EXPRESSING FINITE-INFINITE MATRICES INTO PRODUCTS OF COMMUTATORS OF FINITE ORDER ELEMENTS

IVAN GARGATE AND MICHAEL GARGATE

ABSTRACT. Let R be an associative ring with unity 1 and consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that 1 + 1 + ... + 1 = k is invertible. Denote by ω an arbitrary kth root of unity in R and let $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ be the group of upper triangular infinite matrices whose diagonal entries are kth roots of 1. We show that every element of the group $UT_{\infty}(R)$ can be expressed as a product of 4k - 6 commutators all depending of powers of elements in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ of order k. If R is the complex field or the real number field we prove that, in $SL_n(R)$ and in the subgroup $SL_{VK}(\infty, R)$ of the Vershik-Kerov group over R, each element in these groups can be decomposed into a product of at most 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k.

1. INTRODUCTION

Expressing matrices as a product of involutions was studied by several authors a few years ago. For example Halmos in [1] proved that every square matrix over a field, with determinant ± 1 , is the product of not more than four involutions and Solwik in [2] proved that for any field, every element of the group of upper triangular infinite matrices whose entries lying on the main diagonal are equal to either 1 or -1 can be expressed as a product of at most five involutions.

Following the same direction, there are works to express matrices as the product of commutators of matrices. For example Zheng in [3] proved that every matrix A in $SL_n(F)$ is a product of at most two commutators of involutions, where F is the complex number field or the real number field and Hou in [4] proved that the group of upper triangular infinite matrices whose entries lying on the main diagonal are equal to 1 can be expressed as a product of at most two commutators of involutions.

Recently Slowik in [5] and Grunenfelder in [6] study when a matrix can be expressed as a product of fixed order matrices.

In this paper, the authors generalize the work done by Hou in [4] about the group $UT_n^{(k)}(R)$ and $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ of matrices whose elements in $T_n(R)$ and

Date: May 29, 2020.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Upper triangular matrices; finite order; commutators; Vershik-Kerov group .

 $T_{\infty}(R)$ respectively have entries in the diagonal of order k, obtaining results of when a matrix is the product of commutators of matrices of a fixed order. The main result of this paper is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = k$ is an invertible element of R. Then every element of the group $UT_{\infty}(R)$ and $UT_n(R)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ can be expressed as a product of at most 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ and $UT_n^{(k)}(R)$, respectively.

In the section 3, consider the case $R = \mathbb{K}$ a complex field or the real field and the group $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ we have the following result:

Theorem 1.2. All element in $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ can be written as a product of at most 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k in $GL_n(\mathbb{K})$.

And, if we consider $GL_{VK}(\infty, \mathbb{K})$ the Vershik-Kerov group, we have:

Theorem 1.3. Assume that \mathbb{K} is a complex field or the real number field. Then every element of the group $SL_{VK}(\infty, \mathbb{K})$ can be expressed as a product of at most 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k in $GL_{VK}(\infty, \mathbb{K})$.

2. Preliminaries

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$ and let R be an associative ring with unity and denote by ω an arbitrary kth root of unity in R. Denote by $T_n(R)$ and $T_{\infty}(R)$ the groups of $n \times n$ and infinite upper triangular matrices over a ring R, respectively. Anagolously, denote by $UT_n(R)$ and $UT_{\infty}(R)$ the groups of upper triangular matrices whose entries on the main diagonal are equal to unity 1. We also put

$$UT_n^{(k)}(R) = \{g \in T_n(R); \ g_{ii}^k = 1\}.$$
$$UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R) = \{g \in T_{\infty}(R); \ g_{ii}^k = 1\}.$$
$$D_n^{(k)}(R) = \{g \in UT_n^{(k)}(R); \ g_{ij} = 0, \ if \ i \neq j\}.$$
$$D_{\infty}^{(k)}(R) = \{g \in UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R); \ g_{ij} = 0, \ if \ i \neq j\}.$$

Denote by E_{ij} the finite or infinite matrix with a unique nonzero entry equal to 1 in the position (i, j), so $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} a_{i,j} E_{ij}$ $(A = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{ij} E_{i,j})$ is the $n \times n$ (infinite $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$) matrix with a_{ij} in the position (i, j). Denote by I_n and I_∞ the identity matrices in $T_n(R)$ and $T_\infty(R)$, respectively. The following remark is immediate:

Remark 1. Let G a group

- 1, If $g \in G$ is an element of order k, i.e. $g^k = 1$, then for every $h \in G$ the conjugation $g^h = hgh^{-1}$ is an element of order k.
- 2. If $g \in G$ is a product of r element of order k, then for every $h \in G$ the conjugation g^h is a product of r elements of order k.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Define the commutator $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}$. Denote by E_{ij} the finite or infinite matrix with an unique nonzero entry equal to 1 in the position (i, j). Then, if $A \in UT_n^{(k)}(R)$ or $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ we can writte

$$A = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} E_{i,j}.$$

Denote by $J_{\infty}(R)$ the set of all matrices in $T_{\infty}(R)$ in which all entries outside of the first superdiagonal equal 0. Let $A \in UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ and denote by J(A) the matrix of $J_{\infty}(R)$ that has the same entries on the first super diagonal as A. Denote by Z the center of the ring R, and by $D_{\infty}(Z)$ the subring of all diagonal infinite matrices with entries in Z.

We say that A is *coherent* when there is a sequence $(D_k)_{k\geq 1}$ of elements of $D_{\infty}(Z)$ such that

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k J(A)^k.$$

In such a sequence we can always require $D_0 = D_1 = I_{\infty}$, in which case we say that the sequence $(D_k)_{k>1}$ is normalized.

3. Expressing Matrices into Products of Commutators

Assume that 2 is an invertible element of R. The following results are of Hou in [4]:

Lemma 3.1. If $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$ is coherent, then A^2 is coherent.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that 2 is an invertible element of R. Let $J \in J_{\infty}(R)$. Then, there exists a coherent matrix $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$ such that J(A) = J and A is the commutator of two involutions in $T_{\infty}(R)$.

and

Lemma 3.3. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that 2 is an invertible element of R. Let A, B be coherent matrices of $UT_{\infty}(R)$ such that J(A) = J(B). Then, A and B are conjugated in the group $UT_{\infty}(R)$.

The following result generalized the Lemma 3.1

Lemma 3.4. If $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$ is coherent, then A^k is coherent, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that k is invertible in R.

Proof. The case for k = 2 has been demonstrated by Hou in [4], here show that if $A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i J(A)^i$ with $D_0 = D_1 = I_{\infty}$ and $D_2, D_3, \dots \in D_{\infty}(Z)$, then

$$A^{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} D_{j} S^{j} (D_{i-j}) \right) J(A)^{i},$$

where, if $D \in D_{\infty}(Z)$ has diagonal entries $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k, \dots$, then define $S(D) \in D_{\infty}(Z)$ as the matrix with diagonal entries $d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{k+1}, \dots$. Denote by $D_i^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^i D_j S^j(D_{i-j})$. We can write

$$A^{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{i}^{(2)} J(A)^{i},$$

this follows that

$$A^{3} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} D_{j} S^{j} (D_{i-j}^{(2)}) \right) J(A)^{i},$$

and, in geral if

$$A^{l} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{i}^{(l)} J(A)^{i}$$

where $D_0^{(l)} = D_1^{(l)} = I_\infty$ and $D_2^{(l)}, D_3^{(l)}, \dots \in D_\infty(R)$, then $A^{l+1} = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=0}^i D_j S^j(D_{i-j}^{(l)})\right) J(A)^i.$

Note that
$$J(A)^3 = (D_0 D_1^{(2)} + D_1 S(D_0^{(2)}))J(A) = (2+1)J(A) = 3J(A)$$
, in geral $J(A)^l = lJ(A)$ for $l \ge 2$ and using the invertibility of k we conclude that A^k is coherent.

The following is an adaption of the Lemma 3.2 to our case.

Lemma 3.5. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = k$ is an invertible element of R. Let $J \in J_{\infty}(R)$. Then, there exists a coherent matrix $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$ such that J(A) = J and A is the product of 2k - 3 commutators all depending on two matrices B, C in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ of order k.

First we proof the following:

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that k > 1 and that B, C are matrices of order k. Then $(BC)^k$ can be represented as products of 2k - 3 commutators all depending on the powers of B and C.

Proof. Define by recurrence:

$$F_2(B,C) = [B,C],$$

$$F_3(B,C) = F_2(B,C)[C,B^2][B^2,C^2]$$

and

$$F_{i+1}(B,C) = F_i(B,C)[C^{(i-1)}, B^i][B^i, C^i],$$

the we have for example:

$$\begin{split} F_2(B,C) &= [B,C] \\ F_3(B,C) &= [B,C][C,B^2][B^2,C^2] \\ F_4(B,C) &= F_3(B,C)[C^2,B^3][B^3,C^3] \\ &= [B,C][C,B^2][B^2,C^2][C^2,B^3][B^3,C^3] \\ F_5(B,C) &= F_4(B,C)[C^3,B^4][B^4,C^4] \\ &= [B,C][C,B^2][B^2,C^2][C^2,B^3][B^3,C^3][C^3,B^4][B^4,C^4], \end{split}$$

then we affirmate that

(1)
$$(BC)^{i} = F_{i}(B,C)C^{i-1}B^{i}C.$$

Its clear that this is true for i = 2. Suppose that this is true for i = h, then, multiplicating by (BC) in both sides of (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (BC)^{h+1} &= (BC)^h (BC) \\ &= (F_h(B,C)C^{h-1}B^hC)(BC) \\ &= F_h(B,C))C^{h-1}B^h (C^{-(h-1)}B^{-h}B^hC^{(h-1)})CBC \\ &= F_h(B,C)[C^{h-1},B^h]B^hC^hBC \\ &= F_h(B,C)[C^{h-1},B^h]B^hC^hB^{-h}C^{-h}C^hB^hBC \\ &= F_h(B,C)[C^{h-1},B^h][B^h,C^h]C^hB^hBC \\ &= F_{h+1}(B,C)C^hB^{(h+1)}C. \end{aligned}$$

This proof the our affirmation (1). In the case that i = k then we have that

$$(BC)^{k} = F_{k}(B,C)C^{k-1}B^{k}C = F_{k}(B,C),$$

this finalizing the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Proof \ of \ Lemma \ 3.5. \ \text{Let} \ J &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,i+1} E_{i,i+1} \in J_{\infty}(R) \ \text{and define} \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \cdots \\ 0 & w & \frac{1}{k} a_{23} & & \\ & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & w & \frac{1}{k} a_{45} & & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = diag \left(1, \left(\begin{array}{c} w & \frac{1}{k} a_{23} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} w & \frac{1}{k} a_{45} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \cdots \right), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(E_{2i-1,2i-1} + w E_{2i,2i} + \frac{1}{k} a_{2i,2i+1} E_{2i,2i+1} \right) \\ C &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{k} a_{12} & & \cdots \\ & & & w^{-1} & & \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = diag \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & \frac{1}{k} a_{12} \\ 0 & w^{-1} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & \frac{1}{k} a_{34} \\ 0 & w^{-1} \end{array} \right), \cdots \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(E_{2i-1,2i-1} + w^{-1} E_{2i,2i} + \frac{1}{k} a_{2i-1,2i} E_{2i-1,2i} \right).$$

Observe that B and C are two matrices of order k. Using the Lemma 3.6, denote by $A = (BC)^k = F_k(B,C)$ then by lemma 3.4 $J(A) = J((BC)^k) = kJ(BC)$. Observe that

$$BC = I_{\infty} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,i+1} E_{i,i+1} + \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{2i,2i+1} a_{2i+1,2i+2} E_{2i,2i+2}$$

And *BC* is coherent with $D_0 = D_1 = I_{\infty}$, and $D_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{2i,2i}$. This conclude the lemma.

From the lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, we obtain the following corollary

Corollary 3.7. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = k$ is an invertible element of R. Every matrix in $UT_{\infty}(R)$ and $UT_n(R)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, whose entries except in the main diagonal and the first super diagonal are all equal to zero, is a product of 2k - 3 commutators of power of two elements of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$.

Proof. Let $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$, then by the Lemma 3.5, for J(A) there is an element $B \in UT_{\infty}(R)$ such that J(B) = J(A) and B is the product of 2k-3 commutators of powers of two elements of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ and by Lemma 3.3 we conclude that A and B are conjugated.

We enunciated the followings results adapted from [4]:

Lemma 3.8. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- 1. If A, B are elements of $UT_n^{(k)}(R)$ such that $a_{i,i+1} = b_{i,i+1} = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$, then A and B are conjugated in $UT_n^{(k)}(R)$.
- 2. If A, B are elements of $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ such that $a_{i,i+1} = b_{i,i+1} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i$ then A and B are conjugated in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$.

Proof. Consider $A = (a_{ij})$ and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 1 & & \\ & a_{22} & 1 & & \\ & & a_{33} & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

All blank entries are equal to 0. We need only to prove that A is conjugated to the matrix J, for this we can constructed a matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$ such that $X^{-1}AX = J$ or AX = XJ. For the first super diagonal entries of X we choose

- a.) In the case that $a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1}$ then $x_{i,i+1}$ can be choose any element in R.
- b.) In the case that $a_{ii} \neq a_{i+1,i+1}$ then $x_{i,i+1} = 1$.

When we have first for the second super diagonal entries we can choose

- a.) If $a_{ii} = a_{i+2,i+2}$ the $x_{i,i+2}$ can be choose any element in R.
- b.) In the case that $a_{ii} \neq a_{i+2,i+2}$ then

$$x_{i,i+2} = [a_{ii} - a_{i,i+2}]^{-1} \{ x_{i,i+1} - x_{i+1,i+2} - a_{i,i+2} x_{i+2,i+2} \}.$$

When we have first, second, \cdots , (j-1)-th super diagonal entries, to obtain the element x_{ij} he can choose

a.) If $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$ then choose $x_{ii} = x_{jj}$ and x_{ij} can be choose any element in R.

b.) If $a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}$ then choose $x_{ii} \neq x_{jj}$ and

$$x_{ij} = [a_{ii} - a_{jj}]^{-1} \{ x_{i,j-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{k=j-i} a_{i,i+k} x_{i+k,j} \}$$

Thus the Lemma 3.8 is proved.

Also:

Corollary 3.9. Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1 and that $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = k$ is an invertible element of R. Every matrix in $UT_{\infty}(R)$ and $UT_n(R)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, whose entries in the first super diagonal are all equal to the unity 1, is a product of 2k - 3 commutators of powers of two elements of order k in $UT_n^{(k)}(R)$ or $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$.

Proof. Consider $A \in UT_{\infty}(R)$, then by the Lemma 3.8 A is conjugated to matrix J = J(A), and by the Corollary 3.7, J is product of 2k - 3 commutators of matrices of order k.

Then, with this results, we show the Theorem 1.1:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let A be an arbitrary matrix of $UT_{\infty}(R)$. We can write

$$A = I_{\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} a_{i,j} E_{i,j} \in UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R),$$

and consider the following matrix

$$B = I_{\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{i,i+1} - 1) E_{i,i+1} \in UT_{\infty}(R).$$

By Corollary 3.7, B is a product of 2k - 3 commutators of two matrices of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$. Note that

$$C = B^{-1}A \in UT_{\infty}(R),$$

is a matrix whose entries in the main diagonal and the first super diagonal are all equal to 1. By the Corollary 3.9, C is also a product of 2k - 3

commutators of powers of elements of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$. Finally, A = BC is a product of 4k - 6 commutators of powers of four elements of order k in $UT_{\infty}^{(k)}(R)$.

4. Case $R = \mathbb{K}$ a complex field or the real field

Consider $R = \mathbb{K}$ be a complex field or the real number field, then we show the Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The case k = 2 is proved in [4]. Suppose that $k \ge 3$. Consider $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ not a scalar matrix, then by [10], Theorem 1, we can find a lower-triangular matrix L and a upper-triangular matrix U such that A is similar to LU, and both L and U with all entries on the main diagonal equal to 1. By the corollary 3.9 it follows that each of the matrices L and Uis a product of 2k-3 commutators of powers of two elements of order k from $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$. The scalar case $A = \alpha I$ with det(A) = 1 it suffices to consider the case when n is exactly the order of α (see [4]). Next we use the ideas from Grunenfelder [6]. Observe that for $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $a \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$ then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = J_1(a) \cdot J_2(a)$$

with

$$J_1(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{at}{a+1} & a - \left(\frac{at}{a+1}\right)^2 \\ -\frac{1}{a} & \frac{t}{a+1} \end{bmatrix} and J_2(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{at}{a+1} & a\left(\frac{at}{a+1}\right)^2 - 1 \\ 1 & \frac{t}{a+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $t = \theta + \theta^{-1}$, $\theta^k = 1$, $\theta \neq 1$. We have that $J_1(a)^k = J_2(a)^k = I$. Then, if *n* is even we can express αI as a product of two matrices:

$$\alpha I = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & & & & & \\ & \alpha^{-1} & & & & \\ & & \alpha^{3} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \alpha^{n-1} & & \\ & & & & \alpha^{-n+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \alpha^{2} & & & & \\ & & \alpha^{-2} & & & \\ & & & \alpha^{-2} & & \\ & & & \alpha^{-n+2} & \\ & & & & \alpha^{-n+2} & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And in the case that n is odd

$$\alpha I = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & & & & \\ & \alpha^{-1} & & & \\ & & \alpha^{3} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha^{-n+2} & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \alpha^{2} & & & \\ & & \alpha^{-2} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha^{n-1} & \\ & & & & \alpha^{-n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Denote by F and G the first and second matrix that appears in these decompositions. If n is even, for example, $F = diag(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^3, \cdots, \alpha^{n-1}, \alpha^{-n+1})$ and define the matrix $S = diag(\alpha^{1/k}, \alpha^{-1/k}, \alpha^{3/k}, \cdots, \alpha^{(n-1)/k}, \alpha^{(-n+1)/k})$. Then, each block 2×2 of the form $diag(\alpha^{j/k}, \alpha^{-j/k})$ is product of $J_1(\alpha^{j/k})$ and $J_2(\alpha^{j/k})$, both of order k, so define J_1 and J_2 as the block diagonal matrices with entries $J_1(\alpha^{j/k})$ and $J_2(\alpha^{j/k})$ respectively, then $F = S^k = (J_1 \cdot J_2)^k$. By the Lemmas 3.6 and 3.5 we have that F is product of 2k - 3 commutators of powers of J_1 and J_2 . Similarly we can obtain the same results for the matrix G. Therefore, by the decomposition, we conclude that αI_n is a product of 4k - 6 commutators. The case that n is odd is analogue.

Consider the $GL_{VK}(\infty, \mathbb{K})$ the Vershik-Kerov group consisting of all infinite matrices of the form

(2)
$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ \hline 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $M_1 \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M_3 \in T_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$.

And we can use the following lemma (see [4]):

Lemma 4.1. Assume that \mathbb{K} is a complex field or the real number field. Let $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ of which 1 is no eigenvalue, and let T be an infinite unitriangular matrix. In the Vershik-Kerov group, any matrix of the form

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline 0 & T \end{array}\right)$$

is conjugated to

(4)
$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0\\ \hline 0 & T \end{array}\right)$$

Then, we shown the Theorem 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider $M \in SL_{VK}(\mathbb{K})$ in the form $M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}$, with $M_1 \in SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $M_3 \in UT_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$. From the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [4], M is conjugated to an infinite matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$, with $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ for which 1 is no eigenvalue and $T \in UT_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$. By the Theorem 1.1 and the Theorem 1.2, both are products of 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k and we know that the direct sum of A and T is also a product of 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k then this shows that M is also a product of 4k - 6 commutators of elements of order k. \Box

References

- W.H. Gustafson, On products of involutions, in: J.H. Ewing, F.W. Gehring (Eds.), Paul Halmos, Celebrating 50 Years of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, 237-255.
- [2] R. Slowik. Expressing infinite matrices as product of involutions. Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 399-404.
- [3] B. Zheng, Decomposition of matrices into commutators of involutions, Linear Algebra Appl. 347 (2002) 1-7.
- [4] Hou, X. (2018). Decomposition of infinite matrices into products of commutators of involutions. Linear Algebra and Its Applications. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2018.11.001
- [5] Roksana Slowik. How to construct a triangular matrix of a given order, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 62:1, 28-38,(2014).
- [6] Grunenfelder, Luzius- Kosir, Tomaz Omladic, Matjaz Radjavi, H. (1999). On Groups Generated by Elements of Prime Order. Geometriae Dedicata. 75.(1999). 317-332.CMP 99:14.
- [7] W.H. Gustafson, P.R. Halmos, H. Radjavi, Products of involutions. Collection of articles dedicated to Olga Taussky Todd., Linear Algebra Appl. 13, 157-162 (1976).
- [8] A. M. Vershik, S.V. Kerov, On an infinite dimensional group over a finite field, Funktsional Annal. i Prilozhen. 32 (1998). 310.
- [9] D.Z. Djocovic, Product of two involutions. Arch. Math. XVIII, 582-584 (1967).
- [10] A.R. Sourour. A Factorization Theorem for Matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra 19, 141-147, (1986).

UTFPR, CAMPUS PATO BRANCO, RUA VIA DO CONHECIMENTO K
M $01,\ 85503\text{-}390$ PATO BRANCO, PR, BRAZIL

E-mail address: ivangargate@utfpr.edu.br

UTFPR, CAMPUS PATO BRANCO, RUA VIA DO CONHECIMENTO KM $01,\ 85503\text{-}390$ PATO BRANCO, PR, BRAZIL

E-mail address: michaelgargate@utfpr.edu.br