Generating spin polarization from vorticity through nonlocal collisions

Nora Weickgenannt,¹ Enrico Speranza,¹ Xin-li Sheng,² Qun Wang,² and Dirk H. Rischke^{1, 2, 3}

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University,

Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

²Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory and Department of Modern Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

³Helmholtz Research Academy Hesse for FAIR, Campus Riedberg,

Max-von-Laue-Str. 12, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

We derive the collision term in the Boltzmann equation using the equation of motion for the Wigner function of massive spin-1/2 particles. To next-to-lowest order in \hbar it contains a nonlocal contribution, which is responsible for the conversion of orbital into spin angular momentum. In a proper choice of pseudo-gauge the antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor arises solely from this nonlocal contribution. We show that the collision term vanishes only in global equilibrium and that the spin potential is then equal to the thermal vorticity. In the nonrelativistic limit, the equations of motion for the energy-momentum and spin tensors reduce to the well-known form for hydrodynamics for micropolar fluids.

Introduction – The study of polarization phenomena in heavy-ion collisions has attracted significant attention in the past [1–4]. Experimental studies show that the spin of hadrons emitted in noncentral collisions is aligned with the direction of the global angular momentum [5– 7]. The magnitude of the global polarization of Λ baryons can be very well described by models based on relativistic hydrodynamics and assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium of the spin degrees of freedom [4, 8–14]. Particles get polarized through the rotation of the medium in a way which resembles the Barnett effect [15]. Unfortunately, the same models [16, 17] are not able to describe the experimentally measured longitudinal Λ polarization [18]. This problem is currently the focus of intense work [19– 26], however, a convincing solution does not yet exist.

In order to address this problem one needs to understand how the orbital angular momentum of the strongly interacting matter created in noncentral heavy-ion collisions is converted into the spin angular momentum of its constituents. In order to account for the nontrivial dynamics of the spin degrees of freedom, it has been proposed to introduce the rank-three spin tensor as additional dynamical variable, promoting relativistic hydrodynamics to a theory of spin hydrodynamics [27–33]. Since spin is inherently a quantum feature, any rigorous derivation of spin hydrodynamics must be based on quantum field theory. However, in order to see how orbital angular momentum can be converted into spin and vice versa on a microscopic level, it is advantageous to first derive a Boltzmann equation from quantum field theory, and then obtain hydrodynamics from the former, for instance by applying the method of moments [34].

In the nonrelativistic case, this direction was pursued in a seminal paper [35], where it was already pointed out that a local collision term in the Boltzmann equation will not be able to describe polarization through rotation. Only if one accounts for the nonlocality of the microscopic collision process, orbital angular momentum can be converted into spin. Unfortunately, no explicit expression for a nonlocal collision term was derived in Ref. [35] and terms to effectively mimic such spin dynamics were introduced only phenomenologically into hydrodynamics. In Ref. [21], a microscopic model based on collisions of partons as wave packets was proposed to link the spin polarization to the vorticity, but without considering spin equilibration. A first attempt to systematically incorporate nonlocal collisions in a kinetic framework based on quantum field theory was recently made in Ref. [36], however, without giving an explicit expression for the nonlocal collision term. Thus, both in the nonrelativistic as well as the relativistic cases, a systematic, explicit derivation of such a term and a discussion of its impact on relativistic spin hydrodynamics are still missing. The aim of this letter is to fill this gap.

We use the following notation and conventions: $a \cdot b = a^{\mu}b_{\mu}, a_{[\mu}b_{\nu]} \equiv a_{\mu}b_{\nu} - a_{\nu}b_{\mu}, g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -), \epsilon^{0123} = -\epsilon_{0123} = 1$, and repeated indices are summed over.

Quantum transport – The Wigner-function formalism provides a first-principle formulation of kinetic theory and also turned out to be a very powerful tool for the description of anomalous transport in the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) created in heavy-ion collisions [see e.g. Refs. [37–44]]. Our starting point is the Wigner function for spin-1/2 particles [45–47],

$$W_{\alpha\beta}(x,p) = \int \frac{d^4y}{(2\pi\hbar)^4} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot y} \left\langle : \bar{\psi}_\beta(x_1) \psi_\alpha(x_2) : \right\rangle , \quad (1)$$

with $x_{1,2} = x \pm y/2$ and $\psi(x)$ being the spinor field. Extending our previous work [48] [see also related work in Refs. [49–55]], which was valid in the free-streaming limit, we now include interactions and thus account for the effect of collisions. Using the Dirac equation in the presence of a general interaction term

$$(i\hbar\gamma\cdot\partial - m)\psi(x) = \hbar\rho(x) , \qquad (2)$$

where $\rho = -(1/\hbar)\partial \mathcal{L}_I/\partial \bar{\psi}$, with \mathcal{L}_I being the interaction Lagrangian, we derive the transport equation for

the Wigner function [45],

$$\left[\gamma \cdot \left(p + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial\right) - m\right]W = \hbar \mathcal{C}[W], \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}[W] \equiv \int \frac{d^4y}{(2\pi\hbar)^4} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot y} \left\langle : \rho(x_2)\bar{\psi}(x_1) : \right\rangle .$$
(4)

We decompose the Wigner function in terms of a basis of the generators of the Clifford algebra

$$W = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathcal{F} + i\gamma^5 \mathcal{P} + \gamma \cdot \mathcal{V} + \gamma^5 \gamma \cdot \mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu} \right) ,$$
(5)

and substitute it into Eq. (3) to obtain equations of motion for the coefficient functions. After separating real and imaginary parts, we obtain (we list only those equations which are relevant for the following)

$$\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial \cdot \mathcal{A} + m\mathcal{P} = -\hbar D_{\mathcal{P}} , \qquad (6)$$

$$p^{\mu}\mathcal{F} - \frac{\hbar}{2}\partial_{\nu}\mathcal{S}^{\nu\mu} - m\mathcal{V}^{\mu} = \hbar D^{\mu}_{\mathcal{V}}, \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial^{[\mu}\mathcal{V}^{\nu]} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{\alpha}\mathcal{A}_{\beta} - m\mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} = \hbar D_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (8)$$

$$\hbar \,\partial \cdot \mathcal{V} = 2\hbar \,C_{\mathcal{F}} \,, \qquad (9)$$

$$p \cdot \mathcal{A} = \hbar C_{\mathcal{P}} , \qquad (10)$$

$$p^{[\mu}\mathcal{V}^{\nu]} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta} = -\hbar C_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mu\nu} , \quad (11)$$

where we defined $D_i = \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{\Gamma}_i \mathcal{C}), \ C_i = \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{\Gamma}_i \mathcal{C}),$ $i = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{P}} = -i\gamma_5, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{V}} = \gamma^{\mu}, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{S}} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}.$

Following Refs. [48, 51, 52] we employ an expansion in powers of \hbar for the functions $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V}^{\mu}, \mathcal{A}^{\mu}, \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}$ and the collision terms D_i, C_i in Eqs. (6)–(11). Thus, e.g. for the scalar part we write

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{(0)} + \hbar \mathcal{F}^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) .$$
 (12)

We stress that, since gradients are always accompanied by factors of \hbar , this expansion is effectively a gradient expansion.

In order to simplify the following discussion, we now make the assumption that all effects arising from the spin, and consequently from the polarization, are at least of first order in \hbar . This is the situation where, like in the QGP, a nonzero particle polarization arises only through scatterings in the presence of a nonvanishing medium vorticity, which is of first order in gradients. Therefore, since \mathcal{A}^{μ} is related to the polarization vector [48], its zerothorder contribution vanishes, $\mathcal{A}^{(0)\mu} = 0$, and consequently, from Eq. (8), $\mathcal{S}^{(0)\mu\nu} = 0$. From Eq. (6) we also immediately conclude that $\mathcal{P}^{(0)} = 0$. Thus, at zeroth order all pseudoscalar quantities vanish, which implies that also the collision terms which carry pseudoscalar quantum numbers must vanish at zeroth order, $D_{\mathcal{P}}^{(0)} = C_{\mathcal{P}}^{(0)} = 0$. Using Eqs. (6) and (10) this, in turn, implies that

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2), \quad p \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2).$$
 (13)

For the vector part, the only vector at our disposal at zeroth order is p^{μ} , i.e.,

$$D^{\mu}_{\mathcal{V}} = p^{\mu} \delta V + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \,, \tag{14}$$

with a scalar function δV . Thus, from Eq. (7) we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{m} p^{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{F}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \,, \tag{15}$$

where we defined $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \mathcal{F} - \hbar \delta V$. From Eqs. (9) and (11) we then derive

$$p \cdot \partial \bar{\mathcal{F}} = m C_F , \quad p \cdot \partial \mathcal{A}^{\mu} = m C_A^{\mu} , \qquad (16)$$

with $C_F = 2C_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $C_A^{\mu} \equiv -\frac{1}{m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{\nu} C_{\mathcal{S}\alpha\beta}$. Equations (13) and (16) form a closed system of equations for $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and \mathcal{A}^{μ} .

The next step is to introduce spin as an additional variable in phase space [31, 33, 56–58]. We define the distribution $f(x, p, \mathfrak{s})$ as

$$\mathfrak{f}(x,p,\mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{F}}(x,p) - \mathfrak{s} \cdot \mathcal{A}(x,p) \right] . \tag{17}$$

We now employ the quasi-particle approximation, i.e., we assume that the distribution f is of the form

$$\mathfrak{f}(x,p,\mathfrak{s}) = m\delta(p^2 - M^2)f(x,p,\mathfrak{s}) , \qquad (18)$$

where $f(x, p, \mathfrak{s})$ is a function without singularity at $p^2 = M^2 \equiv m^2 + \hbar \delta m^2$ and $\delta m^2(x, p, \mathfrak{s})$ is a correction to the mass-shell condition for free particles arising from interactions [where the \mathfrak{s} dependence enters at $\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$]. We introduce the covariant integration measure

$$\int dS(p) \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa(p)} \int d^4 \mathfrak{s} \,\delta(\mathfrak{s} \cdot \mathfrak{s} + 3)\delta(p \cdot \mathfrak{s}) \,, \qquad (19)$$

where $\kappa(p) \equiv \sqrt{3}\pi/\sqrt{p^2}$ is determined by requiring

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \int dS(p) \,\mathfrak{f}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) \,, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\mu} = \int dS(p) \,\mathfrak{s}^{\mu} \mathfrak{f}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) \,.$$
(20)

The kinetic equation which we want to solve is now given by combining Eq. (17) with Eq. (16),

$$p \cdot \partial \mathfrak{f}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) = m \mathfrak{C}[\mathfrak{f}] , \qquad (21)$$

where we introduced the collision kernel $\mathfrak{C}[\mathfrak{f}] \equiv \frac{1}{2}(C_F - \mathfrak{s} \cdot C_A)$. In the next section, we will compute this kernel up to first order in \hbar , which means that only terms linear in spin and in gradients will be considered. This implies that we assume that the distribution \mathfrak{f} varies slowly in space and time on the microscopic scale corresponding to the interaction range. We will also restrict ourselves to the contribution from particles; the extension to include antiparticles is straightforward.

Collisions – As will become clear in the following, up to first order in \hbar the collision term in Eq. (21) has the following structure,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\mathfrak{f}] = \mathfrak{C}_{l}^{(0)}[\mathfrak{f}] + \hbar \left\{ \mathfrak{C}_{l}^{(1)}[\mathfrak{f}] + \mathfrak{C}_{nl}^{(1)}[\mathfrak{f}] \right\} \equiv \mathfrak{C}_{l}[\mathfrak{f}] + \hbar \mathfrak{C}_{nl}^{(1)}[\mathfrak{f}] .$$
(22)

3

Here, local and nonlocal contributions are denoted by subscripts l and nl, respectively. The zeroth-order contribution is purely local, while the first-order contribution has both local and nonlocal parts, the latter arising from gradients.

In order to explicitly calculate the collision term we follow Ref. [45]. This calculation is based on an expansion in particle-scattering states and makes the following assumptions: (i) low-density approximation, i.e., the interacting Wigner function in the collision term is identified with the free-streaming Wigner function (containing also contributions up to order \hbar) and only binary scattering processes of the form $(p_1, \mathfrak{s}_1), (p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) \to (p, \mathfrak{s}), (p', \mathfrak{s}')$ are considered, and (ii) initial correlations are neglected.

The details of the calculation will be shown in a forthcoming publication [59]. Here we will only comment on the most important intermediate steps. First, it can be shown that the off-shell terms on both sides of Eq. (21) cancel and we are left with the on-shell Boltzmann equation

$$\delta(p^2 - m^2) p \cdot \partial f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) = \delta(p^2 - m^2) \mathfrak{C}[f] .$$
 (23)

After some lengthy calculation we obtain the local collision term

$$\mathfrak{C}_{l}[f] \equiv \mathfrak{C}_{p+s}[f] + \mathfrak{C}_{s}[f] , \qquad (24)$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_{p+s}[f]$ describes momentum- and spin-exchange interactions, while $\mathfrak{C}_{s}[f]$ describes purely spin exchange without momentum exchange. These terms read explicitly

$$\mathfrak{C}_{p+s}[f] \equiv \int d\Gamma_1 \, d\Gamma_2 \, d\Gamma' \, dS'_1(p) \, \mathcal{W} \\ \times \left[f(x, p_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) f(x, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) - f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}'_1) f(x, p', \mathfrak{s}') \right], \\ \mathfrak{C}_{s}[f] \equiv \int d\Gamma_2 \, dS_1(p) \, \mathfrak{W} \, f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}_1) f(x, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) , \qquad (25)$$

where we defined the phase-space measure $\int d\Gamma \equiv \int d^4p \,\delta(p^2 - m^2) \int dS(p)$ as well as the quantities

$$\mathcal{W} \equiv \frac{1}{32} \sum_{s,r,s_1'} \left[h_{ss_1'}(p,\mathfrak{s}_1')h_{s_1'r}(p,\mathfrak{s}) + h_{ss_1'}(p,\mathfrak{s})h_{s_1'r}(p,\mathfrak{s}_1') \right] \\ \times \sum_{s',r',s_1,s_2,r_1,r_2} h_{s'r'}(p',\mathfrak{s}')h_{s_1r_1}(p_1,\mathfrak{s}_1)h_{s_2r_2}(p_2,\mathfrak{s}_2) \\ \times \langle p,p';r,r'|t|p_1,p_2;s_1,s_2\rangle \langle p_1,p_2;r_1,r_2|t^{\dagger}|p,p';s,s'\rangle \\ \times \delta^4(p+p'-p_1-p_2) , \qquad (26)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{W} \equiv \hbar \frac{\pi}{4m} \sum_{s_1, s_2, r, r_2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{s}^{\mu} \mathfrak{s}_1^{\nu} p^{\alpha} n_{s_1 r}^{\beta} h_{s_2 r_2}(p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) \\ \times \langle p, p_2; r, r_2 | t + t^{\dagger} | p, p_2; s_1, s_2 \rangle , \qquad (27)$$

where

$$h_{sr}(p,\mathfrak{s}) \equiv \delta_{sr} + \mathfrak{s} \cdot n_{sr}(p) , \qquad (28)$$

and

$$n_{sr}^{\mu}(p) \equiv \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_s(p) \gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu} u_r(p) , \qquad (29)$$

with the standard particle spinors $u_r(p)$, $\bar{u}_s(p)$. Moreover, $\langle p, p'; r, r' | t | p_1, p_2; s_1, s_2 \rangle$ denotes the amplitude for the scattering of two particles with momenta p_1, p_2 and spin projections s_1, s_2 into two particles with momenta p, p' and spin projections r, r'.

If the distribution functions do not depend on the spin variables, i.e., $f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv f(x, p)$, we recover the familiar Boltzmann collision term, where the standard spin averaging and summation is done directly in the cross section [45]. However, if the distribution functions depend on spin, the two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (24) require further discussion. Considering $\mathfrak{C}_{p+s}[f]$, the term $\sim f(x, p_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)f(x, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$ has the form of a gain term for particles with (p, \mathfrak{s}) , while $\sim f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}'_1)f(x, p', \mathfrak{s}')$ does not have an obvious interpretation as a loss term, because the spin variable is \mathfrak{s}'_1 , not \mathfrak{s} .

However, we can modify the definition of distribution function and collision term such that the standard interpretation of gain and loss terms in the latter is recovered. To this end, we first note that the physically relevant quantities are obtained after integrating over the phase-space spin variable, see e.g. Eq. (20). Therefore, if we can replace the distribution function $f(x, p, \mathfrak{s})$ by another distribution function $\tilde{f}(x, p, \mathfrak{s})$ and similarly the collision term $\mathfrak{C}[f]$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[\tilde{f}]$, where

$$p \cdot \partial \tilde{f}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[\tilde{f}],$$
 (30a)

$$\int dS(p) \, b \, \tilde{Q}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) = \int dS(p) \, b \, Q(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) \quad (30b)$$

is fulfilled for $Q \in \{f, \mathfrak{C}[f]\}, \tilde{Q} \in \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[\tilde{f}]\}, b \in \{1, \mathfrak{s}^{\mu}\}$, then the physically relevant quantities will not be changed. Equations (30) constitute a kind of "weak equivalence principle", stating that f and \tilde{f} formally obey the same equation of motion and give identical results when integrating over the spin variable.

One can show that the choice $f \equiv f$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{p+s}[f] \equiv \int d\Gamma_1 \, d\Gamma_2 \, d\Gamma' \, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \\ \times \left[f(x, p_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) f(x, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) - f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) f(x, p', \mathfrak{s}') \right], (31)$$

with

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \equiv \delta^{4}(p + p' - p_{1} - p_{2}) \frac{1}{8} \sum_{s,r} h_{sr}(p, \mathfrak{s})$$

$$\times \sum_{s',r',s_{1},s_{2},r_{1},r_{2}} h_{s'r'}(p', \mathfrak{s}') h_{s_{1}r_{1}}(p_{1}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}) h_{s_{2}r_{2}}(p_{2}, \mathfrak{s}_{2})$$

$$\times \langle p, p'; r, r'|t|p_{1}, p_{2}; s_{1}, s_{2} \rangle \langle p_{1}, p_{2}; r_{1}, r_{2}|t^{\dagger}|p, p'; s, s' \rangle ,$$
(32)

satisfies the weak equivalence principle (30) up to $\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$.

Let us now focus on $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{s}}[f]$. This corresponds to collisions where the momentum of each particle is conserved,

but the spin can change: $(p, \mathfrak{s}_1), (p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) \to (p, \mathfrak{s}), (p_2, \mathfrak{s}')$ [45]. Here, the distribution functions $f(x, p, \cdot)$ and $f(x, p', \cdot)$ describe the particles before and after the collision, which means that they contribute to both the gain and the loss term. We see from Eq. (27) that the interchange of \mathfrak{s}^{μ} and \mathfrak{s}_1^{ν} flips the sign of \mathfrak{W} . This means that a net gain of particles with (p, \mathfrak{s}) corresponds to a net loss of particles with (p, \mathfrak{s}_1) .

For the extension to nonlocal collisions let us first give an intuitive argument. If we assume that particles scatter with a finite impact parameter, the distribution functions entering Eq. (25) have to be evaluated at different spacetime points. Hence, the simplest extension of the full collision term (22), and modified using the weak equivalence principle (30), should have the form

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}[f] = \int d\Gamma_1 d\Gamma_2 d\Gamma' \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} [f(x + \Delta_1, p_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) \qquad (33) \\
\times f(x + \Delta_2, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) - f(x + \Delta, p, \mathfrak{s}) f(x + \Delta', p', \mathfrak{s}')] \\
+ \int d\Gamma_2 dS_1(p) \mathfrak{W} f(x + \Delta_1, p, \mathfrak{s}_1) f(x + \Delta_2, p_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) ,$$

where the position shifts Δ , Δ' , Δ_1 , Δ_2 are of first order in \hbar . Note that, in the collision term where only spin is exchanged, $p_1 = p$, $p' = p_2$. We will show in a forthcoming publication [59] that Eq. (33) can indeed be derived by an explicit calculation. The only additional assumption that we need to make is that the scattering amplitude is constant over scales of order Δ . This is consistent with the low-density approximation, see e.g. Ref. [60]. The position shifts are functions of momentum and spin, for details see Ref. [59]. However, for the following discussion we do not need to specify them explicitly.

Equilibrium – We will now consider the conditions necessary to reach equilibrium. For the sake of simplicity, we consider uncharged particles, such that the chemical potential is zero. The standard form of the local equilibrium distribution function is [9]

$$f_{eq}(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \exp\left[-\beta(x) \cdot p + \frac{\hbar}{4}\Omega_{\mu\nu}(x)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mu\nu}\right],$$
(34)

where $\beta^{\mu} = u^{\mu}/T$ (u^{μ} is the fluid velocity and T the temperature, respectively) and $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$ is the spin potential [27, 30]. Note that the exponent of the distribution function has to be a linear combination of the conserved quantities momentum and total angular momentum. Here, we absorbed the orbital part of the angular momentum into the definition of $\beta^{\mu}(x)$ [9] and we defined the dipole-moment tensor

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} \mathfrak{s}_{\beta} . \qquad (35)$$

Inserting Eq. (34) into Eq.(33) and expanding to first

order in \hbar we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}[f_{eq}] = -\int d\Gamma' d\Gamma_1 d\Gamma_2 \,\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} e^{-\beta \cdot (p_1 + p_2)} \\
\times \left[\partial_\mu \beta_\nu \, \left(\Delta_1^\mu p_1^\nu + \Delta_2^\mu p_2^\nu - \Delta^\mu p^\nu - \Delta'^\mu p'^\nu \right) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\hbar}{4} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \left(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}_1}^{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}_2}^{\mu\nu} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}'}^{\mu\nu} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}'}^{\mu\nu} \right) \right] \\
- \int d\Gamma_2 \, dS_1(p) dS'(p_2) \,\mathfrak{W} \, e^{-\beta \cdot (p + p_2)} \\
\times \left\{ \partial_\mu \beta_\nu \left[(\Delta_1^\mu - \Delta^\mu) p^\nu + (\Delta_2^\mu - \Delta'^\mu) p_2^\nu \right] \\
\left. - \frac{\hbar}{4} \Omega_{\mu\nu} (\Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}_1}^{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}_2}^{\mu\nu} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}'}^{\mu\nu} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}'}^{\mu\nu} \right) \right\}, \quad (36)$$

where the zeroth-order contribution to the collision term was omitted, as it vanishes for the distribution function (34). Since $L^{\mu\nu} = \Delta^{[\mu} p^{\nu]}$ is the orbital angular momentum tensor of the particle with (p, \mathfrak{s}) , the parentheses in the second and fifth line contain the balance of orbital angular momentum in the respective collision.

Introducing the total angular momentum $J^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mu\nu}$ of the particle and assuming that this is conserved in a collision, $J^{\mu\nu} + J'^{\mu\nu} = J_1^{\mu\nu} + J_2^{\mu\nu}$, we see that the collision terms vanishes for any $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, \mathfrak{W} if:

$$\partial_{\mu}\beta_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\beta_{\mu} = 0 , \qquad (37)$$

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \varpi_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{[\mu} \beta_{\nu]} = \text{const.} .$$
 (38)

We emphasize that the collision term (36) only vanishes if the conditions for global (and not just local) equilibrium are fulfilled. Our calculation derives condition (38)for the first time in a kinetic-theory approach, confirming a known result from statistical quantum field theory [61]. In previous works condition (38) was found for massive particles only in the presence of an electromagnetic field [48, 55]. We also note that for massless particles this condition is needed to ensure the frame independence of observable quantities [62].

Spin hydrodynamics from kinetic theory – The equation of motion for the spin tensor $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ is derived from the conservation of the total angular momentum tensor $J^{\lambda,\mu\nu} \equiv x^{\mu}T^{\lambda\nu} - x^{\nu}T^{\lambda\mu} + \hbar S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ [27–33]. At this point we should mention that the definition of the energymomentum and spin tensors depends on the choice of pseudo-gauge, which can have physical implications on observables [30, 63, 64]. The so-called canonical spin tensor, which is obtained from the Dirac Lagrangian using the Noether theorem, does not lead to a covariant description for the spin of free fields. A solution to this problem was proposed by Hilgevoord and Wouthuysen (HW) [65, 66], who derived the spin tensor from the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian for spinors. There exists a pseudo-gauge transformation relating the canonical to the HW energy-momentum and spin tensors [45]. Defining $dP \equiv d^4p \,\delta(p^2 - M^2)$ one obtains, for details see Ref.

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm HW} = \int dP \, dS(p) \, p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \,, \qquad (39)$$

$$S_{\rm HW}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \int dP \, dS(p) p^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hbar}{4m^2} p^{[\mu} \partial^{\nu]}\right) f(x, p, \mathfrak{s}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \,. \tag{40}$$

The equations of motion for these tensors can be written with the help of the Boltzmann equation (23) and the weak equivalence principle (30) as

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm HW} = \int d\Gamma \, p^{\nu} \, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[f] = 0 \;, \tag{41}$$

$$\hbar \partial_{\lambda} S_{\rm HW}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \int d\Gamma \, \frac{\hbar}{2} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mu\nu} \, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[f] = T_{\rm HW}^{[\nu\mu]} \,, \qquad (42)$$

where energy-momentum conservation in a binary collision makes the right-hand side of Eq. (41) vanish. On the other hand, the right-hand side of Eq. (42) is derived from the conservation of total angular momentum and shows that spin is in general not conserved in collisions (as it can be converted into orbital angular momentum). This conversion is described by the antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor, which contains terms of at least second order in \hbar . Moreover, in the HW formulation, $T_{\rm HW}^{[\nu\mu]}$ is nonzero only in the presence of nonlocal collisions. In local collisions the orbital angular momentum vanishes and the dipole-moment tensor itself is a collision invariant, which makes the right-hand side of Eq. (42) vanish [31]. In general, however, this happens only in global equilibrium. Away from global equilibrium, the collision term is nonzero, and thus there is always dissipative dynamics. In this sense, "ideal spin hydrodynamics" exists only if one neglects the nonlocality of a microscopic collision.

Inserting Eq. (34) into Eq. (40) we obtain to leading order in \hbar for the spin tensor in equilibrium

$$S_{\mathrm{HW},eq}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \frac{\hbar}{4} n^{(0)} u^{\lambda} \overline{\omega}^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (43)$$

where $n^{(0)} \equiv 2 \int dP \, p \cdot u f_{eq}^{(0)}(x,p)$ is the zeroth-order particle density. The spin tensor (43) has the same form as that used in the formulation of spin hydrodynamics in Ref. [27].

Nonrelativistic limit – We now show that the HW tensors in combination with nonlocal collisions yield the correct nonrelativistic limit. To this end we take $p^{\mu} \rightarrow m(1, \mathbf{v})^{T}$ with the particle three-velocity \mathbf{v} , which implies $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{ij} \rightarrow -\epsilon^{ijk}\mathfrak{s}^{k}$. With this, the spatial components of the last equality in Eq. (42) read

$$T_{\rm HW}^{[ji]} = -m\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^0 \left\langle \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathfrak{s}^k \right\rangle - m\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^l \left\langle v^l \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathfrak{s}^k \right\rangle, \quad (44)$$

where we used the Boltzmann equation (23) to replace the collision term $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[f]$ and introduced the notation $\langle ... \rangle \equiv (m^2/2\pi\sqrt{3}) \int d^3v \, d^3\mathfrak{s} \, \delta(\mathfrak{s}^2 - 3) \, (...) f$. The above result agrees with Eq. (12.11) in Ref. [35] (up to a constant due to a different normalization). We also compare to the results obtained for micropolar fluids. The equation of interest here is Eq. (2.2.9) of Ref. [67], which in the absence of external fields reads

$$\rho\left(\partial^0 + u^j \partial^j\right) \ell^i = \partial^j C^{ji} + \epsilon^{ijk} T^{jk} , \qquad (45)$$

where ρ is the mass density, ℓ^i is the internal angular momentum, C^{ji} is the so-called couple-stress tensor, and T^{jk} is the (conventional) stress tensor. Using the continuity equation $\partial^0 \rho + \partial^i (\rho u^i) = 0$ in Eq. (45) and comparing to Eq. (44), we identify $m \langle \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathfrak{s}^i \rangle = \rho \, \ell^i$, $C^{ji} = - \langle \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathfrak{s}^i p^j \rangle + m \langle \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathfrak{s}^i \rangle u^j$, and $T^{jk} = T^{jk}_{\text{HW}}$. Conclusions – In this letter, we have used the Wigner-

function formalism to explicitly compute the collision term in the Boltzmann equation, including the nonlocality of the microscopic collision process. Nonlocal collisions are essential to convert orbital into spin angular momentum. We have shown that the collision term vanishes only in global equilibrium, and in particular when the spin potential is equal to the thermal vorticity, thus confirming for the first time within a kinetic-theory approach previous results [61] based on statistical quantum field theory. In contrast, for fluids without explicitly dynamical spin degrees of freedom, local equilibrium is sufficient to make the collision term vanish. As a consequence, in the approach to equilibrium, a rotating fluid of particles will develop a nonvanishing polarization, while a polarized fluid will develop a nonvanishing vorticity. Furthermore, we have shown that, for the Hilgevoord-Wouthuysen pseudo-gauge choice [66], the antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor arises solely from the nonlocal contribution to the collision term. We have analyzed the equations of motion for the energymomentum and spin tensors and shown that, away from global equilibrium, nonlocal collisions always imply dissipative dynamics. In the nonrelativistic limit, we have obtained well-known results for hydrodynamics with internal degrees of freedom, as has been applied to e.g. micropolar fluids [67], spintronics [68], and chiral active fluids [69]. An interesting extension of our work would be to systematically derive the equations of motion of relativistic dissipative spin hydrodynamics using the method of moments [34].

Acknowledgments – The authors thank F. Becattini, W. Florkowski, X. Guo, U. Heinz, Y.-C. Liu, R. Ryblewski, L. Tinti, and J.-J. Zhang, for enlightening discussions. The work of D.H.R., E.S., and N.W. is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the Collaborative Research Center CRC-TR 211 "Strong-interaction matter under extreme conditions" – project number 315477589 - TRR 211. E.S. acknowledges support by BMBF "Forschungsprojekt: 05P2018 - Ausbau von AL-ICE am LHC (05P18RFCA1)". X.-L.S. and Q.W. are supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11535012, 11890713, and 11947301.

- Z.-T. Liang and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 102301 (2005), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.96,039901(2006)], nucl-th/0410079.
- [2] S. A. Voloshin (2004), nucl-th/0410089.
- [3] B. Betz, M. Gyulassy, and G. Torrieri, Phys. Rev. C 76, 044901 (2007), 0708.0035.
- [4] F. Becattini, F. Piccinini, and J. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. C77, 024906 (2008), 0711.1253.
- [5] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR), Nature 548, 62 (2017), 1701.06657.
- [6] J. Adam et al. (STAR), Phys. Rev. C98, 014910 (2018), 1805.04400.
- [7] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE) (2019), 1910.14408.
- [8] F. Becattini, L. Csernai, and D. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. C88, 034905 (2013), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.C93,no.6,069901(2016)], 1304.4427.
- [9] F. Becattini, V. Chandra, L. Del Zanna, and E. Grossi, Annals Phys. 338, 32 (2013), 1303.3431.
- [10] F. Becattini, G. Inghirami, V. Rolando, A. Beraudo, L. Del Zanna, A. De Pace, M. Nardi, G. Pagliara, and V. Chandra, Eur. Phys. J. C75, 406 (2015), [Erratum: Eur. Phys. J.C78,no.5,354(2018)], 1501.04468.
- [11] F. Becattini, I. Karpenko, M. Lisa, I. Upsal, and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C95, 054902 (2017), 1610.02506.
- [12] I. Karpenko and F. Becattini, Eur. Phys. J. C77, 213 (2017), 1610.04717.
- [13] L.-G. Pang, H. Petersen, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 192301 (2016), 1605.04024.
- [14] Y. Xie, D. Wang, and L. P. Csernai, Phys. Rev. C95, 031901 (2017), 1703.03770.
- [15] S. J. Barnett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 7, 129 (1935).
- [16] F. Becattini and I. Karpenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 012302 (2018), 1707.07984.
- [17] F. Becattini and M. A. Lisa (2020), 2003.03640.
- [18] J. Adam et al. (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 132301 (2019), 1905.11917.
- [19] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, Phys. Rev. C99, 044910 (2019), 1901.09655.
- [20] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, R. Ryblewski, and A. Mazeliauskas, Phys. Rev. C100, 054907 (2019), 1904.00002.
- [21] J.-j. Zhang, R.-h. Fang, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C100, 064904 (2019), 1904.09152.
- [22] F. Becattini, G. Cao, and E. Speranza, Eur. Phys. J. C79, 741 (2019), 1905.03123.
- [23] X.-L. Xia, H. Li, X.-G. Huang, and H. Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. C100, 014913 (2019), 1905.03120.
- [24] H.-Z. Wu, L.-G. Pang, X.-G. Huang, and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. Research. 1, 033058 (2019), 1906.09385.
- [25] Y. Sun and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C99, 011903 (2019), 1810.10359.
- [26] S. Y. F. Liu, Y. Sun, and C. M. Ko (2019), 1910.06774.
- [27] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, and E. Speranza, Phys. Rev. C97, 041901 (2018), 1705.00587.
- [28] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, R. Ryblewski, and E. Speranza, Phys. Rev. D97, 116017 (2018), 1712.07676.
- [29] W. Florkowski, E. Speranza, and F. Becattini, Acta Phys. Polon. B49, 1409 (2018), 1803.11098.
- [30] F. Becattini, W. Florkowski, and E. Speranza, Phys. Lett. B789, 419 (2019), 1807.10994.
- [31] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and A. Kumar, Prog. Part.

Nucl. Phys. 108, 103709 (2019), 1811.04409.

- [32] K. Hattori, M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, M. Matsuo, and H. Taya, Phys. Lett. B795, 100 (2019), 1901.06615.
- [33] S. Bhadury, W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski (2020), 2002.03937.
- [34] G. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar, and D. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D 85, 114047 (2012), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 91, 039902 (2015)], 1202.4551.
- [35] S. Hess and L. Waldmann, Zeitschrift f
 ür Naturforschung A 26, 1057 (1971).
- [36] D.-L. Yang, K. Hattori, and Y. Hidaka (2020), 2002.02612.
- [37] D. T. Son and N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D87, 085016 (2013), 1210.8158.
- [38] Y. Hidaka, S. Pu, and D.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D95, 091901 (2017), 1612.04630.
- [39] Y. Hidaka, S. Pu, and D.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D97, 016004 (2018), 1710.00278.
- [40] A. Huang, S. Shi, Y. Jiang, J. Liao, and P. Zhuang, Phys. Rev. D98, 036010 (2018), 1801.03640.
- [41] J.-H. Gao, Z.-T. Liang, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. D98, 036019 (2018), 1802.06216.
- [42] D.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D98, 076019 (2018), 1807.02395.
- [43] J.-h. Gao, J.-y. Pang, and Q. Wang (2018), 1810.02028.
- [44] S. Carignano, C. Manuel, and J. M. Torres-Rincon, Phys. Rev. D 98, 076005 (2018), 1806.01684.
- [45] S. R. De Groot, W. A. Van Leeuwen, and C. G. Van Weert, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory. Principles and Applications* (North-Holland, 1980).
- [46] U. W. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 351 (1983).
- [47] D. Vasak, M. Gyulassy, and H. T. Elze, Annals Phys. 173, 462 (1987).
- [48] N. Weickgenannt, X.-L. Sheng, E. Speranza, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D100, 056018 (2019), 1902.06513.
- [49] R.-h. Fang, L.-g. Pang, Q. Wang, and X.-n. Wang, Phys. Rev. C94, 024904 (2016), 1604.04036.
- [50] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, Phys. Rev. C98, 044906 (2018), 1806.02616.
- [51] J.-H. Gao and Z.-T. Liang, Phys. Rev. D100, 056021 (2019), 1902.06510.
- [52] K. Hattori, Y. Hidaka, and D.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D100, 096011 (2019), 1903.01653.
- [53] Z. Wang, X. Guo, S. Shi, and P. Zhuang, Phys. Rev. D 100, 014015 (2019), 1903.03461.
- [54] S. Li and H.-U. Yee, Phys. Rev. D 100, 056022 (2019), 1905.10463.
- [55] Y.-C. Liu, K. Mameda, and X.-G. Huang (2020), 2002.03753.
- [56] J. Zamanian, M. Marklund, and G. Brodin, New J. Phys. 12, 043019 (2010).
- [57] R. Ekman, F. A. Asenjo, and J. Zamanian, Phys. Rev. E96, 023207 (2017), 1702.00722.
- [58] R. Ekman, H. Al-Naseri, J. Zamanian, and G. Brodin, Phys. Rev. E100, 023201 (2019), 1904.08727.
- [59] N. Weickgenannt, X.-L. Sheng, E. Speranza, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke (2020), to appear.
- [60] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Courier Corporation, 1975).
- [61] F. Becattini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 244502 (2012),

1201.5278.

- [62] J.-Y. Chen, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 021601 (2015), 1502.06966.
- [63] F. W. Hehl, Rept. Math. Phys. 9, 55 (1976).
- [64] E. Leader and C. Lorcé, Phys. Rept. 541, 163 (2014), 1309.4235.
- [65] J. Hilgevoord and S. Wouthuysen, Nuclear Physics 40, 1 (1963), ISSN 0029-5582.
- [66] J. Hilgevoord and E. De Kerf, Physica **31**, 1002 (1965).
- [67] G. Lukaszewicz, Micropolar Fluids, Theory and Applications (Birkhäuser Boston, 1999).
- [68] R. Takahashi, M. Matsuo, M. Ono, K. Harii, H. Chudo, S. Okayasu, J. Ieda, S. Takahashi, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Nature Physics 12, 52 (2016).
- [69] D. Banerjee, A. Souslov, A. G. Abanov, and V. Vitelli, Nature communications 8, 1 (2017).