

The Apéry Numbers As a Stieltjes Moment Sequence

G. A. Edgar

January 20, 2017
Appendix, August 15, 2020

The *Apéry sequence* [2] [12, A005259] is

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From the reference (or a CAS¹) we find that it satisfies the recurrence

$$(n+1)^3 A_{n+1} - (34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5)A_n + n^3 A_{n-1} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_1 = 5.$$

We will show that the sequence (A_n) is a *Stieltjes moment sequence*. In fact:

Theorem 1. *There is $c > 0$ and a positive Lebesgue integrable function φ such that*

$$A_n = \int_0^c x^n \varphi(x) dx$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Definition 2. We say φ is the *moment density function* for (A_n) .

Notes

I have tried to make the argument as short as possible. This means many asides and variations have been removed.

Some of the proofs may be done using a computer algebra system (CAS). I used Maple 2015. These are the sort of thing that—until 1980 or later—would have been done by paper-and-pencil computation. I have added some of the Maple as footnotes.

This result arose from a question asked by Alan Sokal. It was posted on the MathOverflow discussion board [8]. Pietro Majer provided the idea to use the differential equation.

¹ SumTools[Hypergeometric][Zeilberger](binomial(n,k)^2*binomial(n+k,k)^2,n,k,En);

Notation 3. We will use these values.

$$c = (\sqrt{2} + 1)^4 = 17 + 12\sqrt{2} \approx 33.9705$$

$$c_0 = (\sqrt{2} + 1)^{-4} = \frac{1}{c} = 34 - c = 17 - 12\sqrt{2} \approx 0.0294$$

The Differential Equation

We proceed with a discussion of this² third-order holonomic Fuchsian ODE:

$$x^2(x^2 - 34x + 1)u'''(x) + 3x(2x^2 - 51x + 1)u''(x) + (7x^2 - 112x + 1)u'(x) + (x - 5)u(x) = 0. \quad (\text{DE3})$$

We consider x a complex variable, and sometimes consider solutions in the complex plane.

Differential equation (DE3) has four singularities: $\infty, 0, c_0, c$. They are all regular singular points. Series solutions exist adjacent to each of them. From the Frobenius “series solution” method³ [5, Ch. 5] [4, Ch. 3] we may describe these series solutions:

Proposition 4. *The general solution of (DE3) near the complex singular point ∞ has the form*

$$A \left(\frac{1}{x} + o(x^{-1}) \right) + B \left(\frac{\log x}{x} + o(x^{-1}) \right) + C \left(\frac{(\log x)^2}{x} + o(x^{-1}) \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for complex constants A, B, C . The general solution of (DE3) near the singular point 0 has the form

$$A(1 + o(1)) + B(\log x + o(1)) + C((\log x)^2 + o(1))$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$, for complex constants A, B, C . The general solution of (DE3) near the singular point c_0 has the form

$$A \left(1 - \frac{240 + 169\sqrt{2}}{48}(x - c_0) + O(|x - c_0|^2) \right) + B \left((x - c_0)^{1/2} + O(|x - c_0|^{3/2}) \right) + C \left((x - c_0) + O(|x - c_0|^2) \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow c_0$, for complex constants A, B, C . The general solution of (DE3) near the singular point c has the form

$$A \left(1 - \frac{240 - 169\sqrt{2}}{48}(x - c) + O(|x - c|^2) \right) + B \left((x - c)^{1/2} + O(|x - c|^{3/2}) \right) + C \left((x - c) + O(|x - c|^2) \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow c$, for complex constants A, B, C .

² DE3:=x^2*(x^2-34*x+1)*diff(u(x),x\$3)+3*x*(2*x^2-51*x+1)*(diff(u(x),x\$2))+(7*x^2-112*x+1)*diff(u(x),x)+(x-5)*u(x);
³ dsolve(DE3,u(x),series,x=c);

Corollary 5. *If $u(x)$ is any solution of (DE3) on $(0, c_0)$ or on (c_0, c) , then $u(x)$ has at worst logarithmic singularities. So $u(x)$ is (absolutely, Lebesgue) integrable.*

Notation 6. Four particular solutions of (DE3) will be named for use here:

- Solution $u_\infty(x) = 1/x + o(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, defined in the complex plane cut on the real axis interval $[0, c]$.
- Solution $u_0(x) = 1 + o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, defined for $0 < x < c_0$.
- Solution $v_0(x) = \log x + o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, defined for $0 < x < c_0$.
- Solution $v_2(x) = (c - x)^{1/2} + O(|x - c|^{3/2})$ as $x \rightarrow c^-$, defined for $c_0 < x < c$.

Proposition 7. *The Maclaurin series for $u_0(x)$ is the generating function for the Apéry sequence:*

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n x^n, \quad |x| < c_0.$$

Proof. This may be checked by your CAS. The recurrence (1)⁴ converted to a differential equation⁵ yields (DE3). Of course the radius of convergence extends to the nearest singularity at c_0 . \square

Corollary 8. $u_0(x) > 0$ for $0 < x < c_0$.

Determining the signs of v_0 and v_2 will be more difficult.

Proposition 9. *The Laurent coefficients for $u_\infty(z)$ are the Apéry numbers:*

$$u_\infty(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{z^{n+1}}, \quad |z| > c.$$

Proof. Check that if $u(x)$ is a solution of (DE3), then $w(z) = u(1/z)/z$ is also a solution of (DE3). Matching the boundary conditions, we get

$$u_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{z} u_0\left(\frac{1}{z}\right).$$

Apply Prop. 7. \square

Note: In general, for other similar sequences that can be handled in this same way:

- (a) the generating function for the sequence, and
- (b) the moment density function for the sequence

satisfy *different* differential equations.

⁴ `Rec:=(n+1)^3*Q(n+1)-(34*n^3+51*n^2+27*n+5)*Q(n)+n^3*Q(n-1);`
⁵ `gfun[rectodiffeq]({Rec,Q(0)=1,Q(1)=5},Q(n),u(x));`




Figure 1: $u_\infty(x)$




Figure 2: $u_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$




Figure 3: $v_2(x)$

The Function φ

Series solution u_∞ of (DE3) is meromorphic and single-valued near ∞ . It continues analytically to the complex plane with a cut on the interval $[0, c]$ of the real axis. We will still use the notation u_∞ for that continuation. Since the Laurent coefficients are all real, we have

$$u_\infty(\bar{z}) = \overline{u_\infty(z)} \quad (2)$$

near ∞ , and therefore on the whole domain. In particular, $u_\infty(z)$ is real for z on the real axis (except the cut, of course). Define upper and lower values on the cut $0 < x < c$:

$$u_\infty(x + i0) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} u_\infty(x + i\delta), \quad u_\infty(x - i0) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} u_\infty(x - i\delta).$$

Then from (2) we have

$$u_\infty(x - i0) = \overline{u_\infty(x + i0)}, \quad 0 < x < c. \quad (3)$$

Notation 10.

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (u_\infty(x - i0) - u_\infty(x + i0)).$$

Function u_∞ in the upper half plane extends analytically to a solution in a neighborhood of $(0, c_0)$, and similarly u_∞ in the lower half plane. Thus $\varphi(x)$ restricted to $(0, c_0)$ is a solution of (DE3), since it is a linear combination of solutions. In the same way, $\varphi(x)$ restricted to (c_0, c) is a solution of (DE3).

See Figure 4; an enlargement shows the behavior near the singular point c_0 . We will see that φ has square root asymptotics near the right endpoint c (Prop. 25) and logarithmic asymptotics near the left endpoint 0 (Prop. 26).




Figure 4: Moment density function $\varphi(x)$

Proposition 11. *The Apéry numbers satisfy $A_k = \int_0^c x^k \varphi(x) dx$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.*

Proof. Fix a nonnegative integer k . For $\delta > 0$, let Γ_δ be the contour in the complex plane at distance δ from $[0, c]$, as in Figure 5. (Two line segments and two semicircles; traced counterclockwise.) Now u_∞ has at worst logarithmic singularities, so we have this limit:

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \oint_{\Gamma_\delta} z^k u_\infty(z) dz = \int_0^c x^k (u_\infty(x - i0) - u_\infty(x + i0)) dx.$$

On the other hand, $z^k u_\infty(z)$ is analytic on and outside the contour Γ_δ , except at ∞ where it has an isolated singularity with residue A_k . Therefore

$$\oint_{\Gamma_\delta} z^k u_\infty(z) dz = 2\pi i A_k.$$

Thus

$$A_k = \int_0^c \frac{x^k}{2\pi i} (u_\infty(x - i0) - u_\infty(x + i0)) dx. \quad \square$$




Figure 5: Contour Γ_δ

What remains to be proved: φ is nonnegative on $(0, c)$ (Cor. 27). From (3) we know that $\varphi(x)$ is real on $(0, c)$.

Heun General Functions

Some of the basic solutions in Notation 6 may be represented in terms of Heun functions. The Heun functions are described in [9, 10, 11].

Definition 12. Let complex parameters $a, q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ be given satisfying $a \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta + 1 = \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon$, $\delta \neq 0$, and $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. Define⁶ the **Heun general function**

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ q \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma, \delta \end{array} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad (4)$$

where the Maclaurin coefficients satisfy initial conditions

$$p_0 = 1, \quad p_1 = \frac{q}{a\gamma},$$

and recurrence

$$R_n p_{n+1} - (q + Q_n) p_n + P_n p_{n-1} = 0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &= a(n+1)(n+\gamma), \\ Q_n &= n((n-1+\gamma)(1+a) + a\delta + \varepsilon), \\ P_n &= (n-1+\alpha)(n-1+\beta). \end{aligned}$$

This function satisfies the **Heun general differential equation**

$$w''(z) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{z-a} \right) w'(z) + \frac{\alpha\beta z - q}{z(z-1)(z-a)} w(z) = 0.$$

(Consult the references, or use your CAS to go from the recurrence to the differential equation.) This DE has singularities at $\infty, 0, 1, a$; all regular singular points. Convergence of the series extends to the nearest singularity, so the radius of convergence in (4) is $\min\{1, |a|\}$.

Proposition 13. *Within the radius of convergence:*

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= \text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ q_4 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2 \\ 1, 1/2 \end{array} \middle| cx \right)^2 \\ v_2(x) &= \frac{(x-c_0)(c-x)^{1/2}}{c-c_0} \text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 3/2, 3/2 \\ 3/2, 1 \end{array} \middle| 1-c_0x \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1, 1 \\ 1/2, 1 \end{array} \middle| 1-c_0x \right) \\ u_\infty(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ q_4 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2 \\ 1, 1/2 \end{array} \middle| \frac{c}{z} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1 - c_0^2 = -576 + 408\sqrt{2} \approx 0.9991 \\ a_2 &= c^2 = 577 + 408\sqrt{2} \approx 1153.9991 \end{aligned}$$

⁶ HeunG(a, q, alpha, beta, gamma, delta, z)

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1 &= -\frac{1317}{4} + 234\sqrt{2} \approx 1.676 \\
q_2 &= (\sqrt{2} + 1)^{-1}(1 + c_0) = -42 + 30\sqrt{2} \approx 0.4264 \\
q_4 &= \frac{5c}{2} = \frac{85}{2} + 30\sqrt{2} \approx 84.93
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In each case verify that it satisfies the differential equation⁷ and boundary properties⁸ that specify the solution. \square

All Coefficients Positive

In some cases we can determine that all Maclaurin coefficients of a Heun general function

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ q \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma, \delta \end{array} \middle| z \right)$$

are positive. When that is true, then in particular this function will be positive and increasing and convex on $(0, R)$ where $R = \min\{1, |a|\}$ is the radius of convergence.

Lemma 14. *All Maclaurin coefficients are positive in*

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 3/2, 3/2 \\ 3/2; 1 \end{array} \middle| z \right),$$

where $a_1 = -576 + 408\sqrt{2}$ and $q_1 = -\frac{1317}{4} + 234\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Let p_n be the Maclaurin coefficients. Then

$$R_n p_{n+1} - (q_1 + Q_n) p_n + P_n p_{n-1} = 0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n &= a_1(n+1)(n + \frac{3}{2}) \\
Q_n &= n((n + \frac{1}{2})(1 + a_1) + a_1 + \frac{3}{2}) \\
P_n &= (n + \frac{1}{2})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Write $r_n = p_n/p_{n-1}$ and rearrange:

$$r_{n+1} = \frac{q_1 + Q_n}{R_n} - \frac{P_n}{R_n} \frac{1}{r_n}.$$

Recall that $|a_1| < 1$; we expect $r_n \rightarrow 1/a_1$. We claim: if

$$n \geq 45 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{10n} < r_n < \frac{1}{a_1},$$

⁷ `subs(u(x)=v2,DE3): simplify(%);`

⁸ `MultiSeries[series](v2,x=c,2);`

then also

$$1 - \frac{1}{10(n+1)} < r_{n+1} < \frac{1}{a_1}.$$

Once the claim is proved, all that remains is checking that p_0, \dots, p_{45} are positive, and

$$1 - \frac{1}{450} < r_{45} < \frac{1}{a_1}.$$

By induction we conclude that $r_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 45$. So p_n with $n > 45$ is a product of positive numbers

$$p_{45} r_{46} r_{47} r_{48} \cdots r_n,$$

so $p_n > 0$.

Proof of the claim. Since

$$r \mapsto \frac{q_1 + Q_n}{R_n} - \frac{P_n}{R_n} \frac{1}{r}$$

is an increasing function, we need only check

$$1 - \frac{1}{10(n+1)} < \frac{q_1 + Q_n}{R_n} - \frac{P_n}{R_n} \frac{1}{a_1} < \frac{1}{a_1}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{10(n+1)} < \frac{q_1 + Q_n}{R_n} - \frac{P_n}{R_n} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{10n}} < \frac{1}{a_1}$$

where $n \geq 45$. Your CAS can be used for this. \square

A warning for the computations. If you do this using 20-digit arithmetic—as I did at first—you may erroneously conclude that it is false. You may see negative coefficients. With exact arithmetic, we find that r_{45} involves integers with more than 100 digits. To compare $\sqrt{2}$ to a rational number with 100-digit numerator and denominator, there are two methods: we can square those 100-digit numbers, or we can use a decimal value of $\sqrt{2}$ accurate to more than 100 places. Of course a modern CAS can do either.

Lemma 15. *All Maclaurin coefficients are positive in*

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1, \\ 1/2 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| z \right),$$

where $a_1 = -576 + 408\sqrt{2}$ and $q_2 = -42 + 30\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 14. Let p_n be the coefficients, and $r_n = p_n/p_{n-1}$. Then

$$r_{n+1} = \frac{q_2 + Q_n}{R_n} - \frac{P_n}{R_n} \frac{1}{r_n},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &= a_1(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2}), \\ Q_n &= n((n-\frac{1}{2})(1+a_1) + a_1 + \frac{3}{2}), \\ P_n &= n^2. \end{aligned}$$

We claim: If

$$n \geq 18 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{4n} < r_n < \frac{1}{a_1},$$

then also

$$1 - \frac{1}{4(n+1)} < r_{n+1} < \frac{1}{a_1}.$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to Lemma 14. □

Proposition 16. $v_2(x) > 0$ for $c_0 < x < c$.

Proof. By Lemma 14, all Maclaurin coefficients of

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 3/2, \\ 3/2 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} 3/2 \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| z \right)$$

are positive. It has radius of convergence $a_1 = 1 - c_0^2$, so

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_1 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 3/2, \\ 3/2 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} 3/2 \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| 1 - c_0x \right) > 0$$

for all x with $c_0 < x < c$. By Lemma 15, all Maclaurin coefficients of

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1, \\ 1/2 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| z \right)$$

are positive. Again,

$$\text{Hn} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ q_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 1, \\ 1/2 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| 1 - c_0x \right) > 0$$

for all x with $c_0 < x < c$. Also

$$\frac{(x - c_0)(c - x)^{1/2}}{c - c_0}$$

is positive on (c_0, c) . The product of three positive factors is $v_2(x)$ on (c_0, c) , so $v_2(x) > 0$. □

Hypergeometric Function

Some Heun functions can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions [1, Chap. 2–3]. Here, we will use only one of them.⁹

Definition 17. ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3n)!}{(n!)^3} \frac{z^n}{27^n}$

Lemma 18. (a) ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right)$ has radius of convergence 1. (b) For $0 < z < 1$, we have ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right) > 1$. (c) As $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; 1 - \delta\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \log \delta + \frac{3\sqrt{3} \log 3}{2\pi} + o(1).$$

Proof. (a) Ratio test.

(b) All Maclaurin coefficients are positive, and the constant term is 1.

(c) Due to Gauss (or perhaps Goursat?), see [7, Thm. 2.1.3] [14],

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; 1 - \delta\right) &= \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \left[\log \frac{1}{\delta} - 2\gamma - \psi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \right] + o(1) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \log \delta + \frac{3\sqrt{3} \log 3}{2\pi} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here γ is Euler's constant and ψ is the digamma function. Use [1, Thm. 1.2.7] to evaluate the digamma of a rational number. \square

Lemma 19. Let the degree 1 Taylor polynomial for ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right)$ at $z_0 = 1/(2^{3/2}(\sqrt{2} + 1))$ be ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right) = S_0 + S_1 \cdot (z - z_0) + o(|z - z_0|)$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$. Then

$$S_0 \cdot (3S_1 + \sqrt{2}S_0) = \frac{3^{3/2}2^{1/2}}{\pi}.$$

Proof. See Appendix. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we do not need the exact value in Lemma 19, but only that it is positive; which is clear from the fact that all Maclaurin coefficients of ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right)$ are positive and z_0 is positive.

Notation 20.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &= \frac{(3 - 3x - \sqrt{x^2 - 34x + 1})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}(x + 1)} \\ \mu_2(x) &= \frac{(3 - 3x + \sqrt{x^2 - 34x + 1})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}(x + 1)} \\ \lambda(x) &= \frac{x^3 + 30x^2 - 24x + 1 - (x^2 - 7x + 1)\sqrt{x^2 - 34x + 1}}{2(x + 1)^3} \end{aligned}$$

⁹hypergeom([1/3, 2/3], [1], z)

$$\lambda_2(x) = \frac{x^3 + 30x^2 - 24x + 1 + (x^2 - 7x + 1)\sqrt{x^2 - 34x + 1}}{2(x+1)^3}$$

(See Figures 6 and 7.)




Figure 6: μ (bottom) and μ_2 (top)

Lemma 21. For $0 < x < c_0$, we have $\mu(x) > 1$, $\mu_2(x) > 1$, $0 < \lambda(x) < 1$, and $0 < \lambda_2(x) < 1$.

Proof. Elementary inequalities. □

Lemma 22. As $x \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right) &= 1 + \frac{5}{2}x + O(x^2), \\ \mu_2(x) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda_2(x)\right) &= \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \log x + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The second one indeed has constant term zero.

Proof. Compute (as $z \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &= 1 + \frac{5}{2}x + O(x^2) \\ \lambda(x) &= 27x^2 + O(x^3) \\ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right) &= 1 + \frac{2}{9}z + O(z^2) \\ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right) &= 1 + 6x^2 + O(x^3) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 7: λ (bottom) and λ_2 (top)

$$\mu(x) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right) = 1 + \frac{5}{2}x + O(x^2)$$

For the second one, we apply Lemma 18(c). As $x \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(x) &= \sqrt{2} - \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}}x + O(x^2) \\ \lambda_2(x) &= 1 - 27x + O(x^2) \\ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda_2(x)\right) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \log(27x) + \frac{3\sqrt{3} \log 3}{2\pi} + o(1) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} (\log 27 + \log x) + \frac{3\sqrt{3} \log 3}{2\pi} + o(1) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \log x + o(1) \\ \mu_2(x) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda_2(x)\right) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \log x + o(1) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 23.

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= \mu(x)^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right)^2, \\ v_0(x) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}(x+1)} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda_2(x)\right), \\ u_\infty(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \mu\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note: $\mu(x)\mu_2(x) = \sqrt{2}/(x+1)$. Verify that these expressions satisfy (DE3) as usual. Then verify the asymptotics using Lemma 22. \square

How were these formulas found? The first one is from Mark van Hoeij [12, A005259]; I do not know how he found it. But then it is natural to try the other square root, since that will still satisfy the same differential equation.

Proposition 24. $v_0(x) < 0$ for $0 < x < c_0$.

Proof. For $0 < x < c_0$: By Lemma 21, $0 < \lambda(x) < 1$, so by Lemma 18(b), ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda(x)\right) > 0$. Similarly, ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda_2(x)\right) > 0$. \square

The Two Endpoints

Proposition 25. On interval (c_0, c) we have exactly

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{v_2(x)}{2^{5/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^4\pi^2}.$$

Proof. We examine the solution $u_\infty(x)$ of (DE3) on the interval $(c, +\infty)$. As $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, the Frobenius series solution shows that

$$u_\infty(c+\delta) = A + B\sqrt{\delta} + C\delta + O(\delta^{3/2}) \quad (5)$$

for some real constants A, B, C ; we will have to evaluate the constant B below. Following (5) around the point c by a half-turn in either direction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_\infty(c-\delta-i0) &= A + B(-i)\sqrt{\delta} - C\delta + O(\delta^{3/2}) \\ u_\infty(c-\delta+i0) &= A + Bi\sqrt{\delta} - C\delta + O(\delta^{3/2}) \\ \varphi(c-\delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}(u_\infty(c-\delta-i0) - u_\infty(c-\delta+i0)) \\ &= \frac{0A - 2Bi\sqrt{\delta} + 0C\delta}{2\pi i} + O(\delta^{3/2}) \\ &= \frac{-B}{\pi}\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi(x) = (-B/\pi)v_2(x)$ on (c_0, c) .

On interval $(c, +\infty)$, we have

$$u_\infty(x) = \frac{1}{x}\mu\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^2.$$

The value $\lambda(1/x)$ stays inside the unit disk, so no analytic continuation is required. Now $\lambda(c_0) = \lambda_2(c_0) = 1/(2^{3/2}(\sqrt{2}+1))$, called z_0 in Lemma 19. Let S_0, S_1 also be as in Lemma 19. As $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\frac{1}{c+\delta} = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2}+1)^4} + O(\delta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\frac{1}{c+\delta}\right) &= \frac{(\sqrt{2}+1)}{2^{1/4}3^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot (\sqrt{2}+1)}\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta) \\
\lambda\left(\frac{1}{c+\delta}\right) &= \frac{1}{2^{3/2}(\sqrt{2}+1)} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{9/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^2}\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta) \\
{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda\left(\frac{1}{c+\delta}\right)\right) &= S_0 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{9/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^2}S_1\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta) \\
u_\infty(c+\delta) &= \frac{S_0^2}{3\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}+1)^2} - \frac{S_0(3S_1 + \sqrt{2}S_0)}{2^{7/4}3^{3/2}(\sqrt{2}+1)^4}\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta) \\
&= \frac{S_0^2}{3\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}+1)^2} - \frac{1}{2^{5/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^4\pi}\sqrt{\delta} + O(\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

So we get $B = -1/(2^{5/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^4\pi)$. \square

Proposition 26. *On interval $(0, c_0)$ we have exactly $\varphi(x) = -6v_0(x)/\pi^2$.*

Proof. We examine the solution $u_\infty(x)$ of (DE3) on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$. As $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, the Frobenius series solution shows that

$$u_\infty(-\delta) = A + B \log \delta + C(\log \delta)^2 + o(1) \quad (6)$$

for some real constants A, B, C ; we will have to evaluate the constants B and C below. Following (6) around the point 0 by a half-turn in either direction, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
u_\infty(\delta - i0) &= A + B(\log \delta + i\pi) + C(\log \delta + i\pi)^2 + o(1) \\
u_\infty(\delta + i0) &= A + B(\log \delta - i\pi) + C(\log \delta - i\pi)^2 + o(1) \\
\varphi(\delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}(u_\infty(\delta - i0) - u_\infty(\delta + i0)) \\
&= \frac{2Bi\pi + 4Ci\pi \log \delta}{2\pi i} + o(1) \\
&= B + 2C \log \delta + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi(x) = Bu_0(x) + 2Cv_0(x)$ on $(0, c_0)$.

On interval $(-\infty, 0)$, we have

$$u_\infty(x) = \frac{1}{x}\mu\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^2.$$

Argument $\lambda(1/x)$ stays inside the unit disk, so this is an easy analytic continuation of u_∞ . As $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{-\delta} &= \frac{-1}{\delta} + O(1) \\
\mu\left(\frac{1}{-\delta}\right)^2 &= \delta + O(\delta^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{-\delta}\right) = 1 - 27\delta^2 + O(\delta^3)$$

So by Lemma 18(c),

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \lambda\left(\frac{1}{-\delta}\right)\right) &= \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} 2\log \delta + o(1) \\ u_\infty(-\delta) &= \frac{-3}{\pi^2} (\log \delta)^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get $B = 0$ and $C = -3/\pi^2$. □

Corollary 27. *The moment density φ may be written*

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-6}{\pi^2} v_0(x), & 0 < x < c_0, \\ \frac{1}{2^{5/4}(\sqrt{2}+1)^4\pi^2} v_2(x), & c_0 \leq x \leq c. \end{cases}$$

It is positive on $(0, c_0) \cup (c_0, c)$.

Proof. For $0 < x < c_0$, by Prop. 24 $v_0(x) < 0$. For $c_0 < x < c$, by Prop. 16 $v_2(x) > 0$. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Appendix: Lemma 19

Let the degree 1 Taylor polynomial for ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right)$ at $z_0 = 1/(2^{3/2}(1+\sqrt{2}))$ be ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z\right) = S_0 + S_1 \cdot (z - z_0) + o(|z - z_0|)$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$. Then

$$S_0 \cdot (3S_1 + \sqrt{2}S_0) = \frac{3^{3/2}2^{1/2}}{\pi}.$$

We will see that a proof can be given using modular parameterizations. See [3, 6, 13].

Notation. Let τ be a complex variable with $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ and let $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ so that $0 < |q| < 1$. Thus

$$\frac{dq}{d\tau} = 2\pi i e^{2\pi i \tau} = 2\pi i q, \quad \frac{d\tau}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i q}.$$

We will use a derivation θ defined by

$$\theta = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}.$$

Sometimes this derivative will be written as a superscript:

$$q \frac{d}{dq} f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} f = \theta f = f^\theta(\tau).$$

We will use the following functions: The Dedekind eta function,

$$\eta = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n). \quad (7)$$

One of the McKay-Thompson modular functions [12, A030182]

$$\begin{aligned} j_{3B}(\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)^{12}}{\eta(3\tau)^{12}} \\ &= q^{-1} - 12 + 54q - 76q^2 - 243q^3 + 1188q^4 - 1384q^5 \\ &\quad - 2916q^6 + 11934q^7 - 11580q^8 - 21870q^9 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

And: the Eisenstein series E_2 ; the logarithmic derivative of η^{24} , in the sense

$$E_2 = 24 \frac{\eta^\theta}{\eta}.$$

The Fourier series is [12, A006352]

$$E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(k) q^k,$$

where the number-theoretic function $\sigma(k)$ is the sum of the (positive integer) divisors of k . This is from logarithmic differentiation of (7).

Logarithmic differentiation of j_{3B} yields

$$\frac{j_{3B}^\theta(\tau)}{j_{3B}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2} E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{2} E_2(3\tau).$$

Our calculations will require values of η and E_2 for $\tau = i\sqrt{6}$ and $i\sqrt{6}/3$. These values may be written in terms of the constant

$$\mathbf{K} := {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \approx 1.0354935.$$

In fact,

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{3^{1/4}\sqrt{2}}{8\pi^{3/2}} \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{24}\right)},$$

but we will not need this value for the proof of Lemma 19.

For evaluation at $\tau = i\sqrt{6}/3$, we will use these values:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{i\sqrt{6}}{3} \\ 3\tau &= i\sqrt{6} \\ \eta(\tau) &= \frac{2^{3/4} 3^{7/8} (1 + \sqrt{2})^{1/12} \mathbf{K}^{1/2}}{6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(3\tau) &= \frac{2^{3/4}3^{5/8}(\sqrt{2}-1)^{1/12}\mathbf{K}^{1/2}}{6} \\
E_2(\tau) &= \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} + \frac{(\sqrt{2}+1)\mathbf{K}^2}{\sqrt{2}} \\
E_2(3\tau) &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} + \frac{(\sqrt{2}+1)\mathbf{K}^2}{3\sqrt{2}} \\
j_{3B}(\tau) &= 27(1+\sqrt{2})^2 \\
\frac{27}{j_{3B}(\tau)+27} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} = z_0 \\
j_{3B}^{\theta}(\tau) &= 27(1+\sqrt{2})^2\mathbf{K}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Begin with this modular parameterization for ${}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z)$:

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \frac{27}{j_{3B}(\tau)+27}\right) = \frac{\eta(3\tau)^3}{\eta(\tau)} (j_{3B}(\tau)+27)^{1/3}. \quad (8)$$

This is proved using a standard method: Each side is a modular form of weight 2 and level 3. The space of such modular forms is finite-dimensional, so it suffices to check that a certain number of the Fourier coefficients agree.

Write $F(z) = {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; z)$. That means $S_0 = F(z_0)$ and $S_1 = F'(z_0)$. Substitution of $\tau = i\sqrt{6}/3$ into (8) yields

$$S_0 = \mathbf{K}. \quad (9)$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{27}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^3} j_{3B}^{\theta}(\tau) (E_2(\tau) - 3E_2(3\tau)) \frac{j_{3B}(\tau)}{(j_{3B}(\tau)+27)^{1/3}} F' \left(\frac{27}{j_{3B}(\tau)+27} \right) \\
&= \frac{9E_2(3\tau) - E_2(\tau)}{24} + \frac{E_2(\tau) - 3E_2(3\tau)}{6} \frac{j_{3B}(\tau)}{j_{3B}(\tau)+27}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of $\tau = i\sqrt{6}/3$ yields

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}}{8} F'(z_0) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathbf{K}^2}{24},$$

so

$$S_1 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi\mathbf{K}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathbf{K}}{3}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, from (9) and (10) we obtain

$$S_0 (3S_1 + \sqrt{2} S_0) = \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{\pi}$$

as claimed.

References

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special Functions*. (Cambridge University Press, 1999)
- [2] R. Apéry, “Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$ ” *Astérisque* **61** (1979) 11–13.
- [3] T. M. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 41. Springer, 1990.
- [4] G. Birkhoff, G.-C. Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Second Edition. (Blaisdell, 1969)
- [5] W. E. Boyce & R. C. DiPrima, *Elementary Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems*, Fifth Edition. (Wiley, 1992)
- [6] G. Diamond & J. Shurman, *A First Course in Modular Forms*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 228, Springer, 2010
- [7] Y. L. Luke, *The Special Functions and Their Approximations*, vol. I. (Academic Press, 1969)
- [8] P. Majer, “Is the sequence of Apéry numbers a Stieltjes moment sequence?” *MathOverflow*, <http://mathoverflow.net/a/179805/454>
- [9] A. Ronveaux (Editor), *Heun’s Differential Equations* (Oxford University Press, 1995)
- [10] B. D. Sleeman & V. B. Kuznetsov, *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. Chapter 31, Heun Functions. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/31>
- [11] R. Vidunas & G. Filipuk, “Parametric transformations between the Heun and Gauss hypergeometric functions.” *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **56** (2013) 271–321. [arXiv:0910.3087](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.3087)
- [12] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://oeis.org>
- [13] *The Database of L-functions, Modular Forms, and Related Objects*. <http://www.lmfdb.org>
- [14] *The Wolfram Functions Site*, <http://functions.wolfram.com/07.23.06.0010.01>