2 := \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds.$$

As $g \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $I_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. From **(H3)** and since

$$\|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s) \tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\| \leq M e^{-\delta s} \|\tilde{g}(t - s)\| + \|\tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s) \tilde{g}(t - s)\|,$$

it follows in view of the dominated convergence Theorem, that $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_k(t + s_n) - \tilde{\Phi}_k(t)\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can show in a similar way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{\Phi}_k(t - s_n) - \Phi_k(t)\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, by the same way, for $p = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_k(t + s_n) - \tilde{\Phi}_k(t)\| &\leq \left\| \int_{t+s_n-k}^{t+s_n-k+1} \Gamma(t + s_n, s)g(s)ds - \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \tilde{\Gamma}(t, s)\tilde{g}(s)ds \right\| \\
&\leq M \int_{k-1}^k \|g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\
&\quad + \int_{k-1}^k \|\Gamma(t + s_n, t + s_n - s)\tilde{g}(t - s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t, t - s)\tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds \\
&= J_1 + I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 := M \int_{k-1}^k \|g(t + s_n - s) - \tilde{g}(t - s)\| ds$$

Then, the result follows from the fact that $g \in AAS^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$. This proves that $\Phi_k \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{R}$. By the same way, we prove the result for Ψ_k . We recall that the serie $\sum_{k \geq 1} u_k(t)$ is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} , which implies that $u \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy **(M)**. Assume that **(H1)**-**(H3)** are satisfied and that $g \in PAAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$. Then equation (3.2) has a unique mild solution $u \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$, given by :

$$u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $g = \tilde{g} + \varphi \in PAAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$, where $\tilde{g} \in AAS^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$. Then u has a unique decomposition :

$$u = u_1 + u_2,$$

where, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$u_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)g(s)ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t, s)\varphi(s)ds \\
&:= u_2^a(t) + u_2^z(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_2^a(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t U(t, s)P(s)\varphi(s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad u_2^z(t) := - \int_t^{\infty} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)\varphi(s)ds.$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain that $u_1 \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Let us prove that $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$. It

suffices to show that $u_2^a, u_2^z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$. Let $r > 0$ and $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|u_2^a(t)\| d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t, s)P(s)\varphi(s)\| ds d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|\varphi(s)\| ds d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}q(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s)} \|\varphi(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])} \left(\frac{2}{q\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{-r}^r \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_t^{t+1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s+k)} \|\varphi(s-k)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{2}{q\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{-r}^r \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_t^{t+1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s+k)} \|\varphi(s-k)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\frac{2}{q\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}pk} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_t^{t+1} \|\varphi(s-k)\|^p ds d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$ is invariant by translation and by $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_t^{t+1} \|\varphi(s-k)\|^p ds d\mu(t) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

Since,

$$\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}pk} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_t^{t+1} \|\varphi(s-k)\|^p ds d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}pk} \|\varphi\|_{BSP},$$

and by the dominated convergence Theorem, we obtain that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|u_2^a(t)\| d\mu(t) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, for $p = 1$, it follows by the argument that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|u_2^a(t)\| d\mu(t) & \leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t, s)P(s)\varphi(s)\| ds d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|\varphi(s)\| ds d\mu(t) \\
& \leq M \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\delta pk} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \int_t^{t+1} \|\varphi(s-k)\| ds d\mu(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as above, we show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|u_2^z(t)\| d\mu(t) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|u_2(t)\| d\mu(t) = 0.$$

Hence, $u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

Now, we turn out to the semilinear equation (1.1). We need the following additional assumption on f :

(H4) There exists a nonnegative function $L_f(\cdot) \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, for $p \geq 1$, such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L_f(t)\|x - y\| \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x, y \in X.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $p \geq 1$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy **(M)**. Assume that **(H1)**-**(H4)** hold and $f \in PAAS^pU(\mathbb{R} \times X, X, \mu)$ with

$$\|L_f\|_{BS^p} < \min\left\{\left(2M \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right), \left(\frac{2M}{1 - e^{-\delta}}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

Then, equation (1.1) has a unique mild solution $u \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$ given by:

$$u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $F : PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu) \rightarrow PAA(\mathbb{R} \times X, \mu)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (Fu)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t U(t, s)P(s)f(s, u(s))ds - \int_t^{\infty} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)f(s, u(s))ds \\ &= (Fu^a)(t) + (Fu^z)(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(Fu^a)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t U(t, s)P(s)f(s, u(s))ds \text{ and } (Fu^z)(t) = - \int_t^{\infty} \tilde{U}(t, s)Q(s)f(s, u(s))ds, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the composition Theorem 2.1, it is that $F(PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)) \subset PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$. Moreover, for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Fu^a)(t) - (Fv^a)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t, s)P(s)f(s, u(s)) - U(t, s)P(s)f(s, v(s))\|ds \\ &\leq M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))\|ds \\ &\leq M \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}q(t-s)} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s)} \|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))\|^p ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s)} L_f^p(s) \|u(s) - v(s)\|^p ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s)} L_f^p(s) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u - v\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq M \|L_f\|_{BS^p} \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u - v\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as above, we have also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fu^z)(t) - (Fv^z)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|\tilde{U}(t,s)Q(s)f(s,u(s)) - \tilde{U}(t,s)Q(s)f(s,v(s))\| ds \\
&\leq M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|f(s,u(s)) - f(s,v(s))\| ds \\
&\leq M \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}p(t-s)} L_f^p(s) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u - v\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq M \|L_f\|_{BS^p} \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u - v\|_{\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $p = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fu^a)(t) - (Fv^a)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|U(t,s)P(s)f(s,u(s)) - U(t,s)P(s)f(s,v(s))\| ds \\
&\leq M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|f(s,u(s)) - f(s,v(s))\| ds \\
&\leq M \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\delta k} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} L_f(s) ds \|u - v\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq M \|L_f\|_{BS^1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\delta}}\right) \|u - v\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fu^z)(t) - (Fv^z)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|\tilde{U}(t,s)Q(s)f(s,u(s)) - \tilde{U}(t,s)Q(s)f(s,v(s))\| ds \\
&\leq M \|L_f\|_{BS^1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\delta}}\right) \|u - v\|_{\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|(Fu)(t) - (Fv)(t)\| \leq \|L_f\|_{BS^p} \min\left\{\left(2M \left(\frac{2}{q\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right), \left(\frac{2M}{1 - e^{-\delta}}\right)\right\} \|u - v\|_{\infty}$$

Therefore, by Banach fixed point Theorem, F has a unique fixed point $u \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$ such that $Fu = u$. This proves the result.

4 Application

Let μ be a measure with a Radon-Nikodym derivative ρ defined by:

$$\rho^t = \begin{cases} e^t, & t \leq 0 \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

From [8, Example 3.6], μ satisfies the hypothesis **(M)**.

Now, consider the following reaction-diffusion model with time-dependent diffusion coefficient given by:

$$\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \delta(t) \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha(t)u(t,x) + f(t,u(t,x)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\delta, \alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are S^1 -almost automorphic functions such that $\alpha(t) \leq -\omega < 0$ and there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\delta(t) > \delta_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Take $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the Lebesgue space with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|$ and define the operator

$$\begin{cases} A\varphi := \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}, \\ D(A) := H^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ (i.e., the maximal domain),} \end{cases}$$

where $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the usual Sobolev space. It is well known that $(A, D(A))$ generates a bounded strongly continuous semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X i.e., $\|T(t)\| \leq M$, which is not analytic. Now, clearly, the operators

$$A(t) := \delta(t)A + \alpha(t) \quad \text{with} \quad D(A(t)) = D(A), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

generate the strongly continuous evolution family

$$U(t, s) = e^{\int_s^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau} T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau) d\tau\right), \quad t \geq s.$$

Note that the formula $T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau) d\tau\right)$, $t \geq s$ corresponds to the mild solution for equation (4.2) with $\alpha, f = 0$. This is a direct consequence of application of Fourier transform and the diffusion semigroup explicite formula, see [16]. Moreover, we have

$$\|U(t, s)\phi\| \leq M e^{-\omega(t-s)} \|\phi\|, \quad t \geq s, \quad \phi \in X.$$

Therefore, hypotheses **(H1)** and **(H2)** are satisfied and the Green's function $\Gamma(t, s) := U(t, s)$. To show hypothesis **(H3)** it suffices to prove that U is bi-almost automorphic.

Proposition 4.1 For each $\phi \in X$, the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)\phi$ is bi-almost automorphic.

Proof. Let $\delta \in AA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in AAS^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, for every sequence $(s'_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of real numbers, there exists a subsequence $(s_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset (s'_k)_{k \geq 0}$ and measurable functions $\tilde{\delta}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ such that

$$\lim_k |\delta(t + s_k) - \tilde{\delta}(t)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_k |\tilde{\delta}(t - s_k) - \delta(t)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\lim_k \int_t^{t+1} |\alpha(\tau + s_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_k \int_t^{t+1} |\tilde{\alpha}(\tau - s_k) - \alpha(\tau)| d\tau = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\phi \in X$ and define, $\tilde{U}(t, s)\phi = e^{\int_s^t \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau} T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right)\phi$, for all $t \geq s$. Thus, by the semigroup property of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(t + s_k, s + s_k)\phi - \tilde{U}(t, s)\phi\| \\ &= \left\| e^{\int_{s+s_k}^{t+s_k} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} T\left(\int_{s+s_k}^{t+s_k} \delta(\tau) d\tau\right)\phi - e^{\int_s^t \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau} T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right)\phi \right\| \\ &= \left\| e^{\int_s^t \alpha(\tau - s_k) d\tau} T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau - s_k) d\tau\right)\phi - e^{\int_s^t \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau} T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right)\phi \right\| \\ &\leq e^{\int_s^t \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau} \int_s^t |\alpha(\tau - s_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau \left\| T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau - s_k) d\tau\right)\phi - T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right)\phi \right\| \end{aligned}$$

As $\alpha \in AAS^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$, we have

$$\left| e^{\int_s^t |\alpha(\tau - s_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau} - 1 \right| \leq \left| e^{\sum_{i=[s]^{[t]+1}} \int_i^{i+1} |\alpha(\tau - s_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

for all $t \geq s$. Moreover, as $\delta \in AA(\mathbb{R}, X)$, it follows by strong continuity of the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ that

$$\|T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau - s_k) d\tau\right) \phi - T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right) \phi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then,

$$e^{\int_s^t \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau} e^{\int_s^t |\alpha(\tau - s_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau} \|T\left(\int_s^t \delta(\tau - s_k) d\tau\right) \phi - T\left(\int_s^t \tilde{\delta}(\tau) d\tau\right) \phi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $t \geq s$. Consequently, U is bi-almost automorphic.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is μ -pseudo almost automorphic in the sense of Stepanov, $p = 1$ with

$$f(t, \phi)(x) = \underbrace{\left[a(t) + \left(\arctan(t) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right]}_{a_0(t)} g(\varphi)(\xi)$$

where g is L_g -Lipschitzian in X , $a \in AAS^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and by the proof in [9, Example 5.5], $t \mapsto \arctan(t) - \frac{\pi}{2}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$. Then f belongs to $PAAS^1U(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ with

$$\|f(t, \phi) - f(t, \psi)\|_1 \leq L_f(t) \|\phi - \psi\|_1$$

where

$$L_f(t) = L_g |a_0(t)| \in BS^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Hence, hypothesis **(H4)** holds.

In order to establish the existence and uniqueness of μ -pseudo almost automorphic solutions to our model, given by equation (4.2), we give its associated abstract form:

$$u'(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

Consequently, all hypotheses and assumptions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Moreover we have the following main result.

Theorem 4.1 Assume $\|L_f\|_{BS^1}$ is small enough. Then equation (4.2) has a unique mild solution belongs to $PAAS^1(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$.

References

- [1] P. Acquistapace and B. Terreni, A unified approach to abstract linear nonautonomous parabolic equations, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 78, 47–107, (1987).
- [2] A. N. Akdad, B. Es-sebbar and K. Ezzinbi, Composition theorems of Stepanov μ -pseudo almost automorphic functions and applications to nonautonomous neutral evolution equations, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, 1-20, (2015).
- [3] M. Baroun, K. Ezzinbi, K. Khalil and L. Maniar, Pseudo almost periodic solutions for some parabolic evolution equations with Stepanov-like pseudo almost periodic forcing terms, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 462, (1), 233-262, (2018).
- [4] M. Baroun, K. Ezzinbi, K. Khalil and L. Maniar, Almost automorphic solutions for nonautonomous parabolic evolution equations, *Semigroup Forum* **99**, 525–567, (2019).
- [5] S. Bochner, Abstrakte fastperiodische funktionen, *Acta Mathematica*, 61, (1), 149-184, (1933).
- [6] S. Bochner, A new approach to almost periodicity, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 48, (12), 2039-2043, (1962).
- [7] H. Bohr. Zur theorie der fastperiodischen funktionen. *Acta Mathematica*, 46, (1-2), 101-214, (1925).
- [8] J. Blot, P. Cieutat and K. Ezzinbi, Measure theory and pseudo almost automorphic functions: New developments and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 75, 2426–2447, (2012).
- [9] J. Blot, P. Cieutat and K. Ezzinbi, New approach for weighted pseudo almost periodic functions under the light of measure theory, basic results and applications, *Applicable Analysis*, 92, (3), 493-526, (2013).
- [10] T. Diagana, *Almost Automorphic Type and Almost Periodic Type Functions in Abstract Spaces*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2013.
- [11] T. Diagana, Weighted pseudo almost periodic functions and applications. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 343, (10), 643-646, (2006).
- [12] T. Diagana and G.M. N’Guérékata, Almost automorphic solutions to some classes of partial evolution equations, *Applied Mathematical Letters*, 20, (4), 462–466, (2007).
- [13] B. Es-sebbar, K. Ezzinbi, Almost periodicity and almost automorphy for some evolution equations using Favard’s theory in uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Semigroup Forum*, (2016).
- [14] B. Es-sebbar, K. Ezzinbi, Stepanov ergodic perturbations for some neutral partial functional differential equations, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 39, (8), 1945-1963, (2016).
- [15] B. Es-sebbar, K. Ezzinbi and K. Khalil, Compact almost automorphic solutions for semilinear parabolic evolution equations, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13438> (2019).
- [16] R. Engel and K J. Nagel, *One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Vol.194, Springer-Verlag: New York, 2000.
- [17] Z. Fan J. Liang and T. J. Xiao, Composition of Stepanov-like pseudo almost automorphic functions and applications to nonautonomous evolution equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 13, 131-140, (2012).

- [18] J.A. Goldstein and G.M. N'Guérékata. Almost automorphic solutions of semilinear evolution equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Sci.* 133, 2401-2408, (2005).
- [19] J. Hong, R. Obaya, and A. Sanz, Almost periodic type solutions of some differential equations with piecewise constant argument, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory Methods and Applications*, 45, (6), 661-688, (2001).
- [20] T. Kato, Abstract evolution equations of parabolic type in Banach and Hilbert spaces, *Nagoya Math. J.* 19, 93–125, (1961).
- [21] T. Kato, Linear evolution equations of “hyperbolic” type, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I*, 17, 241-258, (1970).
- [22] J. L. Lions, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [23] A. Lunardi, *Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems*, Birkhauser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1995.
- [24] J. Liu and X. Song, Almost automorphic and weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutions of semilinear evolution equations, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(1), 196-207, (2010).
- [25] G.M. N'Guérékata, *Topics in Almost Automorphy*, Springer, New York, Boston, Dordrecht, London, Moscow, 2005.
- [26] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [27] L. Schwartz, *Topologie Générale et Analyse Fonctionnelle*, Hermann, Paris, (in French), 1976.
- [28] W. Shen and Y. Yi. Almost automorphic and almost periodic dynamics in skew-product semiflows, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 136, (647), 1998.
- [29] W. Stepanov, Ueber einige verallgemeinerungen der fastperiodischen functionen. *Annals of Mathematics*, 95, 473-498, (1926).
- [30] H. Tanabe, *Equations of Evolution*, Tokyo, Iwanami. English translation, London, Pitman, 1975.
- [31] T. J. Xiao, J. Liang and J. Zhang, Pseudo almost automorphic solutions to semilinear differential equations in Banach spaces, *Semigroup Forum*, 76, (3), 518-524, (2008).
- [32] C. Y. Zhang, Pseudo almost periodic solutions of some differential equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 181(1), 62-76 , (1994).
- [33] R. Zhang, Y.K. Chang and G.M. N'Guérékata, New composition theorems of Stepanov-like weighted pseudo almost automorphic functions and applications to nonautonomous evolution equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 13, 2866-2879, (2012).

Abdoul Aziz Kalifa Dianda, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES, NORBERT ZONGO UNIVERSITY, KOUDOUGOU B.P. 376, BURKINA FASO

E-mail address, A. K. Dianda: `douaziz01@yahoo.fr`

Khalil Ezzinbi, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES SEMLALIA, CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY, MARRAKESH B.P. 2390-40000, MOROCCO

E-mail address, K. Ezzinbi: `ezzinbi@uca.ac.ma`

Kamal Khalil, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES SEMLALIA, CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY, MARRAKESH B.P. 2390-40000, MOROCCO.

E-mail address, K. Khalil: `kamal.khalil.00@gmail.com`