

Discrete Symmetries in the Cluster Shell Model

A.H. Santana Valdés and R. Bijker^a

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, A.P. 70-543, 04510 México D.F., México

Abstract. The role of discrete (or point-group) symmetries is discussed in the framework of the Cluster Shell Model which describes the splitting of single-particle levels in the deformed field of cluster potentials. We discuss the classification of the eigenstates for the cases of a triangular and tetrahedral configuration of α -particles in terms of the irreducible representations of the double point groups \mathcal{D}'_{3h} and \mathcal{T}'_d , respectively, and show how the discrete symmetry of a given eigenstate can be determined. Finally, we derive the Coriolis coupling for each one of these geometrical configurations.

1 Introduction

Discrete symmetries have been used in nuclear physics in the context of collective models to characterize the intrinsic shape of the nucleus, such as axial symmetry for quadrupole deformations [1], and tetrahedral [2,3] and octahedral [3,4] symmetries for deformations of higher multipoles. A different application of the concept of discrete symmetries is found in the context of α -particle clustering in light nuclei to describe the geometric configuration of the α -particles. Early work on α -cluster models goes back to the 1930's with studies by Wheeler [5], and Hafstad and Teller [6], followed by later work by Brink [7,8] and Robson [9]. The measurements in recent years of new rotational excitations of the ground state of ^{12}C with $L^P = 4^-$ and 5^- [10,11,12] and of the Hoyle state with $L^P = 2^+$ and 4^+ [13,14,15,16] have generated a large renewed interest in the structure of ^{12}C , and of α -cluster nuclei in general [17,18,19].

The experimental verification of the existence of discrete symmetries in nuclei consists in the study of the structure of rotational bands as fingerprints of the underlying discrete symmetry involving both the angular momentum and parity content of rotational bands and electromagnetic transitions and moments [20,21,22,23,24]. An analysis of the available experimental data of $k\alpha$ nuclei has provided evidence for the existence of triangular \mathcal{D}_{3h} symmetry in ^{12}C and tetrahedral \mathcal{T}_d symmetry in ^{16}O [12,20,21,22,23,25]. An interesting question is to what extent these geometric configurations are manifested in the neighboring odd-mass nuclei, and what are their characteristic signatures. Hereto the Cluster Shell Model (CSM¹) has been developed which describes the splitting of single-particle levels in deformed cluster potentials [26] with applications to ^9Be and ^9B [27], and ^{13}C [28].

^a e-mail: bijker@nucleares.unam.mx

¹ Not to be confused with the Cranked Shell Model

The aim of this contribution is to discuss the classification of the eigenstates in the CSM for the cases of a triangular and tetrahedral configuration of α -particles, related to the double point groups \mathcal{D}'_{3h} and \mathcal{T}'_d , respectively, and show how the discrete symmetry of a given eigenstate can be determined. As an application, we derive the Coriolis coupling for each one of these geometrical configurations.

2 Cluster Shell Model

The cluster shell model has been introduced recently [26,27,29] to describe nuclei composed of k α -particles plus additional nucleons, simply denoted as $k\alpha + x$ nuclei. The CSM combines cluster and single-particle degrees of freedom, and is very similar in spirit as the Nilsson model [30], but in the CSM the odd nucleon moves in the deformed field generated by the (collective) cluster degrees of freedom. The Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = T + V(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\text{so}}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau_3)V_{\text{C}}(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (1)$$

i.e. the sum of the kinetic energy, a central potential obtained by convoluting the density

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{r}) &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \sum_{i=1}^k \exp\left[-\alpha(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)^2\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\alpha(r^2 + \beta^2)} 4\pi \sum_{\lambda\nu} i_{\lambda}(2\alpha\beta r) Y_{\lambda\nu}(\theta, \phi) \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{\lambda\nu}^*(\theta_i, \phi_i) , \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the interaction between the α -particle and the nucleon, a spin-orbit interaction and, for an odd proton, a Coulomb potential. Here $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)$ denotes the coordinates of the α -particles with respect to the center-of-mass. In this manuscript we consider equilateral triangular and tetrahedral configurations for which the distance of the α -particles with respect to the center-of-mass is the same $r_i = \beta$.

The Hamiltonian of the CSM of Eq. (1) is solved in the intrinsic, or body-fixed, system. The single-particle energies and the intrinsic wave functions are obtained by diagonalizing the H in the harmonic oscillator basis $|nljm\rangle$. In Fig. 1 we show the results for a single-particle moving in the deformed field generated by a cluster of α -particles with triangular and tetrahedral symmetry as a function of β , the distance of the α -particles with respect to the center-of-mass.

3 Triangular symmetry: D_{3h}

For a cluster of three identical α -particles it is convenient to choose the z -axis along the symmetry axis of the triangle. In this geometry, the coordinates of the three α -particles are given by $\mathbf{r}_1 = (\beta, \pi/2, 0)$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = (\beta, \pi/2, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{r}_3 = (\beta, \pi/2, 4\pi/3)$. Note, that this configuration is different from the one used in Ref. [26]. With this choice, the geometric factor in the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 Y_{\lambda\nu}^*(\theta_i, \phi_i) = Y_{\lambda\nu}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right) \left[1 + 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\nu}{3}\right)\right] = 3\delta_{\nu,3\kappa} Y_{\lambda\nu}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right) , \quad (3)$$




Fig. 1. Single-particle energies in a cluster potential with \mathcal{D}'_{3h} triangular symmetry (left) and with \mathcal{T}'_d tetrahedral symmetry (right). In the left panel, the single-particle levels are labeled by $E_{1/2}$ (black), $E_{5/2}$ (red) and $E_{3/2}$ (blue), and in the right panel by $E_{1/2}$ (black), $E_{5/2}$ (red) and $G_{3/2}$ (blue). For $\beta = 0$ the ordering of the single-particle orbits is $1s_{1/2}$, $1p_{3/2}$, $1p_{1/2}$ and (almost degenerate) $1d_{5/2}$, $2s_{1/2}$.

i.e. ν is a multiple of three. The eigenstates are intrinsic states upon which an entire rotational band is built. The structure of the rotational bands can be obtained by studying the point group symmetry of the problem.

For the case of triangular symmetry the eigenstates of the CSM can be classified according to the irreducible representations (irreps) of the double point group \mathcal{D}'_{3h} . The double group \mathcal{D}'_{3h} has three doubly degenerate spinor representations, denoted by Koster in applications to crystal physics as Γ_7 , Γ_8 , Γ_9 [31] and by Herzberg in applications to molecular physics as $E_{1/2}$, $E_{5/2}$, $E_{3/2}$ [32]. In a recent application to nuclear physics the three representations were written as [28] $E_{1/2}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma_7 \equiv E_{1/2}$, $E_{1/2}^{(-)} \equiv \Gamma_8 \equiv E_{5/2}$ and $E_{3/2} \equiv \Gamma_9 \equiv E_{3/2}$. In this article, we adopt the notation of Herzberg.

Table 1. Resolution of rotational states with half-integer angular momentum J and parity P into irreps of \mathcal{D}'_{3h} [31,32].

D_J^P	$E_{1/2}^{(+)}$	$E_{1/2}^{(-)}$	$E_{3/2}$	D_J^P	$E_{1/2}^{(+)}$	$E_{1/2}^{(-)}$	$E_{3/2}$	[28]
	Γ_7	Γ_8	Γ_9		Γ_7	Γ_8	Γ_9	[31]
	$E_{1/2}$	$E_{5/2}$	$E_{3/2}$		$E_{1/2}$	$E_{5/2}$	$E_{3/2}$	[32]
$1/2^+$	1	0	0	$1/2^-$	0	1	0	
$3/2^+$	1	0	1	$3/2^-$	0	1	1	
$5/2^+$	1	1	1	$5/2^-$	1	1	1	
$7/2^+$	1	2	1	$7/2^-$	2	1	1	
$9/2^+$	1	2	2	$9/2^-$	2	1	2	
$11/2^+$	2	2	2	$11/2^-$	2	2	2	
$13/2^+$	3	2	2	$13/2^-$	2	3	2	




Fig. 2. Triplex symmetry.

Table 1 shows the resolution of angular momentum states into irreps of the double point group \mathcal{D}'_{3h} . The results for higher angular momenta ($J \geq 13/2$) are given by

$$D_J^P = D_{J-6}^P + (2E_{1/2} + 2E_{5/2} + 2E_{3/2}). \quad (4)$$

For the triangular configuration, the rotational states can be labeled by the angular momentum J and its projection K on the symmetry axis, $|J^P K\rangle$. Both J and K are half integer. The allowed values of K^P for each one of the spinor representations are given by [28]

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = E_{1/2} : \quad K^P &= \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{7}{2}^-, \frac{11}{2}^+, \frac{13}{2}^+, \dots \\ \Omega = E_{5/2} : \quad K^P &= \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+, \frac{11}{2}^-, \frac{13}{2}^-, \dots \\ \Omega = E_{3/2} : \quad K^P &= \frac{3}{2}^\pm, \frac{9}{2}^\pm, \frac{15}{2}^\pm, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The K^P bands for the representation $E_{3/2}$ are doubly degenerate (parity doubling). The angular momenta of each K band are given by $J = K, K+1, K+2, \dots$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = E_{1/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^\pm, \frac{7}{2}^+, (\frac{7}{2}^-)^2, \frac{9}{2}^+, (\frac{9}{2}^-)^2, \dots \\ \Omega = E_{5/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^\pm, \frac{7}{2}^-, (\frac{7}{2}^+)^2, \frac{9}{2}^-, (\frac{9}{2}^+)^2, \dots \\ \Omega = E_{3/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{3}{2}^\pm, \frac{5}{2}^\pm, \frac{7}{2}^\pm, (\frac{9}{2}^\pm)^2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in agreement with Table 1.

The eigenstates of the CSM Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) can be classified according to the irreps of the \mathcal{D}'_{3h} triangular symmetry as $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$, where Ω denotes each one of the doubly degenerate spinor representations $\Omega = E_{1/2}, E_{5/2}, E_{3/2}$, and μ distinguishes between the two components of each one of the doublets. The quantum numbers Ω and μ can be determined by considering the operator \hat{T}_z (called triplex operator in Ref. [33]) which is the product of a rotation over $\pi/3$ about the symmetry axis followed by the inversion, or parity (see Fig. 2)

$$\hat{T}_z = \hat{P}\hat{R}_z(\pi/3) = \hat{P}e^{i\pi J_z/3}. \quad (7)$$

Since the operator \hat{T}_z is one of the symmetry elements it can be used to define the value of μ as

$$\hat{T}_z |\Omega, \mu\rangle = e^{i\pi\mu/3} |\Omega, \mu\rangle. \quad (8)$$

For even systems of fermions, the identity is given by $(\hat{T}_z)^6 = 1$, whereas for odd systems, due to the double valuedness, one has

$$(\hat{T}_z)^{12} = 1, \quad (9)$$

which means that the value of μ is half-integer and determined up to modulo 6. Without loss of generality, one can take $\mu = \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{5}{2}$.

The intrinsic state $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$ can be expanded into a basis of states with good angular momentum and parity as (see Table 1)

$$|\Omega, \mu\rangle = \sum_{J^P K} B_{J^P K}^{\Omega\mu} |J^P K\rangle, \quad (10)$$

to obtain

$$\hat{T}_z |\Omega, \mu\rangle = \sum_{J^P K} B_{J^P K}^{\Omega\mu} P e^{i\pi K/3} |J^P K\rangle, \quad (11)$$

which, in combination with Eq. (8), implies the following relation between K , P and μ

$$\mu = \begin{cases} K \pmod{6} & P = + \\ (K + 3) \pmod{6} & P = - \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The values of μ of each spinor representation Ω can be determined as follows. According to Table 1, the angular momentum state $J^P = 1/2^+$ has $\Omega = E_{1/2}$, and therefore $\mu = K = \pm 1/2$. Similarly, the $J^P = 1/2^-$ state has $\Omega = E_{5/2}$, and the projections $K = \pm 1/2$ give rise to $\mu = \mp 5/2$. Finally, the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state decomposes into $E_{1/2}$ with $K = \mu = \pm 1/2$, and $E_{3/2}$ with $K = \mu = \pm 3/2$. This shows that the eigenvalues of \hat{T}_z can be used to identify the triangular symmetry of the eigenstates of the CSM. The value of μ uniquely determines Ω . The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Eigenvalues of the triplex operator \hat{T}_z , and the classification of basis states with triangular symmetry.

$ \Omega, \mu\rangle$	$\langle \Omega, \mu \hat{T}_z \Omega, \mu \rangle$	$m = K$	
		$P = +$	$P = -$
$ E_{1/2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}\rangle$	$+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \pm \frac{1}{2}i$	$\pm\frac{1}{2} + 6\kappa$	$\mp\frac{5}{2} + 6\kappa$
$ E_{5/2}, \pm\frac{5}{2}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \pm \frac{1}{2}i$	$\pm\frac{5}{2} + 6\kappa$	$\mp\frac{1}{2} + 6\kappa$
$ E_{3/2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}\rangle$	$\pm i$	$\pm\frac{3}{2} + 6\kappa$	$\mp\frac{3}{2} + 6\kappa$

Moreover, the relation between μ , K and P of Eq. (12) allows to split the spherical single-particle basis $|nljm = K\rangle$ of the CSM into six sets of basis states according to the value of μ . The results are presented in the last two columns of Table 2 (with $\kappa = 0, \pm 1, \dots$). For example, in a calculation in the CSM with a maximum of two oscillator shells the single-particle orbits are $1s_{1/2}, 1p_{1/2}, 1p_{3/2}, 2s_{1/2}, 1d_{3/2}, 1d_{5/2}$. The basis for each one of the intrinsic states $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{1/2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}\rangle : & \quad 1s_{\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}}, 2s_{\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}} \\ |E_{5/2}, \pm\frac{5}{2}\rangle : & \quad 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \pm\frac{5}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{1}{2}, \mp\frac{1}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{3}{2}, \mp\frac{1}{2}} \\ |E_{3/2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}\rangle : & \quad 1d_{\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{3}{2}, \mp\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The triangular symmetry requires the wave function to be invariant under the action of the (simplex) operator consisting of the product of a rotation over π about an axis perpendicular to the symmetry axis followed by an inversion. Without loss of generality we take a rotation about the y -axis

$$\hat{S}_y = \hat{P}_L e^{i\pi L_2} = \hat{P} e^{i\pi J_2} \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} . \quad (14)$$

As a result, the wave function can be written as the product of an intrinsic and a collective part

$$|\Omega, \mu; J^P KM\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \hat{P} e^{i\pi J_2} \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} \right) |J^P KM\rangle |\Omega, \mu\rangle . \quad (15)$$

Here we have used that for the triangular configuration the projection K of the angular momentum J on the symmetry axis is a good quantum number.

3.1 Rotational energies

The calculations for the CSM are carried out in the intrinsic, or body-fixed, frame. The rotational energies can be obtained from

$$H_{\text{coll}} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{L_i^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{(J_i - j_i)^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{J_i^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{j_i^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{2J_i j_i}{2\mathcal{I}_i} , \quad (16)$$

which for the present case with $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_3$ reduces to

$$H_{\text{coll}} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}} [\mathbf{J}^2 + \mathbf{j}^2 - J_3^2 - j_3^2 - (J_+ j_- + J_- j_+)] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}_3} (J_3 - j_3)^2 . \quad (17)$$

The second term proportional to \mathbf{j}^2 only depends on single-particle degrees of freedom and can be absorbed into the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1), and will not be considered any further. The expectation values of $(J_3 - j_3)^2$ and j_3^2 can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (J_3 - j_3)^2 \rangle &= \sum_{nljm} |C_{nljm}^{\Omega\mu}|^2 (K - m)^2 \approx 0 , \\ \langle j_3^2 \rangle &= \sum_{nljm} |C_{nljm}^{\Omega\mu}|^2 m^2 \approx K^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the coefficients C are the expansion coefficients of the intrinsic states in the spherical basis

$$|\Omega, \mu\rangle = \sum_{nljm} C_{nljm}^{\Omega\mu} |nljm\rangle . \quad (19)$$

The approximate values in Eq. (18) are obtained in a calculation in which the value of $\beta = 1.74$ fm was determined from the first minimum in the elastic form factor of ^{12}C [21].

The rotational spectrum is given by

$$E_{\Omega}(J) \approx \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}} \left[J(J+1) - 2K^2 + \delta_{K,1/2} a_{\Omega} (-1)^{J+1/2} \left(J + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] , \quad (20)$$




Fig. 3. Dependence of rotational energies on the decoupling parameter $a = a_\Omega$.

where the last term denotes the Coriolis mixing. For the $\Omega = E_{1/2}$ band the decoupling parameter is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{E_{1/2}} &= -\langle E_{1/2}, 1/2 | j_+ \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} | E_{1/2}, 1/2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{nljm} \left| C_{nljm}^{E_{1/2}, 1/2} \right|^2 (-1)^{n+j+1/2} \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta_{m, 1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and for the $\Omega = E_{5/2}$ band by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{E_{5/2}} &= \langle E_{5/2}, -5/2 | j_+ \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} | E_{5/2}, -5/2 \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{nljm} \left| C_{nljm}^{E_{5/2}, -5/2} \right|^2 (-1)^{n+j+1/2} \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta_{m, 1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The difference in sign in the expressions for the decoupling parameter is due to the parity of the $K = 1/2$ bands which is positive for $\Omega = E_{1/2}$ and negative for $\Omega = E_{5/2}$. For the $\Omega = E_{3/2}$ band there is no Coriolis mixing,

$$a_{E_{3/2}} = 0. \quad (23)$$

In Fig. 3 we show the dependence of the rotational energies on the decoupling parameter in a $K = 1/2$ band, as calculated with Eq. (20).

4 Tetrahedral symmetry: T_d

For the case of four identical α -particles with tetrahedral symmetry we choose the z -axis along one of the three rotation-inversion axes. In this geometry, the coordinates of the three α -particles are given by $\mathbf{r}_1 = (\beta, \theta, 0)$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = (\beta, \theta, \pi)$, $\mathbf{r}_3 = (\beta, \pi - \theta, \pi/2)$ and $\mathbf{r}_4 = (\beta, \pi - \theta, 3\pi/2)$, with $\cos \theta = 1/\sqrt{3}$. Note, that this configuration is different from

the one used in Ref. [26]. With this choice, the geometric factor in the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 Y_{\lambda\nu}^*(\theta_i, \phi_i) = 2\delta_{\nu, 2\kappa} Y_{\lambda\nu}(\theta, 0) [1 + (-1)^{\lambda+\kappa}] , \quad (24)$$

i.e. ν is even. The structure of the rotational bands built on top of each one of the intrinsic states can be obtained by studying the point group symmetry of the problem.

For the case of tetrahedral symmetry the eigenstates of the CSM can be classified according to the irreducible representations (irreps) of the double point group \mathcal{T}'_d . The double group \mathcal{T}'_d has three spinor representations, denoted by Koster in applications to crystal physics as $\Gamma_6, \Gamma_7, \Gamma_8$ [31] and by Herzberg in applications to molecular physics as $E_{1/2}, E_{5/2}, G_{3/2}$ [32], and in analogy with [28] in applications to nuclear physics as $E_{1/2}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma_6 \equiv E_{1/2}, E_{1/2}^{(-)} \equiv \Gamma_7 \equiv E_{5/2}$ and $G_{3/2} \equiv \Gamma_8 \equiv G_{3/2}$. The first two are doubly degenerate, whereas the last one has a fourfold degeneracy. Again we use the notation introduced by Herzberg.

Table 3 shows the resolution of angular momentum states into irreps of the double point group \mathcal{T}'_d . As in the previous example, the resolution depends on angular momentum J and parity P . The results for higher angular momenta ($J \geq 25/2$) are given by

$$D_J^P = D_{J-12}^P + (2E_{1/2} + 2E_{5/2} + 4G_{3/2}) . \quad (25)$$

The rotational states for each one of the irreps of T_d are given by (by reading Table 3 “vertically”)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = E_{1/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{7}{2}^\pm, \frac{9}{2}^+, \frac{11}{2}^\pm, \dots \\ \Omega = E_{5/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^\pm, \frac{9}{2}^-, \frac{11}{2}^\pm, \dots \\ \Omega = G_{3/2} : \quad J^P &= \frac{3}{2}^\pm, \frac{5}{2}^\pm, \frac{7}{2}^\pm, (\frac{9}{2}^\pm)^2, (\frac{11}{2}^\pm)^2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The CSM eigenstates do not have good angular momentum nor parity, but can be classified according to the irreps of the \mathcal{T}'_d tetrahedral symmetry as $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$, where Ω denotes each one of the spinor representations $\Omega = E_{1/2}, E_{5/2}, G_{3/2}$, and μ distinguishes between the two components of each one of the doublets, $E_{1/2}$ and $E_{5/2}$, and the four components of the quartet, $G_{3/2}$. The transformation character of the CSM eigenstates can be determined by considering the (doublet) operator \hat{D}_z [34] which is the product of a rotation over $\pi/2$ about the symmetry axis followed by the inversion, or parity (see Fig. 4)

$$\hat{D}_z = \hat{P} e^{i\pi J_z/2} . \quad (27)$$

Since the operator \hat{D}_z is one of the symmetry elements it can be used to define the value of μ as

$$\hat{D}_z |\Omega, \mu\rangle = e^{i\pi\mu/2} |\Omega, \mu\rangle . \quad (28)$$

In this case, for even systems of fermions the identity is given by $(\hat{D}_z)^4 = 1$, whereas for odd systems, due to the double valuedness, one has

$$(\hat{D}_z)^8 = 1 , \quad (29)$$

which means that the value of μ is half-integer and determined up to modulo 4. Without loss of generality, one can take $\mu = \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}$.

The intrinsic state $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$ can be expanded into a basis of states with good angular momentum and parity (see Table 3) to obtain

$$\hat{D}_z |\Omega, \mu\rangle = \sum_{J^P K} B_{J^P K}^{\Omega\mu} P e^{i\pi K/2} |J^P K\rangle, \quad (30)$$

which, in combination with Eq. (28), implies the following relation between K , P and μ

$$\mu = \begin{cases} K \pmod{4} & P = + \\ (K+2) \pmod{4} & P = - \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

The allowed values of μ for each spinor representation Ω can be determined with the help of Table 3. The angular momentum states $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ have $E_{1/2}$ and $E_{5/2}$ symmetry, respectively. Their projections $K = \pm 1/2$ correspond to $\mu = \pm 1/2$ for positive parity and $\mu = \mp 3/2$ for negative parity. The $J^P = 3/2^+$ state has $G_{3/2}$ symmetry with $K = \mu = \pm 1/2, \pm 3/2$. Therefore, the doublet operator \hat{D}_z can be used to determine the value of μ , in the same way as the triplet operator \hat{T}_z for the case of triangular symmetry. However, for the case of tetrahedral symmetry this is not sufficient to determine the value of Ω , since the states with $\Omega = E_{1/2}$ and $G_{3/2}$ both have components with $\mu = \pm 1/2$, and similarly the states with $\Omega = E_{5/2}$ and $G_{3/2}$ both have $\mu = \pm 3/2$. The value of Ω can be determined by considering the doublet operators about the other rotation-inversion axes,

$$\hat{D}_{x\pm y} = \hat{P} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{J_x \pm J_y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)} = \hat{P} R_z(\pm\pi/4) R_y(\pm\pi/2) R_z(\mp\pi/4). \quad (32)$$

Table 3. Resolution of rotational states with half-integer angular momentum J and parity P into irreps of T_d [31,32].

D_J^P	$E_{1/2}^{(+)}$	$E_{1/2}^{(-)}$	$G_{3/2}$	D_J^P	$E_{1/2}^{(+)}$	$E_{1/2}^{(-)}$	$G_{3/2}$	[31]
	Γ_6	Γ_7	Γ_8		Γ_6	Γ_7	Γ_8	
	$E_{1/2}$	$E_{5/2}$	$G_{3/2}$		$E_{1/2}$	$E_{5/2}$	$G_{3/2}$	[32]
$1/2^+$	1	0	0	$1/2^-$	0	1	0	
$3/2^+$	0	0	1	$3/2^-$	0	0	1	
$5/2^+$	0	1	1	$5/2^-$	1	0	1	
$7/2^+$	1	1	1	$7/2^-$	1	1	1	
$9/2^+$	1	0	2	$9/2^-$	0	1	2	
$11/2^+$	1	1	2	$11/2^-$	1	1	2	
$13/2^+$	1	2	2	$13/2^-$	2	1	2	
$15/2^+$	1	1	3	$15/2^-$	1	1	3	
$17/2^+$	2	1	3	$17/2^-$	1	2	3	
$19/2^+$	2	2	3	$19/2^-$	2	2	3	
$21/2^+$	1	2	4	$21/2^-$	2	1	4	
$23/2^+$	2	2	4	$23/2^-$	2	2	4	
$25/2^+$	3	2	4	$25/2^-$	2	3	4	




Fig. 4. Doublex symmetry.

The expectation value of these operators satisfies

$$\langle \Omega, \mu | \hat{D}_{x+y} | \Omega, \mu \rangle = \langle \Omega, \mu | \hat{D}_{x-y} | \Omega, \mu \rangle . \quad (33)$$

The results are summarized in Table 4.

Eq. (31) shows that the tetrahedral symmetry makes it possible to split the spherical basis $|nljm\rangle$ into four sets of basis states according to the value of μ which are not mixed by the CSM Hamiltonian of Eq. (1). The results are presented in the last two columns of Table 4 (with $\kappa = 0, \pm 1, \dots$). For the case of a calculation with a maximum of two oscillator shells the basis for each one of the intrinsic states $|\Omega, \mu\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle : & \quad 1s_{\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}}, 2s_{\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{3}{2}, \mp \frac{3}{2}} \\ |\Omega, \pm \frac{3}{2}\rangle : & \quad 1d_{\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}}, 1d_{\frac{5}{2}, \mp \frac{5}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{1}{2}, \mp \frac{1}{2}}, 1p_{\frac{3}{2}, \mp \frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In this case, the value of Ω of a given eigenstate can be determined by evaluating the expectation value of $\hat{D}_{x\pm y}$ (see Table 4).

Table 4. Expectation values of the doublex operators \hat{D}_z and $\hat{D}_{x\pm y}$, and classification of basis states with tetrahedral symmetry.

$ \Omega, \mu\rangle$	$\langle \Omega, \mu \hat{D}_z \Omega, \mu \rangle$	$\langle \Omega, \mu \hat{D}_{x\pm y} \Omega, \mu \rangle$	$m = K$	
			$P = +$	$P = -$
$ E_{1/2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$	$(+1 \pm i)/\sqrt{2}$	$+1/\sqrt{2}$	$\pm \frac{1}{2} + 4\kappa$	$\mp \frac{3}{2} + 4\kappa$
$ E_{5/2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\rangle$	$(-1 \pm i)/\sqrt{2}$	$-1/\sqrt{2}$	$\pm \frac{3}{2} + 4\kappa$	$\mp \frac{1}{2} + 4\kappa$
$ G_{3/2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$	$(+1 \pm i)/\sqrt{2}$	$-1/2\sqrt{2}$	$\pm \frac{1}{2} + 4\kappa$	$\mp \frac{3}{2} + 4\kappa$
$ G_{3/2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\rangle$	$(-1 \pm i)/\sqrt{2}$	$+1/2\sqrt{2}$	$\pm \frac{3}{2} + 4\kappa$	$\mp \frac{1}{2} + 4\kappa$

The tetrahedral symmetry requires the wave function to be invariant under the action of the (simplex) operator of Eq. (14), consisting of the product of a rotation over π about an axis perpendicular to the symmetry axis followed by an inversion [35]. As a result, the wave function for the $\Omega = E_{1/2}$ and $E_{5/2}$ representations can be written as the product of an intrinsic and a collective part

$$|\Omega, \mu; J^P M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \hat{P} e^{i\pi J_2} \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} \right) |J^P M\rangle |\Omega, \mu\rangle . \quad (35)$$

Unlike the case for the triangular configuration in Eq. (15), for the tetrahedral configuration the projection of the angular momentum on the symmetry axis is not a good quantum number.

4.1 Rotational energies

The rotational energies can be obtained from

$$H_{\text{coll}} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{L_i^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{(J_i - j_i)^2}{2\mathcal{I}_i}, \quad (36)$$

which for the present case with $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}$ reduces to

$$H_{\text{coll}} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}} [\mathbf{J}^2 + \mathbf{j}^2 - 2\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{j}]. \quad (37)$$

The recoil term proportional to \mathbf{j}^2 only depends on single-particle degrees of freedom and can be absorbed into the CSM Hamiltonian, and will not be considered any further. The Coriolis mixing for rotational bands with tetrahedral symmetry was studied in detail in the context of mean-field calculations in Ref. [35]. The rotational spectrum is given by

$$E_{\Omega}(J) = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}} [J(J+1) + a_{\Omega}g_{\Omega}(J)], \quad (38)$$

where the second term denotes the Coriolis mixing. For the $\Omega = E_{1/2}$ band the decoupling parameter is given by [35]

$$\begin{aligned} a_{E_{1/2}} &= \langle E_{1/2}, 1/2 | j_3 - j_+ \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} | E_{1/2}, 1/2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{nljm} |C_{nljm}^{E_{1/2}, 1/2}|^2 m + \sum_{nlj} |C_{nlj, 1/2}^{E_{1/2}, 1/2}|^2 (-1)^{n+j+1/2} \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with

$$g_{E_{1/2}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{3}(J+1) & \text{for } J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^-, \frac{9}{2}^+, \frac{13}{2}^{\pm}, \dots \\ +\frac{2}{3}J & \text{for } J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{7}{2}^+, \frac{11}{2}^{\pm}, \dots \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

In Fig. 5 we show the dependence of rotational energies on the decoupling parameter a_{Ω} for $\Omega = E_{1/2}$.

For the $\Omega = E_{5/2}$ band which is the parity conjugate of the $E_{1/2}$ band, the decoupling parameter is given by [35]

$$\begin{aligned} a_{E_{5/2}} &= \langle E_{5/2}, -3/2 | j_3 + j_+ \hat{p} e^{-i\pi j_2} | E_{5/2}, -3/2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{nljm} |C_{nljm}^{E_{5/2}, -3/2}|^2 m - \sum_{nlj} |C_{nlj, 1/2}^{E_{5/2}, -3/2}|^2 (-1)^{n+j+1/2} \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with

$$g_{E_{5/2}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{3}(J+1) & \text{for } J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{7}{2}^+, \frac{9}{2}^-, \frac{13}{2}^{\pm}, \dots \\ +\frac{2}{3}J & \text{for } J^P = \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^-, \frac{11}{2}^{\pm}, \dots \end{cases} \quad (42)$$




Fig. 5. Dependence of rotational energies on the decoupling parameter $a = a_{E_{1/2}}$.

The dependence of the rotational energies on the decoupling parameter $a_{E_{5/2}}$ is the same as for the parity-conjugate band with $\Omega = E_{1/2}$, as shown in Fig. 5, but for rotational states with opposite parities.

For the $\Omega = G_{3/2}$ band the situation is more complicated and, up to now, no analytic expression is available. An approximate solution was given in Ref. [35].

5 Summary and Conclusions

We discussed the classification of the eigenstates of the CSM Hamiltonian for the cases of a triangular and tetrahedral configuration of α -particles. The eigenstates are characterized by the irreducible representations Ω of the double point groups \mathcal{D}'_{3h} and \mathcal{T}'_d , respectively, and a label μ to distinguish between the different components of Ω . For \mathcal{D}'_{3h} there are three doubly degenerate spinor representations, $\Omega = E_{1/2}$, $E_{5/2}$ and $E_{3/2}$, whereas for \mathcal{T}'_d there are two doubly degenerate spinor representations $\Omega = E_{1/2}$, $E_{5/2}$ and one with fourfold degeneracy $\Omega = G_{3/2}$.

In general, in the CSM the eigenstates are obtained numerically by diagonalization of the Hamiltonian with the appropriate symmetry. We showed that the discrete symmetry of the eigenstates can be determined by a suitable choice of the coordinate system in combination with the expectation value of the triplex and doublex operators defined with respect to the rotation-inversion axes.

However, we showed that for both the triangular \mathcal{D}'_{3h} and the tetrahedral \mathcal{T}'_d symmetries it is possible to construct a symmetry-adapted basis according to the value of μ by an appropriate choice of single-particle states. For the case of triangular symmetry this is sufficient, since the value of μ uniquely determines Ω . For the tetrahedral symmetry, this is not the case, but the value of Ω can be determined by the evaluating the expectation value of the doublex operators.

Finally, as an application, we derived closed expressions for the Coriolis mixing matrix elements.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grants IN109017 and IN101320 from DGAPA-UNAM, Mexico (RB), and 784896 from CONACyT, Mexico (AHSV).

References

1. A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure Volume II: Nuclear Deformations (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1975)
2. J. Dudek, A. Goźdź, N. Schunck and M. Miśkiewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 252502 (2002)
3. J. Dudek, D. Curien, N. Dubray, J. Dobaczewski, V. Pangon, P. Olbratowski and N. Schunck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 072501 (2006)
4. P. Van Isacker, A. Boudjedri and S. Zerguine, Nucl. Phys. A **938**, 45 (2015)
5. J.A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **52**, 1083 (1937)
6. L.R. Hafstad and E. Teller, Phys. Rev. **54**, 681 (1938)
7. D.M. Brink, Int. School of Physics Enrico Fermi, Course XXXVI, 247 (1965)
8. D.M. Brink, H. Friedrich, A. Weiguny and C.W. Wong, Phys. Lett. B **33**, 143 (1970)
9. D. Robson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 876 (1979)
10. M. Freer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 034320 (2007)
11. O.S. Kirsebom *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 064313 (2010)
12. D.J. Marín-Lámbbarri, R. Bijker, M. Freer, M. Gai, T. Kokalova, D.J. Parker and C. Wheldon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 012502 (2014)
13. M. Itoh *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 054308 (2011)
14. M. Freer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 034320 (2012)
15. W.R. Zimmerman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 152502 (2013)
16. M. Freer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 034314 (2011)
17. M. Freer and H.O.U. Fynbo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **78**, 1 (2014)
18. P. Schuck, Y. Funaki, H. Horiuchi, G. Röpke, A. Tohsaki and T. Yamada, Phys. Scr. **91**, 123001 (2016)
19. M. Freer, H. Horiuchi, Y. Kanada-En'yo, D. Lee and U.-G. Meissner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 035004 (2018)
20. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. C **61**, 067305 (2000)
21. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **298**, 334 (2002)
22. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 152501 (2014)
23. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Nucl. Phys. A **957**, 154 (2017)
24. J. Dudek, D. Curien, I. Dedes, K. Mazurek, S. Tagami, Y.R. Shimizu and T. Bhat-tacharjee, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 021302(R) (2018).
25. R. Bijker, Phys. Scr. **91**, 073005 (2016)
26. V. Della Rocca, R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Nucl. Phys. A **966**, 158 (2017)
27. V. Della Rocca and F. Iachello, Nucl. Phys. A **973**, 1 (2018)
28. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 162501 (2019)
29. R. Bijker and F. Iachello, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **110**, 103735 (2020)
30. S.G. Nilsson, Kong. Dan. Vid. Sel. Mat. Fys. Med. **29** (16), 1 (1955)
31. G.F. Koster, J.O. Dimmock, R.G. Wheeler and H. Statz, Properties of Thirty-Two Pointgroups (MIT Press, 1963)
32. G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. Volume II. Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structure of Polyatomic Molecules (Krieger Publishing Company, 1991)
33. J. Dudek, D. Curien, A. Goźdź, Y.R. Shimizu and S. Tagami, Acta Phys. Pol. B **44**, 305 (2013)
34. N. Schunck, J. Dudek and S. Frauendorf, Acta Phys. Pol. B **36**, 1071 (2005)
35. S. Tagami, Y.R. Shimizu and J. Dudek, Phys. Rev. C **98**, 024304 (2018)