

On an inverse problem in additive number theory

Min Tang* and Hongwei Xu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anhui Normal University

Wuhu 241002, PR China

Abstract: For a set A , let $P(A)$ be the set of all finite subset sums of A . In this paper, for a sequence of integers $B = \{1 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ and $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 6b_1 + 10$, we determine the critical value for b_3 such that there exists an infinite sequence A of positive integers for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$. This result shows that we partially solve the problem of Fang and Fang [‘On an inverse problem in additive number theory’, Acta Math. Hungar. 158(2019), 36-39].

Keywords: subsetsum; complement; inverse problem

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B13

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all nonnegative integers. For a sequence of integers $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$, let

$$P(A) = \left\{ \sum \varepsilon_i a_i : a_i \in A, \varepsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \sum \varepsilon_i < \infty \right\}.$$

Here $0 \in P(A)$. In 1970, Burr [1] asked the following question: which subsets S of \mathbb{N} are equal to $P(A)$ for some A ? Burr showed the following result (unpublished):

Theorem A ([1]). *Let $B = \{4 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be a sequence of integers for which $b_{n+1} \geq b_n^2$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then there exists $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.*

Burr [1] ever mentioned that if B grows sufficiently rapidly, then there exists a sequence A such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$. In 1996, Hegyvári [5] showed some examples that if sequence B grow slowly, then there is no sequence A for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.

Theorem B ([5], Theorem 2). *Let $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be a sequence of integers. Assume that for $n > n_0$, $b_{n+1}/b_n \leq \sqrt{2}$ holds and B is a Sidon sequence, i.e*

*Corresponding author. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971033) and top talents project of Anhui Department of Education (Grant No. gxbjZD05).

$b_i + b_j = b_k + b_t$ implies $i = k; j = t$ or $i = t; j = k$. Then there is no sequence A for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.

In 2012, Chen and Fang [2] extended Hegyvári's result by elementary but not easy argument. In 2013, Chen and Wu [3] further continued Burr's question.

Theorem C ([3], Theorem 1). *If $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ is a sequence of integers with $b_1 \in \{4, 7, 8\} \cup \{b : b \geq 11, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $b_2 \geq 3b_1 + 5$, $b_3 \geq 3b_2 + 3$ and $b_{n+1} > 3b_n - b_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 3$, then there exists a sequence of positive integers $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$ and*

$$P(A_s) = [0, 2b_s] \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_s, 2b_s - b_{s-1}, \dots, 2b_s - b_1\},$$

where $A_s = A \cap [0, b_s - b_{s-1}]$ for all $s \geq 2$.

In [3], Chen and Wu proved that these lower bounds are optimal in a sense. Moreover, they posed the following problem:

Problem 1 ([3], Problem 1). *Let $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let $d_1 = 10$, $d_2 = 3b_1 + 4$, $d_3 = 3b_2 + 2$ and $d_{n+1} = 3b_n - b_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 3$). If $b_m = d_m$ for some $m \geq 3$ and $b_n > d_n$ for all $n \neq m$. Is it true there is no sequence of positive integers $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ with $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$?*

Recently, we show that the answer to Problem 1 is negative for $m = 3$.

Theorem D ([6], Theorem 1.1). *Let $B = \{11 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be a sequence of integers with $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5$, $b_3 = 3b_2 + 2$ and $b_{n+1} = 3b_n + 4b_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 3$. Then there exists a sequence of positive integers $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.*

With the further research of Burr's question, many interesting problems arise. In 2019, for $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5$, Fang and Fang [4] determined the critical value for b_3 such that there exists an infinite sequence of positive integers A for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.

Theorem E ([4], Theorem 1.1). *If A and $B = \{1 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ are two infinite sequences of positive integers with $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$, then $b_3 \geq 4b_1 + 6$. Furthermore, there exist two infinite sequences of positive integers A and $B = \{1 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ with $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5$ and $b_3 = 4b_1 + 6$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.*

Moreover, Fang and Fang [4] posed the following problem:

Problem 2 ([4], Problem 1.2). *For any $b_2 \geq 3b_1 + 5$, determine the critical value for b_3 depending only on b_1 and b_2 such that there exists an infinite sequence A of positive integers for which $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.*

In this paper, we partially solve their problem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let A and $B = \{1 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be two infinite sequences of positive integers such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$. If $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 6b_1 + 10$, then $b_3 \geq b_2 + b_1 + 1$.*

Theorem 1.2. *There exist two infinite sequences of positive integers A and $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ with $b_1 \in \{4, 7, 8\} \cup \{b : b \geq 11, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 6b_1 + 10$ and $b_3 = b_2 + b_1 + 1$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.*

2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. ([2], Lemma 1). Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ and $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be two sequences of positive integers with $b_1 > 1$ such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$. Let $a_k < b_1 < a_{k+1}$. Then

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}) = [0, c_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 3$, $c_{i+1} = c_i + a_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$), $c_k = b_1 - 1$ and $c_i + 1 \geq a_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$).

Lemma 2.2. Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ and $B = \{1 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$ be two sequences of positive integers such that $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$. Write $P(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = [0, b_1 - 1]$. If $b_2 \geq 3b_1 + 5$, then we have

- (i) $a_{k+1} = b_1 + 1$, $a_{k+2} \leq 2b_1 + 1$, $a_{k+3} \leq a_{k+2} + b_1$, $b_2 \geq a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1$;
- (ii) $P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + 2b_1] \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1\}$.

Proof. Since $b_1 > 1$, we have $a_1 = 1$. Let $a_k < b_1 < a_{k+1}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = [0, b_1 - 1].$$

Since $b_1 + 1 \in P(A)$ and $b_1 \notin P(A)$, we have $a_{k+1} = b_1 + 1$. Hence

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}\}) = [0, 2b_1] \setminus \{b_1\},$$

and

$$a_{k+2} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}\}) = [a_{k+2}, a_{k+2} + 2b_1] \setminus \{a_{k+2} + b_1\}.$$

If $a_{k+2} \geq 2b_1 + 2$, then $2b_1 + 1 \notin P(A)$ and $b_2 = 2b_1 + 1$, a contradiction. So $a_{k+2} \leq 2b_1 + 1$ and

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+2}\}) = [0, a_{k+2} + 2b_1] \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+2} + b_1\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+3} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+2}\}) &= [a_{k+3}, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + 2b_1] \\ &\setminus \{a_{k+3} + b_1, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

If $a_{k+3} > a_{k+2} + b_1$, then $b_2 = a_{k+2} + b_1 \leq 3b_1 + 1$, a contradiction. So $a_{k+3} \leq a_{k+2} + b_1$. Since

$$a_{k+3} \leq a_{k+2} + b_1 < a_{k+3} + b_1 \leq a_{k+2} + 2b_1,$$

by (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + 2b_1] \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1\}.$$

Thus

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Write $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5 + m$. Then $0 \leq m \leq 3b_1 + 5$. By Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$3b_1 + 5 + m = b_2 \geq a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1 \geq 2a_{k+2} + b_1 + 1,$$

thus $a_{k+2} \leq b_1 + 2 + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2(i), we have $a_{k+2} \leq 2b_1 + 1$. Thus

$$a_{k+2} \leq b_1 + 2 + \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, b_1 - 1 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2(i) we know that $a_{k+1} = b_1 + 1$, thus we can write

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2 + j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, b_1 - 1 \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Again by Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$3b_1 + 5 + m = b_2 \geq a_{k+3} + a_{k+2} + b_1 = a_{k+3} + 2b_1 + 2 + j,$$

thus

$$a_{k+3} \leq b_1 + 3 + m - j.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$a_{k+3} \leq a_{k+2} + b_1 = b_1 + 3 + j + b_1 - 1.$$

For fixed $0 \leq j \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, b_1 - 1 \right\}$, let

$$a_{k+3} = b_1 + 3 + j + l, \quad 0 \leq l \leq \min\{m - 2j, b_1 - 1\}. \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 2.2(ii), we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l\}. \quad (3.3)$$

If $l + 2j = m$, then by (3.3) we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, b_2\}.$$

So, $b_3 \geq 4b_1 + 6 + m = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

If $0 \leq 2j + l \leq m - 1$, then

$$3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \leq 3b_1 + 5 + m - 1 < b_2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+4} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) &= [a_{k+4}, a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l] \\ &\setminus \{a_{k+4} + b_1, a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If $a_{k+4} > 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, which contradicts with (3.4). So

$$a_{k+4} \leq 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Case 1: $a_{k+4} = 2b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$. Then $a_{k+4} + b_1 = 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, thus

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [0, 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}.$$

Hence

$$a_{k+5} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [a_{k+5}, a_{k+5} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \setminus \{a_{k+5} + b_1, a_{k+5} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, a_{k+5} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}.$$

If $a_{k+5} > 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, which contradicts with (3.4). So

$$a_{k+5} \leq 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Moreover, $a_{k+5} \geq a_{k+4} + 1 = 2b_1 + 6 + 2j + l$. Since

$$3b_1 + 6 + 2j + l \leq a_{k+5} + b_1 \leq 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

$$5b_1 + 11 + 4j + 2l \leq a_{k+5} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \leq 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l,$$

we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) = [0, a_{k+5} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+5} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}.$$

Hence

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+5} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l > 6b_1 + 10,$$

a contradiction.

Case 2: $a_{k+4} \neq 2b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$. Then by (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [0, a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l] \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus

$$3b_1 + 5 + m = b_2 \geq a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Hence $a_{k+4} \leq m - 2j - l$.

If $a_{k+4} = m - 2j - l$, then

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, b_2\}.$$

So, $b_3 \geq 4b_1 + 6 + m = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

If $a_{k+4} < m - 2j - l$, then

$$a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l < 3b_1 + 5 + m = b_2. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.6) we have

$$a_{k+5} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [a_{k+5}, a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l] \setminus \{a_{k+5} + b_1, a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l\}. \quad (3.8)$$

If $a_{k+5} > a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then by (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$b_2 = a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

which contradicts with (3.7). Thus

$$a_{k+5} \leq a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Subcase 2.1: $a_{k+5} + b_1 \neq a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then by (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) &= [0, a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l] \\ &\quad \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

If $a_{k+5} > m - a_{k+4} - 2j - l$, then

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l > 3b_1 + 5 + m,$$

which contradicts with (3.7).

If $a_{k+5} = m - a_{k+4} - 2j - l$, then

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, b_2\}.$$

So, $b_3 \geq 4b_1 + 6 + m = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

If $a_{k+5} < m - a_{k+4} - 2j - l$, then

$$a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l < 3b_1 + 5 + m = b_2. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+6} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) &= \left[a_{k+6}, \sum_{j=4}^6 a_{k+j} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \right] \\ &\quad \setminus \left\{ a_{k+6} + b_1, \sum_{j=4}^6 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

If $a_{k+6} > a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then by (3.9) and (3.11), we have

$$b_2 = a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

which contradicts with (3.10). Thus

$$a_{k+6} \leq a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

If $a_{k+6} + b_1 = a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+6}\}) &= [0, 2a_{k+5} + 2a_{k+4} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \\ &\quad \setminus \{b_1, a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, 2a_{k+5} + 2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a_{k+7} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+6}\}) = \left[a_{k+7}, a_{k+7} + 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l \right] \\ \setminus \left\{ a_{k+7} + b_1, \sum_{\substack{j=4 \\ j \neq 6}}^7 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, a_{k+7} + 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l \right\}.$$

Similar to the above discussion, we have

$$a_{k+7} \leq a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Noting that

$$a_{k+7} > a_{k+6} = a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 2b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, \\ \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 6 + 2j + l \leq a_{k+7} + b_1 \leq \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, \\ 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 5b_1 + 11 + 4j + 2l \leq a_{k+7} + \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, \\ a_{k+7} + \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \leq 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l,$$

we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+7}\}) = \left[0, a_{k+7} + 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l \right] \\ \setminus \left\{ b_1, a_{k+7} + 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l \right\}.$$

Since $a_{k+4} \geq b_1 + 4 + j + l$, we have

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+7} + 2 \sum_{j=4}^5 a_{k+j} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l > 6b_1 + 10,$$

a contradiction.

If $a_{k+6} + b_1 \neq a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+6}\}) = \left[0, \sum_{j=4}^6 a_{k+j} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \right] \\ \setminus \left\{ b_1, \sum_{j=4}^6 a_{k+j} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \right\}.$$

Thus

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+6} + a_{k+5} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l > 6b_1 + 10,$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2: $a_{k+5} + b_1 = a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$. By (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) &= [0, 2a_{k+4} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \\ &\setminus \{b_1, a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, 2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+6} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+5}\}) &= [a_{k+6}, a_{k+6} + 2a_{k+4} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \\ &\setminus \{a_{k+6} + b_1, a_{k+6} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l, a_{k+6} + 2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

If $a_{k+6} > a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l$, then

$$b_2 = a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

which contradicts with (3.7). Thus

$$a_{k+6} \leq a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l.$$

Noting that

$$a_{k+6} > a_{k+5} = a_{k+4} + 2b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

$$a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 6 + 2j + l \leq a_{k+6} + b_1 \leq a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 5 + 2j + l,$$

$$2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 11 + 4j + 2l \leq a_{k+6} + a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 5 + 2j + l \leq 2a_{k+4} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l,$$

by (3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+6}\}) &= [0, a_{k+6} + 2a_{k+4} + 6b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l] \\ &\setminus \{b_1, a_{k+6} + 2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{k+4} \geq b_1 + 4 + j + l$, we have

$$b_2 \geq a_{k+6} + 2a_{k+4} + 5b_1 + 10 + 4j + 2l > 6b_1 + 10,$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Choose $b_1 \in \{4, 7, 8\} \cup \{b : b \geq 11, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$, by the proof of [2, Theorem 1], there exists $A_1 = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k\} \subseteq [1, b_1 - 1]$ such that $P(A_1) = [0, b_1 - 1]$.

Write $b_2 = 3b_1 + 5 + m$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2 + j, \quad a_{k+3} = b_1 + 3 + j + l,$$

where

$$0 \leq j \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, b_1 - 1 \right\}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq \min \{m - 2j, b_1 - 1\}. \quad (4.1)$$

If $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 4b_1 + 4$, then $0 \leq m \leq b_1 - 1$. By (4.1) we have

$$0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad 0 \leq l \leq m - 2j.$$

Choose $j = 0$ and $l = m$. That is,

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2, \quad a_{k+3} = b_1 + 3 + m. \quad (4.2)$$

If $4b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 4b_1 + 8$, then $b_1 \leq m \leq b_1 + 3$. Choose

$$j = \left\lceil \frac{m - b_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Then $m - 2j \leq b_1 - 1$. By (4.1) we have $0 \leq l \leq m - 2j$. Let $l = m - 2j$. That is,

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2 + \left\lceil \frac{m - b_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil, \quad a_{k+3} = b_1 + 3 + m - \left\lceil \frac{m - b_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and Lemma 2.2(ii), we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5 + m\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Choose

$$a_{i+1} \geq a_i^2 \quad (i = k + 3, k + 4, \dots). \quad (4.5)$$

If $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 4b_1 + 4$, then

$$a_{k+4} \geq (b_1 + 3 + m)^2 \geq b_1^2 + 6b_1 + 9 > 4b_1 + 6 + m.$$

If $4b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 4b_1 + 8$, then

$$a_{k+4} \geq \left(b_1 + 3 + m - \left\lceil \frac{m - b_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil \right)^2 > b_1^2 + 6b_1 + 9 > 4b_1 + 6 + m.$$

Hence, if $3b_1 + 5 \leq b_2 \leq 4b_1 + 8$, then we can choose

$$a_{k+4} > 4b_1 + 6 + m.$$

By (4.4), we have $b_3 = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ and $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus P(A)$. By (4.5), for $i \geq k + 3$ we have

$$a_{i+1} > a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i + 1.$$

So $a_{i+1} - 1 \notin P(A)$ and $a_{i+1} - 1 \in B$.

If $4b_1 + 9 \leq b_2 \leq 6b_1 + 10$ and $b_2 \neq 5b_1 + 10$, then $b_1 + 4 \leq m \leq 3b_1 + 5$ and $m \neq 2b_1 + 5$. Choose

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2, \quad a_{k+3} = b_1 + 3.$$

By Lemma 2.2(ii), we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5\}.$$

Choose $a_{k+4} = m$, we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5 + m\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Choose

$$a_{i+1} \geq a_i^2 \quad (i = k + 4, \dots). \quad (4.7)$$

By $b_1 \geq 4$, we have

$$a_{k+5} \geq a_{k+4}^2 = m^2 \geq b_1^2 + 8b_1 + 16 > 4b_1 + 6 + m,$$

thus by (4.6) we have $b_3 = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

If $b_2 = 5b_1 + 10$, then $m = 2b_1 + 5$. Choose $j = 0, l = 1$, that is,

$$a_{k+2} = b_1 + 2, \quad a_{k+3} = b_1 + 4.$$

By Lemma 2.2(ii), we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 6] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 6\}.$$

Thus

$$a_{k+4} + P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+3}\}) = [a_{k+4}, a_{k+4} + 4b_1 + 6] \setminus \{a_{k+4} + b_1, a_{k+4} + 3b_1 + 6\}.$$

Choose $a_{k+4} = 2b_1 + 4 = m - 1$, we have

$$P(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+4}\}) = [0, 4b_1 + 5 + m] \setminus \{b_1, 3b_1 + 5 + m\}. \quad (4.8)$$

Choose

$$a_{i+1} \geq a_i^2 \quad (i = k + 4, \dots). \quad (4.9)$$

By $b_1 \geq 4$, we have

$$a_{k+5} \geq a_{k+4}^2 = (m - 1)^2 = 4b_1^2 + 16b_1 + 16 > 4b_1 + 6 + m,$$

thus $a_{k+5} > 4b_1 + 6 + m$. By (4.8) we have $b_3 = b_2 + b_1 + 1$.

Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ and $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus P(A)$. By (4.7) and (4.9), for $i \geq k + 4$ we also have

$$a_{i+1} > a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i + 1.$$

So $a_{i+1} - 1 \notin P(A)$ and $a_{i+1} - 1 \in B$.

In all cases, we have $b_3 = b_2 + b_1 + 1$ and $P(A) = \mathbb{N} \setminus B$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] S.A. Burr, *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications III*. Ed. P. Erdős, A. Rényi, V.T. Sós, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [2] Y.G. Chen and J.H. Fang, *On a problem in additive number theory*, Acta Math. Hungar. 134(2012), 416-430.
- [3] Y.G. Chen and J.D. Wu, *The inverse problem on subset sums*, European. J. Combin. 34(2013), 841-845.
- [4] J.H. Fang and Z.K. Fang, *On an inverse problem in additive number theory*, Acta Math. Hungar. 158(2019), 36-39.
- [5] N. Hegyvári, *On representation problems in the additive number theory*, Acta Math. Hungar. 72(1996), 35-44.
- [6] M. Tang and H.W. Xu, *On a problem in additive number theory*, preprint.