

FRACTIONAL EIGENVALUES IN ORLICZ SPACES WITH NO Δ_2 CONDITION

ARIEL SALORT AND HERNÁN VIVAS

ABSTRACT. We study the eigenvalue problem for the g -Laplacian operator in fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, where $g = G'$ and neither G nor its conjugated function satisfy the Δ_2 condition. Our main result is the existence of a nontrivial solution to such a problem; this is achieved by first showing that the corresponding minimization problem has a solution and then applying a generalized Lagrange multiplier theorem to get the existence of an eigenvalue. Further, we prove closedness of the spectrum and some properties of the eigenvalues and, as an application, we show existence for a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problems.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Orlicz and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces	5
3. The minimization problem	11
4. Lagrange multipliers and the eigenvalue problem	15
5. Some properties of the spectrum	17
6. Nonlinear eigenvalue problem	19
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The main goal of this article is to study the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta_g)^s u = \lambda g(|u|) \frac{u}{|u|} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary. Here $(-\Delta_g)^s$ is the fractional g -Laplacian defined in [16]:

$$(-\Delta_g)^s u(x) := \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(|D_s u|) \frac{D_s u}{|D_s u|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \quad (1.2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 35R11, 35P30.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz spaces, Fractional partial differential equations, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems.

with $g = G'$ the derivative of a Young function G , the quantity $D_s u(x, y) := \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s}$ denotes the s -Hölder quotient and $d\mu := \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n}$.

Eigenvalue problems for homogeneous operators of second order, the archetypal example being the p -Laplacian, have been widely studied and are by now fairly well understood, see [2, 24], or [15, 21] for more general homogeneous operators. Non-homogeneous problems in Orlicz spaces, i.e. the (local) second order analog of (1.1) have also been studied thoroughly, see for instance Gossez and Manásevich [20], Tienari [31], García-Huidobro et al [18] and Mustonen and Tienari [27], these last two references being a primary source of motivation for our present work.

On the other hand, the recent years have seen a tremendous development of the theory of nonlocal (or integro-differential) operators; such operators arise naturally in the context of stochastic Lévy processes with jumps and have been studied thoroughly both from the point of view of Probability and Analysis as they proved to be accurate models to describe different phenomena in Physics, Finance, Image processing, or Ecology; see for instance [3, 11, 29] and references therein. For the mathematical background from the PDE perspective taken in this paper, see for instance [9] or [19].

The most canonical and important example of nonlocal operator is given by the *fractional Laplacian*:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

which can be obtained by minimizing the Gagliardo seminorm of the fractional Sobolev space H^s , $s \in (0, 1)$ (see for instance [14]) or alternatively from the harmonic extension problem to the upper half space as the “Dirichlet-to-Neumann” operator, as pointed out in the celebrated paper of Caffarelli and Silvestre [10]. From this point of view, it is important to point out that when $G(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}$ and hence $g(t) = t$, then $(-\Delta_g)^s$ becomes (a multiple of) $(-\Delta)^s$. More generally, when $G(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}$ in (1.1), $1 < p < \infty$, we get the eigenvalue problem for the so called *fractional p -Laplacian*

$$(-\Delta_p)^s u(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{n+ps}} dy.$$

Both of these problems have been studied recently, see for instance [7, 6, 13, 17, 23, 25, 30] or the book [8] and references therein.

A theory for eigenvalue problems in the context of fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces is still under development. The first author, together with Fernández Bonder, worked out the necessary functional setting for these type of problems in [16], and the eigenvalue problem was addressed very recently by the first author under the assumption of the Δ_2 , or doubling, condition (see Equation (2.3) below) holds, see [28]. However, a satisfactory result in the general setting was still lacking and is the main concern of this manuscript.

It is worth mentioning that the lack of the doubling property, in general, carries a loss of reflexivity of the corresponding fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Its absence adds to the complications arising from the non-homogeneous nature of problem (1.1), making its solution a challenging task.

One way to look for (nontrivial) solutions to (1.1) is to consider the constrained minimization problem

$$\min \{ \mathcal{F}(u) : u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega), \mathcal{J}(u) = \alpha \}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ is a suitable fractional Orlicz-Sobolev space (see section 2.2) and

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s u(x, y)|) d\mu, \quad \mathcal{J}(u) := \int_{\Omega} G(|u|) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

Indeed, if we can find a minimizer $u_\alpha \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ of (1.3) then we may use the Lagrange multipliers method to ensure the existence of an eigenvalue λ_α such that (1.1) is fulfilled by u_α in an appropriated weak sense. If G satisfies the Δ_2 condition, the Lagrange multipliers method applies directly as \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{J} are Fréchet differentiable in this case, see [28]. See also [4] for a the Neumann case. In this paper, by adapting the strategy of [27], we get the existence of solutions of (1.1) *without assuming the Δ_2 condition neither on G nor on its conjugated function.*

1.1. Main results. We first prove that the constrained minimization problem (1.3) has a solution for each energy level $\alpha > 0$. Note that, since the functionals \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{J} are in general not homogeneous, minimizers strongly depend on the energy level α . In all the following results $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open and bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary, $s \in (0, 1)$ is a fractional parameter and G stands for a Young function fulfilling the following structural conditions (see Section 2.4 for details)

$$\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{t}{G(t)} \right)^{\frac{s}{n-s}} dt = \infty, \quad \int_0^1 \left(\frac{t}{G(t)} \right)^{\frac{s}{n-s}} dt < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.1. *For each $\alpha > 0$ there exists $u_\alpha \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$, one-signed in Ω , such that*

$$\Lambda_\alpha := \mathcal{F}(u_\alpha) = \min \{ \mathcal{F}(u) : u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega), \mathcal{J}(u) = \alpha \}.$$

Once the existence of minimizers is achieved, we are lead to the following existence result for eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u_\alpha \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.3). Then there exists $\lambda_\alpha > 0$ for which u_α is a weak solution of (1.1), i.e.*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(|D_s u_\alpha|) \frac{D_s u_\alpha}{|D_s u_\alpha|} D_s v d\mu = \lambda_\alpha \int_{\Omega} g(|u_\alpha|) \frac{u_\alpha}{|u_\alpha|} v dx$$

for any $v \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$.

The next result states that the spectrum of the fractional g -Laplacian is closed in the following sense:

Theorem 1.3. Fix $\alpha_0 > 0$. With the notation of Theorem 1.2 we have that

$$\Sigma := \{\lambda : (1.1) \text{ has a nontrivial solution } u \text{ satisfying } \Phi_G(u) \leq \alpha_0\}$$

is a closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 1.3 has an immediate corollary which we would like to point out:

Corollary 1.4. Fix $\alpha_0 > 0$. With the notation of Theorem 1.2 let

$$\hat{\lambda} := \inf\{\lambda_\alpha : 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0\}.$$

Then the eigenvalue problem for $\hat{\lambda}$ has a nontrivial solution.

In general we have that $\lambda_\alpha > 0$ and $\hat{\lambda} > 0$. The following result refines the lower bound in thin domains.

Proposition 1.5. When Ω has small diameter \mathbf{d} in the sense that for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbf{d} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon)} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n\omega_n}{2s} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

then $\lambda_\alpha \geq \varepsilon$ and $\lambda_0 \geq \varepsilon$, where ω_n denotes the volume of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 1. When G and its Legendre transform \tilde{G} satisfy the doubling condition, there exists a constant c depending only on the growth behavior of G such that

$$c^{-1}\Lambda_\alpha \leq \lambda_\alpha \leq c\Lambda_\alpha, \quad (1.5)$$

(see [28, Corollary 5.3]). However, for a general G both quantities are not easily comparable. To find a relation like (1.5) for a general G with no Δ_2 assumptions is left then as an open issue.

Finally, the following Faber-Krahn type result follows in our setting.

Proposition 1.6. Let B be a ball with $\mathcal{L}^n(B) = \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$\Lambda_\alpha(B) \leq \Lambda_\alpha(\Omega).$$

If additionally the application $t \mapsto tg(t)$ is convex, it holds that

$$\lambda_\alpha(B) \leq \lambda_\alpha(\Omega).$$

Remark 2. The results in this paper could apply more generally to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta_g)^s u = \lambda h(|u|) \frac{u}{|u|} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with h the derivative of a Young function H which grows essentially more slowly than G_* (see 2.4 for definitions). However, we decided to stick with the simpler case for the sake of clarity in the presentation.

As an application, we would like to point out that slight modifications to the arguments in Sections 3 and 4 allow us to prove existence of solutions for the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta_g)^s u &= \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

To set the problem properly, let us define the constraint functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u) := \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx$$

with $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a given locally Lipschitz function. We also set $f = F'$ and we will assume

$$|f(t)| \leq C(|t| + 1), \tag{1.7}$$

and consider the minimization problem

$$\min \left\{ \mathcal{F}(u) : u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega), \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u) = 0 \right\}. \tag{1.8}$$

Then, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be a Young function and let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function such that $f = F'$ satisfies (1.7). Then the minimization problem (1.8) has a solution $u_0 \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for which u_0 is a weak solution of the eigenvalue problem (1.6).*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the necessary preliminary definitions and results regarding Young functions and Orlicz and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Section 3 is devoted to the proof Theorem 1.1, i.e. the existence of minimizers, and in Section 4 we prove that minimizers are in fact solutions to the eigenvalue problem for some $\lambda > 0$ (Theorem 1.2). In Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 and the further properties of the spectrum contained in Corollary 1.4 and Propositions 1.5 and 1.6. Finally, in Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.7.

2. ORLICZ AND FRACTIONAL ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

In this section we present the relevant aspects of the theory of Orlicz and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces: the interested reader is referred to the classical reference [1] and the recent papers [5, 12, 16] for further treatment of these spaces. We start with the definition of *Young functions*.

2.1. Young functions. An application $G: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a *Young function* if it admits the integral formulation $G(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau$, where the right continuous

function g defined on $[0, \infty)$ has the following properties:

$$g(0) = 0, \quad g(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0, \quad (g_1)$$

$$g \text{ is nondecreasing on } (0, \infty), \quad (g_2)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty. \quad (g_3)$$

From these properties it is easy to see that a Young function G is continuous, nonnegative, strictly increasing and convex on $[0, \infty)$. Also, from the convexity of G it easily follows that

$$G(\alpha t) \leq \alpha G(t) \quad \text{if } \alpha \in [0, 1], t \geq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$G(\beta t) \geq \beta G(t) \quad \text{if } \beta \in (1, \infty), t \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

A Young function G is said to satisfy the Δ_2 condition (or doubling condition) if there exist $C > 0$ and $T \geq 0$ such that

$$G(2t) \leq CG(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T. \quad (2.3)$$

In [28], the eigenvalue problem (1.1) is addressed under the assumption that there exist $p^-, p^+ > 0$ such that

$$1 < p^- \leq \frac{tg(t)}{G(t)} \leq p^+ < \infty \quad \text{for any } t > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Roughly speaking, (2.4) says that G is trapped between to power functions. The upper bound in this condition can be shown to be equivalent to (2.3), see Theorem 4.4.4 of [26]. The lower bound, on the other hand, corresponds to the complementary function \tilde{G} (see (2.5) below) satisfying the doubling condition.

In this paper we do not make any such assumption on G . As we will point out throughout the article, this will pose several difficulties as many good properties of Orlicz and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces strongly rely on the estimate (2.3).

Possible Young functions that fall into the scope of our paper (and do not satisfy (2.4)) are

- * $G_1(t) := e^{t^\gamma} - 1$, $\gamma > 1$;
- * $G_2(t) := e^t - t - 1$;
- * $G_3(t) = \tau(e^t - t - 1) + (1 - \tau)\frac{t^p}{p}$ where $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$ or, in general, any other convex combination of Young functions such as one of them does not satisfy (2.4).

If we consider for instance G_1 , Theorem 1.2 gives nontrivial (weak) solutions of the following eigenvalue problem

$$\text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x) - u(y)) |u(x) - u(y)|^{\gamma-2} \frac{e^{\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^\gamma}{|x-y|^{s\gamma}}}}{|x-y|^{s(\gamma-1)+n+1}} dy = \lambda e^{|u|^\gamma} |u|^{\gamma-2} u.$$

The *complementary Young function* \tilde{G} of a Young function G is defined as

$$\tilde{G}(t) := \sup\{tw - G(w) : w > 0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

It is not hard to see that \tilde{G} can be written in terms of the inverse of g as

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \int_0^t g^{-1}(\tau) d\tau, \tag{2.6}$$

see [1].

From (2.5) it is clear that the following Young-type inequality holds

$$ab \leq G(a) + \tilde{G}(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \geq 0,$$

and the following Hölder's type inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| dx \leq 2\|u\|_G \|v\|_{\tilde{G}} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $u \in L^G(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$.

The following relation are sometimes useful: for any $t > 0$

$$G(2t) = \int_0^{2t} g(\tau) d\tau > \int_t^{2t} g(\tau) d\tau > tg(t) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$G(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \leq tg(t). \tag{2.9}$$

2.2. Orlicz spaces. Given a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ not necessarily bounded, we consider the Orlicz class $K^G(\Omega)$ defined as

$$K^G(\Omega) = \left\{ u \text{ measurable and defined in } \Omega : \int_{\Omega} G(|u(x)|) dx < \infty \right\}.$$

It is well-known that $K^G(\Omega)$ is a vector space if and only if G satisfies the Δ_2 condition.

The Orlicz space $L^G(\Omega)$ is the lineal hull of $K^G(\Omega)$, that is, the smallest vector space (under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication) that contains $K^G(\Omega)$. It follows that $L^G(\Omega)$ contains all scalar multipliers λu of $u \in K^G(\Omega)$. Thus

$$K^G(\Omega) \subset L^G(\Omega)$$

with equality if and only if G satisfies the Δ_2 condition.

The space $L^G(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_G = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

The closure in $L^G(\Omega)$ of all bounded measurable functions is denoted by $E^G(\Omega)$. It follows then that

$$E^G(\Omega) \subset K^G(\Omega)$$

with equality if and only if G satisfies the Δ_2 condition.

An important remark is that the space L^G is reflexive if and only if both G and \tilde{G} satisfy the Δ_2 condition (see [22]).

2.3. Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Given a fractional parameter $s \in (0, 1)$ we consider the space

$$W^s L^G(\Omega) = \{u \in L^G(\Omega) : D_s u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)\}$$

where we have denoted the s -Hölder quotient

$$D_s u(x, y) = \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s}$$

and the measure

$$d\mu = \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n}.$$

From now on, the modulars in $L^G(\Omega)$ and $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ will be denoted as

$$\Phi_G(u) := \int_{\Omega} G(|u|) dx \quad \Phi_{s,G}(u) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s u|) d\mu,$$

respectively. Over the space $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ we define the norm

$$\|u\|_{s,G} := \|u\|_G + [u]_{s,G}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$[u]_{s,G} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \Phi_{s,G} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is the (s, G) -Gagliardo seminorm. The space $W^s E^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined in an analogous way.

The following structural properties hold true:

Proposition 2.1. *Let Ω be an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary and G an Young function. Then*

- (i) $L^G(\Omega)$ is a Banach space under the norm (2.10) and $E^G(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $L^G(\Omega)$ (hence a Banach space itself). Furthermore, $E^G(\Omega)$ is separable.
- (ii) $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm (2.11), $W^s E^G(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ (hence a Banach space itself). Furthermore, $W^s E^G(\Omega)$ is separable.

The separability of $L^G(\Omega)$ is contingent on G satisfying the Δ_2 condition.

The space $W_0^s E^G(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.11). In view of [16, Proposition 2.11], throughout the map

$$u \mapsto (u, D_s u)$$

the spaces $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ and $W^s E^G(\Omega)$ can be isometrically identified with $L^G(\Omega) \times L^G(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$ and $E^G(\Omega) \times E^G(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$, respectively. Hence, using the fact that

$$(E^{\tilde{G}})' = L^G, \quad \text{and} \quad (E^G)' = L^{\tilde{G}},$$

(see for instance [1]), the space $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $L^G(\Omega) \times L^G(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$ being this space the dual of the separable space $(E^{\tilde{G}} \times E^{\tilde{G}}(d\mu))'$. Therefore, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ is weak* closed in $L^G(\Omega) \times L^G(d\mu)$.

We define $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ as the weak* closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^s L^G(\Omega)$, hence $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ is a weak* closed subset of the dual of a separable space.

2.4. Compact embeddings. In this subsection we recall some compact space embeddings. As mean of a comparative explanation, we recall that in the classical fractional Sobolev space setting $W^{s,p}$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ the compact embedding

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^q(\Omega) \tag{2.12}$$

holds for $q \in [1, p]$ in the sense that any family \mathcal{F} satisfying that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx < \infty$$

is precompact in $L^q(\Omega)$ (see e.g. [14, Theorem 7.1]). In particular, if $sp < n$, the continuous embedding allows to extend (2.12) to $q \in [1, p^*)$, where p^* is the Sobolev conjugate

$$p^* := \frac{np}{n - sp}.$$

When trying to extend these notions to Orlicz-Sobolev spaces (and particularly when aiming at obtaining an analogous embedding as (2.12)), a precise notion of the behavior of the functions at infinity is required, notion that is self-evident in the classical case as powers are readily comparable. The following definitions serve that precise purpose: given Young functions A and B , we say that A decreases essentially more rapidly (near infinity) than B if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t)}{B(\beta t)} = 0$$

for all $\beta > 0$. In particular, there exists positive constants c and T such that

$$A(t) \leq B(ct) \quad \text{for } t \geq T.$$

Let G be a Young function such that

$$\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{t}{G(t)} \right)^{\frac{s}{n-s}} dt = \infty, \quad \int_0^1 \left(\frac{t}{G(t)} \right)^{\frac{s}{n-s}} dt < \infty, \tag{2.13}$$

we define the critical function $G_*(t) := G(H^{-1}(t))$, where

$$H(t) = \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{\tau}{G(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{s}{n-s}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{n-s}{n}}.$$

The appropriate compact embedding is contained in the following Theorem, whose proof can be found in [5, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a Young function satisfying (2.13). If the Young function B grows essentially more slowly than G_* near infinity, then the embedding*

$$W^s L^G(\Omega) \subset L^B(\Omega)$$

is compact for every bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n .

In particular we can take $B = G$ in the previous theorem giving the following.

Proposition 2.3. *Under the hypotheses of the previous theorem, the embedding of $W^s L^G(\Omega)$ into $L^G(\Omega)$ is compact.*

Proof. Since $H(t)$ is increasing, given a fixed $\beta > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t)}{G(H^{-1}(\beta t))} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(\beta^{-1}H(t))}{G(t)}.$$

Since $t/G(t)$ is non-increasing,

$$H(t) \leq \left(\int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{G(d\tau)^{\frac{s}{n-s}}} \right)^{\frac{n-s}{s}} \leq G(1)^{-\frac{s}{n}} t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}$$

from where

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t)}{G(H^{-1}(\beta t))} &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(ct^{1-\frac{s}{n}})}{G(t)} = c \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{s}{n}} \frac{g(ct^{1-\frac{s}{n}})}{g(t)} \\ &\leq c \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{s}{n}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \beta^{-1}G(1)^{-\frac{s}{n}}$.

Therefore the result follows from Theorem 2.2. □

2.5. A Poincaré's inequality. The following Poincaré inequality will be of use, and has independent interest:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded and let G be a Young function. Then for $s \in (0, 1)$ it holds that*

$$\Phi_G(u) \leq \Phi_{s,G}(C\mathbf{d}^s u)$$

and

$$\|u\|_G \leq C\mathbf{d}^s [u]_{s,p}$$

for all $u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$, where $C = C(n, s)$ and \mathbf{d} denotes the diameter of Ω .

Proof. Given $x \in \Omega$, observe that when $|x - y| \geq \mathbf{d}$, then $y \notin \Omega$. Hence

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbf{d}^s \leq |x-y| \leq 2\mathbf{d}^s} G\left(\frac{\mathbf{d}^s}{|x-y|^s} \frac{|u(x)|}{\mathbf{d}^s}\right) \frac{dydx}{|x-y|^n}.$$

Since $\frac{2\mathbf{d}^s}{|x-y|^s} \geq 1$, from (2.2) we get that the expression above is greater than

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbf{d}^s \leq |x-y| \leq 2\mathbf{d}^s} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{2\mathbf{d}^s}\right) \mathbf{d}^s \frac{dydx}{|x-y|^{n+s}},$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{2\mathbf{d}^s}\right) dx \int_{\mathbf{d}^s \leq |z| \leq 2\mathbf{d}^s} \mathbf{d}^s \frac{dz}{|z|^{n+s}},$$

and moreover, using polar coordinates it is equal to

$$\frac{n\omega_n}{2s} \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{2\mathbf{d}^s}\right) dx.$$

The last four expressions lead to

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u) \geq \frac{n\omega_n}{2s} \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{2\mathbf{d}^s}\right) dx.$$

Finally, by using (2.2), if we denote $C^{-1} := \min\{1, \frac{n\omega_n}{2s}\}$ we get

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{2C\mathbf{d}^s}\right) dx,$$

or, equivalently, $\Phi_G(u) \leq \Phi_{s,G}(2C\mathbf{d}^s u)$. Finally, since

$$\Phi_G\left(\frac{u}{2C\mathbf{d}^s[u]_{s,G}}\right) \leq \Phi_{s,G}\left(\frac{u}{[u]_{s,G}}\right),$$

by definition of the Luxemburg norm we obtain that $\|u\|_G \leq 2C\mathbf{d}^s[u]_{s,G}$ and the proof concludes. \square

A standard consequence of the Poincaré inequality is the following:

Corollary 2.5. *In light of Proposition 2.4, $[\cdot]_{s,G}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$.*

3. THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1; notice that the functionals \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{J} coincide with the modulars defining the Orlicz spaces:

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \Phi_{s,G}(u), \quad \mathcal{J}(u) = \Phi_G(u).$$

We rewrite slightly (1.3) as follows: let

$$M_{\alpha} := \{u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega) : \mathcal{J}(u) = \alpha\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\alpha} = \min_{u \in M_{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}(u). \tag{3.1}$$

We start proving some properties on the functional \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 3.1. *The functional \mathcal{F} is coercive.*

Proof. Assume that $[u]_{s,G} > 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then from (G_1) and the definition of the Luxemburg norm we get

$$\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{[u]_{s,G}} \Phi_{s,G}(u) \geq \Phi_{s,G}\left(\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)u}{[u]_{s,G}}\right) > 1,$$

from where

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u) > \frac{[u]_{s,G}}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

Hence, by the arbitrariness of ε we get that $\Phi_{s,G}(u) \geq [u]_{s,G}$ for all $u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$, giving the desired coercivity of \mathcal{F} . \square

Proposition 3.2. *The functional \mathcal{F} is weak* lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$. Since $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ is a weak* closed subspace of the dual of a separable space, we have the following convergences

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k \varphi \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u_k, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in E^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega).$$

In particular, this holds for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and hence $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^s L^1(\Omega)$.

Since $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly* convergent, it is bounded in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$, therefore due to the compact embedding given in Proposition 2.3, there exists $v \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\longrightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^G(\Omega), \\ u_k &\longrightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we conclude that $u = v$ and obtain that

$$G(|D_s u_k|) \longrightarrow G(|D_s u|) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, by using Fatou's Lemma we conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s u|) \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s u_k|) \, d\mu = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_k)$$

and the proof finishes. \square

The following two lemmas are the key to prove that the set M_α is sequentially weak* closed. Observe that $K^G(\Omega)$ in general is not a vector space when G does not satisfy the Δ_2 condition, however, the following holds:

Lemma 3.3. *Given a Young function G and $v \in W^s L^G(\Omega)$, then $\delta v \in K^G(\Omega)$ for every $\delta > 0$.*

Proof. Let $v \in W^s L^G(\Omega)$ and let $\delta > 0$ be fixed. In view of Proposition 2.2, $v \in L^{G_*}(\Omega)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.3, G grows essentially more slowly than G_* , from where we can choose positive constants K and T such that $\|v\|_{G_*} \leq K$ and

$$G(t) \leq G_* \left(\frac{t}{\delta K} \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq T.$$

Consider now the subset

$$\Omega_K = \left\{ x : v(x) \leq \frac{T}{\delta} \right\}.$$

Then, these observations together with the definition of the Luxemburg norm give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} G(\delta|v(x)|) dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_K} G(\delta|v(x)|) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_K} G_* \left(\frac{|v(x)|}{K} \right) dx \\ &\leq G(T)|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} G_* \left(\frac{|v(x)|}{K} \right) dx \\ &\leq G(T)|\Omega| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\delta v \in K^G(\Omega)$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Given a Young function G and $v \in W^s L^G(\Omega)$, we have that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(g(|v|)) dx < \infty,$$

that is, $g(|v|) \in K^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $v \in W^s L^G(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.3 it follows that $2v \in K^G(\Omega)$. Therefore, using (2.6), the fact that g is increasing and (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(g(|v|)) dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{g(|v|)} g^{-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |v|g(|v|) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} G(2|v|) dx \end{aligned}$$

giving that $g(|v|) \in K^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$. □

Proposition 3.5. *The set M_{α} is sequentially weak* closed.*

Proof. Given $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M_{\alpha}$ such that $u_k \xrightarrow{*} u$ let us see that $\mathcal{J}(u) = \mu$.

Observe that, since g is increasing

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}(u_k) - \mathcal{J}(u)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |G(|u_k|) - G(|u|)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{|u(x)|}^{|u(x)|+|u_k(x)-u(x)|} g(t) dt \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} g(|u(x)| + |u_k(x) - u(x)|) |u_k(x) - u(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering the inequality above with the Hölder's inequality for Young functions and Lemma 3.4, we get

$$|\mathcal{J}(u_k) - \mathcal{J}(u)| \leq 2 \|g(|u| + |u_k - u|)\|_{\tilde{G}} \|u_k - u\|_G. \quad (3.2)$$

Define $\bar{u}_k(x) := |u_k(x) - u(x)| + |u(x)|$. We claim that $g(\bar{u}_k)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$. Indeed, if $\|g(\bar{u}_k)\|_{\tilde{G}} \leq 2$ there is nothing to do. Assume otherwise that $\|g(\bar{u}_k)\|_{\tilde{G}} > 2$, then by the convexity of \tilde{G} and the definition of the Luxemburg norm we have that

$$1 < \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G} \left(\frac{2g(\bar{u}_k)}{\|g(\bar{u}_k)\|_{\tilde{G}}} \right) dx \leq \frac{2}{\|g(\bar{u}_k)\|_{\tilde{G}}} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(g(\bar{u}_k)) dx,$$

from where, using (2.6), the fact that g is increasing and (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|g(\bar{u}_k)\|_{\tilde{G}} &\leq \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(g(\bar{u}_k)) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{\bar{g}(u_k)} g^{-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_k g(u_k) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} G(2\bar{u}_k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} G(2\bar{u}_k) dx \leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} G((1+\varepsilon)|u_k - u|) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}|u|\right) dx,$$

then, since u and $u_k - u$ belong to $W^s L^G(\Omega)$, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that $(1+\varepsilon)|u_k - u|$ and $\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}|u|$ belong to $K^G(\Omega)$. Therefore giving from the previous considerations that $g(\bar{u}_k)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$.

The last assertion together with (3.2) gives that $\mathcal{J}(u_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(u)$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(u) = \alpha$, $u \in M_{\alpha}$ and M_{α} is weak* closed. \square

We are in position to prove that the minimization problem (3.1) has a solution.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since G is increasing it follows that M_{α} is not empty. Let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M_{\alpha}$ be a minimizing sequence for (3.1), that is,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_k) = \Lambda_{\alpha}.$$

From Proposition 3.1 f is coercive, implying that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$, which is the dual of a separable Banach space. Hence, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$. Moreover, $u \in M_\alpha$ in light of Proposition 3.5. Finally, by the weak* lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F} stated in Proposition 3.2 we conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_k) = \Lambda_\alpha,$$

that is, u is a solution of the constrained minimization problem (3.1).

Finally, observe that u can be assumed to be one-signed in Ω since if u solves (3.1), hence also $|u|$ does it. \square

4. LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS AND THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

In this section we essentially follow [27] to prove Theorem 1.2. The idea is to use a Lagrange multipliers type theorem to get existence of eigenvalues. Since we are not assuming the Δ_2 condition, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{J} are not, in general, differentiable. To overcome this, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $u_\alpha \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ be a solution of the minimization problem (1.3). Then $g(|D_s u_\alpha|) \in L^{\tilde{G}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$.*

Proof. It follows exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [27] replacing ∇ by D_s and the Lebesgue measure by $d\mu$. \square

The following Lemma is also proved in [27]:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u, v \in E^G(\Omega)$ such that $u \not\equiv 0$ and $\int_\Omega g(|u|)v \, dx \neq 0$. Then*

$$\int_\Omega G((1 - \varepsilon)u + \delta v) \, dx = \int_\Omega G(|u|) \, dx$$

defines δ as a function of ε on some interval $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$. Moreover, δ is differentiable in $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\delta(0) = 0$ and

$$\delta'(0) = \frac{\int_\Omega g(|u|)u \, dx}{\int_\Omega g(|u|)v \, dx}.$$

With these preliminaries we are ready to prove our eigenvalue existence result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $u = u_\alpha$ be a solution of (1.3) and let us define \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{J}' as linear functionals by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}'(v) &:= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(|D_s u|) \frac{D_s u}{|D_s u|} D_s v \, d\mu, \\ \mathcal{J}'(v) &:= \int_\Omega g(|u|) \frac{u}{|u|} v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Proposition 4.1 assures that

$$|\mathcal{F}'(v)| \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{G}(g(|D_s u_\alpha|)) \, d\mu + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s v|) \, d\mu < \infty$$

so that \mathcal{F}' is well defined in $W_0^s E^G(\Omega)$. Similarly, \mathcal{J}' is well defined by Lemma 3.4.

According to [32], Proposition 43.1, if we show that

$$\mathcal{F}'(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}'(v) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

then there exists $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}'(v) = \lambda \mathcal{J}'(v)$$

and we have a weak solution of (1.1) from the density of $W_0^s E^G(\Omega)$ in $W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$. Observe that the number λ is strictly positive, fact which follows just by taking u itself as a test function.

To show (4.1) it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{J}'(v) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(v) > 0$$

so let $\mathcal{J}'(v) > 0$ for some $v \in W_0^s E^G(\Omega)$ and let us define the function

$$\int_{\Omega} G((1 - \varepsilon)u + \delta(\varepsilon)v) dx = \alpha. \quad (4.2)$$

Now, according to Lemma 4.2, $\delta \in C^1(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\delta(0) = 0$ and $\delta'(0) > 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta'(0) \leq \delta'(\varepsilon) \leq 2\delta'(0) \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$$

for $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$ small enough, so integrating we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta'(0) \leq \frac{\delta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \leq 2\delta'(0) \text{ for } (0, \varepsilon_1). \quad (4.3)$$

Let $w_\varepsilon := (1 - \varepsilon)u + \delta(\varepsilon)v$ and notice that, since w_ε is admissible,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) - G(|D_s u|)}{\delta(\varepsilon)} d\mu \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1). \quad (4.4)$$

We want to take the limit on (4.4) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Now, since $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ a.e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we have

$$D_s w_\varepsilon \rightarrow D_s u \quad \mu - \text{a.e.} \quad (4.5)$$

and therefore, since G is a continuous function

$$G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) \rightarrow G(|D_s u|) \quad \mu - \text{a.e.}$$

Also, by using the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) - G(|D_s u|)}{\delta(\varepsilon)} &= \frac{1}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \frac{G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) - G(|D_s u|)}{|D_s w_\varepsilon| - |D_s u|} \frac{|D_s w_\varepsilon|^2 - |D_s u|^2}{|D_s w_\varepsilon| + |D_s u|} \\ &= g(\xi(\varepsilon)) \left(\frac{1}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \frac{|D_s w_\varepsilon|^2 - |D_s u|^2}{|D_s w_\varepsilon| + |D_s u|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(\xi(\varepsilon)) \rightarrow g(|D_s u|) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Next, notice that by the definition of w_ε

$$\frac{1}{\delta(\varepsilon)} (|D_s w_\varepsilon|^2 - |D_s u|^2) = \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 2)}{\delta(\varepsilon)} |D_s u|^2 + 2(1 - \varepsilon) D_s u D_s v + \delta(\varepsilon) D_s v.$$

This, (4.3) and (4.5) give

$$\frac{1}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \frac{|D_s w_\varepsilon|^2 - |D_s u|^2}{|D_s w_\varepsilon| + |D_s u|} \longrightarrow \frac{-1}{\delta'(0)} |D_s u| + \frac{D_s u D_s v}{|D_s u|}$$

hence

$$\frac{G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) - G(|D_s u|)}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \longrightarrow g(|D_s u|) \left(\frac{-1}{\delta'(0)} |D_s u| + \frac{D_s u D_s v}{|D_s u|} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

μ -a.e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{G(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) - G(|D_s u|)}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \right| &\leq (g(|D_s w_\varepsilon|) + g(|D_s u|)) \frac{|D_s w_\varepsilon - D_s u|}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \left(2g(|D_s u|) + g\left(\frac{\delta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} |D_s v|\right) \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta(\varepsilon)} |D_s u| + |D_s v| \right) \\ &\leq (2g(|D_s u|) + g(2\delta'(0) |D_s v|)) \left(\frac{2}{\delta'(0)} |D_s u| + |D_s v| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and this function (again using Proposition 4.1) belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$.

Then, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, (4.6) holds in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$, and recalling (4.4) we get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(D_s u) \frac{D_s u D_s v}{|D_s u|} d\mu \geq \frac{1}{\delta'(0)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(D_s u) D_s u d\mu > 0,$$

that is, $\mathcal{F}'(v) > 0$, which concludes the proof. □

5. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SPECTRUM

In this section we proof the properties of the spectrum discussed in the Introduction. We start with Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of eigenvalues of (1.1) such that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ and let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ be the corresponding sequence of associated eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(|D_s u_k|) \frac{D_s u_k}{|D_s u_k|} D_s v d\mu = \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} g(|u_k|) \frac{u_k}{|u_k|} v \quad \forall v \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega). \quad (5.1)$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\longrightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^G(\Omega), \\ u_k &\longrightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of $t \mapsto g(t) \frac{t}{|t|}$ we deduce that

$$g(|D_s u_k|) \frac{D_s u_k}{|D_s u_k|} \longrightarrow g(|D_s u|) \frac{D_s u}{|D_s u|} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and hence, taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.1) we obtain that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g(|D_s u|) \frac{D_s u}{|D_s u|} D_s v \, d\mu = \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(|u|) \frac{u}{|u|} v \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$$

from where the proof concludes. \square

And we can also give the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. It follows immediately from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 by taking a sequence $\{\lambda_{\alpha_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lambda_{\alpha_k} \longrightarrow \hat{\lambda}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, concluding the proof. \square

Next we proof our uniform bound from below for eigenvalues in thin domains:

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Observe that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, since g is increasing we get

$$G((1+\varepsilon)t) = \int_0^{(1+\varepsilon)t} g(\tau) \, d\tau > \int_t^{(1+\varepsilon)t} g(\tau) \, d\tau > \varepsilon t g(t).$$

This, together with (2.9) gives that

$$\varepsilon \frac{\Phi_{s,G}(u_\alpha)}{\Phi_G((1+\varepsilon)u_\alpha)} \leq \lambda_\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\Phi_{s,G}((1+\varepsilon)u_\alpha)}{\Phi_G(u_\alpha)}.$$

In particular, by using Proposition 2.4 we get

$$\lambda_\alpha \geq \varepsilon \frac{\Phi_{s,G}(u_\alpha)}{\Phi_{s,G}((1+\varepsilon)C\mathbf{d}^s u_\alpha)}.$$

Hence, when Ω is such that its diameter is small enough, let us say $\mathbf{d} \leq ((1+\varepsilon)C)^{-\frac{1}{s}}$, we find that $\lambda_\alpha \geq \varepsilon$.

Since this lower bound is independent on α we obtain that $\hat{\lambda} \geq \varepsilon$ on these kind of sets. \square

Finally, we prove Proposition 1.6.

Proof of Proposition 1.6. The first inequality is just a consequence of the Pólya-Szegö principle stated in [5, Theorem 3.1].

On the other hand, let $u \in M_\alpha$ and let u^* be the symmetric rearrangement of u . Assuming that $H(t) := tg(t)$ is convex, by applying again [5, Theorem 3.1] we have that

$$\langle (-\Delta_g)^s u^*, u^* \rangle = \Phi_{s,H}(u^*) \leq \Phi_{s,H}(u) = \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, u \rangle,$$

from where, since $\Phi_H(u) = \Phi_H(u^*) = \alpha$, $\lambda_\alpha(B) \leq \lambda_\alpha(\Omega)$. \square

6. NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

In this section we show how some minor modifications of the arguments in Section 3 and 4 lead to the existence of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Existence of a solution for the minimization problem follow exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 as long as we can show the stability of the constraint (notice that the functional we are minimizing is the same). Now, thanks to Proposition 2.3 we have that (a subsequence of) the minimizing sequence converges to u_0 in $L^G(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u_0)| &= |\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u_0) - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u_k)| \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (|u_0| + |u_k| + 1)|u_0 - u_k| dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |u_0 - u_k| dx \\ &\leq C \|u_0 - u_k\|_G dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here the constant C is changing from line to line and we have used (1.7), the fact that boundedness in norm implies boundedness in mean (see [22]) and the Hölder inequality (2.7). Since the last term of the previous inequalities goes 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(u_0) = 0$ as desired. Hence, u_0 is a minimizer.

Now we want to show that u_0 is a weak solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem (1.6) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us separate two cases:

- (1) $f(u_0) = 0$ a.e.
- (2) $f(u_0) \neq 0$ a.e.

If (1) holds, then it is not hard to see that u_0 must vanish identically, and it is therefore a solution to (1.6) for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

If (2), we want to proceed as in Theorem 1.2; a careful look at its proof shows that the important properties of δ is that (4.2) holds and that

$$\delta \in C^1(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0), \delta(0) = 0 \text{ and } \delta'(0) > 0. \tag{6.1}$$

Now, (2) allows us to find $v \in W_0^s L^G(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u_0)v dx > 0.$$

Let then consider

$$h(\varepsilon, \delta) := \int_{\Omega} F((1 - \varepsilon)u_0 + \delta v) dx$$

so that

$$h(0, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \delta}(0, 0) \neq 0.$$

Then, by the Implicit Function Theorem we can write $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in C^1(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ and

$$h(\varepsilon, \delta(\varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$$

and

$$\delta'(0) = -\frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial \varepsilon}(0, 0)}{\frac{\partial h}{\partial \delta}(0, 0)} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} f(u_0)u_0 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} f(u_0)v \, dx} > 0$$

and we get (4.2) and (6.1). The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET-Argentina).

REFERENCES

- [1] Adams, R. A., and Fournier, J. J. F., *Sobolev spaces*. Elsevier, 2003. [5](#), [7](#), [9](#)
- [2] Anane, A., and Tsouli, N., *On the second eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian*. Nonlinear partial differential equations, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 343, Longman, Harlow, 1996, pp-1-9. [2](#)
- [3] Applebaum, D., *Lévy processes — From Probability to Finance and Quantum Groups*. Notices AMS 51 (2004), 1336-1347. [2](#)
- [4] Bahrouni, S. and Salort, A., *Neumann and Robin type boundary conditions in Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*. ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations, 2021, vol. 27, p. S15. [3](#)
- [5] Alberico, A., Cianchi, A., Pick, L., and Lenka Slavíková, *Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev embeddings*. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 149 (2021): 216-253 [5](#), [10](#), [18](#)
- [6] Chen, W. and Li, C. *Maximum principles for the fractional p -Laplacian and symmetry of solutions*. Advances in Mathematics 335 (2018): 735-758. [2](#)
- [7] Brasco, L., and Parini, E., *The second eigenvalue of the fractional p -Laplacian*. Advances in Calculus of Variations, 9(4), 323-355 (2016). [2](#)
- [8] Bisci, G. M., Radulescu, V. D., and Servadei, R. *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*. Vol. 162. Cambridge University Press, 2016. [2](#)
- [9] Bucur, C., and Valdinoci, E., *Nonlocal diffusion and applications*. Vol. 1. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2016. [2](#)
- [10] Caffarelli, L., and Silvestre, L. *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations 32, no. 8 (2007): 1245-1260. [2](#)
- [11] Cont, R., and Tankov, P., *Financial Modelling With Jump Processes*. Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. [2](#)
- [12] De Nápoli, P., Fernández Bonder J., and Salort, A., *A Pólya–Szegő principle for general fractional Orlicz–Sobolev spaces*. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, (2020), 1-23. [5](#)
- [13] Del Pezzo, L., and Salort, A. M., *The first non-zero Neumann p -fractional eigenvalue*. Nonlinear Analysis 118 (2015), 130-143. [2](#)
- [14] Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., and Valdinoci, E., *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*. Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques 136, no. 5 (2012): 521-573. [2](#), [9](#)
- [15] Fernández Bonder, J., Pinasco, J. P., and Salort, A. M., *Quasilinear eigenvalues*. Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina 56, no. 1 (2015). [2](#)
- [16] Fernández Bonder, J., and Salort, A. M., *Fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*. Journal of Functional Analysis 277, no. 2 (2019): 333-367. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#), [8](#)
- [17] Franzina, G., and Palatucci, G., *Fractional p -eigenvalues*. Rivista di Matematica della Università di Parma 5 (2014), no. 2, 373-386. [2](#)

- [18] García-Huidobro, M., Le, V. K., Manásevich, R., and Schmitt, K., *On principal eigenvalues for quasilinear elliptic differential operators: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, no. 2 (1999): 207-225. [2](#)
- [19] Garofalo, N., *Fractional thoughts*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.03347 (2017). [2](#)
- [20] Gossez, J-P., and Mansevich, R., *On a nonlinear eigenvalue problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics* 132, no. 4 (2002): 891-909. [2](#)
- [21] Kawohl, B., Marcelllo L., and Prashanth, S., *Simplicity of the principal eigenvalue for indefinite quasilinear problems*. *Advances in Differential Equations* 12, no. 4 (2007): 407-434. [2](#)
- [22] Krasnosel'skiĭ, M., and Rutickiĭ, J., *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. Groningen: P. Noordhoff, 1961. [8](#), [19](#)
- [23] Kwaśnicki, M., *Eigenvalues of the fractional Laplace operator in the interval*. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 262, no. 5 (2012): 2379-2402. [2](#)
- [24] Lindqvist, P., *On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$* . *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* (1990): 157-164. [2](#)
- [25] Lindgren, E., and Lindqvist, P. (2014). *Fractional eigenvalues*. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equation*, 49(1-2), 795-826. [2](#)
- [26] Luboš, P., Kufner, A., John, O., and Svatopluk, F. *Function Spaces, 1*. Walter de Gruyter, 2012. [6](#)
- [27] Mustonen, V., and Tienari, M., *An eigenvalue problem for generalized Laplacian in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics* 129, no. 1 (1999): 153-163. [2](#), [3](#), [15](#)
- [28] Salort, A. M., *Eigenvalues and minimizers for a non-standard growth non-local operator.*, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 268, no. 9 (2020): 5413-5439. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [6](#)
- [29] Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M. S., *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes: Stochastic Models With Infinite Variance*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1994. [2](#)
- [30] Servadei, R., and Valdinoci, E. (2014). *On the spectrum of two different fractional operators*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 144(4), 831-855. [2](#)
- [31] Tienari, M., *Ljusternik-Schnirelman theorem for the generalized laplacian*. *Journal of Differential Equations* 161 (2000), 174-190. [2](#)
- [32] Zeidler, E., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications: III: Nonlinear Monotone Operators.*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. [16](#)

INSTITUTO DE CALCULO (UBA - CONICET) AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, PABELLON I, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA (1428), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

Email address: asalort@dm.uba.ar

CENTRO MARPLATENSE DE INVESTIGACIONES MATEMÁTICAS (UNMDP-CIC)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNMDP
DEÁN FUNES 3350, 7600, MAR DEL PLATA, ARGENTINA

Email address: havivas@mdp.edu.ar