

THE HADRONIC COUPLING CONSTANTS OF THE LOWEST HIDDEN-CHARM  
PENTAQUARK STATE WITH THE QCD SUM RULES IN RIGOROUS QUARK-HADRON  
DUALITY

Zhi-Gang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Hui-Juan Wang, Qi Xin

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

**Abstract**

In this article, we illustrate how to calculate the hadronic coupling constants of the pentaquark states with the QCD sum rules based on rigorous quark-hadron quality, then study the hadronic coupling constants of the lowest diquark-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  in details, and calculate the partial decay widths. The total width  $\Gamma(P_c) = 14.32 \pm 3.31$  MeV is compatible with the experimental value  $\Gamma_{P_c(4312)} = 9.8 \pm 2.7_{-4.5}^{+3.7}$  MeV from the LHCb collaboration, and favors assigning the  $P_c(4312)$  to be the  $[ud][uc]\bar{c}$  pentaquark state with the  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ . The hadronic coupling constants have the relation  $|G_{PD-\Sigma_c^++}| = \sqrt{2}|G_{PD^0\Sigma_c^+}| \gg |G_{PD^0\Lambda_c^+}|$ , and favor the hadronic dressing mechanism. The  $P_c(4312)$  maybe have a diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark core with the typical size of the  $qqq$ -type baryon states, the strong couplings to the meson-baryon pairs  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$  lead to some pentaquark molecule components, and the  $P_c(4312)$  maybe spend a rather large time as the  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$  molecular state.

PACS number: 12.39.Mk, 14.20.Lq, 12.38.Lg

Key words: Pentaquark states, QCD sum rules

## 1 Introduction

In 2015, the LHCb collaboration observed two pentaquark or pentaquark molecule candidates  $P_c(4380)$  and  $P_c(4450)$  in the  $J/\psi p$  invariant mass spectrum in the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$  decays [1]. In 2019, also in the  $J/\psi p$  invariant mass spectrum, the LHCb collaboration observed a new narrow pentaquark or pentaquark molecule candidate  $P_c(4312)$  and confirmed the old structure  $P_c(4450)$ , which consists of two narrow overlapping peaks  $P_c(4440)$  and  $P_c(4457)$  [2]. There have been several possible interpretations for the quark structures of the  $P_c(4312)$ ,  $P_c(4440)$  and  $P_c(4457)$ , such as the pentaquark molecular states [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30], compact diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark states or diquark-triquark type pentaquark states [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47], color-octet-color-octet type pentaquark states [48], hadrocharmonium pentaquark states [49], etc.

In Refs.[36, 37, 38, 39, 40], we perform comprehensive investigations of the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm$ ,  $\frac{3}{2}^\pm$  and  $\frac{5}{2}^\pm$  diquark-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark states with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 10 in a consistent way and separating the contributions of the positive parity pentaquark states from that of the negative parity pentaquark states explicitly, and reproduce the experimental values of the masses of the  $P_c(4380)$  and  $P(4450)$  as the compact pentaquark states with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$  and  $\frac{5}{2}^+$ , respectively. Furthermore, we obtain the lowest masses  $4.29 \pm 0.13$  GeV and  $4.30 \pm 0.13$  GeV for the scalar-diquark–scalar-diquark-antiquark type and scalar-diquark-axialvector-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark states with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ , respectively [40], which are all consistent with the mass of the  $P_c(4312)$  observed later by the LHCb collaboration [2]. Then we update the old analysis by taking into account the vacuum condensates up to dimension 13 in a consistent way [44], and obtain more flatter Borel platforms and better predictions of the masses and pole residues. The new analysis indicates that the lowest scalar-diquark–scalar-diquark-antiquark type and axialvector-diquark-axialvector-diquark-antiquark type compact hidden-charm pentaquark states with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: zgwang@aliyun.com.

have the masses  $4.31 \pm 0.11$  GeV and  $4.34 \pm 0.14$  GeV, respectively, which are all consistent with the mass of the  $P_c(4312)$ . While the scalar-diquark-axialvector-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark states with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  has a mass  $4.45 \pm 0.11$  GeV rather than  $4.30 \pm 0.13$  GeV [44].

On the other hand, in Ref.[13], we perform detailed investigations of the  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ ,  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ ,  $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$  and  $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$  pentaquark molecular states with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 13 in a consistent way. The theoretical predictions of the molecule masses favor assigning the  $P_c(4312)$  to be the  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$  pentaquark molecular state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ , assigning the  $P_c(4380)$  to be the  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$  pentaquark molecular state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ , and assigning the  $P_c(4440/4457)$  to be the  $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$  pentaquark molecular state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$  or the  $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$  pentaquark molecular state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ , respectively. In the works of other theoretical groups, the  $P_c(4312)$ ,  $P_c(4380)$ ,  $P_c(4440)$  and  $P_c(4457)$  are taken as the pentaquark molecular states, and their masses are studied with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of the dimension 8 [4, 15, 23] or 6 [11]. The works on the decay widths of the  $P_c(4312)$ ,  $P_c(4380)$ ,  $P_c(4440)$  and  $P_c(4457)$  are few, in Ref.[29] and Ref.[30], the  $P_c(4312)$  is assigned to be the pentaquark molecular state, its two-body strong decays are studied with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 10 and 8, respectively; while in Ref.[12], the  $P_c(4380)$  is assigned to be the pentaquark molecular state, its two-body strong decays are studied with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 6.

It is odd that the experimental values of the masses of the  $P_c(4312)$ ,  $P_c(4440)$  and  $P_c(4457)$  can be reproduced both in the scenarios of the pentaquark states and pentaquark molecular states with the QCD sum rules. A hadron has definite quantum numbers and several Fock states, any current with the same quantum numbers and quark structures as a Fock state in a hadron couples potentially to this hadron. In this respect, we can construct several currents to interpolate a hadron, or construct a current to interpolate several hadrons. However, we should bear in mind that a hadron has one or two main Fock states, we call a hadron as a pentaquark (molecular) state if its main Fock component is of the diquark-diquark-antiquark type (color-singlet-color-singlet type), and try to choose the pertinent current to interpolate it. In the present case, the diquark-diquark-antiquark type local pentaquark current with definite quantum numbers couples potentially to a definite compact pentaquark state, though this local current can be re-arranged into a special superposition of a series of color-singlet-color-singlet type currents, which couple potentially to the pentaquark molecular states or meson-baryon two-hadron scattering states with the same quantum numbers [44]. The diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark states can be taken as a special superposition of a series of color-singlet-color-singlet molecular states and embody the net effects, and vice versa.

We can borrow some ideas from the nature of the light flavor scalar mesons, which provide a subject of an intense and continual controversy in establishing the meson spectrum, the most elusive things are the quark configurations of the  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$ , which have almost the degenerate masses. In the scenario of the hadronic dressing mechanism, the scalar mesons  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  have small or large  $q\bar{q}$  cores of the typical  $q\bar{q}$  meson size, or large  $[qq]_{\bar{3}}[\bar{q}\bar{q}]_3$  cores in the relative S-wave with some  $q\bar{q}$  components in the relative P-wave, the bare  $q\bar{q}$  or  $[qq]_{\bar{3}}[\bar{q}\bar{q}]_3$  cores are dressed by the hadronic interactions with the pseudoscalar mesons, the strong couplings to the hadronic channels or nearby thresholds enrich the pure  $q\bar{q}$  or  $[qq]_{\bar{3}}[\bar{q}\bar{q}]_3$  states with other components and spend part or most part of their lifetime as the virtual  $K^+K^-$  or  $\bar{K}^0K^0$  states [50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55]. The QCD sum rules indicate that the nonet scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the two-quark-tetraquark mixing states with large or small two-quark components [56, 57]. Without introducing mixing effects in one way or the other, it is difficult to reproduce the experimental values of the masses of the nonet scalar mesons below 1 GeV [58, 59], and account for the decays. In summary, the QCD sum rules favor the hadronic dressing mechanism [54, 55, 56, 57].

The hadronic dressing mechanism also works in interpreting the exotic  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  states. In Ref.[60], we choose the  $[sc]_P[\bar{s}\bar{c}]_A - [sc]_A[\bar{s}\bar{c}]_P$  type tetraquark current to study the hadronic coupling constants in the strong decays of the  $Y(4660)$  with the QCD sum rules based on rigorous quark-hadron quality. The numerical values indicate that the hadronic coupling constants  $|G_{Y\psi'f_0}| \gg |G_{YJ/\psi f_0}|$ , which is consistent with the fact that the  $Y(4660)$  is observed in the  $\psi'\pi^+\pi^-$  invariant mass distribution, and favors the  $\psi'f_0(980)$  molecule assignment considering the decay chains  $Y(4600) \rightarrow \psi'f_0(980) \rightarrow \psi'\pi^+\pi^-$  [61, 62]. Similar mechanism maybe exist for the pentaquark states and pentaquark molecular states, i.e. the pentaquark states maybe have a diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark core with the typical size of the  $qqq$ -type baryon states, the strong couplings to the meson-baryon pairs lead to some pentaquark molecule Fock components, and the valance quarks are rearranged into the color-singlet-color-singlet periphery structures, and spend a rather large time as the molecular states.

In the article, we study the hadronic coupling constants of the lowest scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark state with the QCD sum rules base on the rigorous quark-hadron duality, and study its two-body strong decays and estimate the magnitude of the total decay width, and examine the hadronic dressing mechanism for the compact pentaquark states, and try to compromise the scenarios of the pentaquark states and pentaquark molecular states.

The article is arranged as follows: in Sect.2, we illustrate how to calculate the hadronic coupling constants of the hidden-charm pentaquark states with the QCD sum rules based on the rigorous quark-hadron quality; in Sect.3, we derive the QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants of the lowest hidden-charm pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ ; in Sect.4, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.5 is reserved for our conclusion.

## 2 The hadronic coupling constants of the hidden-charm pentaquark states

In this section, we illustrate how to calculate the hadronic coupling constants of the hidden-charm pentaquark states with the QCD sum rules. Firstly, let us write down the three-point correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$ ,

$$\Pi(p, q) = i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{iq \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \{ J_M(x) J_B(y) \bar{J}_P(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\bar{J}_P(0) = J_P^\dagger(0)\gamma^0$ , the current  $J_P(0)$  interpolates the hidden-charm pentaquark state  $P_c$ , the  $J_M(x)$  and  $J_B(y)$  interpolate the traditional meson  $M$  and baryon  $B$ , respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | J_P(0) | P_c(p') \rangle &= \lambda_P U(p', s), \\ \langle 0 | J_M(0) | M(p) \rangle &= \lambda_M, \\ \langle 0 | J_B(0) | B(q) \rangle &= \lambda_B U(q, s), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the  $\lambda_P$ ,  $\lambda_M$  and  $\lambda_B$  are the pole residues or decay constants, the  $U(p', s)$  and  $U(q, s)$  are the Dirac spinors.

At the hadron side, we insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states with the same quantum numbers as the current operators  $\bar{J}_P(0)$ ,  $J_M(x)$ ,  $J_B(y)$  into the three-point correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$  and isolate the ground state contributions of the pentaquark state  $P_c$ , traditional meson  $M$  and baryon  $B$  to obtain the hadronic representation [63, 64],

$$\Pi(p, q) = -i\lambda_P\lambda_M\lambda_B \frac{(\not{q} + m_B) G_{PMB} \Gamma(\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)(m_P^2 - p'^2)} + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where  $p' = p + q$ , the  $G_{PMB}$  are the hadronic coupling constants defined by

$$\langle M(p) B(q) | P_c(p') \rangle = G_{PMB} \bar{U}(q) \Gamma U(p'), \quad (4)$$

the  $\Gamma$  are some Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrixes.

In the QCD sum rules, irrespective of the two-point or three-point QCD sum rules, we take the quark-hadron duality to match the hadron representation with the QCD representation of the correlation functions,

$$\Pi_H(p, q) = \Pi_{QCD}(p, q), \quad (5)$$

where we add the subscripts  $H$  and  $QCD$  to denote the hadron side and QCD side, respectively. We expect the equality,

$$\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_H(p, q)\Gamma'] = \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_{QCD}(p, q)\Gamma'], \quad (6)$$

survives after multiplying both sides by  $\Gamma'$  and accomplishing the trace in the Dirac spinor space, where the  $\Gamma'$  are some Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrixes. If we choose  $\Gamma = 1$  and  $\Gamma' = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_H(p, q)\sigma_{\mu\nu}] &= \Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2) (p_\mu q_\nu - p_\nu q_\mu), \\ \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_{QCD}(p, q)\sigma_{\mu\nu}] &= \Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2) (p_\mu q_\nu - p_\nu q_\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the  $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  and  $\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  are the relevant components of the correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$  we want to study at the hadron side and QCD side, respectively. Let us write down the components  $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)(m_P^2 - p'^2)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_P^2 - p'^2)} \int_{s_B^0}^{\infty} dt \frac{\rho_{PB'}(p'^2, p^2, t)}{t - q^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(m_B^2 - q^2)(m_P^2 - p'^2)} \int_{s_M^0}^{\infty} dt \frac{\rho_{PM'}(p'^2, t, q^2)}{t - p^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)} \int_{s_P^0}^{\infty} dt \frac{\rho_{P'M}(t, p^2, q^2) + \rho_{P'B}(t, p^2, q^2)}{t - p'^2} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we introduce the four formal functions  $\rho_{PB'}(p'^2, p^2, t)$ ,  $\rho_{PM'}(p'^2, t, q^2)$ ,  $\rho_{P'M}(t, p^2, q^2)$  and  $\rho_{P'B}(t, p^2, q^2)$  to parameterize the complex couplings or transitions between the ground states and the higher resonances or the continuum states. In Ref.[12], the  $P_c(4380)$  is assigned to be a pentaquark molecular state, its two-body strong decays are studied with the QCD sum rules, where the second term, the third term and the fourth term in Eq.(8) are all neglected. In Refs.[29, 30], the  $P_c(4312)$  is assigned to be a pentaquark molecular state, its two-body strong decays are studied with the QCD sum rules, all the terms in Eq.(8) are taken into account, just as what was suggested in Ref.[29]. In Refs.[65, 66], the  $\Theta^+(1540)$  is assigned to be a pentaquark state, its two-body strong decays are studied with the QCD sum rules, where the second term, the third term or the fourth term are neglected in one way or the other. We should take into account all the four terms in Eq.(8) so as to describe the transitions between the ground states and the first radial excites in order to make the calculation robust.

We rewrite the correlation functions  $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  at the hadron side as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \int_{(m_M+m_B)^2}^{s_P^0} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} \\ &+ \int_{s_P^0}^{\infty} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

through triple dispersion relation, where the  $\rho_H(s', s, u)$  are the hadronic spectral densities,

$$\rho_H(s', s, u) = \lim_{\epsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Im}_{s'} \text{Im}_s \text{Im}_u \Pi_H(s' + i\epsilon_3, s + i\epsilon_2, u + i\epsilon_1)}{\pi^3}, \quad (10)$$

where the  $\Delta_s^2$  and  $\Delta_u^2$  are the thresholds in the  $s$  and  $u$  channels, respectively, the  $s_P^0, s_M^0, s_B^0$  are the continuum threshold parameters.

Now we carry out the operator product expansion at the QCD side in the deep Euclidean region  $P^2 = -p^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$  and  $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ . However, we cannot write the correlation functions  $\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \int_{(m_M+m_B)^2}^{s_P^0} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} \\ &+ \int_{s_P^0}^{\infty} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

through triple dispersion relation analogously, because the QCD spectral densities  $\rho_{QCD}(s', s, u)$  cannot exist,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{QCD}(s', s, u) &= \lim_{\epsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Im}_{s'} \text{Im}_s \text{Im}_u \Pi_{QCD}(s' + i\epsilon_3, s + i\epsilon_2, u + i\epsilon_1)}{\pi^3} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and we have to write the correlation functions  $\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  in the form,

$$\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2) = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(p'^2, s, u)}{(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} + \dots, \quad (13)$$

through double dispersion relation, where the  $\rho_{QCD}(p'^2, s, u)$  are the QCD spectral densities,

$$\rho_{QCD}(p'^2, s, u) = \lim_{\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Im}_s \text{Im}_u \Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, s + i\epsilon_2, u + i\epsilon_1)}{\pi^2}. \quad (14)$$

Henceforth we will write the QCD spectral densities  $\rho_{QCD}(p'^2, s, u)$  in the form  $\rho_{QCD}(s, u)$  for simplicity.

As the duality below the three continuum threshold parameters  $s_P^0, s_M^0$  and  $s_B^0$  cannot exist simultaneously,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(m_M+m_B)^2}^{s_P^0} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} \\ &\neq \int_{(m_M+m_B)^2}^{s_P^0} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

we carry out the formal integral over  $ds'$  firstly, then we match the hadron side with the QCD side of the correlation functions  $\Pi(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  below the two continuum threshold parameters  $s_M^0$  and  $s_B^0$  simultaneously to obtain the rigorous duality [29, 60, 67, 68, 69, 70],

$$\int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{1}{(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} \left[ \int_{\Delta^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{s' - p'^2} \right] = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s - p^2)(u - q^2)}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\Delta^2 = (m_M + m_B)^2$ . We carry out the integral  $\int_{\Delta^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{s' - p'^2}$  according to the hadronic spectral densities in Eq.(10) to make the calculations rigorous or robust, rather than just selecting

or modeling the hadron representations by hand as in Refs.[12, 65, 66]. Now let us write down the quark-hadron duality explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^0}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} &= \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^0}^{s_B^0} du \int_{\Delta^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s'-p'^2)(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'M} + C_{P'B}}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we introduce the parameters  $C_{P'M}$  and  $C_{P'B}$  to parameterize the net effects by neglecting the dependence on the variables  $t$ ,  $p'^2$ ,  $p^2$  and  $q^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{P'M} &= \int_{s_P^0}^{\infty} dt \frac{\rho_{P'M}(t, p^2, q^2)}{t - p'^2}, \\ C_{P'B} &= \int_{s_P^0}^{\infty} dt \frac{\rho_{P'B}(t, p^2, q^2)}{t - p'^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From Eq.(17), we can see that the duality below the continuum threshold parameters  $s_M^0$  and  $s_B^0$  is rigorous.

In Eqs.(16)-(17), the continuum threshold parameters  $s_M^0$  and  $s_B^0$  appear both in the hadron side and QCD side of the correlation functions. As the spectroscopy of the traditional mesons and baryons are known much better than that of the pentaquark states, even the pentaquark states have not been established yet, we can consult the experimental data from the Particle Data Group and the theoretical predictions from the two-point QCD sum rules to choose suitable continuum threshold parameters  $s_M^0$  and  $s_B^0$ , which should be large enough to include the contributions of the ground states fully, but small enough to exclude the contaminations of the higher excited states and continuum states.

In the two-point QCD sum rules for the conventional baryons  $B$  and mesons  $M$ ,

$$\lambda_i^2 \exp(-\tau m_i^2) = \int_{\Delta_i^2}^{s_i^0} ds \rho_{QCD}(s) \exp(-\tau s), \quad (19)$$

$$m_i^2 = \frac{-\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\Delta_i^2}^{s_i^0} ds \rho_{QCD}(s) \exp(-\tau s)}{\int_{\Delta_i^2}^{s_i^0} ds \rho_{QCD}(s) \exp(-\tau s)}, \quad (20)$$

where  $i = B, M$ ,  $\tau = \frac{1}{T^2}$ , the  $T^2$  is the Borel parameter. The predicted masses  $m_{B/M}$  and pole residues  $\lambda_{B/M}$  vary with the continuum threshold parameters  $s_{B/M}^0$ . In calculations, we observe that the uncertainties of the continuum threshold parameters,  $s_i^0 \rightarrow s_i^0 + \delta s_i^0$ , can lead to uncertainties of the masses and pole residues,  $m_i \rightarrow m_i + \delta m_i$  and  $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i + \delta \lambda_i$ , with the relation  $\frac{\delta \lambda_i}{\lambda_i} \gg \frac{\delta m_i}{m_i}$ . In Eqs.(16)-(17), also in other three-point QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants, we usually take the physical masses  $m_{P/B/M}$  as input parameters and neglect the small uncertainties. Furthermore, we can factorize out the pole residues  $\lambda_{B/M}$  from the unknown functions  $C_{P'M} = \lambda_M \lambda_B \tilde{C}_{P'M}$  and  $C_{P'B} = \lambda_M \lambda_B \tilde{C}_{P'B}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^0}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} &= \lambda_M \lambda_B \left[ \frac{\lambda_P G_{PMB}}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\tilde{C}_{P'M} + \tilde{C}_{P'B}}{(m_M^2 - p^2)(m_B^2 - q^2)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

the uncertainties originate from the continuum threshold parameters  $s_{M/B}^0$  can be absorbed into the pole residues approximately considering of the integrals  $ds$  and  $du$  in both sides of Eqs.(16)-(17), the net effects due to the  $\delta s_{B/M}^0$  are tiny. We choose the ideal values of the continuum threshold parameters  $s_{M/B}^0$ , which happen to reproduce the experimental values of the masses  $m_{M/B}$  approximately, and neglect the uncertainties for simplicity.

In fact, the QCD spectral densities  $\rho_{QCD}(s, u)$  cannot be factorized out as  $\rho_{QCD}(s)\rho_{QCD}(u)$ , the continuum threshold parameters  $s_0$  and  $u_0$  are not necessary to be the ones obtained from the two-point QCD sum rules. We can take the values obtained from the two-point QCD sum rules as a guide, and vary the  $s_0$  and  $u_0$  to search for the best parameters in the three-point QCD sum rules via trial and error. In calculations, we observe that the deviations  $\delta s_0$  and  $\delta u_0$  can be also compensated by variations of the parameters  $\tilde{C}_{P'M}$  and  $\tilde{C}_{P'B}$ , if we fix the values of the pole residues  $\lambda_M$  and  $\lambda_B$ . The continuum threshold parameters  $s_0$  and  $u_0$  from the two-point QCD sum rules work well in the three-point QCD sum rules.

In numerical calculations, we can take the unknown functions  $C_{P'M}$  and  $C_{P'B}$  as free parameters, and choose the suitable values to account for the contaminations of the higher resonances and continuum states in the  $s'$  channel to obtain the stable QCD sum rules. The parameters  $C_{P'M}$  and  $C_{P'B}$  are not necessary to be constants, they maybe depend on the Borel parameters, as there are complex interactions or transitions between the ground states and the higher resonances or continuum states, after the double Borel transform, there maybe appear some net Borel parameter dependence.

If the  $M$  is a charmonium or bottomonium state and the  $B$  is a light flavor baryon state, we set  $p'^2 = p^2$  and perform the double Borel transform in regard to the variables  $P^2 = -p^2$  and  $Q^2 = -q^2$  respectively to obtain the QCD sum rules,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{m_P^2 - m_M^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_M^2}{T_1^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_P^2}{T_1^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_B^2}{T_2^2}\right) + \\ & (C_{P'M} + C_{P'B}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_M^2}{T_1^2} - \frac{m_B^2}{T_2^2}\right) = \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \rho_{QCD}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the  $T_1^2$  and  $T_2^2$  are the Borel parameters. On the other hand, if the  $M$  is a heavy meson and the  $B$  is a heavy baryon state, we set  $p'^2 = 4q^2$  and perform the double Borel transform in regard to the variables  $P^2 = -p^2$  and  $Q^2 = -q^2$  respectively to obtain the QCD sum rules,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{4(\tilde{m}_P^2 - m_B^2)} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_B^2}{T_2^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{m}_P^2}{T_2^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_M^2}{T_1^2}\right) + \\ & (C_{P'M} + C_{P'B}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_B^2}{T_2^2} - \frac{m_M^2}{T_1^2}\right) = \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \rho_{QCD}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\tilde{m}_P^2 = \frac{m_P^2}{4}$ .

In the QCD sum rules in Eqs.(22)-(23), the Borel parameters  $T_1^2$  and  $T_2^2$  are independent parameters, the intervals of dimensions of the vacuum condensates are small. In calculations, we can set  $T_1^2 = T_2^2 = T^2$  to obtain much larger intervals of dimensions of the vacuum condensates, therefore much stable QCD sum rules and much better accuracy of the predictions.

### 3 QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants of the lowest hidden-charm pentaquark state with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$

In the following, we write down the three-point correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$  and  $\Pi_\mu(p, q)$  in the QCD sum rules,

$$\Pi(p, q) = i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{iq \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \{ J_M(x) J_B(y) \bar{J}_P(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (24)$$

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{iq \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \{ J_\mu(x) J_N(y) \bar{J}_P(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (25)$$

where  $J_M(x) = J_{\eta_c}(x), J_{\bar{D}^0}(x), J_{D^-}(x), J_B(y) = J_{\Lambda_c^+}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^{++}}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^+}(y), J_N(y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\eta_c}(x) &= \bar{c}(x) i \gamma_5 c(x), \\ J_{\bar{D}^0}(x) &= \bar{c}(x) i \gamma_5 u(x), \\ J_{D^-}(x) &= \bar{c}(x) i \gamma_5 d(x), \\ J_\mu(x) &= \bar{c}(x) \gamma_\mu c(x), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\Lambda_c^+}(y) &= \varepsilon^{ijk} u_i^T(y) C \gamma_5 d_j(y) c_k(y), \\ J_{\Sigma_c^{++}}(y) &= \varepsilon^{ijk} u_i^T(y) C \gamma_\alpha u_j(y) \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 c_k(y), \\ J_{\Sigma_c^+}(y) &= \varepsilon^{ijk} u_i^T(y) C \gamma_\alpha d_j(y) \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 c_k(y), \\ J_N(y) &= \varepsilon^{ijk} u_i^T(y) C \gamma_\alpha u_j(y) \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 d_k(y), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$J_P(0) = \varepsilon^{ila} \varepsilon^{ijk} \varepsilon^{lmn} u_j^T(0) C \gamma_5 d_k(0) u_m^T(0) C \gamma_5 c_n(0) C \bar{c}_a^T(0), \quad (28)$$

the  $a, i, j, \dots$  are color indices. We choose the quark currents  $J_{\eta_c}(x), J_{\bar{D}^0}(x), J_{D^-}(x), J_\mu(x), J_{\Lambda_c^+}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^{++}}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^+}(y), J_N(y)$  and  $J_P(0)$  to interpolate the hadrons  $\eta_c, \bar{D}^0, D^-, J/\psi, \Lambda_c^+, \Sigma_c^{++}, \Sigma_c^+, p$  and  $P_c$ , respectively. Henceforth we will write the proton as  $N$  instead of  $p$  to avoid confusing with the four momentum  $p_\mu$ .

At the hadron side, we insert a complete set of intermediate hadron states with the same quantum numbers as the current operators  $J_{\eta_c}(x), J_{\bar{D}^0}(x), J_{D^-}(x), J_\mu(x), J_{\Lambda_c^+}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^+}(y), J_{\Sigma_c^{++}}(y), J_N(y)$  and  $\bar{J}_P(0)$  into the correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$  and  $\Pi_\mu(p, q)$  respectively to obtain the hadronic representation [63, 64], then we isolate all the ground state contributions and write them down explicitly,

$$\Pi_{P\eta_c N}(p, q) = \frac{f_{\eta_c} m_{\eta_c}^2 \lambda_P \lambda_N}{2m_c} \frac{-i(\not{q} + m_N)(\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_{\eta_c}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)} G_{P\eta_c N} + \dots, \quad (29)$$

$$\Pi_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}(p, q) = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Lambda_c}}{m_c} \frac{-i(\not{q} + m_{\Lambda_c})(\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Lambda_c}^2 - q^2)} G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+} + \dots, \quad (30)$$

$$\Pi_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}(p, q) = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}}{m_c} \frac{-i(\not{q} + m_{\Sigma_c})(\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c}^2 - q^2)} G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+} + \dots, \quad (31)$$

$$\Pi_{P D^- \Sigma_c^{++}}(p, q) = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^{++}}}{m_c} \frac{-i(\not{q} + m_{\Sigma_c})(\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c}^2 - q^2)} G_{P D^- \Sigma_c^{++}} + \dots, \quad (32)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu(p, q) = & f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \lambda_P \lambda_N \frac{- (\not{q} + m_N) \left( G_V \gamma^\alpha - i \frac{G_T}{m_P + m_N} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} p_\beta \right) \gamma_5 (\not{p}' + m_P)}{(m_P^2 - p'^2) (m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2) (m_N^2 - q^2)} \\
& \left( -g_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{p_\mu p_\alpha}{p^2} \right) + \dots, \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduce the subscripts  $P\eta_c N$ ,  $P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$ ,  $P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$  and  $PD^-\Sigma_c^{++}$  in the correlation functions  $\Pi(p, q)$  to distinguish the corresponding hadronic coupling constants, and we take the standard definitions for the pole residues or decay constants  $\lambda_P$ ,  $\lambda_N$ ,  $\lambda_{\Lambda_c}$ ,  $\lambda_{\Sigma_c}$ ,  $f_{\eta_c}$ ,  $f_D$ ,  $f_{J/\psi}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|J(0)|P_c(p')\rangle &= \lambda_P U(p', s), \\
\langle 0|J_N(0)|N(q)\rangle &= \lambda_N U(q, s), \\
\langle 0|J_{\Lambda_c}(0)|\Lambda_c(q)\rangle &= \lambda_{\Lambda_c} U(q, s), \\
\langle 0|J_{\Sigma_c}(0)|\Sigma_c(q)\rangle &= \lambda_{\Sigma_c} U(q, s), \\
\langle 0|J_{\eta_c}(0)|\eta_c(p)\rangle &= \frac{f_{\eta_c} m_{\eta_c}^2}{2m_c}, \\
\langle 0|J_D(0)|D(p)\rangle &= \frac{f_D m_D^2}{m_c}, \\
\langle 0|J_\mu(0)|J/\psi(p)\rangle &= f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \varepsilon_\mu(p, s), \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

and the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{P\eta_c N}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $G_{PD^-\Sigma_c^{++}}$ ,  $G_V$  and  $G_T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \eta_c(p)N(q)|P_c(p')\rangle &= G_{P\eta_c N} \bar{U}(q)U(p'), \\
\langle \bar{D}^0(p)\Lambda_c^+(q)|P_c(p')\rangle &= G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+} \bar{U}(q)U(p'), \\
\langle \bar{D}^0(p)\Sigma_c^+(q)|P_c(p')\rangle &= G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+} \bar{U}(q)U(p'), \\
\langle D^-(p)\Sigma_c^{++}(q)|P_c(p')\rangle &= G_{PD^-\Sigma_c^{++}} \bar{U}(q)U(p'), \\
\langle J/\psi(p)N(q)|P_c(p')\rangle &= -i\bar{U}(q)\varepsilon_\alpha^* \left( G_V \gamma^\alpha - i \frac{G_T}{m_P + m_N} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} p_\beta \right) \gamma_5 U(p'), \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

the  $U(p', s)$ ,  $U(p, s)$  and  $U(q, s)$  are the Dirac spinors, and the  $\varepsilon_\mu$  is the polarization vector of the  $J/\psi$ .

In this article, we choose  $\Gamma' = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\gamma_5 \not{z}$ ,  $\gamma_5$  in Eq.(6), and accomplish the traces in the Dirac spinor space,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_H(p, q)\sigma_{\mu\nu}] &= \Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2) (p_\mu q_\nu - q_\mu p_\nu) + \dots, \\
\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_\mu^H(p, q)\gamma_5 \not{z}] &= \Pi_H^1(p'^2, p^2, q^2) q_\mu p \cdot z + \dots, \\
\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr} [\Pi_\mu^H(p, q)\gamma_5] &= \Pi_H^2(p'^2, p^2, q^2) q_\mu + \dots, \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

and choose the tensor structures  $p_\mu q_\nu - q_\mu p_\nu$ ,  $q_\mu p \cdot z$  and  $q_\mu$  to study the hadronic coupling constants, where the  $z_\mu$  is an arbitrary four-vector we introduce to select the pertinent Dirac structures. We neglect the explicit expressions of the correlation functions  $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$ ,  $\Pi_H^1(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  and  $\Pi_H^2(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  at the hadron side for simplicity.

At the QCD side of the correlation functions, we carry out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension-10, the interval of the vacuum condensates is large enough to obtain stable QCD sum rules in case of single Borel parameter, the relevant Feynman diagrams are shown explicitly in Fig.1. Moreover, we assume vacuum saturation for the higher

dimensional vacuum condensates. As the vacuum condensates are vacuum expectations of the quark-gluon operators of the dimensions  $n$ , we take the truncations  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$  with  $n \leq 10$  and  $k \leq 1$  in a consistent way, and write (components of) the correlation functions  $\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  as

$$\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2) = \int_{\Delta_s^0}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^0}^{s_B^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} + \dots, \quad (37)$$

through double dispersion relation, where the  $\Pi_{QCD}(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  represent the corresponding correlation functions of the  $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$ ,  $\Pi_H^1(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  and  $\Pi_H^2(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  at the QCD side collectively for simplicity.

Here we take a short digression to discuss the vacuum saturation in performing the operator product expansion. In the original works, Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov took the factorization hypothesis for the higher dimensional vacuum condensates according to two reasons [63]. One is the rather large value of the quark condensate  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ , the other is the duality between the quark and physical states, which implies that counting both the quark and physical states may well become a double counting since they reproduce each other [63].

In the QCD sum rules for the traditional mesons, we always introduce a parameter  $\kappa$  to parameterize the deviation from the factorization hypothesis by hand, for example, in the case of the four quark condensate,  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \rightarrow \kappa \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$  [71, 72, 73]. As the  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$  is always accompanied with the fine-structure constant  $\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ , and plays a minor important role, the deviation from  $\kappa = 1$ , for example,  $\kappa = 2 \sim 3$ , cannot make much difference, though the value  $\kappa > 1$  can lead to better QCD sum rules in some cases. In fact, the vacuum saturation works well in the large  $N_c$  limit [74].

On the contrary, in the QCD sum rules for the tetraquark, pentaquark and hexaquark (or molecular) states, the four-quark condensate plays an important role, a large value, for example,  $\kappa = 2$ , can destroy the platforms in the QCD sum rules for the current  $J_{c\bar{c}}(x)$  in Ref.[75]. Furthermore, in calculations, we observe that the optimal value is  $\kappa = 1$ , the vacuum saturation works well in the QCD sum rules for the multiquark states.

Up to now, all the multiquark states are studied with the QCD sum rules by assuming the vacuum saturation for the higher dimensional vacuum condensates tacitly in performing the operator product expansion, except for in some case the parameter  $\kappa$  is introduced for the sake of fine-tuning. The true values (also the next-to-leading-order perturbative corrections) of the higher dimensional vacuum condensates, even the four quark condensates  $\langle \bar{q}\Gamma q \bar{q}'\Gamma' q' \rangle$ , remain unknown or poorly known, where the  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  stand for the Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrixes, we cannot obtain robust estimations about the effects beyond the vacuum saturation.

Now we come back to the correlation functions  $\Pi(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$ , and accomplish the integral over  $ds'$  firstly at the hadron side according to Eqs.(16)-(17), then match the hadron side with the QCD side of the correlation functions  $\Pi(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$  to obtain the rigorous duality, then write down the quark-hadron duality explicitly,

$$\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{\eta_c}^0} ds \int_0^{s_N^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}^{\eta_c N}(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = \frac{f_{\eta_c} m_{\eta_c}^2 \lambda_P \lambda_N}{2m_c} \frac{G_{P\eta_c N}}{(m_P^2 - p^2)(m_{\eta_c}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'\eta_c} + C_{P'N}}{(m_{\eta_c}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)}, \quad (38)$$

$$\int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Lambda_c}^0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Lambda_c}}{m_c} \frac{G_{P\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}}{(m_P^2 - p^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Lambda_c}^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Lambda_c^+}}{(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Lambda_c}^2 - q^2)}, \quad (39)$$

$$\int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Sigma_c^+}^0} du \frac{\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+ \rho_{QCD}^+(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}}{m_c} \frac{G_{P\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+}}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c^+}^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^+}}{(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c^+}^2 - q^2)}, \quad (40)$$

$$\int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Sigma_c^+}^0} du \frac{D^- \Sigma_c^{++} \rho_{QCD}^+(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^{++}}}{m_c} \frac{G_{PD^- \Sigma_c^{++}}}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c^+}^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'D^-} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^{++}}}{(m_D^2 - p^2)(m_{\Sigma_c^+}^2 - q^2)}, \quad (41)$$

$$\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{J/\psi}^0} ds \int_0^{s_N^0} du \frac{J/\psi N,1 \rho_{QCD}^+(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \lambda_P \lambda_N \frac{G_T - G_V}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'J/\psi,1} + C_{P'N,1}}{(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)}, \quad (42)$$

$$\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{J/\psi}^0} ds \int_0^{s_N^0} du \frac{J/\psi N,2 \rho_{QCD}^+(s, u)}{(s-p^2)(u-q^2)} = f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \lambda_P \lambda_N \frac{(m_P - m_N) G_V - G_T \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{m_P + m_N}}{(m_P^2 - p'^2)(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{P'J/\psi,2} + C_{P'N,2}}{(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_N^2 - q^2)}, \quad (43)$$

where the parameters  $C_{P'\eta_c} + C_{P'N}$ ,  $C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $C_{P'D^-} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^{++}}$ ,  $C_{P'J/\psi,1} + C_{P'N,1}$  and  $C_{P'J/\psi,2} + C_{P'N,2}$  are defined according to Eq.(18).

We perform double Borel transform with respect to the variables  $P^2 = -p^2$  and  $Q^2 = -q^2$  respectively according to the routines in Eqs.(22)-(23) to obtain the QCD sum rules,

$$\frac{f_{\eta_c} m_{\eta_c}^2 \lambda_P \lambda_N}{2m_c} \frac{G_{P\eta_c N}}{m_P^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\eta_c}^2}{T_1^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_P^2}{T_1^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_N^2}{T_2^2}\right) + (C_{P'\eta_c} + C_{P'N}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\eta_c}^2}{T_1^2} - \frac{m_N^2}{T_2^2}\right) = \int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{\eta_c}^0} ds \int_0^{s_N^0} du \rho_{QCD}^{\eta_c N}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Lambda_c}}{4m_c} \frac{G_{P\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}}{\tilde{m}_P^2 - m_{\Lambda_c}^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Lambda_c}^2}{T_2^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{m}_P^2}{T_2^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) + (C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Lambda_c^+}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Lambda_c}^2}{T_2^2} - \frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) = \int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Lambda_c}^0} du \rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}}{4m_c} \frac{G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}}{\tilde{m}_P^2 - m_{\Sigma_c}^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Sigma_c}^2}{T_2^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{m}_P^2}{T_2^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) + \\
& (C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^+}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Sigma_c}^2}{T_2^2} - \frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) = \int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Sigma_c}^0} du \rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f_D m_D^2 \lambda_P \lambda_{\Sigma_c^{++}}}{4m_c} \frac{G_{P\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}}}{\tilde{m}_P^2 - m_{\Sigma_c}^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Sigma_c}^2}{T_2^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{m}_P^2}{T_2^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) + \\
& (C_{P'\bar{D}^-} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^{++}}) \exp\left(-\frac{m_{\Sigma_c}^2}{T_2^2} - \frac{m_D^2}{T_1^2}\right) = \int_{m_c^2}^{s_D^0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{\Sigma_c}^0} du \rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \quad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \lambda_P \lambda_N \frac{G_{V/T}}{m_P^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{T_1^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_P^2}{T_1^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_N^2}{T_2^2}\right) + \\
& C_{V/T} \exp\left(-\frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{T_1^2} - \frac{m_N^2}{T_2^2}\right) = \int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{J/\psi}^0} ds \int_0^{s_N^0} du \rho_{QCD}^{V/T}(s, u) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{u}{T_2^2}\right), \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_V &= \left[ \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{m_P + m_N} (C_{P'J/\psi,1} + C_{P'N,1}) + (C_{P'J/\psi,2} + C_{P'N,2}) \right] \frac{m_P + m_N}{m_P^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2 - m_N^2}, \\
C_T &= [(m_P - m_N) (C_{P'J/\psi,1} + C_{P'N,1}) + (C_{P'J/\psi,2} + C_{P'N,2})] \frac{m_P + m_N}{m_P^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2 - m_N^2}, \\
\rho_{QCD}^V(s, u) &= \left[ \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{m_P + m_N} \rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,1}(s, u) + \rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,2}(s, u) \right] \frac{m_P + m_N}{m_P^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2 - m_N^2}, \\
\rho_{QCD}^T(s, u) &= [(m_P - m_N) \rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,1}(s, u) + \rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,2}(s, u)] \frac{m_P + m_N}{m_P^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2 - m_N^2}, \quad (49)
\end{aligned}$$

in the isospin limit,  $\lambda_{\Sigma_c^{++}} = \sqrt{2}\lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}}(s, u) = 2\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}(s, u)$ , then we can obtain the relation  $G_{P\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}} = \sqrt{2}G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}$ , and neglect the QCD sum rules in Eq.(47) in the numerical calculations, the explicit expressions of the QCD spectral densities  $\rho_{QCD}^{\eta_c N}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,1}(s, u)$  and  $\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,2}(s, u)$  are given in the Appendix. Moreover, we set the two Borel parameters to be  $T_1^2 = T_2^2 = T^2$  according to the arguments after Eq.(23).

## 4 Numerical results and discussions

At the hadron side, we take the hadronic parameters as  $m_{J/\psi} = 3.0969$  GeV,  $m_N = 0.93827$  GeV,  $m_{\eta_c} = 2.9839$  GeV,  $m_{\bar{D}^0} = 1.86484$  GeV,  $m_{\Lambda_c} = 2.28646$  GeV,  $m_{\Sigma_c} = 2.4529$  GeV from the Particle Data Group [76], and  $\sqrt{s_{J/\psi}^0} = 3.6$  GeV,  $\sqrt{s_{\eta_c}^0} = 3.5$  GeV,  $\sqrt{s_N^0} = 1.3$  GeV,  $f_{J/\psi} = 0.418$  GeV,  $f_{\eta_c} = 0.387$  GeV [77],  $\sqrt{s_D^0} = 2.5$  GeV,  $f_D = 0.208$  GeV [78],  $\lambda_N = 0.032$  GeV<sup>3</sup> [79],  $\sqrt{s_{\Lambda_c}^0} =$




Figure 1: The Feynman diagrams contributing to the two-body strong decays. Other diagrams obtained by interchanging of the light quark lines (solid lines) or heavy quark lines (dashed lines) are implied.

3.1 GeV,  $\lambda_{\Lambda_c} = 0.022 \text{ GeV}^3$  [80],  $\sqrt{s_{\Sigma_c}^0} = 3.2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\lambda_{\Sigma_c^+} = 0.045 \text{ GeV}^3$  [81],  $m_P = 4.31 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\lambda_P = 1.40 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^6$  [44] from the QCD sum rules.

At the QCD side, we take the standard values of the vacuum condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -(0.24 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV})^3$ ,  $\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $m_0^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.1) \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle = (0.33 \text{ GeV})^4$  at the energy scale  $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$  [64, 63, 82], and choose the  $\overline{MS}$  mass  $m_c(m_c) = (1.275 \pm 0.025) \text{ GeV}$  from the Particle Data Group [76]. Moreover, we take into account the energy-scale dependence of the parameters from the re-normalization group equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{q}q \rangle(\mu) &= \langle \bar{q}q \rangle(1\text{GeV}) \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(1\text{GeV})}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right]^{\frac{12}{25}}, \\ \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle(\mu) &= \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle(1\text{GeV}) \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(1\text{GeV})}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right]^{\frac{2}{25}}, \\ m_c(\mu) &= m_c(m_c) \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_c)} \right]^{\frac{12}{25}}, \\ \alpha_s(\mu) &= \frac{1}{b_0 t} \left[ 1 - \frac{b_1 \log t}{b_0^2 t} + \frac{b_1^2 (\log^2 t - \log t - 1) + b_0 b_2}{b_0^4 t^2} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

where  $t = \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}$ ,  $b_0 = \frac{33-2n_f}{12\pi}$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{153-19n_f}{24\pi^2}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{2857 - \frac{5033}{9}n_f + \frac{325}{27}n_f^2}{128\pi^3}$ ,  $\Lambda = 210 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $292 \text{ MeV}$  and  $332 \text{ MeV}$  for the flavors  $n_f = 5, 4$  and  $3$ , respectively [76, 83], and evolve all the parameters to the acceptable energy scale  $\mu$  with  $n_f = 4$  to extract the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{P\eta_c N}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $G_V$  and  $G_T$ , as the hidden-charm pentaquark state, charmonium states, charmed mesons and charmed baryons are involved.

The best energy scale of the QCD spectral density in the QCD sum rules for the lowest diquark-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  is  $\mu = 2.3 \text{ GeV}$  [29], which is fixed by the energy scale formula  $\mu = \sqrt{M_{X/Y/Z/P}^2 - (2M_c)^2}$  with the effective  $c$ -quark mass  $M_c = 1.82 \text{ GeV}$  in the case of the constituents are the charmed diquark (antidiquark) states in the color antitriplet (triplet) [84, 85, 86, 87]. The energy scale  $\mu = 2.3 \text{ GeV}$  is too large in the QCD sum rules for the mesons  $\eta_c$ ,  $\bar{D}^0$ ,  $D^-$ ,  $J/\psi$  and baryons  $\Lambda_c^+$ ,  $\Sigma_c^{++}$ ,  $\Sigma_c^+$ ,  $N$ . In this article, we take the energy scales of the QCD spectral densities to be  $\mu = \frac{m_{\eta_c}}{2} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ , which is acceptable for the charmed mesons and charmonium states at least based on our previous studies [88]. In Ref.[89], R. Albuquerque et al try to obtain the energy scale independent QCD sum rules for the tetraquark (molecular) states, however, they choose too large continuum threshold parameters and too large energy scales of the QCD spectral densities, for example, for the  $D\bar{D}$  molecular state with the quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ , they choose the energy scale  $\mu = 4.5 \text{ GeV}$  and continuum threshold parameter  $\sqrt{s_0} = 2M_D + (1.1 \sim 1.9) \text{ GeV} = 3.739 + (1.1 \sim 1.9) \text{ GeV}$ , and obtain the mass of the molecular state,  $M_{D\bar{D}(0^{++})} = 3.898 \pm 0.036 \text{ GeV}$ , the contaminations from the higher resonances and continuum states are already included in.

In the two-point QCD sum rules for the hidden-charm pentaquark states  $P_c$ , conventional baryons  $B$  and mesons  $M$ , see Eqs.(19)-(20), the predicted masses  $m_{P/B/M}$  and pole residues  $\lambda_{P/B/M}$  vary with the input parameters at the QCD side, such as the vacuum condensates, quark masses and continuum threshold parameters. If we choose the masses  $m_{P/B/M}$  and pole residues  $\lambda_{P/B/M}$  as independent parameters, which have their own uncertainties, we should overestimate the uncertainties. According to arguments in section 2, we neglect the uncertainties of the hadron masses and continuum threshold parameters for simplicity, and take into account the uncertainties originate from other input parameters besides the Borel parameters at the QCD side.

In the three-point QCD sum rules, we usually set  $T_1^2 = T_2^2 = T^2$  by merging the two Borel

parameters in Eqs.(22)-(23) to obtain stable QCD sum rules,

$$\frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{m_P^2 - m_M^2} [\exp(-\tau m_M^2) - \exp(-\tau m_P^2)] \exp(-\tau m_B^2) + (C_{P'M} + C_{P'B}) \exp(-\tau m_M^2 - \tau m_B^2) = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \rho_{QCD}(s, u) \exp(-\tau s - \tau u), \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}}{4(\tilde{m}_P^2 - m_B^2)} [\exp(-\tau m_B^2) - \exp(-\tau \tilde{m}_P^2)] \exp(-\tau m_M^2) + (C_{P'M} + C_{P'B}) \exp(-\tau m_B^2 - \tau m_M^2) = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_M^0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{s_B^0} du \rho_{QCD}(s, u) \exp(-\tau s - \tau u), \quad (52)$$

where  $\tau = \frac{1}{T^2}$ . We can choose  $\xi$  to stand for the vacuum condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle$  or  $c$ -quark mass, the uncertainties  $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \delta\xi$  lead to the uncertainties  $\lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB} \rightarrow \lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB} + \delta \lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB}$ ,  $C_{P'M} \rightarrow C_{P'M} + \delta C_{P'M}$ ,  $C_{P'B} \rightarrow C_{P'B} + \delta C_{P'B}$ , where

$$\delta \lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB} = \lambda_P \lambda_M \lambda_B G_{PMB} \left( \frac{\delta \lambda_P}{\lambda_P} + \frac{\delta \lambda_M}{\lambda_M} + \frac{\delta \lambda_B}{\lambda_B} + \frac{\delta G_{PMB}}{G_{PMB}} \right). \quad (53)$$

In the two-point QCD sum rules, we can also choose  $\xi$  to stand for the vacuum condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle$  or  $c$ -quark mass, the uncertainties  $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \delta\xi$  lead to the uncertainties  $\lambda_P \rightarrow \lambda_P + \delta \tilde{\lambda}_P$ ,  $\lambda_B \rightarrow \lambda_B + \delta \tilde{\lambda}_B$ ,  $\lambda_M \rightarrow \lambda_M + \delta \tilde{\lambda}_M$ . The uncertainties maybe have the relations  $\lambda_i \neq \tilde{\lambda}_i$  or  $\lambda_i \approx \tilde{\lambda}_i$  with  $i = P, B, M$ . In calculations, we can take the approximation  $\frac{\delta \lambda_P}{\lambda_P} = \frac{\delta \lambda_M}{\lambda_M} = \frac{\delta \lambda_B}{\lambda_B} = \frac{\delta G_{PMB}}{G_{PMB}}$  in Eq.(53), or equivalently, set  $\frac{\delta \lambda_P}{\lambda_P} = \frac{\delta \lambda_M}{\lambda_M} = \frac{\delta \lambda_B}{\lambda_B} = 0$ , and obtain the uncertainties  $\frac{\delta G_{PMB}}{G_{PMB}}$ , then take the replacement,

$$\frac{\delta G_{PMB}}{G_{PMB}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta G_{PMB}}{G_{PMB}}, \quad (54)$$

to avoid overestimating the uncertainties of the hadronic coupling constants.

We choose the values of the free parameters as  $C_{P'\eta_c} + C_{P'N} = -1.167 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^9$ ,  $C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Lambda_c^+} = 1.24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^7 T^2$ ,  $C_{P'\bar{D}^0} + C_{P'\Sigma_c^+} = -5.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^7 T^2$ ,  $C_V = 2.406 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^9$ ,  $C_T = 4.12 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^8 \sqrt{T^2}$  to obtain flat platforms in the Borel windows  $T^2 = (4.7 - 5.7) \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $(2.3 - 3.1) \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $(1.9 - 2.7) \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $(3.5 - 4.5) \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $(3.1 - 4.1) \text{ GeV}^2$  for the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{P'\eta_c N}$ ,  $G_{P'\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $G_{P'\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $G_V$  and  $G_T$ , respectively. We fit those values to obtain the same intervals of flat platforms  $T_{max}^2 - T_{min}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$  for the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{PMB}$  in the case of  $M = \text{charmonium states and } D \text{ mesons}$ , respectively [60, 67, 68, 69, 70], where the  $T_{max}^2$  and  $T_{min}^2$  are the maximum and minimum values of the Borel parameters, respectively. As the uncertainties  $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \delta\xi$  lead to uncertainties of the unknown functions (or free parameters)  $C_{P'M} \rightarrow C_{P'M} + \delta C_{P'M}$ ,  $C_{P'B} \rightarrow C_{P'B} + \delta C_{P'B}$ , we have to vary the  $C_{P'M}$  and  $C_{P'B}$  accordingly to obtain stable QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{PMB}$  with variations of the Borel parameters.

In the QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants among the pentaquark (or tetraquark) states and two conventional hadrons, we have to introduce the parameters  $C_{P'MB}$  (or  $C_{X/Y/Z'MM}$ ) to subtract the contributions from the higher resonances and continuum states in the channel  $s'$ . In fact, we have no knowledge about the values of the parameters  $C_{P'MB}$  and  $C_{X/Y/Z'MM}$ , even whether or not they depend on the Borel parameters  $T^2$ . We resort to the same criterion in all the QCD sum rules to choose the Borel parameters  $T^2$ , i.e. we vary the parameters  $C_{P'MB}$  or  $C_{X/Y/Z'MM}$  via trial and error to obtain the same intervals of flat platforms  $T_{max}^2 - T_{min}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$  for the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{PMB}$  ( $G_{X/Y/Z'MM}$ ) in the case of

$M$  = charmonium states and  $D$  mesons, respectively [60, 67, 68, 69, 70], which works well in all the QCD sum rules.

Finally, we take into account the uncertainties of the input parameters at the QCD side, and obtain the values of the hadronic coupling constants  $G_{P\eta_c N}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $G_V$  and  $G_T$ , which are shown in Fig.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
|G_{P\eta_c N}| &= 0.40 \pm 0.16 \\
&\rightarrow 0.40 \pm 0.04, \\
G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+} &= 0.24 \pm 0.06 \\
&\rightarrow 0.24 \pm 0.02, \\
|G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}| &= 1.15 \pm 0.31 \\
&\rightarrow 1.15 \pm 0.08, \\
G_V &= 0.35 \pm 0.16 \\
&\rightarrow 0.35 \pm 0.04, \\
G_T &= 0.11 \pm 0.03 \\
&\rightarrow 0.11 \pm 0.01.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Now it is straightforward to calculate the partial decay widths of the two-body strong decays,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(P_c \rightarrow \eta_c N) &= 31.3972 G_{P\eta_c N}^2 \text{ MeV}, \\
&= 5.02 \pm 1.00 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma(P_c \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+) &= 49.4472 G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}^2 \text{ MeV}, \\
&= 2.85 \pm 0.47 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma(P_c \rightarrow J/\psi N) &= 29.5806 G_T^2 - 97.1516 G_V G_T + 80.2825 G_V^2, \\
&= 6.45 \pm 1.84 \text{ MeV}.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

If we saturate the decay width of the  $P_c$  with the two-body strong decays to the  $\eta_c N$ ,  $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$  and  $J/\psi N$ , we can obtain the total width  $\Gamma(P_c) = 14.32 \pm 3.31 \text{ MeV}$ , which is compatible with the experimental value  $\Gamma_{P_c(4312)} = 9.8 \pm 2.7_{-4.5}^{+3.7} \text{ MeV}$  from the LHCb collaboration [2]. The present calculations also support assigning the  $P_c(4312)$  to be the diquark-diquark-antiquark type hidden-charm pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ . The  $P_c(4312)$  maybe have a diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark core with the typical size of the  $qqq$ -type baryon states, the strong couplings to the meson-baryon pairs  $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+$  and  $\bar{D}^- \Sigma_c^{++}$  lead to some pentaquark molecule components according to the large hadronic coupling constants  $|G_{P\bar{D}^- \Sigma_c^{++}}| = \sqrt{2}|G_{P\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+}| \gg |G_{P\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}|$ , and the  $P_c(4312)$  maybe spend a rather large time as the  $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+$  and  $\bar{D}^- \Sigma_c^{++}$  molecular states, just in the case of the  $f_0(980)$ ,  $a_0(980)$  and  $Y(4660)$ . In Ref.[29], we assign the  $P_c(4312)$  to be the  $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$  pentaquark molecular state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  tentatively, and explore its two-body strong decays with the QCD sum rules, and obtain the partial decay widths  $\Gamma(P_c(4312) \rightarrow \eta_c N) = 0.255 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Gamma(P_c(4312) \rightarrow J/\psi N) = 9.296 \text{ MeV}$ . The  $P_c(4312)$  has quite different branching fractions in the scenarios of the pentaquark state and pentaquark molecular state. We can search for the  $P_c(4312)$  in the  $\eta_c N$ ,  $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$  and  $J/\psi N$  invariant mass spectrum, and measure the branching fractions  $\text{Br}(P_c(4312) \rightarrow \eta_c N, \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+, J/\psi N)$  precisely, which maybe shed light on the nature of the  $P_c(4312)$  unambiguously, test the predictions of the QCD sum rules and examine the hadronic dressing mechanism. If the hadronic dressing mechanism works, the  $P_c(4318)$  has both the diquark-diquark-antiquark type and meson-baryon type Fock components, we should introduce mixing effects in the interpolating current, and fix the mixing angle by the precise experimental data in the future.






Figure 2: The hadronic coupling constants with variations of the Borel parameters  $T^2$ , where the (I), (II), (III), (IV) and (V) correspond to the  $G_{P\eta_c N}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}$ ,  $G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}$ ,  $G_V$  and  $G_T$ , respectively.

## 5 Conclusion

In this article, we illustrate how to calculate the hadronic coupling constants of the hidden-charm pentaquark states with the QCD sum rules based on rigorous quark-hadron quality, then study the hadronic coupling constants of the lowest diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  in a consistent way. The predicted total width  $\Gamma(P_c) = 14.32 \pm 3.31$  MeV is compatible with the experimental data  $\Gamma_{P_c(4312)} = 9.8 \pm 2.7_{-4.5}^{+3.7}$  MeV from the LHCb collaboration, and favors assigning the  $P_c(4312)$  to be the  $[ud][uc]\bar{c}$  type compact pentaquark state with the spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ . The  $P_c(4312)$  maybe have a diquark-diquark-antiquark type pentaquark core with the typical size of the  $qqq$  type baryon states, the strong couplings to the meson-baryon pairs  $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$  and  $\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}$  lead to some pentaquark molecule components according to the large hadronic coupling constants  $|G_{P\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}| = \sqrt{2}|G_{P\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}}| \gg |G_{P\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}|$ , just in the case of the  $f_0(980)$ ,  $a_0(980)$  and  $Y(4660)$ . The  $P_c(4312)$  has quite different branching fractions in the scenarios of the pentaquark state and pentaquark molecular state, we can distinguish or compromising the two scenarios unambiguously by measuring the branching fractions  $\text{Br}(P_c(4312) \rightarrow \eta_c N, \bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+, J/\psi N)$  precisely.

## Appendix

The explicit expressions of the QCD spectral densities  $\rho_{QCD}^{\eta_c N}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+}(s, u)$ ,  $\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,1}(s, u)$  and  $\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,2}(s, u)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{QCD}^{\eta_c N}(s, u) = & -\frac{m_c}{2048\pi^6} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx u^2 - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{12\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{4608\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx (1+x) u \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) + \frac{7m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{192\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \delta(u) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{72\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3}{18432\pi^4 T_1^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{u^2}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c}{1024\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx - \frac{m_c}{4608\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x} u \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c}{6144\pi^4 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{2x-1}{x^2} u^2 \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3}{108T_1^4} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{m_c}{36T_1^2} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{2x-1}{x^2} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& - \frac{m_c}{432T_2^2} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{11m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle^2}{9216\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle^2}{1536\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u), \tag{57}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+}(s, u) = & \frac{9m_c}{4096\pi^6} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x)y(1-y)^2 (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& - \frac{3\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{1024\pi^4} \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{128\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x)(1-y) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{4096\pi^4 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \left(4 + \frac{3s}{T_1^2}\right) \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1024\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-2y)}{y} \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{3\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{8192\pi^4} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (5y-3)(1-y) \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{6144\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)(3u-s) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{12288\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(2-7x)(1-y)}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c^3}{8192\pi^4 T_1^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)y(1-y)^2}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& + \frac{m_c}{8192\pi^4 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(3-4x)y(1-y)^2}{x^2} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& - \frac{m_c^3}{4096\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)^2}{y^2} \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{3m_c}{4096\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-x) \\
& - \frac{m_c}{16384\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x+y)(1-y)^2(3u-s) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c}{16384\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(2x-1)(1-y)(5y-3)}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3072\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-y)^2}{y^2} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{6144\pi^2 T_1^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{2T_1^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& - \frac{m_c^4 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{2304\pi^2 T_1^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{768\pi^2 T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{u}{3T_2^2}\right) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{4608\pi^2 T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{18432\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{8xy - 2x - 7y + 4}{xy} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{18432\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (5y-2)(3u-s) \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{1024\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy y \delta(s-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{12288\pi^2 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy (5y-3)(1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) (u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{768\pi^2 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1-y}{x^2} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{96\pi^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{64\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{3072\pi^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(4-5y)}{y} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{9216\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \left( -5 + \frac{24s}{T_1^2} \right) \delta(s-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{128\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \left( 1 + \frac{u}{T_2^2} \right) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1024\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^3}{48} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{3072\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-2y)}{y} \left( 1 + \frac{s}{T_1^2} \right) \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{3m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{3072\pi^2 T_2^8} \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) u^2 \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{4096\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \left( 1 + \frac{u}{T_2^2} \right) \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{4096\pi^2 T_2^2} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{294912\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{96-191y}{y} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{5m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{36864\pi^2 T_1^6} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{6144\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1}{y} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{7m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{36864\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{221184\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{8-37x}{x} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1728T_1^6} \left( 1 - \frac{m_c^2}{2T_1^2} \right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1152T_1^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{(1-x)}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1152T_2^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{T_2^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{576T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-y)}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{u}{3T_2^2}\right) \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1536T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1-2x}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& +\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1152T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{3-4x}{x^2} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{13824T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{y+2}{y} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{3456T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) , \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{QCD}^{\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+}(s, u) &= -\frac{3m_c}{1024\pi^6} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x)y(1-y)^2 (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& +\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{256\pi^4} \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& +\frac{3m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{128\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x)(1-y) \\
& +\frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{512\pi^4} \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y) \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& +\frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1024\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& +\frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1024\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(6-13y)}{y} \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1024\pi^4 T_1^4} \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \delta(s - m_c^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& -\frac{m_c}{2048\pi^4 T_1^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{3T_1^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)y(1-y)^2}{x^2} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& +\frac{m_c^3}{3072\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)^2}{y^2} \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c}{1024\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-x)(1-y) \\
& -\frac{m_c}{2048\pi^4 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{y(1-y)^2}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& +\frac{m_c}{3072\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-2x)y(1-y)}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) (u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& -\frac{m_c}{73728\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(y+2)(1-y)^2}{y} (3u-s) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{2304\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-y)^2}{y^2} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{768\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{1536\pi^2 T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)}{y} \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{2304\pi^2 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) (u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{256\pi^2 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-y)}{x} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{4608\pi^2 T_1^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{2T_1^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy y(1-y)^2 \delta(s-m_c^2) (u-\tilde{m}_y^2)^2 \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{1536\pi^2 T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{9216\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1+y)(3u-s) \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3072\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{x}{y} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{256\pi^2 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{x^2} \left(1 - \frac{s}{3T_1^2}\right) \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{256\pi^2 T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{u}{3T_2^2}\right) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{32\pi^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s-m_c^2) - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{96\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{384\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \left(1 + \frac{2u}{T_2^2}\right) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{1536\pi^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{25y-14}{y} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{768\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \left(1 - \frac{6s}{T_1^2}\right) \delta(s-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{4608\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{9-2x}{x} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{576T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1152T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1}{y} \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-\tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1152T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s-m_c^2) \delta(u-m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{576T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1-x}{x^2} \left(1 - \frac{s}{3T_1^2}\right) \delta(s-\tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u-m_c^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{192T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1-y}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{u}{3T_2^2}\right) \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{6912T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1-2x}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{2304T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1-2y}{y} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{576T_1^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{2T_1^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{1728T_2^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{T_2^2}\right) \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^3}{72} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{2048T_2^2} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{512\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \delta(s - m_c^2) + \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{3072\pi^2 T_1^6} \int_{y_i}^1 dy (1-y) \delta(s - m_c^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{18432\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{36864\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^1 dx \frac{9-2x}{x} \left(1 + \frac{2u}{T_2^2}\right) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2) \delta(u - m_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{3072\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{6-13y}{y} \left(1 + \frac{s}{T_1^2}\right) \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{9216\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{1}{y} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{147456\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{y_i}^1 dy \frac{379y - 326}{y} \delta(s - m_c^2) \delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{1536\pi^2 T_2^6} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{T_2^2}\right) \int_{x_i}^1 dx (1-x) \delta(u - m_c^2) , \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N,1}(s, u) &= -\frac{m_c}{2048\pi^6} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx u^2 - \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{12\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \delta(u) \\
&+ \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{9216\pi^4} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx (1+x) u \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) + \frac{7m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{192\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \delta(u) \\
&+ \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{576\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{3x-5}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) - \frac{m_c}{1024\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \\
&- \frac{m_c}{9216\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x(1-x)} u \left(1 - \frac{u}{T_1^2}\right) \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
&- \frac{m_c}{6144\pi^4 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{3xT_1^2}\right) u^2 \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
&+ \frac{m_c^3 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{108T_1^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x^3} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
&- \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{432T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{1}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{216T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{6x^2 - 13x - 6}{x^2(x-1)} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{4608\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{7-4x}{x} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{221184\pi^2 T_1^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \frac{61x+40}{x(1-x)} \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u), \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{QCD}^{J/\psi N;2}(s, u) & = \frac{1}{2048\pi^6} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [xs + x(1-x)(s - \tilde{m}_c^2)] u^2 \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{12\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [xs + x(1-x)(s - \tilde{m}_c^2)] \delta(u) \\
& - \frac{7\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{192\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [xs + x(1-x)(s - \tilde{m}_c^2)] \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{144\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [s\delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) + 1] \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2}{18432\pi^4 T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \left[ \frac{1}{x^2} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{T_1^2} \right) + \frac{1}{x} \right] u^2 \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
& + \frac{1}{1024\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [xs + x(1-x)(s - \tilde{m}_c^2)] \\
& + \frac{1}{2304\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [s\delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) + 1] u \\
& + \frac{1}{36864\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \left[ 1 + \frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{s}{2x(1-x)T_1^2} \right] u^2 \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \\
& + \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{108T_1^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \left[ \frac{1}{x^2} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{T_1^2} \right) + \frac{1}{x} \right] \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{216T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx s\delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{216T_2^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{216} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \left[ 1 + \frac{s}{T_1^2} - \frac{s}{2x(1-x)T_1^2} \right] \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u) \\
& - \frac{3\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{2304\pi^2 T_2^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx [s\delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) + 1] \delta(u) \\
& + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{110592\pi^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \left[ 7 - \frac{44s}{T_1^2} - \frac{14s}{x(1-x)T_1^2} \right] \delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2) \delta(u), \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_f = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4m_c^2/s}}{2}$ ,  $x_i = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4m_c^2/s}}{2}$ ,  $\tilde{m}_c^2 = \frac{m_c^2}{x(1-x)}$  in Eq.(57) and Eqs.(60)-(61),  $x_i = \frac{m_c^2}{s}$ ,  $y_i = \frac{m_c^2}{u}$ ,  $\tilde{m}_x^2 = \frac{m_c^2}{x}$ ,  $\tilde{m}_y^2 = \frac{m_c^2}{y}$  in Eqs.(58)-(59),  $\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 dx$ ,  $\int_{x_i}^1 dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 dx$  and  $\int_{y_i}^1 dy \rightarrow \int_0^1 dy$ , when the  $\delta$  functions  $\delta(s - \tilde{m}_c^2)$ ,  $\delta(s - \tilde{m}_x^2)$  and  $\delta(u - \tilde{m}_y^2)$  appear.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 11775079.



## References

- [1] R. Aaij et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 072001.
- [2] R. Aaij et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) 222001.
- [3] R. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 132002.
- [4] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, T. G. Steele and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 172001.
- [5] L. Roca, J. Nieves and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 094003.
- [6] J. He, Phys. Lett. **B753** (2016) 547.
- [7] H. Huang, C. Deng, J. Ping and F. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 624.
- [8] F. K. Guo, U. G. Meissner, W. Wang and Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 071502.
- [9] U. G. Meissner and J. A. Oller, Phys. Lett. **B751** (2015) 59.
- [10] T. J. Burns, Eur. Phys. J. **A51** (2015) 152.
- [11] K. Azizi, Y. Sarac and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017) 094016.
- [12] K. Azizi, Y. Sarac and H. Sundu, Phys. Lett. **B782** (2018) 694.
- [13] Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A34** (2019) 1950097.
- [14] R. Chen, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 011502.
- [15] H. X. Chen, W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 051501.
- [16] M. Z. Liu, Y. W. Pan, F. Z. Peng, M. S. Sanchez, L. S. Geng, A. Hosaka and M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) 242001.
- [17] F. K. Guo, H. J. Jing, U. G. Meissner and S. Sakai, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 091501.
- [18] J. He, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 393.
- [19] C. J. Xiao, Y. Huang, Y. B. Dong, L. S. Geng and D. Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 014022.
- [20] Y. Shimizu, Y. Yamaguchi and M. Harada, arXiv:1904.00587.
- [21] H. Huang, J. He and J. Ping, arXiv:1904.00221.
- [22] Z. H. Guo and J. A. Oller, Phys. Lett. **B793** (2019) 144.
- [23] J. R. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 1001.
- [24] Q. Wu and D. Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 114002.
- [25] X. Y. Wang, X. R. Chen and J. He, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 114007.
- [26] S. Sakai, H. J. Jing and F. K. Guo, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 074007.
- [27] T. J. Burns and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 114033.
- [28] H. W. Ke, M. Li, X. H. Liu and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. **D101** (2020) 014024.
- [29] Z. G. Wang and X. Wang, Chin. Phys. **C44** (2020) 103102.
- [30] Y. J. Xu, C. Y. Cui, Y. L. Liu and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D102** (2020) 034028.

- [31] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Lett. **B749** (2015) 289.
- [32] V. V. Anisovich, M. A. Matveev, J. Nyiri, A. V. Sarantsev and A. N. Semenova, arXiv:1507.07652.
- [33] G. N. Li, M. He and X. G. He, JHEP **1512** (2015) 128.
- [34] R. Ghosh, A. Bhattacharya and B. Chakrabarti, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **14** (2017) 550.
- [35] V. V. Anisovich, M. A. Matveev, J. Nyiri, A. V. Sarantsev and A. N. Semenova, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1550190.
- [36] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 70.
- [37] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 142.
- [38] Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **B913** (2016) 163.
- [39] J. X. Zhang, Z. G. Wang and Z. Y. Di, Acta Phys. Polon. **B48** (2017) 2013.
- [40] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 43.
- [41] A. Ali and A. Y. Parkhomenko, Phys. Lett. **B793** (2019) 365.
- [42] R. Zhu, X. Liu, H. Huang and C. F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. **B797** (2019) 134869.
- [43] J. B. Cheng and Y. R. Liu, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 054002.
- [44] Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A35** (2020) 2050003.
- [45] R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 114030.
- [46] R. F. Lebed, Phys. Lett. **B749** (2015) 454.
- [47] R. Zhu and C. F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. **B756** (2016) 259.
- [48] A. Pimikov, H. J. Lee and P. M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D101** (2020) 014002.
- [49] M. I. Eides, V. Y. Petrov and M. V. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A35** (2020) 2050151.
- [50] N. A. Tornqvist, Z. Phys. **C68** (1995) 647.
- [51] M. Boglione and M. R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1998.
- [52] F. E. Close and N. A. Tornqvist, J. Phys. **G28** (2002) R249.
- [53] C. Amsler and N. A. Tornqvist, Phys. Rept. **389** (2004) 61.
- [54] P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, Phys. Lett. **B559** (2003) 49.
- [55] Z. G. Wang, W. M. Yang and S. L. Wan, Eur. Phys. J. **C37** (2004) 223.
- [56] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 427.
- [57] J. Sugiyama, T. Nakamura, N. Ishii, T. Nishikawa and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 114010.
- [58] H. J. Lee, Eur. Phys. J. **A30** (2006) 423.
- [59] H. J. Lee, K. S. Kim and H. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 034021.
- [60] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 184.
- [61] Z. G. Wang and X. H. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **54** (2010) 323.

- [62] R. M. Albuquerque, M. Nielsen and R. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 116004.
- [63] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385, 448.
- [64] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127** (1985) 1.
- [65] M. Eidemuller, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen and R. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 034003.
- [66] Z. G. Wang, W. M. Yang and S. L. Wan, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 034012.
- [67] Z. G. Wang and J. X. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 14.
- [68] Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A34** (2019) 1950110.
- [69] Z. G. Wang and Z. Y. Di, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 72.
- [70] Z. G. Wang, Acta Phys. Polon. **B51** (2020) 435.
- [71] S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B673** (2009) 30.
- [72] Y. Chung, H. G. Dosch, M. Kremer and D. Schall, Z. Phys. **C25** (1984) 151.
- [73] H. G. Dosch, M. Jamin and S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B220** (1989) 251.
- [74] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 525.
- [75] Z. G. Wang, arXiv:2003.10631.
- [76] M. Tanabashi et al, Phys. Rev. **D98** (2018) 030001.
- [77] D. Becirevic, G. Duplancic, B. Klajn, B. Melic and F. Sanfilippo, Nucl. Phys. **B883** (2014) 306.
- [78] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C75** (2015) 427.
- [79] B. L. Ioffe, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **56** (2006) 232.
- [80] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C68** (2010) 479.
- [81] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Lett. **B685** (2010) 59.
- [82] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, hep-ph/0010175.
- [83] S. Narison and R. Tarrach, Phys. Lett. **125 B** (1983) 217.
- [84] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2874.
- [85] Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **63** (2015) 466.
- [86] Z. G. Wang and Y. F. Tian, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1550004.
- [87] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 387.
- [88] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 054019.
- [89] R. Albuquerque, S. Narison, F. Fanomezana, A. Rabemananjara, D. Rabetiarivony and G. Randriamanatrika, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A31** (2016) 1650196.