

Operator error estimates for homogenization of the nonstationary Schrödinger-type equations: sharpness of the results*

Mark Dorodnyi[†]

Abstract

In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider a selfadjoint matrix strongly elliptic second order differential operator \mathcal{A}_ε with periodic coefficients depending on \mathbf{x}/ε . We find approximations of the exponential $e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, for small ε in the $(H^s \rightarrow L_2)$ -operator norm with suitable s . The sharpness of the error estimates with respect to τ is discussed. The results are applied to study the behavior of the solution \mathbf{u}_ε of the Cauchy problem for the Schrödinger-type equation $i\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{F}$.

Keywords: Periodic differential operators, Nonstationary Schrödinger-type equations, Homogenization, Effective operator, Operator error estimates.

INTRODUCTION

The paper concerns homogenization for periodic differential operators (DOs). A broad literature is devoted to homogenization problems in the small period limit; first of all, we mention the books [BeLP, BaPa, ZhKO]. For homogenization problems in \mathbb{R}^d , one of the methods is the spectral approach based on the Floquet–Bloch theory; see, e. g., [BeLP, Chapter 4], [ZhKO, Chapter 2], [Se], [COrVa], [APi].

0.1. The class of operators. Let Γ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d , and let Ω be the elementary cell of the lattice Γ . For Γ -periodic functions in \mathbb{R}^d , we denote $\varphi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{x})$, $\varepsilon > 0$. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider self-adjoint elliptic matrix DOs of the following form

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = (f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}). \quad (0.1)$$

Here $b(\mathbf{D})$ is a homogeneous first order matrix DO with constant coefficients. We assume that the symbol $b(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is an $(m \times n)$ -matrix of rank n ($m \geq n$). Next, $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic bounded and uniformly positive definite $(m \times m)$ -matrix-valued function and $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic bounded together with its inverse $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function.

It is convenient to start with a simpler class of operators

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \quad (0.2)$$

corresponding to the case where $f = \mathbf{1}_n$. Many operators of mathematical physics can be represented in the form (0.1) or (0.2); see, e. g., [BSu4, Chapter 4]. The simplest example is the acoustics operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{D}^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} = -\operatorname{div} g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \nabla$.

0.2. Survey. In 2001 M. Birman and T. Suslina (see [BSu1]) suggested an operator-theoretic (spectral) approach to homogenization problems in \mathbb{R}^d , based on the scaling transformation, the Floquet–Bloch theory, and the analytic perturbation theory. With the help of this method, the so-called *operator error estimates* for homogenization problems were obtained.

In the case of elliptic and parabolic problems this approach was developed in detail: see [BSu2, BSu3, BSu4, BSu5, Su1, Su2, Su3, V, VSu1, VSu2].

*Supported by Young Russian Mathematics award and Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, agreement № 075-15-2019-1619.

[†]Leonhard Euler International Mathematical Institute, St. Petersburg State University, 14th Line V.O., 29B, St. Petersburg, 199178, Russia; e-mail: m dorodni@yandex.ru.

A different approach to operator error estimates for elliptic and parabolic problems (the “shift method”) was suggested by V. Zhikov and S. Pastukhova: see [Zh, ZhPas1, ZhPas2] and survey [ZhPas3].

The operator error estimates for nonstationary Schrödinger-type and hyperbolic equations have been studied to a lesser extent. The papers [BSu6, Su4, Su5, DSu, M1, M2] were devoted to such problems; see also [D] and [M3], where a wider class of operators with the lower order terms was considered. In operator terms, the behavior of the operator-valued functions $e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon}$, $\cos(\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon^{1/2})$, and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon^{-1/2}\sin(\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon^{1/2})$ (where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) for small ε was studied. Let us dwell on the results for the nonstationary Schrödinger-type equations. In [BSu6], the following estimate was obtained:

$$\|e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(1 + |\tau|)\varepsilon. \quad (0.3)$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^0 = b(\mathbf{D})^*g^0b(\mathbf{D})$ is the *effective operator* with the constant *effective matrix* g^0 . Next, in [Su5] (see also [Su4]) it was shown that, in the general case, this estimate is sharp with respect to the type of the operator norm. On the other hand, under some additional assumptions (formulated in the spectral terms near the lower edge of the spectrum) this result was improved:

$$\|e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(1 + |\tau|)\varepsilon. \quad (0.4)$$

0.3. Main results of the paper. The present paper is devoted to error estimates for the operator exponential; a special attention is paid to the dependence of the estimates on time. We show that, in the general case, the factor $(1 + |\tau|)$ in (0.3) cannot be replaced by $(1 + |\tau|^\alpha)$ with $\alpha < 1$. On the other hand, we prove that estimate (0.4) (which holds under some additional assumptions) can be improved:

$$\|e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\hat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

This result allows us to obtain qualified estimates for large time $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$ with $\alpha < 2$. Analogs of these results are obtained also for the more general operator (0.1). It turns out that it is convenient to study the operator $f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}(f^\varepsilon)^{-1}$ (the operator exponential sandwiched between rapidly oscillating factors).

The results given in the operator terms are applied to study the behavior of the solution $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, of the problem

$$\begin{cases} i\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = (\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad (0.5)$$

and also a more general problem with the operator \mathcal{A}_ε .

0.4. Method. The results are obtained with the help of the operator-theoretic approach. The scaling transformation reduces investigation of the difference of exponentials under the norm sign in (0.3) to studying the difference $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\hat{\mathcal{A}}} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^0}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = b(\mathbf{D})^*g(\mathbf{x})b(\mathbf{D})$. Next, with the help of the unitary Gelfand transformation, the operator $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ expands into the direct integral of the operators $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$ depending on the quasimomentum \mathbf{k} and acting in the space $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. According to [BSu2], we distinguish the one-dimensional parameter $t = |\mathbf{k}|$ and consider the family $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$ as a quadratic operator pencil with respect to the parameter t . Here, a good deal of constructions can be done in the framework of an abstract operator-theoretic setting. In the abstract scheme, the operator family $A(t)$ acting in some Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and admitting a factorization of the form $A(t) = X(t)^*X(t)$, where $X(t) = X_0 + tX_1$, is considered.

0.5. The plan of the paper. The paper consists of three chapters. Chapter I (§§1–3) contains necessary abstract operator-theoretic material. In Chapter II (§§4–9) periodic DOs acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ are studied. Chapter III (§§10–12) is devoted to homogenization problems for nonstationary Schrödinger-type equations. In §10 the main results of the paper in operator terms are obtained. Next, in §11 these results are applied to homogenization of the Cauchy problem (0.5) and a more general problem with the operator \mathcal{A}_ε . §12 is devoted to applications of the general results to particular equations.

0.6. Notation. Let \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}_* be complex separable Hilbert spaces. The symbols $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ denote the inner product and the norm in \mathfrak{H} . The symbol $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*}$ stands for the norm of a bounded linear operator from \mathfrak{H} to \mathfrak{H}_* . Sometimes we omit the indices if this does not lead to confusion. By $I = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$ we denote the identity operator in \mathfrak{H} . If $A: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ is a linear operator, then $\text{Dom } A$ and $\text{Ker } A$ stand for its domain and kernel, respectively. If \mathfrak{N} is a subspace in \mathfrak{H} , then $\mathfrak{N}^{\perp} := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{N}$. If P is the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N} , then P^{\perp} is the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N}^{\perp} .

The symbols $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ stand for the standard inner product and the norm in \mathbb{C}^n ; $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the unit $(n \times n)$ -matrix. If a is an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, then a^* stands for the adjoint matrix. Next, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $iD_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, $\mathbf{D} = -i\nabla = (D_1, \dots, D_d)$.

The L_p -classes of \mathbb{C}^n -valued functions in a domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are denoted by $L_p(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The Sobolev classes of \mathbb{C}^n -valued functions in a domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of order s and integrability index p are denoted by $W_p^s(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{C}^n)$. For $p = 2$ we use the notation $H^s(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $n = 1$, we write simply $L_p(\mathcal{O})$, $W_p^s(\mathcal{O})$, $H^s(\mathcal{O})$, etc., but sometimes we use such abbreviated notation also for spaces of vector-valued or matrix-valued functions.

Various constants in estimates are denoted by C , c , \mathcal{C} , \mathfrak{C} (probably, with indices and marks).

0.7. Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to T. A. Suslina for helpful discussions and advices.

CHAPTER I. ABSTRACT OPERATOR-THEORETIC SCHEME

§1. QUADRATIC OPERATOR PENCILS

1.1. The operators $X(t)$ and $A(t)$. Let \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}_* be complex separable Hilbert spaces. Suppose that $X_0: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ is a densely defined and closed operator, and $X_1: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ is a bounded operator. On the domain $\text{Dom } X_0$, we introduce the operator $X(t) := X_0 + tX_1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the family of selfadjoint (and nonnegative) operators $A(t) := X(t)^*X(t)$ in \mathfrak{H} . The operator $A(t)$ is generated by the closed quadratic form $\|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2$, $u \in \text{Dom } X_0$. Denote $A_0 := A(0)$, $\mathfrak{N} := \text{Ker } A_0 = \text{Ker } X_0$, $\mathfrak{N}_* := \text{Ker } X_0^*$. We impose the following condition.

CONDITION 1.1. *The point $\lambda_0 = 0$ is an isolated point in the spectrum of A_0 , and $0 < n := \dim \mathfrak{N} < \infty$, $n \leq n_* := \dim \mathfrak{N}_* \leq \infty$.*

Denote by d^0 the distance from the point $\lambda_0 = 0$ to the rest of the spectrum of A_0 . Let P and P_* be the orthogonal projections of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N} and of \mathfrak{H}_* onto \mathfrak{N}_* , respectively. Denote by $F(t; [a, b])$ the spectral projection of $A(t)$ for the interval $[a, b]$, and put $\mathfrak{F}(t; [a, b]) := F(t; [a, b])\mathfrak{H}$. We fix a number $\delta > 0$ such that $8\delta < d^0$. We write $F(t)$ in place of $F(t; [0, \delta])$ and $\mathfrak{F}(t)$ in place of $\mathfrak{F}(t; [0, \delta])$. Next, we choose a number $t^0 > 0$ such that

$$t^0 \leq \delta^{1/2} \|X_1\|^{-1}. \quad (1.1)$$

According to [BSu2, Chapter 1, Proposition 1.2], $F(t; [0, \delta]) = F(t; [0, 3\delta])$ and $\text{rank } F(t; [0, \delta]) = n$ for $|t| \leq t^0$.

1.2. The operators Z , R , and S . Now we introduce some operators appearing in the analytic perturbation theory considerations; see [BSu2, Chapter 1, §1] and [BSu3, §1].

Let $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$, and let $\psi = \psi(\omega) \in \text{Dom } X_0 \cap \mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$ be a (weak) solution of the equation

$$X_0^*(X_0\psi + X_1\omega) = 0.$$

We define a bounded operator $Z: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by the relation $Zu = \psi(Pu)$, $u \in \mathfrak{H}$. Next, we define the operator $R := X_0Z + X_1: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*$. Another representation for R is given by $R = P_*X_1|_{\mathfrak{N}}$. According to [BSu2, Chapter 1, Section 1.3], the operator $S := R^*R: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ is called *the spectral germ* of the operator family $A(t)$ at $t = 0$. The germ can be represented as $S = PX_1^*P_*X_1|_{\mathfrak{N}}$. The spectral germ is said to be *non-degenerate* if $\text{Ker } S = \{0\}$.

1.3. The operators Z_2 and R_2 . We need to introduce the operators Z_2 and R_2 defined in [VSu1, §1].

Let $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$, and let $\phi = \phi(\omega) \in \text{Dom } X_0 \cap \mathfrak{N}^\perp$ be a (weak) solution of the equation

$$X_0^*(X_0\phi + X_1Z\omega) = -P^\perp X_1^*R\omega.$$

The right-hand side of this equation belongs to $\mathfrak{N}^\perp = \text{Ran } X_0^*$, so the solvability condition is fulfilled. We define an operator $Z_2: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by the relation $Z_2u = \phi(Pu)$, $u \in \mathfrak{H}$. Finally, let $R_2 := X_0Z_2 + X_1Z: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$.

1.4. The analytic branches of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator $A(t)$. According to the general analytic perturbation theory (see [K]), for $|t| \leq t^0$ there exist real-analytic functions $\lambda_l(t)$ (the branches of eigenvalues) and real-analytic \mathfrak{H} -valued functions $\varphi_l(t)$ (the branches of eigenvectors) such that $A(t)\varphi_l(t) = \lambda_l(t)\varphi_l(t)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, and the set $\varphi_l(t)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathfrak{F}(t)$. Moreover, for $|t| \leq t_*$, where $0 < t_* \leq t^0$ is sufficiently small, we have the following convergent power series expansions:

$$\lambda_l(t) = \gamma_l t^2 + \mu_l t^3 + \nu_l t^4 + \dots, \quad \gamma_l \geq 0, \mu_l, \nu_l \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\varphi_l(t) = \omega_l + t\psi_l^{(1)} + t^2\psi_l^{(2)} + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.3)$$

We agree to use the numeration such that $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_n$. The elements $\omega_l = \varphi_l(0)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, form an orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{N} . In [BSu2, Chapter 1, §1] and [BSu3, §1] it was checked that $\tilde{\omega}_l := \psi_l^{(1)} - Z\omega_l \in \mathfrak{N}$,

$$S\omega_l = \gamma_l \omega_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.4)$$

$$(\tilde{\omega}_j, \omega_k) + (\omega_j, \tilde{\omega}_k) = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.5)$$

Thus, the numbers γ_l and the elements ω_l defined by (1.2) and (1.3) are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the germ S . We have $P = \sum_{l=1}^n (\cdot, \omega_l)\omega_l$ and $SP = \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_l (\cdot, \omega_l)\omega_l$.

1.5. Threshold approximations. The following statements were obtained in [BSu2, Chapter 1, Theorems 4.1 and 4.3] and [BSu3, Theorem 4.1]. In what follows, we agree to denote by β_j various absolute constants (which can be controlled explicitly) assuming that $\beta_j \geq 1$.

THEOREM 1.2 ([BSu2]). *Under the assumptions of Subsection 1.1, for $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(t) - P\| &\leq C_1|t|, & C_1 &= \beta_1 \delta^{-1/2} \|X_1\|, \\ \|A(t)F(t) - t^2 SP\| &\leq C_2|t|^3, & C_2 &= \beta_2 \delta^{-1/2} \|X_1\|^3. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

THEOREM 1.3 ([BSu3]). *Under the assumptions of Subsection 1.1, for $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$A(t)F(t) = t^2 SP + t^3 K + \Xi(t), \quad \|\Xi(t)\| \leq C_3 t^4, \quad C_3 = \beta_3 \delta^{-1} \|X_1\|^4.$$

The operator K is represented as $K = K_0 + N = K_0 + N_0 + N_*$, where K_0 takes \mathfrak{N} to \mathfrak{N}^\perp and \mathfrak{N}^\perp to \mathfrak{N} , while $N = N_0 + N_*$ takes \mathfrak{N} to itself and \mathfrak{N}^\perp to $\{0\}$. In terms of the power series coefficients, the operators K_0 , N_0 , N_* are given by $K_0 = \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_l ((\cdot, Z\omega_l)\omega_l + (\cdot, \omega_l)Z\omega_l)$,

$$N_0 = \sum_{l=1}^n \mu_l (\cdot, \omega_l)\omega_l, \quad N_* = \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_l ((\cdot, \tilde{\omega}_l)\omega_l + (\cdot, \omega_l)\tilde{\omega}_l). \quad (1.7)$$

In the invariant terms, we have $K_0 = ZSP + SPZ^*$ and $N = Z^*X_1^*RP + (RP)^*X_1Z$.

REMARK 1.4. 1°. If $Z = 0$, then $K_0 = 0$, $N = 0$, and $K = 0$. 2°. In the basis $\{\omega_l\}_{l=1}^n$ the operators N , N_0 , N_* (restricted to the subspace \mathfrak{N}) are represented by matrices of size $n \times n$. The operator N_0 is diagonal: $(N_0\omega_j, \omega_k) = \mu_j \delta_{jk}$, $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. The matrix entries of N_* are given by $(N_*\omega_j, \omega_k) = \gamma_k(\omega_j, \tilde{\omega}_k) + \gamma_j(\tilde{\omega}_j, \omega_k) = (\gamma_j - \gamma_k)(\tilde{\omega}_j, \omega_k)$, $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. So, the diagonal elements of N_* are equal to zero. Moreover, $(N_*\omega_j, \omega_k) = 0$ if $\gamma_j = \gamma_k$. 3°. If $n = 1$, then $N_* = 0$ and $N = N_0$.

1.6. The nondegeneracy condition. Below we impose the following additional condition.

CONDITION 1.5. *There exists a constant $c_* > 0$ such that $A(t) \geq c_* t^2 I$ for $|t| \leq t^0$.*

From Condition 1.5 it follows that $\lambda_l(t) \geq c_* t^2$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, for $|t| \leq t^0$. By (1.2), this implies $\gamma_l \geq c_* > 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, i.e., the spectral germ is nondegenerate:

$$S \geq c_* I_{\mathfrak{H}}. \quad (1.8)$$

1.7. The clusters of eigenvalues of $A(t)$. The content of this subsection is borrowed from [Su5, Section 2] and concerns the case where $n \geq 2$.

Suppose that Condition 1.5 is satisfied. Now, it is convenient to change the notation tracing the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the operator S . Let p be the number of different eigenvalues of the germ S . We enumerate these eigenvalues in the increasing order and denote them by γ_j° , $j = 1, \dots, p$. Let k_1, \dots, k_p be their multiplicities (obviously, $k_1 + \dots + k_p = n$). Denote $\mathfrak{N}_j := \text{Ker}(S - \gamma_j^\circ I_{\mathfrak{H}})$, $j = 1, \dots, p$. Then $\mathfrak{N} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mathfrak{N}_j$. Let P_j be the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N}_j . Then $P = \sum_{j=1}^p P_j$, and $P_j P_l = 0$ for $j \neq l$.

REMARK 1.6. By Remark 1.4, we have $P_j N_* P_j = 0$ and $P_l N_0 P_j = 0$ for $l \neq j$. Hence, the operators N_0 and N_* admit the invariant representations:

$$N_0 = \sum_{j=1}^p P_j N P_j, \quad N_* = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l, j \leq p \\ j \neq l}} P_l N P_j. \quad (1.9)$$

We divide the first n eigenvalues of the operator $A(t)$ in p clusters for $|t| \leq t^0$; the j -th cluster consists of the eigenvalues $\lambda_l(t)$, $l = i, \dots, i + k_j - 1$, where $i = i(j) = k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1} + 1$.

For each pair of indices (j, l) , $1 \leq j, l \leq p$, $j \neq l$, we denote $c_{jl}^\circ := \min\{c_*, n^{-1}|\gamma_l^\circ - \gamma_j^\circ|\}$. Clearly, there exists a number $i_0 = i_0(j, l)$, where $j \leq i_0 \leq l - 1$ if $j < l$ and $l \leq i_0 \leq j - 1$ if $l < j$, such that $\gamma_{i_0+1}^\circ - \gamma_{i_0}^\circ \geq c_{jl}^\circ$. It means that on the interval between γ_j° and γ_l° there is a gap in the spectrum of S of length at least c_{jl}° . If such i_0 is not unique, we agree to take the minimal possible i_0 (for definiteness). Next, we choose a number $t_{jl}^{00} \leq t^0$ such that

$$t_{jl}^{00} \leq (4C_2)^{-1} c_{jl}^\circ = (4\beta_2)^{-1} \delta^{1/2} \|X_1\|^{-3} c_{jl}^\circ.$$

Let $\Delta_{jl}^{(1)} := [\gamma_1^\circ - c_{jl}^\circ/4, \gamma_{i_0}^\circ + c_{jl}^\circ/4]$ and $\Delta_{jl}^{(2)} := [\gamma_{i_0+1}^\circ - c_{jl}^\circ/4, \gamma_p^\circ + c_{jl}^\circ/4]$. The distance between the segments $\Delta_{jl}^{(1)}$ and $\Delta_{jl}^{(2)}$ is at least $c_{jl}^\circ/2$. As was shown in [Su5, Section 2], for $|t| \leq t_{jl}^{00}$ the operator $A(t)$ has exactly $k_1 + \dots + k_{i_0}$ eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities) in the segment $t^2 \Delta_{jl}^{(1)}$ and exactly $k_{i_0+1} + \dots + k_p$ eigenvalues in the segment $t^2 \Delta_{jl}^{(2)}$.

1.8. The coefficients ν_l , $l = 1, \dots, n$. We need to establish a relationship between the coefficients ν_l , $l = 1, \dots, n$, and some eigenvalue problem.

In [VSu1, (1.34), (1.37)], it was checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_l^{(2)} - Z\tilde{\omega}_l - Z_2\omega_l &=: \tilde{\omega}_l^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{N}, & l = 1, \dots, n, \\ (\tilde{\omega}_l^{(2)}, \omega_k) + (Z\omega_l, Z\omega_k) + (\tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) + (\omega_l, \tilde{\omega}_k^{(2)}) &= 0, & l, k = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Next, by [VSu1, (2.47)], the formula below (2.46)], we have

$$(N_1\omega_l, \omega_k) - \mu_l(\tilde{\omega}_l, \omega_k) - \mu_k(\omega_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) - \gamma_l(\tilde{\omega}_l^{(2)}, \omega_k) - \gamma_k(\omega_l, \tilde{\omega}_k^{(2)}) - (S\tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) = \nu_l \delta_{lk}, \quad l, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.11)$$

where $N_1 := N_1^0 - Z^*ZSP - SPZ^*Z$, $N_1^0 := Z_2^*X_1^*RP + (RP)^*X_1Z_2 + R_2^*R_2P$.

Let γ_q° be the q -th eigenvalue of problem (1.4) of multiplicity k_q (i.e. $\gamma_q^\circ = \gamma_i = \dots = \gamma_{i+k_q-1}$ for $i = i(q) = k_1 + \dots + k_{q-1} + 1$). Consider the eigenvalue problem (see Remark 1.4)

$$P_q N \omega_l = \mu_l \omega_l, \quad l = i, \dots, i + k_q - 1. \quad (1.12)$$

Assume that μ_l , $l = i, \dots, i + k_q - 1$, are enumerated in the increasing order. Let $p'(q)$ be the number of different eigenvalues of problem (1.12) and denote by $k_{1,q}, \dots, k_{p'(q),q}$ their multiplicities (of course, $k_{1,q} + \dots + k_{p'(q),q} = k_q$). We also change the notation and denote by $\mu_{j,q}^\circ$, $j = 1, \dots, p'(q)$, the different eigenvalues of problem (1.12), enumerating them in the increasing order. Denote $\mathfrak{N}_{j,q} :=$

$\text{Ker}(P_q N|_{\mathfrak{N}_q - \mu_{j,q}^\circ I_{\mathfrak{N}_q}})$, $j = 1, \dots, p'(q)$. Then $\mathfrak{N}_q = \sum_{j=1}^{p'(q)} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{j,q}$. Let $P_{j,q}$ be the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H} onto $\mathfrak{N}_{j,q}$. Then $P_q = \sum_{j=1}^{p'(q)} P_{j,q}$ and $P_{j,q} P_{r,q} = 0$ for $j \neq r$.

Let $\mu_{q',q}^\circ$ be the q' -th eigenvalue of problem (1.12) of multiplicity $k_{q',q}$, i.e., $\mu_{q',q}^\circ = \mu_{i'} = \dots = \mu_{i'+k_{q',q}-1}$, where $i' = i'(q', q) = i(q) + k_{1,q} + \dots + k_{q'-1,q}$. Using (1.5), (1.10) and taking into account that $\gamma_l = \gamma_k = \gamma_q^\circ$, $\mu_l = \mu_k = \mu_{q',q}^\circ$, $l, k = i', \dots, i' + k_{q',q} - 1$, from (1.11) we deduce

$$(N_1 \omega_l, \omega_k) + \gamma_l (Z \omega_l, Z \omega_k) + \gamma_l (\tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) - (S \tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) = \nu_l \delta_{lk}, \quad l, k = i', \dots, i' + k_{q',q} - 1. \quad (1.13)$$

Next, by virtue of Remark 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l (\tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) - (S \tilde{\omega}_l, \tilde{\omega}_k) &= \sum_{l'=1}^n (\gamma_l - \gamma_{l'}) (\tilde{\omega}_l, \omega_{l'}) (\omega_{l'}, \tilde{\omega}_k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{l' \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ l' \neq i, \dots, i+k_q-1}} \frac{(N \omega_l, \omega_{l'}) (\omega_{l'}, N \omega_k)}{\gamma_q^\circ - \gamma_{l'}} = \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, p\} \\ j \neq q}} \frac{(P_j N \omega_l, N \omega_k)}{\gamma_q^\circ - \gamma_j^\circ} =: \mathbf{n}_0^{(q',q)}[\omega_l, \omega_k], \\ & \quad l, k = i', \dots, i' + k_{q',q} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Relations (1.13) can be treated as the eigenvalue problem for the operator $\mathcal{N}^{(q',q)}$:

$$\mathcal{N}^{(q',q)} \omega_l = \nu_l \omega_l, \quad l = i', \dots, i' + k_{q',q} - 1, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}^{(q',q)} := P_{q',q} \left(N_1^0 - \frac{1}{2} Z^* Z S P - \frac{1}{2} S P Z^* Z \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{N}_{q',q}} + \mathcal{N}_0^{(q',q)}$$

and $\mathcal{N}_0^{(q',q)}$ is the operator acting in $\mathfrak{N}_{q',q}$ and generated by the form $\mathbf{n}_0^{(q',q)}[\cdot, \cdot]$.

REMARK 1.7. Let $N_0 = 0$. By (1.7), this condition is equivalent to the relations $\mu_l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, we have $\mathfrak{N}_{1,q} = \mathfrak{N}_q$, $q = 1, \dots, p$. Then we shall write $\mathcal{N}^{(q)}$ instead of $\mathcal{N}^{(1,q)}$. Suppose, in addition, that $\mathcal{N}^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. By (1.14), this assumption means that $\nu_j \neq 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

§2. APPROXIMATION OF THE OPERATOR $e^{-i\tau\epsilon^{-2}A(t)}P$

2.1. Approximation of the operator $e^{-i\tau\epsilon^{-2}A(t)}P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We study the behavior of the operator $e^{-i\tau\epsilon^{-2}A(t)}$ for small ϵ . We shall multiply this operator by the ‘‘smoothing factor’’ $\epsilon^s(t^2 + \epsilon^2)^{-s/2}P$, where $s > 0$. (The term is explained by the fact that in applications to DOs this factor turns into the smoothing operator.) Our goal is to find an approximation of the smoothed operator exponential with an error of order $O(\epsilon)$ for minimal possible s .

We rely on the following statements proved in [BSu6, Theorem 2.1] and [Su5, Corollaries 3.3, 3.5].

THEOREM 2.1 ([BSu6]). For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau t^2 S P}P\| \leq 2C_1|t| + C_2|\tau||t|^3. \quad (2.1)$$

THEOREM 2.2 ([Su5]). Suppose that $N = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau t^2 S P}P\| \leq 2C_1|t| + C_4|\tau|t^4, \quad (2.2)$$

where $C_4 = \beta_4 \delta^{-1} \|X_1\|^4$.

THEOREM 2.3 ([Su5]). Suppose that $N_0 = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^{00}$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau t^2 S P}P\| \leq C_5|t| + C_6|\tau|t^4.$$

Here t^{00} is subject to the restriction

$$t^{00} \leq (4\beta_2)^{-1} \delta^{1/2} \|X_1\|^{-3} c^\circ, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$c^\circ := \min_{(j,l) \in \mathcal{Z}} c_{jl}^\circ, \quad \mathcal{Z} := \{(j,l) : 1 \leq j, l \leq p, j \neq l, P_j N P_l \neq 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

The constants C_5, C_6 are given by

$$C_5 = \beta_5 \delta^{-1/2} (\|X_1\| + n^2 \|X_1\|^3 (c^\circ)^{-1}), \quad C_6 = \beta_6 \delta^{-1} (\|X_1\|^4 + n^2 \|X_1\|^8 (c^\circ)^{-2}).$$

Now, we apply the formulated results and we start with Theorem 2.1. Let $|t| \leq t^0$. By (2.1) (with τ replaced by $\varepsilon^{-2}\tau$),

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^3(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-3/2} \\ \leq (2C_1|t| + C_2\varepsilon^{-2}|\tau||t|^3)\varepsilon^3(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-3/2} \leq (C_1 + C_2|\tau|)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We arrive at the following result which has been proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 2.6].

THEOREM 2.4 ([BSu6]). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^3(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-3/2} \leq (C_1 + C_2|\tau|)\varepsilon.$$

The constants C_1, C_2 are majorated by polynomials of the variables $\delta^{-1/2}, \|X_1\|$.

Theorem 2.2 allows us to improve the result of Theorem 2.4 in the case where $N = 0$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose that $N = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \leq (C_1 + C'_4|\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

The constants C_1, C'_4 are majorated by polynomials of the variables $\delta^{-1/2}, \|X_1\|$.

Proof. Note that for $|t| \geq \varepsilon^{1/2}/|\tau|^{1/4}$ we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{t^2 + \varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\tau|^{1/2}} + \varepsilon^2} = \frac{\varepsilon|\tau|^{1/2}}{1 + \varepsilon|\tau|^{1/2}} \leq \varepsilon|\tau|^{1/2},$$

whence the left-hand side of (2.5) does not exceed $2|\tau|^{1/2}\varepsilon$.

Using (2.2) with τ replaced by $\varepsilon^{-2}\tau$, for $|t| < \varepsilon^{1/2}/|\tau|^{1/4}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} &\leq (2C_1|t| + C_4\varepsilon^{-2}|\tau|t^4)\varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \\ &\leq C_1\varepsilon + C_4|\tau|t^2 \leq C_1\varepsilon + C_4|\tau|^{1/2}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The required estimate (2.5) follows with the constant $C'_4 = \max\{2, C_4\}$. \square

Similarly, using Theorem 2.3, one can deduce the following result.

THEOREM 2.6. *Suppose that $N_0 = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \leq t^{00}$ we have*

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \leq (C_5 + C'_6|\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

Here t^{00} is subject to (2.3), the constants C_5, C'_6 are majorated by polynomials of the variables $\delta^{-1/2}, \|X_1\|, n, (c^\circ)^{-1}$.

REMARK 2.7. *Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 improve the results of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 from [Su5] with respect to dependence of the estimates on τ .*

2.2. Sharpness of the results with respect to the smoothing factor. Now, we show that the obtained results are sharp with respect to the smoothing factor. The following theorem proved in [Su5, Theorem 4.4] confirms the sharpness of Theorem 2.4.

THEOREM 2.8 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $N_0 \neq 0$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $C(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2SP}P\| \varepsilon^s(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq C(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (2.6)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

Next, we confirm the sharpness of Theorems 2.5, 2.6.

THEOREM 2.9. *Suppose that $N_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $C(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (2.6) holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .*

Proof. We start with preliminary remarks. Since $F(t)^\perp P = (P - F(t))P$, from (1.6) it follows that

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}F(t)^\perp P\|\varepsilon(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} \leq C_1|t|\varepsilon(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} \leq C_1\varepsilon, \quad |t| \leq t^0. \quad (2.7)$$

Next, for $|t| \leq t^0$ we have

$$e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}F(t) = \sum_{l=1}^n e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\lambda_l(t)}(\cdot, \varphi_l(t))\varphi_l(t). \quad (2.8)$$

From the convergence of the power series expansions (1.3) it follows that

$$\|\varphi_l(t) - \omega_l\| \leq c_1|t|, \quad |t| \leq t_*, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.9)$$

It suffices to assume that $1 \leq s < 2$. Let us fix $0 \neq \tau \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove by contradiction. Suppose that for some $1 \leq s < 2$ there exists a constant $C(\tau) > 0$ such that (2.6) is valid for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε . By (2.7)–(2.9), this assumption is equivalent to the existence of a positive constant $\tilde{C}(\tau)$ such that the estimate

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^n \left(e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\lambda_l(t)} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2\gamma_l} \right) (\cdot, \omega_l)\omega_l \right\| \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (2.10)$$

is valid for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

By Remark 1.7, the conditions $N_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ mean that in the expansions (1.2) $\mu_l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n$ and $\nu_j \neq 0$ at least for one j . Then, by (1.2), $\lambda_j(t) = \gamma_j t^2 + \nu_j t^4 + O(|t|^5)$. Assume that t_* is sufficiently small so that

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nu_j|t^4 \leq |\lambda_j(t) - \gamma_j t^2| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\nu_j|t^4, \quad |t| \leq t_*. \quad (2.11)$$

Apply the operator under the norm sign in (2.10) to ω_j . Then

$$\left| e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\lambda_j(t)} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2\gamma_j} \right| \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (2.12)$$

for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε . The left-hand side of (2.12) can be written as

$$2 \left| \sin \left(\frac{1}{2}\tau\varepsilon^{-2}(\lambda_j(t) - \gamma_j t^2) \right) \right| \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2}.$$

Now, assuming that ε is sufficiently small so that $\varepsilon \leq \pi^{-1/2}|\nu_j\tau|^{1/2}t_*^2$, we put $t = t(\varepsilon) = \pi^{1/4}|\nu_j\tau|^{-1/4}\varepsilon^{1/2} = c\varepsilon^{1/2}$. Then $t(\varepsilon) \leq t_*$ and, by (2.11),

$$2 \left| \sin \left(\frac{1}{2}\tau\varepsilon^{-2}(\lambda_j(t(\varepsilon)) - \gamma_j t(\varepsilon)^2) \right) \right| \geq \sqrt{2},$$

whence (2.12) implies $\sqrt{2}\varepsilon^s(c^2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau)\varepsilon$. It follows that the function $\varepsilon^{s/2-1}(c^2 + \varepsilon)^{-s/2}$ is uniformly bounded for small ε . But this is not true if $s < 2$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

2.3. Sharpness of the results with respect to time. Now, we prove the following statement confirming the sharpness of Theorem 2.4 with respect to dependence of the estimate on time.

THEOREM 2.10. *Suppose that $N_0 \neq 0$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $C(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} C(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (2.6) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $|t|$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. We prove by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a positive function $C(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} C(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and (2.6) is valid for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε . By (2.7)–(2.9), this assumption is equivalent to the existence of a positive function $\tilde{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (2.10) holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

The condition $N_0 \neq 0$ means that $\mu_j \neq 0$ at least for one j . Then $\lambda_j(t) = \gamma_j t^2 + \mu_j t^3 + O(t^4)$. Assume that t_* is sufficiently small so that

$$\frac{1}{2}|\mu_j||t|^3 \leq |\lambda_j(t) - \gamma_j t^2| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\mu_j||t|^3, \quad |t| \leq t_*. \quad (2.13)$$

Applying the operator under the norm sign in (2.10) to ω_j , we obtain

$$2 \left| \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau \varepsilon^{-2} (\lambda_j(t) - \gamma_j t^2) \right) \right| \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau) \varepsilon \quad (2.14)$$

for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

Let $\tau \neq 0$, and let $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_b |\tau|^{1/2}$, where $\varepsilon_b = (2\pi)^{-1/2} |\mu_j|^{1/2} t_*^{3/2}$. We put

$$t_b = t_b(\varepsilon, \tau) = c_b |\tau|^{-1/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}, \quad c_b = \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)^{1/3} |\mu_j|^{-1/3}. \quad (2.15)$$

Then $t_b \leq t_*/2$ and, by (2.13), $\left| \frac{\tau}{2\varepsilon^2} (\lambda_j(t_b) - \gamma_j t_b^2) \right| \leq \frac{3\pi}{16} < \frac{\pi}{4}$. Applying the estimate $|\sin y| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} |y|$ for $|y| \leq \pi/2$ and using the lower estimate (2.13), we obtain

$$\left| \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau \varepsilon^{-2} (\lambda_j(t_b) - \gamma_j t_b^2) \right) \right| \geq \frac{|\tau|}{\pi \varepsilon^2} |\lambda_j(t_b) - \gamma_j t_b^2| \geq \frac{|\tau| |\mu_j|}{2\pi \varepsilon^2} t_b^3 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Together with (2.14), this yields $\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^s (t_b^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau) \varepsilon$ for all sufficiently small ε . By (2.15), this implies

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\varepsilon |\tau|)^{s/3-1}}{(c_b^2 + (\varepsilon |\tau|)^{2/3})^{s/2}} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}(\tau)}{|\tau|} \quad (2.16)$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. But estimate (2.16) is not true for large $|\tau|$ and $\varepsilon = |\tau|^{-1}$ since $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

The following statement confirms the sharpness of Theorems 2.5, 2.6.

THEOREM 2.11. *Suppose that $N_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $C(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} C(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (2.6) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $|t|$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. By Remark 1.7, the conditions $N_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ mean that $\mu_l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n$ and $\nu_j \neq 0$ at least for one j . Then for sufficiently small t_* relations (2.11) hold.

We prove by contradiction. Suppose the opposite. Then, similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.10, we conclude that there exists a positive function $\tilde{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and (2.14) holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

Let $\tau \neq 0$, and let $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_\dagger |\tau|^{1/2}$, where $\varepsilon_\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-1/2} |\nu_j|^{1/2} t_*^2$. We put

$$t_\dagger = t_\dagger(\varepsilon, \tau) = c_\dagger |\tau|^{-1/4} \varepsilon^{1/2}, \quad c_\dagger = \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)^{1/4} |\nu_j|^{-1/4}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then $t_\dagger \leq t_*/2$ and, by (2.11), $\left| \frac{\tau}{2\varepsilon^2} (\lambda_j(t_\dagger) - \gamma_j t_\dagger^2) \right| \leq \frac{3\pi}{16} < \frac{\pi}{4}$. Applying the estimate $|\sin y| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} |y|$ for $|y| \leq \pi/2$ and using the lower estimate (2.11), we obtain

$$\left| \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau \varepsilon^{-2} (\lambda_j(t_\dagger) - \gamma_j t_\dagger^2) \right) \right| \geq \frac{|\tau|}{\pi \varepsilon^2} |\lambda_j(t_\dagger) - \gamma_j t_\dagger^2| \geq \frac{|\tau| |\nu_j|}{2\pi \varepsilon^2} t_\dagger^4 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Combining this with (2.14), we have $\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^s (t_\dagger^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{C}(\tau) \varepsilon$ for all sufficiently small ε . By (2.17), this is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\varepsilon |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2-1}}{(c_\dagger^2 + \varepsilon |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2}} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}(\tau)}{|\tau|^{1/2}} \quad (2.18)$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. But estimate (2.18) is not true for large $|\tau|$ and $\varepsilon = |\tau|^{-1/2}$ since $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

§3. APPROXIMATION OF THE SANDWICHED OPERATOR EXPONENTIAL

3.1. The operator family $A(t) = M^* \hat{A}(t) M$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ be yet another separable Hilbert space. Let $\hat{X}(t) = \hat{X}_0 + t \hat{X}_1: \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_*$ be a family of operators of the same form as $X(t)$, and suppose that $\hat{X}(t)$ satisfies the assumptions of Subsection 1.1. Let $M: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ be an isomorphism. Suppose that $M \text{ Dom } X_0 = \text{Dom } \hat{X}_0$, $X(t) = \hat{X}(t) M$, and then also $X_0 = \hat{X}_0 M$, $X_1 = \hat{X}_1 M$. In $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, we consider the

family of selfadjoint operators $\widehat{A}(t) = \widehat{X}(t)^* \widehat{X}(t)$. Then, obviously,

$$A(t) = M^* \widehat{A}(t) M. \quad (3.1)$$

In what follows, all the objects corresponding to the family $\widehat{A}(t)$ are marked by the sign “ $\widehat{}$ ”. Note that $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}} = M\mathfrak{N}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_* = \mathfrak{N}_*$.

In $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ we consider the positive definite operator $Q := (MM^*)^{-1}$. Let $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$ be the block of Q in the subspace $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$, i.e. $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}} = \widehat{P}Q|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$. Obviously, $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$ is an isomorphism in $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$.

Condition 1.5 implies that for $\widehat{A}(t)$ we have

$$\widehat{A}(t) \geq \widehat{c}_* t^2 I, \quad \widehat{c}_* = c_* \|M\|^{-2}, \quad |t| \leq t^0.$$

According to [Su2, Proposition 1.2], the orthogonal projection P of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N} and the orthogonal projection \widehat{P} of $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ satisfy the following relation

$$P = M^{-1}(Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}})^{-1} \widehat{P}(M^*)^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\widehat{S}: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the spectral germ of $\widehat{A}(t)$ at $t = 0$, and let S be the germ of $A(t)$. The following identity was obtained in [BSu2, Chapter 1, Subsection 1.5]:

$$S = PM^* \widehat{S} M|_{\mathfrak{N}}. \quad (3.3)$$

3.2. The operators \widehat{Z}_Q and \widehat{N}_Q . For the operator family $\widehat{A}(t)$ we introduce the operator \widehat{Z}_Q acting in $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ and taking an element $\widehat{u} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ to the solution $\widehat{\psi}_Q$ of the problem $\widehat{X}_0^*(\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\psi}_Q + \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\omega}) = 0$, $Q \widehat{\psi}_Q \perp \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$, where $\widehat{\omega} = \widehat{P} \widehat{u}$. According to [BSu3, §6], the operator Z for $A(t)$ and the operator \widehat{Z}_Q introduced above satisfy

$$\widehat{Z}_Q = MZM^{-1} \widehat{P}. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, we put $\widehat{N}_Q := \widehat{Z}_Q^* \widehat{X}_1^* \widehat{R} \widehat{P} + (\widehat{R} \widehat{P})^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_Q$. According to [BSu3, §6], the operator N for $A(t)$ and the operator \widehat{N}_Q satisfy

$$\widehat{N}_Q = \widehat{P}(M^*)^{-1} N M^{-1} \widehat{P}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $N = N_0 + N_*$, we have $\widehat{N}_Q = \widehat{N}_{0,Q} + \widehat{N}_{*,Q}$, where

$$\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = \widehat{P}(M^*)^{-1} N_0 M^{-1} \widehat{P}, \quad \widehat{N}_{*,Q} = \widehat{P}(M^*)^{-1} N_* M^{-1} \widehat{P}. \quad (3.6)$$

The following lemma was proved in [Su5, Lemma 5.1].

LEMMA 3.1 ([Su5]). *The relation $N = 0$ is equivalent to the relation $\widehat{N}_Q = 0$. The relation $N_0 = 0$ is equivalent to the relation $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = 0$.*

3.3. The operators $\widehat{Z}_{2,Q}$, $\widehat{R}_{2,Q}$, and $\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0$. Let $\widehat{u} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ and let $\widehat{\phi}_Q = \widehat{\phi}_Q(\widehat{u}) \in \text{Dom } \widehat{X}_0$ be a (weak) solution of the equation

$$\widehat{X}_0^*(\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\phi}_Q + \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_Q \widehat{\omega}) = -\widehat{X}_1^* \widehat{R} \widehat{\omega} + Q(Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}})^{-1} \widehat{P} \widehat{X}_1^* \widehat{R} \widehat{\omega}, \quad Q \widehat{\phi}_Q \perp \widehat{\mathfrak{N}},$$

where $\widehat{\omega} = \widehat{P} \widehat{u}$. Clearly, the right-hand side of this equation belongs to $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}^\perp = \text{Ran } \widehat{X}_0^*$, thereby the solvability condition is satisfied. We define an operator $\widehat{Z}_{2,Q}: \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ by the formula $\widehat{Z}_{2,Q} \widehat{u} = \widehat{\phi}_Q(\widehat{u})$.

Now, we introduce an operator $\widehat{R}_{2,Q}: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ by the formula $\widehat{R}_{2,Q} = \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z}_{2,Q} + \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_Q$. Finally, we define the operator $\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0$:

$$\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0 = \widehat{Z}_{2,Q}^* \widehat{X}_1^* \widehat{R} \widehat{P} + (\widehat{R} \widehat{P})^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_{2,Q} + \widehat{R}_{2,Q}^* \widehat{R}_{2,Q} \widehat{P}.$$

According to [VSu1, Section 6.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Z}_{2,Q} &= MZ_2 M^{-1} \widehat{P}, \\ R_2 &= \widehat{R}_{2,Q} M|_{\mathfrak{N}}, \quad \widehat{R}_{2,Q} = R_2 M^{-1}|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}, \\ \widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0 &= \widehat{P}(M^*)^{-1} N_1^0 M^{-1} \widehat{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

3.4. Relations between the operators and the coefficients of the power series expansions.

Now, we describe the relations between the coefficients of the power series expansions (1.2), (1.3) and

the operators \widehat{S} and $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$. (See [BSu3, Sections 1.6, 1.7].) Denote $\zeta_l := M\omega_l \in \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then relations (1.4) and (3.2), (3.3) show that

$$\widehat{S}\zeta_l = \gamma_l Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.8)$$

The set ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n forms a basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ orthonormal with the weight $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$: $(Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_l, \zeta_j) = \delta_{lj}$, $l, j = 1, \dots, n$.

The operators $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}$ and $\widehat{N}_{*,Q}$ can be described in terms of the coefficients of the expansions (1.2) and (1.3); cf. (1.7). We put $\tilde{\zeta}_l := M\tilde{\omega}_l \in \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\cdot, Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_k) Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_k, \quad \widehat{N}_{*,Q} = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \left((\cdot, Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\tilde{\zeta}_k) Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_k + (\cdot, Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_k) Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\tilde{\zeta}_k \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Now, we return to the notation of Section 1.7. Recall that the different eigenvalues of the germ S are denoted by γ_q° , $q = 1, \dots, p$, and the corresponding eigenspaces by \mathfrak{N}_q . The set of the vectors ω_l , $l = i, \dots, i + k_q - 1$, where $i = i(q) = k_1 + \dots + k_{q-1} + 1$, forms an orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{N}_q . Then the same numbers γ_q° , $q = 1, \dots, p$, are the different eigenvalues of the problem (3.8) and $M\mathfrak{N}_q =: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}$ are the corresponding eigenspaces. The vectors $\zeta_l = M\omega_l$, $l = i, \dots, i + k_q - 1$, form a basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}$ (orthonormal with the weight $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}$). By \mathcal{P}_q we denote the ‘‘skew’’ projection of $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}$ that is orthogonal with respect to the inner product $(Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\cdot, \cdot)$, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_q = \sum_{l=i}^{i+k_q-1} (\cdot, Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}}\zeta_l)\zeta_l$. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{P}_q = MP_qM^{-1}\widehat{P}$. Using (1.9), (3.5), and (3.6), it is easy to check that

$$\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{P}_j^* \widehat{N}_Q \mathcal{P}_j, \quad \widehat{N}_{*,Q} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l, j \leq p \\ j \neq l}} \mathcal{P}_l^* \widehat{N}_Q \mathcal{P}_j. \quad (3.10)$$

Next, we find a relationship between the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of problem (1.12) and the operator \widehat{N}_Q . Let γ_q° be the q -th eigenvalue of problem (3.8) of multiplicity k_q . Then from (1.12), (3.5) and the obvious identity $MP_q = \widehat{P}_{q,Q}MP_q$, where $\widehat{P}_{q,Q}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}$, it is seen that

$$\widehat{P}_{q,Q}\widehat{N}_Q\zeta_l = \mu_l Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}}\zeta_l, \quad l = i(q), \dots, i(q) + k_q - 1, \quad (3.11)$$

where $Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}} = \widehat{P}_{q,Q}Q|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}}$. Recall that the different eigenvalues of problem (1.12) are denoted by $\mu_{q',q}^\circ$, $q' = 1, \dots, p'(q)$, and the corresponding eigenspaces by $\mathfrak{N}_{q',q}$. Then the same numbers $\mu_{q',q}^\circ$, $q' = 1, \dots, p'(q)$, are different eigenvalues of problem (3.11), and $M\mathfrak{N}_{q',q} =: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}$ are the corresponding eigenspaces.

Finally, we connect the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the problem (1.14) and the operator

$$\widehat{N}_Q^{(q',q)} = \widehat{P}_{q',q,Q} \left(\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0 - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{Z}_Q^* Q \widehat{Z}_Q Q^{-1} \widehat{S} \widehat{P} - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{S} \widehat{P} Q^{-1} \widehat{Z}_Q^* Q \widehat{Z}_Q \right) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}} + \widehat{N}_{0,Q}^{(q',q)},$$

where $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}^{(q',q)}$ is the operator in $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}$ generated by the form

$$\widehat{n}_{0,Q}^{(q',q)}[\cdot, \cdot] = \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, p\} \\ j \neq q}} \frac{(\widehat{P}_{j,Q}(MM^*)\widehat{P}_{j,Q}\widehat{N}_Q\cdot, \widehat{N}_Q\cdot)}{\gamma_q^\circ - \gamma_j^\circ},$$

and $\widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}$. From (1.14), (3.3)–(3.5), (3.7), and the identities $MP_j = \widehat{P}_{j,Q}MP_j$, $\widehat{P}_{j,Q}M(I - P_j) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, $MP_{q',q} = \widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}MP_{q',q}$, it is seen that

$$\widehat{N}_Q^{(q',q)}\zeta_l = \nu_l Q_{q',q,Q}\zeta_l, \quad l = i', \dots, i' + k_{q',q} - 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Here $i' = i'(q',q) = i(q) + k_{1,q} + \dots + k_{q'-1,q}$ and $Q_{q',q,Q} = \widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}Q|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}}$.

REMARK 3.2. Let $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = 0$. By (3.9), this condition is equivalent to the relations $\mu_l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, we have $\mathfrak{N}_{1,q} = \mathfrak{N}_q$, $q = 1, \dots, p$. Then we shall write $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}$ instead of $\widehat{N}_Q^{(1,q)}$.

REMARK 3.3. Let $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q',q)} \neq 0$ for some q and q' . Then, by (3.12), $\nu_l \neq 0$ for some $l = i'(q',q), \dots, i'(q',q) + k_{q',q} - 1$. From (1.14) it directly follows that $\mathcal{N}^{(q',q)} \neq 0$.

3.5. Approximation of the sandwiched operator exponential. In this subsection we find approximation for the operator exponential $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}$ of the family (3.1) in terms of the germ \widehat{S} of $\widehat{A}(t)$ and the isomorphism M . It is convenient to border the exponential by appropriate factors.

Denote $M_0 := (Q_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}})^{-1/2}$. The following estimates were proved in [Su5, Lemma 5.3]:

$$\|Me^{-i\tau A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\| \leq \|M\|^2\|M^{-1}\|^2\|e^{-i\tau A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau t^2SP}P\|, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|e^{-i\tau A(t)}P - e^{-i\tau t^2SP}P\| \leq \|M\|^2\|M^{-1}\|^2\|Me^{-i\tau A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|. \quad (3.14)$$

Theorems 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, Lemma 3.1, and inequality (3.13) directly imply the following results.

THEOREM 3.4 ([BSu6]). *Under the assumptions of Subsection 3.1 for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$\|Me^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|\varepsilon^3(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-3/2} \leq \|M\|^2\|M^{-1}\|^2(C_1 + C_2|\tau|)\varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Subsection 3.1 are satisfied. Suppose that $\widehat{N}_Q = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $|t| \leq t^0$ we have*

$$\|Me^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|\varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \leq \|M\|^2\|M^{-1}\|^2(C_1 + C'_4|\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that the assumptions of Subsection 3.1 and Condition 1.5 are satisfied. Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = 0$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $|t| \leq t^{00}$ we have*

$$\|Me^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|\varepsilon^2(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \leq \|M\|^2\|M^{-1}\|^2(C_5 + C'_6|\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

Theorem 3.4 was proved in [BSu6, Theorem 3.2].

REMARK 3.7. *Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 improve the results of Theorems 5.8, 5.9 from [Su5] with respect to τ .*

3.6. The sharpness of the results. Theorems 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, Lemma 3.1, Remark 3.3, and inequality (3.14) directly imply the following statements.

THEOREM 3.8 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} \neq 0$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $C(\tau) > 0$ such the estimate*

$$\|Me^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(t)}M^{-1}\widehat{P} - M_0e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}t^2M_0\widehat{S}M_0}M_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|\varepsilon^s(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq C(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (3.15)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .

THEOREM 3.9. *Let $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = 0$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $C(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (3.15) holds for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and ε .*

THEOREM 3.10. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} \neq 0$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $C(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} C(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (3.15) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

THEOREM 3.11. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q} = 0$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)} \neq 0$ for some $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $C(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} C(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (3.15) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all sufficiently small $|t|$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Theorem 3.8 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 5.10].

CHAPTER II. PERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS IN $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$

§4. THE CLASS OF PERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

4.1. Preliminaries: lattices and the Gelfand transformation. Let Γ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d generated by the basis $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$, i.e., $\Gamma = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^d n_j \mathbf{a}_j, n_j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, and let Ω be the elementary cell

of this lattice: $\Omega := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j \mathbf{a}_j, 0 < \xi_j < 1 \right\}$. The basis $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_d$ dual to $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$ is defined by the relations $\langle \mathbf{b}_l, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle = 2\pi \delta_{lj}$. This basis generates the lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}$ dual to Γ . Denote by $\tilde{\Omega}$ the central Brillouin zone of $\tilde{\Gamma}$:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \left\{ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{k}| < |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{b}|, 0 \neq \mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Denote $|\Omega| = \text{meas } \Omega$, $|\tilde{\Omega}| = \text{meas } \tilde{\Omega}$. Note that $|\Omega||\tilde{\Omega}| = (2\pi)^d$. Let r_0 be the radius of the ball inscribed in $\text{clos } \tilde{\Omega}$. We have $2r_0 = \min |\mathbf{b}|, 0 \neq \mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$.

With the lattice Γ , we associate the discrete Fourier transformation $\{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}}\} \mapsto \mathbf{u}$:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = |\Omega|^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle},$$

which is a unitary mapping of $l_2(\tilde{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. By $\tilde{H}^\sigma(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ we denote the subspace of functions from $H^\sigma(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ whose Γ -periodic extension to \mathbb{R}^d belongs to $H_{\text{loc}}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} |(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} |\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{k}|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}}|^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4.2)$$

and convergence of the series in the right-hand side of (4.2) is equivalent to the inclusion $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. From (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \geq \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} |\mathbf{k}|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}}|^2 = |\mathbf{k}|^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}. \quad (4.3)$$

Initially, the Gelfand transformation \mathcal{U} is defined on the functions from the Schwartz class $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{U}\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}) = |\tilde{\Omega}|^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \Gamma} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} \rangle} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega},$$

and extends by continuity up to a unitary mapping:

$$\mathcal{U} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) d\mathbf{k} =: \mathcal{K}.$$

4.2. Factorized second order operators \mathcal{A} . Let $b(\mathbf{D}) = \sum_{l=1}^d b_l D_l$, where b_l are constant $(m \times n)$ -matrices (in general, with complex entries). It is assumed that $m \geq n$. Consider the symbol $b(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{l=1}^d b_l \xi_l$, $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that $\text{rank } b(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = n$, $0 \neq \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This condition is equivalent to the inequalities

$$\alpha_0 \mathbf{1}_n \leq b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \alpha_1 \mathbf{1}_n, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad 0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 < \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

with some positive constants α_0, α_1 . Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function and $h(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic $(m \times m)$ -matrix-valued function such that

$$f, f^{-1} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d); \quad h, h^{-1} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.5)$$

Consider the closed operator $\mathcal{X} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$ given by $\mathcal{X} = hb(\mathbf{D})f$ on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{u} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) : f\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)\}$. The selfadjoint operator $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{X}$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is generated by the closed quadratic form $\mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$, $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$. Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = f(\mathbf{x})^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) f(\mathbf{x}), \quad (4.6)$$

where $g(\mathbf{x}) := h(\mathbf{x})^* h(\mathbf{x})$. Using the Fourier transformation, and (4.4), (4.5), it is easily seen that

$$\alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}.$$

4.3. The operators $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$. We put

$$\mathfrak{H} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad \mathfrak{H}_* = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m) \quad (4.7)$$

and consider the closed operator $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ depending on the parameter $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and given by $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) = hb(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})f$ on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{H} : f\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)\} =: \mathfrak{d}$. The selfadjoint operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})^* \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is generated by the quadratic form $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2$,

$\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}$. Using the Fourier series expansion for $\mathbf{v} = f\mathbf{u}$ and conditions (4.4), (4.5), it is easy to check that

$$\alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})f\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})f\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}. \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.3) and the lower estimate (4.8) it follows that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) \geq c_* |\mathbf{k}|^2 I, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad c_* = \alpha_0 \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1}. \quad (4.9)$$

We put $\mathfrak{N} := \text{Ker } \mathcal{A}(0) = \text{Ker } \mathcal{X}(0)$. Relations (4.8) with $\mathbf{k} = 0$ show that

$$\mathfrak{N} = \{\mathbf{u} \in L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) : f\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^n\}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{N} = n. \quad (4.10)$$

4.4. The band functions. Let $E_j(\mathbf{k})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be the consecutive eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$ (the band functions):

$$E_1(\mathbf{k}) \leq E_2(\mathbf{k}) \leq \dots \leq E_j(\mathbf{k}) \leq \dots, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The band functions $E_j(\mathbf{k})$ are continuous and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -periodic. In [BSu2, Chapter 2, Subsection 2.2], by simple variational arguments it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(\mathbf{k}) &\geq c_* |\mathbf{k}|^2, & \mathbf{k} \in \text{clos } \tilde{\Omega}, & \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ E_{n+1}(\mathbf{k}) &\geq c_* r_0^2, & \mathbf{k} \in \text{clos } \tilde{\Omega}, & \\ E_{n+1}(0) &\geq 4c_* r_0^2. & & \end{aligned}$$

4.5. The direct integral for the operator \mathcal{A} . Under the Gelfand transformation \mathcal{U} the operator \mathcal{A} expands in the direct integral of the operators $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$:

$$\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{U}^{-1} = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}. \quad (4.11)$$

This means the following. If $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{D}$ for a.e. $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and

$$\mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}] = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{k}) [\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot)] d\mathbf{k}. \quad (4.12)$$

Conversely, if $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{D}$ for a.e. $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and the integral in (4.12) is finite, then $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$ and (4.12) is valid.

4.6. Incorporation of the operators $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$ in the abstract scheme. For $d > 1$, the operators $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$ depend on the multidimensional parameter \mathbf{k} . According to [BSu2, Chapter 2], we introduce the one-dimensional parameter $t = |\mathbf{k}|$. We shall apply the method described in Chapter I. Now, all constructions will depend on the additional parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}| \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and we need to make our estimates uniform with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. The spaces \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}_* are defined by (4.7). We put $X(t) = X(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{X}(t\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then $X(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = X_0 + tX_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $X_0 = h(\mathbf{x})b(\mathbf{D})f(\mathbf{x})$, $\text{Dom } X_0 = \mathfrak{D}$, and $X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the bounded operator of multiplication by the matrix $h(\mathbf{x})b(\boldsymbol{\theta})f(\mathbf{x})$. Next, we put $A(t) = A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{A}(t\boldsymbol{\theta})$. The kernel $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Ker } X_0$ is described by (4.10). As was shown in [BSu2, Chapter 2, §3], the distance d^0 from the point $\lambda_0 = 0$ to the rest of the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{A}(0)$ is subject to the estimate $d^0 \geq 4c_* r_0^2$. The condition $n \leq n_* = \dim \text{Ker } X_0^*$ is also fulfilled. Moreover, either $n_* = n$ (if $m = n$), or $n_* = \infty$ (if $m > n$).

In Subsection 1.1, it was required to fix a number $\delta \in (0, d^0/8)$. Since $d^0 \geq 4c_* r_0^2$, we choose

$$\delta = \frac{1}{4} c_* r_0^2 = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_0 \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} r_0^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Note that by (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| \leq \alpha_1^{1/2} \|h\|_{L_\infty} \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (4.14)$$

We put (see (1.1))

$$t^0 = \delta^{1/2} \alpha_1^{-1/2} \|h\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} = \frac{r_0}{2} \alpha_0^{1/2} \alpha_1^{-1/2} (\|h\|_{L_\infty} \|h^{-1}\|_{L_\infty} \|f\|_{L_\infty} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty})^{-1}. \quad (4.15)$$

Note that $t^0 \leq r_0/2$. Thus, the ball $|\mathbf{k}| \leq t^0$ lies inside $\tilde{\Omega}$. It is important that c_* , δ , t^0 (see (4.9),

(4.13), (4.15)) do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. By (4.9), Condition 1.5 is fulfilled. The germ $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is nondegenerate uniformly in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$: $S(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq c_* I_{\mathfrak{N}}$ (cf. (1.8)).

§5. THE EFFECTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE OPERATOR $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D})$

5.1. The operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ in the case where $f = \mathbf{1}_n$. The operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ in the case where $f = \mathbf{1}_n$ plays a special role. In this case we agree to mark all the associated objects by hat “ $\widehat{}$ ”. Then for the operator

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \quad (5.1)$$

the family $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$ is denoted by $\widehat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$. The kernel (4.10) takes the form

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{N}} = \{ \mathbf{u} \in L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) : \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^n \}, \quad (5.2)$$

i.e., $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ consists of constant vector-valued functions. The orthogonal projection \widehat{P} of the space $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the subspace (5.2) is the operator of averaging over the cell:

$$\widehat{P}\mathbf{u} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (5.3)$$

According to [BSu2, Chapter 3, §1], the spectral germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \widehat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ of the family $\widehat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is represented as $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* g^0 b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, where g^0 is the so-called *effective matrix*. The constant $(m \times m)$ -matrix g^0 is defined as follows. Let $\Lambda \in \widetilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ be a Γ -periodic $(n \times m)$ -matrix-valued function satisfying the equation

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

The effective matrix g^0 can be described in terms of the matrix $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$:

$$g^0 = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m). \quad (5.6)$$

It turns out that the matrix g^0 is positive definite. Consider the symbol

$$\widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) := t^2 \widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\mathbf{k})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{k}), \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (5.7)$$

Expression (5.7) is the symbol of the DO

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0 = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) \quad (5.8)$$

acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and called the *effective operator* for the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k})$ be the operator family in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ corresponding to operator (5.8). Then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k})$ is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k}) = b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})$ with periodic boundary conditions. Taking into account (5.3) and (5.7), we have

$$\widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{P} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{P}. \quad (5.9)$$

5.2. Properties of the effective matrix. The following properties of g^0 were checked in [BSu2, Chapter 3, Theorem 1.5].

PROPOSITION 5.1 ([BSu2]). *The effective matrix satisfies the following estimates*

$$\underline{g} \leq g^0 \leq \overline{g}, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\overline{g} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$ and $\underline{g} := (|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} g(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \, d\mathbf{x})^{-1}$. If $m = n$, then $g^0 = \underline{g}$.

For specific DOs, estimates (5.10) are known as the Voight-Reuss bracketing. Now, we distinguish the cases where one of the inequalities in (5.10) becomes an identity. The following statements were obtained in [BSu2, Chapter 3, Propositions 1.6, 1.7].

PROPOSITION 5.2 ([BSu2]). *The identity $g^0 = \overline{g}$ is equivalent to the relations*

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* \mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (5.11)$$

where $\mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{x})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, are the columns of the matrix $g(\mathbf{x})$.

PROPOSITION 5.3 ([BSu2]). *The identity $g^0 = \underline{g}$ is equivalent to the representations*

$$\mathbf{l}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{l}_k^0 + b(\mathbf{D})\mathbf{w}_k(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{l}_k^0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \mathbf{w}_k \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\mathbf{l}_k(\mathbf{x})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, are the columns of the matrix $g(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$.

5.3. The analytic branches of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The analytic (with respect to t) branches of the eigenvalues $\hat{\lambda}_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the analytic branches of the eigenvectors $\hat{\varphi}_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $\hat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ admit the power series expansions of the form (1.2), (1.3) with the coefficients depending on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (we do not control the interval of convergence $t = |\mathbf{k}| \leq t_*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$):

$$\hat{\lambda}_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{\gamma}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^2 + \hat{\mu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^3 + \hat{\nu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^4 + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + t\hat{\psi}_l^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.14)$$

According to (1.4), $\hat{\gamma}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the germ: $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^*g^0b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{\gamma}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$.

5.4. The operator $\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We need to describe the operator N (which in abstract terms is defined in Theorem 1.3). According to [BSu4, §4], for the family $\hat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ this operator takes the form

$$\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^*L(\boldsymbol{\theta})b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\hat{P}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\Lambda(\mathbf{x})^*b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^*\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x})^*b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Here $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is the Γ -periodic solution of problem (5.4), and $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by (5.6).

Some cases where $\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ were distinguished in [BSu4, §4].

PROPOSITION 5.4 ([BSu4]). *Suppose that at least one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

- 1°. $\hat{A} = \mathbf{D}^*g(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}$, where $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric matrix with real entries.
- 2°. Relations (5.11) are satisfied, i.e. $g^0 = \bar{g}$.
- 3°. Relations (5.12) are satisfied, i.e. $g^0 = \underline{g}$. (If $m = n$, this is the case.)

Then $\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Recall (see Remark 1.4) that $\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \hat{N}_*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where the operator $\hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is diagonal in the basis $\{\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}_{l=1}^n$, while the operator $\hat{N}_*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ has zero diagonal elements. We have

$$(\hat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}))_{L_2(\Omega)} = (\hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}))_{L_2(\Omega)} = \hat{\mu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = 1, \dots, n.$$

In [BSu4, Subsection 4.3] the following statement was proved.

PROPOSITION 5.5 ([BSu4]). *Suppose that the matrices $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries. Suppose that in the expansions (5.14) the “embryos” $\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, can be chosen to be real. Then in (5.13) we have $\hat{\mu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, i.e., $\hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$.*

In the “real” case under consideration, the germ $\hat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a symmetric matrix with real entries. Clearly, if the eigenvalue $\hat{\gamma}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the germ is simple, then the embryo $\hat{\omega}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is defined uniquely up to a phase factor, so we can always choose it to be real. We arrive at the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.6. *Suppose that $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries and the spectrum of the germ $\hat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is simple. Then $\hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$.*

However, as is seen from [Su5, Example 8.7], [DSu, Subsection 14.3], in the “real” case it is not always possible to choose the vectors $\hat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to be real. It may happen that $\hat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 0$ at some isolated points $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

5.5. The operators $\widehat{Z}_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\widehat{R}_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\widehat{N}_1^0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We need to describe the operators Z_2 , R_2 , N_1^0 (which in abstract terms are defined in Subsections 1.3 and 1.8) for the family $\widehat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$. Let $\Lambda_l^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic solution of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x})(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda_l^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + b_l \Lambda(\mathbf{x})) = b_l^*(g^0 - \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_l^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

We put $\Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sum_{l=1}^d \Lambda_l^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \theta_l$. In [VSu2, Subsection 6.3] it was checked that

$$\widehat{Z}_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}, \quad \widehat{R}_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(\mathbf{x})(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda(\mathbf{x})) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Finally, in [VSu2, Subsection 6.4] the following representation was obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_1^0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}, \\ L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(\Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) + \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right)^* g(\mathbf{x}) \left(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

5.6. Multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the germ. Considerations of this subsection concern the case where $n \geq 2$. Now, we return to the notation of Subsection 1.7, tracing the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. In general, the number $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of different eigenvalues $\widehat{\gamma}_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \widehat{\gamma}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and their multiplicities $k_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, k_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ depend on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For a fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ denote by $\widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ the orthogonal projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the eigenspace of $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ corresponding to $\widehat{\gamma}_j^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. According to (1.9), the operators $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\widehat{N}_*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ admit the following invariant representations:

$$\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \widehat{N}_*(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l, j \leq p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ j \neq l}} \widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (5.16)$$

5.7. The coefficients $\widehat{\nu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. The number $p'(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ of different eigenvalues $\widehat{\mu}_{1,q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \widehat{\mu}_{p'(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $\widehat{P}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$ and their multiplicities $k_{1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, k_{p'(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ also depend on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For a fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ denote by $\widehat{P}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ the orthogonal projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the eigenspace $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $\widehat{P}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$ corresponding to $\widehat{\mu}_{q',q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

The coefficients $\widehat{\nu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1$, where $i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots + k_{q'-1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = k_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots + k_{q-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + 1$, are the eigenvalues of the following problem

$$\widehat{N}^{(q',q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\nu}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{\omega}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}^{(q',q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \widehat{P}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \left(\widehat{N}_1^0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P} - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P} \widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_{q',q}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} \\ j \neq q}} (\gamma_q^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \gamma_j^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{-1} \widehat{P}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case where $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$, we have $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_{1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $q = 1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then we shall write $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ instead of $\widehat{N}^{(1,q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

§6. APPROXIMATIONS FOR THE OPERATOR $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}(\mathbf{k})}$

6.1. The general case. Consider the operator $\mathcal{H}_0 = -\Delta$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$. Under the Gelfand transformation, the operator \mathcal{H}_0 expands in the direct integral of the operators $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{k})$ acting in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and given by $|\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}|^2$ with periodic boundary conditions. Denote

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) := \varepsilon^2(\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon^2 I)^{-1}. \quad (6.1)$$

Obviously,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2} \widehat{P} = \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \widehat{P}, \quad s > 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Note that for $|\mathbf{k}| > \widehat{t}^0$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2} \widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq (\widehat{t}^0)^{-s} \varepsilon^s, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}, |\mathbf{k}| > \widehat{t}^0. \quad (6.3)$$

Next, using the Fourier series decomposition, we see that

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2} (I - \widehat{P})\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} = \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}} \varepsilon^s (|\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq r_0^{-s} \varepsilon^s, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}. \quad (6.4)$$

Denote

$$\widehat{J}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) := e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}} \widehat{A}(\mathbf{k}) - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}} \widehat{A}^0(\mathbf{k}). \quad (6.5)$$

We shall apply theorems of §2 to the operator $\widehat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{A}(\mathbf{k})$. In doing so, we may trace the dependence of the constants in estimates on the problem data. Note that \widehat{c}_* , $\widehat{\delta}$, and \widehat{t}^0 do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (see (4.9), (4.13), (4.15) with $f = \mathbf{1}_n$). According to (4.14) (with $f = \mathbf{1}_n$), the norm $\|\widehat{X}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|$ can be replaced by $\alpha_1^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{1/2}$. Hence, the constants in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 (applied to the operator $\widehat{A}(\mathbf{k})$) will be independent of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. They depend only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

Applying Theorem 2.4 and taking into account (5.9), (6.2)–(6.4), we arrive at the following statement proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 7.1].

THEOREM 6.1 ([BSu6]). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{3/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \widehat{C}_1 (1 + |\tau|) \varepsilon,$$

where the constant \widehat{C}_1 depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

6.2. The case where $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$. Now, we apply Theorem 2.5, assuming that $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Taking (5.9), (6.2)–(6.4) into account, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined in (5.15). Suppose that $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \widehat{C}_2 (1 + |\tau|^{1/2}) \varepsilon,$$

where the constant \widehat{C}_2 depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

6.3. The case where $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$. Now, we reject the assumptions of Theorem 6.2, but we assume instead that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We would like to apply the results of Theorem 2.6. However, there is an additional difficulty: the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ may change at some points $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Near such points the distance between some pair of different eigenvalues tends to zero and we are not able to choose the parameters \widehat{c}_{jl}° , \widehat{t}_{jl}^{00} to be independent on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Therefore, we are forced to impose additional conditions. We have to take care only about those eigenvalues for which the corresponding term in the second formula in (5.16) is not zero. Now, it is more convenient to use the initial enumeration of the eigenvalues $\widehat{\gamma}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \widehat{\gamma}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity); we agree to enumerate them in the nondecreasing order: $\widehat{\gamma}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \widehat{\gamma}_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \dots \leq \widehat{\gamma}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Denote by $\widehat{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ the orthogonal projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the eigenspace of $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Clearly, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ the operator $\widehat{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ coincides with one of the projections $\widehat{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ introduced in Subsection 5.6 (but the number j may depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$).

CONDITION 6.3. 1°. $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. For any pair of indices (k, r) , $1 \leq k, r \leq n$, $k \neq r$, such that $\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \widehat{\gamma}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have $\widehat{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Condition 6.3(2°) can be reformulated: we assume that, for the “blocks” $\widehat{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that are not identically zero, the corresponding branches of the eigenvalues $\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ do not intersect.

Obviously, Condition 6.3 is ensured by the following more restrictive condition.

CONDITION 6.4. 1°. $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. The number p of different eigenvalues of the germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Under Condition 6.4 denote different eigenvalues of the germ enumerated in the increasing order by $\widehat{\gamma}_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \widehat{\gamma}_p^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then their multiplicities k_1, \dots, k_p do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

REMARK 6.5. 1°. Assumption 2° of Condition 6.4 is a fortiori satisfied, if the spectrum of the germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is simple for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. From Corollary 5.6 it follows that Condition 6.4 is satisfied if the matrices $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries and the spectrum of the germ $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is simple for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

So, we suppose that Condition 6.3 is fulfilled. Denote

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}} := \{(k, r) : 1 \leq k, r \leq n, k \neq r, \widehat{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\widehat{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $\widehat{c}_{kr}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \min\{\widehat{c}_*, n^{-1}|\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \widehat{\gamma}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\}$, $(k, r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$. Since $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ continuously, then the perturbation theory implies that $\widehat{\gamma}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are continuous on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . By Condition 6.3(2°), for $(k, r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ we have $|\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \widehat{\gamma}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})| > 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, whence $\widehat{c}_{kr}^\circ := \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \widehat{c}_{kr}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) > 0$ for $(k, r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$. We put

$$\widehat{c}^\circ := \min_{(k,r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}} \widehat{c}_{kr}^\circ. \quad (6.6)$$

Clearly, the number (6.6) is a realization of (2.4) chosen independently of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Under Condition 6.3 the number \widehat{t}^{00} subject to (2.3) also can be chosen independently of $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Taking (4.13) and (4.14) into account (with $f = \mathbf{1}_n$), we put

$$\widehat{t}^{00} = (8\beta_2)^{-1} r_0 \alpha_1^{-3/2} \alpha_0^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{-3/2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1/2} \widehat{c}^\circ,$$

where \widehat{c}° is defined in (6.6). (The condition $\widehat{t}^{00} \leq \widehat{t}^0$ is valid automatically, since $\widehat{c}^\circ \leq \|\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty}$.)

REMARK 6.6. Unlike \widehat{t}^0 (see (4.15) with $f = \mathbf{1}_n$) that is controlled only in terms of $r_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}$ and $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, the number \widehat{t}^{00} depends on the spectral characteristics of the germ — on the minimal distance between its different eigenvalues $\widehat{\gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (where (k, r) runs through $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$).

Applying Theorem 2.6, we deduce the following result.

THEOREM 6.7. Suppose that Condition 6.3 (or more restrictive Condition 6.4) is satisfied. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ we have

$$\|\widehat{J}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \widehat{C}_3 (1 + |\tau|^{1/2}) \varepsilon,$$

where the constant \widehat{C}_3 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, r_0$, and also on n and the number \widehat{c}° .

6.4. The sharpness of the results with respect to the smoothing operator. Application of Theorems 2.8, 2.9 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 6.1, 6.2, 6.7 are sharp with respect to the smoothing operator.

THEOREM 6.8 ([Su5]). Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$\|(e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k})}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau) \varepsilon \quad (6.7)$$

holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 6.9. Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (6.7) holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 6.8 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 9.8]. Theorem 6.9 is proved with the help of Theorem 2.9 in a similar way as [Su5, Theorem 9.8].

6.5. The sharpness of the results with respect to dependence of the estimates on time. Application of Theorems 2.10, 2.11 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 6.1, 6.2, 6.7 are sharp with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

THEOREM 6.10. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (6.7) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. We prove by contradiction. Suppose that for some $s \geq 3$ there exists a function $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (6.7) holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. By (6.2), (6.4), and the estimate

$$\|\widehat{F}(\mathbf{k}) - \widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \widehat{C}_1 |\mathbf{k}|, \quad |\mathbf{k}| \leq \widehat{t}^0, \quad (6.8)$$

(see (1.6)), it follows that there exists a function $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and the estimate

$$\|e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}(\mathbf{k})}\widehat{F}(\mathbf{k}) - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}^0(\mathbf{k})}\widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \varepsilon^s (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (6.9)$$

holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ in the ball $|\mathbf{k}| \leq \widehat{t}^0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. For fixed τ and ε , the operator under the norm sign in (6.9) is continuous with respect to \mathbf{k} in the ball $|\mathbf{k}| \leq \widehat{t}^0$ (see [Su5, Lemma 9.9]). Hence, estimate (6.9) holds for all \mathbf{k} in this ball, in particular, for $\mathbf{k} = t\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ if $t \leq \widehat{t}^0$. Applying (6.8) once more, we see that

$$\|(e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}(t\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}^0(t\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)})\widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \check{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (6.10)$$

for all $t \leq \widehat{t}^0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\tau) > 0$ and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$.

In the abstract terms, estimate (6.10) corresponds to (2.6). Since it is assumed that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$, applying Theorem 2.10, we arrive at a contradiction. \square

Similarly, application of Theorem 2.11 allows us to confirm the sharpness of Theorems 6.2 and 6.7.

THEOREM 6.11. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (6.7) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

§7. THE OPERATOR $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$. APPLICATION OF THE SCHEME OF §3

7.1. The operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$. We apply the scheme of §3 to study the operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) = f^* \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) f$. Now, $\mathfrak{H} = \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathfrak{H}_* = \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_* = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$, the role of $A(t)$ is played by $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$, the role of $\widehat{A}(t)$ is played by $\widehat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$. The isomorphism M is the operator of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $f(\mathbf{x})$. The operator Q is the operator of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $Q(\mathbf{x}) = (f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^*)^{-1}$. The block of Q in the subspace $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ (see (5.2)) is the operator of multiplication by the constant matrix $\overline{Q} = (f f^*)^{-1} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^*)^{-1} d\mathbf{x}$. Next, M_0 is the operator of multiplication by the constant matrix

$$f_0 = (\overline{Q})^{-1/2} = (f f^*)^{1/2}. \quad (7.1)$$

Note that $|f_0| \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $|f_0^{-1}| \leq \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$.

In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we define the operator

$$\mathcal{A}^0 := f_0 \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0 f_0 = f_0 b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) f_0. \quad (7.2)$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{k})$ be the corresponding family of operators in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{k}) = f_0 \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k}) f_0$. By (5.2) and (5.9),

$$f_0 \widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) f_0 \widehat{P} = \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{P}. \quad (7.3)$$

7.2. The analytic branches of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. According to (3.3), the spectral germ $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ acting in the subspace \mathfrak{N} (see (4.10)) is represented as $S(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = P f^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* g^0 b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) f|_{\mathfrak{N}}$, where P is the orthogonal projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto \mathfrak{N} .

The analytic (in t) branches of the eigenvalues $\lambda_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the eigenvectors $\varphi_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ admit the power series expansions of the form (1.2), (1.3) with the coefficients depending on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$\lambda_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \gamma_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^2 + \mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^3 + \nu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^4 + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad (7.4)$$

$$\varphi_l(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + t\psi_l^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (7.5)$$

The vectors $\omega_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \omega_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ form an orthonormal basis in the subspace \mathfrak{N} , and the vectors $\zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f\omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, form a basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ (see (5.2)) orthonormal with the weight \overline{Q} . The numbers $\gamma_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the elements $\omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the spectral germ $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. According to (3.8),

$$b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* g^0 b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \gamma_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \overline{Q} \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (7.6)$$

7.3. The operator $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We need to describe the operator \widehat{N}_Q (see Subsection 3.2). Let $\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic solution of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D}) \Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Clearly, $\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) - (\overline{Q})^{-1}(\overline{Q}\Lambda)$. As shown in [BSu4, §5], the operator $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ takes the form

$$\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* L_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}, \quad (7.7)$$

$$L_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) + \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Some sufficient conditions where $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ were distinguished in [BSu4, §5].

PROPOSITION 7.1 ([BSu4]). *Suppose that at least one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

- 1°. $\mathcal{A} = f(\mathbf{x})^* \mathbf{D}^* g(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} f(\mathbf{x})$, where $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric matrix with real entries.
- 2°. Relations (5.11) are satisfied, i.e. $g^0 = \overline{g}$.

Then $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Recall (see Subsection 3.2) that $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \widehat{N}_{*,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. By (3.9),

$$\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{l=1}^n \mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) (\cdot, \overline{Q} \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}))_{L_2(\Omega)} \overline{Q} \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

We have

$$(\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}))_{L_2(\Omega)} = (\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}))_{L_2(\Omega)} = \mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = 1, \dots, n.$$

In [BSu4, Proposition 5.2] the following proposition was proved.

PROPOSITION 7.2 ([BSu4]). *Suppose that the matrices $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $g(\mathbf{x})$, and $Q(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries. Suppose that in the expansions (7.5) the ‘‘embryos’’ $\omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, can be chosen so that the vectors $\zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f\omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are real. Then in (7.4) we have $\mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, i.e., $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.*

In the ‘‘real’’ case under consideration, $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and \overline{Q} are symmetric matrices with real entries. Clearly, if the eigenvalue $\gamma_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the generalized spectral problem (7.6) is simple, then the vector $\zeta_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f\omega_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is defined uniquely up to a phase factor, so we can always choose it to be real. We arrive at the following corollary.

COROLLARY 7.3. *Suppose that the matrices $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $g(\mathbf{x})$, and $Q(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries and the spectrum of the generalized spectral problem (7.6) is simple. Then $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.*

7.4. The operators $\widehat{Z}_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\widehat{R}_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We need to describe the operators $\widehat{Z}_{2,Q}$, $\widehat{R}_{2,Q}$, $\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0$ (which in the abstract terms are defined in Subsection 3.3). Let $\Lambda_{Q,l}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic solution of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D}) \Lambda_{Q,l}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + b_l \Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x})) = -b_l^* \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) + Q(\mathbf{x}) (\overline{Q})^{-1} b_l^* g^0, \quad \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda_{Q,l}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

We put $\Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sum_{l=1}^d \Lambda_{Q,l}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\theta_l$. In [VSu2, Subsection 8.4], it was shown that

$$\widehat{Z}_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\widehat{P}, \quad \widehat{R}_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(\mathbf{x})(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x}))b(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Finally, in [VSu2, Subsection 8.5] the following representation was obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* L_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}, \\ L_{2,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) + \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x}))^* g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda_Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + b(\boldsymbol{\theta})\Lambda_Q(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

7.5. Multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the germ. Considerations of this subsection concern the case where $n \geq 2$. Now, we return to the notation of Subsection 1.7. In general, the number $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of different eigenvalues $\gamma_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \gamma_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (or of problem (7.6)) and their multiplicities $k_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, k_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ depend on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For a fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ denote by $\mathfrak{N}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ the eigenspace of the germ $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\gamma_j^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then $f\mathfrak{N}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) =: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{j,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the eigenspace of problem (7.6) corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\gamma_j^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We introduce the notation $\mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for the “skew” projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the subspace $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{j,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$; $\mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product with the weight \overline{Q} . By (3.10),

$$\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \widehat{N}_{*,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l, j \leq p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ j \neq l}} \mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{P}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

7.6. The coefficients $\nu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. According to (1.12), the numbers $\mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the elements $\omega_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1$, where $i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = k_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots + k_{q-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + 1$, are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator $P_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})N(\boldsymbol{\theta})|_{\mathfrak{N}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$. Then, by (3.11), we have

$$\widehat{P}_{q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_{q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \overline{Q} \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1, \quad (7.8)$$

where $\widehat{P}_{q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

The number $p'(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ of different eigenvalues $\mu_{1,q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \mu_{p'(q,\boldsymbol{\theta}),q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $P_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})N(\boldsymbol{\theta})|_{\mathfrak{N}_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$ and their multiplicities $k_{1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, k_{p'(q,\boldsymbol{\theta}),q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ depend on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For a fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{N}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{q',q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then $f\mathfrak{N}_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) =: \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the eigenspace of problem (7.8) corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\mu_{q',q}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Finally, according to (3.12), the numbers $\nu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the elements $\zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $l = i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1$, where $i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = i(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots + k_{q'-1,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, are the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the following generalized spectral problem:

$$\widehat{N}_Q^{(q',q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nu_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \overline{Q} \zeta_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad l = i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, i'(q', q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + k_{q',q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_Q^{(q',q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \\ &\widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \left(\widehat{N}_{1,Q}^0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{Z}_Q^*(\boldsymbol{\theta}) Q \widehat{Z}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) Q^{-1} \widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P} - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P} Q^{-1} \widehat{Z}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* Q \widehat{Z}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} \\ j \neq q}} (\gamma_q^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \gamma_j^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{-1} \widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}_{j,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) Q^{-1} \widehat{P}_{j,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \end{aligned}$$

and $\widehat{P}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q',q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Note that in the case where $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ we have $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{1,q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{q,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $q = 1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then we shall write $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ instead of $\widehat{N}_Q^{(1,q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

§8. APPROXIMATIONS FOR THE SANDWICHED OPERATOR $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(\mathbf{k})}$

8.1. The general case. Denote

$$J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) := f e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(\mathbf{k})} f^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} f_0^{-1}. \quad (8.1)$$

We shall apply theorems of Subsection 3.5 to the operator $A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$. In doing so, we may trace the dependence of the constants in estimates on the problem data. Note that c_* , δ , and t^0 do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (see (4.9), (4.13), (4.15)). According to (4.14) the norm $\|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|$ can be replaced by $\alpha_1^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}$. Hence, the constants in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 (applied to the operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$) will be independent of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. They depend only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

Applying Theorem 3.4 and taking (6.2)–(6.4), (7.3) into account, we arrive at the following statement proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 8.1].

THEOREM 8.1 ([BSu6]). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have*

$$\|J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{3/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}_1(1 + |\tau|)\varepsilon,$$

where the constant \mathcal{C}_1 depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

8.2. The case where $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$. Now, we apply Theorem 3.5 assuming that $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Taking (6.2)–(6.4), (7.3) into account, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 8.2. *Let $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined by (7.7). Suppose that $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have*

$$\|J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}_2(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon,$$

where the constant \mathcal{C}_2 depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

8.3. The case where $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$. Now, we reject the assumptions of Theorem 8.2, but we assume instead that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. As in Subsection 6.3, in order to apply Theorem 3.6, we have to impose some additional conditions. We use the initial enumeration of the eigenvalues $\gamma_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \dots \leq \gamma_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the germ $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. They are also the eigenvalues of the generalized spectral problem (7.6). For each $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, let $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the “skew” projection (orthogonal with the weight \overline{Q}) of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the eigenspace of problem (7.6) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\gamma_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Clearly, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ the operator $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ coincides with one of the projections $\mathcal{P}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ introduced in Subsection 7.5 (but the number j may depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$).

CONDITION 8.3. 1°. $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. For any pair of indices (k, r) , $1 \leq k, r \leq n$, $k \neq r$, such that $\gamma_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \gamma_r(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have $(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^* \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Condition 8.3(2°) can be reformulated: we assume that for the “blocks” $(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^* \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the operator $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that are not identically zero, the corresponding branches of the eigenvalues $\gamma_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\gamma_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ do not intersect.

Obviously, Condition 8.3 is ensured by the following more restrictive condition.

CONDITION 8.4. 1°. $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. The number p of different eigenvalues of the generalized spectral problem (7.6) does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Under Condition 8.4, denote the different eigenvalues of the germ enumerated in the increasing order by $\gamma_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, \gamma_p^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then their multiplicities k_1, \dots, k_p do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

REMARK 8.5. 1°. Assumption 2° of Condition 8.4 is a fortiori satisfied, if the spectrum of problem (7.6) is simple for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. 2°. From Corollary 7.3 it follows that Condition 8.4 is satisfied if the matrices $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $g(\mathbf{x})$, and $Q(\mathbf{x})$ have real entries, and the spectrum of problem (7.6) is simple for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

So, suppose that Condition 8.3 is satisfied and put

$$\mathcal{K} := \{(k, r) : 1 \leq k, r \leq n, k \neq r, (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^* \widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{P}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 0\}.$$

Denote $c_{kr}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \min\{c_*, n^{-1}|\gamma_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \gamma_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\}$, $(k, r) \in \mathcal{K}$.

Since $S(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ continuously, then the perturbation theory implies that $\gamma_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are continuous on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . By Condition 8.3(2°), for $(k, r) \in \mathcal{K}$ we have $|\gamma_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \gamma_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})| > 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, whence $c_{kr}^\circ := \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} c_{kr}^\circ(\boldsymbol{\theta}) > 0$ for $(k, r) \in \mathcal{K}$. We put

$$c^\circ := \min_{(k,r) \in \mathcal{K}} c_{kr}^\circ. \quad (8.2)$$

Clearly, the number (8.2) is a realization of (2.4) chosen independently of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Under Condition 8.3, the number t^{00} subject to (2.3) also can be chosen independently of $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Taking (4.13) and (4.14) into account, we put

$$t^{00} = (8\beta_2)^{-1} r_0 \alpha_1^{-3/2} \alpha_0^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{-3/2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^{-3} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} c^\circ.$$

(The condition $t^{00} \leq t^0$ is valid automatically, since $c^\circ \leq \|S(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2$.)

Applying Theorem 3.6, we deduce the following result.

THEOREM 8.6. *Suppose that Condition 8.3 (or more restrictive Condition 8.4) is satisfied. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have*

$$\|J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}_3(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon,$$

where the constant \mathcal{C}_3 depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, r_0 , and also on n and the number c° .

8.4. The sharpness of the results with respect to the smoothing operator. Application of Theorems 3.8, 3.9 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 8.1, 8.2, and 8.6 are sharp with respect to the smoothing operator.

THEOREM 8.7 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|(f e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(\mathbf{k})} f^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} f_0^{-1}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (8.3)$$

holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 8.8. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (8.3) holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Theorem 8.7 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 11.7]. Theorem 8.8 is proved with the help of Theorem 3.9 in a similar way as [Su5, Theorem 11.7].

8.5. The sharpness of the results with respect to dependence of the estimates on time. Application of Theorem 3.10 allows us to confirm that the result of Theorem 8.1 is sharp with respect to time.

THEOREM 8.9. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (8.3) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$, and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. We prove by contradiction. Suppose that for some $s \geq 3$ there exists a function $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (8.3) holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. By (6.2), (6.4), it follows that there exists a function $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and the estimate

$$\|(f e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A(\mathbf{k})} f^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} f_0^{-1}) \widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \varepsilon^s (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (8.4)$$

holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

By (3.2), we have $f^{-1}\widehat{P} = Pf^*\overline{Q}$, where P is the orthogonal projection of $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the subspace \mathfrak{N} (see (4.10)). Then the operator under the norm sign in (8.4) can be written as $f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} Pf^*\overline{Q} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} f_0^{-1}\widehat{P}$.

Then, using the inequality

$$\|F(\mathbf{k}) - P\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_1 |\mathbf{k}|, \quad |\mathbf{k}| \leq t^0, \quad (8.5)$$

(see (1.6)), we conclude that there exists a function $\check{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \check{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and the estimate

$$\|f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} F(\mathbf{k}) f^*\overline{Q} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(\mathbf{k})} f_0^{-1}\widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \varepsilon^s (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \check{C}(\tau) \varepsilon \quad (8.6)$$

holds for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ in the ball $|\mathbf{k}| \leq t^0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

For fixed τ and ε , the operator under the norm sign in (8.6) is continuous with respect to \mathbf{k} in the ball $|\mathbf{k}| \leq t^0$ (see [Su5, Lemma 11.8]). Hence, estimate (8.6) holds for all \mathbf{k} in this ball, in particular, for $\mathbf{k} = t\theta_0$ if $t \leq t^0$. Applying inequality (8.5) and the identity $Pf^*\overline{Q} = f^{-1}\widehat{P}$ once again, we obtain the estimate

$$\|(f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(t\theta_0)} f^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A^0(t\theta_0)} f_0^{-1})\widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \varepsilon^s (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-s/2} \leq \check{C}'(\tau) \varepsilon \quad (8.7)$$

for all $t \leq t^0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\check{C}'(\tau) > 0$ and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \check{C}'(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$.

In the abstract terms, estimate (8.7) corresponds to (3.15). Since it is assumed that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\theta_0) \neq 0$, applying Theorem 3.10, we arrive at a contradiction. \square

Similarly, application of Theorem 3.11 allows us to confirm the sharpness of Theorems 8.2, 8.6.

THEOREM 8.10. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\theta) = 0$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\theta_0) \neq 0$ for some $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\theta_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (8.3) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

§9. APPROXIMATION OF THE OPERATOR EXPONENTIAL $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}A}$

9.1. Approximation of the operator $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}}$. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider the operator \widehat{A} given by (5.1). Let \widehat{A}^0 be the effective operator (5.8). Denote $\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau) := e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}} - e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\widehat{A}^0}$. Recall the notation $\mathcal{H}_0 = -\Delta$ and put

$$\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon^2(\mathcal{H}_0 + \varepsilon^2 I)^{-1}. \quad (9.1)$$

The operator $\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)$ expands in the direct integral of the operators (6.1):

$$\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) d\mathbf{k} \right) \mathcal{U}.$$

Recall also notation (6.5). By decomposition (4.11) for \widehat{A} and \widehat{A}^0 , we have

$$\|\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \text{ess-sup}_{\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}} \|\widehat{J}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (9.2)$$

Therefore, Theorems 6.1, 6.2, 6.7 imply the following results.

THEOREM 9.1 ([BSu6]). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{3/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{C}_1 (1 + |\tau|) \varepsilon.$$

The constant \widehat{C}_1 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

THEOREM 9.2. *Let $\widehat{N}(\theta)$ be the operator defined by (5.15). Suppose that $\widehat{N}(\theta) = 0$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{C}_2 (1 + |\tau|^{1/2}) \varepsilon.$$

The constant \widehat{C}_2 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

THEOREM 9.3. *Suppose that Condition 6.3 (or more restrictive Condition 6.4) is satisfied. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

The constant $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3$ depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, r_0$, and also on n and the number \widehat{c}° .

Theorem 9.1 was proved in [BSu6, Theorem 9.1]. Theorems 9.2 and 9.3 improve the results of Theorems 12.2 and 12.3 from [Su5] with respect to dependence of the estimates on τ .

Application of Theorems 6.8, 6.9 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 9.1, 9.2, and 9.3 are sharp with respect to the smoothing operator.

THEOREM 9.4 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|\widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (9.3)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 9.5. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (9.3) holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Theorem 9.4 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 12.4].

Next, application of Theorems 6.10, 6.11 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 9.1, 9.2, and 9.3 are sharp with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

THEOREM 9.6. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (9.3) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

THEOREM 9.7. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (9.3) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

9.2. Approximation of the sandwiched operator $e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{A}}$. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider the operator \mathcal{A} given by (4.6). Let f_0 be the matrix (7.1), and let \mathcal{A}^0 be the operator (7.2). Denote

$$J(\varepsilon; \tau) := f e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{A}} f^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}.$$

Similarly to (9.2), we have

$$\|J(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \operatorname{ess-sup}_{\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}} \|J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Here $J(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon; \tau)$ is defined by (8.1). Therefore, we deduce the following results from Theorems 8.1, 8.2, and 8.6.

THEOREM 9.8 ([BSu6]). *For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|J(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{3/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}_1(1 + |\tau|)\varepsilon.$$

The constant \mathcal{C}_1 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

THEOREM 9.9. *Let $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined by (7.7). Suppose that $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|J(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}_2(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

The constant \mathcal{C}_2 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

THEOREM 9.10. *Suppose that Condition 8.3 (or more restrictive Condition 8.4) is satisfied. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|J(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}_3(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon.$$

The constant \mathcal{C}_3 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, r_0$, and also on n and the number c° .

Theorem 9.8 was proved in [BSu6, Theorem 10.1]. Theorems 9.9 and 9.10 improve the results of Theorems 12.6 and 12.7 from [Su5] with respect to dependence of the estimates on τ .

Application of Theorems 8.7, 8.8 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 9.8, 9.9, and 9.10 are sharp with respect to the smoothing operator.

THEOREM 9.11 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|J(\varepsilon; \tau)\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (9.4)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 9.12. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (9.4) holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Theorem 9.11 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 12.8].

Next, application of Theorems 8.9, 8.10 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 9.8, 9.9, and 9.10 are sharp with respect to the dependence of the estimates on time.

THEOREM 9.13. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (9.4) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

THEOREM 9.14. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (9.4) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

CHAPTER III. HOMOGENIZATION PROBLEMS FOR NONSTATIONARY SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE EQUATIONS

§10. APPROXIMATION OF THE OPERATOR $e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$

10.1. The operators $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ and \mathcal{A}_ε . The scaling transformation. If $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic measurable function in \mathbb{R}^d , we agree to use the notation $\psi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{x})$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Our main objects are the operators $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ and \mathcal{A}_ε acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and formally given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon := b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}), \quad (10.1)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon := (f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}). \quad (10.2)$$

The precise definitions are given in terms of the corresponding quadratic forms (cf. Subsection 4.2).

Let T_ε be the unitary scaling transformation in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by $(T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon^{d/2} \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon \mathbf{x})$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2} T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{A} T_\varepsilon$. Hence,

$$e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = T_\varepsilon^* e^{-i\tau\varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{A}} T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.3)$$

The operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ satisfies similar relations. Applying the scaling transformation to the resolvent of the operator $\mathcal{H}_0 = -\Delta$ and using the notation (9.1), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{-1} = \varepsilon^2 T_\varepsilon^* (\mathcal{H}_0 + \varepsilon^2 I)^{-1} T_\varepsilon = T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.4)$$

Finally, if $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic function, then $[\psi^\varepsilon] = T_\varepsilon^* [\psi] T_\varepsilon$.

10.2. Approximation of the operator $e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon}$. We start with the simpler operator (10.1). Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0$ be the effective operator (5.8). Using relations of the form (10.3) (for the operators $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0$) and (10.4), we obtain

$$(e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0})(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{-s/2} = T_\varepsilon^* \widehat{J}(\varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2} T_\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (10.5)$$

Using Theorem 9.1 and (10.5), we obtain the following result proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 12.2]

THEOREM 10.1 ([BSu6]). Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ be the operator (10.1) and let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0$ be the effective operator (5.8). Then for $0 \leq s \leq 3$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1(s)(1 + |\tau|)^{s/3}\varepsilon^{s/3},$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1(s) = 2^{1-s/3}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1^{s/3}$. The constant $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1$ depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

This result can be improved under some additional assumptions. From Theorem 9.2 we deduce the following result.

THEOREM 10.2. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 10.1 are satisfied. Let $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined by (5.15). Suppose that $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $0 \leq s \leq 2$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(s)(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2}\varepsilon^{s/2}, \quad (10.6)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(s) = 2^{1-s/2}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2^{s/2}$. The constant $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2$ depends only on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

Proof. Since T_ε is unitary, it follows from Theorem 9.2 and (10.5) that

$$\|(e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0})(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{-1}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})\varepsilon. \quad (10.7)$$

Obviously,

$$\|e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2. \quad (10.8)$$

Interpolating between (10.7) and (10.8), for $0 \leq s \leq 2$ we obtain

$$\|(e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0})(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{-s/2}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2^{1-s/2}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2^{s/2}(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2}\varepsilon^{s/2}. \quad (10.9)$$

The operator $(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{s/2}$ is an isometric isomorphism of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$. Therefore, (10.9) is equivalent to (10.6). \square

Similarly, Theorem 9.3 implies the following result.

THEOREM 10.3. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 10.1 are satisfied. Suppose that Condition 6.3 (or more restrictive Condition 6.4) is satisfied. Then for $0 \leq s \leq 2$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3(s)(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2}\varepsilon^{s/2},$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3(s) = 2^{1-s/2}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3^{s/2}$. The constant $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3$ depends on α_0 , α_1 , $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, r_0 , and also on n and the number \widehat{c}° .

Theorems 10.2 and 10.3 improve the results of Theorems 13.2 and 13.4 from [Su5] with respect to dependence of the estimates on τ .

Application of Theorems 9.4 and 9.5 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3 are sharp with respect to the type of the operator norm.

THEOREM 10.4 ([Su5]). Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$\|e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} - e^{-i\tau\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (10.10)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 10.5. Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (10.10) holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 10.4 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 13.6].

Finally, application of Theorems 9.6 and 9.7 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3 are sharp with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

THEOREM 10.6. Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (10.10) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 10.7. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (10.10) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

10.3. Homogenization of the sandwiched operator $e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$. Now, we proceed to the more general operator \mathcal{A}_ε (see (10.2)). Let \mathcal{A}^0 be defined by (7.2). Using the relations of the form (10.3) (for the operators \mathcal{A}_ε and \mathcal{A}^0), and (10.4), we obtain

$$(f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1})(\mathcal{H}_0 + I)^{-s/2} = T_\varepsilon^* J(\varepsilon; \tau) \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon)^{s/2} T_\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (10.11)$$

From Theorem 9.8 and identity (10.11) we deduce the following result proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 12.4].

THEOREM 10.8 ([BSu6]). *Let \mathcal{A}_ε and \mathcal{A}^0 be the operators defined by (10.2) and (7.2). Then for $0 \leq s \leq 3$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_1(s)(1 + |\tau|)^{s/3} \varepsilon^{s/3},$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_1(s) = (2\|f\|_{L_\infty} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty})^{1-s/3} \mathcal{C}_1^{s/3}$. The constant \mathcal{C}_1 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

This result can be improved under some additional assumptions. Applying Theorem 9.9 and taking into account (10.11) and the obvious estimate

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2\|f\|_{L_\infty} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty},$$

we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 10.9. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 10.8 are satisfied. Suppose that the operator $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ defined by (7.7) is equal to zero: $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then for $0 \leq s \leq 2$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_2(s)(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \varepsilon^{s/2},$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_2(s) = (2\|f\|_{L_\infty} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty})^{1-s/2} \mathcal{C}_2^{s/2}$. The constant \mathcal{C}_2 depends only on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and r_0 .

Similarly, application of Theorem 9.10 yields the following results.

THEOREM 10.10. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 10.8 are satisfied. Suppose that Condition 8.3 (or more restrictive Condition 8.4) is satisfied. Then for $0 \leq s \leq 2$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_3(s)(1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \varepsilon^{s/2},$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_3(s) = (2\|f\|_{L_\infty} \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty})^{1-s/2} \mathcal{C}_3^{s/2}$. The constant \mathcal{C}_3 depends on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, r_0$, and also on n and the number c° .

Theorems 10.9 and 10.10 improve the results of Theorems 13.8 and 13.10 from [Su5] with respect to dependence of the estimates on τ .

Application of Theorems 9.11 and 9.12 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 10.8, 10.9, and 10.10 are sharp with respect to the type of the operator norm.

THEOREM 10.11 ([Su5]). *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 3$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} - f_0 e^{-i\tau\mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(\tau)\varepsilon \quad (10.12)$$

holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 10.12. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $\tau \neq 0$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Then there does not exist a constant $\mathcal{C}(\tau) > 0$ such that estimate (10.12) holds for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Theorem 10.11 was proved in [Su5, Theorem 13.12].

Application of Theorem 9.13 allows us to confirm that the result of Theorem 10.8 is sharp with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

THEOREM 10.13. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $s \geq 3$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau| = 0$ and estimate (10.12) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Similarly, application of Theorem 9.14 allows us to confirm that the results of Theorems 10.9 and 10.10 are sharp.

THEOREM 10.14. *Suppose that $\widehat{N}_{0,Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{N}_Q^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \neq 0$ for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and some $q \in \{1, \dots, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}$. Let $s \geq 2$. Then there does not exist a positive function $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\tau)/|\tau|^{1/2} = 0$ and estimate (10.12) holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

§11. HOMOGENIZATION OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE EQUATIONS

11.1. The Cauchy problem for the equation with the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$. Let $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \tau), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (11.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$. The solution can be represented as

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) = e^{-i\tau \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\phi} - i \int_0^\tau e^{-i(\tau-\tilde{\tau}) \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}.$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ be the solution of the homogenized problem

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \tau), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (11.2)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau) = e^{-i\tau \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0} \boldsymbol{\phi} - i \int_0^\tau e^{-i(\tau-\tilde{\tau}) \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0} \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}.$$

The following result is deduced from Theorem 10.1 (it has been proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 14.2]).

THEOREM 11.1 ([BSu6]). *Let \mathbf{u}_ε be the solution of problem (11.1) and let \mathbf{u}_0 be the solution of problem (11.2).*

1°. *If $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 3$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1(s) \varepsilon^{s/3} (1 + |\tau|)^{s/3} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,\tau); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < s(s + 3/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq 2^{s/3} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha)/3} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.1. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. *If $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

The result of Theorem 11.1 can be refined under some additional assumptions. Applying Theorem 10.2, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 11.2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 11.1 are satisfied. Let $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined by (5.15). Suppose that $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.*

1°. If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 2$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_2(s) \varepsilon^{s/2} (1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,s); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 2s(s + 4/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq 2^{s/2} \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_2(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha/2)/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_2(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.2. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. If $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

Similarly, Theorem 10.3 implies the following result.

THEOREM 11.3. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 11.1 are satisfied. Suppose that Condition 6.3 (or more restrictive Condition 6.4) is satisfied.*

1°. If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 2$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_3(s) \varepsilon^{s/2} (1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,\tau); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 2s(s + 4/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq 2^{s/2} \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_3(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha/2)/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_3(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.3. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. If $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm\varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

11.2. The Cauchy problem for the equation with the operator \mathcal{A}_ε . Now, we consider more general Cauchy problem for the equation involving the operator \mathcal{A}_ε :

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = (f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + (f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \tau), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (11.3)$$

where $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$. The solution can be represented as

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) = e^{-i\tau \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} \phi - i \int_0^\tau e^{-i(\tau-\tilde{\tau}) \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}.$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ be the solution of the homogenized problem

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = f_0 b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + f_0^{-1} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \tau), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (11.4)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau) = e^{-i\tau \mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1} \phi - i \int_0^\tau e^{-i(\tau-\tilde{\tau}) \mathcal{A}^0} f_0^{-1} \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}.$$

The following result is deduced from Theorem 10.8 (it has been proved before in [BSu6, Theorem 14.5]).

THEOREM 11.4 ([BSu6]). *Let \mathbf{u}_ε be the solution of problem (11.3), and let \mathbf{u}_0 be the solution of problem (11.4).*

1°. *If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 3$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_1(s) \varepsilon^{s/3} (1 + |\tau|)^{s/3} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,\tau); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < s(s + 3/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq 2^{s/3} \mathfrak{C}_1(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha)/3} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\mathfrak{C}_1(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.8. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. *If $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

The result of Theorem 11.4 can be refined under some additional assumptions. Application of Theorem 10.9 yields the following result.

THEOREM 11.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 11.4 are satisfied. Let $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the operator defined by (7.7). Suppose that $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.*

1°. *If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 2$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_2(s) \varepsilon^{s/2} (1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,s); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 2s(s + 4/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq 2^{s/2} \mathfrak{C}_2(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha/2)/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\mathfrak{C}_2(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.9. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. *If $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

Similarly, applying Theorem 10.10, we arrive at the following statement.

THEOREM 11.6. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 11.4 are satisfied. Suppose that Condition 8.3 (or more restrictive Condition 8.4) is satisfied.*

1°. If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $0 \leq s \leq 2$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \tau) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_3(s) \varepsilon^{s/2} (1 + |\tau|^{1/2})^{s/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_1((0,\tau); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right).$$

Under the additional assumption that $\mathbf{F} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, for $\tau = \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 2s(s + 4/p')^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq 2^{s/2} \mathfrak{C}_3(s) \varepsilon^{s(1-\alpha/2)/2} \left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha/p'} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\mathfrak{C}_3(s)$ is defined in Theorem 10.10. Here $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

2°. If $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_\pm; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n))$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha}) - f_0 \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \pm \varepsilon^{-\alpha})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

§12. APPLICATIONS OF THE GENERAL RESULTS

12.1. The Schrödinger-type equation with the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon = -\text{div } g^\varepsilon \nabla$. Consider the scalar elliptic operator

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = -\text{div } g(\mathbf{x}) \nabla = \mathbf{D}^* g(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} \quad (12.1)$$

acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, which is a particular case of the operator (5.1). In this case $n = 1$, $m = d$, $b(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{D}$.

The effective matrix g^0 is defined in the standard way. Let $\psi_j \in \widetilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ be a (weak) Γ -periodic solution of the problem

$$\text{div } g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{e}_j) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (12.2)$$

Here $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ is the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^d . The matrix $\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the $(d \times d)$ -matrix with the columns $\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_j(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{e}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then $g^0 = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$.

If $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric matrix with real entries, then, by Proposition 5.4(1°), $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. If $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a Hermitian matrix with complex entries, then, in general, $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is not zero. Since $n = 1$, then $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{N}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the operator of multiplication by $\widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $\widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the coefficient in the expansion for the first eigenvalue $\widehat{\lambda}(t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^2 + \widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^3 + \widehat{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\theta})t^4 + \dots$ of the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$. A calculation (see [BSu4, Subsection 10.3]) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -i \sum_{j,l,r=1}^d (a_{jlr} - a_{jlr}^*) \theta_j \theta_l \theta_r, \\ a_{jlr} &= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \psi_j(\mathbf{x})^* \langle g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla \psi_l(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{e}_l), \mathbf{e}_r \rangle \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad j, l, r = 1, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

The following example is borrowed from [BSu4, Subsection 10.4].

EXAMPLE 12.1 ([BSu4]). Let $d = 2$, $\Gamma = (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$, and let $g(\mathbf{x})$ be given by

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\beta'(x_1) \\ -i\beta'(x_1) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta(x_1)$ is a smooth (2π) -periodic real-valued function such that $1 - (\beta'(x_1))^2 > 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} \beta(x_1) \, dx_1 = 0$. Then $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\alpha \pi^{-1} \theta_2^3$, where $\alpha = \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(x_1) (\beta'(x_1))^2 \, dx_1$. It is easy to give a concrete example where $\alpha \neq 0$: if $\beta(x_1) = c(\sin x_1 + \cos 2x_1)$ with $0 < c < 1/3$, then $\alpha = -(3\pi/2)c^3 \neq 0$. In this example $\widehat{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ except for the points $(\pm 1, 0)$.

Next, let $\phi_{jl}(\mathbf{x})$ be a Γ -periodic solution of the problem

$$-\text{div } g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla \phi_{jl}(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_l) = g_{lj}^0 - \widetilde{g}_{lj}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \int_{\Omega} \phi_{jl}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (12.3)$$

The operator $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(1,1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the operator of multiplication by $\widehat{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. A calculation (see [VSu2, Subsection 14.5]) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(1,1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \widehat{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{p,q,l,r=1}^d (\alpha_{pqlr} - \overline{(\psi_p^* \psi_q)} g_{lr}^0) \theta_p \theta_q \theta_l \theta_r, \\ \alpha_{pqlr} &= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\widetilde{g}_{lp}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{qr}(\mathbf{x}) + \widetilde{g}_{rq}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{pl}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \langle g(\mathbf{x}) (\nabla \phi_{qr}(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_q(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_r), \nabla \phi_{pl}(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_l \rangle d\mathbf{x}, \\ &\quad p, q, l, r = 1, \dots, d.\end{aligned}\tag{12.4}$$

LEMMA 12.2. *Let $d = 1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{d}{dx}g(x)\frac{d}{dx}$. If $g \neq \text{const}$, then $\widehat{\nu}(-1) = \widehat{\nu}(1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. The problem (12.2) now takes the form $\frac{d}{dx}g(x)(\frac{d}{dx}\psi_1(x) + 1) = 0$, $\overline{\psi_1} = 0$. Then $\frac{d}{dx}\psi_1(x) = \underline{g}(g(x))^{-1} - 1$. Since $g(x) \neq \text{const}$, then $\underline{g}(g(x))^{-1} - 1 \neq 0$ and therefore $\psi_1 \neq 0$. Next, $\widetilde{g}(x) = \underline{g} = g^0$ and equation (12.3) takes the form $\frac{d}{dx}g(x)(\frac{d}{dx}\phi_{11}(x) - \psi_1(x)) = 0$, $\overline{\phi_{11}} = 0$. Then $\frac{d}{dx}\phi_{11}(x) - \psi_1(x) = 0$. It is easy to check that α_{1111} in (12.4) is equal to zero: $\alpha_{1111} = 0$. Since $\overline{\psi_1^2}g^0 \neq 0$, then $\widehat{\nu}(-1) = \widehat{\nu}(1) \neq 0$. \square

Consider the Cauchy problem (11.1) with the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon = -\text{div } g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})\nabla$. We can apply Theorem 11.1 in the general case and Theorem 11.2 in the ‘‘real’’ case. These results are sharp with respect to smoothness of the initial data and with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

12.2. The nonstationary Schrödinger equation with a singular potential. (See [BSu2, Chapter 6, Subsection 1.1].) In the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, we consider the operator $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{D}^* \check{g}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} + V(\mathbf{x})$, where a symmetric $(d \times d)$ -matrix-valued function $\check{g}(\mathbf{x})$ with *real entries* and a *real-valued* potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ are Γ -periodic and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\check{g}(\mathbf{x}) &> 0, \quad \check{g}, \check{g}^{-1} \in L_\infty; \\ V &\in L_q(\Omega), \quad q > d/2 \text{ for } d \geq 2, \quad q = 1 \text{ for } d = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Adding an appropriate constant to $V(\mathbf{x})$, we may assume that *the point $\lambda = 0$ is the bottom of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}* . Then the operator \mathcal{H} can be written in the factorized form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega^{-1} \mathbf{D}^* \omega^2 \check{g} \mathbf{D} \omega^{-1},\tag{12.5}$$

where $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ is a positive Γ -periodic solution of the equation $\mathbf{D}^* \check{g}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} \omega(\mathbf{x}) + V(\mathbf{x}) \omega(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $\int_{\Omega} \omega^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = |\Omega|$. Therefore, the operator (12.5) is a particular case of the operator (4.6). In this case $n = 1$, $m = d$, $b(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{D}$, $g = \omega^2 \check{g}$, $f = \omega^{-1}$.

Let g^0 be the effective matrix for the operator (12.1) (with $g = \omega^2 \check{g}$). Now $Q(\mathbf{x}) = \omega^2(\mathbf{x})$, and, by the normalization condition for ω , we have $\overline{Q} = 1$ and $f_0 = (\overline{Q})^{-1/2} = 1$. Therefore, the operator (7.2) takes the form $\mathcal{H}^0 = \mathbf{D}^* g^0 \mathbf{D}$.

Now we consider the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = (\omega^\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathbf{D}^* (\omega^\varepsilon)^2 \check{g}^\varepsilon \mathbf{D} (\omega^\varepsilon)^{-1}.\tag{12.6}$$

In the initial form, the operator (12.6) can be written as $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{D}^* \check{g}^\varepsilon \mathbf{D} + \varepsilon^{-2} V^\varepsilon$. Note that this expression contains a large factor ε^{-2} at the rapidly oscillating potential V^ε .

Let us consider the Cauchy problem (11.3) with the operator (12.6). By Proposition 7.1(1 $^\circ$), $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We can apply Theorem 11.5. This result is sharp with respect to smoothness of the initial data and with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

REMARK 12.3. *It is also possible to consider the Cauchy problem for the magnetic Schrödinger equation with a small magnetic potential, using an appropriate factorization for the magnetic Schrödinger operator; see [Su5, Subsection 15.4]. In this case, we do not have improvement of the general results.*

12.3. The nonstationary two-dimensional Pauli equation. (See [BSu4, Chapter 4, §12, Subsection 12.3].) Let the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} = \{A_1, A_2\}$ be a Γ -periodic real vector-valued function in

\mathbb{R}^2 such that $\mathbf{A} \in L_p(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)$, $p > 2$. By the gauge transformation, we may assume that $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} = 0$, $\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0$. Under these conditions there exists a (unique) Γ -periodic real-valued function φ such that $\nabla\varphi = \{A_2, -A_1\}$, $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0$.

In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, we consider the Pauli operator

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_- & 0 \\ 0 & P_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} P_+ &= \omega_- \partial_+ \omega_+^2 \partial_- \omega_-, \\ P_- &= \omega_+ \partial_- \omega_-^2 \partial_+ \omega_+, \end{aligned} \quad (12.7)$$

where $\omega_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{\pm\varphi(\mathbf{x})}$ and $\partial_{\pm} = D_1 \pm iD_2$. If the potential \mathbf{A} is sufficiently smooth, then the blocks P_{\pm} of the operator (12.7) are of the form

$$P_{\pm} = (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A})^2 \pm B, \quad B = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1,$$

where the expression B corresponds to the strength of the magnetic field.

The operator (12.7) can be written as $\mathcal{P} = f_{\times} b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) g_{\times} b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) f_{\times}$, where

$$b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_- \\ \partial_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_{\times}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_+(\mathbf{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_-(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{\times}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_+^2(\mathbf{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_-^2(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator \mathcal{P} is of the form (4.6) with $m = n = d = 2$, $b(\mathbf{D}) = b_{\times}(\mathbf{D})$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\times}(\mathbf{x})$, $g(\mathbf{x}) = g_{\times}(\mathbf{x})$.

Since $m = n$, the effective matrix is equal to $g_{\times}^0 = \underline{g}_{\times} = \operatorname{diag}\{g_+^0, g_-^0\}$, $g_{\pm}^0 = \underline{\omega}_{\pm}^2$. The matrix $Q_{\times} = f_{\times}^{-2} = g_{\times}^{-1}$ plays the role of Q . Then $\overline{Q}_{\times} = \operatorname{diag}\{(g_+^0)^{-1}, (g_-^0)^{-1}\}$. The role of f_0 is played by $f_{\times,0} = \operatorname{diag}\{(g_+^0)^{1/2}, (g_-^0)^{1/2}\}$. The operator (7.2) now takes the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{\times}^0 = f_{\times,0} b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) g_{\times}^0 b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) f_{\times,0} = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma\Delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\gamma = g_+^0 g_-^0 = |\Omega|^2 \|\omega_+\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^{-2} \|\omega_-\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^{-2}$.

Now, we describe the operator $\widehat{N}_{Q,\times}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that plays the role of $\widehat{N}_Q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for \mathcal{P} . Let $w_{\pm}(\mathbf{x})$ be the Γ -periodic solutions of the problems $\partial_{\mp} w_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = g_{\pm}^0 \omega_{\mp}^2(\mathbf{x}) - 1$, $\int_{\Omega} w_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0$. Then $\widehat{N}_{Q,\times}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \operatorname{diag}\{\widehat{N}_{Q,-}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \widehat{N}_{Q,+}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}$, $\widehat{N}_{Q,\pm}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -2\gamma \left(\theta_1 \operatorname{Re} \overline{\omega_{\pm}^2 w_{\pm}} \pm \theta_2 \operatorname{Im} \overline{\omega_{\pm}^2 w_{\pm}} \right)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The following example is borrowed from [Su5, Example 16.2].

EXAMPLE 12.4 ([Su5]). Let $\Gamma = (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$ and let $\omega_{\pm}^2(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + \alpha(\sin x_2 + 4 \sin 2x_2)$, where $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then $\widehat{N}_{Q,\times}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 0$ for $\theta_1 \neq 0$.

Now, we consider the operator

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = f_{\times}^{\varepsilon} b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) g_{\times}^{\varepsilon} b_{\times}(\mathbf{D}) f_{\times}^{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{-,\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{+,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12.8)$$

where $P_{+,\varepsilon} = \omega_-^{\varepsilon} \partial_+ (\omega_+^{\varepsilon})^2 \partial_- \omega_-^{\varepsilon}$, $P_{-,\varepsilon} = \omega_+^{\varepsilon} \partial_- (\omega_-^{\varepsilon})^2 \partial_+ \omega_+^{\varepsilon}$. If the potential \mathbf{A} is sufficiently smooth, then the blocks of the operator (12.8) are of the form $P_{\pm,\varepsilon} = (\mathbf{D} - \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon})^2 \pm \varepsilon^{-2} B^{\varepsilon}$.

Let us consider the Cauchy problem (11.3) with the operator (12.8). We can apply Theorem 11.4. In general, this result is sharp with respect to smoothness of the initial data and with respect to dependence of the estimates on time.

REFERENCES

- [APi] Allaire G., Piatnitski A., *Homogenization of the Schrödinger equation and effective mass theorems*, Comm. Math. Phys., **258** (2005), 1–22.
- [BaPa] Bakhvalov N. S., Panasenko G. P., *Homogenization: Averaging processes in periodic media. Mathematical problems in mechanics of composite materials*, Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.), vol. **36**, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Group, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [BeLP] Bensoussan A., Lions J.-L., Papanicolaou G., *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*, Stud. Math. Appl., vol. **5**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [BSu1] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Threshold effects near the lower edge of the spectrum for periodic differential operators of mathematical physics*, in Systems, Approximation, Singular

Integral Operators, and Related Topics, A. A. Borichev and N. K. Nikolski, eds., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 71–107.

- [BSu2] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Second order periodic differential operators. Threshold properties and homogenization*, Algebra i Analiz **15**:5 (2003), 1–108; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **15**:5 (2004), 639–714.
- [BSu3] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Threshold approximations with corrector for the resolvent of a factorized selfadjoint operator family*, Algebra i Analiz **17**:5 (2005), 69–90; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **17**:5 (2006), 745–762.
- [BSu4] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization with corrector term for periodic elliptic differential operators*, Algebra i Analiz **17**:6 (2005), 1–104; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **17**:6 (2006), 897–973.
- [BSu5] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization with corrector for periodic differential operators. Approximation of solutions in the Sobolev class $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Algebra i Analiz **18**:6 (2006), 1–130; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **18**:6 (2007), 857–955.
- [BSu6] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Operator error estimates in the homogenization problem for nonstationary periodic equations*, Algebra i Analiz **20**:6 (2008), 30–107; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **20**:6 (2009), 873–928.
- [COrVa] Conca C., Orive R., Vanninathan M., *Bloch approximation in homogenization and applications*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **33**:5 (2002), 1166–1198.
- [D] Dorodnyi M. A., *Homogenization of the periodic Schrödinger-type equations with the lower order terms*, Algebra i Analiz **31**:6 (2019), 122–196; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **31**:6 (2020), to appear.
- [DSu] Dorodnyi M. A., Suslina T. A., *Spectral approach to homogenization of hyperbolic equations with periodic coefficients*, J. Differ. Equ., **264**:12 (2018), 7463–7522.
- [K] Kato T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [M1] Meshkova Yu. M., *On operator error estimates for homogenization of hyperbolic systems with periodic coefficients*, preprint (2017), arXiv:1705.02531.
- [M2] Meshkova Yu. M., *On the homogenization of periodic hyperbolic systems*, Matem. Zametki **105**:6 (2019), 937–942; English transl.: Math. Notes, **105**:6 (2019), 929–934.
- [M3] Meshkova Yu. M., *Variations on the theme of the Trotter-Kato theorem for homogenization of periodic hyperbolic systems*, preprint (2019), arXiv:1904.02781.
- [Se] Sevost’yanova E. V., *An asymptotic expansion of the solution of a second order elliptic equation with periodic rapidly oscillating coefficients*, Matem. Sbornik **115(157)**:2(6) (1981), 204–222; English transl.: Math. USSR-Sb., **43**:2 (1982), 181–198.
- [Su1] Suslina T. A., *On homogenization of periodic parabolic systems*, Funktsional. Analiz i ego Prilozhen. **38**:4 (2004), 86–90; English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl., **38**:4 (2004), 309–312.
- [Su2] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of a periodic parabolic Cauchy problem*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), vol. **220**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 201–233.
- [Su3] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of a periodic parabolic Cauchy problem in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **5**:4 (2010), 390–447.
- [Su4] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of Schrödinger-type equations*, Funktsional. Analiz i ego Prilozhen. **50**:3 (2016), 90–96; English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl., **50**:3 (2016), 241–246.

- [Su5] Suslina T. A., *Spectral approach to homogenization of nonstationary Schrödinger-type equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **446**:2 (2017), 1466–1523.
- [V] Vasilevskaya E. S., *A periodic parabolic Cauchy problem: Homogenization with corrector*, Algebra i Analiz **21**:1 (2009), 3–60; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **21**:1 (2010), 1–41.
- [VSu1] Vasilevskaya E. S., Suslina T. A., *Threshold approximations for a factorized selfadjoint operator family with the first and second correctors taken into account*, Algebra i Analiz **23**:2 (2011), 102–146; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **23**:2 (2012), 275–308.
- [VSu2] Vasilevskaya E. S., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of parabolic and elliptic periodic operators in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the first and second correctors taken into account*, Algebra i Analiz **24**:2 (2012), 1–103; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J., **24**:2 (2013), 185–261.
- [Zh] Zhikov V. V., *On some estimates of homogenization theory*, Dokl. Ros. Akad. Nauk **406**:5 (2006), 597–601; English transl., Dokl. Math. **73** (2006), 96–99.
- [ZhKO] Zhikov V. V., Kozlov S. M., Olejnik O. A., *Homogenization of differential operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [ZhPas1] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *On operator estimates for some problems in homogenization theory*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **12**:4 (2005), 515–524.
- [ZhPas2] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *Estimates of homogenization for a parabolic equation with periodic coefficients*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **13**:2 (2006), 224–237.
- [ZhPas3] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *Operator estimates in homogenization theory*, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **71**:3 (2016), 27–122; English transl., Russian Math. Surveys, **71**:3 (2016), 417–511.