

A certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions and their related monodromy preserving deformation II

Kanam Park

*Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University,
1-1, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan*

Email: kpaku@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We define a nonlinear q -difference system $\mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$ as monodromy preserving deformations of a certain linear equation. We study its relation to a series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ defined as a certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions.

Keywords : generalized q -hypergeometric function; q -Garnier system; q -differences; a linear Pfaffian systems.

1 Introduction

In the previous work [10], we defined a series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,M}\left(\begin{matrix} \{a_j\}, \{b_i\} \\ \{c_j\} \end{matrix}; \{y_i\}\right) = \sum_{m_i \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(a_j)_{|m_j|}}{(c_j)_{|m_j|}} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(b_i)_{m_i}}{(q)_{m_i}} \prod_{i=1}^M y_i^{m_i}, \quad (1.1)$$

as a certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions, where, $(a)_n = \frac{(a)_\infty}{(q^n a)_\infty}$, $(a)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i a)$ and $0 < |q| < 1$. The aim of this paper is to study the system of q -difference non-linear equations which admits a particular solution in terms of the function $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$. This problem was solved in cases of $(N, M) = (1, M)$ in [10], and we will achieve our goal for all (N, M) in this paper. We remark that these results can be considered as a natural q -analog of Tsuda's results [14].

The contents of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we formulate a system of nonlinear q -difference equations $\mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$ which is the monodromy preserving deformation we aim at. In section 3, we review some facts on the series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ from the previous work [10]. In section 4, we derive a Pfaffian system which the series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ from an integral representation of it. In section 5, we construct the Pfaffian system which $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ satisfies and derive solution of the system $\mathcal{P}_{N+1,(M,M)}$.

2 A monodromy preserving deformation $\mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$

In this section, we define a monodromy preserving deformation $\mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$. Its relation to a generalization of q -hypergeometric functions $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ will be given in the next section.

Definition 2.1. We define nonlinear q -difference system $\mathcal{P}_{N,(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_M)}$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ as monodromy preserving deformations of the following linear equation

$$\Psi(qz) = \Psi(z)A(z), \quad A(z) = DX_1^{\varepsilon_1}(z)X_2^{\varepsilon_2}(z)\cdots X_M^{\varepsilon_M}(z), \quad (2.1)$$

where the matrices D and $X_i^{\varepsilon_i}(z)$ stand for

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \text{diag}[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N], \\ X_i(z) &= \text{diag}[u_{1,i}, u_{2,i}, \dots, u_{N,i}] + \Lambda, \\ \Lambda &= \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \\ z & & & \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and $\{u_{j,i}\}$ are dependent variables and $\{d_j, c_i\}$ are parameters such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^N u_{j,i} = c_i. \quad (2.3)$$

As is shown by the following Proposition, except for the dependence on deformation direction, the equation (2.1) system $\mathcal{P}_{N,(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_M)}$ essentially depends only on M_- , M_+ , where $M_{\pm} = \#\{\varepsilon_i | \varepsilon_i = \pm 1\}$. Namely, $A(z)$ for different $\vec{\varepsilon}$ are equivalent if M_- , M_+ are the same. Therefore we sometimes use notation $\mathcal{P}_{N,(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_M)} = \mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$.

Proposition 2.1. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_M$, there exists a unique set of variables $\{u'_{j,i}\}$ such that the following equation holds

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^{\varepsilon_1}(z)X_2^{\varepsilon_2}(z)\cdots X_M^{\varepsilon_M}(z) &= X_{\sigma(1)}^{\varepsilon_{\sigma(1)'}}X_{\sigma(2)}^{\varepsilon_{\sigma(2)'}}\cdots X_{\sigma(M)}^{\varepsilon_{\sigma(M)'}} , \\ \det X_k &= \det X'_k = z - c_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the matrix X'_k stands for a matrix X_k whose variables $u_{j,i}$ are replaced by $u'_{j,i}$.

Proof. We show the existence first. Define a transformation $s_{k,l}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}$:

$$s_{k,l}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}(u_{j,k}, u_{j,l}) = \left(u_{j,k} \frac{Q_{j+1,k}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}}{Q_{j,k}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}}, u_{j,l} \frac{Q_{j,k}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}}{Q_{j+1,k}^{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l}} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where $Q_{j,i}$ is polynomial in $u_{j,i}$, $u_{j,i+1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{j,i}^{+,+} &= \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{a-1} u_{j+k,i} \prod_{k=a+1}^N u_{j+k,i+1} \right), \\ Q_{j,i}^{-,-} &= \frac{1}{Q_{j,i}} \Big|_{i \leftrightarrow i+1}, \\ Q_{j,i}^{+,-} &= u_{j,i} - u_{j,i+1}, \\ Q_{j,i}^{-,+} &= (u_{j-1,i} - u_{j-1,i+1})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Such defined a birational mapping $s_{k,l}^{\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_l}$ satisfies $s_{k,l}^{\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_l}(X_k^{\varepsilon_k} X_l^{\varepsilon_l}) = X_l^{\varepsilon_l'} X_k^{\varepsilon_k'}$. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_M$, by composing the equations in (2.5), we obtain a birational mapping which satisfies (2.4).

To show the uniqueness, we note that the kernel of the left hand side of the first equation (2.4) at $z = c_{\sigma(M)}$ should be equal to that of the right hand side. This condition determine the matrix $X_{\sigma(M)}^{\varepsilon_{\sigma(M)}}$ uniquely. \square

Remark 2.1. It is easily shown that the system $\mathcal{P}_{2,(0,2M)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2N+2,(0,2)}$ are respectively equivalent to the $2(M-1)$ dimensional q -Garnier system [12] and the q - $\mathcal{P}_{(N+1,N+1)}$ [13] in the sense that the matrix A in (2.1) is equivalent to the matrix A given in [8].

Remark 2.2. The equation (2.1) for the system $\mathcal{P}_{N,(M_-,M_+)}$ is an extended version of the system in [4] [9] obtained as the N -reduced q -KP hierarchy through a similarity condition.

3 The series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ as an extension of q -hypergeometric functions

In this subsection, we will recall some results in [10] which are needed in the following subsections.

Definition 3.1. ([10], Definition 2.1) We define a series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,M} \left(\begin{matrix} \{a_j\}, \{b_i\} \\ \{c_j\} \end{matrix}; \{y_i\} \right) = \sum_{m_i \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(a_j)_{|m_j|}}{(c_j)_{|m_j|}} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(b_i)_{m_i}}{(q)_{m_i}} \prod_{i=1}^M y_i^{m_i}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $(a)_n = \frac{(a)_\infty}{(q^n a)_\infty}$ and $0 < |q| < 1$. Here and in what follows the symbol $(a)_\infty$ means $(a)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i a)$. The series (3.1) converges in the region $|y_i| < 1$ and is continued analytically to $|y_i| \geq 1$.

When $N = 1$ or $M = 1$, the series (3.1) is equal to the q -Appell-Lauricella function φ_D or the generalized q -hypergeometric function ${}_{N+1}\varphi_N$, respectively [2]:

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,M} \left(\begin{matrix} a, \{b_i\} \\ c \end{matrix}; \{y_i\} \right) = \sum_{m_i \geq 0} \frac{(a)_{|m|}}{(c)_{|m|}} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(b_i)_{m_i}}{(q)_{m_i}} y_i^{m_i} = \varphi_D \left(\begin{matrix} a, \{b_i\} \\ c \end{matrix}; \{y_i\} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,1} \left(\begin{matrix} \{a_j\}, b \\ \{c_j\} \end{matrix}; y \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(a_j)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (q)_n} y^n = {}_{N+1}\varphi_N \left(\begin{matrix} \{a_j\}, b \\ \{c_j\} \end{matrix}; y \right). \quad (3.3)$$

There is a duality relation between the series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{M,N}$ as follows:

Proposition 3.1. ([10], Proposition 2.1) The series $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,M} \left(\begin{matrix} \{y_j\}, \{a_i\} \\ \{b_j y_j\} \end{matrix}; \{x_i\} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(y_j)_\infty}{(b_j y_j)_\infty} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(a_i x_i)_\infty}{(x_i)_\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M,N} \left(\begin{matrix} \{x_i\}, \{b_j\} \\ \{a_i x_i\} \end{matrix}; \{y_j\} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.1. When $N = 1$ or $M = 1$, the relation (3.4) is known (see [1] [3] for example).

We can interpret the equation (3.4) as an integral representation of $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ as follows.

Corollary 3.1. ([10], Corollary 2.1.) With $y_j = q^{\gamma_j}$, the relation (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,M} \left(\begin{matrix} \{q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{a_i\} \\ \{b_j q^{\gamma_j}\} \end{matrix}; \{x_i\} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(q^{\gamma_j}, b_j)_\infty}{(b_j q^{\gamma_j}, q)_\infty} \prod_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 d_q t_j \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(a_i x_i \prod_{j=1}^N t_j)_\infty}{(x_i \prod_{j=1}^N t_j)_\infty} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(q t_j)_\infty}{(b_j t_j)_\infty} \frac{t_j^{\gamma_j-1}}{1-q}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the Jackson integral is defined as

$$\int_0^c d_q t f(t) = c(1-q) \sum_{n \geq 0} f(cq^n) q^n. \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.2. ([10], Proposition 2.2) The series $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{N,M} \left(\begin{matrix} \{a_j\}, \{b_i\} \\ \{c_j\} \end{matrix}; \{y_i\} \right)$ satisfies the q -difference equations

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - c_j q^{-1} T) \cdot (1 - T_{y_s}) - y_s \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - a_j T) \cdot (1 - b_s T_{y_s}) \right\} \mathcal{F} = 0 & (1 \leq s \leq M), \\ \left\{ y_r (1 - b_r T_{y_r}) (1 - T_{y_s}) - y_s (1 - b_s T_{y_s}) (1 - T_{y_r}) \right\} \mathcal{F} = 0 & (1 \leq r < s \leq M), \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where T_{y_s} is the q -shift operator for the variable y_s and $T = T_{y_1} \cdots T_{y_M}$.

We consider a representation by a Pfaffian system of the equation (3.7) in the next subsection.

4 A Pfaffian system derived from $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$

In this section, we derive a Pfaffian system of size $(MN + 1) \times (MN + 1)$ from an integral representation of $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$. From Corollary 3.1, the integral representation of $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ is given in (3.5). We compute the Pfaffian system for the integral (3.5). To do this, we follow the method given in [5], [6] in the same way as [10]. We denote the integrand of (3.5) as $\Phi(\{u_j\}_{j=1}^N)$

$$\Phi(\{u_j\}_{j=1}^N) = \prod_{j=1}^N u_j^{\nu_j} \frac{(q u_j / u_{j-1})_\infty}{(b_j u_j / u_{j-1})_\infty} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(a_i x_i u_N)_\infty}{(x_i u_N)_\infty}, \quad (4.1)$$

where u_j stands for $\prod_{k=1}^j t_k$ and we put the parameter $\nu_j := \gamma_j - \gamma_{j+1}$ ($\nu_{N+1} = 0$). We define functions $\Psi_0, \Psi_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= \langle \Phi p_0 \rangle, \\ \Psi_{j,i} &= \langle \Phi p_{j,i} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $p_0 = 1$, $p_{j,i} = \frac{u_{j-1} - b_j u_j}{1 - a_i x_i u_N} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{1 - x_k u_N}{1 - a_k x_k u_N}$ and $\langle \rangle$ means a kind of Jackson

integral for u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N . Namely, $\langle f(\{u_j\}) \rangle = \sum_{n_j \in \mathbb{Z}} f(\{q^{n_j}\})$. We will see that $\{\Psi_0, \Psi_{1,1}, \dots, \Psi_{N,M}\}$ is a basis of the solutions of the Pfaffian system.

Remark 4.1. The basis $\{p_0, p_{j,i}\}$ defined above has been used in many literatures (See for example [5], [7], [11]). Such a kind of basis is convenient for a computation because it is directly related to a shift of function Φ as $\Phi p_{j,i} = u_{j-1} T_{a_i} T_{b_j} T_{x_{i-1}} T_{x_{i-2}} \cdots T_{x_1} \Phi$. Therefore the following equations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= \frac{(\{b_j q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{q\})_\infty}{(\{q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{b_j\})_\infty} \mathcal{F}, \\ \Psi_{j,i} &= \frac{(1-b_j)}{(1-b_j q^{\gamma_j})} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{(1-q^{\gamma_l})}{(1-b_l q^{\gamma_l})} T_{a_i} T_{x_1} T_{x_2} \cdots T_{x_{i-1}} T_{b_j} \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{N,M} \left(\begin{array}{c} \{q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{a_i\} \\ \{b_j q^{\gamma_j}\} \end{array}; \{x_i\} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} (1 \leq j \leq N) \\ (1 \leq i \leq M) \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

We define an exchange operator σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq M$) acting on a function f of $\{x_i, a_i\}$ as

$$\sigma_i(f) = f|_{x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}, a_i \leftrightarrow a_{i+1}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We note that $\sigma_i(\Phi(\{u_j\})) = \Phi(\{u_j\})$. When the operator σ_i acts on functions $p_0, p_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M$), we have the following relations.

Proposition 4.1. For $1 \leq i \leq M$, we have

$$\sigma_i(\Psi_{j,k}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-a_i)x_i}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}} \Psi_{j,i} + \frac{a_i x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}} \Psi_{j,i+1}, & (k = i) \\ \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}} \Psi_{j,i} + \frac{(1-a_{i+1})x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}} \Psi_{j,i+1}, & (k = i+1) \\ \Psi_{j,k}. & (k \neq i, i+1) \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. The last equation of (4.6) is obvious. The other equations of (4.6) is proved in the same way as Proposition 3.1. in the previous work [10]. Namely we consider the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(p_{j,i}) &= s_1 p_{j,i} + s_2 p_{j,i+1}, \\ \sigma_i(p_{j,i+1}) &= s_3 p_{j,i} + s_4 p_{j,i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

There exist unique coefficients s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 independent of u_j satisfying these relations. \square

For the action of the q -shift operator T_{x_M} on $\Psi_0, \dots, \Psi_{N,M}$, we obtain the following equations.

Proposition 4.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x_M}(\Psi_0) &= \frac{x_M - \beta}{a_M x_M - \beta} \rho(\Psi_0) + \frac{(a_M - 1)x_M}{a_M x_M - \beta} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k \right) \rho(\Psi_{j,1}), \\ T_{x_M}(\Psi_{j,i}) &= \rho(\Psi_{j,i+1}) \quad (i \neq M), \\ T_{x_M}(\Psi_{j,M}) &= \frac{q^{-\sum_{k=j}^N \gamma_k} (1-b_j)}{a_M x_M - \beta} \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^N b_l \right) \left[\rho(\Psi_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{t=1}^k b_t \right) \rho(\Psi_{k,1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{a_M x_M - \beta/b_j}{(1-b_j) \prod_{k=j}^N b_k} \rho(\Psi_{j,1}) - a_M x_M \sum_{k=j+1}^N \left(\prod_{t=k}^N b_t^{-1} \right) \rho(\Psi_{k,1}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\beta = \prod_{j=1}^N b_j$, $\rho = \sigma_{M-1} \cdots \sigma_1$.

Proof. First, we make a shift by T_{x_M} on $\Phi p_0, \Phi p_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M$). We easily obtain the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x_M}(\Phi p_{j,i}) &= \Phi \rho(p_{j,i+1}) \quad (i \neq M), \\ T_{x_M}(\Phi p_0) &= \Phi \frac{1 - x_M u_N}{1 - a_M x_M u_N}, \\ T_{x_M} T_{u_j}^{-1} T_{u_{j+1}}^{-1} \cdots T_{u_N}^{-1}(\Phi p_{j,M}) &= q^{-\gamma_j} \Phi \frac{u_{j-1} - u_j}{1 - a_M x_M u_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The right-hand side of the second and third equations in (4.9), can be rewritten as a linear combination of $\rho(\Phi p_0) = \Phi p_0$ and $\rho(\Phi p_{j,1}) = \Phi \frac{u_{j-1} - b_j u_j}{1 - a_M x_M u_N}$ respectively, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x_M}(\Phi p_0) &= \frac{x_M - \beta}{a_M x_M - \beta} \rho(\Phi p_0) + \frac{(a_M - 1)x_M}{a_M x_M - \beta} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k \right) \rho(\Phi p_{j,1}), \\ T_{x_M}(\Phi p_{j,M}) &= \frac{q^{-\sum_{k=j}^N \gamma_k} (1 - b_j)}{a_M x_M - \beta} \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^N b_l \right) \left[\rho(\Phi p_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{t=1}^k b_t \right) \rho(\Phi p_{k,1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{a_M x_M - \beta}{(1 - b_j) \prod_{k=j}^N b_k} \rho(\Phi p_{j,1}) - a_M x_M \sum_{k=j+1}^N \left(\prod_{t=k}^N b_t^{-1} \right) \rho(\Phi p_{k,1}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $\beta = \prod_{j=1}^N b_j$, $\rho = \sigma_{M-1} \cdots \sigma_1$. Integrating the first equation of (4.9) and equations in (4.10) with respect to u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N , we obtain the equations in (4.8). \square

Combining Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. The vector $\vec{\Psi} = [\Psi_A]_{A \in I}$, $I = \{0\} \cup \{(j, i) | 1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ satisfies the Pfaffian system of rank $MN + 1$

$$T_{x_M} \vec{\Psi} = \rho \mu \vec{\Psi} = \sigma_{M-1} \sigma_{M-2} \cdots \sigma_1 \mu \vec{\Psi}, \quad (4.11)$$

where the operators μ and σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq M$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_1) &= qx_1, \quad \mu(\Psi_0) = \frac{x_1 - \beta}{a_1 x_1 - \beta} \Psi_0 + \frac{(a_1 - 1)x_1}{a_1 x_1 - \beta} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k \right) \Psi_{j,1}, \\ \mu(\Psi_{k,l}) &= \begin{cases} \Psi_{k,l+1} \quad (l \neq M), \\ \frac{q^{-\sum_{k=j}^N \gamma_k} (1 - b_j)}{a_1 x_1 - \beta} \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^N b_l \right) \left[\Psi_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{t=1}^k b_t \right) \Psi_{k,1} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{a_1 x_1 - \beta/b_j}{(1 - b_j) \prod_{k=j}^N b_k} \Psi_{j,1} - a_1 x_1 \sum_{k=j+1}^N \left(\prod_{t=k}^N b_t^{-1} \right) \Psi_{k,1} \right] \quad (l = M), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(a_i) &= a_{i+1}, \sigma_i(a_{i+1}) = a_i, \sigma_i(x_i) = x_{i+1}, \sigma_i(x_{i+1}) = x_i, \\ \sigma_i(\Psi_0) &= \Psi_0, \sigma_i(\Psi_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-a_i)x_i}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}\Psi_{k,i} + \frac{a_ix_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}\Psi_{k,i+1}, & (l = i) \\ \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}\Psi_{k,i} + \frac{(1-a_{i+1})x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}\Psi_{k,i+1}, & (l = i+1) \\ \Psi_{k,l}, & (l \neq i, i+1) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. The results follows by direct computation using (4.6) (4.8). \square

Remark 4.2. The equations for the shift of the other variables

$$T_{x_i} \vec{\Psi} = \vec{\Psi} A_i, \quad (4.14)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, M-1$ can be derived from (4.11) and the action of $\{\sigma_i\}$ in Proposition 4.1. For example, the equation for the shift of the variable x_{M-1} is obtained as follows

$$T_{x_{M-1}} \vec{\Psi} = \sigma_{M-1} T_{x_M} \sigma_{M-1} \vec{\Psi} = \vec{\Psi} R_{M-1} \cdot \sigma_{M-1}(A_M) \cdot \sigma_{M-1}(R_{M-1}(qz)). \quad (4.15)$$

By construction, the coefficient matrices in (4.11) and (4.14) satisfy a compatibility condition

$$A_i(T_{x_i} A_j) = A_j(T_{x_j} A_i). \quad (4.16)$$

5 A reduction to $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ form

In this section we reduce the equation (4.14) into $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ form. To do this, we specialize the parameter a_M to be 1. Then the integrand (4.1), and hence $\Psi_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M-1$) and Ψ_0 , become independent of x_M . Therefore we can consider $\Psi_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M-1$) as Ψ_0 times $r_{j,i}$, where $r_{j,i}$ is a rational function in x_0, \dots, x_{M-1} . The explicit form of $r_{j,i}$ is as follows (see (4.3))

$$r_{j,i} = \frac{1-b_j}{1-q^{\gamma_j}} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1-q^{\gamma_l}}{1-b_l q^{\gamma_l}} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{(q^{\gamma_k}, b_k)_\infty}{(b_k q^{\gamma_k}, q)_\infty} \frac{T_{a_i} T_{x_1} T_{x_2} \cdots T_{x_{i-1}} T_{b_j} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{F}} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{N, M-1} \left(\begin{matrix} \{q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{a_i\} \\ \{b_j q^{\gamma_j}\} \end{matrix}; \{x_i\} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

Theorem 5.1. Specializing $a_M = 1$ and setting $z = x_M$, $t = x_{M-1}$ and $\Psi_{j,i} = r_{j,i} \Psi_0$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M-1$), the equations in Theorem 4.1 can be reduced as

$$\begin{cases} T_z \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} = \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{z - a_{M-i} x_{M-i}}{z - x_{M-i}} X_{2(M-i)-1} X_{2(M-i)}^{-1} \right) X_{2M-1}^{-1} X_{2M} D_1, \\ T_t \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} = \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} \frac{z - qt}{z - a_{M-1} qt} X_{2M-3}(z/q) X_{2(M-1)}^{-1}(z/q) D_2, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}}$ is a vector with $N+1$ components: $\vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} = [\Psi_0^{\text{red}}, \Psi_{1,M}^{\text{red}}, \Psi_{2,M}^{\text{red}}, \dots, \Psi_{N,M}^{\text{red}}]$, $\Psi_{j,M}^{\text{red}} = \Psi_{j,M}|_{a_M=1}$,

$$D_1 = \text{diag}[1, q^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j}, q^{-\sum_{j=2}^N \gamma_j}, \dots, q^{-\gamma_N}], \quad D_2 = \text{diag}[d_2, 1, \dots, 1],$$

$$X_k = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,k} & 1 & & & \\ & u_{2,k} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ z & & & & u_{N+1,k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} u_{j,2i-1} = (-1)^N x_{M-i}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} u_{j,2i} = (-1)^N a_{M-i} x_{M-i}.$$

Here $\{u_{j,i}\}$ are independent of z and they are given as a rational functions in $\{r_{j,i}\}$. Explicit forms of $\{u_{j,i}\}$ are given in the proof.

Proof. When $a_M = 1$, obviously we have $T_z(\Psi_0) = \Psi_0$. We will compute $T_z(\Psi_{j,M})$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$). In the equation (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_z \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} &= \rho \mu \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}}, \\ &= \rho(\vec{\Psi}^{(1)} Q), \\ &= \sigma_{M-1} \sigma_{M-2} \cdots \sigma_2(\vec{\Psi}^{(2)} R_1 Q^1), \\ &= \sigma_{M-1} \sigma_{M-2} \cdots \sigma_3(\vec{\Psi}^{(3)} R_2 R_1^2 Q^1) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \vec{\Psi}^{(M)} R_{M-1} R_{M-2}^{M-1} R_{M-3}^{M-1, M-2} \cdots R_1^{M-1, M-2, \dots, 2} \rho(Q), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where we put a vector $\vec{\Psi}^{(i)} := [\Psi_0 \quad \Psi_{1,i} \quad \Psi_{2,i} \quad \cdots \quad \Psi_{N,i}]$ ($1 \leq i \leq M$) and $(*)^{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_l}$ stands for $\sigma_{k_l} \sigma_{k_{l-1}} \cdots \sigma_{k_1} (*)$. The matrices Q and R_i come from the equations (4.12) and (4.13). The matrix Q is a representation matrix of the transformation μ for the vector $\vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}}$ and $\vec{\Psi}^{(1)}$. By the equation (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \vec{\Psi}^{\text{red}} = \vec{\Psi}^{(1)} Q = \vec{\Psi}^{(1)} &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ & -b_1 & \ddots & & \\ & & -b_2 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_1 x_1 & & & -b_{N-1} & 1 \\ & & & & b_N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 1 \\ x_1 & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \text{diag}[1, q^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j}, q^{-\sum_{j=2}^N \gamma_j}, \dots, q^{-\gamma_N}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The matrix R_i is a representation matrix of the transformation σ_i for the vector $\vec{\Psi}^{(i)}$ and $\vec{\Psi}^{(i+1)}$. In the first equation of the equation (4.13), we put $r_{j,i} := \Psi_{j,i}/\Psi_0$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i \vec{\Psi}^{(i)} &= \vec{\Psi}^{(i+1)} R_i \\
&= \vec{\Psi}^{(i+1)} \frac{a_i x_i - a_{i+1} x_{i+1}}{x_i - a_{i+1} x_{i+1}} \\
&\times \begin{bmatrix} -x_i r_{N,i} & 1 & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{r_{1,i}} & \ddots & & \\ & & -\frac{r_{1,i}}{r_{2,i}} & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_{i+1} x_{i+1} & & & & -\frac{1}{r_{N,i}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -a_i x_i r_{N,i} & 1 & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{r_{1,i}} & \ddots & & \\ & & -\frac{r_{1,i}}{r_{2,i}} & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_{i+1} x_{i+1} & & & & -\frac{1}{r_{N,i}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The second equation for $T_t \vec{\Psi}$ is obtained similarly by considering $T_t = \sigma_{M-1} T_z \sigma_{M-1}$. In fact, by the equations (4.10) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_t \vec{\Psi} &= \vec{\Psi} \frac{z - qt}{z - qa_{M-1}t} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \frac{z - qa_{M-1}t}{z - qt} & \frac{v_2}{z - qt} & \cdots & \frac{v_{N+1}}{z - qt} \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \vec{\Psi} \frac{z - qt}{z - qa_{M-1}t} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & 1 & & \\ & v_{2,1} & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ z/q & & & v_{N+1,1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,2} & 1 & & \\ & v_{2,2} & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ z/q & & & v_{N+1,2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d'_1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \vec{\Psi} \frac{z - qt}{z - qa_{M-1}t} X'_{2M-3}(z/q) X'^{-1}_{2(M-1)}(z/q) D'_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where $\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} v_{j,1} = ta_{M-1}$, $\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} v_{j,2} = t$. And $v_{j,i}$ are rational functions which are independent of z . \square

Remark 5.1. The equations (5.5), (5.8) satisfy a compatibility condition by construction. Therefore, the rational function $r_{j,i}$ satisfies certain difference equation. For instance, in case of $(M, N) = (2, 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{r_{2,1}} &= -\frac{q^{-\gamma_2} (a_1 t r_{2,1} + b_2 r_{1,1} - b_1 r_{2,1} - r_{1,1} - b_2 + 1)}{-a_1 b_1 t r_{2,1} - a_1 t r_{1,1} + b_1 t r_{2,1} + t r_{1,1} + b_1 b_2 - t}, \\
\overline{r_{1,1}} &= \frac{q^{-\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} (-a_1 b_1 t r_{2,1} - a_1 t r_{1,1} + a_1 t r_{2,1} + b_2 r_{1,1} + b_1 b_2 - b_2)}{-a_1 b_1 t r_{2,1} - a_1 t r_{1,1} + b_1 t r_{2,1} + t r_{1,1} + b_1 b_2 - t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

These equations are interpreted as multivariable Riccati equations for the special solution of the corresponding system $\mathcal{P}_{3,(2,2)}$. The solution is given in (5.1).

In order to relate the above results to the monodromy preserving deformations $\mathcal{P}_{N+1,(M,M+)}$, we specify the deformation equation as follows. First we choose $\vec{\varepsilon} = (+, -, +, -, \dots, +, -, -, +)$. Namely we consider a linear equation (2.1)

$$\Psi(qz) = \Psi(z) A(z), \quad A(z) = D X_1(z) X_2^{-1}(z) \cdots X_{2M-3}(z) X_{2M-2}^{-1}(z) X_{2M-1}(z)^{-1} X_{2M}(z). \tag{5.10}$$

As a deformation of this, we consider the following equation

$$\bar{\Psi} = \Psi B(z), \quad B(z) = X_{2M}(z/q)X_{2M-1}^{-1}(z/q), \quad (5.11)$$

where the shift of parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_k &= a_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, M), \\ \bar{b}_j &= b_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N), \\ \bar{c}_l &= c_l \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, 2M-2), \quad \bar{c}_i = qc_i \quad (i = 2M-1, 2M), \\ \bar{d}_j &= d_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{N+1,(M,M)}$ the nonlinear equation arising as the compatibility condition $A(z)B(qz) = B(z)A(z)$ of (5.10) (5.11). Then we have

Theorem 5.2. Under the specialization $d_1 = 1$, the nonlinear equation $\mathcal{P}_{N+1,(M,M)}$ (2.1) admits a particular solution given in terms of a generalized hypergeometric functions $\mathcal{F}_{N,M-1}$ as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \begin{cases} u_{1,2(M-i)-1} = -\frac{r_{N,i}x_i d_1}{d_{N+1}}, \\ u_{1,2(M-i)} = -\frac{r_{N,i}a_i x_i d_1}{d_{N+1}}, \\ u_{1,2M-1} = \frac{d_1}{d_{N+1}}, \\ u_{1,2M} = \frac{d_1}{d_{N+1}}, \end{cases} & (1 \leq i \leq M) \\ \begin{cases} u_{j,2(M-i)-1} = -\frac{r_{j-2,i}d_j}{r_{j-1,i}d_{j-1}}, \\ u_{j,2(M-i)} = -\frac{r_{j-2,i}d_j}{r_{j-1,i}d_{j-1}}, \\ u_{j,2M-1} = -\frac{b_{j-1}d_j}{d_{j-1}}, \\ u_{j,2M} = -\frac{d_j}{d_{j-1}}, \end{cases} & \left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i \leq M \\ 2 \leq j \leq N \end{array} \right) \\ \begin{cases} u_{N+1,2(M-i)-1} = -\frac{r_{N+1-2,i}d_{N+1}}{r_{N,i}d_N}, \\ u_{N+1,2(M-i)} = -\frac{r_{N-1,i}d_{N+1}}{r_{N,i}d_N}, \\ u_{N+1,2M-1} = -\frac{b_N d_{N+1}}{d_N}, \\ u_{N+1,2M} = \frac{d_1}{d_N} \end{cases} & (1 \leq i \leq M), \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2i-1} &= (-1)^N x_{M-i}, \quad c_{2i} = (-1)^N a_{M-i} x_{M-i}, \\ d_1 &= 1, \quad d_k = q^{-\sum_{j=k-1}^N \gamma_j} \quad (1 \leq i \leq M, 2 \leq k \leq N+1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where $r_{j,i}$ is a ratio of the hypergeometric function as given (5.1)

$$r_{j,i} = \frac{1-b_j}{1-q^{\gamma_j}} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1-q^{\gamma_l}}{1-b_l q^{\gamma_l}} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{(q^{\gamma_k}, b_k)_\infty}{(b_k q^{\gamma_k}, q)_\infty} \frac{T_{a_i} T_{x_1} T_{x_2} \cdots T_{x_{i-1}} T_{b_j} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{F}} \quad (5.15)$$

and the function \mathcal{F} stands for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{N,M-1} \left(\begin{matrix} \{q^{\gamma_j}\}, \{a_i\} \\ \{b_j q^{\gamma_j}\} \end{matrix}; \{x_i\} \right)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq M-1$).

Proof. Then, the system of equations (5.3) is a specialization of the equation (5.10) via a gauge transformation

$$\widehat{\Psi} = \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{(a_i x_i q/z)_{\infty}}{(x_i q/z)_{\infty}} \Psi. \quad (5.16)$$

In more detail, we define a transformation $\delta_{k,l}^{(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1})}$ of variables $\{u_{j,k}\}$ and $\{d_j\}$ such that

$$\delta_{k,l}^{(\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_l)} (DX_k^{\varepsilon_k}(z)X_l^{\varepsilon_l}(z)) = X_k^{\varepsilon_k}(z)X_l^{\varepsilon_l}(z)D. \quad (5.17)$$

This translation is uniquely given as follows

$$\delta_{k,l}^{\pm, \mp}(u_{j,k}, u_{j,l}, d_j) = \left(\frac{d_{j-1}}{d_j} u_{j,k}, \frac{d_{j-1}}{d_j} u_{j,l}, d_j \right). \quad (5.18)$$

We apply the transformation (5.18) on the equation (5.10) repeatedly. Then, the following equation holds

$$\delta_{2M-1, 2M}^{-, +} \delta_{2M-3, 2M-2}^{+, -} \cdots \delta_{2,3}^{+, -} \delta_{1,2}^{+, -} (DX_1 X_2^{-1} \cdots X_{2M-3} X_{2M-2}^{-1} X_{2M-1}^{-1} X_{2M}) \\ = Y_1 Y_2^{-1} \cdots Y_{2M-3} Y_{2M-2}^{-1} Y_{2M-1}^{-1} Y_{2M} D, \quad (5.19)$$

where a matrix Y_i stands for a matrix X_i whose variable $u_{j,i}$ replaced $y_{j,i} = \frac{d_{j-1}}{d_j} u_{j,i}$.

Comparing the coefficients of the right-hand side of the equation (5.19) and of the first equation of the equation (5.3), we obtain the following result (5.13) where $d_1 = 1, d_k = q^{-\sum_{j=k-1}^N \gamma_j}$ ($2 \leq k \leq N+1$), $r_{0,i} = 1$. We recall that the rational function $r_{j,i}$ is given as in (5.1) \square

Remark 5.2. Theorem 5.1 gives a q -analogue of an argument to reduce a rank of a linear Pfaffian system in section 5 of [15].

Remark 5.3. The system (2.1) for $\mathcal{P}_{2, (M_-, M_+)}$ ($M_- + M_+ = 2M$) is equivalent to the Lax equation for $2(M-1)$ dimensional q -Garnier system [8] [12] via the following gauge transformation

$$\widehat{\Psi} = \prod_{i=1}^{M_-} \left(\frac{(qc_i/z)_{\infty}}{(-z)_{\infty}(-q/z)_{\infty}} \right) \Psi. \quad (5.20)$$

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express her gratitude to Professor Yasuhiko Yamada for valuable suggestions and encouragement. She also thanks supports from JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 17H06127 and 26287018 for the travel expenses in accomplishing this study.

Bibliography

- [1] Andrews, George, E. (1972) Summations and transformations for basic Appell series, *J. London math. Soc.*, **4**, 618–622.
- [2] Gasper, G. & Rahman, M. (2004) *Basic hypergeometric series*, vol.98, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 3.
- [3] Kajihara, Y. & Noumi, M. (2003) Multiple elliptic hypergeometric series. An approach Cauchy determinant, *Indag. Mathem., N.S.*, **14**, 395–421.
- [4] Kajiwara, K., Noumi, M. & Yamada, Y. (2002) q -Painlevé systems arising from q -KP hierarchy, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **62**, 259–268.
- [5] Matsuo, A. (1993) Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type and quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **151**, 263–273.
- [6] Mimachi, K. (1989) Connection problem in holonomic q -difference system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type, *Nagoya. Math. J.*, **116**, 149–161.
- [7] Mimachi, K. (1996) A solution to quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and its application to eigenvalue problems of the Macdonald type, *Duke Math. J.*, **85**, 635–658.
- [8] Nagao, H. & Yamada, Y. (2018) Variations of q -Garnier system, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **51**, 135204 (19pp).
- [9] Ormerod, C. M. and Rains, E. M., (2016) Commutation relations and discrete Garnier systems, *SIGMA* **12**, 110.
- [10] Park, K. (2018) A certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions and their related monodromy preserving deformation, *Journal of Integrable Systems*, **3**, 1–14
- [11] Reshetikhin, N.Yu. (1992) Jackson type integrals, Bethe vectors, and solutions to a difference analog of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **26**, 153–165.
- [12] Sakai, H. (2005) A q -Analog of the Garnier System, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **48**, 273–297.
- [13] Suzuki, T. (2015) A q -analogue of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type A and q -Painlevé system, *AMS Contemp. Math.*, **651**, 25–38.
- [14] Tsuda, T. (2012) Hypergeometric solution of a certain polynomial system of isomonodromy type, *Quart. J. Math.* **63**, 489–505.
- [15] Tsuda, T. (2015) On a fundamental system of solutions of a certain hypergeometric equation, *Ramanujan J.* **38**, 597–618.