A NEW EXAMPLE OF A COMPACT ERP G₂-STRUCTURE

INES KATH AND JORGE LAURET

ABSTRACT. We provide the second known example of an extremally Ricci pinched closed G_2 -structure on a compact 7-manifold, by finding a lattice in the only unimodular solvable Lie group admitting a left-invariant G_2 -structure. Furthermore, the Laplacian coflow and its solitons are studied on a 6-parameter family of left-invariant coclosed G_2 -structures on this Lie group. In this way, we obtain a 4-parameter subfamily of expanding solitons. The family is locally pairwise non-equivalent.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Explicit example of a lattice	3
3. Algebraic number theory and lattices	4
4. G_2 -geometry on the group G_J	7
4.1. Equivalence	7
4.2. Formulas for the torsion and the Laplacians	9
4.3. Diagonal case	9
4.4. Closed G_2 -structures	12
4.5. Coclosed G_2 -structures	13
4.6. Laplacian coflow solitons	13
4.7. Laplacian coflow evolution	16
Beferences	17

1. INTRODUCTION

A G_2 -structure on a 7-dimensional differentiable manifold M can be identified with a positive (or definite) differential 3-form φ on M, which naturally determines a Riemannian metric g on M and an orientation (see Section 4). Closed G_2 -structures (i.e., $d\varphi = 0$) are very natural candidates to be deformed via the Laplacian flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(t) = \Delta\varphi(t)$ toward torsion-free G_2 -structures (i.e., $d\varphi = 0$ and $d * \varphi = 0$), which are the ones producing Ricci flat Riemannian metrics with holonomy contained in G_2 (see the survey [Lo] for an account of recent advances). On the other hand, closed G_2 -structures are intended to play the same role as almost-Kähler structures in almost-hermitian geometry, so they have been extensively studied from different points of view (see e.g. [B, CI1, CI2, FFR, LoW, L4, PR]).

In the search for distinguished closed G_2 -structures on a given compact manifold M, Bryant discovered the following remarkable curvature estimate (see [B, Corollary 3]):

(1)
$$\int_M \operatorname{scal}^2 * 1 \le 3 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 * 1,$$

The second author gratefully acknowledges support from Univ. Nac. de Córdoba, Argentina.

where scal and Ric are respectively the scalar and Ricci curvature of (M, g), and called a closed G_2 -structure *extremally Ricci-pinched* (ERP for short) when equality holds in (1) (see [B, Remark 13]). Thus ERP structures are in some sense the closest you can get to Einstein among closed G_2 -structures. Bryant also proved that ERP G_2 -structures are characterized by,

(2)
$$d\tau = \frac{1}{6}|\tau|^2\varphi + \frac{1}{6}*(\tau \wedge \tau),$$

where $\tau := - * d * \varphi$ is the torsion 2-form of φ , and that in the compact case, this is indeed the only way in which $d\tau$ can quadratically depend on τ (see [B, (4.66)]). A closed G_2 -structure on any 7-manifold (not necessarily compact) such that (2) holds is also called ERP.

The ERP condition turned out to be really strong. A first example was provided by Bryant in [B] on the homogeneous space $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2/SU(2)$. More recently, the classification list of all left-invariant ERP G_2 -structures on Lie groups up to equivalence and scaling was obtained in [LN1, LN2], which consists of only five simply connected completely solvable Lie groups (in particular all diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^7), each one admitting a single structure (one of them is equivalent to Bryant's example). These five structures are also *steady Laplacian solitons*: the Laplacian flow solution starting at φ is simply given by $\varphi(t) = f(t)^* \varphi$, for some $f(t) \in \text{Diff}(M), t \in \mathbb{R}$ (the set of ERP G_2 -structures is known to be invariant under the Laplacian flow and the solutions are always eternal, see [FR]).

The only other known ERP G_2 -structures are many non-homogeneous examples found in [Ba] and a continuous family of left-invariant structures on Lie groups given in [FR], which are all equivalent to Bryant's example but the Lie groups involved are pairwise nonisomorphic (these are precisely the structures to be added in order to obtain a classification up to equivariant equivalence, see [LN2]).

Remarkably, the only known compact example in the literature so far is the quotient of Bryant's homogeneous example $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2/SU(2)$ by a cocompact discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2$, giving rise to a locally homogeneous manifold. It was conjectured by Cleyton and Ivanov in the Introduction of [CI2] that this is the only compact ERP structure up to local equivalence. The main result of this paper is to disprove the conjecture by providing a new example of an ERP G_2 -structure on a compact manifold. We construct a *lattice* (i.e., a discrete and cocompact subgroup) in one of the five solvable Lie groups appearing in the classification list given in [LN2], which will be denoted by G_J from now on. Such example was originally given in [L3, Example 4.7] and it is the only one in the list which is unimodular, so the only one with possibilities to admit a lattice. The alternative presentation of Bryant's example as a left-invariant G_2 -structure on a solvable Lie group S given in [CI2, Remark 6.7] (see also [L3, Examples 4.13, 4.10]), allows us to deduce that it is not equivalent to our example on G_J , as S and G_J are non-isomorphic simply connected completely solvable Lie groups (see [A] or Section 4.1). In particular, the corresponding compact quotients cannot be locally equivalent.

The Lie algebra of G_J is given by $\mathfrak{g}_J = \mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$, where $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$ is the subspace of all diagonal matrices. Note that \mathfrak{g}_J is isomorphic to any semidirect product of the form $\mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}$, where dim $\mathfrak{n} = 4$, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$ and \mathfrak{a} is any maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus of $\mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{n})$ (cf. [LN1, Example 5.4]). The lattices $\Gamma \subset G_J$ we found are of the form

$$\Gamma := \exp(\mathbb{Z}A + \mathbb{Z}B + \mathbb{Z}C) \ltimes \phi(\mathbb{Z}^4),$$

where $\{A, B, C\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{a} such that e^A , e^B and e^C leave invariant the lattice $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ of \mathbb{R}^4 for a suitable $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{R})$. The maps e^A , e^B and e^C and the automorphism ϕ are constructed as follows. We take a totally real quartic number field K and consider the ring \mathcal{O}_K of integers. Clearly, each unit in \mathcal{O}_K acts by multiplication on \mathcal{O}_K . With respect to a basis, this action is given by an integer matrix, and we can choose three multiplicatively independent units. Since the units commute, also the corresponding integer matrices commute and so they are simultaneously diagonalisable over \mathbb{R} by a matrix ϕ . The diagonal matrices that we obtain in this way can be used as e^A , e^B and e^C . Since the integer matrices we found leave invariant \mathbb{Z}^4 , the corresponding diagonalised matrices leave invariant $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^4)$. The multiplicatively independency of the chosen units will ensure, that Γ is indeed a lattice. This will be explained in more detail in Section 3. A first explicit example can be found in Section 2.

In Section 4, we study a 9-parameter family of left-invariant G_2 -structures on the Lie group G_J . A very particular feature is that each structure in the family is rigid, in the sense that it has a pairwise non-equivalent open neighborhood. Note that all these structures descend to the compact manifold $M = G_J/\Gamma$, where Γ is any of the lattices we have found, to become locally homogeneous G_2 -structures. We use results in [N1] to provide formulas for the torsion forms and Laplacians, obtaining that all these G_2 -structures belong to the class $W_2 \oplus W_3$ (i.e., $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\tau_1 = 0$), which from the spinorial viewpoint it is equivalent to have a harmonic associated unit spinor (see [ACFH]). Finally, the Laplacian coflow and its solitons are studied on the 6-parameter subfamily of coclosed G_2 -structures. We prove long-time existence among two different subfamilies and obtain a 4-parameter family of expanding Laplacian coflow solitons.

Acknowledgements. We thank Alberto Raffero for very helpful comments.

2. Explicit example of a lattice

We exhibit in this section an explicit example of a lattice in the simply connected solvable Lie group G_J with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_J = \mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$, where \mathfrak{a} is the subspace of all diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $G_J = \exp(\mathfrak{a}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$.

We consider the matrices

(3)
$$A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -4 & -1 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 8 & 9 & 14 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -3 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

which have determinant one and so they all belong to $SL_4(\mathbb{Z})$. They also have the same spectrum, consisting of four different positive real numbers $\{u_1^2, \ldots, u_4^2\}$, where

(4)
$$u_1 := 2\cos\left(2\pi\frac{1}{15}\right), \quad u_2 := 2\cos\left(2\pi\frac{2}{15}\right), \quad u_3 := 2\cos\left(2\pi\frac{4}{15}\right), \quad u_4 := 2\cos\left(2\pi\frac{7}{15}\right).$$

Moreover, it is straightforward to check that they pairwise commute and simultaneously diagonalize as follows:

$$\begin{split} \phi A_1 \phi^{-1} &= \mathrm{Diag}(u_1^2, u_2^2, u_3^2, u_4^2), \quad \phi A_2 \phi^{-1} = \mathrm{Diag}(u_2^2, u_3^2, u_4^2, u_1^2), \\ \phi A_3 \phi^{-1} &= \mathrm{Diag}(u_3^2, u_4^2, u_1^2, u_2^2), \end{split}$$

where ϕ equals the Vandermonde matrix $V(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$, that is,

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 & u_1^2 & u_1^3 \\ 1 & u_2 & u_2^2 & u_2^3 \\ 1 & u_3 & u_3^3 & u_3^3 \\ 1 & u_4 & u_4^2 & u_4^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The linear maps $\phi A_j \phi^{-1}$, j = 1, 2, 3, generate a lattice Λ of $\exp(\mathfrak{a})$. Since they leave invariant the subset $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^4) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, the set $\Gamma := \Lambda \ltimes \phi(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ is a subgroup of G_J . Moreover, Γ is a lattice in G_J since $\Lambda \subset \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^4) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ are both discrete and cocompact.

It was proved in [L3, Example 4.7] that if we call $\{e_3, \ldots, e_6\}$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 and consider the basis of \mathfrak{a} given by

$$e_7 := \text{Diag}(1, 1, -1, -1), \quad e_1 := \text{Diag}(1, -1, 1, -1), \quad e_2 := \text{Diag}(1, -1, -1, 1),$$

then the left-invariant G_2 -structure on G_J determined by the positive 3-form

$$\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

is ERP. Thus φ also defines an ERP G_2 -structure on the compact manifold $M = G_J/\Gamma$ (see Example 4.12 for more information).

3. Algebraic number theory and lattices

In this section we want to explain the idea behind the lattice described in Section 2 and a way to get more lattices.

Let T be the identity component of an \mathbb{R} -split torus in $SL_4(\mathbb{R})$ and define $G_T := T \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$. In this notation, $G_J = G_{T^0}$, where $T^0 := \exp(\mathfrak{a})$. Then G_T is isomorphic to G_J for each such T. Indeed, choose $\phi \in GL_4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi T \phi^{-1} = T^0$. Then we can define an isomorphism by

(5)
$$G_T \longrightarrow G_J, \quad (A,b) \longmapsto (\phi A \phi^{-1}, \phi(b)).$$

In the following, we will construct several such tori and for each torus T a lattice in G_T . Of course, each such lattice is mapped to a lattice in G_J by the isomorphism defined in (12).

Let us take an arbitrary totally real quartic number field $K := \mathbb{Q}(u)$. Let

$$p(t) = t^4 + a_3 t^3 + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$$

be the minimal polynomial of u. We consider K as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} . Multiplication by u is an invertible linear map on K. Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q})$ be the matrix of this map with respect to the basis $1, u, u^2, u^3$ of the vector space K (over \mathbb{Q}). Then M is the companion matrix of p, that is,

(6)
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the eigenvalues of M are the roots of p. In particular, M is diagonalisable and all its eigenvalues are real. The ring \mathcal{O}_K of integers in K is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank four. Since K is totally real, the group of units in \mathcal{O}_K has rank three by Dirichlet's unit theorem. Hence one can choose three multiplicatively independent units. These units act as commuting automorphisms on \mathcal{O}_K . In particular, these actions are given by integer matrices $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to an integral basis. The eigenvalues of these matrices are real, since the units are linear combinations of $1, u, u^2, u^3$, thus the corresponding matrices are linear combinations of I_4, M, M^2, M^3 and these matrices are simultaneously diagonalisable with real eigenvalues. In particular, $\tilde{T} := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{I_4, M, M^2, M^3\} \cap \operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ is an \mathbb{R} -split torus. Let T be its identity component. The linear maps A_1, A_2, A_3 generate a lattice in \tilde{T} , and if Λ is its intersection with T, then $\Gamma := \Lambda \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a lattice in G_T .

Definition 3.1. Two lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2 in a Lie group G are called commensurable if there exist finite index subgroups $\Gamma'_1 \subset \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma'_2 \subset \Gamma_2$ and an automorphism Φ of G such that $\Phi(\Gamma'_1) = \Gamma'_2$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma_j = \Lambda_j \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4 \subset G_{T_j}$, j = 1, 2, be lattices as constructed above starting from totally real quartic fields $\mathbb{Q}(u_j)$ and let p_j be the minimal polynomial of u_j . If $\Gamma_1 \subset G_{T_1} \cong G_J$ and $\Gamma_2 \subset G_{T_2} \cong G_J$ are commensurable, then the splitting fields of p_1 and p_2 are isomorphic.

Proof. Suppose that the images of Γ_1 and Γ_2 in G_J are commensurable. By definition, there are finite index subgroups $\Gamma'_1 \subset \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma'_2 \subset \Gamma_2$ and an isomorphism $\Phi : G_{T_1} \to G_{T_2}$ such that $\Phi(\Gamma'_1) = \Gamma'_2$.

Since $\Phi: G_{T_1} \to G_{T_2}$ is an isomorphism, Φ maps \mathbb{R}^4 to \mathbb{R}^4 , thus it is of the form

$$\Phi: G_{T_1} = T_1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4 \quad \longrightarrow \quad G_{T_2} = T_2 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$$
$$(A, 0) \quad \longmapsto \quad (\phi_1(A), \phi_2(A))$$
$$(I_4, b) \quad \longmapsto \quad (I_A, \phi(b)).$$

Moreover, $\phi_1(A) = \phi A \phi^{-1}$. In particular, we have $T_2 = \phi T_1 \phi^{-1}$.

Since Φ maps Γ'_1 to Γ'_2 , the restriction $\Phi|_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ maps the finite index subgroup $\Gamma'_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^4$ of \mathbb{Z}^4 to $\Gamma'_2 \cap \mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$. Consequently, ϕ belongs to $\operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q})$. We consider the tori $(T_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} := T_j \cap \operatorname{SL}_4(\mathbb{Q}), \ j = 1, 2$. Since ϕ has rational entries, $(T_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \phi(T_1)_{\mathbb{Q}}\phi^{-1}$ holds. The splitting field of $(T_j)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is by definition the smallest field extension L_j of \mathbb{Q} such that $(T_j)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits over L_j . Since $(T_1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $(T_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are conjugate in $\operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q})$, their splitting fields must be isomorphic. Let $M_j \in \operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q})$ denote the linear maps corresponding to u_j , j = 1, 2. Since

$$(T_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} = T_j \cap \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{I_4, M_j, M_j^2, M_j^3\}$$

and the eigenvalues of M_j are the roots of the minimal polynomial $p_j \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of u_j , the splitting field L_j of $(T_j)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ equals the splitting field of p_j . Thus the splitting fields of p_1 and p_2 are isomorphic.

Example 3.3. We now explain how the example given in Section 2 arises from the construction explained above. We consider the irreducible polynomial $p(t) := t^4 - t^3 - 4t^2 + 4t + 1 \in$ $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, whose roots are the numbers u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 that were defined by (4). Then $u_2 = u_1^2 - 2$, $u_3 = u_2^2 - 2, u_4 = (u_1 u_2 u_3)^{-1}$. In particular, all roots belong to $K := \mathbb{Q}(u_1)$. Since u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 are units in \mathcal{O}_K , they act as commuting automorphisms on \mathcal{O}_K . The units u_1, u_2, u_3 are multiplicatively independent, see below. Since we want to construct automorphisms with positive eigenvalues, we choose the units u_1^2, u_2^2, u_3^2 , which of course are also independent. The matrices A_1, A_2, A_3 in (7) are exactly the matrices corresponding to multiplication by these units with respect to the integral basis $1, u_1, u_1^2, u_1^3$. They can easily be computed using that the multiplication by u_1 is given by the companion matrix of p, see (6), and using the identities $u_2 = u_1^2 - 2$ and $u_3 = u_2^2 - 2$. Let us denote the matrices corresponding to u_1 , u_2 and u_3 by B_1, B_2 and B_3 , respectively. The eigenvalues of each B_j , j = 1, 2, 3, are u_1, \ldots, u_4 . Indeed, by construction $p(B_j) = 0$, thus the minimal polynomial of B_j divides p. Since p is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , this implies that p is the characteristic polynomial of B_j . This shows that the spectrum of each A_j , j = 1, 2, 3equals $\{u_1^2, \ldots, u_4^2\}$ as claimed above. The matrix B_1 is the companion matrix of p, thus it is diagonalised by the Vandermonde matrix $\phi = V(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$. Since A_1, A_2 and A_3 are polynomials in B_1 , they can all be simultaneously diagonalised by ϕ .

We remark that $\mathbb{Q}(u_1)$ is the splitting field of p. The Galois group of p is cyclic of order four and generated by a map that acts on the roots by $u_1 \mapsto u_2$, $u_2 \mapsto u_3$, $u_3 \mapsto u_4$, $u_4 \mapsto u_1$.

Proof of independency. We want to show that the units u_1, u_2 and u_3 are indeed multiplicatively independent. Assume that $u_1^k u_2^l u_3^m = 1$ for $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the action of the Galois group and the identity $u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 = 1$, we obtain the following system of equations

$$u_1^k u_2^l u_3^m = 1, \quad u_1^{-m} u_2^{k-m} u_3^{l-m} = 1, \quad u_1^{m-l} u_2^{-l} u_3^{k-l} = 1.$$

The first and the third equation give $(u_1u_3)^{k+m-l} = 1$, thus l = k + m. Inserting this into the first two equations, this yields

$$(u_1u_2)^k(u_2u_3)^m = 1, \quad (u_1u_2)^{-m}(u_2u_3)^k = 1.$$

Combining these equations, we obtain $(u_1u_2)^{k^2+m^2} = 1$, which gives k = m = 0, thus also l = 0.

In what follows, by also applying the above technique, we give a new example of a lattice of the solvable Lie group $G_J = \exp(\mathfrak{a}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$ considered in Section 2, which is not commensurable to Example 3.3.

Example 3.4. The matrices

(7)
$$A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -4 & -4 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 4 & 4 & 17 & 16 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 & 17 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_3 := \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -10 & -20 & -38 \\ -2 & -5 & -10 & -20 \\ 20 & 38 & 75 & 142 \\ 10 & 20 & 38 & 75 \end{bmatrix}$$

have determinant one. We put

(8)
$$u_1 := u := \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}}, \quad u_2 = u^{-1} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}, \quad u_3 = -u, \quad u_4 = -u^{-1}.$$

The Vandermonde matrix $\phi := V(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ simultaneously diagonalises A_1, A_2 and A_3 . More exactly,

$$\phi A_1 \phi^{-1} = M^2$$
, $\phi A_2 \phi^{-1} = (1+2M)^2$, $\phi A_2 \phi^{-1} = (1+M-2M^2-M^3)^2$

for $M := \text{Diag}(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$. The linear maps $\phi A_j \phi^{-1}$, j = 1, 2, 3, generate a lattice Λ of $\exp(\mathfrak{a})$, see below. Moreover, they leave invariant the subset $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^4) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Thus $\Gamma := \Lambda \ltimes \phi(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ is a lattice in G_J .

The numbers u_1, \ldots, u_4 defined in (8) are the roots of $p(t) = t^4 - 4t^2 + 1$. We choose the units $(u)^2, (1+2u)^2$ and $(1+u-2u^2-u^3)^2$. These are multiplicatively independent, see below. The matrices A_1, A_2, A_3 correspond to the multiplication by these units with respect to the integral basis $1, u, u^2, u^3$. Consequently, as in the previous example, they can all expressed as polynomials in the companion matrix of p. Thus they can be simultaneously diagonalised by the Vandermonde matrix ϕ .

Here, $\mathbb{Q}(u)$ is the splitting field of p. Its Galois group of p is isomorphic to the Klein four-group. It is generated by the map σ_1 that maps u to u^{-1} and the map σ_2 that maps u to -u.

Proof of independency. We prove that the units u, 1 + 2u and $1 + u - 2u^2 - u^3$ are multiplicatively independent, thus also their squares are independent. Assume that

(9)
$$u^{k}(1+2u)^{l}(1+u-2u^{2}-u^{3})^{m} = 1$$

for some $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying the elements σ_1 and σ_2 of the Galois group, we obtain

(10)
$$u^{-k}(1+2u^{-1})^l(1+u^{-1}-2u^{-2}-u^{-3})^m = 1$$

(11)
$$(-u)^k (1-2u)^l (1-u-2u^2+u^3)^m = 1.$$

Since $(1 + u^{-1} - 2u^{-2} - u^{-3})(1 - u - 2u^2 + u^3) = -1$, the multiplication of the identities (10) and (11) gives

$$(-1)^{k+m}(1+2u^{-1})^l(1-2u)^l = (-1)^{k+m}(-3+6u-2u^3)^l = 1,$$

hence l = 0. Now we multiply the identities (10) and (11), which gives

$$(1+u-2u^2-u^3)^m(1+u^{-1}-2u^{-2}-u^{-3})^m = (-5-10u+2u^3)^m = 1.$$

This implies m = 0, thus also k = 0.

Remark 3.5. The lattices that we constructed in Example 3.3 and in Example 3.4 are not commensurable. This follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that the Galois groups of the used polynomials are not isomorphic as we have seen above.

4. G_2 -geometry on the group G_J

We study in this section a large family of G_2 -structures on the Lie group $G_J = \exp(\mathfrak{a}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$ considered in the above sections. Recall that any left-invariant G_2 -structure on G_J also defines a G_2 -structure on the compact 7-manifold $M = G_J/\Gamma$ which is locally homogeneous, where Γ is any of the lattices of G_J exhibited in Sections 2 and 3.

A differential 3-form φ on a 7-dimensional differentiable manifold M is called a G_2 structure when it is positive (or definite), in the sense that φ naturally determines a Riemannian metric g on M and an orientation by

$$g(X,Y)$$
 vol $= \frac{1}{6} \iota_X(\varphi) \wedge \iota_Y(\varphi) \wedge \varphi, \qquad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$

The positivity of φ is equivalent to the fact that at each point $p \in M$, φ_p can be written as

(12)
$$\varphi_p = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

with respect to some basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_7\}$ of T_pM .

We start by recalling that the *torsion forms* of a G_2 -structure φ on a manifold M are the components of the *intrinsic torsion* $\nabla \varphi$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g attached to φ . If we set

$$\psi := *\varphi,$$

then they are defined as the unique differential forms $\tau_i \in \Omega^i M$, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, such that

(13)
$$d\varphi = \tau_0 \psi + 3\tau_1 \wedge \varphi + *\tau_3, \qquad d\psi = 4\tau_1 \wedge \psi + \tau_2 \wedge \varphi,$$

and they are given by (see e.g., [MOV, (4)]),

(14)

$$\tau_0 = \frac{1}{7} * (d\varphi \wedge \varphi), \qquad \tau_1 = -\frac{1}{12} * (*d\varphi \wedge \varphi),$$

$$\tau_2 = -*d\psi + 4 * (\tau_1 \wedge \psi), \qquad \tau_3 = *d\varphi - \tau_0\varphi - 3 * (\tau_1 \wedge \varphi).$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{A,B,C}$ be the solvable Lie algebra with basis $\{e_1,\ldots,e_7\}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} := \langle e_7, e_1, e_2 \rangle$ is abelian, $\mathfrak{n} := \langle e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle$ is an abelian ideal and in terms of the basis $\{e_3,\ldots,e_6\}$,

ad $e_7|_{\mathfrak{n}} = A$, ad $e_1|_{\mathfrak{n}} = B$, ad $e_2|_{\mathfrak{n}} = C$, $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$.

Note that the Jacobi condition holds if and only if A, B, C pairwise commute. Thus the corresponding simply connected solvable Lie group $G_{A,B,C}$ is isomorphic to our group G_J if and only if $\{A, B, C\}$ is linearly independent and simultaneously diagonalizes over \mathbb{R} , that is, $\langle A, B, C \rangle$ is a maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus of $\mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$. Such triples of matrices will be called *compatible*.

We consider the left-invariant G_2 -structure defined on each $G_{A,B,C}$ by the positive 3form φ given in (12) (the basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_7\}$ is orthonormal and oriented with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ determined by φ). Each triple of commuting matrices is therefore identified with the G_2 -structure $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$, which in the compatible case is (equivariantly) equivalent to the left-invariant G_2 -structure on G_J defined by $h^*\varphi$, where $h: G_J \to G_{A,B,C}$ is any Lie group isomorphism (see Section 4.1 below). In this way, a large family of G_2 structures on G_J is what one is really exploring by varying all compatible triples. This point of view is often called the moving-bracket approach (see e.g., [L2, L5]).

4.1. Equivalence. Two G_2 -structures (M, φ) and (M', φ') are said to be *equivalent* if there is a diffeomorphism $f: M \to M'$ such that $\varphi = f^*\varphi'$, and in the case when M, M'are Lie groups and the structures are left-invariant, they are called *equivariantly equivalent* if in addition f is a Lie group isomorphism. Let us recall two key results concerning equivalence of left-invariant metrics. **Theorem 4.1.** [A, Theorem 1] [GW, Theorem 5.2] If two simply connected completely solvable Lie groups endowed with left-invariant Riemannian metrics are isometric, then there exists an isomorphism between the Lie groups which is an isometry (i.e., they are equivariantly isometric).

Theorem 4.2. [GW, Theorem 4.3] Any isometry fixing the identity element of a simply connected completely solvable and unimodular Lie group endowed with a left-invariant Riemannian metric is an automorphism of the Lie group.

Since any equivalence between G_2 -structures is also an isometry between the corresponding attached metrics, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that two equivalent simply connected completely solvable Lie groups endowed with left-invariant G_2 -structures (G, φ) and (G', φ') must be isomorphic. Moreover, if the groups G and G' are in addition unimodular, then two equivalent (G, φ) and (G', φ') are automatically equivariantly equivalent by Theorem 4.2. Indeed, the equivalence $f : (G, \varphi) \to (G', \varphi')$ can be assumed to satisfy f(e) = e' by left-invariance and if $h : G \to G'$ is the Lie group isomorphism provided by Theorem 4.1, then the isometry fh^{-1} of $(G', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ is necessarily an automorphism of G'by Theorem 4.2, from which it follows that $f : G \to G'$ is an isomorphism.

In other words, the two notions of equivalence and equivariant equivalence coincide among the class of left-invariant G_2 -structures on unimodular completely solvable Lie groups.

Consider the 14-dimensional compact simple Lie group

$$G_2 := \{h \in \mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{R}) : h^* \varphi = \varphi\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(7).$$

Any Lie group isomorphism $f: G \to G'$ such that, after identifying the underlying vector spaces of the respective Lie algebras, $h := df|_e \in G_2$, therefore determines an equivalence between the corresponding left-invariant G_2 -structures (G, φ) and G', φ).

Since G_J is completely solvable and unimodular, it follows from the results in [A, GW] described above that two compatible $(G_{A,B,C},\varphi)$ and $(G_{A',B',C'},\varphi)$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism $h : \mathfrak{g}_{A,B,C} \to \mathfrak{g}_{A',B',C'}$ such that $h \in G_2$. Being \mathfrak{n} the nilradical of both Lie algebras, we have that $h(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{n}$ and so $h(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ as well. It is well known that $h_2 := h|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ has determinant one and that actually for any $h_2 \in SO(4)$ there exists $h_1 \in SO(3)$ such that

$$h := \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0\\ 0 & h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in G_2.$$

(See e.g., [VM]). Thus two compatible $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ and $(G_{A',B',C'}, \varphi)$ are equivalent if and only if there is an $h_2 \in SO(4)$ such that

(15)
$$A' = x_{11}h_2Ah_2^{-1} + x_{21}h_2Bh_2^{-1} + x_{31}h_2Ch_2^{-1}, B' = x_{12}h_2Ah_2^{-1} + x_{22}h_2Bh_2^{-1} + x_{32}h_2Ch_2^{-1}, C' = x_{13}h_2Ah_2^{-1} + x_{23}h_2Bh_2^{-1} + x_{33}h_2Ch_2^{-1}$$

In this section, we will often use the homothety invariant for non-flat homogeneous metrics defined by

(16)
$$F(g) = \frac{\operatorname{scal}_g^2}{\operatorname{tr}\operatorname{Ric}_g^2}$$

to show that a given explicit one-parameter family of G_2 -structures is pairwise nonhomothetic. Note that $F(g) \leq 7$ and equality holds if and only if g is Einstein, hence F measures in some sense how far is the metric g from being Einstein (see [L4] for a study of the behavior of F on homogeneous closed G_2 -structures). 4.2. Formulas for the torsion and the Laplacians. In [N1, N2], a much larger class of Lie groups endowed with G_2 -structures is studied, including computations of the torsion forms and the value of the Laplacian at φ and ψ . In order to use such formulas, we introduce the following notation.

We write the positive 3-form given in (12) as

(17)
$$\varphi = \omega_7 \wedge e^7 + \omega_1 \wedge e^1 + \omega_2 \wedge e^2 + e^{127},$$

where

$$\omega_7 := e^{34} + e^{56}, \qquad \omega_1 := e^{35} - e^{46}, \qquad \omega_2 := -e^{36} - e^{45},$$

and so

(18)
$$\psi := *\varphi = \omega_7 \wedge e^{12} + \omega_1 \wedge e^{27} - \omega_2 \wedge e^{17} + e^{3456}$$

Let θ denote the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{n})$ on $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{n}^*$.

Proposition 4.3. [N1, N2] For each G_2 -structure $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$, the following formulas hold:

(19)
$$d\varphi = (\theta(B)\omega_7 - \theta(A)\omega_1) \wedge e^{17} + (\theta(C)\omega_7 - \theta(A)\omega_2) \wedge e^{27} + (\theta(B)\omega_2 - \theta(C)\omega_1) \wedge e^{12},$$

(20)
$$*d\varphi = (\theta(B^t)\omega_7 - \theta(A^t)\omega_1) \wedge e^2 - (\theta(C^t)\omega_7 - \theta(A^t)\omega_2) \wedge e^1 - (\theta(B^t)\omega_2 - \theta(C^t)\omega_1) \wedge e^7,$$

(21)
$$*d * d\varphi = \left(\theta(B^{t})(\theta(B)\omega_{7} - \theta(A)\omega_{1}) + \theta(C^{t})(\theta(C)\omega_{7} - \theta(A)\omega_{2}) \right) \wedge e^{7} \\ \left(\theta(B^{t})(\theta(B)\omega_{2} - \theta(C)\omega_{1}) - \theta(A^{t})(\theta(C)\omega_{7} - \theta(A)\omega_{2}) \right) \wedge e^{2} \\ \left(-\theta(C^{t})(\theta(B)\omega_{2} - \theta(C)\omega_{1}) - \theta(A^{t})(\theta(B)\omega_{7} - \theta(A)\omega_{1}) \right) \wedge e^{1},$$

(22)
$$d\psi = (\theta(A)\omega_7 + \theta(B)\omega_1 + \theta(C)\omega_2) \wedge e^{127}$$

(23)
$$*d\psi = -\left(\theta(A^t)\omega_7 + \theta(B^t)\omega_1 + \theta(C^t)\omega_2\right),$$

(24)
$$d * d\psi = -\left(\theta(A)\theta(A^{t})\omega_{7} + \theta(A)\theta(B^{t})\omega_{1} + \theta(A)\theta(C^{t})\omega_{2}\right) \wedge e^{7} \\ - \left(\theta(B)\theta(A^{t})\omega_{7} + \theta(B)\theta(B^{t})\omega_{1} + \theta(B)\theta(C^{t})\omega_{2}\right) \wedge e^{1} \\ - \left(\theta(C)\theta(A^{t})\omega_{7} + \theta(C)\theta(B^{t})\omega_{1} + \theta(C)\theta(C^{t})\omega_{2}\right) \wedge e^{2}.$$

Remark 4.4. These formulas are valid for any triple of commuting traceless matrices A, B, C beyond compatibility, which give rise to G_2 -structures on many other Lie groups $G_{A,B,C}$ not isomorphic to G_J .

It follows from [L1, (25)] that the Ricci operator Ric of $(G_{A,B,C}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is given by $\langle \operatorname{Ric} \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n} \rangle = 0$, $\operatorname{Ric} |_{\mathfrak{n}} = \frac{1}{2}[A, A^t] + \frac{1}{2}[B, B^t] + \frac{1}{2}[C, C^t]$ and

(25)
$$\operatorname{Ric}|_{\mathfrak{a}} = - \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{tr} S(A)^2 & \operatorname{tr} S(A)S(B) & \operatorname{tr} S(A)S(C) \\ \operatorname{tr} S(A)S(B) & \operatorname{tr} S(B)^2 & \operatorname{tr} S(B)S(C) \\ \operatorname{tr} S(A)S(C) & \operatorname{tr} S(B)S(C) & \operatorname{tr} S(C)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, Ric ≤ 0 as soon as the three matrices are normal, and by [L1, Theorem 4.8], in the compatible case, $(G_{A,B,C}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a solveoliton (or expanding Ricci soliton) if and only if A, B, C are all normal matrices and Ric $|_{\mathfrak{a}} = cI$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

4.3. **Diagonal case.** The subgroup $SO(4) \subset G_2$ mentioned in Section 4.1 can be used to show the following.

Lemma 4.5. If A, B, C are all symmetric, then $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ is equivalent to $(G_{A_1,B_1,C_1}, \varphi)$ for some diagonal matrices $A_1, B_1, C_1 \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$. Proof. There exists $h \in G_2$ such that if we set $h_2 := h|_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$, then $A_1 = h_2 A h_2^{-1}$, $B_1 = h_2 B h_2^{-1}$ and $C_1 = h_2 C h_2^{-1}$ are all diagonal matrices. Thus the isomorphism $G_{A,B,C} \to G_{A_2,B_2,C_2}$ defined by h, where A_2, B_2, C_2 are the linear combinations of A_1, B_1, C_1 determined by $(h|_{\mathfrak{a}})^{-1}$ as in (15), determines an equivariant equivalence between the corresponding left-invariant G_2 -structures, concluding the proof.

Remark 4.6. According to (15), each equivalence class in

 $\{(G_{A,B,C},\varphi): A, B, C \text{ are linearly independent diagonal matrices}\}$

has only finitely many elements. Indeed, h_2 must belong to

$$N_{\mathrm{SO}(4)}(\mathfrak{a}) := \{ h \in \mathrm{SO}(4) : h\mathfrak{a}h^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{a} \} \subset S_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^4,$$

where $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$ is the subspace of all diagonal matrices.

We next focus on the case when the matrices A, B, C are all diagonal. We consider the orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{n}^*$ defined by

(26)
$$\mathcal{B} := \{\overline{\omega}_7, \overline{\omega}_1, \overline{\omega}_2, \omega_7, \omega_1, \omega_2\},\$$

where ω_i is as in (17) and

$$\overline{\omega}_7 := e^{34} - e^{56}, \qquad \overline{\omega}_1 := e^{35} + e^{46}, \qquad \overline{\omega}_2 := -e^{36} + e^{45}$$

Note that the norm of every element in \mathcal{B} is $\sqrt{2}$, $*_4\omega_i = \omega_i$, $*_4\overline{\omega}_i = -\overline{\omega}_i$ for all i and

$$\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \omega_i \wedge \overline{\omega}_j = \overline{\omega}_i \wedge \overline{\omega}_j = 0, \qquad \forall i \neq j.$$

The following formulas follow in a straightforward way from Proposition 4.3 and the fact that with respect to the ordered bases $\{e_3, \ldots, e_6\}$ and \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{n} and $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{n}^*$, respectively,

(27)
$$\theta\left(\operatorname{Diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\right) = -\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 & x_1 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 & x_1 + x_4 \\ x_1 + x_3 & 0 \\ x_1 + x_4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

provided that $x_1 + \cdots + x_4 = 0$.

Proposition 4.7. For each G_2 -structure $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ with $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$ diagonal matrices, say

 $A := \text{Diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \quad B := \text{Diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \quad C := \text{Diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4),$

for some $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, one has that

(28)
$$d\varphi = (-(b_1 + b_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (a_1 + a_3)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^{17} + (-(c_1 + c_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (a_1 + a_4)\overline{\omega}_2) \wedge e^{27} + (-(b_1 + b_4)\overline{\omega}_2 + (c_1 + c_3)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^{12},$$

(29)
$$*d\varphi = (-(b_1 + b_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (a_1 + a_3)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^2 - (-(c_1 + c_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (a_1 + a_4)\overline{\omega}_2) \wedge e^1 - (-(b_1 + b_4)\overline{\omega}_2 + (c_1 + c_3)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^7,$$

 $(30) *d * d\varphi = \left(((b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2)\omega_7 - (b_1 + b_3)(a_1 + a_3)\omega_1 - (c_1 + c_4)(a_1 + a_4)\omega_2 \right) \wedge e^7 + \left(((b_1 + b_4)^2 + (a_1 + a_4)^2)\omega_2 - (b_1 + b_3)(c_1 + c_3)\omega_1 - (a_1 + a_2)(c_1 + c_2)\omega_7 \right) \wedge e^2 + \left(- (c_1 + c_4)(b_1 + b_4)\omega_2 + ((c_1 + c_3)^2 + (a_1 + a_3)^2)\omega_1 - (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)\omega_7 \right) \wedge e^1,$ $(31) \qquad d\psi = - \left((a_1 + a_2)\overline{\omega_7} + (b_1 + b_3)\overline{\omega_1} + (c_1 + c_4)\overline{\omega_2} \right) \wedge e^{127},$

(32)
$$*d\psi = (a_1 + a_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (b_1 + b_3)\overline{\omega}_1 + (c_1 + c_4)\overline{\omega}_2,$$

(33)
$$d * d\psi = -\left((a_1 + a_2)^2 \omega_7 + (a_1 + a_3)(b_1 + b_3)\omega_1 + (a_1 + a_4)(c_1 + c_4)\omega_2\right) \wedge e^7 - \left((a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)\omega_7 + (b_1 + b_3)^2\omega_1 + (b_1 + b_4)(c_1 + c_4)\omega_2\right) \wedge e^1 - \left((a_1 + a_2)(c_1 + c_2)\omega_7 + (c_1 + c_3)(b_1 + b_3)\omega_1 + (c_1 + c_4)^2\omega_2\right) \wedge e^2.$$

We deduce from (14), (17), (28) and (29) the following (recall that $\overline{\omega}_i \wedge \omega_j = 0$ for all i, j).

Corollary 4.8. Any G_2 -structure $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ with $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$ diagonal matrices satisfies that,

$$\tau_0 = 0, \qquad \tau_1 = 0, \qquad \tau_2 = -*d\psi, \qquad \tau_3 = *d\varphi.$$

Remark 4.9. All these G_2 -structures therefore belong to the class $\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$, which has been characterized in [ACFH] as those G_2 -structures whose corresponding unit spinor is harmonic.

Remark 4.10. It follows from Remark 4.6 that each of these G_2 -structures $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ with A, B, C diagonal and linearly independent belongs to a 9-parameter open subfamily which is pairwise non-equivalent.

We can also use Proposition 4.7 to compute the Laplacians

$$\Delta \varphi = *d * d\varphi - d * d\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \psi = -*d * d\psi + d * d * \psi = *\Delta \varphi.$$

Corollary 4.11. For any G_2 -structure $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$, where $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{R})$ are diagonal matrices, one has that

$$\Delta \varphi = \left((a_1 + a_2)^2 + (b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2 \right) \omega_7 \wedge e^7 + \left((a_1 + a_3)^2 + (b_1 + b_3)^2 + (c_1 + c_3)^2 \right) \omega_1 \wedge e^1 + \left((a_1 + a_4)^2 + (b_1 + b_4)^2 + (c_1 + c_4)^2 \right) \omega_2 \wedge e^2. \Delta \psi = \left((a_1 + a_2)^2 + (b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2 \right) \omega_7 \wedge e^{12} + \left((a_1 + a_3)^2 + (b_1 + b_3)^2 + (c_1 + c_3)^2 \right) \omega_1 \wedge e^{27} - \left((a_1 + a_4)^2 + (b_1 + b_4)^2 + (c_1 + c_4)^2 \right) \omega_2 \wedge e^{17}.$$

4.4. Closed G_2 -structures. The following 3-parameter family of closed G_2 -structures (i.e., $d\varphi = 0$) was found and studied in [L3].

Example 4.12. Consider $(G_{A_0,B_0,C_0},\varphi)$ for

 $A_0 := \text{Diag}(a, a, -a, -a), \quad B_0 := \text{Diag}(b, -b, b, -b), \quad C_0 := \text{Diag}(c, -c, -c, c),$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, which we will denote by $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$ from now on. It follows from (28) that $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$ is always closed and it was proved in [L3] that the following conditions are equivalent provided that $a \ge b \ge c > 0$ (in particular $G_{a,b,c}$ is isomorphic to G_J) and $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3$:

- $(G_{a,b,c},\varphi)$ is extremally Ricci pinched.
- $(G_{a,b,c},\varphi)$ is a (steady) Laplacian soliton, i.e., $d\tau_2 = \mathcal{L}_X \varphi$ for some vector field X.
- $(G_{a,b,c}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an (expanding) Ricci soliton.
- $(G_{a,b,c},\varphi)$ is quadratic (i.e., $d\tau = \frac{1}{7}(1+q)|\tau|^2\varphi + q*(\tau \wedge \tau)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{R}$).
- $F(a, b, c) := F((G_{a,b,c}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)) = 3$ (see (16)). It follows from (25) that $F(a, b, c) \leq 3$ for all a, b, c.
- a = b = c = 1.

Furthermore, all the Laplacian flow solutions $\varphi(t)$ (i.e., $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(t) = \Delta\varphi(t)$) starting at the closed G_2 -structure on G_J determined by any element of this family are immortal and satisfy that $(24)^{-3/2} |\tau_2(t)|_t^3 \varphi(t)$ smoothly converges up to pull-back by diffeomorphisms to the Laplacian soliton on G_J defined by $(G_{1,1,1}, \varphi)$ as $t \to \infty$.

The diagonal closed case is exhausted by the above example.

Proposition 4.13. If A, B, C are all diagonal, then $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ has $d\varphi = 0$ if and only if it is equal to $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Example 4.12). In that case, $\Delta \varphi = d\tau_2$ and

$$\tau_2 = -2a\overline{\omega}_7 - 2b\overline{\omega}_1 - 2c\overline{\omega}_2,$$

$$\Delta\varphi = d\tau_2 = 4a^2\omega_7 \wedge e^7 + 4b^2\omega_1 \wedge e^1 + 4c^2\omega_2 \wedge e^2.$$

Proof. The first statement easily follows from (28) and (27) and the formulas for τ_2 and $d\tau_2$ from (32) and (33), respectively.

In the general case, it follows from (19) that $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ is closed if and only if

$$\theta(B)\omega_7 = \theta(A)\omega_1, \qquad \theta(C)\omega_7 = \theta(A)\omega_2, \qquad \theta(B)\omega_2 = \theta(C)\omega_1.$$

It is easy to see that this holds if and only if the matrices of $\theta(A), \theta(B), \theta(C)$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} given in (26) have respectively the form

$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ A_1 & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\ a_{15} & a_{25} & a_{35} & 0 \\ a_{16} & a_{26} & a_{36} \end{smallmatrix}\right],$	$\begin{bmatrix} & a_{15} & b_{15} & b_{16} \\ B_1 & a_{25} & b_{25} & b_{26} \\ a_{35} & a_{35} & b_{35} & b_{36} \\ b_{15} & b_{25} & b_{35} & 0 \\ b_{16} & b_{26} & b_{36} \end{bmatrix}$	$, \begin{bmatrix} a_{16} & b_{16} & c_{16} \\ C_1 & a_{26} & b_{26} & c_{26} \\ a_{36} & b_{36} & c_{36} \\ b_{16} & b_{26} & b_{36} & 0 \\ c_{16} & c_{26} & c_{36} \end{bmatrix}$,
--	---	---	---

for some skew-symmetric 3×3 matrices A_1, B_1, C_1 . We do not know if A_1, B_1, C_1 must all necessarily vanish in the compatible case. If this was true, then it would follow from Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.13 that any closed $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ with $G_{A,B,C}$ isomorphic to G_J is equivalent to some $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$. Otherwise, these triples may provide new G_2 -structures that are not equivalent to any $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$. 4.5. Coclosed G_2 -structures. We now use the formulas in Proposition 4.7 to study the *coclosed* case (i.e., $d\psi = 0$).

Proposition 4.14. Assume that A, B, C are all diagonal. Then $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ satisfies that $d\psi = 0$ if and only if

 $A = \text{Diag}(a_1, -a_1, a_2, -a_2), \quad B = \text{Diag}(b_1, b_2, -b_1, -b_2), \quad C = \text{Diag}(c_1, c_2, -c_2, -c_1),$ for some $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. In that case, $\Delta \varphi = d^* d\varphi, \ \Delta \psi = d\tau_3 = *\Delta \varphi$ and

(36)
$$\tau_3 = (-(b_1 + b_2)\overline{\omega}_7 + (a_1 + a_2)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^2 + ((c_1 + c_2)\overline{\omega}_7 - (a_1 - a_2)\overline{\omega}_2) \wedge e^1 + ((b_1 - b_2)\overline{\omega}_2 - (c_1 - c_2)\overline{\omega}_1) \wedge e^7,$$

(37)
$$\Delta \varphi = \left((b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2 \right) \omega_7 \wedge e^7 + \left((b_1 - b_2)^2 + (a_1 - a_2)^2 \right) \omega_2 \wedge e^2 + \left((c_1 - c_2)^2 + (a_1 + a_2)^2 \right) \omega_1 \wedge e^1,$$

(38)
$$\Delta \psi = \left((b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2 \right) \omega_7 \wedge e^{12} - \left((b_1 - b_2)^2 + (a_1 - a_2)^2 \right) \omega_2 \wedge e^{17} + \left((c_1 - c_2)^2 + (a_1 + a_2)^2 \right) \omega_1 \wedge e^{27}.$$

Remark 4.15. By Remark 4.10, for each of these coclosed G_2 -structures $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$ with A, B, C diagonal and linearly independent there is an open neighborhood (depending on 6 parameters) which is pairwise non-equivalent.

Proof. By using (31) and (27), it can be easily shown that the matrices must have that form. On the other hand, the formulas for τ_3 , $\Delta \varphi$ and $\Delta \psi = *\Delta \varphi$ follow from (29) and (30), respectively.

Proposition 4.14 is therefore providing a family of coclosed G_2 -structures depending on six parameters (a_1, \ldots, c_2) . Recall that one of these $(G_{A,B,C}, \varphi)$, which will be denoted by

$$(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\varphi)$$

from now on, is identified with a coclosed G_2 -structure on G_J if and only if $\{A, B, C\}$ is linearly independent (or compatible). Note that such condition defines an open and dense subset of \mathbb{R}^6 .

It follows from (25) that the Ricci operator Ric of such $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is given by Ric $|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$, Ric $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and

(39)
$$\operatorname{Ric}|_{\mathfrak{a}} = - \begin{bmatrix} 2(a_1^2 + a_2^2) & (a_1 - a_2)(b_1 - b_2) & (a_1 + a_2)(c_1 - c_2) \\ (a_1 - a_2)(b_1 - b_2) & 2(b_1^2 + b_2^2) & (b_1 + b_2)(c_1 + c_2) \\ (a_1 + a_2)(c_1 - c_2) & (b_1 + b_2)(c_1 + c_2) & 2(c_1^2 + c_2^2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, the following conditions are equivalent:

- $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is torsion-free (see (36)).
- $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is Ricci flat (or flat).
- $a_1 = \cdots = c_2 = 0.$

4.6. Laplacian coflow solitons. Natural ways to evolve coclosed G_2 -structures on a given manifold M are the Laplacian coflow, defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) = \Delta\psi(t),$$

and the modified Laplacian coflow given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) = \Delta\psi(t) + 2d\big((m - \operatorname{tr} T)\varphi(t)\big), \qquad m \in \mathbb{R},$$

where T is the (full) torsion tensor (i.e., $\iota_{T(X)}\psi = \nabla_X\varphi$). We refer to the survey [G] and the references therein for more information. A G₂-structure ψ flows self-similarly according to the Laplacian coflow (resp. modified Laplacian coflow) if and only if $\Delta \psi = \lambda \psi + \mathcal{L}_X \psi$ (resp. $\Delta \psi + 2d((m - \operatorname{tr} T)\varphi) = \lambda \psi + \mathcal{L}_X \psi$) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and in that case it is called a *(modified) Laplacian coflow soliton*. We refer to [BFF, BF, KMT, MS] for examples of (modified) Laplacian coflow solitons in diverse contexts.

On a simply connected Lie group G, left-invariant self-similar solutions to these flows are provided by *algebraic solitons* (see [L2, L5]), i.e.,

(40)
$$\Delta \psi = \lambda \psi + \theta(D)\psi, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad D \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad D^t = D.$$

One has here that $\theta(D)\psi = -\mathcal{L}_{X_D}\psi$, where X_D is the vector field on G defined by the one-parameter subgroup of automorphisms of G with derivatives $e^{tD} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that $\Delta\psi$ must be replaced by $\Delta\psi + 2d((m - \operatorname{tr} T)\varphi)$ in order to get algebraic solitons for the modified Laplacian coflow.

In what follows, we study the (modified) Laplacian coflow and its solitons on the 6parameter family of coclosed G_2 -structures $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\varphi)$ given in Proposition 4.14.

Proposition 4.16. If $\{A, B, C\}$ is linearly independent, then $(G_{(a_1,...,c_2)}, \varphi)$ is an algebraic soliton for the Laplacian coflow if and only if

$$(c_1 + c_2)^2 = (a_1 - a_2)^2 - 4b_1b_2,$$
 $(c_1 - c_2)^2 = (b_1 - b_2)^2 - 4a_1a_2;$

in that case, $\lambda = 2((a_1 - a_2)^2 + (b_1 - b_2)^2) > 0$. Furthermore, $(G_{(a_1,...,c_2)}, \varphi)$ is never an algebraic soliton for the modified Laplacian coflow.

Remark 4.17. For each 4-tuple (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) , there is at least one and at most four solutions (c_1, c_2) to the above soliton equations. This therefore provides a pairwise nonhomothetic (see Remark 4.15) 3-parameter family of expanding Laplacian coflow solitons on the Lie group G_J , whose corresponding Laplacian coflow solutions are therefore defined for $t \in (T, \infty)$ for some T < 0. If $\{A, B, C\}$ is linearly dependent and satisfies the condition in the proposition, then $(G_{(a_1,...,c_2)}, \varphi)$ is still an algebraic soliton but on a different Lie group.

Remark 4.18. The corresponding coclosed G_2 -structures on a compact quotient $M = G_J/\Gamma$ by a lattice Γ are not Laplacian coflow solitons on M, since the vector field X_D never descends to M. However, the Laplacian coflow solutions do descend to M to become immortal 'locally self-similar' solutions in a sense.

Proof. We first note that the space of symmetric derivations of the Lie algebra of $G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)}$ is given by

$$D = \text{Diag}(0, 0, 0, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6), \qquad d_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from (38) that the algebraic soliton equation $\Delta \psi = \lambda \psi + \theta(D) \psi$ is equivalent to

(41)
$$\begin{cases} r\omega_7 = \lambda\omega_7 + (d_3 + d_4)e^{34} + (d_5 + d_6)e^{56}, \\ s\omega_2 = \lambda\omega_2 + (d_3 + d_6)e^{36} + (d_4 + d_5)e^{45}, \\ t\omega_1 = \lambda\omega_1 + (d_3 + d_5)e^{35} - (d_4 + d_6)e^{46}, \\ \lambda + d_3 + \dots + d_6 = 0, \end{cases}$$

for certain positive numbers r, s, t. The solutions to this system are precisely

$$d_3 = \cdots = d_6 = d, \qquad r = s = t = \lambda + 2d, \qquad \lambda = -4d,$$

which implies that $\lambda = 2r > 0$. The equations in the proposition correspond to r = s = t.

On the other hand, the algebraic soliton equation $\Delta \psi + 2d((m-\operatorname{tr} T)\varphi) = \lambda \psi + \theta(D)\psi$ for the modified Laplacian coflow adds an extra term to each of the three first equations in (41) given by certain linear combinations of $\overline{\omega}_i$'s (see (28)). Thus such three linear combinations must vanish if $m - \operatorname{tr} T = m - \frac{7}{4}\tau_0 = m$ is nonzero, yielding to a contradiction.

According to (39) and [L1, Theorem 4.8], $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a solveoliton (i.e., Ric = $\lambda I + D$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if either

(42)
$$a_2 = a_1, b_2 = -b_1, c_2 = c_1, a_1^2 = b_1^2 = c_1^2,$$

or,

(43)
$$a_2 = -a_1, \ b_2 = b_1, \ c_2 = -c_1, \ a_1^2 = b_1^2 = c_1^2.$$

Note that in both cases, $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\varphi)$ is also a Laplacian coflow soliton with $\lambda = 8a_1^2$ by Proposition 4.16.

The following example is in some sense the coclosed counterpart of the family of closed G_2 -structures $(G_{a,b,c}, \varphi)$ studied in [L3] (see Example 4.12).

Example 4.19. Consider the coclosed G_2 -structures $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\varphi)$ such that

$$a_2 = a_1, \quad b_2 = -b_1, \quad c_2 = c_1,$$

which we denote by $(G_{(a_1,b_1,c_1)},\varphi)$. According to Proposition 4.14,

$$\tau_3 = 2a_1\overline{\omega}_1 \wedge e^2 + 2c_1\overline{\omega}_7 \wedge e^1 + 2b_1\overline{\omega}_2 \wedge e^7,$$

$$\Delta\varphi = 4c_1^2\omega_7 \wedge e^7 + 4b_1^2\omega_2 \wedge e^2 + 4a_1^2\omega_1 \wedge e^1,$$

$$\Delta\psi = 4c_1^2\omega_7 \wedge e^{12} - 4b_1^2\omega_2 \wedge e^{17} + 4a_1^2\omega_1 \wedge e^{27}.$$

On the other hand, the Ricci operator is given by

(44)
$$\operatorname{Ric} = \operatorname{Diag}(-4a_1^2, -4b_1^2, -4c_1^2, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_7, e_1, \ldots, e_6\}$ (see (39)), showing that the family

$$\left\{ (G_{(a_1,b_1,1)},\varphi) : a_1 \ge b_1 \ge 1 \right\}$$

is pairwise non-homothetic as the corresponding metrics are pairwise non-homothetic. The following conditions are therefore equivalent:

- $(G_{(a_1,b_1,c_1)},\varphi)$ is a Laplacian coflow soliton.
- $(G_{(a_1,b_1,c_1)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a solvsoliton. $F(a_1,b_1,c_1) = 3$ (see (16)). $a_1^2 = b_1^2 = c_1^2$ (cf. (42)).

It follows from (44) that $F(a_1, b_1, c_1) \leq 3$, that is, the Laplacian coflow solitons are the only global maxima of F.

Example 4.20. Curve of solitons containing (42). According to Proposition 4.16, the coclosed G_2 -structure

$$(a_1, a_2, 1, -1, c_1, c_2), \qquad a_1^2 + a_2^2 = 2,$$

is a Laplacian coflow soliton provided that

$$(c_1 + c_2)^2 = 2(3 - a),$$
 $(c_1 - c_2)^2 = 4(1 - a),$ $a := a_1 a_2.$

It follows from (39) that,

$$F(a) = \frac{9(3-a)^2}{5a^2 - 34a + 41}$$
, and so $F'(a) = \frac{36(3-a)(a+5)}{(5a^2 - 34a + 41)^2}$.

Thus F is strictly increasing for $-1 \leq a \leq 1$, which corresponds to $a_1 \in [1,\sqrt{2}]$ and $a_2 = \pm \sqrt{2 - a_1^2} \in [-1, 1]$. We therefore obtain that this gives an explicit pairwise nonhomothetic family of Laplacian coflow solitons on G_J , which coincides with (42) at a = 1(i.e., $a_1 = a_2 = 1$). Note that $1.8 = F(-1) \le F(a) \le F(1) = 3$ for any $a \in [-1, 1]$.

4.7. Laplacian coflow evolution. We study in this section the behavior of the Laplacian coflow among the 6-parameter family of cocolosed G_2 -structures $(G_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)},\varphi)$ given in Proposition 4.14 by using the bracket flow approach (see [L2, Section 3.3] or [L5] for more information).

Given the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}_{(a_1,\ldots,c_2)}$, $\mu = \mu(a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2,c_1,c_2)$, we set

$$r := (b_1 + b_2)^2 + (c_1 + c_2)^2, \quad s := (b_1 - b_2)^2 + (a_1 - a_2)^2, \quad t := (c_1 - c_2)^2 + (a_1 + a_2)^2.$$

It follows from (38) that $\Delta \psi = \theta(Q_\mu)\psi$, where

$$Q_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Diag}(-r + s + t, r + s - t, r - s + t, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

and so a straightforward computation gives that the bracket flow

$$\mu' = \theta(Q_{\mu})\mu := Q_{\mu}\mu(\cdot, \cdot) - \mu(Q_{\mu}\cdot, \cdot) - \mu(\cdot, Q_{\mu}\cdot),$$

is equivalent to the following ODE system:

$$\begin{cases} a'_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(-r+s+t)a_1, & a'_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(-r+s+t)a_2, \\ b'_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(r+s-t)b_1, & b'_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(r+s-t)b_2, \\ c'_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(r-s+t)c_1, & c'_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(r-s+t)c_2, \end{cases}$$

which is in turn given by

(45)
$$\begin{cases} a'_i = \left(-(a_1^2 + a_2^2) + 2b_1b_2 + 2c_1c_2\right)a_i, & i = 1, 2, \\ b'_i = \left(-(b_1^2 + b_2^2) + 2a_1a_2 - 2c_1c_2\right)b_i, & i = 1, 2, \\ c'_i = \left(-(c_1^2 + c_2^2) - 2a_1a_2 - 2b_1b_2\right)c_i, & i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

We first observe that it is not possible to prove *long-time existence* (i.e., the solution is defined for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, also called *immortal* solutions) for all the solutions of (45) by showing that the square norm function $N := a_1^2 + \cdots + c_2^2$ is always non-increasing, since this is not the case. Indeed, an easy computation gives that N' > 0 at any point (a, a, 1, -1, c, -c) such that c > 1 and a is sufficiently small.

We next show long-time existence among two different subfamilies.

Example 4.21. Long-time existence I. It follows from (45) that the 3-parameter family of coclosed G_2 -structures considered in Example 4.19,

$$(a,a,b,-b,c,c)\,,$$

is invariant for the Laplacian coflow and the evolution is given by

(46)
$$\begin{cases} a' = (-2a^2 - 2b^2 + 2c^2) a, \\ b' = (-2b^2 + 2a^2 - 2c^2) b, \\ c' = (-2c^2 - 2a^2 + 2b^2) c. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the derivative of the square norm $N := a^2 + b^2 + c^2$ satisfies that

$$\frac{1}{2}N' = -2(a^4 + b^4) - 4c^4 + 2c^2(a^2 - b^2) + 4c^2(b^2 - a^2)$$
$$= -b^4 - c^4 + 2b^2c^2 + (-2a^2 - b^4 - 3c^4 - 2a^2c^2) \le 0.$$

Thus N is strictly decreasing unless a = b = c = 0, the only critical point of the ODE (46). In particular, any solution stays in a compact subset and so it is defined for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Example 4.22. Long-time existence II. The 4-parameter family of coclosed G_2 -structures

$$\left(a,a,b,b,c_{1},c_{2}\right),$$

is also invariant for the Laplacian coflow by (45), which is equivalent to

(47)
$$\begin{cases} a' = (-2a^2 + 2b^2 + 2c_1c_2) a, \\ b' = (-2b^2 + 2a^2 - 2c_1c_2) b, \\ c'_i = (-(c_1^2 + c_2^2) - 2a^2 - 2b^2) c_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

The function $N := a^2 + b^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2$ therefore has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}N' &\leq -2(a^4 + b^4) - (c_1^2 + c_2^2)^2 + 4a^2b^2 - 2a^2(c_1^2 + c_2^2 - c_1c_2) - 2b^2(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_1c_2) \\ &\leq -2(a^2 - b^2)^2 - (c_1^2 + c_2^2)^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so N is strictly decreasing unless $a^2 = b^2$ and $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, which are precisely the critical points of the flow. Thus all these solutions are immortal and it follows from [L2, Theorem 3.8] or [L5, Corollary 6.5] that (a, a, a, a, 0, 0) is a steady Laplacian coflow soliton (on a Lie group different from G_J).

The bracket flow can also be used to study the convergence behavior of solutions (see [L2, Corollary 3.6]).

Example 4.23. Convergence to solitons I. We analyze here the behavior at infinity of the 3-parameter family in Example 4.21. It was shown in Example 4.19 that (1,1,1) is the only Laplacian coflow soliton among a, b, c > 0, $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3$. By applying the method of projecting solutions on the Poincaré sphere (see, e.g., [P, Section 3.10, Theorems 4 and 5]), it is not hard to see that any positive solution to (46) satisfies that

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2}}(a, b, c) \to (1, 1, 1).$$

It follows from [L2, Corollary 3.6] that any Laplacian coflow flow solution $\varphi(t)$ starting at one of these coclosed G_2 -structures on G_J satisfies that $u_0|\tau_3(t)|_t^3\varphi(t)$ (for some constant $u_0 > 0$) smoothly converges up to pull-back by diffeomorphisms, as $t \to \infty$, to the Laplacian coflow soliton on G_J defined by (1, 1, 1).

Example 4.24. Convergence to solitons II. We finally consider the 3-parameter family

$$(a,a,b,b,c,c)\,,$$

which is a subfamily of Example 4.22, it is invariant for the Laplacian coflow and the evolution is given by

(48)
$$\begin{cases} a' = 2(-a^2 + b^2 + c^2) a, \\ b' = 2(-b^2 + a^2 - c^2) b, \\ c' = 2(-c^2 - a^2 - b^2) c. \end{cases}$$

Concerning convergence, the fact that there are no compatible (i.e., $a, b, c \neq 0$) Laplacian coflow solitons in this family by Proposition 4.16 is particularly interesting. Where are the solutions heading? What one obtains from the Poincaré sphere method is that the possible limits of normalized solutions $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2+c^2}}(a,b,c)$ in the first octant are given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1,0)$, (1,0,0) and (0,1,0). Note that these correspond to steady solitons on Lie groups which are not isomorphic to G_J . By using that ab is constant in time, we obtain that all these normalized solutions are converging to the steady soliton $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1,0)$. It follows from [L2, Corollary 3.6] that the solution $\varphi(t)$ on G_J smoothly converges up to pull-back by diffeomorphisms and scaling, as $t \to \infty$, to the steady Laplacian coflow soliton $(G_{(1,1,0)}, \varphi)$.

References

- [ACFH] I. AGRICOLA, S. CHIOSSI, T. FRIEDRICH, J. HÖLL, Spinorial description of SU(3)- and G₂manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. 98 (2015), 535-555.
- [A] D. ALEKSEEVSKII, Conjugacy of polar factorizations of Lie groups, Mat. Sb. 84 (1971), 14-26; English translation: Math. USSR-Sb. 13 (1971), 12-24.
- [BFF] L. BAGAGLINI, M. FERNÁNDEZ, A. FINO, Laplacian co-flow on the quaternionic Heisenberg group, Asian J. Math., in press.

INES KATH AND JORGE LAURET

- [BF] L. BAGAGLINI, A. FINO, The Laplacian coflow on almost-abelian Lie groups, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 197 (2018), 1855-1873.
- [Ba] G. BALL, Seven-Dimensional Geometries With Special Torsion, Ph.D. dissertation, Duke Univ..
- [B] R. BRYANT, Some remarks on G₂-structures, Proc. Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference (2005), 75-109.
- [CI1] R. CLEYTON, S. IVANOV, On the geometry of closed G₂-structures, Comm. Math. Phys. 270 (2007), 53-67.
- [CI2] R. CLEYTON, S. IVANOV, Curvature decomposition of G2-manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. 58 (2008), 1429-1449.
- [FFR] M. FERNÁNDEZ, A. FINO, A. RAFFERO, Locally conformal calibrated G₂-manifolds, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 195 (2016), 1721-1736.
- [FR] A. FINO, A. RAFFERO, A class of eternal solutions to the G₂-Laplacian flow, preprint 2018 (arXiv).
- [GW] C. S. GORDON, E. N. WILSON, Isometry groups of Riemannian solvmanifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 307 (1988), 245-269.
- [G] S. GRIGORIAN, Flows of co-closed G₂-structures, *Fields Institute Communications*, Springer, in press (arXiv).
- [KMT] S. KARIGIANNIS, B. MCKAY, M.-P. TSUI, Soliton solutions for the Laplacian co-flow of some G₂-structures with symmetry, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **30** (2012), 318-333.
- [L1] J. LAURET, Ricci soliton solvmanifolds, J. reine angew. Math. 650 (2011), 1-21.
- [L2] J. LAURET, Laplacian flow of homogeneous G_2 -structures and its solitons, *Proc. London Math.* Soc. 114 (2017), 527-560.
- [L3] J. LAURET, Laplacian solitons: Questions and homogeneous examples, Diff. Geom. Appl. 54 (2017), 345-360.
- [L4] J. LAURET, Distinguished G₂-structures on solvmanifolds, *Fields Institute Communications*, Springer, in press (arXiv).
- [L5] J. LAURET, The search for solitons on homogeneous spaces, preprint 2019 (arXiv).
- [LN1] J. LAURET, M. NICOLINI, Extremally Ricci pinched G₂-structures on Lie groups, Comm. Anal. Geom., in press (arXiv).
- [LN2] J. LAURET, M. NICOLINI, The classification of ERP G_2 -structures on Lie groups, Ann. Mat. Pura App., in press (arXiv).
- [Lo] J. LOTAY, Geometric flows of G_2 structures, *Fields Institute Communications*, Springer, in press (arXiv).
- [LoW] J. LOTAY, Y. WEI, Laplacian flow for closed G₂ structures: Shi-type estimates, uniqueness and compactness, Geom. Funct. Anal. 27 (2017), 165-233.
- [MOV] V. MANERO, A. OTAL, R. VILLACAMPA, Laplacian coflow for warped G₂-structures, *Diff. Geom. Appl.*, in press.
- [MS] A. MORENO, H. SÁ EARP, Explicit Soliton for the Laplacian Co-Flow on a Solvmanifold, Sao Paulo J. Math., in press.
- [N1] M. NICOLINI, New examples of shrinking Laplacian solitons, in preparation.
- [N2] M. NICOLINI, G_2 -estructuras ERP en grupos de Lie, Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Nac. de Córdoba, Argentina. Defense date: June 26th, 2020.
- [P] L. PERKO, Differential equations and dynamical systems, TAM 7 (third edition, 2001), Springer-Verlag.
- [PR] F. PODESTA, A. RAFFERO, On the automorphism group of a closed G₂-structure, Quart. J. Math., in press.
- [VM] H. VAN LE, M. MUNIR, Classification of compact homogeneous spaces with invariant G_2 -structures, Adv. Geom. 12 (2012), 303-328.

Institut für Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Greifswald, Germany E-mail address: ines.kath@uni-greifswald.de

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA AND CIEM, CONICET, ARGENTINA E-mail address: jorgelauret@unc.edu.ar

18