

COMMENT ON “COMMENT ON “LANDAU EQUATION AND QCD SUM RULES FOR  
THE TETRAQUARK MOLECULAR STATES””

Zhi-Gang Wang <sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

**Abstract**

In “Landau equation and QCD sum rules for the tetraquark molecular states”, I list out six reasons to refute the assertion of Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian. In “Comment on “Landau equation and QCD sum rules for the tetraquark molecular states””, they refute my viewpoint. If they want to the refute my viewpoint robustly, they should refute the six reasons one by one, and provide at least one example to illustrate that they have obtained reliable QCD sum rules for the tetraquark states and free two-meson states, respectively.

In Ref.[1], I list out six reasons to refute the assertion of Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian, they assert that the contributions at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$  with  $k \leq 1$  in the operator product expansion, which are factorizable in the color space, are exactly canceled out by the meson-meson scattering states at the hadron side, the tetraquark molecular states begin to receive contributions at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  [2, 3]. I use the term “**meson-meson scattering states**”, which means the two-meson states other than tetraquark molecular states, because there are some elastic scatterings at least, while they use the term “**free two-meson states**”.

In the following, I list out the six reasons one by one, for details, one can consult Ref.[1].

**1.** We cannot assert that the factorizable Feynman diagrams in color space are exactly canceled out by the meson-meson scattering states, because the meson-meson scattering state and tetraquark molecular state both have four valence quarks, which can be divided into two color-neutral clusters. We cannot distinguish which Feynman diagrams contribute to the meson-meson scattering state or tetraquark molecular state based on the two color-neutral clusters.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian emphasize that the contributions at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$  with  $k \leq 1$  in the operator product expansion are exactly canceled out by the **free two-meson states** at the hadron side. The arguments they presented in Ref.[4] are just repetitions of the old arguments presented in Refs.[2, 3]. If they want to prove that their viewpoint is right, they should provide an example to show that the hadron side of the QCD sum rules can be saturated by the **free two-meson states** indeed. Only arguments, it is vague. In fact, it is of no use to distinguish the factorizable and nonfactorizable properties of the Feynman diagrams, we can only obtain information about the short-distance and long-distance contributions in the operator product expansion.

**2.** The quarks and gluons are confined objects, they cannot be put on the mass-shell. If we insist on applying the Landau equation to study the Feynman diagrams, we should choose the pole masses rather than the  $\overline{MS}$  masses, which lead to obvious problems in the QCD sum rules for the traditional charmonium and bottomonium states.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian do not touch this subject.

**3.** The nonfactorizable Feynman diagrams which have the Landau singularities begin to appear at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0/\alpha_s^1)$  rather than at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  due to the nonperturbative contributions, again if we insist on applying the Landau equation to study the Feynman diagrams.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian do not touch the contributions of the Feynman diagrams involving the vacuum condensates.

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: zgwang@aliyun.com.

4. Without taking it for granted that the factorizable Feynman diagrams in the color space only make contributions to the two-meson scattering states, the Landau equation cannot exclude the factorizable Feynman diagrams in the color space, those diagrams can also have the Landau singularities and make contributions to the tetraquark molecular states.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian just argue that if such diagrams make contributions to the tetraquark molecular states, they are in contradiction with the Large- $N_c$  behavior of the QCD Green functions. In reality,  $N_c = 3$ , we should be care to apply the Large- $N_c$  arguments in the QCD sum rules, as there are nonperturbative contributions. The Large- $N_c$  arguments have both advantages and shortcomings, for example, we obtain baryon masses of the order  $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$  and have to resort the solitons to outcome the problems. In the QCD sum rules, we do not resort to the parameter  $\frac{1}{N_c}$  in the operator product expansion.

5. Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian only obtain formal QCD sum rules for the tetraquark states or tetraquark molecular states.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian do not touch this subject. If they want to illustrate that they can obtain reliable QCD sum rules for the tetraquark states without contaminations, they should provide an example.

6. In the QCD sum rules, we carry out the operator product expansion in the deep Euclidean space,  $-p^2 \rightarrow \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ . The Landau singularities require that the squared momentum  $p^2 = (\hat{m}_a + \hat{m}_b + \hat{m}_c + \hat{m}_d)^2$  in the Feynman diagrams, it is questionable to perform the operator product expansion.

In the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian do not touch this subject.

In the conclusion of the comment [4], Lucha, Melikhov and Sazdjian say “the Ansatz for the phenomenological side of a QCD sum rule in the form “the tetraquark pole plus the effective continuum equal to the pQCD diagrams above some effective threshold””. It is wrong, in the QCD sum rules for the tetraquark states, we use the  $\rho_{QCD}(s)\Theta(s - s_0)$  to approximate the continuum states, the  $\rho_{QCD}(s)\Theta(s - s_0)$  receive both short-distance and long-distance contributions.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 11775079.

## References

- [1] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D101** (2020) 074011.
- [2] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and H. Sazdjian, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 014010.
- [3] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and H. Sazdjian, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 074029.
- [4] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and H. Sazdjian, arXiv:2005.12171.