DENSE LOCALLY FINITE SUBGROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF ULTRAEXTENSIVE SPACES

MAHMOOD ETEDADIALIABADI, SU GAO, FRANÇOIS LE MAÎTRE, AND JULIEN MELLERAY

ABSTRACT. We verify a conjecture of Vershik by showing that Hall's universal countable locally finite group can be embedded as a dense subgroup in the isometry group of the Urysohn space and in the automorphism group of the random graph. In fact, we show the same for all automorphism groups of known infinite ultraextensive spaces. These include, in addition, the isometry group of the rational Urysohn space, the isometry group of the ultrametric Urysohn spaces, and the automorphism group of the universal K_n -free graph for all $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, we show that finite group actions on finite metric spaces or finite relational structures form a Fraïssé class, where Hall's group appears as the acting group of the Fraïssé limit. We also embed continuum many non-isomorphic countable universal locally finite groups into the isometry groups of various Urysohn spaces, and show that all dense countable subgroups of these groups are mixed identity free (MIF). Finally, we give a characterization of the isomorphism type of the isometry group of the Urysohn Δ -metric spaces in terms of the distance value set Δ .

1. Introduction

The concepts of ultraextensive metric spaces and ultraextensive relational structures were introduced in [5] and [6], respectively, to capture some common properties possessed by many Fraïssé limits. One of the main properties of an ultraextensive space is that its automorphism group contains a countable dense locally finite subgroup. Known examples of ultraextensive spaces include the universal Urysohn space \mathbb{U} , the universal rational Urysohn space $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U}$, the universal ultrametric Urysohn spaces, the random graph \mathcal{R} , and the universal K_n -free graphs H_n (also known as Henson graphs). That the automorphism groups (or isometry groups) of these spaces contain a countable dense locally finite subgroup was proved in Bhattacharjee–Macpherson [1], Pestov [20], Rosendal [22], and Siniora–Solecki [28]. In this paper we consider a concept of universal Δ -metric spaces that unifies the study of the Urysohn space \mathbb{U} , the rational Urysohn space $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U}$ and the random graph \mathcal{R} . Given a distance value set Δ , the universal Δ -metric space \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is an ultraextensive metric space.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03C13,03C55; Secondary 20E06,20E26. Key words and phrases. Hrushovski property, extension property for partial automorphisms (EPPA), partial isomorphism, HL-extension, HL-map, coherent, ultraextensive, ultrahomogeneous, locally finite, Henson Graph, MIF, ∞-MIF, omnigenous.

The second author's research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1800323.

Vershik [31] conjectured that $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$, the isometry group of the Urysohn space, contains Hall's universal countable locally finite group \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup. He made the same conjecture for the automorphism group of the countable random graph. Our first main result of this paper is to confirm Vershik's conjecture. In fact, we establish this for all known examples of infinite ultraextensive spaces.

Theorem 1.1. The following groups contain Hall's universal countable locally finite group \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup:

- (1) Iso(\mathbb{U}), the isometry group of the Urysohn space;
- (2) Iso(\mathbb{QU}), the isometry group of the rational Urysohn space;
- (3) Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}), the isometry group of the universal Δ -metric space, for any distance value set Δ ;
- (4) Isometry groups of ultrametric Urysohn spaces;
- (5) $Aut(\mathcal{R})$, the automorphism group of the random graph; and
- (6) Aut (H_n) , the automorphism group of the universal K_n -free graph, for any n > 3.

In fact, we show that \mathbb{H} appears canonically as a dense subgroup in these automorphism groups via the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2. Let K_{Δ} be the class of all structures (X,G) such that X is a finite Δ -metric space, G is a finite group, and G acts on X by isometries. Then K_{Δ} is a Fraïssé class. Letting (X_{Δ}, H_{Δ}) be the Fraïssé limit of K_{Δ} , then X_{Δ} is isometric to \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , H_{Δ} is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} , and H_{Δ} is dense in $\operatorname{Iso}(X_{\Delta})$.

Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{L} be a finite relational language. Let \mathcal{T} be a finite set of finite \mathcal{L} structures each of which is a Gaifman clique. Let \mathcal{K} be the class of all pairs (M,G)such that M is a finite \mathcal{T} -free \mathcal{L} -structure, G is a finite group, and G acts on X by
isomorphisms. Then \mathcal{K} is a Fraïssé class. Letting (N_{∞}, H_{∞}) be the Fraïssé limit of \mathcal{K} , then N_{∞} is isomorphic to the universal \mathcal{T} -free \mathcal{L} -structure, H_{∞} is isomorphic
to \mathbb{H} , and H_{∞} is dense in $\mathrm{Aut}(N_{\infty})$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 we use a result of Rosendal [22] that characterizes the RZ-property (after Ribes–Zalesskiĭ) by an extension property for finite metric spaces. Then, for Theorem 1.3 we need to use a concept of HL-property (after Herwig–Lascar) and a characterization of the HL-property in the spirit of Rosendal's result, both developed in [6]. For both the RZ-property and the HL-property, we do need to establish some new results about their closure under finite-index extensions.

Next we turn to the problem of constructing many non-isomorphic dense locally finite subgroups of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, the isometry group of the Urysohn Δ -metric spaces. We define a notion of omnigenous groups, which can be viewed as a natural generalization of Hall's group. We then show that there are many such groups, and that they are all densely embeddable.

Theorem 1.4. There are continuum many non-isomorphic countable omnigenous groups each of which is universal for countable locally finite groups.

Theorem 1.5. Every countable omnigenous group is embeddable into $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ as a dense subgroup.

In an effort to characterize all countable dense subgroups of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, we consider some notions from the point of view of model theory and combinatorial group theory. In particular, we consider the property of being "mixed-identity free" (MIF) recently studied by Hull–Osin [14] and prove that any countable dense subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, when $|\Delta| \geq 2$, must be MIF.

Theorem 1.6. For any countable distance value set Δ with $|\Delta| \geq 2$, Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) as well as any of its dense subgroup must be MIF.

This theorem is false when $|\Delta| = 1$. In that case $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is just the permutation group S_{∞} and it is known that S_{∞} is not MIF since it contains the non MIF group of finitely supported permutations as a dense subgroup (cf. Theorem 5.9 of Hull–Osin [14]). Note that our result also provides an elementary proof of the fact that the group of finitely supported permutations cannot arise as a dense subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ as soon as $|\Delta| \geq 2$ (cf. Theorem 8.1 of [7]).

Remark 1.7. The theorem also yields a continuum family of universal countable locally finite groups that are not embeddable as dense subgroups of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, namely groups of the form $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$, where A is a nontrivial abelian p-group. This can also be seen using the fact that $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is always a topologically simple non abelian group (see Thm. 7.3), and no such group can contain a dense subgroup which decomposes as a nontrivial direct product.

Furthermore, we introduce a new notion for locally finite groups which we call ∞ -MIF, and show that it is actually equivalent to being omnigenous.

It would be very interesting to be able to distinguish topological groups of the form $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ by looking at their list of countable dense subgroups. As a first step towards this, it is natural to ask which $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ can be densely embedded into another $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$. Indeed if so then $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ will contain at least as many countable dense subgroups as $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. Our next result shows that these dense embeddings only occur in the obvious case, namely when $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ and $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ are isomorphic, and provides a natural characterization in terms of the distance sets Δ and Λ for this to happen. This uses the following notion: we call $(d_1,d_2,d_3) \in \Delta^3$ a Δ -triangle if there is a metric space (X,d) with $X = \{x,y,z\}$ such that $d_1 = d(x,y)$, $d_2 = d(y,z)$ and $d_3 = d(z,x)$.

Theorem 1.8. Let Δ and Λ be countable distance value sets. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There is a continuous homomorphism $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \to \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ with dense range;
- (ii) Iso(U_{Δ}) and Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Λ}) are isomorphic as abstract groups;
- (iii) Iso(U_{Δ}) and Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Λ}) are isomorphic as topological groups;
- (iv) There exists a bijection $\theta: \Delta \to \Lambda$ such that for any triple $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \Delta^3$, (d_1, d_2, d_3) is a Δ -triangle iff $(\theta(d_1), \theta(d_2), \theta(d_3))$ is a Λ -triangle.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we cover some preliminaries and verify that \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is ultraextensive for any countable distance value set Δ . In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we develop results about the HL-property of groups and prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5 we study the notion of omnigenous groups and prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.4. We apply these results also to the isometry groups of ultrametric Urysohn spaces. In Section 6 we study the notions of discerning types, discerning structures, MIF groups, and ∞ -MIF groups. In Section 7 we prove that Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}), as well as pointwise stabilizers on \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , are topologically simple; this is used to establish Theorem 1.8. Finally, in Section 8 we pose some open problems.

2. Ultraextensive Metric Spaces

2.1. Basics of Fraïssé theory. We briefly recall the basic concepts of Fraïssé theory. Throughout this paper let \mathcal{L} be a countable language.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a countable \mathcal{L} -structure. A partial automorphism of M is an isomorphism $g \colon A \to B$, where A and B are finitely generated substructures of M.

The structure M is said to be *ultrahomogeneous* if every partial automorphism of M extends to an automorphism of M.

In the cases considered in this paper, finitely generated substructures are always finite. For example, this happens when \mathcal{L} is a relational language. Another case we will consider is when \mathcal{L} is the (finite) language of group theory, and M is a countable locally finite group. We will assume this property tacitly in all of our discussions.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a countable \mathcal{L} -structure. The age of M, denoted Age(M), is the class of all finite substructures of M (considered up to isomorphism).

The age of any countable \mathcal{L} -structure contains only countably many members up to isomorphism; also, any two members of the age embed in a third one (the *joint embedding property*) and whenever $A \in \mathrm{Age}(M)$ and B is a substructure of A then also $B \in \mathrm{Age}(M)$ (the *hereditary property*). Ages of ultrahomogeneous structures are characterized by an additional condition.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of \mathcal{L} -structures. We say that \mathcal{K} has the amalgamation property if, for any $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$ and any embedding $\beta \colon A \to B$, $\gamma \colon A \to C$, there exists $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $\beta' \colon B \to D$ and $\gamma' \colon C \to D$ such that $\beta' \circ \beta(a) = \gamma' \circ \gamma(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

 \mathcal{K} has the strong amalgamation property if in the above definition we have in addition $\beta'(B) \cap \gamma'(C) = \beta' \circ \beta(A)$.

Theorem 2.4 (Fraïssé). The age of any ultrahomogeneous \mathcal{L} -structure satisfies the amalgamation property. Conversely, if \mathcal{K} is a countable (up to isomorphism) class of finite \mathcal{L} -structures which has the joint embedding, hereditary and amalgamation properties then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism), ultrahomogeneous countable \mathcal{L} -structure M such that $Age(M) = \mathcal{K}$.

A class K satisfying the assumptions of the theorem is called a Fraissé class, and the unique structure M above is called the Fraissé limit of K. It is also characterized by the statement that Age(M) = K, and for any $A \subseteq M$, any $B \in K$ and any embedding $\varphi \colon A \to B$ there exists an embedding $\psi \colon B \to M$ such that $\psi(\varphi(a)) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

2.2. Δ -metric spaces.

Definition 2.5. A distance value set is a nonempty subset Δ of the open interval $(0, +\infty)$, such that

$$\forall x, y \in \Delta \quad \min(x + y, \sup(\Delta)) \in \Delta$$
.

A Δ -metric space is a metric space whose nonzero distances belong to Δ .

In particular, when Δ is bounded the definition implies that $\sup(\Delta) \in \Delta$. The definition above is a particular case of what Conant [3] calls a *distance monoid*, and our constructions could work for some more general distance monoids. For simplicity, we choose to work only in this more restricted case.

In general, for a metric space (M,d), the isometry group $\operatorname{Iso}(M,d)$ is endowed with the pointwise convergence topology, i.e. $g_n \to g$ iff $d(g_n(x), g(x)) \to 0$ for all $x \in M$. When (M,d) is a separable complete metric space, $\operatorname{Iso}(M,d)$ becomes a Polish group, and we often write $\operatorname{Iso}(M)$ instead of $\operatorname{Iso}(M,d)$.

In case (M, d) is countable, we use the following important convention. We will use Iso(M) to denote the group Iso(M, d) but we will view it as a subset of the permutation group Sym(M) on M. As such it will become a closed subgroup of Sym(M), and Iso(M) will be endowed with the subspace topology of Sym(M), which we will refer to as the *permutation group topology*. This again makes Iso(M) a Polish group. A basis of neighborhoods of 1 for this topology is given by pointwise stabilizers of finite tuples of elements of M.

To apply Fraïssé theory, we will assume throughout this paper that Δ is a *countable* distance value set.

Now any Δ -metric space (M, d) may be viewed as a first-order structure, in a countable relational language with a binary relational symbol R_s for each element s of Δ , by declaring that

$$M \models R_s(x,y) \text{ iff } d(x,y) = s.$$

Since there should be no risk of confusion, we will be using the distance function instead of those binary relational symbols.

Lemma 2.6. For any distance value set Δ , the class of finite Δ -metric spaces has the strong amalgamation property.

Proof. Assume that A, B, C are finite Δ -metric spaces and A is a subspace of both B and C. Let D denote the union of B and C, where both copies of A are identified and the values of the metric on B and C are imposed (and coincide on A). We need to define d(b, c) for $b \in B \setminus A$ and $c \in C \setminus A$. If A is empty, then we let m be

the maximum value taken by d on either B or C, and set d(b,c)=m for any b and c. If A is nonempty, then we set

$$d(b, c) = \min\{\min\{d(b, a) + d(a, c) : a \in A\}, \sup(\Delta)\}.$$

Then D is a Δ -metric space.

Thus if Δ is a countable distance value set, then the class of finite Δ -metric spaces is a Fraïssé class. We denote by \mathbb{U}_{Δ} the Fraïssé limit of this class, which is itself a countable Δ -metric space. We emphasize that $G_{\Delta} = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is endowed with the permutation group topology.

We will need the following characterization of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} . The property in the proposition is called the *Urysohn property*.

Proposition 2.7. Let Δ be any countable distance value set. The space \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is the unique countable Δ -metric space X, up to isometry, that satisfies the following property:

Given any finite subset A of X and function $f: A \to \Delta$ satisfying

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \le d(a, b) \le f(a) + f(b), \forall a, b \in A,$$

there is an $x \in X$ such that d(x, a) = f(a) for all $a \in A$.

Functions $f: A \to \Delta$ as above are called *Katětov functions* over A. We now mention some well-known examples of spaces having the Urysohn property.

- **Example 2.8.** (1) Δ is a singleton. For instance let $\Delta = \{1\}$. Then $\mathbb{U}_{\{1\}}$ is a countable space with the discrete metric δ , where $\delta(x,y)=1$ iff $x\neq y$, and $G_{\{1\}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ (also denoted S_{∞}). Here $\mathbb{U}_{\{1\}}$ can also be viewed as the complete graph $K_{\mathbb{N}}$, while $\mathrm{Aut}(K_{\mathbb{N}})=\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$.
 - (2) $\Delta = \{0, 1, 2\}$. In this case $\mathbb{U}_{\{1,2\}}$ is essentially the random graph \mathcal{R} . In fact, if we define in \mathcal{R} the metric d by d(x, y) = 1 iff there is an edge between x and y, then (\mathcal{R}, d) is isometric with $\mathbb{U}_{\{1,2\}}$. In this case $G_{\{1,2\}}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$.
 - (3) $\Delta = \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the universal rational Urysohn space $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U}$, and $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U})$.

2.3. **S-extensions.** We recall the notion of S-extension from [5].

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. When there is no danger of confusion, we simply write X for (X, d_X) and Y for (Y, d_Y) . We say that Y is an extension of X if (X, d_X) is a subspace of (Y, d_Y) . Interchangeably, we use the same terminology when Y contains an isometric copy of X, i.e. when there is an (obvious) isometric embedding from X into Y.

A partial isometry of X is an isometry between two finite subspaces of X. The set of all partial isometries of X is denoted as $\mathcal{P}(X)$. $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a groupoid with the composition $(p,q) \mapsto p \circ q$, where $p \circ q$ is only defined when $\operatorname{rng}(q) = \operatorname{dom}(p)$, and the inverse $p \mapsto p^{-1}$.

If Y is an extension of X, then every partial isometry of X is also a partial isometry of Y. In symbols, we have $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ if $X \subseteq Y$.

If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, we say that q extends p, and write $p \subseteq q$, if

$$\{(x, p(x)) : x \in dom(p)\} \subseteq \{(x, q(x)) : x \in dom(q)\}.$$

We let 1_X denote the identity isometry on X, i.e., $1_X(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Let \mathcal{P}_X denote the set of all $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $p \not\subseteq 1_X$. We refer to elements of \mathcal{P}_X as nonidentity partial isometries of X. Note that if $p \in \mathcal{P}_X$ then $p^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_X$.

Definition 2.9. Let X be a metric space and $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}_X$ such that $P = P^{-1}$. An S-extension of X with respect to P is a pair (Y, ϕ) , where $Y \supseteq X$ is an extension of X, and $\phi: P \to \mathrm{Iso}(Y)$ is such that $\phi(p)$ extends p for all $p \in P$. We also require that $\phi(p^{-1}) = \phi(p)^{-1}$ for all $p \in P$. When $P = \mathcal{P}_X$ we call (Y, ϕ) an S-extension of X.

The following strong notion of coherence was introduced by Solecki (cf. [22] and [28]). We use a terminology different from Solecki's since we will have to deal with a weaker notion of coherence in the next subsection.

Definition 2.10 (Solecki). Let X be a metric space. An S-extension (Y, ϕ) of X is *strongly coherent* if for every triple (p, q, r) of partial isometries of X such that $p \circ q = r$, we have $\phi(p) \circ \phi(q) = \phi(r)$.

Theorem 2.11 (Solecki [27] [22] [28]). Let Δ be any distance value set and X be a finite Δ -metric space. Then, X has a finite, strongly coherent S-extension (Y, ϕ) where Y is a Δ -metric space.

The observation that finite, strongly coherent S-extensions can be constructed as Δ -metric spaces was explicit in Solecki's unpublished notes [27] but follows implicitly from all proofs of existence of finite, strongly coherent S-extensions, e.g. in Siniora–Solecki [28] or Hubička–Konečný–Nešetřil [13].

The following lemma highlights the importance of strongly coherent S-extensions.

Lemma 2.12. Let X be a metric space and (Y, ϕ) be a strongly coherent S-extension of X. For every $D \subseteq X$, the map $p \mapsto \phi(p)$ gives a group embedding from $\mathrm{Iso}(D)$ into $\mathrm{Iso}(Y)$.

2.4. Ultraextensive Δ -metric spaces. We recall more notions from [5].

For any metric space X and $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}_X$ such that $P = P^{-1}$, we let $\mathbb{F}(P)$ denote the free group generated by P, where for any $p \in P$, the inverse of p in $\mathbb{F}(P)$ is p^{-1} . If (Y, ϕ) is an S-extension of X with respect to P, then ϕ can be naturally extended to a homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}(P)$ to $\mathrm{Iso}(Y)$. We still use ϕ to denote this group homomorphism, i.e., for any $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in P$,

$$\phi(p_1 \cdots p_n) = \phi(p_1) \circ \cdots \circ \phi(p_n).$$

Definition 2.13. Let X be a metric space and $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}_X$ such that $P = P^{-1}$. An S-extension (Y, ϕ) of X with respect to P is *minimal* if for any $y \in Y$ there is $g \in \mathbb{F}(P)$ and $x \in X$ such that $y = \phi(g)(x)$.

Definition 2.14. Let $X_1 \subseteq X_2$ be metric spaces and (Y_i, ϕ_i) be an S-extension of X_i for i = 1, 2. We say that (Y_1, ϕ_1) and (Y_2, ϕ_2) are coherent if

- (i) Y_2 extends Y_1 ,
- (ii) $\phi_2(p)$ extends $\phi_1(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_1} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{X_2}$, and
- (iii) letting $K_i = \phi_i(\mathcal{P}_{X_i}) \subseteq \text{Iso}(Y_i)$ for i = 1, 2, and letting $\kappa : K_1 \to K_2$ be the map $\kappa(\phi_1(p)) = \phi_2(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_1}$, then κ extends uniquely to a group embedding from $\langle K_1 \rangle$ into $\langle K_2 \rangle$.

This notion of coherence is weaker than Solecki's strong coherence, as witnessed by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. Let $X_1 \subseteq X_2$ be metric spaces, (Y_1, ϕ_1) be an S-extension of X_1 , and (Y_2, ψ) be a strongly coherent S-extension of $X_2 \cup Y_1$. Let $\phi_2 : \mathcal{P}_{X_2} \to \mathrm{Iso}(Y_2)$ be defined as

$$\phi_2(p) = \begin{cases} \psi(\phi_1(p)), & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_1}, \\ \psi(p), & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_2} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{X_1}. \end{cases}$$

Then (Y_1, ϕ_1) and (Y_2, ϕ_2) are coherent.

Proof. From the definition of ϕ_2 it is clear that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_1}$,

$$p \subseteq \phi_1(p) \subseteq \psi(\phi_1(p)) = \phi_2(p).$$

By Lemma 2.12, ψ gives a group embedding from $\operatorname{Iso}(Y_1)$ to $\operatorname{Iso}(Y_2)$. On the other hand, ψ coincides with the map $\phi_1(p) \mapsto \phi_2(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{X_1}$. Thus this map extends uniquely to a group embedding from $\langle \mathcal{P}_{X_1} \rangle \leq \operatorname{Iso}(X_1)$ into $\langle \mathcal{P}_{X_2} \rangle \leq \operatorname{Iso}(Y_2)$.

Definition 2.16. A metric space U is ultraextensive if

- (i) U is ultrahomogeneous, i.e., there is a ϕ such that (U, ϕ) is an S-extension of U;
- (ii) Every finite $X \subseteq U$ has a finite S-extension (Y, ϕ) where $Y \subseteq U$;
- (iii) If $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq U$ are finite and (Y_1, ϕ_1) is a finite minimal S-extension of X_1 with $Y_1 \subseteq U$, then there is a finite minimal S-extension (Y_2, ϕ_2) of X_2 such that $Y_2 \subseteq U$ and (Y_1, ϕ_1) and (Y_2, ϕ_2) are coherent.

One of the main properties of an ultraextensive metric space U is that $\mathrm{Iso}(U)$ always contains a countable dense locally finite subgroup when U is separable (Theorem 1.4 of [5]). Moreover, the weaker notion of coherence is sufficient for constructing ultraextensive metric spaces.

Theorem 2.17. Let Δ be a countable distance value set. Then \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is ultraextensive. In particular, G_{Δ} contains a countable dense locally finite subgroup.

Proof. Recall that $Age(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is the class of all finite Δ -metric spaces. Since \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is ultrahomogeneous, Solecki's Theorem 2.11 gives (ii) of Definition 2.16. Similarly, (iii) of Definition 2.16 follows from Solecki's Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 2.15. \square

We remark that Theorem 2.17 can be proved without using Solecki's construction of strongly coherent S-extensions. For instance, condition (ii) of Definition 2.16 for Δ -metric spaces follows implicitly from all proofs of the existence of S-extensions, including Solecki's original proof in [26]. Condition (iii) of Definition 2.16 for Δ -metric spaces follows implicitly from the proof of Theorem 4.5 of [5].

3. Hall's Group and Vershik's Conjecture

3.1. Hall's universal countable locally finite group. Recall that a locally finite group is a group in which every finitely generated subgroup is finite. The following theorem is due to P. Hall [9].

Theorem 3.1 (Hall [9]). There exists a countable locally finite group \mathbb{H} that is determined up to isomorphism by the following properties:

- (A) any finite group can be embedded in \mathbb{H} , and
- (B) any two isomorphic finite subgroups of \mathbb{H} are conjugate by an element of \mathbb{H} .

It follows easily from the characterizing properties of \mathbb{H} that every countable locally finite group is a subgroup of \mathbb{H} . Thus \mathbb{H} is called *Hall's universal countable locally finite group*. For simplicity, we refer to it as *Hall's group*.

Hall [9] also established the following strengthening of condition (B) above.

Proposition 3.2 (Hall [9]). For every triple (G_1, G_2, Ψ) , where G_1, G_2 are finite subgroups of \mathbb{H} and $\Psi: G_1 \to G_2$ is a group isomorphism, there exists $h \in \mathbb{H}$ such that for every $g \in G_1$ we have $\Psi(g) = hgh^{-1}$.

In particular, we see that \mathbb{H} is ultrahomogeneous and universal for finite groups: as such, it the Fraïssé limit of the class of finite groups. Thus it can also be characterized as follows.

Proposition 3.3. Let H be a countable locally finite group with the following property:

(E) for every triple (G_1, G_2, Ψ_1) , where $G_1 \leq G_2$ are finite groups and $\Psi_1 : G_1 \to H$ is a group embedding, there exists a group embedding $\Psi_2 : G_2 \to H$ such that $\Psi_2 \upharpoonright G_1 = \Psi_1$.

Then H is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} .

Hall [9] also proved that the commutator group of \mathbb{H} is \mathbb{H} , and therefore \mathbb{H} has a trivial abelianization. Consider the collection of all groups of the form $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$, where A is an abelian p-group. The abelianization of $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$ is isomorphic to A. This implies that $\mathbb{H} \oplus A \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus A'$ iff $A \cong A'$. Thus there are continuum many non-isomorphic countable locally finite groups which are universal for all countable locally finite groups.

3.2. A proof of Vershik's conjecture. Vershik's conjecture [31] states that the isometry group of the universal Urysohn space and the automorphism group of the countable random graph each contain a dense subgroup that is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} . We will show that Hall's group \mathbb{H} actually arises in some sense as a canonical dense subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

We will be using the following lemma due to Rosendal (Lemma 16 of [23]).

Lemma 3.4 (Rosendal [23]). Let Δ be a countable distance value set. Let Γ be a group, $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ a subgroup. Assume that $X \subseteq Y$ are Δ -metric spaces, and that

 $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y, \ \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ are compatible isometric actions. Then there exists a Δ -metric space Z containing Y, and an isometric action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z$ compatible with the Λ -action on Y.

Moreover, if Γ and Y are both finite then one can find a finite Z as above.

Proof. The proof goes exactly like that of Lemma 16 of [23]. We only note that the space Z defined in the proof in [23] is a Δ -metric space.

Definition 3.5. A countable group Γ has the RZ-property (standing for Ribes–Zalesskiĭ) if any finite product $\Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_n$ of finitely generated subgroups of Γ is closed in the profinite topology.

It was proved by Ribes–Zalesskii [21] that countable free groups have the RZ-property. Moreover, they essentially showed in [21] that, if $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ has finite index then Λ has the RZ-property iff Γ has the RZ-property. This gives the following fact we will need in our proof.

Proposition 3.6. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two finite groups, and Λ be a common subgroup of Γ_1, Γ_2 . Then $\Gamma_1 *_{\Lambda} \Gamma_2$, the amalgamented free product of Γ_1 and Γ_2 over Λ , has the RZ-property.

Proof. It is known that the amalgamated free product of finite groups is virtually free, i.e., it contains a free group as a subgroup of finite index (cf., e.g., Serre [24], Corollary to Proposition 11 on p. 120). By the above results of Ribes–Zalesskii [21], virtually free groups have the RZ-property.

Although not needed in our proof here, we note that Coulbois [4] showed that the RZ-property is preserved under taking free products. The RZ-property will play an important role in our proof because of the following theorem, due to Rosendal.

Theorem 3.7 (Rosendal [22]). Let Δ be a countable distance value set. Let Γ be a countable group with the RZ-property. Assume that $\pi \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is an isometric action of Γ on a Δ -metric space X. Then, for any finite $A \subseteq X$ and $F \subseteq \Gamma$ there exists a finite Δ -metric space Y containing A, and an isometric action $\pi' \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ such that for all $\gamma \in F$ and all $\alpha \in A$ one has $\pi'(\gamma)\alpha = \pi(\gamma)\alpha$.

Actually, Rosendal's theorem is an equivalence (the RZ-property is equivalent to the so-called finite approximability of actions on metric spaces; cf. [22]) but we only need the implication mentioned above.

Definition 3.8. Let \mathcal{K}_{Δ} be the class of all structures (X,G) such that

- X is a finite Δ -metric space.
- \bullet *G* is a finite group.
- G acts isometrically on X.

Note that we accept the case where X is empty, considering that any group acts isometrically on the empty set. Also, G is allowed to be equal to $\{1\}$.

Theorem 3.9. \mathcal{K}_{Δ} is a Fraïssé class.

Proof. The hereditary property is obvious. The joint embedding property is also easily witnessed by the product action. We only need to prove the amalgamation property. Assume that X is a subspace contained in two finite Δ -metric spaces Y_1, Y_2 , and that Λ is a subgroup of two finite groups Γ_1, Γ_2 . Assume further that $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright Y_1, \Gamma_2 \curvearrowright Y_2$ isometrically, in such a way that X is Λ -invariant for both actions, and the two Λ -actions coincide on X. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Lambda} \Gamma_2$.

We first define a Δ -metric space Z_0 amalgamating Y_1 and Y_2 over X, in such a way that $Z_0 = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = X$ and the action of Λ on Y induced by the actions of Γ_1, Γ_2 on Y_1, Y_2 is by isometries. To ensure Z_0 is a Δ -metric space, we only need to define, for $y_1 \in Y_1 \setminus X$ and $y_2 \in Y_2 \setminus X$,

$$d_{Z_0}(y_1, y_2) = \min \left\{ \inf_{x \in X} \{ d_{Y_1}(y_1, x) + d_{Y_2}(x, y_2) \}, \sup(\Delta) \right\}.$$

Then we define by induction an increasing sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of Δ -metric spaces, as well as Γ_1 actions on each Z_{2n-1} and Γ_2 actions on each Z_{2n} , so that all actions are compatible with each other and with the original actions of Γ_1 on Y_1 and Γ_2 on Y_2 . To define Z_1 , apply Lemma 3.4 to the Γ_1 action on Y_1 and the Λ action on Z_0 . To define Z_2 , apply Lemma 3.4 to the Γ_2 action on Y_2 and the induced Λ action on Z_1 . In general, obtain Z_{2n+1} by applying Lemma 3.4 to the Γ_1 action on Z_{2n-1} and the induced Λ action on Z_{2n} , and obtain Z_{2n+2} by applying Lemma 3.4 to the Γ_2 action on Z_{2n} and the induced Λ action on Z_{2n+1} . Let $Z_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} Z_n$. Then our construction gives an action of Γ on Z_{∞} .

Now, using the fact that Γ has the RZ-property from Proposition 3.6, we apply Rosendal's theorem 3.7 to the action of Γ on Z_{∞} , with $A = Z_0$ and $F = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, to find a finite Δ -metric space Y containing Z_0 , and an isometric action Γ on Y that extends the original actions of Γ_1, Γ_2 on Y_1, Y_2 respectively. Then, let G be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(Y)$ generated by $F = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. The actions of elements of F extends to an action of G on Y, which gives an amalgam $(Y, G) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$ of (Y_1, Γ_1) and (Y_2, Γ_2) over (X, Λ) .

Denote the Fraïssé limit of \mathcal{K}_{Δ} by (X_{Δ}, H_{Δ}) , where X_{Δ} is a Δ -metric space and H_{Δ} is a locally finite group acting isometrically on X_{Δ} . The following lemmas will give us the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.10. X_{Δ} is isometric to \mathbb{U}_{Δ} .

Proof. We verify the Urysohn property from Proposition 2.7 for X_{Δ} . Let A be a finite subset of X_{Δ} , and $f: A \to \Delta$ satisfying $|f(a) - f(b)| \le d(a,b) \le f(a) + f(b)$ for all $a,b \in A$. Then, viewing $A \cup \{f\}$ as a metric space, $(A,\{1\}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$ is a substructure embedded in $(A \cup \{f\},\{1\}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$. By the universality and ultrahomogeneity of a Fraïssé limit we may find $x \in X_{\Delta}$ such that d(x,a) = f(a) for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 3.11. H_{Δ} acts faithfully on X_{Δ} , i.e., if $g \in H_{\Delta} \setminus \{1\}$ there is $x \in X_{\Delta}$ such that $g \cdot x \neq x$.

Proof. To see that H_{Δ} acts faithfully, let $g \in H_{\Delta} \setminus \{1\}$ and let Λ be the finite subgroup of H_{Δ} generated by g. Let $c \in \Delta$ and let $X = (\Lambda, d)$ be a Δ -metric space

with d(a,b)=c for any distinct $a,b\in\Lambda$. Then the left multiplication of Λ is a faithful action of Λ on X by isometries. Thus $(X,\Lambda)\in\mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$. By the universality of X_{Δ} , X can be realized as a subset of X_{Δ} . By the ultrahomogeneity of (X_{Δ},H_{Δ}) , the action of Λ on X_{Δ} extends the Λ action on X. Since the action of Λ on X is faithful, it follows that the action of Λ on X_{Δ} is faithful. \square

Lemma 3.12. H_{Δ} is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} .

Proof. We verify the property (E) from Proposition 3.3 for H_{Δ} . Let Λ be a finite subgroup of H_{Δ} , and $i \colon \Lambda \to \Gamma$ be a group embedding of Λ into a finite group Γ . Then i induces an embedding from the structure $(\emptyset, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$ into $(\emptyset, \Gamma) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$. By the universality and ultrahomogeneity of (X_{Δ}, H_{Δ}) we see that there is a group embedding $j \colon \Gamma \to H_{\Delta}$ such that $j \circ i(g) = g$ for all $g \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.13. H_{Δ} is dense in $Iso(X_{\Delta})$.

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Iso}(X_{\Delta})$, and let A be a finite subset of X_{Δ} . We need to find an element of H_{Δ} coinciding with g on A. Let Γ be the subgroup of $\text{Iso}(X_{\Delta})$ generated by g. Then Γ acts on X_{Δ} by isometries. We claim that there is a finite subset B of X_{Δ} containing A and an isometry h of B which coincides with g on A. Indeed, if Γ is finite, then we can let $B = \Gamma \cdot A$ and h = g. If Γ is infinite, then it is a free group (isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}), and it has the RZ-property. We can then apply Rosendal's theorem 3.7 to find a finite B containing A and an isometry h of B which coincides with g on A.

Letting H denote the finite group generated by h, we see that $(B, H) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Delta}$. We may realize the embedding from $(B, \{1\})$ into (B, H) inside (X_{Δ}, H_{Δ}) , which gives us a finite subgroup of H_{Δ} isomorphic to H and acting like H on B. In particular there exists an element of H_{Δ} coinciding with g on A.

We have thus proved the following result.

Theorem 3.14. Let Δ be any countable distance value set. Then $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ contains a dense subgroup that is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} .

Theorem 3.14 immediately gives (2), (3), (5) of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 3.15. Iso(\mathbb{QU}), Aut(\mathbb{R}), and S_{∞} all contain \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup.

The other part of Vershik's conjecture, Theorem 1.1 (1), is a corollary of Theorem 1.1 (2) from a standard argument.

Theorem 3.16. Iso(\mathbb{U}) contains \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U}$ is a countable dense subset of \mathbb{U} , the map $h \mapsto \overline{h}$ sending $h \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U})$ to its completion $\overline{h} \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ is a well-defined group embedding. Since $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U})$ has the permutation group topology and $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ has the pointwise convergence topology, this map is continuous. If H is a dense subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{U})$ then $\overline{H} = \{\overline{h} : h \in H\}$ is a dense subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ isomorphic to H.

4. Automorphism Groups of Relational Structures

In this section we show some analogous results to the main results of the preceding section for ultraextensive relational structures. As a corollary, we will obtain Theorem 1.1 (6). We first recall the concept of the HL-property defined in [6] and develop some results necessary for our proof.

4.1. The HL-property of a group.

Definition 4.1 (Herwig–Lascar [10]). Let G be a group and let $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leq G$. A *left system* of equations on H_1, \ldots, H_n is a finite set of equations with variables x_1, \ldots, x_m and constants g_1, \ldots, g_l , where each equation is of the form

$$x_i H_j = g_k H_j$$
 or $x_i H_j = x_r g_k H_j$

for $1 \le i, r \le m, 1 \le k \le l$ and $1 \le j \le n$.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a group. We say that G has the HL-property (standing for Herwig–Lascar) if for every finitely generated $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leq G$ and left system Σ of equations on H_1, \ldots, H_n , if Σ does not have a solution, then there exist normal subgroups of finite index $N_1, \ldots, N_n \leq G$ such that, letting $\Sigma(\vec{N})$ be the left system of equations obtained from Σ by replacing all occurrences of H_1, \ldots, H_n by N_1H_1, \ldots, N_nH_n respectively, $\Sigma(\vec{N})$ does not have a solution either.

Herwig-Lascar [10] proved that the HL-property implies the RZ-property for groups. They also essentially showed in [10] that finitely generated free groups have the HL-property. As a strengthening of Coulbois's result on the preservation of the RZ-property under taking free products [4], it was shown in [6] that the HL-property is also preserved under taking free products.

Lemma 4.3. Let G be a group and $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of finite index. Then G has the HL-property iff H has the HL-property.

Proof. Recall the fact that if $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of finite index, then G has a normal subgroup N of finite index such that $N \leq H$. Thus to prove the lemma, we may assume without loss of generality that H is normal in G.

First assume G has the HL-property. Let Σ be a left system on finitely generated $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leq H$. We claim that if Σ has a solution in G then it also has a solution in H. Let V_0 be the set of all variables x such that x appears in an equation in Σ of the form $xH_j = gH_j$. Since the constants in Σ are in H, any solution for an $x \in V_0$ must be in H. Now let V_1 be the set of all variables which appear in an equation in Σ of the form $x_iH_j = x_rgH_j$, where at least one of x_i and x_r is in V_0 . We see that any solution for an $x \in V_1$ must also be in H. Repeat this construction and define V_2, V_3 , etc. Since Σ is finite, we obtain a maximal set of variables $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots$ so that any solution for an $x \in V$ must be in H. Let $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ be the subsystem of all equations that contain (only) variables in V. Then the subsystem $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$ contains only equations of the form $x_iH_j = x_rgH_j$ where both $x_i, x_r \notin V$. Now if Σ has a solution in G, say $x_1 = \gamma_1, \ldots, x_m = \gamma_m$, then $\gamma_{i_1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_k}$ are in H where $V = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}\}$ and all the other variables are from

the same coset of H. Let gH be this coset. Then $x_{i_j} = \gamma_{i_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $x_i = g^{-1}\gamma_i$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ is a solution of Σ that consists of only elements in H. We have thus shown the claim. Now assume Σ does not have a solution in H, then by the claim it does not have a solution in G. Since G has the HL-property, there are $N_1, \ldots, N_n \subseteq G$ of finite index such that $\Sigma(\vec{N})$ on N_1H_1, \ldots, N_nH_n does not have a solution in G. Let $K_j = N_j \cap H$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $K_j \subseteq H$ is of finite index, and $\Sigma(\vec{K})$ on K_1H_1, \ldots, K_nH_n does not have a solution in H, since any solution of $\Sigma(\vec{K})$ is also a solution of $\Sigma(\vec{N})$.

For the converse, assume H has the HL-property and $H \subseteq G$ is of finite index. Let Σ be a left system on finitely generated $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leq G$. Let $L_j = H_j \cap H$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then for each $j, L_j \leq H$ is finitely generated and has finite index in H_j . For each j, let $h_{j,1}L_j, \ldots, h_{j,S_j}L_j$ enumerate all the left cosets of L_j in H_j . Then each equation of the form $xH_j = ygH_j$ (here y could be 1 or a variable) is equivalent to $xL_i = ygh_{i,s}L_i$ for some $1 \leq s \leq S_i$. Now consider the collection \mathcal{S} of all left systems Σ' where each Σ' is obtained from Σ by replacing each equation in Σ of the form $xH_i = ygH_i$ by an equation of the form $xL_i = ygh_{i,s}L_i$ for some $1 \leq s \leq S_j$. There are only finitely many left systems in S, and Σ has a solution in G iff a $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}$ has a solution in G. To verify the HL-property for G, suppose Σ does not have a solution in G. Then none of $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}$ has a solution. Assuming that the HL-property holds for L_1, \ldots, L_n for G, then for each $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}$, there exist normal subgroups $N_1^{\Sigma'}, \ldots, N_n^{\Sigma'} \leq G$ of finite index such that $\Sigma'(\vec{N}^{\Sigma'})$ does not have a solution. Let $N_j = \bigcap_{\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}} N_j^{\Sigma'}$. Then $N_j \leq G$ is still of finite index, and for each $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}, \ \Sigma'(\vec{N})$ still does not have a solution, since a solution for $\Sigma'(\vec{N})$ would be a solution for $\Sigma'(\vec{N}^{\Sigma'})$. This implies that $\Sigma(\vec{N})$ does not have a solution, since a solution for it would be a solution of $\Sigma'(\vec{N})$ for some $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{S}$. To finish the proof, it suffices to check that the HL-property holds for L_1, \ldots, L_n for G.

The above argument shows that it suffices to prove the HL-property for H_1, \ldots, H_n in G when $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leq H \leq G$, which we demonstrate below. Let Hg_1, \ldots, Hg_T be the right cosets of H in G. Then $G = Hg_1 \cup \cdots \cup Hg_T$. First, suppose Σ has a solution $x_1 = \gamma_1, \ldots, x_m = \gamma_m$ in G. Then there are $1 \leq t_1, \ldots, t_m \leq T$ such that $\gamma_i \in Hg_{t_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. If $x_lH_j = gH_j$ is an equation in Σ , then the solution $x_l = \gamma_l \in Hg_{t_l}$ witnesses that

$$y_l g_{t_l} H_j g_{t_l}^{-1} = g g_{t_l}^{-1} g_{t_l} H_j g_{t_l}^{-1}$$

has a solution $y_l = \lambda_l = \gamma_l g_{t_l}^{-1} \in H$. If we let $H_j^l = g_{t_l} H_j g_{t_l}^{-1}$, then $H_j^l \leq H$ since H is normal, and the above equation becomes $y_l H_j^l = (g g_{t_l}^{-1}) H_j^l$. Similarly, if $x_l H_j = x_k g H_j$ is an equation in Σ , then the solution $x_l = \gamma_l, x_k = \gamma_k$ witnesses that

$$y_l H_j^l = y_k (g_{t_k} g g_{t_l}^{-1}) H_j^l$$

has a solution $y_l = \lambda_l = \gamma_l g_{t_l}^{-1}, y_k = \lambda_k = \gamma_k g_{t_k}^{-1}$ in H. Now for each $\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ where $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_m \leq T$, we obtain a left system $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}$ from Σ by replacing each equation in Σ by an equation of the above form. Note that all the constants appeared in $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}$ are elements of H. Let \mathcal{S} be the collection of all such $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}$. By our construction, Σ has a solution in G iff a $\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}$ has a solution in H. To verify the

HL-property for H_1,\ldots,H_n in G, suppose Σ does not have a solution in G. Then none of $\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}$ has a solution in H. By the HL-property of H, for each $\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}$, there exist normal subgroups $N_1^{\Sigma_{\vec{t}}},\ldots,N_n^{\Sigma_{\vec{t}}} \leq H$ of finite index such that $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}(\vec{N^{\Sigma_t}})$ does not have a solution in H. Let $N_j = \bigcap_{\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}} N_j^{\Sigma_{\vec{t}}}$. Then $N_j \subseteq H$ is still of finite index, and for each $\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}(\vec{N})$ still does not have a solution in H. Now each N_j is of finite index in G since H is of finite index in G. Let $M_j \subseteq G$ be of finite index such that $M_j \leq N_j$. It follows from our construction of \mathcal{S} that $\Sigma(\vec{M})$ does not have a solution in G, since any solution of $\Sigma(\vec{M})$ would give rise to a solution for some $\Sigma_{\vec{t}}(\vec{N})$ where $\Sigma_{\vec{t}} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Similar to Proposition 3.6 we obtain the following proposition from the above lemma and the Herwig–Lascar theorem on the HL-property of finitely generated free groups.

Proposition 4.4. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two finite groups, and Λ be a common subgroup of Γ_1, Γ_2 . Then $\Gamma_1 *_{\Lambda} \Gamma_2$ has the HL-property.

4.2. The Fraïssé class of actions by automorphisms. Let \mathcal{L} be a finite relational language. If C and D are \mathcal{L} -structures, a homomorphism from C to D is a map $\pi: C \to D$ such that for every n-ary relation $R \in \mathcal{L}$ and every $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in C$,

$$R^C(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \Rightarrow R^D(\pi(a_1),\ldots,\pi(a_n)).$$

If \mathcal{T} is a set of \mathcal{L} -structures and D is an L-structure, then D is \mathcal{T} -free if there is no $C \in \mathcal{T}$ and homomorphism $\pi : C \to D$.

An \mathcal{L} -structure C is called a $Gaifman\ clique$ if for every $a,b\in C$ there is a relation symbol $R\in\mathcal{L}$ with arity $m\geq 2$ and $c_1,\ldots,c_m\in C$ with $a,b\in\{c_1,\ldots,c_m\}$ and $R^C(c_1,\ldots,c_m)$. It is clear that if C is a Gaifman clique and D is a homomorphic image of C (i.e., there is a surjective homomorphism $\pi:C\to D$), then D is also a Gaifman clique. Moreover, if C is a finite Gaifman clique, then it has only finitely many homomorphic images, up to isomorphism.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{T} be a finite set of finite \mathcal{L} -structures each of which is a Gaifman clique. Let \mathcal{K} be the class of all pairs (M, G) such that

- M is a finite \mathcal{T} -free \mathcal{L} -structure,
- \bullet G is a finite group, and
- \bullet G acts on X by isomorphisms.

Suppose \mathcal{T} is a finite set of finite \mathcal{L} -structures each of which is a Gaifman clique. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the set of all homomorphic images of structures in \mathcal{T} . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is also a finite set of \mathcal{L} -structures each of which is a Gaifman clique. The class of all finite \mathcal{T} -free \mathcal{L} -structures coincides with the class of all finite \mathcal{L} -structures that do not allow an isomorphic embedding from any $\tilde{T} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and by Lemma 4.5 of Siniora–Solecki [28], this is a Fraïssé class closed under taking free amalgams.

The following characterization of the HL-property was proved in [6] as an analog of Rosendal's theorem 3.7.

Theorem 4.6. Let G be a group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) G has the HL-property;
- (ii) Let L be a finite relational language with unary relation symbols S₁,..., S_n ∈ L. Let T be a finite set of finite L-structures. Let D be a T-free L-structure such that {S₁^D,...,S_n^D} is a partition of the domain of D. Let C be a finite substructure of D. Let F be a finite subset of G. Suppose that π : G D is a faithful action by isomorphisms and that π is transitive on each S_i^D for i = 1,...,n. Then there exists a finite T-free L-structure D' extending C, and an action π': G D' by isomorphisms such that for all γ ∈ F and a ∈ C one has π'(γ)a = π(γ)a.
- (iii) Clause (ii) with the additional assumption that every structure $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is a Gaifman clique.

In the following we also prove an analog of Rosendal's lemma 3.4.

Lemma 4.7. Let \mathcal{L} be a finite relational language. Let Γ be a group, $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ a subgroup. Assume that $M \subseteq N$ are \mathcal{L} -structures, and that $\Lambda \curvearrowright N$, $\Gamma \curvearrowright M$ are compatible actions by isomorphisms. Then there exists an \mathcal{L} -structure P extending N, and an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright P$ by isomorphisms that is compatible with the Λ -action on N.

Moreover, if Γ and N are both finite then one can find a finite P as above.

Proof. Define an equivalence relation \sim on $N \times \Gamma$ by $(a_1, g_1) \sim (a_2, g_2)$ iff

$$(g_2^{-1}g_1 \in \Lambda \text{ and } g_2^{-1}g_1 \cdot a_1 = a_2) \text{ or } (a_1, a_2 \in M \text{ and } g_1 \cdot a_1 = g_2 \cdot a_2).$$

To see that \sim is an equivalence relation, we only need to note that if $a_1, a_2 \in M$, $g_1 \cdot a_1 = g_2 \cdot a_2, g_3^{-1} g_2 \in \Lambda$, and $g_3^{-1} g_2 \cdot a_2 = a_3$, then $a_3 \in M$ and $g_2 \cdot a_2 = g_3 \cdot a_3$, and thus $(a_1, g_1) \sim (a_3, g_3)$.

Let $P = N \times \Gamma / \sim$. Let [a, g] denote the equivalence class $[(a, g)]_{\sim}$. For $R \in \mathcal{L}$ an n-ary relation symbol, define $R^P([a_1, g_1], \ldots, [a_n, g_n])$ iff there are $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in N$ and $g \in \Gamma$ such that $[a_1, g_1] = [b_1, g], \ldots, [a_n, g_n] = [b_n, g]$, and $R^N(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$. To see that this is well-defined, suppose $[a_1, g_1] = [b_1, g] = [c_1, h], \ldots, [a_n, g_n] = [b_n, g] = [c_n, h]$. We need to show that $R^N(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ iff $R^N(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. In all cases we have $h^{-1}g \cdot b_1 = c_1, \ldots, h^{-1}g \cdot b_n = c_n$. Since both $\Gamma \curvearrowright M$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright N$ are by isomorphisms, we have $R^N(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ iff $R^N(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$.

Now it is easy to see that $a \mapsto [a,1]$ is an isomorphic embedding of N into P. Define $\Gamma \cap P$ by letting $g \cdot [a,h] = [a,gh]$. If $g \in \Lambda$ and $a \in N$, $g \cdot [a,1] = [a,g] = [g \cdot a,1]$. Thus this action is compatible with $\Lambda \cap N$.

It is also obvious that if N and Γ are finite then P is finite. \square

Now the proofs of Theorem 3.9 and the lemmas following it can be repeated to establish the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. K is a Fraïssé class. Furthermore, if (N_{∞}, H_{∞}) is the Fraïssé limit of K, then $H_{\infty} \cong \mathbb{H}$, H_{∞} acts faithfully on N_{∞} , and H_{∞} is dense in $\operatorname{Aut}(N_{\infty})$.

Corollary 4.9. For all $n \geq 3$, the automorphism group of the Henson graph H_n contains \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup.

5. Many Dense Locally Finite Subgroups

5.1. Omnigenous locally finite groups. We define a concept of omnigenous groups and show that all countable omnigenous locally finite groups are embeddable as a dense subgroup of $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. We will need the following extension lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let Δ be any countable distance value set. Let X be a finite Δ -metric space. Let $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ be finite groups and $\pi : \Lambda \to \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ be an isomorphic embedding. Then there is a finite Δ -metric space Y extending X and an isomorphic embedding $\pi' : \Gamma \to \operatorname{Iso}(Y)$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $x \in X$, $\pi'(\gamma)(x) = \pi(\gamma)(x)$.

Proof. Define a pseudometric δ on $X \times \Gamma$ by

$$\delta((x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2)) = \begin{cases} d_X(\pi(g_2^{-1}g_1)(x_1), x_2), & \text{if } g_2^{-1}g_1 \in \Lambda, \\ \operatorname{diam}(X), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $(x_1, g_1) \sim (x_2, g_2)$ iff $\delta((x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2)) = 0$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation on $X \times \Gamma$. Let $Y = X \times \Gamma / \sim$. Then δ gives rise to a metric $d_Y([x_1, g_1], [x_2, g_2]) = \delta((x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2))$. Y is obviously a finite Δ -metric space.

It is easy to see that the map $x \mapsto [x,1]$ is an isometric embedding from X into Y. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x \in X$ and $g \in \Gamma$, let $\pi'(\gamma)([x,g]) = [x,\gamma g]$. Then $\pi' : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Iso}(Y)$ is an isomorphic embedding. We check that for any $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $x \in X$,

$$\pi'(\gamma)(x) = \pi'(\gamma)([x, 1]) = [x, \gamma] = [\pi(\gamma)(x), 1] = \pi(\gamma)(x).$$

Definition 5.2. Let G be a group. We say that G is *omnigenous* if for every finite subgroup $G_1 \leq G$, finite groups $\Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma_2$ and group isomorphism $\Psi_1 : G_1 \cong \Gamma_1$, there is a finite subgroup $G_2 \leq G$ with $G_1 \leq G_2$ and an onto homomorphism $\Psi_2 : G_2 \to \Gamma_2$ such that $\Psi_2 \upharpoonright G_1 = \Psi_1$.

If we strengthen the requirement on Ψ_2 to be an isomorphism, then this becomes the property (E) from Proposition 3.3. Thus \mathbb{H} is omnigenous.

Theorem 5.3. Let H be a countable omnigenous locally finite group. Then for any countable distance value set Δ , $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ contains H as a dense subgroup.

Proof. Let q_0, q_1, \ldots be an enumeration of all partial isometries of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} . Fix also an enumeration of all elements of H. We will define by induction infinite sequences of following objects:

- finite subsets D_n of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , for $n \geq 1$;
- elements $h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} \in H$, and $H_n = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} \rangle \leq H$, for $n \geq 1$;
- group embeddings $\pi_n: H_n \to \mathrm{Iso}(D_n)$, for $n \ge 1$,

such that

- (i) for each $n \geq 0$, $q_n \subseteq \pi_{n+1}(h_{2n+2})$; in particular $dom(q_n) \cup rng(q_n) \subseteq D_{n+1}$;
- (ii) for each $n \geq 1$, $D_n \subseteq D_{n+1}$;
- (iii) for each $n \ge 1$, $g \in H_n$, and $x \in D_n$, $\pi_{n+1}(g)(x) = \pi_n(g)(x)$;
- (iv) for each $n \geq 0$, h_{2n+1} is the least element of $H \setminus \{h_1, \ldots, h_{2n}\}$ in the fixed enumeration of elements of H.

Granting such sequences, it follows from (i) that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$. From (ii) and (iii), it follows that for any $g \in H_n$, we have

$$\pi_n(g) \subseteq \pi_{n+1}(g) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi_{n+m}(g) \subseteq \cdots$$

and the limit $\lim_{m\to\infty} \pi_{n+m}(g)$ exists and is a full isometry of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} extending $\pi_n(g)$. Let $\Gamma_n = \pi_n(H_n)$ and let $i_n : \Gamma_n \to \Gamma_{n+1}$ be the isomorphic embedding with $i_n(\pi_n(g)) = \pi_{n+1}(g)$ for all $g \in H_n$. We have a direct system

$$\Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} \Gamma_2 \xrightarrow{i_2} \cdots$$

giving a direct limit Γ that is a dense locally finite subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. We may regard the group embeddings as inclusions, and the direct limit of the system as an increasing union $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$. Moreover, we have $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \cong \Gamma$. By (iv), $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n = H$, and thus $H \cong \Gamma$.

Assume that D_n , h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} , and π_n have been defined. We proceed to define D_{n+1} , h_{2n+1} , h_{2n+2} , and $\pi_{n+1}: H_{n+1} = \langle H_n, h_{2n+1}, h_{2n+2} \rangle \to \text{Iso}(D_{n+1})$.

First, let h_{2n+1} be the least element of $H \setminus \{h_1, \ldots, h_{2n}\}$ in the fixed enumeration of elements of H. We define a Δ -metric space X extending D_n , and an isomorphic embedding $\sigma_n : \langle H_n, h_{2n+1} \rangle \to \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ such that for all $g \in H_n$ and $x \in D_n$, we have $\sigma_n(g)(x) = \pi_n(g)(x)$. If n = 0, let a be the order of h_1 and $c \in \Delta$ be arbitrary, and define X to be a set with a many elements with $d_X(x,y) = c$ iff $x \neq y \in X$. Define $\sigma_1 : \langle h_1 \rangle \to \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ by letting $\sigma_1(h_1)$ be a cyclic permutation on X. If $n \geq 1$, we check if $\pi_n : H_n \to \operatorname{Iso}(D_n)$ can be extended to an isomorphic embedding $\sigma_n : \langle H_n, h_{2n+1} \rangle \to \operatorname{Iso}(D_n)$. If so, then we let $X = D_n$ and σ_n be such an extension. Assume that π_n cannot be extended to an isomorphic embedding from $\langle H_n, h_{2n+1} \rangle$ to $\operatorname{Iso}(D_n)$. We apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain a finite Δ -metric space X extending D_n and an isomorphic embedding $\sigma_n : \langle H_n, h_{2n+1} \rangle \to \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ such that for any $g \in H_n$ and $x \in D_n$, $\sigma_n(g)(x) = \pi_n(g)(x)$.

Using the universality and ultrahomogeneity of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , we may assume the above X are defined as a subset of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} . Next we further extend X to define D_{n+1} . Apply Theorem 2.11 to obtain a strongly coherent S-extension (Y,ϕ) of $X \cup \text{dom}(q_n) \cup \text{rng}(q_n)$. Now $\phi(q_n)$ is an element of Iso(Y) extending q_n . By Lemma 2.12 ϕ gives rise to an isomorphic embedding from Iso(X) to Iso(Y), which we still denote by $\phi: \text{Iso}(X) \to \text{Iso}(Y)$.

Let $G_1 = \langle H_n, h_{2n+1} \rangle \leq H$. Let $\Psi_1 = \phi \circ \sigma_n$ be the isomorphic embedding from G_1 into Iso(Y). Let $\Lambda_1 = \Psi_1(G_1)$ and $\Lambda_2 = \langle \Lambda_1, \phi(q_n) \rangle \leq \text{Iso}(Y)$. Since H is omnigenous, there is a finite $G_2 \leq H$ and an onto homomorphism $\Psi_2 : G_2 \to \Lambda_2$ such that $\Psi_2 \upharpoonright H_n = \Psi_1 = \phi \circ \sigma_n$. Let $h_{2n+2} \in \Psi_2^{-1}(\{\phi(q_n)\})$. Thus $\Psi_2(h_{2n+2}) = \phi(q_n)$. By redefining, we can assume $G_2 = \langle G_1, h_{2n+2} \rangle$.

Let $b = \operatorname{diam}(Y)$ and let G_2 be given the discrete metric with constant value b. Then G_2 becomes a Δ -metric space. We define a finite Δ -metric space $Z = Y \cup G_2$ to be the disjoint union of Y and G_2 , with $d_Z(y,g) = b$ for all $y \in Y$ and $g \in G_2$. Appealing again to the universality and ultrahomogeneity of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , we may assume that all of these extensions took place inside \mathbb{U}_{Δ} . We let $D_{n+1} = Z \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$. We have $H_{n+1} = \langle H_n, h_{2n+1}, h_{2n+2} \rangle = \langle G_1, h_{2n+2} \rangle = G_2$. Define $\pi_{n+1}: H_{n+1} \to \operatorname{Iso}(D_{n+1}) = \operatorname{Iso}(Z)$ by letting $\pi_{n+1}(g) \upharpoonright Y = \Psi_2(g)$ and $\pi_{n+1}(g)(h) = gh$ for all $h \in G_2$. Then for any $g \in H_n$ and $x \in D_n$, $\pi_{n+1}(g)(x) = \Psi_1(g)(x) = \sigma_n(g)(x) = \pi_n(g)(x)$. To complete the proof, we need to verify that π_{n+1} thus defined is a group isomorphism. For this, we show that for all

$$g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \{h_1, \ldots, h_{2n}, h_{2n+1}, h_{2n+2}\}$$
 and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k \in \{+1, -1\},$

we have

$$g_1^{\epsilon_1}\cdots g_k^{\epsilon_k}=1 \iff \pi_{n+1}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1}\cdots \pi_{n+1}(g_k)^{\epsilon_k}=1.$$

First, suppose $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\epsilon_k} = 1$. Observe that, as an element of $\operatorname{Iso}(Z)$, the action of $\pi_{n+1}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \pi_{n+1}(g_k)^{\epsilon_k}$ on the Y part of Z is given by $\Psi_2(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \Psi_2(g_k)^{\epsilon_k}$. Since Ψ_2 is a homomorphism, we have $\Psi_2(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \Psi_2(g_k)^{\epsilon_k} = 1$. On the other hand, on the G_2 part of Z, the action of $\pi_{n+1}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \pi_{n+1}(g_k)^{\epsilon_k}$ is the same as the left multiplication by $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\epsilon_k} = 1$. Since both these actions are identity, we have $\pi_{n+1}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \pi_{n+1}(g_k)^{\epsilon_k} = 1$. Conversely, if $\pi_{n+1}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \pi_{n+1}(g_k)^{\epsilon_k} = 1$, then its action on the G_2 part is the left multiplication by $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\epsilon_k}$; thus $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\epsilon_k} = 1$. \square

5.2. A family of omnigenous locally finite groups. In this subsection we construct an uncountable family of pairwise non-isomorphic, omnigenous, universal countable locally finite groups.

Let P be a set of prime numbers. If $P \neq \emptyset$, enumerate its elements as $p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < \ldots$. Note that for each $n \geq 0$, there are infinitely many elements of order p_n in \mathbb{H} . Fix a subset $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ where each s_n is of order p_n , such that $\mathbb{H} \setminus S$ still generates a universal countable locally finite group. This is easy to arrange since $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ is embedded as a subgroup of \mathbb{H} and we may choose S as a subset of the first copy of \mathbb{H} .

Let X be the disjoint union of \mathbb{H} with a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{p_n} = \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z}$ for each $n \geq 0$. For clarity, we will denote the copy of \mathbb{H} as a subset of X as Y, and for each $n \geq 0$, the copy of \mathbb{Z}_{p_n} as a subset of X as Z_n . Thus $X = Y \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 0} Z_n$.

For $T \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, we say that T is of type P if $T = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, ...\}$ where each t_n is of order $p_n \in P$. For any $T \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ of type P, we define a map $\lambda_T : \mathbb{H} \to \operatorname{Sym}(X)$ as follows. For all $g \in \mathbb{H}$, $\lambda_T(g)$ acts on $Y = \mathbb{H}$ as the left multiplication by g. If $g \notin T$, then $\lambda_T(g)$ acts on each Z_n as identity. If $g \in T$ and the order of g is p_n , then $\lambda_T(g)$ acts on $Z_n = \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$ as +1, and acts on other Z_m , $m \neq n$, as identity. Let G_T be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$ generated by the set $\lambda_T(\mathbb{H})$. Note that for any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{H} \setminus T$, λ_T gives an isomorphism between $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ and $\langle \lambda_T(A) \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(X)$. For each $t \in T$, $\lambda_T(t)$ has the same order as t.

Also note that for any $B \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, the map $\lambda_T(b) \mapsto b$ induces a homomorphism from $\langle \lambda_T(B) \rangle$ onto $\langle B \rangle$. To see this, we need to show that for all $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in B$ and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l \in \{+1, -1\}$, if $\lambda_T(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \lambda_T(g_l)^{\epsilon_l} = 1$, then $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_l^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. However, this is obvious by observing the action of $\lambda_T(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \lambda_T(g_l)^{\epsilon_l}$ on $Y = \mathbb{H}$.

We will construct a omnigenous, universal countable locally finite group H_P as a direct limit of a direct system

$$H_0 \xrightarrow{e_1} H_1 \xrightarrow{e_2} H_2 \xrightarrow{e_3} \cdots$$

where $e_k: H_{k-1} \to H_k$ is an isomorphic embedding for all $k \geq 1$. In fact, each H_k will be of the form G_{T_k} for some $T_k \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ of type P.

We define the H_k, e_k by induction on k. For k = 0, let $T_0 = S$ and $H_0 = G_{T_0}$. Since $\mathbb{H} \setminus S$ generates a universal countable locally finite group, H_0 is universal for all countable locally finite groups. Since H_0 will be embedded as a subgroup of H_P , H_P is thus universal as well. In the rest of our construction we focus on the omnigenous property of H_P .

In general, suppose $H_k = G_{T_k}$ has been defined. Let $i_{k+1} : H_k \to \mathbb{H}$ be an isomorphic embedding. Let $T_{k+1} = i_{k+1}(\lambda_{T_k}(T_k))$. Then T_{k+1} is of type P. Let $H_{k+1} = G_{T_{k+1}}$. Define a map $f : \lambda_{T_k}(\mathbb{H}) \to H_{k+1}$ by $f(\gamma) = \lambda_{T_{k+1}}(i_{k+1}(\gamma))$ for all $\gamma \in \lambda_{T_k}(\mathbb{H})$. We claim that f extends uniquely to an isomorphic embedding from H_k into H_{k+1} . For this, we show that for all

$$\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l \in \lambda_{T_k}(\mathbb{H}) \text{ and } \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l \in \{+1, -1\},$$

we have

$$\gamma_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \gamma_l^{\epsilon_l} = 1 \iff f(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots f(\gamma_l)^{\epsilon_l} = 1.$$

Suppose $\gamma_i = \lambda_{T_k}(g_i)$ for $g_i \in \mathbb{H}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. First, assume $\gamma_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \gamma_l^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. Then, by observing the action of this element on the Y part, we get that $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots g_l^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. By observing the action of this element on the Z_n parts, we conclude that, if $t \in T_k$ of order p_n appears as g_i for $1 \leq i \leq l$, then $N_t = \sum_{g_i = t} \epsilon_i$ is a multiple of p_n . It follows that $i_{k+1}(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots i_{k+1}(\gamma_k)^{\epsilon_k} = 1$, and consequently $f(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots f(\gamma_k)^{\epsilon_k}$ acts on the Y part as identity. Moreover, for any $t \in T_k$ of order p_n , letting $t' = f(\lambda_{T_k}(t)) \in T_{k+1}$, then

$$N_{t'} = \sum_{f(\gamma_i)=t'} \epsilon_i = \sum_{g_i=t} \epsilon_i$$

is a multiple of p_n . Thus $f(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots f(\gamma_l)^{\epsilon_l}$ acts on the Z_n parts also as identity. Therefore $f(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots f(\gamma_l)^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. Conversely, suppose $f(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots f(\gamma_l)^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. Then by observing the action of this element on the Y part, we get that $i_{k+1}(\gamma_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots i_{k+1}(\gamma_l)^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. Thus $\gamma_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \gamma_l^{\epsilon_l} = 1$. We have thus established the claim. From the claim, let $e_{k+1}: H_k \to H_{k+1}$ be the unique isomorphic embedding extending f.

This finishes our definition of the direct system. As usual, we view all e_k as inclusions, and H_P as an increasing union of H_k .

We verify that H_P is omnigenous. For this, let $G_1 \leq H_P$ be a finite subgroup. Let k be sufficiently large that $G_1 \leq H_k$. Let $\Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma_2$ be finite and $\Psi_1 : G_1 \cong \Gamma_1$. Now consider $i_{k+1}(G_1) \leq \mathbb{H}$ and note that $i_{k+1} \circ \Psi_1^{-1}$ is an isomorphic embedding from Γ_1 into \mathbb{H} with image $i_{k+1}(G_1)$. By property (E) from Proposition 3.3 for \mathbb{H} , there is an isomorphic embedding $j : \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{H}$ extending $i_{k+1} \circ \Psi_1^{-1}$. Let G_2 be the group generated by $\lambda_{T_{k+1}}(j(\Gamma_2))$. As noted before, there is a homomorphism ψ from G_2 onto $j(\Gamma_2)$ such that $\psi \upharpoonright_{G_1}$ is an isomorphism. Let $\Psi_2 : G_2 \to \Gamma_2$ be $j^{-1} \circ \psi$. It is straightforward to check that $\Psi_2 \upharpoonright e_{k+1}(G_1) = \Psi_1 \circ e_{k+1}^{-1}$. This shows that H_P is omnigenous.

The next lemma characterizes the isomorphism type of H_P in terms of the set P.

Lemma 5.4. P is exactly the set of all primes p such that there are order-p elements $\alpha, \beta \in H_P$ that are not conjugate in H_P .

Proof. First let $p_n \in P$. Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$ be an element of order p_n such that $a \neq s_n \in S = T_0$. We claim that $\alpha = \lambda_S(a) \in H_0$ and $\beta = \lambda_S(s_n) \in H_0$ are not conjugate in H_P . Toward a contradiction, assume α, β are conjugate in H_P . Then there is $k \geq 0$ such that they are conjugate in H_k . By the construction of H_k , we have $\alpha = \lambda_{T_k}(g)$ for some $g \in \mathbb{H} \setminus T_k$ and $\beta = \lambda_{T_k}(h)$ for some $h \in T_k$ of order p_n . Let $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in \mathbb{H}$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_l \in \{+1, -1\}$ such that

$$\lambda_{T_k}(g_1)^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \lambda_{T_k}(g_l)^{\epsilon_l} \lambda_{T_k}(g_l) \lambda_{T_k}(g_l)^{-\epsilon_l} \cdots \lambda_{T_k}(g_l)^{-\epsilon_1} = \lambda_{T_k}(h).$$

The action of the element on the left hand side on Z_n is identity, while the action of $\lambda_{T_k}(h)$ on Z_n is +1, a contradiction.

On the other hand, suppose $\alpha, \beta \in H_P$ both have order $p \notin P$. Then there is $k \geq 0$ such that $\alpha = \lambda_{T_k}(g)$ and $\beta = \lambda_{T_k}(h)$ for $g, h \in \mathbb{H} \setminus T_k$. By Proposition 3.2 g, h are conjugate in \mathbb{H} , i.e., there is $g_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $g_1gg_1^{-1} = h$. Then we claim $\lambda_{T_k}(g_1)\lambda_{T_k}(g)\lambda_{T_k}(g_1)^{-1} = \lambda_{T_k}(h)$. This is because, the action of the element on the left hand side on Y is by left multiplication of $g_1gg_1^{-1}$, while the action of $\lambda_{T_k}(h)$ on Y is by left multiplication of h, which are the same; on the other hand, the action of the element on the left hand side on all Z_n is identity regardless of whether $g_1 \in T_k$, which is the same as the action of $\lambda_{T_k}(h)$ on Z_n . Thus the claim holds true, and α and β are conjugate in H_k .

By Lemma 5.4, if $P \neq P'$ are distinct sets of primes, then H_P and $H_{P'}$ are not isomorphic. Since all H_P are omnigenous, by Theorem 5.3 we can embed H_P into $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ as a dense subgroup. We have thus proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. There are continuum many pairwise nonisomorphic countable universal locally finite groups each of which can be embedded into $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ as a dense subgroup.

When $P = \emptyset$, it is easy to see that H_P has the property (E) from Proposition 3.3, and hence is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} . Thus we obtain another proof of Theorem 3.14.

5.3. **Ultrametric spaces.** In this subsection we deal with ultrametric Urysohn spaces and their isometry groups. We first recall some basic facts about ultrametric Urysohn spaces (cf., e.g., [8] and [18]).

Recall that an *ultrametric* d on a space X is a metric such that

$$d(x,y) \le \max\{d(x,z), d(y,z)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In any separable ultrametric space, the ultrametric can take only countably many values. Consequently, there is no separable ultrametric space that is universal for all separable ultrametric spaces.

Given any countable set R of positive real numbers, an R-ultrametric space is an ultrametric space in which the ultrametric takes positive values only in R. The class of all finite R-ultrametric spaces is a Fraïssé class, and we let \mathbb{K}_R^u denote its Fraïssé limit. \mathbb{K}_R^u is a universal countable, ultrahomogeneous R-ultrametric space,

and we call it the *universal countable R-ultrametric Urysohn space*. We endow $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$ with the permutation group topology.

Consider the completion of \mathbb{K}_R^u under the pointwise convergence topology, which we denote as \mathbb{U}_R^u and call the R-ultrametric Urysohn space. \mathbb{U}_R^u is a Polish R-ultrametric space which is universal for all Polish R-ultrametric spaces and is itself ultrahomogeneous. We endow Iso(\mathbb{U}_R^u) with the pointwise convergence topology.

By the standard argument in the proof of Theorem 3.16, any dense subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$ gives rise to an isomorphic dense subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_R^u)$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. For any non-empty countable set R of positive real numbers, the following hold:

- (i) $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$ and $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_R^u)$ contain \mathbb{H} as a dense subgroup.
- (ii) Every countable omnigenous locally finite group can be embedded into $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$ or $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_R^u)$ as a dense subgroup.
- (iii) There are continuum many non-isomorphic universal countable locally finite groups that can be embedded into each of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$ and $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_R^u)$ as a dense subgroup.

Our plan is to repeat the proof in the preceding subsections for $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{K}_R^u)$. We first need a lemma for R-ultrametric spaces that is analogous to Lemma 5.1. It turns out that the proof is verbatim the same as that of Lemma 5.1, only noting that the pseudometric defined there is indeed a pseudo-ultrametric. We state the lemma below without proof.

Lemma 5.7. Let R be any nonempty countable set of positive numbers. Let X be a finite R-ultrametric space. Let $\lambda \leq \Gamma$ be finite groups and $\pi : \Lambda \to \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ be an isomorphic embedding. Then there is a finite R-ultrametric space Y extending X and an isomorphic embedding $\pi' : \Gamma \to \operatorname{Iso}(Y)$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $x \in X$, $\pi'(\gamma)(x) = \pi(\gamma)(x)$.

The next thing we need is a result analogous to Solecki's Theorem 2.11 for *R*-ultrametric spaces. This is an easy consequence of the techniques used to prove the metric case (cf., e.g. [28]), but we give a self-contained proof here.

Lemma 5.8. Let R be any nonempty countable set of positive real numbers. Let X be a finite R-ultrametric space. Then X has a strongly coherent S-extension (Y, ϕ) where Y is a finite R-ultrametric space.

Proof. Let $D(X) = \{d_X(x,y) : x \neq y \in X\}$. We prove this by induction on |D(X)|.

First consider the case |D(X)| = 1. In this case $\mathrm{Iso}(X) = \mathrm{Sym}(X)$. Fix a linear order < on X. Given any partial isometry (permutation) p of X, define $\phi(p)$ to be the extension of p by the (unique) <-order-preserving bijection between $X \setminus \mathrm{dom}(p)$ and $X \setminus \mathrm{rng}(p)$. Then (X, ϕ) is easily seen to be a strongly coherent S-extension of X.

Suppose |D(X)| > 1 and let r be the least element of D(X). For each $x \in X$ let

$$B_r(x) = \{ y \in X : d_X(x, y) \le r \} = \{ x \} \cup \{ y \in X : d_X(x, y) = r \}.$$

Define $X_1 = \{B_r(x) : x \in X\}$ and d_1 on X_1 by

$$d_1(B_r(x_1), B_r(x_2)) = \begin{cases} d_X(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } d_X(x_1, x_2) > r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $|D(X_1)| = |D(X)| - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis applied to $R = D(X) \setminus \{r\}$, X_1 has a finite R-ultrametric strongly coherent S-extension (Y_1, ϕ_1) , where $D(Y_1) \subseteq R$.

Let $N = \max\{|B_r(x)| : x \in X\}$ and fix an $x_0 \in X$ such that $|B_r(x_0)| = N$. Fix a linear order $<_x$ on each $B_r(x)$; however, make $<_x$ depend only on $B_r(x)$ but not on x. Let $X_2 = B_r(x_0)$ and $d_2 = d_X$ on $X_2 \subseteq X$. For each of $B_r(x)$, let $e_x : B_r(x) \to X_2$ be the order-preserving embedding so that $e_x(B_r(x))$ is an initial segment in X_2 . Every $B_r(x)$ is identified as a subset of X_2 via e_x . We will view e_x as an inclusion. For each partial isometry p of $B_r(x)$ (viewed also as a partial isometry of X_2), let $\phi_x(p)$ be the extension of p by the order-preserving bijection between $X_2 \setminus \text{dom}(p)$ and $X_2 \setminus \text{rng}(p)$.

Let $Y = Y_1 \times X_2$ and define $d_Y((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) = \max\{d_1(u_1, v_1), d_2(u_2, v_2)\}$. Every $x \in X$ is identified with $(B_r(x), e_x(x)) \in Y$. If p is a partial isometry of X, then p induces a partial isometry of X_1 , which we denote by p_1 . For every $x \in \text{dom}(p) \subseteq X$, p induces a partial isometry between $B_r(x)$ and $B_r(p(x))$, which is identified as a partial isometry of X_2 via e_x and $e_{p(x)}$, which we denote by p_x . Note that p_x depends on $B_r(x)$ but not on x. Define $\phi(p) \in \text{Iso}(Y)$ by

$$\phi(p)(u,v) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\phi_1(p_1)(u),\phi_x(p_x)(u,v)), & \text{ if } x \in \text{dom}(p) \text{ and } d_Y(x,(u,v)) \leq r, \\ (\phi_1(p_1)(u),v), & \text{ if there is no such } x. \end{array} \right.$$

Then it is straightforward to check that (Y, ϕ) is a strongly coherent S-extension of X.

The rest of the proof in the preceding section works verbatim. In particular, we obtain the space X using Lemma 5.7 and Y using Lemma 5.8, and then the space Z constructed is an ultrametric space.

6. Properties of Dense Locally Finite Subgroups

In this section we study some properties of all dense locally finite subgroups of $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ from the point of view of model theory and combinatorial group theory.

6.1. Discerning types and discerning structures. We first define some concepts and fix some notation. Throughout this section we let \mathcal{L} be a countable relational language (with equality). Given an \mathcal{L} -structure M and $A \subseteq M$, we denote by \mathcal{L}_A the language \mathcal{L} expanded by a constant symbol for each element of A.

Definition 6.1. Fix an \mathcal{L} -structure M and $A \subseteq M$. A 1-type over A is a set p of \mathcal{L}_A -formulas, with (at most) one free variable x, for which there exists $m \in M$ such that

$$p = \{ \varphi(x) \colon M \models \varphi(m) \}.$$

Such m is called a realization of p in M. We say that p is nontrivial if p has a realization not belonging to A. We denote by $S_1(A)$ the set of all 1-types over A.

Our terminology differs slightly from the usual definition in that these types are usually referred to as types realized in M. In our context there will always be an underlying structure M, and any 1-type over A is realized in M. Note that a 1-type over A is nontrivial iff all realizations of p do not belong to A, because if $a \in A$ and $b \in M \setminus A$, then they cannot have the same 1-type over A (one satisfies x = a and the other one does not).

Definition 6.2. Let M be a countable \mathcal{L} -structure. Given $A \subseteq M$ and p a 1-type over A, we denote by [p] the set of all realizations of p in M, i.e., $[p] = \{m \in M : \forall \varphi \in p \ M \models \varphi(m)\}.$

We say that p is algebraic over A if [p] is finite.

As an example, consider the structure \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , where Δ is a countable distance value set. As illustrated in Subsection 2.2, \mathbb{U}_{Δ} can be viewed as a relational structure in a language \mathcal{L} with a binary relation symbol for each value of Δ . If $A \subset \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ is finite, the 1-type over A of $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ is entirely determined by the distance function $a \mapsto d(a, x)$ (by ultrahomogeneity). Thus we may identify 1-types over A with $Kat\check{e}tov\ maps\ over\ A$, i.e. maps $f \colon A \to \Delta \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$\forall a, b \in A ||f(a) - f(b)| \le d(a, b) \le f(a) + f(b).$$

We denote by E(A) the set of all Katětov maps over A. Note that if $f \in E(A)$ is such that f(a) = 0 for some a, then $f = d(a, \cdot)$. Below we will sometimes identify A with the subset of E(A) made up of trivial 1-types.

Definition 6.3. Let M be a countable \mathcal{L} -structure, A a finite substructure and p a nontrivial 1-type over A. We say that p is discerning if for every non-identity $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ there exists $x \in [p]$ such that $g(x) \neq x$.

Note that, if A is finite and p is both discerning and algebraic over A, then $\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) : \forall x \in [p] \ g(x) = x\} = \{1\}$, hence $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is discrete. Equivalently, if $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is non-discrete, A is finite and $p \in S_1(A)$ is discerning, then p cannot be algebraic, thus has infinitely many realizations.

Definition 6.4. Let M be a countable \mathcal{L} -structure. We say that M is discerning if for any finite $A \subseteq M$, every nontrivial 1-type over A is discerning.

Note that a countable infinite set, viewed as a first-order structure with only the equality symbol in its language, is not discerning, because of the existence of elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ with finite support.

Definition 6.5. Let M be a countable ultrahomogeneous \mathcal{L} -structure. We say that M has rich types if it satisfies the following conditions:

• For any finite $A \subseteq M$, and any $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $x \notin A$ such that $g(x) \neq x$;

• For any finite $A \subseteq M$, any $x \neq y \in M$ with $x \notin A$, and any nontrivial $p \in S_1(A)$, there exist $z \in M$ which is a realization of p and an \mathcal{L} -formula φ such that $M \models \varphi(x, z)$ and $M \models \neg \varphi(y, z)$.

Observe that the first condition above forbids the existence of nontrivial elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ with finite support.

Proposition 6.6. Let M be a countable ultrahomogeneous \mathcal{L} -structure. If M has rich types, then M is discerning.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq M$ be finite, p a nontrivial type over A, and $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) \setminus \{1\}$. By assumption, g cannot fix every element in the complement of A. So we pick $x \in M \setminus A$ such that $g(x) \neq x$. Apply the fact that M has rich types to p, x, and y = g(x). We thus obtain a realization z of p and an \mathcal{L} -formula φ such that $M \models \varphi(x, z)$ and $M \models \neg \varphi(y, z)$. Since $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$, we have $M \models \varphi(y, g(z))$, and thus $g(z) \neq z$. This z witnesses that p is discerning. \square

The random graph \mathcal{R} has rich types. In particular, for $\Delta = \{1,2\}$, \mathbb{U}_{Δ} has rich types. In the above we already saw that $\mathbb{U}_{\{1\}}$ does not have rich types because it is not discerning. In general, \mathbb{U}_{Δ} can also fail to have rich types for the reason that it does not satisfy the second condition of the definition: it is possible that the type of z over A forces that (x,z) and (y,z) satisfy the same \mathcal{L} -formulas. Nevertheless, this happens for fairly few types, so that one can still prove the following.

Proposition 6.7. Let Δ be a countable distance value set with $|\Delta| \geq 2$. Then \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is discerning.

Proof. We first note that when $|\Delta| \geq 2$, \mathbb{U}_{Δ} does satisfy the first condition of the definition of rich types. In fact, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be finite and $g \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \setminus \{1\}$. If g fixes all elements of A, then there is $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta} \setminus A$ with $g(x) \neq x$, since g is not identity. Otherwise, let $a \in A$ be such that $g(a) \neq a$. If $g(a) \notin A$, we can let x = g(a), and then $g(x) \neq x$ since d(a, x) = d(g(a), g(x)) = d(x, g(x)). Suppose $g(a) \in A$ and let r = d(a, g(a)). Since $|\Delta| \geq 2$, there is an $s \in \Delta \setminus \{r\}$. Suppose first s < r. Then let k be the positive integer with $ks < r \leq (k+1)s$. Define a Katětov map f over A by letting

$$f(c) = \min\{d(a,c) + ks, d(q(a),c) + r, \sup(\Delta)\}\$$
 for all $c \in A$.

In particular $f(a) = ks \neq r = f(g(a))$. Let $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be such that f(c) = d(x,c) for all $c \in A$. Then $x \notin A$ since d(x,c) = f(c) > 0 for every $c \in A$. Then $d(g(x),g(a)) = d(x,a) = f(a) = ks \neq r = f(g(a)) = d(x,g(a))$. Thus $g(x) \neq x$. Assume next r < s. Let k be the positive integer with $kr < s \leq (k+1)r$. Define a Katětov map f over A by letting

$$f(c) = \min\{d(a,c) + kr, d(g(a),c) + s, \sup(\Delta)\}\$$
 for all $c \in A$.

Then by a similar argument we can find $x \notin A$ with $g(x) \neq x$.

To prove \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is discerning, fix a finite set $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ and nontrivial $f \in E(A)$. Then f(c) > 0 for all $c \in A$. If $A = \emptyset$ the statement is obvious. So we assume $A \neq \emptyset$. Fix a non-identity $g \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ and $x \notin A \cup g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-2}(A)$ such that $x \neq g(x)$. Then $x, g(x) \notin A \cup g^{-1}(A)$.

Let $B = A \cup g^{-1}(A) \cup \{x, g(x)\}$. Let $R = \max\{f(c), d(a, b) : c \in A, a \neq b \in B\}$ and $r = \min\{f(c), d(a, b) : c \in A, a \neq b \in B\} > 0$.

First assume R > r. Find $t \in \Delta$ such that $\frac{1}{2}R \leq \max\{r, R - r\} \leq t < R$. If $r \geq \frac{1}{2}R$ we can just let t = r. Otherwise, let k be the positive integer with $kr < R \leq (k+1)r$ and let t = kr. Define a Katětov map F over B by letting

$$F(b) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } b = g(x), \\ R, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be such that d(y,b) = F(b) for all $b \in B$. Since d(y,x) = R and d(y,g(x)) = t, we get that $g(y) \neq y$. Moreover, for any $c \in A$, since $d(y,g^{-1}(c)) = R$, we have d(g(y),c) = R. Finally, define a Katetov map \hat{f} over $A \cup \{y,g(y)\}$ that is an extension of f by letting $\hat{f}(y) = R$ and $\hat{f}(g(y)) = t$. Let $z \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be a realization of \hat{f} . Then z is a realization of f, and $d(z,y) = R \neq t = d(z,g(y))$. Thus $g(z) \neq z$.

6.2. Mixed identities and MIF properties. In this subsection we consider mixed identities in a group and show that all dense subgroups of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ do not satisfy any nontrivial mixed identities, i.e., they are MIF (mixed identity free). For locally finite groups, we also define the more general notion of ∞ -MIF and show that it coincides with omnigenity.

We refer the reader to Hull–Osin [14] (particularly Section 5 there) for an account of the study of mixed identities in group theory and for many existing results about the notion of MIF groups. The argument used to prove Theorem 6.10 below is an adaptation to our context of arguments of Theorem 5.9 of [14] about highly transitive groups (dense subgroups of the permutation group S_{∞} of an infinite countable set).

Definition 6.8. Let G be a group. Let F_n be the free group generated by variables x_1, \ldots, x_n . A nontrivial mixed identity in G is a word $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G * F_n \setminus G$ such that $w(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = 1$ for all $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$. If there is no nontrivial mixed identity in G, we say that G is mixed identity free (MIF).

As noted in [14] (Remark 5.1), a group G is MIF iff there is no nontrivial mixed identity $w(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle \setminus G$, as there is an isomorphism from $G * F_n$ into $G * \langle x \rangle$ that sends x_1, \ldots, x_n to xgx, \ldots, x^ngx^n for a $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. In the sequel we only consider mixed identities with one free variable x. Such identities are of the form $g_1x^{m_1}g_2x^{m_2}\cdots g_kx^{m_k}$ for $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$, $g_2, \ldots, g_k \in G \setminus \{1\}$, and $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We denote such identities by $w(x; g_1, \ldots, g_k)$.

Proposition 6.9. Let G be a topological group. If G is MIF then any dense subgroup of G is MIF.

Proof. Let Γ be a dense subgroup of G, and assume that Γ satisfies a nontrivial mixed identify $w(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k) = 1$ for some fixed $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\{g \in G : w(g; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k) = 1\}$ is closed, and contains Γ , we conclude that we have $w(g; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem 6.10. Let \mathcal{L} be a countable relational language and M be a countable ultrahomogeneous \mathcal{L} -structure. Suppose M is discerning and $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is non-discrete. Then for every $w(x) \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) * \langle x \rangle \setminus \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ the set $\{g \in G : w(g) \neq 1\}$ is dense. In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is MIF, and so is any dense subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$.

Proof. Let $g_1, ..., g_k \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ with $g_2, ..., g_k \neq 1$, and $m_1, ..., m_k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We have to prove that the set consisting of all elements $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ such that $g_1g^{m_1} \cdots g_kg^{m_k} \neq 1$ is dense. We will use the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.11. Let A, B be finite subsets of M and t be a nontrivial 1-type over A. Then there is $b \notin B$ which is a realization of t.

Proof. Since Aut(M) is non-discrete, A is finite, and $t \in S_1(A)$ is discerning, t has infinitely many realizations. Since B is finite, there is a realization of t outside of B.

Lemma 6.12. Let p be any partial automorphism of M and let $a \notin \text{dom}(p)$. Then there is a partial automorphism q extending p such that $q(a) \notin \text{dom}(q)$.

Proof. Since M is ultrahomogeneous, p can be extended to a $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$. Let t be the 1-type of $\psi(a)$ over $\operatorname{rng}(p)$. Since $a \notin \operatorname{dom}(p)$, $\psi(a) \notin \operatorname{rng}(p)$, and therefore t is nontrivial. By Lemma 6.11, t has a realization $b \notin \operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\}$. Since $b \in [t]$, the map $p \cup \{(a,b)\}$ is a partial automorphism. Let $q = p \cup \{(a,b)\}$, then q extends p. Moreover, $q(a) = b \notin \operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\} = \operatorname{dom}(q)$.

Lemma 6.13. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(M)$, p be a partial automorphism of M, and let $a \notin \text{dom}(p)$. Then there is a partial automorphism q extending p such that $q(a), gq(a) \notin \text{dom}(q)$ and $gq(a) \neq q(a)$.

Proof. Again, since M is ultrahomogeneous, p can be extended to a $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$. Let t be the 1-type of $\psi(a)$ over $\operatorname{rng}(p)$. Since $a \notin \operatorname{dom}(p)$, $\psi(a) \notin \operatorname{rng}(p)$, and therefore t is nontrivial. By Lemma 6.11, t has a realization $b \notin \operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\} \cup g^{-1}(\operatorname{dom}(p)) \cup \{g^{-1}(a)\}$. Let s be the 1-type of b over $\operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\} \cup g^{-1}(\operatorname{dom}(p)) \cup \{g^{-1}(a)\}$. Then s is nontrivial, hence is discerning. Let c be a realization of s with $g(c) \neq c$. Then $g(c) \notin \operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\}$, because otherwise $c \in g^{-1}(\operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\})$ and would satisfy the negation of some formula in s. Now $t \subseteq s$, so we have that c is a realization of t. As before, it follows that the map $p \cup \{(a,c)\}$ is a partial automorphism. Let $q = p \cup \{(a,c)\}$, then q extends p. Moreover, q(a) = c, $g(a) = g(c) \notin \operatorname{dom}(p) \cup \{a\} = \operatorname{dom}(q)$.

Let p be any partial automorphism of M and fix $a \notin \text{dom}(p) \cup \text{rng}(p)$. By applying Lemma 6.12 repeatedly, to either p if $m_k > 0$ or p^{-1} if $m_k < 0$, we obtain a partial automorphism q extending p or p^{-1} accordingly, such that

$$a, q(a), q^{2}(a), \dots, q^{|m_{k}|-1}(a)$$

are pairwise distinct. Then, by applying Lemma 6.13 once to g_k , q and $q^{|m_k|-1}(a)$, we obtain a partial automorphism r extending q, such that

$$a, r(a), r^{2}(a), \dots, r^{|m_{k}|-1}(a), r^{|m_{k}|}(a), g_{k}r^{|m_{k}|}(a)$$

are pairwise distinct. Let $p_k = r$ if $m_k > 0$ and $p_k = r^{-1}$ if $m_k < 0$. Then we get a partial automorphism p_k extending p such that $a, g_k p_k^{m_k}(a)$ are distinct.

Repeating the argument k-1 more times, we successively obtain partial automorphisms

$$p \subseteq p_k \subseteq p_{k-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq p_1$$

such that

$$a, g_k p_k^{m_k}(a), g_{k-1} p_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} g_k p_{k-1}^{m_k}(a), \dots, g_1 p_1^{k_1} \cdots g_k p_1^{m_k}(a)$$

are all pairwise distinct. In the very last step of the construction, we apply Lemma 6.13 as above if $g_1 \neq 1$ and apply Lemma 6.12 if $g_1 = 1$. In particular, we obtain that for any $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ extending p_1 , we have that $g_1 g^{m_1} \cdots g_k g^{m_k}(a) \neq a$. Since p was arbitrary, we conclude that the set of g such that $g_1 g^{m_1} \cdots g_k g^{m_k} \neq 1$ is dense.

The following corollary follows immediately from Proposition 6.7, Proposition 6.9, and Theorem 6.10.

Corollary 6.14. For any countable distance value set Δ of cardinality ≥ 2 , Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) is MIF. Moreover, any dense subgroup of Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) is MIF.

Example 6.15. (1) \mathbb{H} is MIF.

- (2) Let $Alt(\mathbb{N})$ be the group of all finitely supported even permutations on \mathbb{N} . Hull-Osin showed that $Alt(\mathbb{N})$ is not MIF (Theorem 5.9 of [14]). Since $Alt(\mathbb{N})$ is dense in S_{∞} , S_{∞} is not MIF.
- (3) Let P be a set of primes and $T \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be a subset of type P. The group G_T constructed in Subsection 5.2 is MIF. To see this, assume $\gamma_1 x^{m_1} \cdots \gamma_k x^{m_k}$ is a mixed identity in G_T . By observing its action on $Y = \mathbb{H}$, there are $g_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $g_2 \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{1\}$ such that for any $g \in \mathbb{H}$, $g_1 g^{m_1} \cdots g_k g^{m_k} = 1$. This contradicts the fact that \mathbb{H} is MIF.
- (4) In Subsection 3.1 we noted that the family of groups $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$, where A is an abelian p-group, consists of continuum many non-isomorphic countable universal locally finite groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$, when A is nontrivial, is not MIF: a nontrivial mixed identity in $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$ is $w(x) = xgx^{-1}g^{-1}$, where $g \in A \setminus \{1\}$. Thus none of the groups $\mathbb{H} \oplus A$ are embeddable as a dense subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{QU})$ when A is nontrivial.

We mention another corollary of our results.

Corollary 6.16. The group $Iso(\mathbb{U})$ is MIF. Consequently, any dense subgroup of $Iso(\mathbb{U})$ is MIF.

Proof. Consider a nontrivial word $w(g; g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ with $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$. Using Lemma 5.1 of [2], we obtain a distance value set Δ and a dense subspace X of \mathbb{U} which is isometric to \mathbb{U}_{Δ} and such that $g_i(X) = X$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Since $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is MIF, we can find $g \in \text{Iso}(X)$ such that $w(g; g_1|_X, \ldots, g_k|_X) \neq 1$. Extending g to an isometry of \mathbb{U} , we have $w(g; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$.

In the rest of this subsection we consider some notions stronger than MIF. For notational simplicity we will write a word $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G * F_n \setminus G$ as $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ if the constants occurring in the normal form of $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are among g_1, \ldots, g_k .

Definition 6.17. Let G be a group and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that G is k-MIF if for any $w_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, w_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G * F_n \backslash G$ there are $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G$ such that $w_1(h_1, \ldots, h_n), \ldots, w_k(h_1, \ldots, h_n) \neq 1$.

If G is a locally finite group, we say that G is ∞ -MIF if for any $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ and any infinite sequence $w_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k), w_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k), \ldots$ of elements of $G * F_n \setminus G$, whenever there is a finite group Γ which is an overgroup of $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ in which there are $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ such that $w_i(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$, there are $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G$ such that $w_i(h_1, \ldots, h_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.

By the remark following Definition 6.8, for each integer $k \geq 1$, the definition of k-MIF is equivalent to the version where we consider words with only one variable.

Proposition 6.18. Let G be any group. Then the following hold:

- (i) G is MIF iff G is k-MIF for some $k \ge 1$ iff G is k-MIF for all $k \ge 1$.
- (ii) If G is a locally finite group and G is ∞ -MIF, then G is MIF.
- (iii) If G is a locally finite group, then G is ∞ -MIF iff G is omnigenous.

Proof. MIF is exactly 1-MIF, and it is clear that k-MIF implies 1-MIF. The fact that 1-MIF implies k-MIF is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.3 of [14]. We give a full proof here for the convenience of the reader. We first show that 1-MIF implies 2-MIF. Let $w_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n), w_2(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in G*F_n \setminus G$. Let $w(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x) \in G*F_n*\langle x \rangle$ be the word $[w_1,x^{-1}w_2x]=w_1^{-1}x^{-1}w_2^{-1}xw_1x^{-1}w_2x$. Then w is nontrivial. Since G is 1-MIF, there are $h_1,\ldots,h_n,h\in G$ such that $w(h_1,\ldots,h_n,h)\neq 1$. It follows that $w_1(h_1,\ldots,h_n), w_2(h_1,\ldots,h_n)\neq 1$. Thus G is 2-MIF. In general, let $k\geq 2$ and $w_1,\ldots,w_k\in G*F_n\setminus G$. Then similarly define a nontrivial $w\in G*F_n*\langle x \rangle$ as

$$w = [w_1, x^{-1}w_2x, x^{-2}w_3x^2, \cdots, x^{-k+1}w_kx^{k-1}]$$

where the commutator is inductively defined by

$$[u_1, u_2] = u_1^{-1} u_2^{-1} u_1 u_2$$
 and $[u_1, \dots, u_m] = [[u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}], u_m].$

Reasoning as before, we find $h_1, ..., h_n, h \in G$ satisfying $w(h_1, ..., h_n, h) \neq 1$, which implies that all the elements $w_1(h_1, ..., h_n), ..., w_k(h_1, ..., h_n)$ are non trivial. This finishes the proof that 1-MIF implies k-MIF for every $k \geq 2$, so (i) is established.

To prove (ii), let G be an ∞ -MIF locally finite group. In view of (i), we only need to show that G is MIF. Let $w(x;g_1,...,g_k)$ be a nontrivial word in $G*\langle x\rangle$. Since $\langle g_1,...,g_k\rangle$ is finite and $\langle x\rangle\cong\mathbb{Z}$ is residually finite, the group $\langle g_1,...,g_k\rangle*\langle x\rangle$ is residually finite. We can thus find a finite group Γ and a homomorphism $\rho:\langle g_1,...,g_k\rangle*\langle x\rangle\to\Gamma$ such that $\rho(w)\neq 1$ and $\rho(g)\neq 1$ for every $g\in\langle g_1,...,g_k\rangle\setminus\{1\}$. Since $\rho\upharpoonright\langle g_1,...,g_n\rangle$ is an isomorphism, we can then view Γ as an overgroup of

 $\langle g_1,...,g_k\rangle$, in which $w(\rho(x);g_1,...,g_k)\neq 1$. Applying the ∞ -MIF property, we find $h\in G$ such that $w(h;g_1,...,g_k)\neq 1$ as required.

To prove (iii), suppose G is a locally finite group. First, assume G is omnigenous. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ and $w_1, w_2, \cdots \in G * F_n \setminus G$ be given. Let Γ be a finite overgroup of $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ are such that $w_i(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since G is omnigenous, there are $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G$ such that the map given by $g_j \mapsto g_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and $h_l \mapsto \gamma_l$ for $l = 1, \ldots, n$ generates a homomorphism from $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_n, g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ onto $\langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle \leq \Gamma$. Thus, for any $i \geq 1$, $w_i(h_1, \ldots, h_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$, since otherwise by applying the homomorphism we would get $w_i(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) = 1$.

Conversely, assume G is ∞ -MIF. Suppose $G_1 = \{1\} \cup \{g_1, \ldots, g_k\}$ is a finite subgroup of G and Γ is a finite overgroup of G_1 with additional generators $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \not\in G_1$, i.e., $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \rangle$. Let w_1, w_2, \ldots enumerate all words $w \in G_1 * F_n \setminus G_1$ such that $w(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$. Since G is ∞ -MIF, there are $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G$ such that for all $i \geq 1$, $w_i(h_1, \ldots, h_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \neq 1$. Then the map given by $g_j \mapsto g_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and $h_l \mapsto \gamma_l$ for $l = 1, \ldots, n$ generates a homomorphism from $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n, h_1, \ldots, h_n \rangle$ onto Γ . To see this, note that if $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G_1 * F_n \setminus G_1$ is such that $w(h_1, \ldots, h_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) = 1$, then $w(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n; g_1, \ldots, g_k) = 1$ by our construction.

We have thus established the following implications for countable locally finite groups G:

$$G \text{ is omnigenous}$$

$$\bigoplus_{G \text{ is } \infty\text{-MIF}} G \text{ is embeddable as a dense subgroup of } \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \text{ for } |\Delta| \geq 2$$

$$\bigoplus_{G \text{ is } k\text{-MIF for all } k \geq 1$$

$$\bigoplus_{G \text{ is MIF}} G \text{ is MIF}$$

It follows from our results that \mathbb{H} is ∞ -MIF, along with all groups H_P constructed in Subsection 5.2. We now show that being ∞ -MIF is not equivalent to being MIF. To this end, we will use the following recent result of Jacobson, which relies on a construction due to Ol'shanskii, Osin and Sapir [19].

Theorem 6.19 ([15]). There is a MIF locally finite p-group.

Jacobson's examples are explicit, but let us observe that the above result can be shown directly as follows.

Proof. We construct an increasing sequence of finite p-groups $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ is MIF. Let G_1 be any finite p-group and assume that we have constructed the sequence $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of finite p-groups. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $W_i = \{w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \ldots\}$ be the set of all nontrivial mixed identities of G_i of the form $w(g; g_1, \ldots, g_j)$ where $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_j \in G_i$. Furthermore, let $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a

bijection such that for every $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\max\{s, t\} \leq \sigma(s, t)$. We find G_{n+1} such that:

- (1) G_{n+1} is a finite p-group,
- (2) $G_n \leq G_{n+1}$, and
- (3) $w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ is not a nontrivial mixed identity of G_{n+1} .

Assume $w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} = g_1 g^{n_1} \cdots g_k g^{n_k}$ where $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G_n$ (this is possible since $\sigma^{-1}(n) = (s,t)$ where $s,t \leq n$). If $w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ is not a nontrivial mixed identity of G_n , then we can take $G_{n+1} = G_n$. Otherwise, $w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \in W_n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k < p^m$. Then $w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ is not a mixed identity of the free product $H = G_n * \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$. Since both G_n and $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ are p-groups, by Higman [11] H is a residually p-finite group. Let $w'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} = [w_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}, h_1, \ldots, h_l]$ where $G_n = \{1, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_l\}$. Since H is residually p-finite there exists $N \leq H$ such that [H:N] is equal to a power of p and $w'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \notin N$. Now $G_{n+1} = H/N$ is as desired. By construction, it is clear that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ is MIF.

Proposition 6.20. There is a MIF locally finite group which is not ∞ -MIF.

Proof. By Proposition 6.18 it suffices to construct a MIF locally finite group which is not omnigenous. Consider a MIF p-group G as constructed above, let G_1 be any finite subgroup of G. Then consider some $q \geq 2$ prime with p, and embed G_1 into $G_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Since G is a p-group, it is clear that no subgroup of G surjects onto $G_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, so G fails to be omnigenous as wanted.

Note that a p-group can not be dense in $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ since for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ (in particular for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ where (q, p) = 1) there exists $g \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ such that g has an orbit of length q. Therefore, by Proposition 6.20 there are MIF groups that are not dense in $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

Let us end this section with another class of potentially relevant examples in the above implications, namely some MIF highly transitive groups coming from Cantor dynamics. Recall that, if φ is a minimal homeomorphism of a Cantor space X, its topological full group $[[\varphi]]$ is the group of all homeomorphisms g of X such that there is a clopen partition A_1, \ldots, A_n of X and integers i_1, \ldots, n with the property that $g(x) = \varphi^{i_k}(x)$ for all $x \in A_k$. These groups are amenable (by a celebrated result of Juschenko-Monod [16]), and provide many examples of highly transitive countable amenable groups (their action on any φ -orbit is highly transitive and faithful). Now if one fixes some $x_0 \in X$ and consider the subgroup Γ of all elements of $[[\varphi]]$ which map the positive semi-orbit of x_0 on itself, then Γ is locally finite and also highly transitive. A basic example is the group of dyadic permutations, obtained for φ being the odometer. All these groups are MIF; we would guess they cannot be embedded as dense subgroups of any $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ besides S_{∞} . If this were true, then in particular the stabilizers of positive semi-orbits would never be ∞ -MIF.

7. A CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOMORPHISM

In this section we turn to a different problem, namely to study how the isomorphism type of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is dependent on Δ .

7.1. Topological simplicity of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. The main theorem of this subsection is a result about topological simplicity for pointwise stabilizers in $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, which we will use later. Tent–Ziegler [29], [30] have studied simplicity for many related automorphism groups, and the result here also follows from their techniques. We give a direct proof using techniques developed in the previous section.

Lemma 7.1. Let Δ be any countable distance set. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be a finite set, p a nontrivial 1-type over $A, x, y \in [p]$, and $s \leq 2d(x, A)$ an element of Δ . Then there exist an integer m and elements $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y \in [p]$ such that $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) = s$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Since x and y have the same 1-type over A, we have $d(x,y) \leq 2d(x,A)$. If $s \geq d(x,A)$, then consider the Katětov map $f: A \cup \{x,y\} \to \Delta$ defined by

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} d(x, a), & \text{if } a \in A, \\ s, & \text{if } a \in \{x, y\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $x_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be such that $f(x_1) = d(x_1, a)$ for all $a \in A \cup \{x, y\}$. Then $x_1 \in [p]$ and $d(x, x_1) = d(x_1, y) = s$. If s < d(x, A), then let m be the least integer so that $ms \geq 2d(x, A)$. Define a metric space $A \cup \{x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y\}$ as an extension of $A \cup \{x, y\}$ by letting, for all $1 \leq i < m$, $d(x_i, a) = d(x, a)$ for all $a \in A$ (i.e. $x_i \in [p]$), and for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and j < i, $d(x_j, x_i) = (i - j)s$ if i - j < m. By the Urysohn property of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , we can find such $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$.

For a finite subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$, denote by G_A the pointwise stabilizer of A, i.e., $G_A = \{g \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) : \forall a \in A \ g(a) = a\}.$

Lemma 7.2. Let Δ be any countable distance value set with $|\Delta| \geq 2$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be a finite set, p a nontrivial 1-type over A, and distinct $x, y \in [p]$. Let g be a nontrivial element of G_A . Then there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G_A$ such that $h_n g h_n^{-1} \cdots h_1 g h_1^{-1}(x) = y$.

Proof. Since \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is discerning by Proposition 6.7, there is some $z \in [p]$ such that d(g(z),z)=s>0. Since \mathbb{U}_{Δ} is homogeneous, there is $h_0 \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ with $h_0(z)=x$. Then $d(h_0gh_0^{-1}(x),x)=d(g(z),z)=s$. Note that $s\leq 2d(x,A)$ since g fixes A pointwise, so by Lemma 7.1 we may find $x=x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n=y\in [p]$ such that $d(x_{i-1},x_i)=s$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. By the ultrahomogeneity of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} we can find $g_1,\ldots,g_n\in G_A$ such that $g_i(x)=x_{i-1}$ and $g_i(h_0gh_0^{-1}(x))=x_i$. Letting $h_i=g_ih_0$, we thus have $h_igh_i^{-1}(x_{i-1})=x_i$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 7.3. Let Δ be any countable distance value set. Let $A \subset \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ be a finite set. Then the pointwise stabilizer G_A is topologically simple.

Proof. When $|\Delta| = 1$, $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is isomorphic to S_{∞} . It is well-known that S_{∞} is topologically simple, and in S_{∞} pointwise stabilizers of finite tuples are isomorphic

to S_{∞} . In the rest of this proof, we assume $|\Delta| \geq 2$. Let g be a nontrivial element of G_A . We show that the normal subgroup of G_A generated by g is dense in G_A . Let N be the set of all products of conjugates of g by elements of G_A , plus the identity. It suffices to show that N is dense in G_A .

For this we show that for any finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ and any partial isometry ψ such that $A \subseteq \text{dom}(\psi)$ and $\psi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$, there is an $h \in N$ extending ψ . Without loss of generality assume ψ is not the identity. We prove this by induction on $|\operatorname{dom}(\psi) \setminus A|$. Suppose $\operatorname{dom}(\psi) = A \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and let $y_i = \psi(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For n = 1, this is exactly the content of Lemma 7.2. Assume that n > 1 and the statement has been proved for n - 1. Using our inductive hypothesis, we find $f \in N$ such that $f(x_1) = y_1, \dots, f(x_{n-1}) = y_{n-1}$. Let $\varphi = f\psi^{-1}$. Then $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi) = A \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$, $\varphi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$, $\varphi(y_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\varphi(y_n) = f(x_n)$. Applying Lemma 7.2 to $A' = A \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ and φ , we get $f' \in N$ such that f'(a) = a for all $a \in A$, $f'(y_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $f'(y_n) = f(x_n)$. Let $h = f'^{-1}f$. Then $h \in N$, h(a) = a for all $a \in A$, $h(x_i) = y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

7.2. Open subgroups and reconstruction.

Definition 7.4. Let Δ be a distance value set. A Δ -triangle is a triple (d_1, d_2, d_3) of elements of Δ which satisfies the triangle inequalities, i.e. for all distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, |d_j - d_k| \leq d_i \leq d_j + d_k$.

Definition 7.5. Two distance value sets Δ , Λ are *equivalent* if there exists a bijection $\theta \colon \Delta \to \Lambda$ such that, for any $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \Delta^3$, we have

$$(d_1, d_2, d_3)$$
 is a Δ -triangle $\Leftrightarrow (\theta(d_1), \theta(d_2), \theta(d_3))$ is a Λ -triangle.

The following is the main theorem of this subsection, whose remainder is devoted to its proof.

Theorem 7.6. Let Δ , Λ be two countable distance value sets. The following are equivalent:

- (1) Δ and Λ are equivalent.
- (2) Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) and Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Λ}) are isomorphic (as abstract topological groups).
- (3) There exists a (continuous) group homomorphism $\varphi \colon \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \to \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ with dense image.

The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is easy: starting from \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , and a map θ witnessing that Δ and Λ are equivalent, define a new distance d_{θ} on \mathbb{U}_{Δ} by setting $d_{\theta}(x,y) = \theta(d(x,y))$. Then $(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}, d_{\theta})$ is isometric to \mathbb{U}_{Λ} , and its isometry group has not changed under this operation.

The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ follows from the automatic continuity property for $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$, which in turn follows from the fact that it has ample generics (cf. Corollary 4.1 of [26]).

Next we prove (3) \Rightarrow (2). We will need to use stabilizers of Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) for elements $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$, which we will denote as G_x^{Δ} for notational simplicity. More generally, for any tuple $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}^n$, we also denote by $G_{\bar{x}}^{\Delta}$ the pointwise stabilizer of Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) for \bar{x} .

We will be using the following theorem which is essentially due to Slutsky (cf. Theorems 4.12, 4.16 and Corollary 4.17 of [25]).

Theorem 7.7 (Slutsky [25]). Let Δ be any countable distance value set. If A, B are finite subsets of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , then $\langle G_A, G_B \rangle$ is dense in $G_{A \cap B}$.

Lemma 7.8. Let V be a proper open subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. Then there exists an element $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ with a finite V-orbit.

Proof. Assume that V is open and has only infinite orbits. We claim that V is dense, so that $V = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_S)$, since any open subgroup is also closed. Let $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}^n$ be such that $G_{\bar{a}}^{\Delta} \subseteq V$. By Neumann's lemma (cf. Corollary 4.2.2 of [12]), there exists $v \in V$ such that $g(\bar{a}) \cap \bar{a} = \emptyset$. By Theorem 7.7, $\langle G_{\bar{a}}^{\Delta}, G_{g(\bar{a})}^{\Delta} \rangle$ is a dense subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. This group is contained in V, and we are done.

Lemma 7.9. Assume that τ is a Hausdorff, separable group topology on $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ which admits a proper open subgroup. Then τ coincides with the usual Polish group topology of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

Proof. Consider the identity embedding from $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ into $(\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}), \tau)$. By automatic continuity, this embedding is continuous. Let V be a proper τ -open subgroup. Then V as the pullback is open for the usual Polish group topology. By the previous lemma, there is an $a \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ with a finite V-orbit A. Using universality and ultrahomogeneity of \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , we may find a $g \in \operatorname{Iso}(U_{\Delta})$ such that $g(A) \cap A = \{a\}$ is a singleton. Then $V \cap gVg^{-1}$ is τ -open, and contained in G_a^{Δ} . Thus G_a^{Δ} is τ -open. Since $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ acts transitively on \mathbb{U}_{Δ} , any point stabilizer is thus τ -open. Since the point stabilizers form a nbhd subbasis for 1 in the usual Polish group topology, we have that τ is finer than the usual topology, hence they are the same.

Proposition 7.10. Assume that $\varphi \colon \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \to S_{\infty}$ is a nontrivial group homomorphism. Then φ is a topological group isomorphism onto its image.

Proof. We know that φ is continuous by automatic continuity. By Theorem 7.3, $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ is topologically simple, so φ is also injective. Let τ be the topology on $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ pulled back via φ . Then τ is Hausdorff, separable and admits a proper open subgroup by the nature of the topology of S_{∞} . By the previous lemma, τ coincides with the usual Polish topology on $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. This means that φ is a topological group isomorphism onto its image.

Now the implication $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows immediately from the previous proposition. In fact, let $\varphi : \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \to \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ be a nontrivial group homomorphism with a dense image. Since $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ is a closed subgroup of S_{∞} , φ satisfies the assumption of the previous proposition. It follows that φ is a topological group isomorphism onto its image, which is closed in $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$. Thus φ is onto.

Lemma 7.11. Let V be a proper open subgroup of $Iso(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. The following are equivalent:

(i) There exists a (unique) $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ such that $V = G_x^{\Delta}$.

(ii) V is topologically simple, and is maximal among proper closed subgroups of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

Proof. First suppose $V = G_x^{\Delta}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$. Then by Theorem 7.3, V is topologically simple. Assume H is a proper closed overgroup of G_x^{Δ} . Let $g \in H \setminus G_x^{\Delta}$. Then $g(x) \neq x$ and $G_{g(x)}^{\Delta} = gG_x^{\Delta}g^{-1} \leq H$. By Theorem 7.7, $\langle G_x^{\Delta}, G_{g(x)}^{\Delta} \rangle$, which is a subgroup of H, is dense in $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$. Since H is closed, $H = \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

For the converse, assume that V is an open subgroup which is maximal among proper closed subgroups of G. By Lemma 7.8, there is $a \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ with a finite V-orbit, which we denote as A. Now $\{g \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) : g(A) = A\}$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ containing V. By maximality we know that $V = \{g \in G_S : g(A) = A\}$. We claim that $A = \{a\}$, and so $V = G_a^{\Delta}$. Otherwise, $A \neq \{a\}$, then $G_A^{\Delta} \leq V$ is a proper normal open subgroup of V, thus V is not topologically simple.

For uniqueness, if $V = G_x^{\Delta} = G_y^{\Delta}$ for $x \neq y$, then by Theorem 7.7 $\langle G_x^{\Delta}, G_y^{\Delta} \rangle$ is dense in Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}), which, together with the openness (and therefore closedness) of V, would imply that V is not proper.

Note that in the characterization above, we may replace the condition "V is topologically simple" by "V has a comeagre conjugacy class" or "V has a dense conjugacy class", since these two properties are true for stabilizers of singletons (which have ample generics) while the other maximal open subgroups admit a proper clopen normal subgroup (hence do not have a dense conjugacy class).

We can now prove the last remaining implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ of Theorem 7.6. Assume that $\varphi \colon \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}) \to \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Lambda})$ is an isomorphism. By the previous lemma, we know that for any $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ there exists a unique $y \in \mathbb{U}_{\Lambda}$ such that $\varphi(G_x^{\Delta}) = G_y^{\Lambda}$, and vice versa. We write $y = \psi(x)$; since φ is an isomorphism, ψ is a bijection from \mathbb{U}_{Δ} to \mathbb{U}_{Λ} .

Note that, for any $(x,y),(x',y')\in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ the groups $G^{\Delta}_{\{x,y\}}=G^{\Delta}_x\cap G^{\Delta}_y$ and $G^{\Delta}_{\{x',y'\}}=G^{\Delta}_{x'}\cap G^{\Delta}_{y'}$ are conjugate iff d(x,y)=d(x',y'). Since φ is a group isomorphism which maps point stabilizers to point stabilizers, this implies that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta} \quad d(x, y) = d(x', y') \Leftrightarrow d(\psi(x), \psi(y)) = d(\psi(x'), \psi(y')).$$

Given $d \in \Delta$, we can then define $\theta(d) \in \Lambda$ by finding $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ such that d(x,y) = d, and then setting $\theta(d) = d(\psi(x), \psi(y))$. Then θ is a bijection from Δ to Λ . It remains to prove that θ is an equivalence between S and T. Pick $(d_1,d_2,d_3) \in \Delta^3$. Then (d_1,d_2,d_3) is a Δ -triangle iff there exists $x,y,z \in \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}$ such that $d(x,y) = d_1$, $d(y,z) = d_2$ and $d(z,x) = d_3$. Then $(\psi(x),\psi(y),\psi(z)) \in \mathbb{U}_{\Lambda}^3$, so that $(\theta(d_1),\theta(d_2),\theta(d_3)) = (d(\psi(x),\psi(y)),d(\psi(y),\psi(z)),d(\psi(z),\psi(x))$ is a Λ -triangle. By symmetry, (d_1,d_2,d_3) is a Δ -triangle if $(\theta(d_1),\theta(d_2),\theta(d_3))$ is a Λ -triangle.

We have thus completed the proof of Theorem 7.6.

8. Open Problems

The first general problem is to characterize all dense countable locally finite subgroups of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$.

When $|\Delta| = 1$, Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) is just S_{∞} , so we are asking which locally finite groups are highly transitive. Note that the Hull-Osin dichotomy states that such groups are either MIF or contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to Alt(\mathbb{N}).

For $|\Delta| \geq 2$, the likely answer to the characterization problem for locally finite groups is a condition strictly in between ∞ -MIF and MIF, but we do not know that the following question has a negative answer.

Question 1. For $|\Delta| \geq 2$, are all dense locally finite subgroups of Iso(\mathbb{U}_{Δ}) ∞ -MIF?

The second general problem is to explore the possibility of the other extreme, namely to characterize the isomorphism type of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_{\Delta})$ by the isomorphism types of their countable dense subgroups.

Question 2. If S,T are countable distance value sets that are not equivalent, is there always a dense countable (locally finite) subgroup of one of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_S)$ and $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_T)$ that cannot be embedded into the other as a dense subgroup?

References

- [1] M. Bhattacharjee, D. Macpherson, A locally finite dense group acting on the random graph, Forum Math. 17 (2005), no. 3, 513–517.
- [2] D. Bilge, J. Melleray, Elements of finite order in automorphism groups of homogeneous structures, Contrib. Disc. Math. 8 (2013), no. 2, 88–119.
- [3] G. Conant, Distance structures for generalized metric spaces, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 168 (2017), no. 3, 622–650.
- [4] T. Coulbois, Free product, profinite topology and finitely generated subgroups, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 11 (2001), no. 2, 171–184.
- [5] M. Etedadialiabadi, S. Gao, On extensions of partial isometries, preprint. Available at arXiv:1903.09723.
- [6] M. Etedadialiabadi, S. Gao, On extensions of partial isomorphisms, preprint. Available at arXiv:1908.02965.
- [7] Pierre Fima, François Le Maître, Julien Melleray, Soyoung Moon, *Homogeneous actions on Urysohn spaces*, preprint. Available at arXiv:1805.02477.
- [8] S. Gao, C. Shao, Polish ultrametric Urysohn spaces and their isometry groups, Topology Appl. 158 (2011), no. 3, 492–508.
- [9] P. Hall, Some Constructions for Locally Finite Groups, J. Lond. Math. Soc. S1-34 (1959), no. 3, 305-319.
- [10] B. Herwig, D. Lascar, Extending partial automorphisms and the profinite topology on free groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), no.5, 1985–2021.
- [11] G. Higman, Amalgams of p-groups, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 301–305.
- [12] W. Hodges, Model Theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 42, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [13] J. Hubička, M. Konečný, J. Nešetřil, A combinatorial proof of the extension property for partial isometries, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 60 (2019), no. 1, 39–47.
- [14] M. Hull, D. Osin, Transitivity degrees of countable groups and acylindrical hyperbolicity, Israel J. Math. 216 (2016), 307–353.
- [15] Bryan Jacobson. A mixed identity-free elementary amenable group, preprint. Available at arXiv:1912.06685.
- [16] K. Juschenko, N. Monod, Cantor systems, piecewise translations and simple amenable groups, Ann. of Math.(2) 178 (2013), no.2, 775-787.
- [17] B. H. Neumann, Permutation products of groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1960), no. 3, 299–310.

- [18] L. Nguyen Van The, Ramsey degrees of finite ultrametric spaces, ultrametric Urysohn spaces and dynamics of their isometry groups, European J. Combin. 30 (2009), no. 4, 934–945.
- [19] A. Ol'shanskii, D. Osin, M. Sapir, Lacunary hyperbolic groups, Geometry and Topology 13 (2009), no. 4, 2051–2140.
- [20] V. Pestov, The isometry group of the Urysohn space as a Lévy group, Topology and its Applications 154 (2007) 2173–2184.
- [21] L. Ribes, P. A. Zalesskiĭ, On the profinite topology on a free group, Bull. London Math. Soc. 25 (1993), no. 1, 37–43.
- [22] C. Rosendal, Finitely approximable groups and actions part I: the Ribes-Zalesskii property, J. Symbolic Logic 76 (2011), no. 4, 1297–1306.
- [23] C. Rosendal, Finitely approximable groups and actions part II: Generic representations, J. Symbolic Logic 76 (2011), no. 4, 1307–1321.
- [24] J.-P. Serre, Trees. Translated from the French by John Stillwell. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980, ix+142 pp.
- [25] K. Slutsky, Non-genericity phenomena in ordered Fraïssé classes, J. Symbolic Logic 77 (2012), no. 3, 987–1010.
- [26] S. Solecki, Extending partial isometries, Israel J. Math. 150 (2005), 315–331.
- [27] S. Solecki, Notes on a strengthening of the Herwig-Lascar Extension Theorem, unpublished notes, 2009. Available at https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~ssolecki/papers/HervLascfin.pdf
- [28] D. Siniora, S. Solecki, Coherent extension of partial automorphisms, free amalgamation, and automorphism groups, J. Symbolic Logic, to appear. Available at arXiv:1705.01888.
- [29] K. Tent, M. Ziegler, On the isometry group of the Urysohn space, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 87 (2013), 289–303.
- [30] K. Tent, M. Ziegler, The isometry group of the bounded Urysohn space is simple, Bull. London Math. Soc. 45 (2013), 1026–1030.
- [31] A. M. Vershik, Globalization of the partial isometries of metric spaces and local approximation of the group of isometries of Urysohn space, Topology and its applications 155 (2008), no. 14, 1618–1626.

Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, 1155 Union Circle #311430, Denton, TX 76203, USA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: mahmood.etedadialiabadi@unt.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, 1155 Union Circle #311430, Denton, TX 76203, USA

E-mail address: sgao@unt.edu

Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, IMJ-PRG, F-75013, Paris, France.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \mathtt{f.lemaitre@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr}$

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard - Lyon 1, Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, 43 Boulevard du 11 novembre 1928, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France E-mail address: melleray@math.univ-lyon1.fr