THE GEOMETRIC AIRY CURVE FLOW ON \mathbb{R}^n

CHUU-LIAN TERNG

Dedicated to Professor S. T. Yau on his 70th Birthday

1. INTRODUCTION

The following third order curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n was introduced in [20],

$$\gamma_t = -\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp} H(\gamma(\cdot, t)), \tag{1.1}$$

where $e_1 = \gamma_x / ||\gamma_x||$, ∇^{\perp} is the induced normal connection and $H(\gamma(\cdot, t))$ is the mean curvature vector field of the curve $\gamma(\cdot, t)$. It is easy to see that

$$\gamma_t = -(\frac{1}{2}||H||^2 e_1 + \nabla_{e_1}^{\perp} H(\gamma(\cdot, t)))$$
(1.2)

is a curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n that preserves arc-length parameter. Note that (1.2) and (1.1) only differ by a tangent vector field, so they are essentially the same flows. In fact, the curve at time t of (1.2) is the same curve at time t of (1.1) reparametrized by the arc-length parameter. Since the linear Airy equation is

$$y_t = y_{xxx},$$

we call (1.2) the geometric Airy curve flow.

Curve flow (1.2) was considered by Langer and Perline in [15]. They proved the following:

(i) If γ is a solution of (1.2) then there exists a parallel orthonormal frame $(e_2, \ldots, e_n)(\cdot, t)$ for the normal bundle of $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ with respect to ∇^{\perp} for each $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ such that the corresponding principal curvatures $k_i(\cdot, t)$ satisfy the vector modified KdV equation (vmKdV_n),

$$k_t = -(k_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}||k||^2 k_x), \qquad (1.3)$$

where $k = (k_1, ..., k_{n-1})^t$.

(ii) There exist order (2j-1) curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n whose principal curvatures satisfy the (2j-1)-th vmKdV_n flow.

Some main results of these paper are:

(1) We solve the Cauchy problem for the curve flow (1.2) with initial data γ_0 whose principal curvatures are rapidly decaying functions on \mathbb{R} . We also solve the periodic Cauchy problem for initial data with trivial normal holonomy.

CHUU-LIAN TERNG

(2) There is a Poisson structure on the space

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{\gamma : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, ||\gamma_x|| \equiv 1\}$$
(1.4)

so that the geometric Airy curve flow (1.2) is Hamiltonian.

- (3) Equation (1.2) admits a sequence of commuting Hamiltonians, hence it has a sequence of contants of the motion.
- (4) Bäcklund transformations (BT) are constructed, which generate a family of new solutions from a given solution of (1.2) by solving a linear Lax system.
- (5) An algorithm for constructing explicit soliton solutions of (1.2) is given.

We mention some other integrable curve flows in flat spaces: (i) Hasimoto showed that if γ is a solution of the Vortex Filament equation (VFE), $\gamma_t =$ $\gamma_x \times \gamma_{xx}$, for curves in \mathbb{R}^3 , then there exists a parallel frame $g(\cdot, t)$ along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ so that $k_1 + ik_2$ satisfies the NLS ([7]). So we can apply soliton theory of the NLS to study geometric properties of the VFE (cf. [14], [17], [20]). (ii) Pinkall considered in [13] the central affine curve flow, $\gamma_t = \gamma_{xxx} - 3q\gamma_x$, on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$, where x is the central affine arc-length parameter (i.e., $\det(\gamma, \gamma_x) = 1$), and q is the central affine curvature defined by $\gamma_{xx} = q\gamma$. He proved that if γ is a solution of the central affine curve flow then its central affine curvature is a solution of the KdV, $q_t = q_{xxx} - 6qq_x$. Its Hamiltonian aspect was given in [3], and Bäcklund transformations were constructed in [24]. The relation between central affine curve flows in $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 0$ and the Gelfand-Dickey flows on the space of n-th order linear differential operators on the line was given in [4] for n = 3 and in [26] for general n, and Bäcklund transformations were constructed in [25]. (iii) It was proved in [27] that the *isotropic curve flow*, $\gamma_t = \gamma_{xxx} - q\gamma_x$, in the light cone $\Sigma_{2,1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2,1} | (x,x) = 0\}$ preserves arc-length and its curvature q is a solution of the KdV. For general n, let $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ denote the space of curves $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ such that the span of $\gamma, \ldots, \gamma_x^{(n-1)}$ is a Lagrangian subspace for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)}) \equiv 1$. Isotropic curve flows were constructed in $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ so that their isotropic curvatures were solutions of Drinfeld-Sokolov's KdV type flows associated to $B_n = o(n+1, n)$ and their Hamiltonian theory were given in [27]. Bäcklund transformations for these isotropic curve flows were constructed in [28].

It is interesting to note that the geometric Airy curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n , the isotropic curve flow in the null cone $\Sigma_{2,1}$ and the central affine curve flow in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$ are given by the re-parametrization of the third order flow $\gamma_t = \gamma_{xxx}$, where x is the arc-length parameter for curves in \mathbb{R}^n , $\Sigma_{2,1}$ and is the central affine arc-length for curves in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$.

This paper is organized as follows: We explain how to construct a parallel frame for a solution of (1.2) so that (i) hold and prove result (1) in section 2. We review the Hamiltonian theory and construct Bäcklund transformations for the vmKdV_n in section 3. We prove (2) and (3) in section 4. Results (4) to (5) are given in the last section.

2. Geometric Airy Flow (1.2) on \mathbb{R}^n and VmKdV_n

In this section, we

- (i) review the relation between the geometric Airy flow (1.2) in \mathbb{R}^n and the vmKdV_n (1.3),
- (ii) solve the Cauchy problem on the line for (1.2) with initial data that has rapidly decaying principal curvatures,
- (iii) solve the periodic Cauchy problem for (1.2).

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth curve parametrized by its arc-length. We call a smooth map $g = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) : \mathbb{R} \to SO(n)$ a parallel frame along γ if $e_1 = \gamma_x$ and e_i 's are parallel with respect to the induced normal connection for $2 \le i \le n$. In other words, we have

$$\begin{cases} (e_1)_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i e_{i+1}, \\ (e_{i+1})_x = -k_i e_1, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Equation (2.1) written in terms of g is

$$g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_{n-1})^t.$$
 (2.2)

The function k_i is the *principal curvature* of γ with respect to the normal field e_{i+1} .

When n = 2, a parallel frame for a plane curve is the Frenet frame and k_1 is the curvature of the plane curve. When n > 2, principal curvatures of a curve depend on the choice of parallel frames. In fact, if $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is a parallel frames along γ and f = diag(1, h) with a constant $h \in SO(n - 1)$ then gf is also a parallel frame along γ and the principal curvatures with respect to the new parallel frame gf is $\tilde{k} = h^{-1}k$, where $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1})^t$ and $\tilde{k} = (\tilde{k}_1, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{n-1})^t$.

Lemma 2.1. A curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n of the form $\gamma_t = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i e_i$ preserves arc-length parameter if and only if

$$e_1(A_1) = \sum_{i=2}^n A_i k_{i-1}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $(e_1(\cdot, t), \ldots, e_n(\cdot, t))$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ and $k_i(\cdot, t)$'s are the corresponding principal curvatures.

Proof. Let s(x,t) denote the arc-length parameter for $\gamma(\cdot,t)$, i.e., $s_x = ||\gamma_x||$. We use (2.1) to compute

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \gamma_x, \gamma_x \rangle_t = \langle (\gamma_t)_x, \gamma_x \rangle = ||\gamma_x||^2 \langle (\gamma_t)_s, e_1 \rangle = ||\gamma_x||^2 ((A_1)_s - \sum_{i=2}^n A_i k_{i-1}).$$

This proves the Lemma.

The curve flow (1.1) written in terms of parallel frame $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)(\cdot, t)$ is

$$\gamma_t = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i)_s e_{i+1}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $k_i(\cdot, t)$ is the principal curvature of $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ with respect to the parallel normal field $e_{i+1}(\cdot, t)$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i^2 \right) e_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i)_x e_{i+1}, \qquad (2.5)$$

preserves the arc-length parameter. Note that (2.5) is (1.2). So we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. The geometric Airy curve flow (1.2) in \mathbb{R}^n preserves arc-length parameter and is (2.5) written in terms of parallel frame.

Recall an elementary lemma:

4

Lemma 2.3. Given smooth maps $A, B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to so(n)$, then the following linear system

$$\begin{cases} g_x = gA, \\ g_t = gB, \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

is solvable for smooth $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ if and only if

$$A_t = B_x + [A, B]. (2.7)$$

Next we give a proof of the following result in [15] and explain how to choose the suitable parallel frame (unique up to a constant in SO(n-1)) so that the principal curvature evolves according to vmKdV_n.

Theorem 2.4. ([15]) Let γ be a solution for (1.2). Then there exists a smooth map $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ such that $g(\cdot, t)$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ for each t and g satisfies

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g^{-1}g_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z^t \\ z & \xi \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

where $k = (k_1, ..., k_{n-1})^t$, and

$$z = -(k_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}||k||^2k),$$

$$\xi = (\xi_{ij}), \quad \xi_{ij} = (k_i)_x k_j - k_i (k_j)_x, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n - 1$$

Moreover,

- (1) k satisfies the $vmKdV_n$ (1.3).
- (2) If $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ satisfies (2.8) with \tilde{k} , then there exists a constant $c \in SO(n-1)$ such that $\tilde{g} = g \operatorname{diag}(1,c)$ and $\tilde{k} = c^{-1}k$.

Proof. Choose a smooth $h = (e_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ such that $h(\cdot, t)$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ for each t. Let μ_1, \ldots, μ_{n-1} be the principal curvatures given by h. Then

$$h^{-1}h_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu^t \\ \mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1})^t.$$

Write $h^{-1}h_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z^t \\ z & C \end{pmatrix}$ with $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})^t$, and $C = (c_{ij}) \in so(n-1)$. It follows from (2.5) and (2.2) that we have

$$\langle (e_1)_t, v_{i+1} \rangle = \langle (\gamma_t)_x, v_{i+1} \rangle = -((\mu_i)_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} ||\mu||^2 \mu_i).$$

Hence

$$z_i = -((\mu_i)_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}||\mu||^2\mu_i).$$
(2.9)

Let $A := h^{-1}h_x$ and $B = h^{-1}h_t$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$A_t = B_x + [A, B].$$

Equate the (22) block of the above equation to get

$$(C_{ij})_x = -\mu_i(\mu_j)_{xx} + (\mu_i)_{xx}\mu_j = (-\mu_i(\mu_j)_x + (\mu_i)_x\mu_j)_x.$$

Hence $C_{ij}(x,t) = (-\mu_i(\mu_j)_x + (\mu_i)_x\mu_j)(x,t) + \eta(t)$ for some $\eta(t) \in o(n-1)$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to SO(n-1)$ be a solution of $f_t = -\eta(t)f$, and

$$g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) := h \operatorname{diag}(1, f).$$

Then $g(\cdot, t)$ again a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$. The principal curvatures of $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ with respect to the new parallel frame g is $k(x, t) = f(t)^{-1}\mu(x, t)$. A direct computation implies that g satisfies (2.8).

Let P and Q be the right hand sides of (2.8). By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$P_t = Q_x + [P, Q]. (2.10)$$

Equate the (21) block of (2.10) to see that k satisfies (1.3).

If $\tilde{g}(\cdot,t)$ is another parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$, then there exists $h: \mathbb{R} \to SO(n-1)$ such that $\tilde{g}(x,t) = g(x,t) \operatorname{diag}(1,h(t))$ and the principal curvatures with respect to \tilde{g} is $\tilde{k}(x,t) = h(t)^{-1}k(x,t)$. Note that

$$\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{g}_t = \operatorname{diag}(1, h^{-1})g^{-1}g_t\operatorname{diag}(1, h) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & h^{-1}h_t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \operatorname{diag}(1, h^{-1})\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z(k)^t\\ z(k) & \xi(k) \end{pmatrix}\operatorname{diag}(1, h) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & h^{-1}h_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

But $\tilde{k}(x,t) = h^{-1}(t)k(x,t)$ implies that

$$z(\tilde{k}) = h^{-1}z(k), \quad \xi(\tilde{k}) = h^{-1}\xi(k)h.$$

Hence we have

$$\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{g}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z(\tilde{k})^t \\ z(\tilde{k}) & \xi(\tilde{k}) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^{-1}h_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

By assumption $\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{g}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z(\tilde{k})^t \\ z(\tilde{k}) & \xi(\tilde{k}) \end{pmatrix}$. So we have $h^{-1}h_t = 0$, which implies that h is a constant. This proves (2).

The proof of Theorem 2.4 also gives the following:

Corollary 2.5. Given smooth $k : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, then the linear system (2.8) is solvable for $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ if and only if k satisfies (1.3).

Conversely, given a solution of $vmKdV_n$ we can construct a solution of the geometric Airy flow (1.1):

Proposition 2.6. Let k be a solution of $vmKdV_n$ (1.3). Then

(1) there exists smooth $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ satisfying (2.8), (2) let

$$Z = -\left(\frac{||k||^2}{2}e_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i)_x e_{i+1}\right)$$
$$c(t) = \int_0^t Z(0, t) dt.$$

Then

$$\gamma(x,t) = c(t) + \int_0^x e_1(s,t) \mathrm{d}s,$$
 (2.11)

is a solution of (1.2).

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 2.5.

The second equation of (2.8) implies that

$$(e_1)_t = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ((k_i)_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} ||k||^2 k_i) e_{i+1}.$$

The first equation of (1.3) is (2.1). Use (2.1) and a direct computation to see that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ((k_i)_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} ||k||^2 k_i) e_{i+1} = Z_x.$$

Since c'(t) = Z(0, t), we have

$$\gamma_t = c'(t) + \int_0^x (e_1)_t \mathrm{d}s = c'(t) + \int_0^x Z_s \mathrm{d}s = Z(x, t).$$

So γ satisfies (2.5), which is (1.2).

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc-length. If the principal curvatures with respect to a parallel frame g are rapidly decaying then the principal curvatures with respect to other parallel frame are also rapidly decaying.

The Cauchy problem for the vmKdV_n with rapidly decaying initial data $k^0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be solved by the inverse scattering method (cf. [1]).

The periodic Cauchy problem for (1.3) is solved in [2]. Next we use these solutions to solve the Cauchy problems for the geometric Airy flow (1.2).

Theorem 2.7 (Cauchy Problem for (1.2) on the line).

Let $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth curve parametrized by its arc-length, $g_0(x) = (e_1^0(x), \ldots, e_n^0(x))$ a parallel frame along γ_0 , and k_1^0, \ldots, k_{n-1}^0 the corresponding principal curvatures. Let $k : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} k_t = -(k_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}||k||^2 k_x), \\ k(x,0) = k^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

where $k^0 = (k_1^0, \ldots, k_{n-1}^0)$. Then there exists a unique $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$: $\mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ satisfying (2.8) with $g(0,0) = g^0(0)$. Moreover, let c(t) be as in Proposition 2.6. Then

$$\gamma(x,t) = \gamma_0(0) + c(t) + \int_0^x e_1(s,t) ds$$
(2.13)

is the solution of (1.2) with $\gamma(x,0) = \gamma_0(x)$.

Theorem 2.8 (Periodic Cauchy Problem for (1.2)).

Let $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth curve parametrized by its arc-length. Assume that γ_0 is periodic with period 2π and the holonomy of the induced normal connection along the closed curve γ_0 is trivial (so parallel frame g^0 and its principal curvature k^0 are periodic). If k(x,t) is the solution of (2.12) and is periodic in x, then we have the following:

- (1) Let $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n+1)$ be the solution of (2.8) with $g(0,0) = g^0(0)$. Then g(x,t) is periodic in x and $g(x,0) = g^0(x)$.
- (2) The solution γ of (1.2) defined by (2.13) is periodic in x and $\gamma(x, 0) = \gamma_0(x)$.

Proof. Corollary 2.5 gives the existence of g. Note that g(x,0) and $g^0(x)$ satisfy the same linear ODE and have the same initial data $y(0) = \gamma_0(0)$. Uniqueness of solutions of ODE implies that $g(x,0) = g^0(x)$.

Claim (A): g(x,t) is periodic in x.

To see this, we consider

$$y(t) = g(2\pi, t) - g(0, t).$$

Recall that

$$g_t = gB$$
, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z^t \\ z & \xi \end{pmatrix}$, (2.14)

and z = z(k) and $\xi = \xi(k)$ are given as in Theorem 2.4. By assumption k(x,t) is periodic in x. So we have

$$B(x + 2\pi, t) = B(x, t)$$
(2.15)

for all $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Use (2.14) and (2.15) to see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = g(2\pi, t)B(2\pi, t) - g(0, t)B(0, t) = g(2\pi, t)B(0, t) - g(0, t)B(0, t)$$
$$= y(t)B(0, t).$$

Since k is periodic in x, B(x,t) is periodic in x. Note that $g(x,0) = g^0(x)$ is periodic. So we have y(0) = 0. Then the uniqueness of ODE implies that $y(t) \equiv 0$, i.e., y is periodic. This proves Claim (A).

Claim (B): $\gamma(x, 0) = \gamma_0(x)$.

We have proved $g(x,0) = g^0(x)$ is a parallel frame along γ_0 . So $e_1(x,0) = (\gamma_0)_x$. By (2.13), we have $\gamma_x = e_1$ and $\gamma(0,0) = \gamma_0(0)$. This shows that $\gamma(x,0) = \gamma_0(x)$.

Claim (C): $\gamma(x,t)$ defined by (2.13) is periodic in x.

It follows from (2.13) that

$$\eta(t) = \gamma(2\pi, t) - \gamma(0, t) = \oint e_1(s, t) \mathrm{d}s$$

Compute directly to see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} = \oint (e_1)_t \mathrm{d}s = \oint (\gamma_s)_t \mathrm{d}s = \oint (\gamma_t)_s \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= -\oint \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \left(\frac{||k||^2}{2} e_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i)_s e_{i+1} \right) \mathrm{d}s$$

Since $e_1(s,t), \ldots, e_n(s,t)$ and $k_i(s,t)$'s are periodic in s, we have $\frac{d\eta}{dt} = 0$. So $\eta(t)$ is a constant. Note that c(0) = 0, $\eta(0) = \gamma(2\pi, 0) - \gamma(0, 0) = \gamma_0(2\pi) - \gamma_0(0) = 0$. Hence $\eta(t) = 0$ for all t and Claim (C) is proved.

Proposition 2.6 implies that γ defined by (2.13) is the solution of (1.2) with $\gamma(x,0) = \gamma_0(x)$.

3. The VMKdV hierarchy

In this section, we review the construction of the vmKdV_n hierarchy, explain its Hamiltonian theory, and construct Bäcklund transformations.

Let I_{n+1} denote the identity in GL(n+1), and

$$\mathbf{I}_{1,n} = \operatorname{diag}(1, -\mathbf{I}_n).$$

Let τ and σ be involutions of $so(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\tau(\xi) = \bar{\xi}, \quad \sigma(\xi) = \mathrm{I}_{1,n} \xi \mathrm{I}_{1,n}^{-1}.$$

Note that the fixed point set of τ is so(n + 1), $\tau \sigma = \sigma \tau$, and the 1, -1eigenspaces of σ on so(n + 1) are

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in so(n) \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z^t \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \right\}.$$

Then we have $so(n+1) = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$, and

$$[\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}] \subset \mathcal{K}, \quad [\mathcal{K},\mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{P}, \quad [\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{K}.$$
(3.1)

The loop algebras we need to construct the $vmKdV_n$ hierarchy are:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \le n_0} \xi_i \lambda^i \mid n_0 \text{ some integer}, \, \xi_{2i} \in \mathcal{K}, \xi_{2i-1} \in \mathcal{P}\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \{\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \xi_i \lambda^i \in \mathcal{L}\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_- = \{\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i < 0} \xi_i \lambda^i \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$$

as linear subspaces. We call $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ a *splitting* of \mathcal{L} .

Definition 3.1. We say a map ξ from \mathbb{C} to $so(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ or to $SO(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the (τ, σ) -reality condition if

$$\overline{\xi(\bar{\lambda})} = \xi(\lambda), \quad I_{1,n}\xi(-\lambda)I_{1,n}^{-1} = \xi(\lambda).$$
(3.2)

Note that $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_i \xi_i \lambda^i$ with $\xi_i \in so(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ is in \mathcal{L} if and only ξ satisfies the (τ, σ) -reality condition (3.2).

A vacuum sequence is a linearly independent commuting sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ . Let

$$a = e_{21} - e_{12}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$J_{2j-1}(\lambda) = a\lambda^{2j-1}, \quad j \ge 1.$$
 (3.4)

Since $a \in \mathcal{P}$, $\{J_{2j-1} | j \ge 1\}$ is a vacuum sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ .

Next we use the standard method given in [6], [22] to construct a soliton hierarchy from the splitting of $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ of \mathcal{L} and the vacuum sequence $\{J_{2j-1} | j \geq 1\}$.

Given $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, henceforth we will use the following notation:

$$\Psi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -z^t\\ 0 & z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.5)

Then

$$Y = [a\lambda, \mathcal{L}_{-}]_{+} = [a, \mathcal{P}] = \{\Psi(z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times 1} \}.$$
 (3.6)

The following Theorem is known (cf. [6], [21]). We include a proof here for completeness.

Theorem 3.2. Let a be defined by (3.3), and Y by (3.6). Given $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, then there exists a unique $Q(u, \lambda) = a\lambda + \sum_{i\geq 0} Q_i(u)\lambda^{-i}$ in \mathcal{L} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} [\partial_x + a\lambda + u, Q(u, \lambda)] = 0, \\ Q(u, \lambda) \text{ is conjugate to } a\lambda. \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

Moreover, the $Q_i(u)$'s are differential polynomials of u in x.

Proof. Since $Q_{2i} \in \mathcal{K}$, $Q_{2i-1} \in \mathcal{P}$, we can write

$$Q_{2j-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y_{2j-1} & -\eta_{2j-1}^t \\ y_{2j-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_{2j-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.8)

$$Q_{2j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -z_{2j}^t\\ 0 & z_{2j} & \xi_{2j} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.9)

where $y_{2j-1} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \eta_{2j-1}, z_{2j} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to so(n-1)$.

Compare coefficients of λ^{-i} of (3.7) to get the following recursive formula:

$$(Q_i)_x + [u, Q_i] + [a, Q_{i+1}] = 0.$$
(3.10)

Assume that $u = \Psi(k)$ for some $k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Then $y_{2j-1}, \eta_{2j-1}, z_{2j}$ and ξ_{2j} are differential polynomials of k. We use (3.10) to see that

$$(\eta_{2j-1})_x + y_{2j-1}k - z_{2j} = 0, (3.11)$$

$$(y_{2j-1})_x - k^t \eta_{2j-1} = 0, (3.12)$$

$$(z_{2j})_x - \xi_{2j}k + \eta_{2j+1} = 0, (3.13)$$

$$(\xi_{2j})_x - kz_{2j}^t + z_{2j}k^t = 0. aga{3.14}$$

We use the above equations, induction on j, and direct computation to solve $Q_j(u)$ and see that entries of $Q_j(u)$ are differential polynomials of u. Since $u = \Psi(k)$, $y_{2j-1}, \eta_{2j-1}, z_{2j}, \xi_{2j}$ are differential polynomials in k. In particular, we obtain

$$z_0 = k, \quad \xi_0 = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

$$y_1 = -\frac{||k||^2}{2}, \quad \eta_1 = -k_x,$$
(3.16)

$$z_2 = -(k_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}||k||^2k), \quad \xi_2 = -kk_x^t + k_xk^t, \tag{3.17}$$

$$y_3 = k^t k_{xx} - \frac{||k_x||^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8} ||k||^4, \quad \eta_3 = k_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2} ||k||^2 k_x, \quad (3.18)$$

where $k = (k_1, ..., k_{n-1})^t$.

It follows from (3.10) that we have

$$(Q_{2j-2}(u))_x + [u, Q_{2j-2}(u)] = [Q_{2j-1}(u), a] \in C^{\infty}(S^1, Y).$$

So the following is an evolution equation on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, Y)$,

$$u_t = [\partial_x + u, Q_{2j-2}(u)] = [Q_{2j-1}(u), a].$$
(3.19)

Equation (3.19) for u written in terms of k is

$$k_t = (z_{2j-2}(k))_x - \xi_{2j-2}(k)k, \qquad (3.20)$$

where $u = \Psi(k)$, and $z_{2j-2}(k)$ and $\xi_{2j-2}(k)$ are the (32) and (33) blocks of $Q_{2j-2}(u)$ respectively. Note that for j = 2, (3.20) is $k_t = (z_2)_x - \xi_2 k$, where z_2, ξ_2 are defined by (3.17). So it is the vmKdV_n (1.3).

Definition 3.3. We call (3.19) (or (3.20)) the (2j-1)-th $vmKdV_n$ flow and this sequence of flows the $vmKdV_n$ hierarchy.

It follows from (3.10) that the coefficients of λ^i with i > 0 of

$$[\partial_x + a\lambda + u, (Q(u,\lambda)\lambda^{2j-2})_+]$$

are zero. So we have the following well-known existence of Lax pair.

Proposition 3.4. The following statements for smooth $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \to Y$ are equivalent:

- (1) u is a solution of the (2j-1)-th vmKdV_n flow (3.19).
- (2) The following linear system is solvable for $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$

$$\begin{cases} g_x = gu, \\ g_t = gQ_{2j-2}(u). \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

(3) u satisfies

$$u_t = [\partial_x + a\lambda + u, (Q(u,\lambda)\lambda^{2j-2})_+], \qquad (3.22)$$

(4) The following linear system is solvable for $E(x,t,\lambda) \in O(n+1,\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{cases} E^{-1}E_x = a\lambda + u, \\ E^{-1}E_t = (Q(u,\lambda)\lambda^{2j-2})_+, \\ \overline{E(x,t,\overline{\lambda})} = E(x,t,\lambda), \quad I_{1,n}E(x,t,-\lambda)I_{1,n}^{-1} = E(x,t,\lambda). \end{cases}$$
(3.23)

Definition 3.5. We call (3.23) a *Lax system* for (3.19), and a solution $E(x, t, \lambda)$ of (3.23) a *frame* of a solution u of (3.19) provided $E(x, t, \lambda)$ is holomorphic for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

3.6. Bäcklund transformations for $vmKdV_n$

We use the loop group factorization method given in [22] to construct Bäcklund transformations for the vmKdV_n hierarchy. Let L_+ denote the group of holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C} \to SO(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ that satisfies the (τ, σ) reality condition (3.2), and \mathfrak{R}_- the group of rational maps $f : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \to$ $SO(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ that satisfies the (τ, σ) -reality condition (3.2) and $f(\infty) = I_{n+1}$. First we recall the following results in [22]

First we recall the following results in [22].

CHUU-LIAN TERNG

Theorem 3.7. ([22])

- (1) Given $g \in \mathfrak{R}_{-}$ and $f \in L_{+}$, then there exists unique $\tilde{g} \in \mathfrak{R}_{-}$ and $\tilde{f} \in L_{+}$ such that $gf = \tilde{f}\tilde{g}$.
- (2) Let $E(x,t,\lambda)$ be a frame of a solution u of the (2j-1)-th $vmKdV_n$ flow (3.19), $g \in \mathfrak{R}_-$, $\tilde{E}(x,t,\cdot) \in L_+$, and $\tilde{g}(x,t,\cdot) \in \mathfrak{R}_+$ satisfying

$$g(\lambda)E(x,t,\lambda) = E(x,t,\lambda)\tilde{g}(x,t,\lambda).$$

Expand

Then

$$\tilde{g}(x,t,\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \tilde{g}_{-1}(x,t)\lambda^{-1} + \dots$$

 $\tilde{u} = u + [a, \tilde{g}_{-1}]$

is again a solution of (3.19) and $\tilde{E}(x,t,\lambda)$ is a frame of \tilde{u} .

We call an element f in \mathfrak{R}_{-} a simple element if f cannot be written as a product of two elements in $f\mathbb{R}_{-}$. Given a simple element $g \in \mathfrak{R}_{-}$ and an $f \in L_{+}$, if we can write down an explicit formula for the factorization of $gf = \tilde{f}\tilde{g}$ with $\tilde{f} \in \mathfrak{R}_{-}$ and $\tilde{g} \in L_{+}$, then $u \mapsto \tilde{u}$ of Theorem 3.7 (2) gives a Bäcklund transformation for (3.19).

Let $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, π a Hermitian projection of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} satisfying

$$\bar{\pi} = I_{1,n} \pi I_{1,n}^{-1}, \quad \pi \bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi} \pi = 0,$$
(3.25)

or equivalently,

$$\bar{V} = JV, \quad \langle V, JV \rangle = 0.$$
 (3.26)

Remark 3.8. $v = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $y_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

$$\bar{v} = \mathbf{I}_{1,n} v, \quad v^t v = 0$$
 (3.27)

if and only if $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_1 = i\mathbf{c}$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $||\mathbf{c}|| = |y_0|$. In particular, if $v \neq 0$ then $y_0 \neq 0$. Note that v satisfies (3.27) if and only if the Hermitian projection π onto $\mathbb{C}v$ satisfies (3.25).

Lemma 3.9. Given $f \in L_+$ and a non-zero $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ satisfying (3.27), let $\tilde{v} := f(-is)^{-1}v$. Then \tilde{v} satisfies (3.27), $\tilde{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_0 \\ i\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \end{pmatrix}$ for some $y_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $||\mathbf{c}|| = |\tilde{y}_0|$.

Proof. Note that if $\in L_+$ then $f : \mathbb{C} \to SO(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. So we have

$$f(\lambda)^* f(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_{n+1}.$$
(3.28)

Use the reality condition (3.2), (3.28) and (3.27) to compute

$$I_{1,n}\overline{\tilde{v}} = I_{1,n}\overline{f(-is)^{-1}}\overline{v} = I_{1,n}f(is)^t\overline{v} = f(-is)^tI_{1,n}\overline{v} = f(-is)^{-1}v = \tilde{v}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{\tilde{v}}, \tilde{v} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{I}_{1,n} \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{I}_{1,n} f(-is)^{-1} v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{I}_{1,n} f(is)^* v, \tilde{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle v, f(is) \mathbf{I}_{1,n} \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle v, \mathbf{I}_{1,n} f(-is) \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle v, \mathbf{I}_{1,n} v \rangle = \langle v, \bar{v} \rangle = 0. \end{split}$$

The rest of the Lemma follows from Remark 3.8.

(3.24)

The following Proposition gives simple elements in \mathfrak{R}_{-} and a permutability formula (i.e., a relation among simple elements) for \mathfrak{R}_{-} . This type result was first proved for the the group of rational maps $f : \mathbb{C} \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ that satisfies (3.28) in [22].

Proposition 3.10. ([5]) Let $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ and π a Hermitian projection of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} satisfying (3.25). Then

$$\phi_{is,\pi} = \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2is}{\lambda - is}\bar{\pi}^{\perp}\right)\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{2is}{\lambda + is}\pi^{\perp}\right) = \mathbf{I} + \frac{2is}{\lambda - is}\pi - \frac{2is}{\lambda + is}\bar{\pi}.$$
 (3.29)

is in \mathfrak{R}_{-} . Moreover, we have the following:

- (1) $\phi_{is,\pi}^{-1} = \phi_{-is,\pi}$.
- (2) Given $f \in L_+$, let let $\tilde{V} = f(-is)^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}\pi)$, and $\tilde{\pi}$ the Hermitian projection onto \tilde{V} . Then $\tilde{\pi}$ satisfies (3.25) and

$$\tilde{f} := \phi_{is,\pi} f \phi_{is,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1} \tag{3.30}$$

is in L_+ . In other words, we have factored $\phi_{is,\pi}f = \tilde{f}\phi_{is,\tilde{\pi}}$ with $\tilde{f} \in L_+$ and $\phi_{is,\tilde{\pi}} \in \mathfrak{R}_-$.

(3) Let $s_1^2 \neq s_2^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, π_1, π_2 Hermitian projections of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} satisfying (3.25), and τ_1, τ_2 Hermitian projections onto \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Then

$$\phi_{is_2,\tau_2}\phi_{is_1,\pi_1} = \phi_{is_1,\tau_1}\phi_{is_2,\pi_2} \tag{3.31}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Im}\tau_{1} = \phi_{-is_{2},\pi_{2}}(-is_{1})(\operatorname{Im}\pi_{1}), \\ \operatorname{Im}\tau_{2} = \phi_{-is_{1},\pi_{1}}(-is_{2})(\operatorname{Im}\pi_{2}). \end{cases}$$
(3.32)

As a consequence of Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.10(2), we obtain BTs for the (2j - 1)-th flow (3.19).

Theorem 3.11 (Böklund Transformation for (3.19)).

Let $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, π a Hermitian projection of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} satisfying (3.25), and $\phi_{is,\pi}$ defined by (3.29). Let u be a solution of the (2j-1)-th $vmKdV_n$ flow (3.19), and $E(x,t,\lambda)$ a frame of u. Let $\tilde{\pi}(x,t)$ be the Hermitian projection of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} onto

$$\tilde{V}(x,t) = E(x,t,-is)^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}\pi).$$

Then

$$\tilde{u} = u + 2is[a, \tilde{\pi} - \overline{\tilde{\pi}}] \tag{3.33}$$

is a new solution of (3.19) and

$$\tilde{E}(x,t,\lambda) = \phi_{is,\pi}(\lambda)E(x,t,\lambda)\phi_{is,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}^{-1}$$
(3.34)

is a frame of \tilde{u} .

3.12. Permutability for BTs of (3.19)

First we recall the following result.

CHUU-LIAN TERNG

Theorem 3.13. ([22]) Let $E(x, t, \lambda)$ be the frame of a solution u of the (2j-1)-th $vmKdV_n$ flow (3.19) such that $E(0, 0, I) = I_{n+1}$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{R}_-$, and \tilde{u} the solution constructed in Theorem 3.11 from E and f. Then $f \bullet u = \tilde{u}$ defines an action of \mathfrak{R}_- on the space of solutions of (3.19).

Theorem 3.14. Let s_i, π_i, τ_i with i = 1, 2 be as in Proposition 3.10(3), and E the frame of a solution u of (3.19) with $E(0, 0, \lambda) = I_{n+1}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2$ be the Hermitian projection of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\pi}_{i}(x,t)) &= E(x,t,-is_{i})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}\pi_{i}), \quad i=1,2,\\ \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\tau}_{1}(x,t)) &= \phi_{-is_{2},\tilde{\pi}_{2}(x,t)}(\mathrm{Im}\tilde{\pi}_{1}(x,t)),\\ \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\tau}_{2}(x,t)) &= \phi_{-is_{1},\tilde{\pi}_{1}(x,t)}(\mathrm{Im}\tilde{\pi}_{2}(x,t)). \end{split}$$

Then

$$u_{12} = u_1 + 2is_2[a, \tilde{\tau}_2 - \overline{\tilde{\tau}_2}], \quad u_{21} = u_2 + 2is_1[a, \tilde{\tau}_1 - \overline{\tilde{\tau}_1}]$$

are solutions of (3.19) and $u_{12} = u_{21}$, where $u_i = u + 2is_i[a, \tilde{\pi}_i - \overline{\tilde{\pi}_i}]$ for i = 1, 2. Moreover,

$$E_{12} = \phi_{is_2,\tau_2} \phi_{is_1,\pi_1} E \phi_{is_1,\tilde{\pi}_1}^{-1} \phi_{is_2,\tilde{\tau}_2}$$

is the frame of u_{12} with $E_{12}(0,0,\lambda) = I_{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $u_j = \phi_{is_j,\pi_j} \bullet u$. Since \bullet is an action, we have

$$u_{12} == \phi_{is_2,\tau_2} \bullet u_1 = \phi_{is_2,\tau_2} \bullet (\phi_{is_1,\pi_1} \bullet u) = (\phi_{is_2,\tau_2}\phi_{is_1,\pi_1}) \bullet u,$$

$$u_{21} = \phi_{is_1,\tau_1} \bullet (\phi_{is_1,\pi_2} \bullet u) = \phi_{is_1,\tau_1} \bullet u_2 = (\phi_{is_1,\tau_1}\phi_{is_2,\pi_2}) \bullet u.$$

So we have $u_{12} = u_{21}$. It follows from Theorem 3.11 that $E_j = \phi_{is_j,\pi_j} E \phi_{is_j,\tilde{\pi}_j}^{-1}$ is the frame of u_j with $E_j(0,0,\lambda) = I$. Let $\theta_1(x,t)$ and $\theta_2(x,t)$ be Hermitian projections onto $E_2(x,t,-is_1)(\operatorname{Im}\tau_1)$ and $E_1(x,t,-is_2)(\operatorname{Im}\tau_2)$ respectively. It follows from Theorem 3.11 that we have

$$E_{12} = \phi_{is_2,\tau_2} E_1 \phi_{is_2,\theta_2}^{-1} = \phi_{is_2,\tau_2} \phi_{is_1,\pi_1} E \phi_{is_1,\tilde{\pi}_1}^{-1} \phi_{is_2,\theta_2}^{-1},$$

$$E_{21} = \phi_{is_1,\tau_1} E_2 \phi_{is_1,\theta_1}^{-1} = \phi_{is_1,\tau_1} \phi_{is_2,\pi_2} E \phi_{is_2,\tilde{\pi}_2}^{-1} \phi_{is_1,\theta_1}^{-1}$$

are the frame for u_{12} and u_{21} with $E_{12}(0,0,\lambda) = E_{21}(0,0,\lambda) = I$. Since $u_{12} = u_{21}$, we have $E_{12} = E_{21}$. This implies that

$$\phi_{is_2,\theta_2}\phi_{is_1,\tilde{\pi}_1} = \phi_{is_1,\theta_1}\phi_{is_2,\tilde{\pi}_2}.$$

Proposition 3.10(3) implies that $\theta_i = \tilde{\tau}_i$ for i = 1, 2.

Example 3.15. (Soliton solutions of (3.19))

Note that u = 0 is a solution of the (2j - 1)-th vmKdV_n flow (3.19) and $E(x, t, \lambda) = \exp(a\lambda x + a\lambda^{2j-1}t)$. So

$$E(x,t,\lambda) = e^{a\lambda x + a\lambda^3 t} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\lambda x + \lambda^3 t) & -\sin(\lambda x + \lambda^3 t) & 0\\ \sin(\lambda x + \lambda^3 t) & \cos(\lambda x + \lambda^3 t) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the frame of u = 0 with $E(0, 0, \lambda) = I_{n+1}$. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ satisfying $s_i^2 \neq s_j^2$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$, and π_1, \ldots, π_k Hermitian projections satisfying

(3.25). We apply Bäcklund Transform Theorem 3.11 to u = 0 with E and ϕ_{is_j,π_j} to obtain explicit 1-soliton soluton $u_j = \phi_{is_j,\pi_j} \bullet 0$ of (3.19) and its frame

$$E_j(x,t,\lambda) = \phi_{is_j,\pi_j} E(x,t,\lambda) \phi_{is_j,\tilde{\pi}_j}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

We apply the Permutability formula (Theorem 3.14) to u_i, u_j to obtain explicit 2-soliton solutions u_{ij} algebraically from u_i and u_j , etc.

3.16. A Poisson structure for the $vmKdV_n$ hierarchy

We use a known general method (cf. [6], [19]) to construct a Poisson structure for the $vmKdV_n$ flow and its commuting Hamiltonians.

Identify \mathbb{R}^{n-1} as Y via (3.5). Then

$$\langle \Psi(z_1), \Psi(z_2) \rangle := -\frac{1}{2} \oint \operatorname{tr}(\Psi(z_1)\Psi(z_2)) \mathrm{d}x = \oint z_1^t z_2 \mathrm{d}x = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.$$

So \langle , \rangle is the standard L^2 inner product on $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Given $F: C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \nabla F(k)$ is defined by z>

$$\mathrm{d}F_k(z) = \langle \nabla F(k), z \rangle$$

for all $z \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Given $k, z \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, let

$$\Xi_k(z) = z_x - \xi k, \quad \text{where } \xi_x = k z^t - z k^t. \tag{3.35}$$

Then

$$\{H_1, H_2\}(k) = \langle \Xi_k(\nabla H_1(k)), \nabla H_2(k) \rangle$$
(3.36)

is a Poisson structure on $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and the Hamiltonian flow for a functional H is

$$k_t = \Xi_k(\nabla H(k))]. \tag{3.37}$$

Theorem 3.17. ([19]) Let $a, u = \Psi(k)$, and $Q_i(u)$ be as given in Theorem 3.2, and $y_{2j-1}(k)$ the (21) block of $Q_{2j-1}(u)$ as defined by (3.8). Let

$$F_{2j-1}(k) = -\frac{1}{2j-1} \oint y_{2j-1}(k) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.38)

Then we have

- (1) $\nabla F_{2j-1}(k) = z_{2j-2}(k),$
- (2) the Hamiltonian flow for F_{2j-1} is the (2j-1)-th vmKdV_n flow (3.20),
- (3) $\{F_{2j-1}, F_{2\ell-1}\} = 0,$

where $z_{2i-2}(k)$ is the (32)-block of $Q_{2i-2}(u)$ as defined by (3.9).

For example, when j = 2, we have

$$F_3(k) = -\frac{1}{3} \oint y_3(k) dx = -\frac{1}{3} \oint k^t k_{xx} - \frac{||k_x||^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8} ||k||^4 dx.$$

 $\nabla F_3(u) = z_2(k) = -(k_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}||k||^2k)$. By (3.14), we have $(\xi_2)_x = kz_2^t - z_2k^t$. This implies that $\Xi_k(\nabla F_3(k)) = (z_2(k))_x - \xi_2k$, which is the vmKdV_n, the third flow.

CHUU-LIAN TERNG

It follows from $\{F_{2j-1}, F_{2\ell-1}\} = 0$ that we have

Proposition 3.18.

- (i) F_{2i-1} is a constant of the motion for the $(2\ell 1)$ -th vmKdV_n flow,
- (ii) the Hamiltonian flows for F_{2j-1} and $F_{2\ell-1}$ commute, i.e., the (2j 1)-th and $(2\ell 1)$ -th vmKdV_n flows commute.

4. The (2j-1)-th Airy Curve flow

In this section, we

- (1) construct a parallel frame for a solution of the order (2j 1) curve flow in \mathbb{R}^n for all $j \ge 1$ so that the principal curvatures satisfy the (2j - 1)-th vmKdV_n-flow (3.19),
- (2) give a Poisson structure for the space \mathcal{M}_n so that the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow is Hamiltonian,
- (3) these curve Airy curve flows commute, and admit a sequence of commuting Hamiltonians.

Proposition 4.1. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be parameterized by arc-length, and $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ a parallel frame along γ , k_i the principal curvature of γ with respect to e_{i+1} for $1 \le i \le n-1$, and $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1})^t$. Let $u = \Psi(k)$ as defined by (3.5), and $y_{2j-3}(k)$, $\eta_{2j-3}(k)$ the (21) and (31) block of $Q_{2j-3}(u)$ defined by (3.8). Then

$$\gamma_t = y_{2j-3}e_1 + (e_2, \dots, e_n)\eta_{2j-3} \tag{4.1}$$

is a well-defined arc-length preserving curve flow on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. First we claim that the right hand side of (4.1) does not depend on the choice of parallel frames. If g_1 is another parallel frame along γ , then there exists a constant $C \in SO(n-1)$ such that $g_1 = g \operatorname{diag}(1, C)$ and the corresponding curvature

$$\tilde{k} = C^{-1}k. \tag{4.2}$$

So $\tilde{u} = \Psi(\tilde{k}) = h^{-1}uh$, where h = diag(1, 1, C). Write $\tilde{g} = (\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{e}_1 = e_1, \quad (\tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n) = (e_2, \dots, e_n)C$$
 (4.3)

Since h is a constant, it follows from the uniqueness of Theorem 3.2 that we have

$$Q(\tilde{u},\lambda) = Q(h^{-1}uh) = hQ(u,\lambda)h^{-1}.$$

This implies that $Q_{2j-3}(\tilde{u}) = h^{-1}Q_{2j-2}(u)h$. Recall that h = diag(1, 1, C). So we have

$$y_{2j-3}(\tilde{u}) = y_{2j-3}(u), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\eta_{2j-3}(\tilde{k}) = C^{-1}\eta_{2j-3}(k).$$
(4.5)

It follows from (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) that $\tilde{y}_{2j-3}(\tilde{u})\tilde{e}_1 + (\tilde{e}_2, \ldots, \tilde{e}_n)\eta_{2j-3}(\tilde{u})$ is equal to $y_{2j-3}(u)e_1 + (e_2, \ldots, e_n)\eta_{2j-3}(u)$. This proves the claim. Hence (4.1) defines a curve flow on \mathbb{R}^n .

By (3.12), we have $(y_{2j-3})_x = k^t \eta_{2j-3}$. It now follows from Lemma 2.1 that (4.1) preserves the arc-length parameter.

Definition 4.2. We call (4.1) the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 4.3. Since

$$(\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp})^{\ell} H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i)_x^{(\ell)} e_{i+1},$$

we can write (4.1) in terms of $(\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp})^i H$ with $i \ge 0$. For example, when j = 1, we have $Q_1(u) = a$. So (4.1) is the translation flow,

$$\gamma_t = e_1 = \gamma_x.$$

For j = 2, we have

$$Q_3(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{||k||^2}{2} & k_x^t \\ -\frac{||k||^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -k_x & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the third Airy curve flow (4.1) is geometric Airy flow (1.2).

The fifth Airy curve flow is $\gamma_t = y_5(k)e_1 + (e_2, \dots, e_n)\eta_5(k)$. Use (3.18) to rewrite the fifth in terms of $H, \nabla_{e_1}^{\perp} H, \dots, (\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp})^{(3)} H$ as follows:

$$\gamma_t = (\langle H, (\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp})^2 H \rangle - \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp}||^2 + \frac{3}{2} ||H||^4) e_1 + ((\nabla_{e_1}^{\perp})^3 H + \frac{3}{8} ||H||^2 \nabla_{e_1}^{\perp} H)$$

Theorem 4.4. If $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (4.1), then there exists $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ such that $g(\cdot, t)$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ for each t, and g satisfies

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g^{-1}g_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z_{2j-2}^t(k) \\ z_{2j-2}(k) & \xi_{2j-2}(k) \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases}$$
(4.6)

where z_{2j-2} and ξ_{2j-2} are the (32) and (33) blocks of $Q_{2j-1}(u)$ defined by (3.8). Then

- (1) $u = \Psi(k)$ (defined by (3.5)) is a solution of (3.19),
- (2) if g_1 is another map satisfies (4.6) with \tilde{k} then there exists $c \in SO(n-1)$ such that $g_1 = g \operatorname{diag}(1,c)$ and $\tilde{k} = c^{-1}k$.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is similar to that of Theorem 2.4. We will use same notations. (2) can be proved exactly the same way. For (1), let $h = (e_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ such that $h(\cdot, t)$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma(\cdot, t)$, and μ_1, \ldots, μ_{n-1} the corresponding principal curvatures. Then

$$A := h^{-1}h_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu^t \\ \mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\hat{u} = \Psi(\mu),$$

where Ψ is defined by (3.5). Let $B = (b_{ij}) := h^{-1}h_t$. Since γ satisfies (4.1), we compute directly to see that

$$b_{i+1,1} = \langle (e_1)_t, v_{i+1} \rangle = \langle (\gamma_t)_x, v_{i+1} \rangle = y_{2j-3}(\mu)\mu_i + ((\eta_{2j-3}(\mu))_i)_x.$$

By (3.11), $b_{i+1,1} = (z_{2j}(\mu))_i$, where $z_{2j}(\mu)$ is the (32) block of $Q_{2j}(\hat{u})$ as in (3.9). So we can write $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z_{2j}^t \\ z_{2j} & S \end{pmatrix}$, for some $S = S(k) \in so(n-1)$. Compare the (22) block of $A_t = B + [A, B]$ to see that $S_x = \mu z_{2j}^t(\mu) - z_{2j}(\mu)\mu^t$. It follows from (3.14), $S_x = (\xi_{2j}(\mu))_x$. So there exists $\eta(t) \in so(n-1)$ such that $S(x,t) = \xi_{2j}(\mu(x,t)) + \eta(t)$. Let $f(t) \in SO(n-1)$ satisfying $f_t = -\eta(t)f$. Then g = h diag(1, f) is a parallel frame, $k = f^{-1}\mu$ is its principal curvature, and g satisfies (4.6).

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that k is a solution of (3.20).

Conversely, given a solution of the (2j - 1)-th vmKdV_n flow (3.20) we can construct a solution of the (2j - 1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1).

Proposition 4.5. Let k be a solution of (2j - 1)-th vmKdV_n flow (3.20). Then:

(1) There is $g = (e_1, \dots, e_n) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$ satisfying (4.6). (2)

$$\gamma(x,t) = \int_0^t Z_{2j-3}(0,t_1) dt_1 + \int_0^x e_1(s,t) ds$$

is a solution of (4.1), where

2

$$Z_{2j-3} = y_{2j-3}e_1 + (e_2, \dots, e_n)\eta_{2j-3},$$

 $y_{2j-3}(k)$, $\eta_{2j-3}(k)$ are the (21) and (31) blocks of $Q_{2j-3}(u)$ as in (3.8), and $u = \Psi(k)$.

Proof. (1) follows from Proposition 3.4.

(2) The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.6. Note that $\gamma_x = e_1$. The second equation of (4.6) gives

$$(e_1)_t = (e_2, \ldots, e_n) z_{2j-2}.$$

Use the first equation of (4.6) (which is (2.1)) and (3.12) to compute directly to see that

$$(e_2,\ldots,e_n)z_{2j-2}=(Z_{2j-3})_x.$$

Hence

$$\gamma_t = Z_{2j-3}(0,t) + \int_0^x (e_2, \dots, e_n) z_{2j-2} ds$$
$$= Z_{2j-3}(0,t) + \int_0^x (Z_{2j-3})_s ds = Z_{2j-3},$$

which is the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1).

Cauchy problem on the line for the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1) can be proved in the same way as for the geometric Airy curve flow, and similarly for the periodic case.

4.6. Poisson structure on \mathcal{M}_n and commuting Airy curve flows

Let \mathcal{M}_n be as in (1.4). We have seen that if both g, \tilde{g} are parallel frames along $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and k, \tilde{k} are the corresponding principal curvatures, then there exists a constant $c \in SO(n-1)$ such that $\tilde{g} = gc$ and $\tilde{k} = c^{-1}k$. Note that the group SO(n-1) acts on $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ by $c\dot{k} = c^{-1}k$. So

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{M}_n \to C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})/SO(n-1)$$

defined by $\Gamma(\gamma)$ = the SO(n-1)-orbit of k is well-defined, where k is a the curvature along some parallel frame g along γ .

Lemma 4.7. Let $k, z \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, $c \in SO(n-1)$, and Ξ the operator defined by (3.37). Then

$$\Xi_{c^{-1}k}(c^{-1}z) = c^{-1}\xi_k(z).$$
(4.7)

Proof. By (3.37), we have $\Xi_k(z) = z_x - \xi k$, where $\xi_x = kz^t - zk^t$. Let $\tilde{k} = c^{-1}k$, $\tilde{z} = c^{-1}z$, and $\tilde{\xi} = c^{-1}\xi c$. A direct computation implies that $\Xi_{\tilde{k}} = \tilde{k}_x - \tilde{\xi}\tilde{k}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_x = \tilde{k}\tilde{z}^t - \tilde{z}\tilde{k}^t$. This proves (4.7).

Corollary 4.8. If H_1, H_2 are functionals on $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ invariant under the action of SO(n-1), then $\{H_1, H_2\}$ is also invariant under the action of SO(n-1).

Formula (4.4) in the proof of Proposition 4.1 implies the following:

Proposition 4.9. If $H : C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{k-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a functional, then $\hat{H} : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\hat{H} = H(\Gamma(\gamma))$ is a well-defined functional.

Hence $\{,\}$ defined by (3.36) can be viewed as a Poisson structure on the orbit space $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})/SO(n-1)$. Let $\{,\}^{\wedge}$ denote the pull back of $\{,\}$ on \mathcal{M}_n by the map Γ , i.e.,

$$\{\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2\}^{\wedge}(\gamma) = \{H_1, H_2\}(k),\$$

where $\hat{H}_i = H_i(\Gamma(\gamma))$.

Proposition 4.10. Let H be a functional on $C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, and $\nabla H(k) =$ $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})^t$. Then the Hamiltonian vector field for \hat{H} with respect to $\{,\}^{\wedge}$ is

$$\delta \gamma = A_0 e_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i e_{i+1}$$

where $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (A_0)_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i A_i, \\ (A_i)_x + A_0 k_i = z_i, \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

 $g = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is a parallel frame along γ and k is the principal curvature with respect to g.

Proof. Let $\delta k = \Xi_k(\nabla H(k))$ denote the Hamiltonian vector field of H with respect to $\{,\}$. Since the Poisson structure $\{,\}^{\wedge}$ is the pull back of $\{,\}$ by Γ , we have $d\Gamma_{\gamma}(\delta\gamma) = \delta k$.

Use
$$g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and a simple computation to see that

$$\left[\partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g^{-1}\delta g\right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\delta k)^t \\ \delta k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.9)$$

where δg the variation of parallel frames when we vary γ . Since $g \in SO(n)$, $g^{-1}\delta g$ is so(n)-valued. Write

$$g^{-1}\delta g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta^t \\ \eta & \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (4.9) implies that $(\zeta)_x = kz^t - z^t k$ and $\Xi_k(\eta) = \delta k = \Xi_k(\nabla H(k)).$ Hence $\eta = z$. So we have

$$g^{-1}\delta g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z^t \\ z & \zeta \end{pmatrix},\tag{4.10}$$

where $z = \nabla H(u)$. The first column of (4.10) implies that

$$\delta e_1 = g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compute directly to see that

$$\delta e_1 = (\delta \gamma)_x = (A_0 e_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i e_{i+1})_x$$

= $((A_0)_x - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i k_i) e_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_0 k_i + (A_i)_x) e_{i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i e_{i+1}.$
proves (4.8).

This proves (4.8).

Proposition 4.11. Let F_{2j-1} be as defined by (3.38). Then the Hamiltonian flow for \hat{F}_{2j-1} on \mathcal{M}_n is the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1).

Proof. Let y_{2j-3} , η_{2j-3} be as in (3.8), and z_{2j-2} , ξ_{2j-2} as in (3.9). By Theorem 3.17, we have $\nabla F_{2j-1}(k) = z_{2j-2}$. It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that $A_0 = y_{2j-3}$ and $A_i = \eta_{2j-3}$ satisfy (4.8). This proves the Proposition. \Box

Since $\{\hat{F}_{2j-1}, \hat{F}_{2\ell-1}\}^{\wedge} = \{F_{2j-1}, F_{2\ell-1}\} = 0$, we have the following:

Corollary 4.12.

- (1) The (2j-1)-th and the $(2\ell-1)$ -th Airy curve flows commute.
- (2) If $\gamma(x,t)$ is a solution of the (2j-1)-th Airy flow, then $F_{2\ell-1}(\gamma(\cdot,t))$ is constant in t.

5. BTs for the geometric Airy flow on \mathbb{R}^n

In this section, we

- (1) construct solutions of the (2j 1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1) from frames of (2j 1)-th vmKdV_n (3.19),
- (2) give BT for the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1),
- (3) write down explicit 1-soliton solutions for the geometric Airy flow on \mathbb{R}^2 .

The following Theorem shows that the construction given by Pohlmeyer ([16]) and Sym ([18]) in soliton theory gives solutions of the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1) from frames of solutions of vmKdV_n flow (3.19).

Theorem 5.1. Let $u = \Psi(k)$ be a solution of the (2j - 1)-th $vmKdV_n$ flow (3.19), $E(x, t, \lambda)$ the frame of u with $E(0, 0, \lambda) = I_{n+1}$. Let

$$\zeta(x,t) := E_{\lambda} E^{-1}(x,t,0).$$

Then

(1)
$$\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^t \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 for some $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$,

- (2) E(x,t,0) = diag(1,g(x,t)) for some $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$, $g(\cdot,t)$ is a parallel frame of $\gamma(\cdot,t)$ and k(x,t) is the principal curvature of $\gamma(\cdot,t)$ with respect to $g(\cdot,t)$.
- (3) γ is a solution of the (2j-1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1).

Proof. (1) Note that the fixed point set of τ is SO(n+1) and the connected component of the fixed point set of τ on SO(n+1) is $K = \{ \operatorname{diag}(1, f) \mid f \in SO(n) \}$. The (τ, σ) -reality condition and $E(0, 0, 0) = I_{n+1}$ implies that $E(x, t, 0) \in K$. So

$$f(x,t) := E(x,t,0) = \text{diag}(1,g(x,t))$$

for some $g(x,t) \in SO(n)$. The (τ, σ) -reality condition also implies that

$$\zeta := E_{\lambda} E^{-1} |_{\lambda=0}$$

lies in \mathcal{P} . So there exist $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &:= E(x,t,0) = \operatorname{diag}(1,g(z,t)), \\ \zeta &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^t \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(2) Since E is a solution of (3.23), we have

$$\begin{cases} E_x = E(a\lambda + u), \\ E_t = E(a\lambda^{2j-1} + u\lambda^{2j-2} + \dots + Q_{2j-3}(u)\lambda + Q_{2j-2}(u)), \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

Use (5.1), E(x,t,0) = f = diag(1,g), and a direct computation to get

$$\zeta_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_x^t \\ \gamma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = faf^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(1,g)a\operatorname{diag}(1,g^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e_1^t \\ e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\zeta_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^t \\ \gamma_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (E_t)_{\lambda} E^{-1} - E_{\lambda} E^{-1} E_t E \big|_{\lambda=0} = f Q_{2j-3}(u) f^{-1}$$
(5.3)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y_{2j-3} & -\eta_{2j-3}^t \\ y_{2j-3} & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_{2j-3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5.4)

(5.2) implies that $\gamma_x = e_1$ the first column of g. The first equation of (5.1) at $\lambda = 0$ gives $g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^t \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So $g(\cdot, t)$ is a parallel frame and $k(\cdot, t)$ the principal curvature of $\gamma(\cdot, t)$.

(3) Write
$$g = (e_1, ..., e_n)$$
. (3) follows from (5.4).

Corollary 5.2. Let γ be a solution of (4.1), and g, k as in Theorem 4.4. Let E be a frame of the solution $u = \Psi(k)$ with E(x,t,0) = g(0,0). Then there is a constant $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $E_{\lambda}E^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^t - p_0^t \\ \gamma + p_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Theorem 4.4 implies that $E_{\lambda}E^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\gamma}^t \\ \hat{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\hat{\gamma}$ is a solution of (4.1), g(x,t) = E(x,t,0) is a parallel frame for $\hat{\gamma}$. But g is also a parallel frame for γ . So $\gamma_x = \hat{\gamma}_x$. Both $\hat{\gamma}$ and γ are solutions of (4.1) implies that

$$\hat{\gamma}_t = \gamma_t = y_{2j-3}(k)e_1 + (e_2, \dots, e_n)\eta_{2j-3}(k).$$

Hence $\hat{\gamma} - \gamma$ is a constant p_0 .

Theorem 5.3 (Bäcklund transformation for Airy curve flows).

Let γ be a solution of the (2j - 1)-th Airy curve flow (4.1), and $g(\cdot, t)$ parallel frame and $k(\cdot, t)$ the corresponding principal curvature of $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ as in Theorem 4.4. Let E the frame of the solution $u = \Psi(k)$ of the (2j - 1)th vmKdV_n (3.19) with initial data $E(0, 0, \lambda) = \text{diag}(1, g(0, 0)), s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a unit vector, and $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\tilde{v}(x, t) := E(x, t, -is)^{-1}v$. Then we have the following:

- (1) E(x,t,0) = diag(1,g(x,t)).
- (2) $\tilde{v} = (y_0, iy_1, \dots, iy_n)^t$ for some $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, y_0 never vanishes, and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = y_0^2$. (3) Write $g = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, and set

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{1}{y_0} (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma} = -(\mathbf{I}_n - 2\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t)(\gamma - \frac{2}{sy_0}\sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i), \qquad (5.6)$$

$$\tilde{g} = (\mathbf{I}_n - 2\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t)g(\mathbf{I}_n - 2\tilde{\mathbf{c}}\tilde{\mathbf{c}}^t), \qquad (5.7)$$

$$\tilde{k} = k - \frac{2s}{y_0} (y_2, \dots, y_n)^t.$$
 (5.8)

Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a solution of (4.1), \tilde{g} is a parallel frame of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with corresponding principal curvatures k

Proof.

(1) Since $E(x, t, \lambda) \in SO(n+1)$ and satisfies the reality condition (3.2), E(x,t,0) = diag(1,h(x,t)) for some $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \to SO(n)$. It follows from the fact that E is a frame of $u = \Psi(k)$ that h satisfies (4.6). So both g and h satisfies the same linear system (4.6) and g(0,0) = h(0,0). This proves that g = h.

(2) follows from Remark 3.8. Note that $||\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(x,t)||^2 = 1$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

(3) Let π , $\tilde{\pi}(x,t)$ be the Hermitian projection onto $\mathbb{C}v$ and $\mathbb{C}\tilde{v}(x,t)$ respectively. So we have

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i\mathbf{c}^t \\ i\mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i\tilde{\mathbf{c}}^t \\ i\tilde{\mathbf{c}} & \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ is defined by (5.5). Let $\phi = \phi_{is,\pi}$. Then

$$\phi(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_n - 2\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_\lambda \phi^{-1}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{2}{s}\mathbf{c}^t\\ \frac{2}{s}\mathbf{c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply Theorem 3.11 to u with frame E and $\phi_{is,\pi}$ to see that

$$\tilde{u} = u + 2is[a, \tilde{\pi} - \overline{\tilde{\pi}}] \tag{5.9}$$

is a new solution of (3.19). Write $\tilde{u} = \Psi(\tilde{k})$, and $u = \Psi(k)$. Then (5.9) gives (5.8).

By Theorem 3.11, $\tilde{E} = \phi_{is,\pi} E \phi_{is,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1}$ is a frame of \tilde{u} . Apply Theorem 5.1 to \tilde{E} to see that

$$\tilde{E}_{\lambda}\tilde{E}^{-1}|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\gamma}^t \\ \hat{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\hat{\gamma}$ is again a solution of (4.1). By Corollary 5.2, there is a constant $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$E_{\lambda}E^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\gamma + p_0)^t \\ \gamma + p_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Use $\tilde{E} = \phi E \tilde{\phi}^{-1}$ to compute $\tilde{E}_{\lambda} \tilde{E}^{-1}$ directly to obtain

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{2\mathbf{c}}{s} - A(\gamma + p_0) + \frac{2}{s}Ag\tilde{\mathbf{c}},$$

where $A = I_n - 2\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^t$. Note that $\frac{2\mathbf{c}}{s} - Ap_0$ is a constant and the (2j - 1)-th Airy flow (4.1) is invariant under the translation. Hence $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined by (5.6) is a solution of (4.1).

Theorem 5.1 implies that $\tilde{E}(x,t,0) = \text{diag}(1,\tilde{g}(x,t))$ and $\tilde{g}(x,t)$ is a parallel frame for $\hat{\gamma}$.

Example 5.4 (Explicit soliton solutions of (1.2) in \mathbb{R}^2).

Note that k = 0 is a solution of the mKdV, and

$$E(x, t, \lambda) = \exp(a(\lambda x + \lambda^3 t))$$

is a frame of the solution $u = \Psi(0) = 0$ of the third vmKdV₂ flow. Since $E_{\lambda}E^{-1}|_{\lambda=0} = ax$, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that

$$\gamma(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} x\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a stationary solution of the geometric Airy curve flow on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let π be the Hermitian projection onto $\mathbb{C}v$, where

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\i\mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$E(x,t,-is)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh D & -i\sinh D & 0\\ i\sinh D & \cosh D & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D = sx - s^3t.$$

We apply Theorem 5.3 to γ with k = 0 and E and $\phi_{is,\pi}$ and use the same notation as in Theorem 5.3. A direct computation implies that

$$\tilde{c}(x,t) = (\tanh(sx - s^3t), \operatorname{sech}(sx - s^3t))^t, \quad A = \operatorname{diag}(-1,1),$$

and

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = -\begin{pmatrix} 0\\\frac{2}{s} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x - \frac{2}{s} \tanh(sx - s^3t)\\\frac{2}{s} \operatorname{sech}(sx - s^3t) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.10)

is a solution of the geometric Airy flow on \mathbb{R}^2 and

$$\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2 \mathrm{sech}^2 D & 2 \mathrm{sech} D \tanh(sx - s^3 t) \\ -2 \mathrm{sech} D \tanh D & 1 - 2 \mathrm{sech}^2 D \end{pmatrix}$$

is a parallel frame with principal curvature

$$\tilde{k}(x,t) = -2s\operatorname{sech}(sx - s^3t),$$

where $D = sx - s^3 t$. Note that \tilde{k} is a 1-soliton solution of the mKdV.



FIGURE 1. Profile of a 1-soliton solution of the geometric Airy flow in the plane

If $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a solution of the geometric Airy flow on \mathbb{R}^2 , then so is $\tilde{\gamma} + p_0$ for some constant $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence

$$\gamma_1(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} x - \frac{2}{s} \tanh(sx - s^3t) \\ \frac{2}{s} \operatorname{sech}(sx - s^3t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is also a solution and \tilde{k} is its curvature. Note that

$$\gamma_1(x, t_0) = \gamma(x - s^2 t_0, 0) + (s^2 t_0, 0)$$

So γ_1 is a self-similar solution of the geometric curve flow on \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that the profile of 1-soliton solution γ_1 when s = 1 of the geometric Airy flow is the plane curve $\gamma_0(x) = (x - 2 \tanh x, 2 \operatorname{sech} x)^t$ (see graph of the curve in Figure 1), and γ_1 moves to the right but keeps its shape.

Example 5.5. Since k = 0 is a trivial solution of the mKdV_n, $E(x, t, \lambda) = e^{ax+a^{2j-1}t}$ is the frame of the solution $u = \Psi(0) = 0$ of the third flow (3.19). Then $E_{\lambda}E^{-1}|_{\lambda=0} = ax$. By Theorem 5.1, $\gamma(x,t) = (x,0,\ldots,0)^t$ is a solution of (1.2) \mathbb{R}^n with $g(x,t) = I_n$ as parallel frame and k = 0 as the corresponding principal curvature. Note that

$$E^{-1}(x,t,-is) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh A & -\sinh A & 0\\ \sinh A & \cosh A & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = sx - s^3 t$$

So we can use Theorem 5.3 to write down explicit new solutions $\tilde{\gamma}$ with parallel frame \tilde{g} and principal curvature \tilde{k} as in Example 5.4.

References

- Beals, R., Coifman, R.R., Inverse scattering and evolution equations, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 38 (1985), 29-42
- Bourgain, Jean, On the Cauchy problem for periodic KdV-type equations. J. Fourier Anal. Appl.(1995) 17–86
- [3] Calini, A., Ivey, T., Marí Beffa, G., Remarks on KdV-type flows on star-shaped curves, Phys. D 238 (2009), 788-797.
- [4] Calini, A., Ivey, T., Marí Beffa,G., Integrable flows for starlike curves in centroaffine space, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 9 (2013), 1-21.
- [5] Donaldson, N., Fox, D., Goertsches, O., Generators for rational loop groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), 3531-3552.
- [6] Drinfel'd, V.G., Sokolov, V.V., Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, (Russian) Current problems in mathematics, 24 (1984), 81–180
- [7] H. Hasimoto, A soliton on a vortex filament, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 51 (1972) p 477.
- [8] Marí Beffa, G., Projective-type differential invariants and geometric curve evolutions of KdV-type in flat homogeneous manifolds, Annales de l'institut Fourier, 58 (2008), 1295–1335.
- Marí Beffa, G., Geometric Hamiltonian structures on flat semi-simple homogeneous manifolds, AJM, 12 (2008), 1–33.
- [10] Marí Beffa, G., Geometric realizations of bi-Hamiltonian completely integrable systems, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 4 (2008), Paper 034, 23 pp.
- [11] Marí Beffa, G., Bi-Hamiltonian flows and their realizations as curves in real semisimple homogeneous manifolds, PJM, 247 (2010), 163–188.
- [12] Ovsienko, V.Yu, Khesin, B.A., Symplectic leaves of the Gel'fand-Dickey brackets and homotopy classes of non-degenerate curves, (Russian); translated from Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 24 (1990), no. 1, 38–47, Funct. Anal. Appl. 24 (1990), no. 1, 33–40, MR1052266, Zbl 0723.58021.
- [13] Pinkall, U., Hamiltonian flows on the space of star-shaped curves, Results Math. 27(3-4) (1995), 328–332.
- [14] J. Langer and R. Perline, Poisson geometry of the filament equation, J. Nonlinear Sci. 1 1991 p 71.
- [15] Langer, J., Perline, Ron., Curve motion inducing modified Korteweg-de Vries systems, Phys. Lett. A 239 (1998) pp. 36–40
- [16] Pohlmeyer, K., Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints, Comm. Math. Phys. 46 (1976) p 207
- [17] N. Sasaki and Y. Yasui, Differential geometry of the vortex filament equation, J. Geom. Phys. 28 (1998) no. 1-2, p 195.
- [18] Sym, A., *Soliton surfaces and their applications*, Geometrical aspects of the Einstein equations and integrable systems, Lecture Notes in Physics 239 (1985) p 154.
- [19] Terng, C.L., Soliton equations and differential geometry, J. Differential Geometry, 45 (1997), 407-445.

- [20] Terng, C.L., Dispersive geometric curve flows, Surveys in differential geometry 2014. Regularity and evolution of nonlinear equations, 179–229, Surv. Differ. Geom., 19, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2015.
- [21] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., Poisson actions and scattering theory for integrable systems, Surveys in Differential Geometry, IV: Integrable Systems, A supplement to J. Differential Geometry, 4(1999), 315-402
- [22] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 53(1) (2000), 1–75.
- [23] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., The $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy, JFPTA 10 (2011), 37–61.
- [24] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., Central affine curve flow on the plane, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., Mme Choquet-Bruhat Fastschrift, 14 (2013), 375–396.
- [25] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., Bäcklund transformations for Gelfand-Dickey flows, revisited, J. Integrable Syst. 2 (2017), no. 1, xyw013, 19 pp.
- [26] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., N-dimension central affine curve flows, J. Differential Geometry 111(1) (2019), 145–189.
- [27] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., *Isotropic curve flows*, to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom., in honor of Karen Uhlenbeck's 75th birthday.
- [28] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., Darboux transforms for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ -KdV flow, preprint.

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-3875. Email: cterng@math.uci.edu