

A cobordism realizing crossing change on \mathfrak{sl}_2 tangle homology and a categorified Vassiliev skein relation

Noboru Ito and Jun Yoshida

July 31, 2020

In this paper, we discuss degree 0 crossing change on Khovanov homology in terms of cobordisms. Namely, using Bar-Natan’s formalism of Khovanov homology, we introduce a sum of cobordisms that yields a morphism on complexes of two diagrams of crossing change, which we call the “genus-one morphism.” It is proved that the morphism is invariant under the moves of double points in tangle diagrams. As a consequence, in the spirit of Vassiliev theory, taking iterated mapping cones, we obtain an invariant for singular tangles that extending $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ tangle homology; examples include Lee homology, Bar-Natan homology, and Naot’s universal Khovanov homology as well as Khovanov homology with arbitrary coefficients. We also verify that the invariant satisfies categorified analogues of Vassiliev skein relation and the FI relation.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The universal Khovanov complex	6
2.1	Cobordisms of manifolds with corners	6
2.2	The category $\mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)$	8
2.3	The universal bracket complex	10
2.4	The universal Khovanov complex	12
2.5	The universal bracket complex as a mapping cone	13
2.6	Duality with respect to mirroring	14
3	Genus-one morphism	15

4	Invariance	17
4.1	Homotopy coherence of mapping cones	18
4.2	Proof of Theorem 4.1: I	21
4.3	Proof of Theorem 4.1: II	25
5	Universal Khovanov complex for singular tangles	26
5.1	Definition	26
5.2	Composition formulas	28
5.3	Invariance	30
5.4	The FI relation	30
5.5	Examples	32

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to realize crossing change on \mathfrak{sl}_2 homology, aka Khovanov homology, in the spirit of Vassiliev theory. More precisely, following Bar-Natan’s formalism [1], we discuss a formal sum of two cobordisms. We prove that it induces a morphism on Khovanov complexes which is of bidegree $(0, 0)$ and invariant under moves of double points (Main Theorem A). Thanks to this invariance, the morphism extends Khovanov homology and its variants to invariants of singular links (Main Theorem B); the examples include Lee homology [15], Bar-Natan homology [1], and Naot’s universal Khovanov homology [17]. It is also proved that the extended invariants satisfy a categorified form of the *FI relation*, which is one of the fundamental relations appearing in Kontsevich’s universal construction of Vassiliev invariants [12].

In the work of Vassiliev [19], it was pointed out that crossing change can be understood as a “wall-crossing” in the space of knots \mathcal{K} . This viewpoint was formulated more clearly by Birman and Lin [3, 4]; they pointed out that Vassiliev’s finite order invariants are characterized in terms of vanishing of their extension to singular knots via the following the *Vassiliev skein relation*:

$$v^{(r+1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \\ \bullet \\ \nwarrow \nearrow \end{array} \right) = v^{(r)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \nwarrow \\ \times \\ \nwarrow \nearrow \end{array} \right) - v^{(r)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \nearrow \\ \times \\ \nearrow \nwarrow \end{array} \right) . \tag{1.1}$$

The extended invariant $v^{(r)}$ is sometimes called the *r-th Vassiliev derivative* of v , and v is a Vassiliev invariant if and only if $v^{(r)} \equiv 0$ for sufficiently large r . Notice that, as suggested in (1.1), in Vassiliev theory, double points are regarded as traces of crossing change and measure the effect of them. In fact, they showed a strong relationship between Vassiliev invariants and *quantum invariants*: if v is a quantum invariant with values in Laurent polynomials, then in its Taylor expansion, the coefficient of order r is a Vassiliev invariant of order r .

Although the result of Birman and Lin is suggestive, the relation is, however, unclear in case of link homologies. In an attempt to establish it, the first problem we have to solve is the following.

Problem 1.1. Realize the crossing change in (1.1) as a morphism on link homologies so that it is invariant under moves of singular knots.

One may notice that an instance of crossing change is provided in link cobordism theory; it is realized as the following composition of cobordisms:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{saddle}} \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \xrightarrow{R_1^2} \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{saddle}} \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} . \quad (1.2)$$

By the functoriality of Khovanov homology [9, 5], the sequence (3.6) gives rise to a map between Khovanov homologies of the form

$$Kh \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \right) \rightarrow Kh \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \right) . \quad (1.3)$$

Unfortunately, it turns out that this is not a suitable instance of crossing change with respect to Vassiliev theory. Indeed, a computation shows that the map (1.3) is of bidegree $(0, 2)$ in the standard gradings, and, as a consequence, (1.3) is not an isomorphism even if the crossing change actually does nothing. In fact, it was showed by Hedden and Watson [7] that the map (1.3) induces a categorified version of *Jones skein relation*, not Vassiliev skein relation (1.1).

As an attempt to finding a degree $(0, 0)$ crossing change, we note that we have obtained an answer to Problem 1.1 in our previous paper [8] in the case of Khovanov homology with coefficients in the field \mathbb{F}_2 of two elements. Indeed, we constructed a chain map

$$\widehat{\Phi} : C^{*,*} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} ; \mathbb{F}_2 \right) \rightarrow C^{*,*} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} ; \mathbb{F}_2 \right) , \quad (1.4)$$

called the *genus-one morphism*, so that it is invariant under moves of singular links. More precisely, we showed that it is invariant under the moves

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} , \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} , \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} , \quad (1.5)$$

which generate all the moves of singular links. On the other hand, this construction does not work for general coefficients or variants of Khovanov homologies, including Lee homology and Bar-Natan homology.

We achieve the goal by extending this result to these variants. For this, we use Bar-Natan's category of "picture" [1] with a little bit different notations to emphasize the relation to topological field theories (cf. [13]). Namely, let k be a fixed coefficient ring. For compact oriented 0-dimensional manifolds Y_0 and Y_1 , let us denote by $k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ the k -linear category with cobordisms $Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ as objects and formal sums of 2-bordisms ([18], aka *cobordisms with corners* [14]) between them with coefficients in k as morphisms. Bar-Natan introduced three relations on the category called (S) , (T) , and $(4Tu)$ relations; the quotient category will be denoted by $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)$ in this paper. He then constructed a chain complex $[[D]]$ in $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D, \partial^+ D)$ for each tangle diagram D and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Bar-Natan [1, Theorem 1]). *The homotopy type of $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ is invariant under Reidemeister moves so that it defines an invariant of tangles.*

To recover link homologies, one can apply a functor $Z : \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_k$ to the complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ for a link diagram D . Indeed, by virtue of Theorem 1.2, the homology of the resulting chain complexes over k is an invariant for links. In particular, in the case where Z is the TQFT associated with the Frobenius algebra $k[x]/(x^2)$, the construction above yields the original Khovanov homology. As for variants, one can take the Frobenius algebra $C_{h,t} := k[x]/(x^2 - hx - t)$ for $h, t \in k$ (see e.g. [11], [13]); if $(h, t) = (0, 1), (1, 0)$, one obtains Lee homology [15] and Bar-Natan homology [1] respectively. This type of Frobenius algebras are comprehensively discussed in [11], and some sorts of universality are proved in [17].

We generalize the *genus-one morphism* $\widehat{\Phi}$ in (1.4) to the universal Khovanov homology based on the morphism given by the following sum of cobordisms:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{circle} \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{loop} \end{array} \right] : \text{right-to-left crossing} \rightarrow \text{left-to-right crossing} .$$

In fact, it turns out that this induces a morphism of chain complexes

$$\widehat{\Phi} : \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \end{array} \right] . \quad (1.6)$$

Our first main result is the following.

Main Theorem A (Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.8). *The genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ is of bidegree $(0, 0)$ and satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *it is invariant under the moves in (1.5).*
- (2) *it commutes with the morphisms associated with the Reidemeister moves of type I.*

As a result, we obtain a map realizing crossing change on link homologies. In particular, it agrees with the genus-one morphism constructed in [8] in the case of Khovanov homology with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 .

By virtue of Vassiliev skein relation (1.1), crossing change gives rise to an extension of link invariants to singular links. In the case of link homologies, an analogous idea works; indeed, we consider mapping cones instead of the substitution in (1.1).

Main Theorem B (Corollary 5.3, Theorem 5.7). *For every singular tangle diagram D , there is a complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ in the category $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial_0 D, \partial_1 D)$ so that there is an isomorphism*

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with blue dot} \end{array} \right] \cong \text{Cone} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \end{array} \right] \right) . \quad (1.7)$$

Furthermore, the complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ is invariant under moves of singular tangles up to chain homotopy equivalences.




Figure 1.1: The FI relation in Vassiliev theory

Corollary (Corollary 5.9). *The complex below is contractible, i.e. the identity is null-homotopic*

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with blue dot} \\ \text{crossing} \end{array} \right] \right] .$$

To the best of our knowledge, there is no singular tangle homology except ours known to satisfy the FI relation in the sense above. In other words, it distinguishes our invariant from the others.

The plan of this paper is as follows. We review Bar-Natan’s complexes of cobordisms for ordinary tangles in Section 2. In particular, the category $\mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)$ is defined in terms of cobordisms with corners; we mainly follow [18] for this material. We also define the *universal bracket complex* as the “unshifted” version of the universal Khovanov complex. The checkerboard colorings are discussed to determine the orientations on cobordisms.

We then define the genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ (1.6) in Section 3. In Section 4, we show the invariance of $\widehat{\Phi}$ under the moves of singular tangles. Using the result, in Section 5, we extend the Khovanov complex to singular tangles and verify the FI relation.

2 The universal Khovanov complex

2.1 Cobordisms of manifolds with corners

In order to develop tangle homology, we need the notion of cobordism of manifolds with corners. We here give a brief sketch, and for details, we refer the reader to [10, Definition 1], [14], and [18].

Let Y_0 and Y_1 be closed oriented 0-manifolds (i.e. finite sets with a label $\{-, +\}$ on each element), and let W_0 and W_1 be two cobordisms from Y_0 to Y_1 . Then, a *2-bordism* from W_0 to W_1 is a compact oriented 2-manifold S with corners such that

- ∂S is a union of submanifolds: $\partial S = \partial_0 S \cup \partial_1 S$;

- it is equipped with orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms

$$s_0 : \overline{W_0} \amalg W_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial_0 S, \quad s_1 : (\overline{Y_0} \amalg Y_1) \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial_1 S, \quad ,$$

here $\overline{W_0}$ and $\overline{Y_0}$ are respectively the manifolds W_0 and Y_0 with the reversed orientation.

In this case, we write $S : W_0 \rightarrow W_1 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ or $S : W_0 \rightarrow W_1$ simply.

Definition 2.1. For closed oriented 0-manifolds Y_0 and Y_1 , we define a category $\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ as follows:

- the objects are cobordisms $W : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$;
- the morphisms are diffeomorphism classes of 2-bordisms $S : W_0 \rightarrow W_1 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$, where only diffeomorphisms that preserve orientations and structure maps are considered;
- the composition is given by gluing.

Remark 2.2. By Collar Neighborhood Theorem [14, Lemma 2.1.6], every chain of bordisms actually admits gluing. It also turns out that gluing is unique up to diffeomorphisms of bordisms. In general, though a choice of such diffeomorphisms is not canonical, it can be done within a canonical choice of an isotopy class [18].

In view of Remark 2.2, the composition is associative. For a cobordism $W : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$, the identity on W is represented by the trivial 2-bordism $W \times [0, 1]$. Hence, $\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ is a category.

Convention. In this paper, we always use the “bottom-to-top” convention for cobordisms and the “left-to-right” one for 2-bordisms as in Fig. 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Example of a 2-bordism (orientation omitted).

The gluing also gives rise to a functor. Indeed, if Y_0 , Y_1 and Y_2 are closed oriented 0-manifolds, then we define a functor

$$(-) * (-) : \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_1, Y_2) \times \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_2) \quad (2.1)$$

as follows (see [18] for details):

- for objects, if $W : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $W' : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, then choose a gluing \widetilde{W} of W and W' along Y_1 , and set $W' * W := \widetilde{W}$ (which is often denoted by $W' \circ W$);
- for morphisms, if $S : W_0 \rightarrow W_1 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $S' : W'_0 \rightarrow W'_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, then $S * S'$ is the diffeomorphism class of a gluing of S and S' along Y_1 with respect to $W'_0 \circ W_0$ and $W'_1 \circ W_1$.

Lemma 2.3 ([18]). *The construction above actually defines a unique functor (2.1) up to a canonical isomorphism.*

The disjoint union of manifolds gives rise to another functor

$$(-) \otimes (-) : \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1) \times \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y'_0, Y'_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0 \amalg Y'_0, Y_1 \amalg Y'_1) \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

This functor is associative in the sense that it defines an essentially unique functor

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0^{(1)}, Y_1^{(1)}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0^{(r)}, Y_1^{(r)}) \\ & \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0^{(1)} \amalg \cdots \amalg Y_0^{(r)}, Y_1^{(1)} \amalg \cdots \amalg Y_1^{(r)}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

In particular, in the case $Y_0 = Y_1 = \emptyset$, we obtain a symmetric monoidal structure on the category $\mathbf{Cob}_2(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. We further introduce two functors, both of which are given by the orientation reversion:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_0 : \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2(\overline{Y_0}, \overline{Y_1}) \quad , \\ & \text{on cobordisms} \quad W \quad \mapsto \quad \overline{W} \\ & \text{on 2-bordisms} \quad S \quad \mapsto \quad \overline{S} \\ & \rho_2 : \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)^{\text{op}} \mapsto \mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1) \quad . \\ & \text{on cobordisms} \quad W \quad \mapsto \quad W \\ & \text{on 2-bordisms} \quad S \quad \mapsto \quad \overline{S} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

These functors respect gluing and disjoint union.

2.2 The category $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)$


Let k be a commutative ring and \mathcal{C} a k -linear category. We define a category $\mathbf{Mat}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows [16, VIII.2, Exercise 6]:

- An object is a tuple (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) , which is denoted by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i \in \mathcal{C}$.
- For objects $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m B_j$, a morphism is defined by the set $\{f_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow B_j\}_{i=1, j=1}^n, m$, here f_{ij} is a morphism of \mathcal{C} .
- Compositions of morphisms are defined in the same way as the matrix multiplication.

Notation 2.4. For $\{f_{ij}\} \in \text{Mat}(\mathcal{C})$, we often denote it by $\sum_{i,j} f_{ij}$ if there is no danger of confusion.

Let Y_0 and Y_1 be compact oriented 0-manifolds. For a fixed commutative ring k , we extend the category $\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ to a k -linear category $k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ with the same objects and $k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)(W_0, W_1)$ being the free k -module generated by the hom-set $\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)(W_0, W_1)$. We introduce the following relations on $k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)(W_0, W_1)$ for each cobordisms W_0 and W_1 .

- (S) $S \amalg S^2 = 0$ for each 2-bordism S , here S^2 is the 2-dimensional sphere;
- (T) $S \amalg T^2 = 2 \cdot S$ for each 2-bordism S , here T^2 is the 2-dimensional torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$;
- (4Tu) $S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4 = 0$ for each quadruple of 2-bordisms S_1, S_2, S_3 , and S_4 which are identical outside disks and tubes that are depicted as follows:



We denote by $k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)/\mathcal{L}$ the quotient category and set

$$\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1) := \text{Mat}(k\mathbf{Cob}_2(Y_0, Y_1)/\mathcal{L}) \quad .$$

Lemma 2.5. *The two functors (2.1) and (2.2) induce k -bilinear functors*

$$\begin{aligned} (-) * (-) &: \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_1, Y_2) \times \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_2) \quad , \\ (-) \otimes (-) &: \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1) \times \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y'_0, Y'_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0 \amalg Y'_0, Y_1 \amalg Y'_1) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is a symmetric monoidal category with k -bilinear monoidal product.

Similarly, since the relations (S), (T), and (4Tu) are stable under orientation reversion, we also have functors below induced by (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &: \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\overline{Y}_0, \overline{Y}_1) \quad , \\ \rho_2 &: \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We further extend these functors to complexes in the following way: let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{C} be k -linear categories with \mathcal{C} being additive. If $F : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a k -bilinear functor, then, for bounded chain complexes X in \mathcal{A} and Y in \mathcal{B} , we define a chain complex $F(X, Y)$ in \mathcal{C} by setting

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, Y)^n &:= \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F(X^p, Y^q) \quad , \\ d_{F(X, Y)}^n &:= \sum_{p+q=n} (F(d_X^p, \text{id}_Y) + (-1)^p F(\text{id}_X, d_Y^q)) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{A})$ the category of bounded chain complexes and chain maps in \mathcal{A} . Then, the assignment above yields a k -bilinear functor

$$F : \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{C})$$

which extends the original F . Applying the construction to the functors in Lemma 2.5, we in particular obtain functors

$$(-) * (-) : \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_1, Y_2)) \times \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_2)) \quad , \quad (2.5)$$

$$(-) \otimes (-) : \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)) \times \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y'_0, Y'_1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0 \amalg Y'_0, Y_1 \amalg Y'_1)) \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

We also extend the functors ρ_0 and ρ_2 in (2.4) by

$$\rho_0 : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\bar{Y}_0, \bar{Y}_1)) \\ \{X^i, d^i\} & \mapsto & \{\rho_0(X^i), \rho_0(d^i)\}_i \end{array} \quad , \quad (2.7)$$

$$\rho_2 : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1))^{\text{op}} & \mapsto & \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(Y_0, Y_1)) \\ \{X^i, d^i\} & \mapsto & \{\rho_2(X^{-i}), \rho_2(d^{-i-1})\}_i \end{array} \quad . \quad (2.8)$$

2.3 The universal bracket complex

In this section, we construct the universal bracket complex of tangle diagrams. To begin with, we define the modules of signs.

Let \mathcal{S} be the totally ordered set. For each subset $A \subset \mathcal{S}$, we set $E_A := \emptyset \in \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset)$, which is the unit in the monoidal structure. For each $a \in \mathcal{S}$, we define the morphisms \check{a} , $(\wedge a)$, and $(a \wedge)$ as follows. Let $\mu_a = \#\{a' \in A \mid a' < a\}$ and $\nu_a = \#\{a' \in A \mid a' > a\}$. Then, we set

$$\check{a} : E_A \rightarrow E_{A \setminus \{a\}} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{\mu_a} & \text{if } a \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin A, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

$$(\wedge a) : E_A \rightarrow E_{A \cup \{a\}} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{\mu_a} & \text{if } a \notin A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in A, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

$$(a \wedge) : E_A \rightarrow E_{A \cup \{a\}} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{\nu_a} & \text{if } a \notin A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in A. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Notation 2.6. We often denote $(a \wedge)$ by a_{\uparrow} .

Let D be a tangle diagram, which we regard as a planar graph with boundary neatly embedded in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. We denote by $c(D)$ the set of crossings in D and call each subset $s \subset c(D)$ a *state* on D ; we write $|s|$ the cardinality. For each state s on D , we write D_s the compact 1-dimensional neat submanifold of $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ obtained by smoothing each crossing of D according to s :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} & \xleftarrow[\text{0-smoothing}]{c \notin s} & \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} & \xrightarrow[\text{1-smoothing}]{c \in s} & \begin{array}{c} \text{X} \\ \text{X} \end{array} \end{array} \quad .$$




Figure 2.2: The orientation on $\partial^- D^x$ and $\partial^+ D^x$.

Hence, each D_s is a neat submanifold of $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. We endow D_s with an orientation as follows: recall that a *checkerboard coloring* on the complement $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$ is a mapping

$$\chi : \pi_0((\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D) \rightarrow \{\text{white, black}\}$$

which distinguishes adjacent components. If a checkerboard coloring χ on the complement of D is fixed, it induces a checkerboard coloring on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D_s$ for each state s which we also write χ by abuse of notation. Then, we denote by D_s^x the manifold D_s equipped with the canonical orientation on the boundary of the black component with respect to χ ; i.e. $D_s^x = \partial(\chi^{-1}\{\text{black}\})$ as oriented manifolds. Note that there are exactly two checkerboard colorings. Namely, if χ is a checkerboard coloring on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, then the other is obtained by swapping all the values of χ , which we denote by $-\chi$. In this case, the 1-manifold D_s^{-x} is identified with D_s^x with the reversed orientation.

Since the induced orientation on the boundary ∂D_s^x does not depend on the state s , we in particular write $\partial D^x := \partial D_{\emptyset}^x$ and


$$\partial^- D^x := \overline{\partial D^x \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})}, \quad \partial^+ D^x := \partial D^x \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}) \quad .$$

In fact, the orientations on them are determined locally by the rules as in Fig. 2.2. Thus, for each state s on D , we may regard D_s^x as an object of the category $\mathbf{Cob}_2(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x)$ and hence of $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x)$.

For a tangle diagram D with a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, we define a graded k -module $\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle^i := \bigoplus_{s \subset c(D), |s|=i} D_s^x \otimes E_s \in \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x)$$

for each integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We in addition endow $\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle$ with a differential as follows: for each pair (s, c) of a state $s \subset c(D)$ and a crossing $c \in c(D)$ with $c \notin s$, notice that, D_s and $D_{s \cup \{c\}}$ is identical except on a neighborhood of the crossing c where they are of the following forms regardless of the orientation:



We define a cobordism $S_{s;c} : D_s \rightarrow D_{s \cup \{c\}}$ by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } S_{s;c} \end{array} : \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } D_s \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } D_{s \cup \{c\}} \end{array} \quad (2.12)$$




Figure 2.3: The composition (left) and the tensor product (right) of tangle diagrams.

on the neighborhood and the identity elsewhere. Thanks to the stability of checkerboard colorings under smoothing, $S_{s;c}$ has an obvious orientation which make $S_{s;c}$ as an oriented cobordism $D_s^x \rightarrow D_{s \cup \{c\}}^x$. Hence, we obtain a morphism

$$S_{s;c} \otimes (\wedge c) : D_s^x \otimes E_s \rightarrow D_{s \cup \{c\}}^x \otimes E_{s \cup \{c\}} \quad .$$

We then define the differential by

$$d^i := \sum_{s \subset c(D), |s|=i, c \in c(D) \setminus s} S_{s;c} \otimes (\wedge c) : \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle^{i+1} \quad . \quad (2.13)$$

We call $\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle$ the *universal bracket complex* of D .

The following results show that the universal bracket complex respects the operation on tangles in terms of the functors (2.5) and (2.6).

Proposition 2.7 ([1, Theorem 2]). *Let D be the composition of two tangle diagrams D' and D'' as in Fig. 2.3. For a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, let us write χ' and χ'' respectively the induced coloring on the complements of D' and D'' . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle D'^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D''^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle \quad (2.14)$$

in the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x))$.

Proposition 2.8 ([1, Theorem 2]). *Let D be the tensor product of tangle diagrams D' and D'' as in Fig. 2.3. For a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, we write χ' and χ'' respectively the induced coloring on the complements of D' and D'' . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle D'^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle \otimes \langle\langle D''^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle$$

in the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x))$.

2.4 The universal Khovanov complex

We now introduce the complex $[D]$ that is an invariant of tangles. We always assume a tangle T to be “generic” so that the image of T under the projection $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ defines a tangle diagram D ; in this case, we call D the diagram of T . We say that two

Proposition 2.13. *In the situation above, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D \rangle\rangle \cong \text{Cone}(-\delta_c)[1] \quad .$$

Proof. Note that, for each $s \subset c(D) \setminus \{c\}$, there are identifications

$$(D_s^{(0)})^\chi = D_s^\chi, \quad (D_s^{(1)})^\chi = D_{s \amalg \{c\}}^\chi \quad .$$

We hence define a morphism $\langle\langle (D^{(1)})^\chi \rangle\rangle \oplus \langle\langle (D^{(0)})^\chi \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle$ consisting of

$$\text{id} \otimes (\wedge c) : (D_s^{(1)})^\chi \otimes E_s \rightarrow D_{s \amalg \{c\}}^\chi \otimes E_{s \amalg \{c\}}, \quad \text{id} \otimes \text{id} : (D_s^{(0)})^\chi \otimes E_s \rightarrow D_s^\chi \otimes E_s \quad .$$

By comparing the differentials, one can easily verify that this is actually an isomorphism of chain complexes. \square

2.6 Duality with respect to mirroring

To conclude the section, we see the dualities of the universal Khovanov complex in terms of functors (2.7) and (2.8). In order to establish them, we need some technical materials on the modules of signs. Let \mathcal{S} be a finite totally ordered set, say $n = |\mathcal{S}|$. For a subset $A \subset \mathcal{S}$, we write ε_A the sign of the $(|A|, n - |A|)$ -shuffle and think of it as a morphism

$$\varepsilon_A : E_A \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{S} \setminus A} \in \text{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset) \quad .$$

It then turns out that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{A \amalg \{c\}} & \xrightarrow{\check{c}} & E_A \\ \varepsilon_{A \amalg \{c\}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_A \\ E_{(\mathcal{S} \setminus A) \setminus \{c\}} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{n-1}(\wedge c)} & E_{\mathcal{S} \setminus A} \end{array} \quad . \quad (2.16)$$

In terms of the universal bracket complex, the dualities are stated as follows.

Proposition 2.14. *Let D^{mir} be the mirror image of a tangle diagram D with n crossings. Then, for every checkerboard coloring χ , there are isomorphisms*

$$\rho_0(\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle) \cong \langle\langle D^{-\chi} \rangle\rangle, \quad \rho_2(\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle) \cong \langle\langle (D^{\text{mir}})^\chi \rangle\rangle[-n] \quad .$$

Proof. Since the first isomorphism is obvious, we prove the second. We identify the set $c(D^{\text{mir}})$ of crossings in D^{mir} with $c(D)$. Hence, for each state $s \subset c(D)$, there is a canonical identification $D_s^\chi = (D_{\bar{s}}^{\text{mir}})^\chi$ with $\bar{s} := c(D) \setminus s$. We set

$$\iota_s := (-1)^{|s|} \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon_s : D_s^\chi \otimes E_s \rightarrow (D_{\bar{s}}^{\text{mir}})^\chi \otimes E_{\bar{s}} \quad (2.17)$$

and write $\iota^i : \langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle^{-i} \rightarrow \langle\langle (D^{\text{mir}})^\chi \rangle\rangle^{n+i}$ the induced morphism. We assert that the family $\iota = \{\iota^i\}_i$ defines a morphism of chain complexes $\rho_2(\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle) \rightarrow \langle\langle (D^{\text{mir}})^\chi \rangle\rangle[-n]$. Indeed,

for each $s \subset c(D)$ and $c \in c(D) \setminus s$, since we have $\rho_2((c \wedge)) = \check{c} : E_{s \sqcup c} \rightarrow E_s$, the square (2.16) yields a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{s \sqcup \{c\}}^X \otimes E_{s \sqcup \{c\}} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{|s|} \rho_2(S_{s;c}^D \otimes (\wedge c))} & D_s^X \otimes E_s \\ \downarrow \iota_{s \sqcup \{c\}} & & \downarrow \iota_s \\ (D_{\bar{s} \setminus \{c\}})^X \otimes E_{\bar{s} \setminus \{c\}} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^n S_{\bar{s} \setminus \{c\}; c}^{D^{\text{mir}}} \otimes (\wedge c)} & (D_{\bar{s}}^{\text{mir}})^X \otimes E_{\bar{s}} \end{array}, \quad (2.18)$$

here S_*^D and $S_*^{D^{\text{mir}}}$ are the saddle cobordisms (2.12) which appear in the differentials. This implies that ι is a morphism of chain complexes. Since it is obviously an isomorphism, this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Let D^{mir} be the mirror image of a tangle diagram D . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\rho_2([D]) \cong [D^{\text{mir}}] \quad .$$

3 Genus-one morphism

We now define a morphism of chain complexes

$$\widehat{\Phi} : \langle\langle \text{X} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle \text{X} \rangle\rangle [1] \quad . \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 ([20, Proposition 3.1.3]). *Suppose we have a sequence*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

of chain morphisms in an additive category \mathcal{A} . If there is a chain homotopy $H : gf \Rightarrow 0$, that is, $dH + Hd = -gf$, then the morphism g factors through a morphism $\widehat{g} : \text{Cone}(f) \rightarrow Z$ given by

$$\widehat{g}^i = (g \quad -H) : \text{Cone}(f)^i = Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} \rightarrow Z^i \quad (3.2)$$

following the canonical morphism $Y \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)$.

We define the morphism Φ on the universal bracket complex $\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle$ induced by the following cobordism:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{circle} \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{loop} \end{array} \right] : \rangle \langle \rightarrow \rangle \langle \quad . \quad (3.3)$$

We also have the following single saddle operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{cup} \end{array} \right] : \text{X} \rightarrow \rangle \langle \quad , \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{cap} \end{array} \right] : \rangle \langle \rightarrow \text{X} \quad .$$

We denote by δ_- and δ_+ respectively the morphism induced on complexes $\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle$. We obtain the sequence of morphisms of chain complexes below:

$$\langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{-\delta_-} \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\Phi} \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{-\delta_+} \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle . \quad (3.4)$$

Proposition 3.2. *In the situation above, the compositions $\Phi\delta_-$ and $\delta_+\Phi$ are zero. Consequently, the sequence (3.4) induces a morphism of chain complexes*

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \left[\text{Diagram 1} \right] \otimes \check{c} - \left[\text{Diagram 2} \right] \otimes \check{c} : \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle [1].$$

Proof. The first statement follows from the equations:

$$\left[\text{Diagram 3} \right] = \left[\text{Diagram 4} \right] , \quad \left[\text{Diagram 5} \right] = \left[\text{Diagram 6} \right] .$$

We show the latter. By Proposition 2.13, we have identifications

$$\text{Cone}(-\delta_-) \cong \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle [-1] , \quad \text{Cone}(-\delta_+) \cong \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle [-1] .$$

Hence, in view of Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.2 yields a morphism of chain complexes $\widehat{\Phi}$ as required. \square

In what follows, the morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ is referred to as the *genus-one morphism*. The morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ induces a morphism

$$\left[\text{Diagram 7} \right] \rightarrow \left[\text{Diagram 8} \right] .$$

Moreover, it is of degree 0 with respect to Euler graded TQFT [1, 13].

Remark 3.3. In the definition of the morphism Φ , for the position of the 1-handle attaching in the second term, if we switch “left” to “right” and define Φ' , we have $\Phi' = -\Phi$ thanks to the relation $(4Tu)$ in Section 2.2.

Proposition 3.4. *Let D_- and D_+ be the same tangle diagram except for a crossing c whose sign is negative and positive, respectively. Let D_-^{mir} and D_+^{mir} be the mirror images of D_- and D_+ , respectively. Let χ be a checkerboard coloring. The crossing in D_{\pm}^{mir} corresponding to c is denoted by c^{mir} . Let $\widehat{\Phi}_c : \langle\langle D_-^x \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_+^x \rangle\rangle$ be the genus-one morphism that is applied to c . Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_2(\langle\langle D_+^x \rangle\rangle) & \xrightarrow{\rho_2(\widehat{\Phi}_c)} & \rho_2(\langle\langle D_-^x \rangle\rangle) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \langle\langle D_+^{\text{mir}x} \rangle\rangle[-n] & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_{c^{\text{mir}}}} & \langle\langle D_-^{\text{mir}x} \rangle\rangle[-n] \end{array} , \quad (3.5)$$

here the vertical isomorphisms are the ones in Proposition 2.14.

Proposition 3.4 is verified by the direct computation, so we omit the proof.

Remark 3.5. We note that, though there are other choices on morphisms of chain complexes of the form (3.1), some popular ones fail to have of bidegree $(0, 0)$ in the case of Khovanov homology. For example, the following link cobordisms realize another crossing change:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{X} = \text{K} \xrightarrow{\text{saddle}} \text{N} \xrightarrow{R_1^2} \text{N} \xrightarrow{\text{saddle}} \text{K} = \text{X} \end{array} . \quad (3.6)$$

It turns out that the induced morphism on Khovanov complexes coincides with the following composition:

$$\langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\beta} \langle\langle \text{K}^x \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle\langle \text{X}^x \rangle\rangle[-1] .$$

M. Hedden and L. Watson [7, Section 3.1] used this morphism to derive a categorified version of the Jones skein relation.

4 Invariance

In this section, we see that the genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ defined in Proposition 3.2 is invariant under moves involved with singular links. Namely, according to [2], two singular link diagrams represent isotopic singular links if and only if they are connected by the following moves in addition to Reidemeister moves:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{S} \leftrightarrow \text{S} , \quad \text{S} \leftrightarrow \text{S} , \quad \text{S} \leftrightarrow \text{S} \end{array} . \quad (4.1)$$

Motivated by this fact, we aim at proving the invariance of $\widehat{\Phi}$ under these moves in the sense of the following propositions, where the checkerboard colorings are omitted from the notation for simplicity.

Theorem 4.1. *There are chain-homotopy commutative squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle\langle \text{S}^{c_-} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_c} \langle\langle \text{S}^{c_+} \rangle\rangle[1] & , & \langle\langle \text{S}^{c_-} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_c} \langle\langle \text{S}^{c_+} \rangle\rangle[1] \\ \downarrow \simeq & \swarrow & \downarrow \simeq \\ \langle\langle \text{S}'^{c_-} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_{c'}} \langle\langle \text{S}'^{c_+} \rangle\rangle[1] & , & \langle\langle \text{S}'^{c_-} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_{c'}} \langle\langle \text{S}'^{c_+} \rangle\rangle[1] \end{array} , \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_- \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_a} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle [1] \\
\cong \downarrow & \swarrow & \parallel \\
\langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_- \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_b} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle [1]
\end{array} , \tag{4.3}$$

with vertical edges being chain homotopy equivalences.

Remark 4.2. We note that the second move in (4.1) is the mirror image of the first. By virtue of the duality of Khovanov homology (cf. Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 3.4), this implies that invariance under one move follows from that under the other. In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we concentrate on the first move in particular.

4.1 Homotopy coherence of mapping cones

As the genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ is obtained from the sequence (3.4), the invariance stated in Theorem 4.1 will be inherited from that of (3.4). We then begin with a discussion on this kind of inheritance of invariance.

We first see that mapping cones have functoriality with respect not only to commutative squares but also to chain-homotopy commutative ones. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category, and suppose we are given a chain-homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\
u \downarrow & \swarrow F & \downarrow v \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array} ; \tag{4.4}$$

in other words, F is a chain homotopy with $d_Y F + F d_{X'} = f u - v f'$. We define a morphism

$$F_*^i := \begin{pmatrix} v^i & -F^i \\ 0 & u^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} : Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} \rightarrow Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} \tag{4.5}$$

for each integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It turns out that the family $F_* = \{F_*^i\}$ forms a morphism of complexes $F_* : \text{Cone}(f') \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)$ which makes the following diagram commute (strictly):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Y' & \longrightarrow & \text{Cone}(f') & \longrightarrow & X'[-1] \\
v \downarrow & & \downarrow F_* & & \downarrow u \\
Y & \longrightarrow & \text{Cone}(f) & \longrightarrow & X[-1]
\end{array} .$$

Actually, the construction is invariant under chain homotopies in the following sense.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose we are given a chain-homotopy commutative diagram as below:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ u' \left(\begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{U} \\ \downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{u} \end{array} \right) & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow F \\ \searrow f \end{array} & v \left(\begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{V} \\ \downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{v'} \end{array} \right) v' \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad .$$

We define a chain homotopy $F' : v'f' \Rightarrow fu'$ by $F' := fU + F + Vf'$ and write $F_*, F'_* : \text{Cone}(f') \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)$ the morphisms of complexes induced by F and F' respectively. Then, there is a chain homotopy $\Psi : F_* \Rightarrow F'_*$ given by

$$\Psi^i = \begin{pmatrix} V^i & 0 \\ 0 & U^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} : Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} \rightarrow Y^{i-1} \oplus X^i \quad .$$

Corollary 4.4. *In the chain-homotopy commutative square (4.4), suppose in addition that u and v are both chain homotopy equivalences. Then the induced morphism F_* is also a chain homotopy equivalence.*

The mapping cones have further homotopy coherence.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose we have a chain-homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' \\ u \downarrow & \swarrow F & v \downarrow & \swarrow G & \downarrow w \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array} \quad (4.6)$$

of chain complexes such that $gf = 0$ and $g'f' = 0$ together with a family of morphisms $\Psi = \{\Psi^i : X^i \rightarrow Z^{i-2}\}$ satisfying the equation

$$d\Psi - \Psi d = g \circ F + G \circ f' \quad .$$

Write $\widehat{g} : \text{Cone}(f) \rightarrow Z$ and $\widehat{g}' : \text{Cone}(f') \rightarrow Z'$ the morphisms of complexes induced by g and g' respectively. Then, there is a chain homotopy depicted as below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cone}(f') & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}'} & Z' \\ F_* \downarrow & \swarrow \widehat{G} & \downarrow w \\ \text{Cone}(f) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}} & Z \end{array} \quad . \quad (4.7)$$

More precisely, $\widehat{G}^i : \text{Cone}(f')^i \rightarrow Z^{i-1}$ is presented by the matrix

$$\widehat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} G & -\Psi \end{pmatrix} : Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} \rightarrow Z^{i-1} \quad .$$

Proof. Using the explicit formulas (3.2) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d\widehat{G} + \widehat{G}d - \widehat{g}F_* + w\widehat{g}' \\ &= d_Z(G \ -\Psi) + (G \ -\Psi) \begin{pmatrix} d_{Y'} & f' \\ 0 & -d_{X'} \end{pmatrix} - (g \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} v & -F \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} + (wg' \ 0) \\ &= (d_ZG + Gd_{Y'} - gv + wg' \ -d_Z\Psi + \Psi d_{X'} + gF + Gf') \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The last term vanishes by virtue of the assumption, so we obtain the result. \square

Remark 4.6. The dual of Proposition 4.5 also holds. Namely, if the diagram (4.6) and the family Ψ are given as in Proposition 4.5, they induce a chain-homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}'} & \text{Cone}(g')[1] \\ u \downarrow & \swarrow \bar{F} & \downarrow G_* \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \text{Cone}(g)[1] \quad , \end{array}$$

where \bar{F} is given by the matrix

$$\bar{F}^i := \begin{pmatrix} -\Psi \\ F \end{pmatrix} : X^i \rightarrow Z^{i-2} \oplus Y^{i-1} \quad .$$

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose we are given a chain-homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' & \xrightarrow{h'} & W' \\ \downarrow & \swarrow F & \downarrow & \swarrow G & \downarrow & \swarrow H & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z & \xrightarrow{h} & W \end{array}$$

such that

$$gf = 0 \quad , \quad hg = 0 \quad , \quad g'f' = 0 \quad , \quad h'g' = 0$$

together with the following data:

- (i) families of morphisms $\Psi = \{\Psi^i : X^i \rightarrow Z^{i-2}\}_i$ and $\Xi = \{\Xi^i : Y^i \rightarrow W^{i-2}\}_i$ satisfying

$$d\Psi - \Psi d = gF + Gf' \quad , \quad d\Xi - \Xi d = hG + Hg' \quad ;$$

- (ii) a family of morphisms $\Gamma : \{\Gamma^i : X^i \rightarrow W^{i-3}\}$ satisfying

$$d\Gamma + \Gamma d = h\Psi - \Xi f' \quad .$$

Then, the diagram gives rise to a chain-homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cone}(f') & \longrightarrow & \text{Cone}(h')[1] \\ F_* \downarrow & \swarrow \Gamma_* & \downarrow H_* \\ \text{Cone}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cone}(h)[1] \quad , \end{array}$$

where the chain homotopy Γ_* is given by the following matrix:

$$\Gamma_*^i := \begin{pmatrix} -\Xi & \Gamma \\ G & -\Psi \end{pmatrix} : Y^{i-1} \oplus X^i \rightarrow W^{i-2} \oplus Z^{i-1} \quad .$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 4.5 with $H = 0$ and $H' = 0$, we obtain the following chain-homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Cone}(f') & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}'} & Z' & \xrightarrow{h'} & W' \\ \downarrow F_* & \swarrow \widehat{G} & \downarrow & \swarrow H & \downarrow \\ \text{Cone}(f) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}} & Z & \xrightarrow{h} & W \end{array} \quad .$$

We note that both of the horizontal compositions vanish while the assumption on Ξ and Γ implies

$$d_W (\Xi \quad -\Gamma) + (\Xi \quad -\Gamma) \begin{pmatrix} d_{Y'} & f' \\ 0 & -d_{X'} \end{pmatrix} = h\widehat{G} + H\widehat{g}' \quad .$$

Therefore, applying Proposition 4.5 again (or its dual more precisely; see Remark 4.6), one obtains the result. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1: I

We now begin the proof of Theorem 4.1. In this section, we first discuss the squares (4.2); as mentioned in Remark 4.2, we especially prove the homotopy commutativity of the left square in (4.2).

In the proof, we make use of Corollary 4.7. For this, we first construct a chain-homotopy commutative square in the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{-\delta_-^R} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\Phi^R} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{-\delta_+^R} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \rangle\rangle \\ \gamma \downarrow & \swarrow F & \downarrow -\omega & \swarrow G & \downarrow \omega & \swarrow H & \downarrow \gamma \\ \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{-\delta_-^L} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\Phi^L} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{-\delta_+^L} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \end{array} \rangle\rangle \end{array} \quad , \quad (4.8)$$

here δ_{\pm}^R and δ_{\pm}^L are the saddle operations representing the appropriate smoothing changes on the crossings, say, in the right and the left of the vertical strands; while Φ^R and Φ^L are the morphisms given in (3.3) on those crossings. On the other hand, γ and ω are

morphisms given as follows (see Section 2.3 for sign symbols):

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &:= \text{[diagram 1]} \otimes \text{id} + \text{[diagram 2]} \otimes b'_\dagger \check{b} + \text{[diagram 3]} \otimes a'_\dagger \check{a} + \text{[diagram 4]} \otimes (a'b')_\dagger (\overline{ab}) \quad , \\
\omega &:= \text{[diagram 5]} \otimes a'_\dagger \check{b} + \text{[diagram 6]} \otimes b'_\dagger \check{b} - \text{[diagram 7]} \otimes a'_\dagger \check{a} - \text{[diagram 8]} \otimes b'_\dagger \check{a} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.8. *The morphisms γ and ω given in (4.9) are chain homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. It is obvious that γ is even an isomorphism. On the other hand, recall that Bar-Natan defined in [1, pp.1458] chain homotopy equivalences

$$R_{\text{II}} : \left\langle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right\rangle \right\rangle \Leftrightarrow \left\langle \left\langle \right\rangle \right\rangle \left(\left(\right) \right) [1] : \bar{R}_{\text{II}} \tag{4.10}$$

that are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\text{II}}^i &:= \text{[diagram 9]} \otimes \check{b} - \text{[diagram 10]} \otimes \check{a} : \left\langle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right\rangle \right\rangle^i \rightarrow \left\langle \left\langle \right\rangle \right\rangle^{i-1} \left(\left(\right) \right)^{i-1} \quad , \\
\bar{R}_{\text{II}}^i &:= \text{[diagram 11]} \otimes b_\dagger + \text{[diagram 12]} \otimes a_\dagger : \left\langle \left\langle \right\rangle \right\rangle^{i-1} \left(\left(\right) \right)^{i-1} \rightarrow \left\langle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right\rangle \right\rangle^i \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that ω is realized as a composition of R_{II} and \bar{R}_{II} with respect to different pairs of strands. Hence it is also a chain homotopy equivalence. \square

To complete the diagram (4.8), we define the following families of morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^i &:= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram}_1 \otimes b'_i(\overline{ab}) + \text{diagram}_2 \otimes \check{b} \right) : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-1} , \\
G^i &:= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram}_3 \otimes a'_i(\overline{ba}) + \text{diagram}_4 \otimes b'_i(\overline{ba}) + \text{diagram}_5 \otimes \check{b} - \text{diagram}_6 \otimes \check{a} \right) \\
&\quad : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-1} , \\
H^i &:= (-1)^{i+1} \left(\text{diagram}_7 \otimes a'_i(\overline{ba}) + \text{diagram}_8 \otimes \check{a} \right) : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-1} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

By direct computations, one can prove that the families $F = \{F^i\}_i$, $G = \{G^i\}_i$, and $H = \{H^i\}_i$ given in (4.11) define chain homotopies

$$F : \omega \delta_-^R \Rightarrow -\delta_-^L \gamma , \quad G : \omega \Phi^R \Rightarrow -\Phi^L \omega , \quad H : -\gamma \delta_+^R \Rightarrow -\delta_+^L \omega .$$

We next construct families $\Psi_- = \{\Psi_-^i\}_i$ and $\Psi_+ = \{\Psi_+^i\}_i$ of morphisms

$$\Psi_+^i : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-2} , \quad \Psi_-^i : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-2}$$

which are coherences of the left two squares and the right ones in (4.8) in the sense of Proposition 4.5, that is, they satisfy

$$d\Psi_- - \Psi_- d = \Phi^L F + G \delta_-^R , \tag{4.12}$$

$$d\Psi_+ - \Psi_+ d = \delta_+^L G + H \Phi^R . \tag{4.13}$$

Lemma 4.9. *We define*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_-^i &:= \text{diagram}_9 \otimes \overline{ab} : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-2} , \\
\Psi_+^i &:= \text{diagram}_{10} \otimes \overline{ab} : \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle^{i-2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Then, they satisfy the equations (4.12) and (4.13) respectively.

Proof. We only show the equation (4.12); actually (4.13) is obtained by rotating (4.12) in 180 degrees around the z -axis with a little care about the change of the sign of the morphism Φ (see Remark 3.3).

By direct computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\Psi_-^i &= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 1} \right) \otimes a'_+(\overline{ab}) + (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 2} \right) \otimes b'_+(\overline{ab}) \quad , \\
 -\Psi_-^{i+1}d &= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 3} \right) \otimes \check{b} - (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 4} \right) \otimes \check{a} \quad .
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as for the right hand side of (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi^\perp)^i F^i &= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 5} - \text{diagram 6} \right) \otimes b'_+(\overline{ab}) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 7} - \text{diagram 8} \right) \otimes \check{b}
 \end{aligned}$$


and

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^i(\delta_-^R)^i &= (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 9} \right) \otimes a'_+(\overline{ab}) + (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 10} \right) \otimes b'_+(\overline{ab}) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 11} \right) \otimes \check{b} - (-1)^i \left(\text{diagram 12} \right) \otimes \check{a} \quad .
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{the first term of } d\Psi_-^i) &= (\text{the first term of } G^i(\delta_-^R)^i) \quad , \\
 (\text{the second term of } -\Psi_-^{i+1}d) &= (\text{the fourth term of } G^i(\delta_-^R)^i) \quad .
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

In addition, due to the relation (4Tu) with respect to tubes attached to the disks in the cobordisms



we also obtain the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{the second term of } d\Psi_-^i) &= (\text{the first term of } (\Phi^L)^i F^i) \\
&\quad + (\text{the second term of } G^i(\delta_-^R)^i) \quad , \\
(\text{the second term of } -\Psi_-^{i+1}d) &= (\text{the first term of } (\Phi^L)^i F^i) \\
&\quad + (\text{the third term of } G^i(\delta_-^R)^i) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Adding (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain the result. \square

Finally, we apply Corollary 4.7 to the diagram 4.8. In fact, we have

$$\delta_+^R \Psi_- = \left(\text{diagram 1} \right) \otimes \bar{a}\bar{b} = \left(\text{diagram 2} \right) \otimes \bar{a}\bar{b} = \Psi_+ \delta_-^L \quad .$$

Hence, all the assumptions in Corollary 4.7 are satisfied with respect to Ψ_- , Ψ_+ , and $\Gamma = 0$. Therefore, a chain homotopy in the left square of (4.2) is induced. Moreover, by Lemma 4.8, all the vertical arrows in (4.8) are chain homotopy equivalences. It then follows from Corollary 4.4 that the vertical arrows in (4.2) are chain homotopy equivalences. This proves the homotopy commutativity of the squares in (4.2).

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1: II

In contrast to the arguments in the previous section, the homotopy commutativity of Eq. (4.3) is relatively easy. In fact, it is a consequence of the following lemma, whose proof is left to the reader as it is mostly straightforward.

Lemma 4.10. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle\langle \rangle\rangle \left(\langle\langle \rangle\rangle [1] \right) & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}_{\text{II}}^\circ} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_- \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle \\
\bar{R}_{\text{II}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\Phi}_a \\
\langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_- \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}_b} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle [1]
\end{array} \quad , \tag{4.17}$$

here the morphisms \bar{R}_{II} and $\bar{R}_{\text{II}}^\circ$ are the ones given in (4.10) while the latter is applied to 180-degree-rotated diagrams.

We write R_{II}° the chain homotopy inverse to $\bar{R}_{\text{II}}^\circ$ in the diagram (4.17) described in (4.10). Using the chain homotopy $\text{id} \Rightarrow R_{\text{II}}^\circ \bar{R}_{\text{II}}^\circ$, one can define a chain homotopy H as

in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_- \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_a} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle[1] \\
\bar{R}_{\Pi} R_{\Pi}^{\circ} \downarrow & \swarrow H & \parallel \\
\langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_- \end{array} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_b} & \langle\langle \begin{array}{c} a_+ \\ b_+ \end{array} \rangle\rangle[1]
\end{array}$$

Since the morphism \bar{R}_{Π} is also a chain homotopy equivalence, the left vertical arrow above is a chain homotopy equivalence. This verifies the homotopy commutativity of Eq. (4.3). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is finally completed.

5 Universal Khovanov complex for singular tangles

Using the invariance of the genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ proved in Section 4, we can now extend the universal Khovanov complex to singular tangles so that an analogue of Vassiliev skein relation holds.

5.1 Definition

We first extend the universal bracket complex $\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle$ to singular tangle diagrams. For a singular tangle diagram D , we denote by $c^{\sharp}(D)$ the set of double points of D . We call each subset $\mathfrak{r} \subset c^{\sharp}(D)$ a *resolution scheme* of D and denote by $|\mathfrak{r}|$ the cardinality of \mathfrak{r} . For each resolution scheme \mathfrak{r} , we obtain an ordinary tangle diagram (i.e. without double points) $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$ which is identical to D except near the double points where we have

D	$D_{\mathfrak{r}} (b \notin \mathfrak{r})$	$D_{\mathfrak{r}} (b \in \mathfrak{r})$
		

for each $b \in c^{\sharp}(D)$. In particular, a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$ induces that on $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$ for each resolution scheme \mathfrak{r} . Hence, if $b \notin \mathfrak{r}$, the genus-one morphism yields a morphism of chain complexes

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{r},b} : \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\chi} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r} \cup \{b\}}^{\chi} \rangle\rangle[1] \quad .$$

We now define $\langle\langle D^{\chi} \rangle\rangle$ as a graded object in $\mathit{Cob}_2^{\ell}(\partial^{-} D^{\chi}, \partial^{+} D^{\chi})$ by

$$\langle\langle D^{\chi} \rangle\rangle := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{r} \subset c^{\sharp}(D)} \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\chi} \rangle\rangle[2|\mathfrak{r}|] \otimes E_{\mathfrak{r}} \quad .$$

The differential $d_D = \{d_D^i\}_i$ consists of $d_D^i : \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle^i \rightarrow \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle^{i+1}$ which is componentwisely given by

$$d_{D_\tau}^{i+2|\tau|} \otimes \text{id}_{E_\tau} + \sum_{b \in c^\sharp(D) \setminus \tau} \widehat{\Phi}_{\tau,b} \otimes (\wedge b) : \\ \langle\langle D_\tau^x \rangle\rangle[2|\tau|]^i \otimes E_\tau \rightarrow (\langle\langle D_\tau^x \rangle\rangle[2|\tau|]^{i+1} \otimes E_\tau) \oplus \bigoplus_{b \in c^\sharp(D) \setminus \tau} \langle\langle D_{\tau \cup \{b\}}^x \rangle\rangle[2|\tau| + 2]^{i+1} \otimes E_{\tau \cup \{b\}}$$

for each resolution scheme τ .

We see that the complex $\langle\langle D \rangle\rangle$ is also realized as an iterated mapping cone. For this, fix a double point $b \in c^\sharp(D)$ and let $D^{(+)}$ and $D^{(-)}$ be diagrams obtained from D by resolving b into positive and negative crossings respectively. The set $c^\sharp(D_\pm)$ is then identified with $c^\sharp(D) \setminus \{b\}$ so that, for each resolution scheme $\tau \subset c^\sharp(D) \setminus \{b\}$, we have $D_\tau^{(-)} = D_\tau$ and $D_\tau^{(+)} = D_{\tau \cup \{b\}}$. Under these identifications, one can see that the inclusion and the projection yield the following exact sequence of morphisms of chain complexes in $\mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x)$:

$$\langle\langle D^{(+),x} \rangle\rangle[2] \xrightarrow{b_\tau} \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D^{(-),x} \rangle\rangle \quad . \quad (5.1)$$

On the other hand, for each $\tau \subset c^\sharp(D) \setminus \{b\}$, we have genus-one morphism $\langle\langle D_\tau^{(-),x} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_\tau^{(+),x} \rangle\rangle[1]$. As τ varies in resolution schemes on $D^{(-)}$, it turns out that it defines a morphism

$$\widehat{\Phi} : \langle\langle D^{(-),x} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D^{(+),x} \rangle\rangle[1] \quad (5.2)$$

which we again call *genus-one morphism*.

Proposition 5.1. *In the situation above, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle \cong \text{Cone} \left(\langle\langle D^{(-),x} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} \langle\langle D^{(+),x} \rangle\rangle[1] \right) [1] \quad (5.3)$$

in the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^x, \partial^+ D^x))$ so that the associated exact sequence is exactly (5.1).

The proof is almost identical to Proposition 2.13 and so omitted.

We now extend the universal Khovanov complex to singular tangles diagrams by normalizing the degree of the universal bracket complex. The argument is almost parallel to the case of ordinary tangles.

Definition 5.2. Let D be a singular tangle diagram with n_- negative crossings and n_\times double points. We write χ_w the checkerboard coloring with negatively unbounded white component. Then, we set

$$[D] := \langle\langle D^{\chi_w} \rangle\rangle[-n_- - 2n_\times] \in \mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^{\chi_w}, \partial^+ D^{\chi_w}) \quad (5.4)$$

and call it the *universal Khovanov complex* of D .

It is obvious that, if D has no double point, the definition agrees with the ordinary universal Khovanov complex. In Section 5.3, we see that $[-]$ defines an invariant of singular tangles up to chain homotopies.

Corollary 5.3. *In the same situation as Proposition 5.1, there is an isomorphism*

$$[[D]] \cong \text{Cone} \left([[D^{(-)}]] \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} [[D^{(+)}]] \right) .$$

We may think of Corollary 5.3 as a categorified analogue of *Vassiliev skein relation*. Namely, it gives rise to a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \bullet \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] [-1] \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} \cdots \quad (5.5)$$

in the homotopy category (with the standard triangulated structure). In fact, if we write $[-]$ the image of the universal Khovanov complex $[[-]]$ in the K -group, (5.5) yields the equation

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \bullet \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right] ,$$

which is exactly the Vassiliev skein relation.

Example 5.4. Evaluating the sequence (5.5) with the Euler-graded TQFT associated with the Frobenius algebra $k[x]/(x^2)$, we obtain the following long exact sequence of Khovanov homologies with coefficients in k :

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Kh}^{i,j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} ; k \right) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_*} \text{Kh}^{i,j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} ; k \right) \longrightarrow \text{Kh}^{i,j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \bullet \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} ; k \right) \\ \searrow \\ \text{Kh}^{i+1,j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} ; k \right) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_*} \text{Kh}^{i+1,j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} ; k \right) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

In case k is a field, one can recover the Vassiliev skein relation for the Jones polynomial by taking the graded Euler characteristics.

5.2 Composition formulas

As seen in Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.7, the universal bracket complexes behave well for compositions and tensor products of tangle diagrams. Actually, there are analogous isomorphisms for singular tangle diagrams. We define compositions and tensor products of singular tangle diagrams in the same manner as the non-singular case.

Theorem 5.5. *Let D be the composition of two singular tangle diagrams, say D' and D'' . For a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, let us write χ' and χ'' respectively the induced coloring on the complements of D' and D'' . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle D'^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D''^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle \quad (5.6)$$

in the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^\chi, \partial^+ D^\chi)) = \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D'^{\chi'}, \partial^+ D''^{\chi''}))$.

Proof. We may identify the double points in D' and D'' with those in D ; in other words, $c^\sharp(D) = c^\sharp(D') \amalg c^\sharp(D'')$. For a resolution scheme $\mathfrak{r} \subset c^\sharp(D)$, we write $\mathfrak{r}' := \mathfrak{r} \cap c^\sharp(D')$ and $\mathfrak{r}'' := \mathfrak{r} \cap c^\sharp(D'')$. Then, by Proposition 2.7 implies that there is an isomorphism

$$\langle\langle D_\tau^\chi \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle \quad . \quad (5.7)$$

In addition, for any pair of integers (p, q) , the morphism

$$(-1)^{iq} * \text{id} : \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle^{i-p} * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle^{j-q} \rightarrow \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle^{i-p} * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle^{j-q}$$

defines an isomorphism

$$\langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle[p] * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle[q] \cong (\langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle)[p+q] \quad . \quad (5.8)$$

Thanks to the identifications (5.7) and (5.8), we obtain (5.6) as an isomorphism of graded objects in $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^\chi, \partial^+ D^\chi)$. Furthermore, for each $b \in c^\sharp(D) \setminus \mathfrak{r}$, the genus-one morphism $\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{r}, b} : \langle\langle D_\tau^\chi \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r} \cup \{b\}}^\chi \rangle\rangle[1]$ with respect to b is given by

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{r}, b} = \begin{cases} \text{id} * \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{r}', b} : \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r}' \cup \{b\}}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle[1] & b \in c^\sharp(D') \quad , \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{r}'', b} * \text{id} : \langle\langle D_{\tau''}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle D_{\mathfrak{r}'' \cup \{b\}}^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle[1] * \langle\langle D_{\tau'}^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle & b \in c^\sharp(D'') \quad . \end{cases}$$

Using this formula, one can easily verify that the differentials on the complexes in (5.6) agree with each other. Hence, the result follows. \square

The same argument also shows the following.

Theorem 5.6. *Let D be the tensor product of two singular tangle diagrams, say D' and D'' . For a checkerboard coloring χ on $(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \setminus D$, we write χ' and χ'' respectively the induced coloring on the complements of D' and D'' . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle D'^{\chi'} \rangle\rangle \otimes \langle\langle D''^{\chi''} \rangle\rangle$$

in the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D^\chi, \partial^+ D^\chi)) = \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\partial^- D'^{\chi'} \otimes \partial^- D''^{\chi''}, \partial^+ D'^{\chi'} \otimes \partial^+ D''^{\chi''}))$.

5.3 Invariance

Using the results obtained in Section 5.2, we now prove that the extended universal Khovanov complex yields an invariant for singular tangles. To be more precise, by an (oriented) *singular tangle*, we mean a compact oriented immersed submanifold $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ of dimension 1 such that

- (a) it has only finitely many transverse double points as singularities; and
- (b) it is a neat submanifold near the boundary $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0, 1\}$.

We always assume a singular tangle T to be “generic” so that the image of T under the projection $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ defines a singular tangle diagram D ; in this case, we call D *the* diagram of T . We also consider isotopies between them in the same way as the non-singular case (see Section 2.4).

Theorem 5.7. *The assignment $D \mapsto \llbracket D \rrbracket$ is invariant under the local moves (4.1) in addition to the Reidemeister moves up to chain homotopy equivalences. Consequently, it defines an ambient isotopy invariant for singular tangles.*

Proof. We first show that $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ defines an isotopy invariant of tangles; in other words, we show that, if D and D' are the diagrams of isotopic singular tangles, then there is a chain homotopy equivalence $\llbracket D \rrbracket \simeq \llbracket D' \rrbracket$. Since chain homotopy equivalences compose, we may assume that D and D' are connected by a single elementary move; that is, one of the moves (4.1) and Reidemeister moves. Furthermore, by virtue of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6, we are reduced to the case where D and D' are exactly the local tangles involved with the move. For Reidemeister moves, the result is nothing but the invariance of the universal Khovanov complexes for ordinary tangles. On the other hand, for moves (4.1), the result is exactly Theorem 4.1.

Now, the isomorphism in the statement is exactly Corollary 5.3. To verify the last statement, set $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ and let $Z : \mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ be the 2-dimensional TQFT associated with the Frobenius algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2)$. One can easily verify that the image $Z(\widehat{\Phi})$ of the genus-one morphism coincides with the *genus-one map* introduced in [8, Section 3.2]. Combining this observation with Corollary 5.3, we can conclude that, for every singular link diagram D , the image $Z(\llbracket D \rrbracket)$ is isomorphic to the complex constructed in [8, Section 4.2]. This completes the proof. \square

5.4 The FI relation

We now prove that our extension of the universal Khovanov complex satisfies a categorified version of the FI relation. Note that, as seen in Fig. 1.1, the FI relation comes from a comparison between crossing change and two-fold Reidemeister moves of type I. Thus, one can realize it as a *commutativity* with Reidemeister moves of type I. We here consider the universal bracket complex for a technical reason.




Figure 5.1: Local pictures for D (left), $D^{(+)}$ (middle), and $D^{(-)}$ (right)

In order to formulate the commutativity, we recall the morphism on the universal bracket complexes associated with the Reidemeister move of type I. Namely, according to Bar-Natan [1], we have the following chain homotopy equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_1^- &:= \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \otimes c_1^- : \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x \rightarrow \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x[-1] \quad , \\ \bar{R}_1^+ &:= \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \otimes \text{id} - \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \otimes \text{id} : \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x \rightarrow \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Using these morphisms, we prove the following.


Theorem 5.8. *Suppose D , $D^{(+)}$, and $D^{(-)}$ are singular tangle diagrams which differ only by local pictures as in Fig. 5.1. Then, for arbitrary checkerboard coloring χ on the complement of D , the following diagram commutes strictly:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \langle\langle D^x \rangle\rangle & \\ \bar{R}_1^- \swarrow & & \searrow \bar{R}_1^+ \\ \langle\langle D^{(-),x} \rangle\rangle[-1] & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}} & \langle\langle D^{(+),x} \rangle\rangle \end{array}$$

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6, we are reduced to the case where D , $D^{(-)}$, and $D^{(+)}$ are exactly the local pictures in Fig. 5.1. Hence, by the relation (4Tu) in Section 2.2, it turns out that the genus-one morphism $\hat{\Phi}$ is given as follows:

$$\hat{\Phi} = \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \otimes c_1^- - \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \otimes c_1^- : \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x \rightarrow \langle\langle \text{Diagram} \rangle\rangle^x[1] \quad .$$

Therefore, we can verify the result by observing the following diffeomorphisms of cobordisms:



□




Figure 5.2: A double point in FI relation.

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose D is a singular tangle diagram one of whose double point is of the form in Fig. 5.2. Then, for every checkerboard coloring χ , the universal bracket complex $\langle\langle D^\chi \rangle\rangle$ is contractible, i.e. the identity is null-homotopic. Consequently, we also have $\llbracket D \rrbracket \simeq 0$.*

Proof. The assertion is equivalent to saying that the genus-one morphism of the form

$$\widehat{\Phi} : \langle\langle c^- \text{ (diagram)} \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle c^+ \text{ (diagram)} \rangle\rangle[1]$$

is a chain homotopy equivalence, which is exactly Theorem 5.8. □

5.5 Examples

We conclude the paper with some examples of our invariant for singular links. Recall that Khovanov [11] classified Frobenius algebras which give rise to link invariants. Namely, for a fixed coefficient ring k , and for two elements $h, t \in k$, we define a k -algebra $C_{h,t} := k[x]/(x^2 - hx - t)$ with a Frobenius algebra structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1) &:= 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 - hx \otimes x, & \Delta(x) &:= x \otimes x + t1 \otimes 1, \\ \varepsilon(1) &:= 0, & \varepsilon(x) &:= 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote by $Z_{h,t} : \mathbf{Cob}_2(\emptyset, \emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_k$ the associated TQFT, then it turns out that it induces a symmetric monoidal k -linear functor $\mathbf{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_k$, which is again written $Z_{h,t}$ by abuse of notation. Then, for a singular link diagram D , we define

$$\llbracket D \rrbracket_{h,t} := Z_{h,t}(\llbracket D \rrbracket).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.7, the homology of the complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket_{h,t}$ is an invariant of the singular link defined by D .

We compute the complex $\llbracket - \rrbracket_{h,t}$ for the following three diagrams:

$$D^{(1)} = \text{(diagram)}, \quad D^{(2)} = \text{(diagram)}, \quad D^{(3)} = \text{(diagram)}$$

Unwinding the definition, one sees that the chain complex $\langle\langle D^{(1)} \rangle\rangle$ is isomorphic to the

cochain complex associated to the following skew-commutative diagram in $\mathcal{Cob}_2^\ell(\emptyset, \emptyset)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{[Diagram 1]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{[Diagram 2]} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{[Diagram 3]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{[Diagram 4]} \\
\delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta \\
\text{[Diagram 5]} & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \text{[Diagram 6]} & \xrightarrow{-\Phi} & \text{[Diagram 7]} & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \text{[Diagram 8]}
\end{array} , \quad (5.10)$$

here the morphisms with label δ are saddles while the ones with Φ are the morphisms given in (3.3). Applying the functor $Z_{h,t}$, we obtain a skew-commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{0} & C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C_{h,t} \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \mu \\
C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{-\Delta} & C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{-\varphi} & C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t} & \xrightarrow{-\mu} & C_{h,t}
\end{array} , \quad (5.11)$$

here μ and Δ are the multiplication and the comultiplication of the Frobenius algebra $C_{h,t}$ respectively and $\varphi : C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t} \rightarrow C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t}$ is given by

$$\varphi(a \otimes b) := a \otimes xb - ax \otimes b .$$

It turns out that the bottom row of (5.11) is exact so that we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(1)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \cong \begin{cases} \ker \mu & i = -3 , \\ \text{coker } \Delta & i = 0 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

A similar computation also shows that

$$H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(2)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \cong \begin{cases} \ker \mu & i = -1 , \\ \text{coker } \Delta & i = 2 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

Finally, by virtue of Corollary 5.3, we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{i-1} \left(\llbracket D^{(3)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \rightarrow H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(1)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}} H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(2)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \rightarrow H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(3)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \rightarrow \dots$$

of k -modules. Note that, as seen in (5.12) and (5.13), the cohomology groups of $\llbracket D^{(1)} \rrbracket_{h,t}$ and $\llbracket D^{(2)} \rrbracket_{h,t}$ are direct summands of the free k -module $C_{h,t} \otimes C_{h,t}$ and hence all projective. Therefore, we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^i \left(\llbracket D^{(3)} \rrbracket_{h,t} \right) \cong \begin{cases} \ker \mu & i = -4 , \\ \ker \mu \oplus \text{coker } \Delta & i = -1 , \\ \text{coker } \Delta & i = 2 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgments

We are deeply grateful to Professor Toshitake Kohno whose guidance and supports were invaluable during our study. We would like to thank Professor Jun Murakami for his kind encouragement. We are also indebted to Professor J. Scott Carter for helping us communicate with expert researchers. Professor Louis H. Kauffman gave us constructive feedbacks in MSCS Quantum Topology Seminar.

References

- [1] D. Bar-Natan. Khovanov’s homology for tangles and cobordisms. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1443–1499, 2005.
- [2] K. Bataineh, M. Elhamdadi, M. Hajij, and W. Youmans. Generating sets of Reidemeister moves of oriented singular links and quandles. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 27(14):1850064–1–15, 2018.
- [3] J. S. Birman. New points of view in knot theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 28(2):253–287, 1993.
- [4] J. S. Birman and X.-S. Lin. Knot polynomials and Vassiliev’s invariants. *Invent. Math.*, 111(2):225–270, 1993.
- [5] D. Clark, S. Morrison, and K. Walker. Fixing the functoriality of Khovanov homology. *Geometry & Topology*, 13(3):1499–1582, 2009.
- [6] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin. *Stable mappings and their singularities*. Number 14 in Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [7] M. Hedden and L. Watson. On the geography and botany of knot Floer homology. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 24(2):997–1037, 2018.
- [8] N. Ito and J. Yoshida. Crossing change on Khovanov homology and a categorified Vassiliev skein relation. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, accepted; *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1911.09308, November 2019.
- [9] M. Jacobsson. An invariant of link cobordisms from Khovanov homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4:1211–1251, 2004.
- [10] K. Jänich. On the classification of $O(n)$ -manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 176:53–76, 1968.
- [11] M. Khovanov. Link homology and Frobenius extensions. *Fund. Math.*, 190:179–190, 2006.
- [12] M. Kontsevich. Vassiliev’s knot invariants. In *I. M. Gel’fand Seminar*, volume 16 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 137–150. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

- [13] A. D. Lauda and H. Pfeiffer. Open-closed TQFTS extend Khovanov homology from links to tangles. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 18(1):87–150, 2009.
- [14] G. Laures. On cobordism of manifolds with corners. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(12):5667–5688, 2000.
- [15] E. S. Lee. An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant. *Adv. Math.*, 197(2):554–586, 2005.
- [16] S. MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, second edition, 1998. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.
- [17] G. Naot. The universal Khovanov link homology theory. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:1863–1892, 2006.
- [18] C. J. Schommer-Pries. *The classification of two-dimensional extended topological field theories*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley.
- [19] V. A. Vassiliev. Cohomology of knot spaces. In *Theory of singularities and its applications*, volume 1 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 23–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [20] J-L. Verdier. *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*. Number 239 in Astérisque. Société mathématique de France, 1996. Edited by Maltisiniotis Georges.