## SUMS OF k-POTENT MATRICES

#### IVAN GARGATE AND MICHAEL GARGATE

ABSTRACT. We study sums of k-potent matrices. We show the conditions by which a complex matrix A can be expressed as a sums of k-potent matrices. Also we obtain conditions by which a complex matrix A can be expressed as a sum of finite order elements. This generalize some results obtain by Wu in [2]. Also we study the sum of k-potent matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_{Cf}(F)$  with F be a field and proof that any matrix in this space can be expressed as a sum of 14 (k+1)-potent matrices preserving the result obtain by Slowik in [3].

#### 1. Introduction

It is a classical question whether the elements of a ring or a group can be expressed as sums or products of elements of some particular set.

In the case of expressed matrices as a product, there are several authors who have works in this respect, for example see [6, 7, 10, 11, 12].

Recently the authors in [5] gave a way to express matrices with product of commutators of finite order.

In the case of expressed matrices as product of idempotents we can see [2]. In this context, there are various generalizations of concept of matrices idempotents, for instance see [8]. In this paper, the authors explored the k-potent matrices using the definition in [9] or the case (1,k) in [8] and generalize the work done by Wu and Slowik in [2] and [3] respectively and show how to express matrices as sum of k-potent matrices.

Wu in [2] shows a necessary and sufficient condition for a complex square matrix be the sum of finitely many idempotent matrices. In the section 2 the authors generalize this work proving the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** A complex square matrix A is a sum of finitely many (k+1)potent if and only if there is an polynomial F(x) with coefficient integers nonnegatives and degree  $\leq k-1$  such that  $trA) = F(\omega)$  and  $F(1) \geq rank(A)$ .

And in the geral case, we show the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let A be a complex square matrix and suppose that

1.) There are  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n$  integer numbers with  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$  and  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for  $i \neq j$  such that  $\omega_i$  is a  $\beta_i$ th root of unity for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .

Date: November 27, 2024.

 $\it Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  k-potent matrices; upper triangular matrices; finite order; column-finite matrices .

2.) There are polynomials  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x)$  with positive coefficients integers and  $1 \leq degree(F_i) \leq \beta_i - 1$ , such that

$$tr(A) = a_0 + F_1(\omega_i) + F_2(\omega_2) + \dots + F_n(\omega_n)$$

where  $a_0$  is a positive integer number.

Then, A is a sum of finitely many  $\beta_1 + 1, \beta_2 + 1, \dots, \beta_n + 1$ -potent matrices if and only if

$$a_0 + F_1(1) + F_2(1) + \dots + F_n(1) \ge rank(A)$$
.

And we enunciated some remarks about linear combinations of these special matrices.

In the section 3, the authors generalize the results of Slowik in [3] for the case of k-potent matrices on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$ , proving the following result:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let F any field. Any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$ , can be expressed as a sum of at most 14, (k+1)-potent matrices from  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$ .

## 2. Sums of K-potent complex square Matrices

In this section we consider matrices with complex entries or complex matrices. We start introducing the notation. Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. If a square matrix A satisfies  $A^k = A$ , then A is said to be k-potent (see definition in [4]). For k = 2, A is said idempotent. A matrix A is called of order k if  $A^k = I$ , in the case that k = 2, A is called an involution.

In the following, tr(A) denotes the trace of a matrix A, ran(A) denotes its range, rank(A) the dimension of ran(A), and ker(A) the kernel of A. Two matrices A and B are similar if XA = BX for some nonsingular matrix X, they are unitarily equivalent if the above X can be chosen to be unitary. If A and B act on spaces H and K, respectively, then

$$A \oplus B = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right]$$

acts on  $H \oplus K$ , the orthogonal direct sum of H and K.

Let  $\omega \in F$  be a kth root of unity with  $\omega \neq 1$ , then we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let A be an matrix (k+1)-potent and  $\omega$  the k-root of unity. There is a unique polynomial F(x) with non-negative integer coefficients and degree  $\leq k-1$  such that  $tr(A)=F(\omega)$  and rank(A)=F(1).

Proof. Consider a (k+1)-potent matrix A, then their possible eigenvalues satisfies the equation  $x^{k+1} - x = 0$ , so they can assume the values  $0, 1, \omega, \omega^2, \cdots, \omega^{k-1}$  where  $\omega$  is the k-root of unity. Then A is triangularizable and by similarity, the tr(A) is a polynomial in  $\omega$  with degree  $\leq k-1$  and define this polynomial as F(x). The coefficient of the variable  $x^j$  in this polynomial represented the number of times that appears  $w^j$  in the diagonal of the triangularization of A. So F(1) represented the number of non zero

elements that appears in the diagonal of the triangularization of A and this number is the rank(A).

In the following Lemma we show basic (k+1)-potent matrices.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\omega \in F$  be a kth root of unity. The matrices of the form

satisfies  $X^k \neq X$  and  $X^{k+1} = X$ . So A is a (k+1)-potent matrix.

*Proof.* For any j positive integer we have that

In some cases it's not difficult to show that some matrices are sums of (k+1)-potent matrices, see for example the following Remark.

Remark 1. If k is even, then we can expressed the identity matrix  $I_n$  as sum of (k+1)-potent matrices, its follows from the property  $1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \cdots + \omega^{k-1} = 0$ , in this case

$$I_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-w^{i}) \cdot I_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & -\omega^{i} & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & & & j-column & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,  $I_n$  can be expressed as sum of n(k-1), (k+1)-potent matrices. Also, consider  $A = \alpha I_n$  with  $\alpha$  an positive integer number, then A can be expressed as sum of  $\alpha n(k-1)$ , (k+1)-potent matrices.

Now we prove our first main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For the case k = 1 it is proved by Wu in [2], so we consider the case that  $\geq 2$ .

 $[\Rightarrow]$  If  $A = \sum_{j=1}^{m} E_j$ , where each  $E_j$  is (k+1)-potent, then by the Lemma 2.1 denote by  $F_i(x)$  their respective polynomial. Then

$$tr(A) = tr(\sum_{j=1}^{m} E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} tr(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j(\omega).$$

Denote by  $F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j(x)$  and observe that

$$F(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} rank(E_j) \ge rank(\sum_{j=1}^{m} E_j) = rank(A).$$

 $[\Leftarrow]$  Let r = rank(A), then A is unitary equivalent to a matrix of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_1 & 0\\A_2 & 0\end{array}\right]$$

with respect to the decomposition  $H = rank(A^*) \oplus ker(A)$ , where  $A_1$  is of size  $r \times r$ . We have two cases to consider

(1.)  $A_1$  is not a scalar matrix. By hipotesse, there is a polynomial  $F(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{k-1}x^{k-1}$  with integer coefficients and  $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{k-1} > 0$ . By the condition  $F(1) = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{k-1} \ge r = rank(A)$  we can choose integer numbers  $r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_{k-1}$  such that  $a_i \ge r_i > 0$  and  $r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{k-1} = r$ . Then for each  $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$ , since  $a_j \ge r_j$  then we choose positive integers  $a_j^{(1)}, a_j^{(2)}, \cdots, a_j^{(r_j)}$  such that  $a_j = a_j^{(1)} + a_j^{(2)} + \cdots + a_j^{(r_j)}$ . Appliying this decomposition, we have that

$$tr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{r_0} a_0^{(i)} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_1^{(i)}\right) \omega + \dots + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}} a_{k-1}^{(i)}\right) \omega^{k-1}.$$

By the Theorem 2 of Fillmore in [1],  $A_1$  is similar to a matrix B of the form

Then, A is similar to

$$C = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} B & 0 \\ \hline * & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Denote by  $X_0^{(1)}, X_0^{(2)}, \cdots, X_0^{(r_0)}, X_1^{(1)}, X_1^{(2)}, \cdots, X_1^{(r_1)}, \cdots, X_{k-1}^{(1)}, X_{k-1}^{(2)}, \cdots, X_{k-1}^{(r_{k-1})}$  the first  $r = r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{k-1}$  column vectors of C, then C can be expressed as

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{r_0} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_0^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_1^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_{k-1}^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where, the column  $X_j^{(i)}$  appears in the position  $r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{j-1} + i$ , or also

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{r_0} a_0^{(i)} \left[ \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_0^{(i)}} X_0^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_1^{(i)} \left[ \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_1^{(i)}} X_1^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}} a_{k-1}^{(i)} \left[ \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{k-1}^{(i)}} X_{k-1}^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Observe that, for j > 0, the matrices

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_j^{(i)}} X_j^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

are in the form of Lemma 2.2 and from here we have that these matrices are (k+1)-potent and for j=0, follows from Wu in [2] that these respective matrices are idempotents, so (k+1)-potent matrices. Then we have expressed C as a sum of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r_0} a_0^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a_1^{(i)} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}} a_{k-1}^{(i)} = F(1)$  matrices (k+1)-potent and by similarity we concluded that they also hold for A.

(2.) If  $A_1$  is a scalar matrix, then tr(A) = F(1) = r and by Wu in [2] we have that A is sum of r idempotent matrices and so (k+1)-potent matrices.

Now we prove our second main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The necessity is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. For the converse, let r = rank(A) then A is unitary equivalent to matrix of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

with  $A_1$  an matrix of size  $r \times r$ . Choose positive integer numbers  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n$  such that  $a_0 \ge r_0$ ,  $F_i(1) \ge r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_n = rank(A)$ .

Proceeding similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have that  $A_1$  is similar to an matrix

$$B = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & & * \\ & B_1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ * & & B_n \end{array} \right]$$

such that each  $B_i$  is a  $r_i \times r_i$  matrix with  $tr(B_0) = a_0$  and  $tr(B_i) = F_i(\omega_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Observe that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & 0 & & 0 \\ * & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ * & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & * & & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & & * \\ 0 & 0 & & * \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & B_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and applying the Theorem 1.1 in each to the matrices  $B_i$  we obtain the result.

Wu in [2] gave a result that A is idempotent if and only if 2A - I is an involution. Unfortunately, if k > 2 this equivalence is not know but in the following Lemmas we show various forms of construct these special matrices from the other.

**Lemma 2.3.** If A is an matrix of order k then the matrix

$$B = \frac{1}{k}(I + A + A^2 + \dots + A^{k-1}),$$

is k-potent.

*Proof.* Its can proof using simple calculations.

In the following Lemma we show how its possible construct new matrices of order k using k-potent matrices.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let A be an matrix k-potent and  $\omega$  a kth root of unity, then:

1.)  $\omega - 1$  is an solution of the equation

(1) 
$$x^{k-1} + {k \choose 1} x^{k-2} + {k \choose 2} x^{k-3} + \dots + {k \choose k-2} x + {k \choose k-1} = 0$$

and the matrix  $B = (\omega - 1)A^{k-1} + I$  is an matrix of order k. 2.) If k is even, then  $1 + \omega$  is solution of the equation

(2) 
$$x^{k-1} - \binom{k}{1}x^{k-2} + \binom{k}{2}x^{k-3} - \dots + \binom{k}{k-2}x - \binom{k}{k-1} = 0$$

and the matrix  $C = (1 + \omega)A^{k-1} + I$  is an matrix of order k.

*Proof.* Since that  $\omega^k = 1$  and using the Newton binomial formula, then

$$1 = \omega^k$$

$$1 = (\omega - 1 + 1)^k$$

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^k {k \choose i} (\omega - 1)^i$$

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k {k \choose i} (\omega - 1)^i + 1$$

$$0 = (\omega - 1) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k {k \choose i} (\omega - 1)^{i-1} \right],$$

So,  $\omega - 1$  satisfies the equation (1). Therefore, we observe that, for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k-1$   $(A^{k-1})^i = A^{(k-1)i} = A^{(ki-i)} = A^{(i-1)k+k-i} = (A^k)^{(i-1)} \cdot A^{k-i} = A^{(ki-i)} = A^{(ki-i)}$ 

 $A^{i-1}\cdot A^{k-i}=A^{k-1},$  and using the Newton binomial formula

at using the rewich smoother 
$$B^{k} = (\alpha A^{k-1} + I)^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} (\alpha A^{k-1})^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \choose i} \alpha^{i} A^{k-1} + I$$

$$= \alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \choose i} \alpha^{i-1} \right) + I$$

$$= I,$$

with  $\alpha = \omega - 1$ . The proof of item (2) is similar.

Using the simple matrices of Lemma 2.2 its possible construct (k + 1)potent matrices.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\omega$  be a kth root of unity and k an even number. With the matrices of the form

we can define  $B = 2A - \omega I$ , then B is a matrix of order k.

*Proof.* The matrix B is in the form

and by simple verification we proof the result.

Applying the proof of the Theorem 1.1 and the Lemma 2.5 we can enunciated the following

**Corollary 2.6.** Let A an  $n \times n$  matrix and k an even number. Then A is the sum of finitely many matrices of order k if and only if there is an polynomial F(x) of degree < k-1 and positive integer coefficients such that  $tr(A) = F(\omega)$  with  $\omega$  a kth root of unity.

*Proof.*  $[\Leftarrow]$  The trace of an matrix of order k is an polynomial with integer coefficients and the same hold for sums of these elements.

 $[\Rightarrow]$  Consider  $n \geq 2$  and choose an integer m such that  $m \geq 2 - \frac{1}{n}F(1)$  with m and F(1) are even or odd at the same time. Define  $S = \frac{1}{2}(A + m\omega I)$  and we have that  $tr(S) = \frac{1}{2}F(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}mn\omega$ . Here define  $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}F(x) + \frac{1}{2}mnx$  then  $tr(S) = G(\omega)$  and  $G(1) \geq n > rank(A)$ , by the Theorem 1.1 we have that S is a sum of (k+1)-potent matrices,  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{r} E_i$  where the matrix  $E_i$  have the form of Lemma 2.5. Define  $B_i = 2E_i - \omega I$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$  then by the same Lemma,  $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_r$  are matrices of order k. So

$$T = 2S - m\omega I$$

$$= 2(\sum_{i=1}^{r} E_i) - m\omega I$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (2E_i - \omega I) + (r - m)\omega I$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} B_i + (r - m)\omega I,$$

is a sum of matrices of order k.

And, using the Theorem 1.2 and the last Corollary we get the following corollary for finite order matrices:

Corollary 2.7. Let A be a complex square matrix. Then A is the sum of finitely many matrices of finite (different) order if and only if

- 1.) There are  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n$  all even integer numbers with  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$  and  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for  $i \neq j$  such that  $\omega_i$  is a  $\beta_i$ th root of unity for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .
- 2.) There are polynomials  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x)$  with positive coefficients integers and  $1 \leq degree(F_i) \leq \beta_i 1$ , such that

$$tr(A) = a_0 + F_1(\omega_i) + F_2(\omega_2) + \dots + F_n(\omega_n)$$

where  $a_0$  is a positive integer number.

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 2.6.  $\hfill\Box$ 

In the following Lemmas we show some results about k-potent matrices.

**Lemma 2.8.** Consider  $\omega$  a kth root of unity in F. Then the matrices

$$B = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a & -a \\ a - \omega & \omega - a \end{array} \right] \quad \wedge \quad C = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a & a \\ \omega - a & \omega - a \end{array} \right],$$

satisfy the equations  $X^k \neq X$  and  $X^{k+1} = X$ . Then both are (k+1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* Its no difficult proof that, for all i < k:

$$B^i = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a\omega^{i-1} & -a\omega^{i-1} \\ a\omega^{i-1} - \omega^i & \omega^i - a\omega^{i-1} \end{array} \right].$$

And for C we have an identity similar

**Lemma 2.9.** If  $x \in F$ , where F is a field, then the matrix

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega - x \end{array} \right]$$

is the sum of two (k+1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* By the Lemma 2.8 is no difficult see that the matrix A is the sum of matrices B and C with  $a = \frac{x}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** Let A an matrix and  $\omega$  a non-trivial kth root of unity, then  $(\omega I + A) \oplus (\omega I - A)$  is a sum of two (k + 1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* Consider  $X = \omega I + A$  then

$$(\omega I + A) \oplus (\omega I - A) = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega I - X \end{bmatrix}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2.9.

With the above lemmas we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.11.** Consider A an matrix  $n \times n$ ,  $n \ge 2$  with  $tr(A) = \alpha \omega^j$  for some  $\alpha > 3$  an integer number,  $\omega$  an non-trivial kth root of unity and 1 < j < k. Suppose that rank(A) = 1, then

- 1.) If  $\alpha$  is an even number, then A is a sum of  $\frac{\alpha-2}{2}+2$ , (k+1)-potent matrices.
- 2.) If  $\alpha$  is an odd number, then A is a sum of  $\frac{\alpha-3}{2}+3$ , (k+1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* In the case that  $\alpha$  is an even number, then A is similar to

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha\omega^j & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & \beta \omega^{j} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha - \beta)\omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & -\beta \omega^{j} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\beta = \frac{\alpha - 2}{2}$ . The first matrix is a sum of  $\beta$ , (k + 1)-potent matrices, and by the Lemma 2.10, the second matrix is a sum of two (k+1)-potent matrices. In the case that  $\alpha$  is an odd number we can observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha - 1)\omega^{j} & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first matrix is (k+1)-potent and, by (1), the second matrix is a sum of  $\frac{\alpha-3}{2}+2$ , (k+1)-potent matrices.

In the geral case, we can enunciated the following proposition

**Proposition 2.12.** Consider A an matrix  $n \times n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\omega$  an non-trivial kth root of unity and  $tr(A) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \omega + \alpha_2 \omega^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \omega^{k-1}$  with  $\alpha_i > 3$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . If rank(A) = k then A is a sum of  $(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \gamma_i + 2)k$ , (k+1)-potent matrices where

$$\gamma_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_i - 2}{2} &, \text{ if } \alpha_i \text{ is an even number,} \\ \frac{\alpha_i - 3}{2} + 3 &, \text{ if } \alpha_i \text{ is an odd number.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Consider  $tr(A) = F(\omega)$  then  $F(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i \ge rank(A) = k$ , then by Fillmore in [1], A is similar to

And, using the Proposition 2.11 in each element in the diagonal we concluded the proof.

Remark 2. Follows of the proof of Proposition 2.12 that if  $tr(A) = \alpha_0 +$  $\alpha_1\omega + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1}\omega^{k-1}$  with 0 < j < k-1 of  $\alpha_i's$  are zero and k-j are > 3. If rank(A) = k-j, then A is a sum of  $\sum_{i=0 \land \alpha_i \neq 0}^{k-1} \gamma_i(k-j)$ , (k+1)-potent

matrices.

Using the above proposition we can enunciated the more geral case.

**Proposition 2.13.** Consider A an matrix  $n \times n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , and suppose that

- 1.) There are  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n$  integer numbers with  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$  and  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for  $i \neq j$  such that  $\omega_i$  is a  $\beta_i$ th root of unity for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .
- 2.) There are polynomials  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x)$  with  $1 \leq degree(F_i) \leq \beta_i 1$  such that  $F_i(x) = \alpha_{i1}x + \alpha_{i2}x^2 + \dots + \alpha_{i(\beta_i-1)}x^{\beta_i-1}$  with all  $\alpha_{ij}$  positive coefficients integers and

$$tr(A) = a_0 + F_1(\omega_i) + F_2(\omega_2) + \dots + F_n(\omega_n)$$

where  $a_0$  is a positive integer number.

If  $rank(A) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - 1)$ , then A is a sum of  $\left\{ \gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{i-1}} (\gamma_{ij} + 2) \right\} \times rank(A)$  many  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ -potent matrices where

$$\gamma_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\alpha_0 - 2}{2} & \text{, if } \alpha_0 \text{ is an even number,} \\ \frac{\alpha_0 - 3}{2} + 3 & \text{, if } \alpha_0 \text{ is an odd number.} \end{array} \right.$$

and, for  $i = i, 2, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \beta_i$ ,

$$\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{ij} - 2}{2} &, \text{ if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is an even number,} \\ \frac{\alpha_{ij} - 3}{2} + 3 &, \text{ if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is an odd number.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 and the Proposition 2.12.  $\Box$ 

Now, we can observe the following situations.

**Example 2.14.** Consider A a complex matrix  $3 \times 3$  such that  $Trace(A) = 4 - 6\omega + 10\omega^2$  with  $\omega$  a 3th root of unity. Suppose that rank(A) = 3 then we can expressed A as a sums and differences of 4-potent matrices. This is possible because A is similar to

$$B = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 4 & & * \\ & -6\omega & \\ * & & 10\omega \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$B = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 6 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + 10 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can conclude the our affirmation since the first matrix is idempotent and the second and third matrices are 4-potent

**Example 2.15.** If in the Example 2.14 we suppose that  $Trace(A) = 4 - \frac{9}{2}\omega + \frac{25}{4}\omega^2$  then we obtain that B is a combination linear of one idempotent and two 4-potent matrices.

Using the above example we can easily extend all results for matrices with all coefficients that appears in their respective trace no necessarily positives. First, consider  $F(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_n x^n$  an polynomial with non-zero coefficients, define the module of polynomial F as  $|F| = |a_0| + |a_1| + \cdots + |a_n| = \sum_{i=0}^n |a_i|$  and the number  $Min(F) = \min\{|a_j|\}_{j=0}^n$ 

Corollary 2.16. Let A be a complex square matrix and suppose that

- 1.) There are  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n$  integer numbers with  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$  and  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for  $i \neq j$  such that  $\omega_i$  is a  $\beta_i$ th root of unity for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .
- 2.) There are polynomials  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x)$  with non-zero coefficients,  $Min(F_i) \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq degree(F_i) \leq \beta_i 1$  for  $i = 1, 2 \dots, n$ , such that

$$tr(A) = a_0 + F_1(\omega_i) + F_2(\omega_2) + \dots + F_n(\omega_n)$$

where  $a_0$  satisfies  $|a_0| \geq 1$ .

Then, A is a linear combination of finitely many  $\beta_1 + 1, \beta_2 + 1, \dots, \beta_n + 1$ potent matrices if and only if

$$|a_0| + |F_1| + |F_2| + \dots + |F_n| \ge rank(A)$$

*Proof.* Follow immediately from Theorem 1.2 and the above examples.  $\Box$ 

And so is possible enunciate similar results for the case of linear combination of matrices of finite order (see Corollary 2.7) and count the quantity necessary of k-potent matrices for obtain a linear combination in special cases for the rank of A (see Proposition 2.13). We leave this work for the reader.

# 3. Sums of K-potent matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$

In this section we present some results that generalized the paper of Slowik in [3]. We write  $e_{\infty}$  and  $e_k$  for  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \times k$  identity matrices, respectively, and  $e_{nm}$  for the  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix with 1 in the position (n, m) and 0 in every other position.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$  be the set of all column-finite  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrices over a field F. Denote  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}(F)$  the subring of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$  consisting of all upper triangular matrices and  $\mathcal{L}T_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$  the subring of all column-finite lower triangular matrices. Here  $\mathcal{T}_n(F)$  and  $\mathcal{L}T_n(F)$  will be used for the rings of all  $n \times n$  upper or lower triangular matrices respectively, whereas  $\mathcal{D}_n(F)$  will denote the ring of all  $n \times n$  diagonal matrices. The full  $n \times n$  matrix ring will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F)$ .

3.1. Triangular matrices. Consider  $\omega \in F$  a kth root of unity,  $w \neq 1$ . Here we have the following lemma

**Lemma 3.1.** Let F a field and let  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{\infty}(F)$ . If

$$t = \omega e_{\infty} + \sum_{m-n \geq 1} t_{nm} e_{nm}$$

and  $t_{n,n+1} \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then t is similar to

$$\omega e_{\infty} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n,n+1} e_{n,n+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t = \omega e_{\infty} + \sum_{m-n\geq 1} t_{nm} e_{nm}$ . Define  $u = \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot t$ , in this case u are in the conditions of Lemma 2.1 in [3], so u is similar to

$$e_{\infty} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot t_{n,n+1} e_{n,n+1}.$$

Therefore, t is similar to

$$\omega e_{\infty} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n,n+1} e_{n,n+1},$$

what demonstrates the Lemma.

Next, proof the following proposition

**Proposition 3.2.** Let F be a field. If  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{\infty}(F)$  satisfies the condition  $t_{nn} = 2\omega$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then t is a sum of at most four (k+1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* Following the Slowik demonstration of Lemma 2.1 in [3]. First we define two matrices  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as follows:

$$(t_1)_{nm} = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if} & m = n, \\ t_{nm} & \text{if} & m - n > 1, \\ t_{nm} & \text{if} & m - n = 1, & and & t_{nm} \neq 0, \\ \omega & \text{if} & m - n = 1, & and & t_{nm} = 0, \end{cases}$$

One can see that  $t_1$  fulfills the assumptions of Lemma 3.1. Hence,  $t_1$  is similar to the matrix

$$\omega e_{\infty} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (t_1)_{n,n+1} e_{n,n+1},$$

and we write this matrix as the sum u + u', where

$$u = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \omega e_{2n-1,2n-1} + (t_1)_{2n-1,2n} e_{2n-1,2n} \right) \text{ and } u' = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \omega e_{2n,2n} + (t_1)_{2n,2n+1} e_{2n,2n+1} \right),$$

it is easy to check that u and u' are (k+1)-potent matrices.

Now we will write  $t_2 = v' + v''$  where v' is defined by the following inductive rule:

(1) 
$$v'_{11} = (t_2)_{11}, v'_{12} = (t_2)_{12};$$

- (2) if  $v'_{n,n+1} = 0$ , then put we  $v'_{n+1,n+1} = \omega$ ,  $v'_{n+1,n+2} = (t_2)_{n+1,n+2}$ ;
- (3) if  $v'_{n,n+1} \neq 0$ , then we put  $v'_{n+1,n+1} = 0, v'_{n+1,n+2} = 0$ .

This it, if  $v'_{n,n+1} \neq 0$ , then the entries from the next row 'go' to v''. If  $v'_{n,n+1} = 0$ , then the next row can 'stay' in v'.

From the construction of v' and v'' it follows that they are (k+1)-potent matrices.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let F be a field and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If t is either from  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}(F)$  or  $\mathcal{L}T_k(F)$  and  $t_{nn} = 2\omega$  for all  $n, 1 \leq n \leq k$ , then t is a sum of at most four (k+1)-potent matrices.

The above corollary will be useful in the proof of the below result.

**Proposition 3.4.** If F is a field and  $t \in \mathcal{L}T_{Cf}(F)$  is such that  $t_{nn} = 3\omega$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then t can be written as a sum of at most six (k+1)-potent matrices.

*Proof.* We apply the method used in the proof of Proposition 2.2 from [3]. First we construct the sequence  $(l_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$$l_m = \max\{i : t_{im} \neq 0\}.$$

Now we define another sequence  $(l'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$$l'_m = \begin{cases} l_m & \text{if for all } j < m \text{ we have } l_j \leq l_m, \\ \max\{l_j : j < m \ \land \ l_j > l_m\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The sequence  $(l'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  is nondecreasing. Observed that for all  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  and all  $i>l'_m$  we have  $t_{im}=0$ . Thus, we can say that t has staircase structure and that the stairs are determined by the sequence  $(l'_m)$ .

Now we will write t = u + v where the matrix u is constructed as follows. For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we put  $u_{ii} = 2\omega$ . Now let m be equal to 1 and let n be equal to  $l'_1$ . For all i, j such that  $m \le j < i \le n$  we put  $u_{ij} = t_{ij}$ .

Let now m be equal to the preceding n increased by 1 and let the new n be equal to  $l'_m$ . Then for all  $i, j, m \le i, j \le n$  we put  $u_{ij} = t_{ij}$ .

Proceeding the same way we obtain a block diagonal matrix u and consider v = t - u. By Corollary 3.3 each block of u is a sum of at most four (k+1)-potent matrices. From the construction of v it follows that

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & & & & & \\ v_1 & \omega & & & & \\ 0 & v_2 & \omega & & & \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 & \omega & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v_4 & \omega & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$v' = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & & & & & \\ v_1 & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \omega & & & \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad v'' = v - v' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & \omega & & & & \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v_4 & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that v', v'' are (k+1)-potent matrices.

## 3.2. **Diagonal Matrices.** For $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(F)$ we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.5.** Let F any field. Any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(F)$  is the sum of four (k+1)-potent matrices from  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}f}(F)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Slowik in [3]. For  $D = diag(d_{11}, d_{22}, d_{33}, \cdots)$  define the matrices  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(F)$  inductively as

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_{11} = d_{11}, & y_{11} = 0, \\ x_{22} = 2\omega - x_{11}, & y_{22} = d_{22} - x_{22}, \\ y_{33} = 2\omega - y_{22}, & x_{33} = d_{33} - y_{33}, \\ x_{44} = 2\omega - x_{33}, & y_{44} = d_{44} - x_{44}, \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

Then, X and Y are in the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega - x_{11} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} x_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega - x_{33} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \cdots$$
$$Y = [0] \oplus \begin{bmatrix} y_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega - y_{22} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} y_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega - y_{44} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \cdots$$

and, by the Lemma 2.9, both X and Y are the sums of (k+1)-potent matrices.

Now, we can prove our following result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Define  $t_1, t_2$  and d as follows:

$$(t_1)_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2\omega & \text{if} \quad j=i \\ a_{ij} & \text{if} \quad i < j \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i > j \end{array} \right. (t_2)_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 3\omega & \text{if} \quad j=i \\ a_{ij} & \text{if} \quad i > j \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i < j \end{array} \right. d_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{ij} - 5\omega & \text{if} \quad j=i \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i \neq j \end{array} \right.$$

Clearly  $A = t_1 + t_2 + d$ . From Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 we know that  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are sums of at most four and six (k+1)-potent matrices, respectively. By Proposition 3.5 the matrix d is a sum of four (k+1)-potent matrices. This proves the claim.

#### References

- [1] P. A. Fillmore, On similarity and the diagonal of a matrix, Amer. Math. Monthly 76, 167-169 (1969).
- [2] P. Y. Wu, Sums of idempotent matrices. Linear Algebra Appl., 142, 43-54 (1990).
- [3] R, Slowik. Expressing Infinite Matrices as Sums of Idempotents. Ukranian Mathematical Journal. Vol 69, No 8. 1145-1152.(2017).
- [4] Z. Huang, H. Lin. On k-idempotent 0-1 matrices. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 597 (2020). 1987-197.
- [5] Ivan Gargate, Michael Gargate. Expressing finite-infinite matrices into products of commutators of finite order elements. arXiv:2004.09012v1. April 2020
- [6] N. H. Gustafson, On products of involutions, in: J.H. Ewing, F.W. Gehring (Eds.), Paul Halmos, Celebrating 50 Years of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. 237-255.
- [7] R. Slowik. Expressing infinite matrices as product of involutions. Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 399-404.
- [8] M. Jeter, W. Pye, Nonnegative (s,t)-potent matrices. Linear Algebra Appli. 45. (1982), 109-121.
- [9] R. Huang, J. Liu, L. Zhu. A structural characterization of real k-potent matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 59(4). 433-439, (2011).
- [10] B. Zheng, Decomposition of matrices into commutators of involutions, Linear Algebra Appl. 347 (2002) 1-7.
- [11] Hou, X. Decomposition of infinite matrices into products of commutators of involutions. Linear Algebra and Its Applications. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2018.11.001
- [12] W. H. Gustafson, P. R¿ Halmos, H. RAdjavi, Products of involutions. Collection of articles dedicated to Olga Taussky Todd., Linear Alegbra Appl. 13, 157-163 (1976).

UTFPR, Campus Pato Branco, Rua Via do Conhecimento km $01,\,85503\text{--}390$  Pato Branco, PR, Brazil

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: ivangargate@utfpr.edu.br}$ 

UTFPR, Campus Pato Branco, Rua Via do Conhecimento km $01,\,85503\text{--}390$  Pato Branco, PR. Brazil

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: michaelgargate@utfpr.edu.br}$