

A topological zero-one law and elementary equivalence of finitely generated groups

D. Osin*

Abstract

Let \mathcal{G} denote the space of finitely generated marked groups. We give equivalent characterizations of closed subspaces $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ satisfying the following zero-one law: for any sentence σ in the infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, the set of all models of σ in \mathcal{S} is either meager or comeager. In particular, we show that the zero-one law holds for certain natural spaces associated to hyperbolic groups and their generalizations. As an application, we obtain that generic torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups are elementarily equivalent; the same claim holds for lacunary hyperbolic groups without non-trivial finite normal subgroups. Our paper has a substantial expository component. We give streamlined proofs of some known results and survey ideas from topology, logic, and geometric group theory relevant to our work. We also discuss some open problems.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Main results	3
3	Background from topology and logic	7
4	Hyperbolic groups and their generalizations	14
5	The zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$-sentences	24
6	Examples and applications	28
7	Remarks and open questions	37
	References	40

1 Introduction

The well-known zero-one law in model theory asserts that a first-order sentence is either almost surely true or almost surely false for a randomly chosen finite relational structure. More precisely, let \mathcal{L} be a first-order relational language with finitely many predicates. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an \mathcal{L} -sentence σ , let $\mu_n(\sigma)$ denote the fraction of \mathcal{L} -structures of cardinality n satisfying σ .

Theorem 1.1. *For any \mathcal{L} -sentence σ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\sigma) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

*This work has been supported by the NSF grant DMS-1612473.

MSC Primary: 03C07, 03C60, 20F67, 03E15. Secondary: 03C75, 06F15, 20F05, 54H05

This theorem was first proved by Glebskii, Kogan, Liogonkii, and Talanov [GKLT] in 1969 and rediscovered by Fagin [Fag] in 1975. Since then, a plethora of generalizations and alternative versions of this result for infinitary logics and different probability models have been obtained (see [GGM, KV92, KV00] and references therein).

It is natural to ask whether an analogue of Theorem 1.1 holds for some infinite structures. In these settings, the property of having full measure can be replaced with genericity (in the Baire category sense) in an appropriate topological space. Our main goal is to study this question for finitely generated groups. We give several equivalent characterizations of classes of finitely generated groups for which a topological analogue of Theorem 1.1 holds and discuss some applications, mostly to groups with hyperbolic properties.

This paper brings together ideas from topology, logic, and geometric group theory. To promote the interaction between these areas and to make our paper accessible for a wider audience, we include detailed proofs of certain known results and give a brief survey of relevant background material. Some of our results can also be proved for finitely generated algebras of any countable signature. However, dealing with general notation makes the proofs more mysterious than they really are and we do not see any interesting applications outside of group theory at the moment. For this reason, we focus on groups throughout the main body of the paper and discuss possible generalizations in the last section.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly discuss our main results. For the background sufficient to understand the statements (and most of the proofs), the reader should consult Sections 3 and Subsections 4.1 and 4.2. The zero-one law for finitely generated groups, Theorem 2.2, and some of its corollaries are proved in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to examples and applications. Our main source of motivation are applications to hyperbolic-like groups, namely Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.12 proved in Subsections 6.2 and 6.3. The proofs use a somewhat technical machinery from geometric group theory, which is surveyed in Subsections 4.3 and 4.4. Readers not familiar with this technique can safely skip Section 4.3 and take the lemmas proved in Section 4.4 as black boxes; modulo these results, all the proofs in our paper are fairly elementary and accessible to a wide mathematical audience.

Some open questions related to our work are collected in Section 7. We also briefly discuss a possible generalization of our work to general Ω -algebras there (see Theorem 7.8).

Acknowledgements. This work arose from the joint paper [MOW] with Stephan Witzel and Ashot Minasyan. I am grateful to Ashot and Stephan for their comments and encouragement. During the preparation of this manuscript, I also benefited from several fruitful discussions with Simon Thomas. I am very grateful to Simon for sharing his ideas and pointing me to the right literature. Finally, I am grateful to the anonymous referee for useful suggestions.

2 Main results

We begin by briefly recalling the definition of a topological space introduced by Grigorchuk in [Gri]; for more details, the reader is referred to Section 3.3. Let \mathcal{G}_n denote the set of all pairs (G, A) , where G is a group and $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is an ordered generating set of G , considered up to the following equivalence relation: two pairs $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n))$ and $(H, (b_1, \dots, b_n))$ are identified if the map $a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto b_n$ extends to an isomorphism $G \rightarrow H$. For simplicity, we keep the notation (G, A) for the equivalence class of (G, A) . A sequence $\{(G_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to (G, A) in \mathcal{G}_n if the Cayley graphs $\Gamma(G_i, A_i)$ locally converge to $\Gamma(G, A)$ in the natural sense. Identifying $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n))$ with $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n, 1))$, we obtain an inclusion $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$. The topological union $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$ is a totally disconnected Polish space called the *space of finitely generated marked groups*.

For a finitely generated group G , we denote by $[G] \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ its *isomorphism class*; that is,

$$[G] = \{(H, B) \in \mathcal{G} \mid H \cong G\}.$$

A subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is said to be *isomorphism-invariant* if $[G] \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ whenever $(G, A) \in \mathcal{S}$ for some finite generating set A .

Henceforth, let \mathcal{L} denote the *language of groups*. That is, \mathcal{L} is the first-order language with the signature $\{1, \cdot, {}^{-1}\}$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is the infinitary version of \mathcal{L} , where countable conjunctions and disjunctions (but only finite sequences of quantifiers) are allowed. It is useful to keep in mind that every countable theory in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is equivalent to a single sentence; in particular, every theory in the first-order logic is equivalent to an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence.

The expressive power of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is much greater than that of the first-order logic. In fact, most algebraic, geometric, and even analytic properties of groups (e.g., finiteness, solvability, hyperbolicity, amenability, property (T) of Kazhdan, the properties of having exponential, subexponential, and polynomial growth, etc.) can be expressed by $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences.

Definition 2.1. We say that a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ satisfies the *zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences* if for any $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ , the *set of models*

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) = \{(G, A) \in \mathcal{G} \mid G \models \sigma\}$$

is either meager or comeager in \mathcal{S} ; in the latter case, we say that σ *holds generically* in \mathcal{S} .

Informally, the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences means that generic groups from \mathcal{S} are virtually indistinguishable. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 2.2 (Zero-one law for finitely generated groups). *For any isomorphism-invariant closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *For any non-empty open sets U, V in \mathcal{S} , there is a finitely generated group G such that $[G] \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $[G] \cap V \neq \emptyset$.*
- (b) *There exists a finitely generated group G such that $[G]$ is dense in \mathcal{S} .*
- (c) *\mathcal{S} satisfies the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences.*

In the settings of Theorem 2.2, one might expect that an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence holds generically in \mathcal{S} if and only if it holds for a group whose isomorphism class is dense in \mathcal{S} . In general, this is false (see Example 5.6). The question of which $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences actually have comeager sets of models in \mathcal{S} is rather non-trivial and is discussed in more detail in Section 5.3.

We mention one applications of the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences to elementary theories, which will be used later. Recall that two groups are *elementarily equivalent* if they satisfy the same first-order sentences. For any $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, we define $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ to be the set of all first-order sentences in \mathcal{L} that hold generically in \mathcal{S} . Since $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ is countable, it has a comeager set of models in \mathcal{S} . Note that for every sentence σ , we have

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) \cup \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\neg\sigma) = \mathcal{S}.$$

If \mathcal{S} is a Baire space (for instance, a closed subspace of \mathcal{G}) satisfying the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences, then either σ or $\neg\sigma$ must hold generically in \mathcal{S} since \mathcal{S} cannot be covered by two meager sets. It follows that $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ is complete. Since all models of a complete theory are elementarily equivalent, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.3. *A closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ satisfying the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences contains a comeager elementary equivalence class.*

Conditions (a)–(c) from Theorem 2.2 seem rather restrictive. Our next goal is to provide non-trivial examples of subspaces $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for which these conditions hold. We begin by exploring a straightforward approach.

Definition 2.4. We say that a finitely generated group G is *condensed* if $[G]$ is non-discrete.

It is easy to show that for every finitely generated group G , the isomorphism class $[G]$ is either discrete or has no isolated points (Corollary 6.1). In the former case, the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences is vacuously true for $\mathcal{S} = [G]$ as $[G]$ is comeager in \mathcal{S} . On the other hand, condensed groups lead to non-trivial instances of the zero-one law. This motivates the study of condensed groups carried out in Section 6.1. We briefly summarize our results here.

First, we show that condensed groups cannot occur in “reasonably good” classes.

Proposition 2.5. *No finitely generated linear group or finitely presented residually finite group is condensed. In particular, groups of polynomial growth cannot be condensed.*

On the other hand, we have the following.

Example 2.6. (a) If G is a finitely generated group such that $G \cong G \times G$, then G is condensed. Examples of finitely generated groups isomorphic to their direct squares were first constructed by Jones [Jon]. Subsequently, Meier [Mei] found a much simpler construction.

(b) The iterated monodromy group $IMG(z^2 + i)$ of the polynomial $z^2 + i$ is condensed. This result follows immediately from the work of Nekrashevich [Nek07].

It is worth mentioning that, in addition to being condensed, the group $IMG(z^2 + i)$ enjoys many other interesting properties, e.g., it is residually finite, torsion, and of intermediate growth. Interestingly, the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences applied to the space $[IMG(z^2 + i)]$ allows us to recover another result of Nekrashevich: *there exist 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise non-isomorphic, finitely generated, residually finite groups of intermediate growth with isomorphic profinite completions* (see Proposition 6.5).

There is a close relation between the existence of condensed groups in a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and the complexity of the isomorphism relation. Recall that an equivalence relation E on a topological space X is called *smooth* if there is a Polish space P and a Borel map $\beta: X \rightarrow P$ such that for any $x, y \in X$, we have xEy if and only if $\beta(x) = \beta(y)$. Informally, smoothness means that elements of X can be “explicitly classified” up to the equivalence relation E using invariants from a Polish space. The following proposition is an easy corollary of the fundamental result in the theory of Borel equivalence relations, known as the Glimm-Effros dichotomy [HKL].

Proposition 2.7. *An isomorphism-invariant closed subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ contains a condensed group if and only if the isomorphism relation on \mathcal{S} is not smooth.*

We mention one immediate application.

Example 2.8. Williams [Wil] proved that the isomorphism relation on the subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ consisting of 3-step solvable groups is non-smooth. It follows that there exist finitely generated, condensed, solvable of step 3 groups (in fact, it is possible to extract concrete examples from [Wil]). On the other hand, it is easy to show that finitely generated metabelian (i.e., solvable of step 2) groups cannot be condensed.

We now turn to more natural examples, which served as the main source of motivation for our work. Recall that a geodesic metric space X is *hyperbolic* if there exists a constant $\delta \geq 0$ such that for every geodesic triangle Δ in X , every side of Δ is contained in the δ -neighborhood of the union of the other two sides. A group is *hyperbolic* if it acts properly and cocompactly on a hyperbolic metric space. Replacing properness with its relative analogue modulo a given collection of subgroups (called *peripheral subgroups*) leads to the notion of *relative hyperbolicity*. These definitions were suggested by Gromov in [Gro]. Ever since, the study of hyperbolic and relatively hyperbolic groups has been one of the main directions in geometric group theory. A further generalization, the notion of an acylindrically hyperbolic group, was introduced in [Osi16] and received considerable attention in the past years. For a survey of recent developments, we refer to [Osi18].

A hyperbolic group is called *elementary* if it is virtually cyclic. A relatively hyperbolic group G is *elementary* if it is virtually cyclic or one of the peripheral subgroups coincides with G . Let \mathcal{H} (respectively, \mathcal{RH} , \mathcal{AH}) denote the subspace of \mathcal{G} consisting of all pairs (G, A) such that G is a non-elementary hyperbolic (respectively, non-elementary relatively hyperbolic, acylindrically hyperbolic) group. For a subset $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, we let \mathcal{Z}_{tf} (respectively, \mathcal{Z}_0) denote the subset of all torsion free groups from \mathcal{Z} (respectively, all groups from \mathcal{Z} without non-trivial finite normal subgroups). Thus we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{H}_{tf} & \subset & \mathcal{RH}_{tf} & \subseteq & \mathcal{AH}_{tf} \\
\cap & & \cap & & \cap \\
\mathcal{H}_0 & \subset & \mathcal{RH}_0 & \subseteq & \mathcal{AH}_0 \\
\cap & & \cap & & \cap \\
\mathcal{H} & \subset & \mathcal{RH} & \subseteq & \mathcal{AH}
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

We also denote by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ the closure of a subset \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{G} . It turns out that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{AH}}$ do not satisfy the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences. On the other hand, Champetier [Cha] showed that $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{tf}}$ contains a dense isomorphism class. Moreover, the small cancellation technique developed in [Ols93, Osi10, Hull] allows us to easily verify condition (a) from Theorem 2.2 for the closures of all classes in the first two rows of the diagram (1).

Theorem 2.9. *The closure of each of the subsets of \mathcal{G} shown on diagram (1) is homeomorphic to the Cantor set and we have the following.*

- (a) *Every comeager subset of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}}$, or $\overline{\mathcal{AH}}$ has infinitely many elementary equivalence classes; in particular, these spaces do not satisfy the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences.*
- (b) *The spaces $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{tf}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}_{tf}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{AH}_{tf}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}_0}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}_0}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{AH}_0}$ satisfy the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences; in particular, they contain comeager elementary equivalence classes.*

Geometric methods developed in [Sel09] allowed Sela to give a complete description of all finitely generated groups with the same elementary theory as a given torsion-free hyperbolic group. One interesting corollary of this description is the following.

Theorem 2.10 (Sela, [Sel09, Proposition 7.1]). *Torsion-free hyperbolic groups having property FA of Serre are elementarily equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic.*

Recall that a group G has property FA if every action of G on a simplicial tree without inversions of edges fixes a vertex. Random hyperbolic groups (i.e., random groups in the Gromov density model with $d < 1/2$) are almost surely torsion free and have property FA [DGP, Oli]. This leads to the following “generic rigidity” phenomenon: *for random hyperbolic groups, elementary equivalence is almost surely equivalent to isomorphism.*

Closely related to hyperbolic groups, is the more general class of lacunary hyperbolic groups introduced in [OOS]. A finitely generated group G is *lacunary hyperbolic* if at least one asymptotic cone of G is an \mathbb{R} -tree; for finitely presented groups, this condition is equivalent to hyperbolicity. A more “constructive” equivalent definition is given in Section 6.3 (see Theorem 6.8).

Lacunary hyperbolic groups occur as generic objects in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. As such, they share many common properties with random hyperbolic groups, including property FA and even much stronger property (T) of Kazhdan. Thus it is reasonable to expect that generic torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups exhibit a similar first-order rigidity. Contrary to these expectations, the real situation is completely opposite: we prove that *generic torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups are elementarily equivalent.*

More precisely, let \mathcal{LH} denote the subspace of all pairs $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$ such that G is lacunary hyperbolic and not virtually cyclic.

Theorem 2.11. *The spaces \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are dense G_δ -subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively, homeomorphic to the subspace of irrational numbers in \mathbb{R} .*

Theorems 2.9 and 2.11 are proved in Sections 6.2 and 6.3. Combining them, we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 2.12. *The number of elementary equivalence classes in every comeager subset of \mathcal{LH} is infinite. The spaces \mathcal{LH}_{tf} and \mathcal{LH}_0 contain comeager elementary equivalence classes.*

An additional motivation for our work comes from the fact that the standard tools for constructing models – ultrapowers, omitting types, and the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem – are not available for finitely generated groups. There are very few explicit constructions producing elementarily equivalent finitely generated groups and none of them is capable of producing “massive” elementary equivalence classes. This difficulty is well-illustrated by the following question, sometimes attributed to Sabbagh (see, e.g., [OH08]): *Does there exist a complete theory in the language of groups having 2^{\aleph_0} finitely generated models?*

In the MathOverflow post [Tho], Thomas noticed that the affirmative answer follows from non-smoothness of the isomorphism relation on \mathcal{G} , which was proved earlier by Thomas and Velickovich [TV]. Since isomorphism classes are countable in \mathcal{G} , Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.12 also provide examples of complete theories (namely, $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ for the appropriate \mathcal{S}) with 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated models.

3 Background from topology and logic

3.1. Elements of descriptive set theory. Recall that a topological space is *Polish* if it is completely metrizable and separable. A basic example is the power set 2^S of a (discrete) countable set S endowed with the product topology (or, equivalently, the topology of pointwise convergence of indicator functions). By the Tychonoff’s theorem, 2^S is always compact. If S is countably infinite, 2^S is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. The class of Polish spaces is obviously closed under taking closed subspaces.

A G_δ -subset (respectively, an F_σ -subset) of a topological space X is a subset that can be represented as a countable intersection of open sets (respectively, a countable union of closed sets).

Proposition 3.1 ([Kec, Theorem 3.11]). *A G_δ -subspace of a Polish space is a Polish space.*

A subset Y of a topological space X is *meager* if it is a union of countably many nowhere dense sets. A *comeager* set is a set whose complement is meager. Equivalently, a subset is comeager if it is an intersection of countably many sets with dense interior. It follows directly from the definitions that the class of meager (respectively, comeager) subsets is closed under countable unions (respectively, countable intersections).

A topological space X is a *Baire space* if the intersection of any countable collection of dense open sets is dense in X . Equivalently, Baire spaces can be characterised by any of the following two equivalent conditions:

(B₁) every comeager set is dense;

(B₂) no non-empty open set is meager.

In particular, comeager sets are non-empty in a non-empty Baire space. The result below is known as the *Baire Category Theorem*, see [Kec, Theorem 8.4].

Theorem 3.2. *Every completely metrizable space is Baire. In particular, every Polish space is Baire.*

Summarizing the above discussion, we can regard meager and comeager sets of Polish spaces as “negligible” and “generic”, respectively.

Recall that an action of a group G on a topological space X is *topologically transitive* if for every non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Our proof of the zero-one law for finitely generated groups will make use of the well-known relation between topological transitivity and existence of dense orbits for homeomorphism groups of Polish spaces. Since there is some ambiguity in the literature on this topic (in fact, the result is false for general topological spaces), we provide a brief proof.

Lemma 3.3 (Folklore). *Suppose that a group G acts topologically transitively by homeomorphisms on a Polish space X . Then the set*

$$\{x \in X \mid \overline{Gx} = X\}$$

is comeager in X . In particular, there exists a dense orbit.

Proof. Since X is separable and metrizable, there exists a countable basis of neighborhoods $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let

$$C = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{g \in G} gU_i.$$

Since the action of G is topologically transitive, C is comeager in X . Let $x \in C$ and let $V \subseteq X$ be a non-empty open set. We have $U_i \subseteq V$ for some i . Since $x \in \bigcup_{g \in G} gU_i$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}x \in U_i \subseteq V$. Thus Gx is dense in X . \square

Yet another ingredient of the proof of Theorem 2.2 is the *topological zero-one law*. The first result of this sort was proved by Oxtoby [Oxt] as early as in 1937. It then underwent a sequence of generalizations culminating in the following.

Theorem 3.4 ([Kec, Theorem 8.46]). *Suppose that a group G acts topologically transitively by homeomorphisms on a Baire space X . Then every G -invariant Borel subset of X is either meager or comeager.*

Proof. The proof is fairly elementary and we provide a sketch for convenience of the reader. Recall that a subset A of any topological space X has the *Baire property* (abbreviated BP) if it can be decomposed as $A = U \triangle M$, where U is open and M is meager. It is easy to

show that the set of all subsets of X having the BP is a σ -algebra, see [Kec, Proposition 8.22]. It follows that every Borel set has the BP.

Suppose that A is a G -invariant Borel subset of X . Then we have $A = U \triangle M$ and $X \setminus A = V \triangle N$, where U, V are open and M, N are meager in X . Assume first that both U and V are non-empty. By topological transitivity of the action, there is $g \in G$ such that $W = g(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Using the assumption that A is G -invariant, it is easy to show that $W \subseteq g(M) \cup N$. Since g is a homeomorphism, W is open and meager, which is impossible in a Baire space (see (B₂) above). This contradiction shows that one of the sets U, V must be empty, which means that A is either meager or comeager. \square

3.2. Infinitary logic. In the infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, terms, atomic formulas, and formulas are constructed from the symbols of \mathcal{L} using the same syntactic rules as in the standard first-order logic with one exception: countably infinite conjunctions and disjunctions of formulas are allowed. For the formal definitions, see [Mar16, Chapter 1]. Throughout this paper, a “formula” means an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula and the term “first-order formula” is used to distinguish finitary formulas, i.e., those formulas which do not involve conjunctions or disjunctions over infinite sets. To help the reader become familiar with $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, we consider several examples.

Let G be a group and let w_1, w_2, \dots be an enumeration of the set of all words in the alphabet $\{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}\}$; we think of the words w_i as terms in the language of groups. Consider the formula

$$\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \forall g \left(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g = w_i \right). \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ holds for a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq G$ if and only if $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. In particular, we have

$$G \models \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

if and only if G is finitely generated. Note that the property of being finitely generated is not definable in the first-order logic since any theory with infinite models must have uncountable models by the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem.

Similarly, one can show that all the classes of groups listed below can be defined by $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences while none of them is first-order definable.

- (a) Torsion groups.
- (b) Simple groups.
- (c) Solvable groups.
- (d) Amenable groups.
- (e) Groups having property (T) of Kazhdan.
- (f) Any countable set of isomorphism classes of groups (e.g., finitely presented groups).

For classes (a)–(c) the proof is straightforward. Definability of the class of amenable groups follows from the observation that the Følner criterion can be written as an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence. For (e), one has to use a result of Shalom [Sha]: every property (T) group is a quotient of a finitely presented property (T) group. Finally, (f) can be derived from the following proposition, which is a particular case of a result of Scott [Sco].

Theorem 3.5 (Scott). *For every finitely generated group G , there exists an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ with the following property: for any group H , we have $H \models \sigma$ if and only if $H \cong G$.*

Proof. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be a generating set of G . Let $u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), u_2(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots$ (respectively, $v_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), v_2(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots$) be an enumeration of all words in the alphabet $\{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}, x_n\}$, which we think of as terms in the language of groups, such that $u_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ (respectively, $v_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 1$) in G for all i . Then the formula

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \left(\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (u_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1 \wedge (\neg v_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1)) \right) \right),$$

where $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined by (2), has the required property. \square

The examples considered above show that the expressive power of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is much higher than that of the ordinary first order logic. In fact, it is difficult to find an example of a natural group theoretic property of groups that *cannot* be expressed by a $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence. One such an example, due to Wesolek and Williams, is the property of being elementary amenable; for more examples and the proof, see [WW].

We now discuss complexity classes of first-order and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences. Recall that every first-order formula is equivalent to a formula in the *prenex normal form*, where all the quantifiers are moved to the front. The following classes of first-order formulas will be of particular importance. A formula is called *universal* (respectively, *existential*) if its prenex normal form only involves universal (respectively, existential) quantifiers. A $\forall\exists$ -formula is a formula whose prenex normal form has a string of universal quantifiers, followed by a string of existential quantifiers, followed by a quantifier-free formula. Similarly, we define $\exists\forall$ -formulas and so on.

Unlike in the first-order logic, there is no prenex normal form in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$. However, every formula is equivalent to a formula in the *negation normal form*, where negation only applies to atomic formulas. Formulas in the negation normal form can be divided into *complexity classes* Σ_α and Π_α , where α is a countable ordinal as follows.

- (a) Every quantifier-free first-order formula is in $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$.
- (b) For every countable $\alpha > 0$, let Σ_α denote the smallest set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formulas that contains $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Pi_\beta$ and is closed under countable disjunctions, finite conjunctions, and adding existential quantifiers.
- (c) Similarly, for every $\alpha > 0$, let Π_α denote the smallest set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formulas that contains $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Sigma_\beta$ and is closed under countable conjunctions, finite disjunctions, and adding universal quantifiers.

Here we say that a class of formulas Θ is *closed under adding universal (respectively, existential) quantifiers* if for every formula $\theta(x) \in \Theta$ with a free variable x , we have $\forall x \theta(x) \in \Theta$ (respectively, $\exists x \theta(x) \in \Theta$).

In particular, every universal (respectively, existential) first-order formula is in Π_1 (respectively, Σ_1), every $\forall\exists$ -formula is in the class Π_2 , and so on.

3.3. The space of finitely generated groups. Our next goal is to review various approaches to topologizing the space of finitely generated groups. Recall that \mathcal{G}_n denotes the set of equivalence classes of pairs (G, A) , where G is a group and A an ordered generating set of G of cardinality n , modulo the following equivalence relation:

$$(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \approx (H, (b_1, \dots, b_n))$$

if the map $a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto b_n$ extends to an isomorphism $G \rightarrow H$. To simplify our notation, we write (G, A) for the \approx -class of (G, A) .

We say that $(G, A), (H, B) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ are *r-similar* for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denoted \approx_r , if there is an isomorphism (in the category of directed graphs) between balls of radius r around the identity in the Cayley graphs $\Gamma(G, A)$ and $\Gamma(H, B)$ that takes edges labeled by a_i to edges labelled by b_i for all i . The topology on \mathcal{G}_n is defined by taking the sets

$$U_{G,A}(r) = \{(H, B) \in \mathcal{G}_n \mid (H, B) \approx_r (G, A)\}, \quad (3)$$

where (G, A) ranges in \mathcal{G} and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, as the base of neighborhoods. Thus a sequence $\{(G_i, A_i)\}$ converges to (G, A) in \mathcal{G}_n if for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, (G_i, A_i) and (G, A) are r -similar for all sufficiently large i . It is easy to see that \approx is the intersection of the equivalence relations \approx_r and hence the topology on \mathcal{G}_n is well-defined.

Example 3.6. It is easy to see that $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \{1\}) \approx_r (\mathbb{Z}, \{1\})$ for $r = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1$. In particular, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \{1\}) = (\mathbb{Z}, \{1\})$.

The definition of the spaces \mathcal{G}_n is due to Grigorchuk [Gri]. It is customary to stack all spaces \mathcal{G}_n together as follows. Identifying $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n))$ with $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n, 1))$ we get an inclusion $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$. The topological union

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$$

is called the *space of marked finitely generated groups*.

It is useful to extend the relation \approx_r from individual spaces \mathcal{G}_n to the whole \mathcal{G} as follows: we say that $(G, A) \approx_r (H, B)$ for some $(G, A), (H, B) \in \mathcal{G}$ if (G, A) and (H, B) are r -similar as elements of some (equivalently, any) \mathcal{G}_n to which they both belong.

Convention 3.7. We say that a marked group $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$ has some group theoretic property, if so does G .

In some cases, it is useful to think of the space \mathcal{G} in a different way. Let $F_\infty = F(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ denote the free group with countably infinite basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ and let

$$\mathcal{N}(F_\infty) = \{N \triangleleft F_\infty \mid x_i \in N \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

Recall that 2^{F_∞} denotes the space of all subsets of F_∞ with the product topology. We think of $\mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$ as a subset of 2^{F_∞} and endow it with the induced topology. A similar space (namely, the space of all closed subgroups of a locally compact topological group) was studied by Chabauty [Ch]; for this reason, the product topology on $\mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$ is sometimes called the *Chabauty topology*.

Every $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$ can be naturally identified with an element of $\mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$. Namely, $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_n))$ corresponds to the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\varepsilon_{G,A}: F_\infty \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\varepsilon_{G,A}(x_i) = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ 1, & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is easy to prove the following.

Proposition 3.8. *The map $(G, A) \mapsto \text{Ker } \varepsilon_{G,A}$ defines a homeomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$.*

The homeomorphism $(G, A) \mapsto \text{Ker } \varepsilon_{G,A}$ maps \mathcal{G}_n to $\mathcal{N}_n(F_\infty)$, where $\mathcal{N}_n(F_\infty)$ consists of all $N \triangleleft F_\infty$ such that $x_i \in N$ for all $i > n$. Obviously, every $\mathcal{N}_n(F_\infty)$ is closed in 2^{F_∞} . Therefore, every $\mathcal{N}_n(F_\infty)$ is compact and we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.9 (Grigorchuk, [Gri]). *The space \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, second countable, zero-dimensional, and σ -compact. In particular, \mathcal{G} is a Polish space.*

Recall that a topological space is *zero-dimensional* if it has a basis of neighborhoods consisting of clopen sets and is *σ -compact* if it is a countable union of compact subspaces.

We consider a couple of standard examples. The proofs are straightforward using either the geometric definition of \mathcal{G} or the alternative space $\mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$.

Example 3.10. Let G be a finitely generated group, A a finite generating set of G , N a normal subgroup of G .

- (a) Suppose that $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots$ is a sequence of normal subgroups of G such that $N = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$. Let $Q_i = G/N_i$, $Q = G/N$, and let X_i (respectively, X) be the natural image of A in Q_i (respectively, Q). Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (Q_i, X_i) = (Q, X)$ in \mathcal{G} . This can be seen as a generalization of Example 3.6.
- (b) The same claim holds for any increasing sequence of normal subgroups $N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \dots$ such that $N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$. In particular, every marked group in \mathcal{G} is a limit of finitely presented marked groups.

The following useful result also goes back to [Gri].

Proposition 3.11 (Grigorchuk, [Gri]). *Let $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$. If G is finitely presented, then there is a neighborhood U of (G, A) in \mathcal{G} such that for every $(H, B) \in U$, the group H is a quotient of G .*

Proof. Let $G = \langle A \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finite presentation and let r denote the maximum of the lengths of relations in \mathcal{R} . Then every finitely generated group H satisfying $(H, B) \approx_{\max\{1, r\}} (G, A)$ is a quotient of G . \square

One reason for working with \mathcal{G} instead of individual spaces \mathcal{G}_n is that the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{G} are the orbits of a natural group action. More precisely, let $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ denote the group of finitary automorphisms of F_∞ . That is, an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F_\infty)$ belongs to $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ if and only if $\alpha(x_i) = x_i$ for all but finitely many i . The group $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ acts on $\mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$, which gives rise to an action on \mathcal{G} via Proposition 3.8. The following observation is due to Thomas [Tho09].

Lemma 3.12 (Thomas). *$\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ acts on \mathcal{G} by homeomorphisms. Isomorphism classes in \mathcal{G} coincide with orbits of the $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ -action.*

Proof. The proof of the first claim is straightforward. The second claim follows from the fact that for every $(G, (a_1, \dots, a_m)) \in \mathcal{G}$, an n -tuple $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \subseteq G^n$ generates G if and only if the $(m+n)$ -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_m, 1, \dots, 1)$ can be transformed to the $(m+n)$ -tuple $(b_1, \dots, b_n, 1, \dots, 1)$ by a finite sequence of Nielsen transformations. \square

Finally we note that the space \mathcal{G} can be identified with a subspace of the space of countably infinite structures traditionally studied in model theory. More precisely, let \mathcal{R} be a first-order language whose signature consists of countably many predicates R_1, R_2, \dots . We denote by $a(R_i)$ the arity of R_i and consider the set

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{R}) = 2^{\mathbb{N}^{a(R_1)}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}^{a(R_2)}} \times \dots,$$

endowed with the product topology. Every $M \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{R})$ defines an \mathcal{R} -structure whose universe is \mathbb{N} and the interpretation of R_i is the a_i -ary relation on \mathbb{N} given by the i -th component of M . The space $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{R})$ is called the *space of countable \mathcal{R} -structures* [Mar16, Section 3.1].

The requirement that the signature of \mathcal{R} consists of predicates only is not really restrictive. Indeed, every structure can be turned into a relational structure by replacing all operations with their graphs. Moreover, for every marked group (G, A) (including finite groups), we can construct the corresponding relational structure $S(G, A)$ as follows.

Let \mathcal{R} denote the first order language with signature $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, where P_i is an i -ary predicate for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. We fix an enumeration $F_\infty = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ and identify \mathbb{N} with F_∞ via the map $i \mapsto f_i$. Given $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$, the universe of the structure $S(G, A)$ is $F_\infty = \mathbb{N}$ and the predicate symbols are interpreted as follows. For all $u, v, w \in F_\infty$, we have:

$$P_1(w) = \text{True} \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_{G,A}(w) = 1,$$

$$P_2(u, v) = \text{True} \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_{G,A}(v) = \varepsilon_{G,A}(u^{-1}),$$

$$P_3(u, v, w) = \text{True} \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_{G,A}(uv) = \varepsilon_{G,A}(w),$$

where the homomorphism $\varepsilon_{G,A}: F_\infty \rightarrow G$ is defined by (4).

Proposition 3.13. *In the notation introduced above, the following statements hold.*

- (a) *The map $(G, A) \rightarrow S(G, A)$ defines a continuous embedding $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{R})$.*
- (b) *There is a rewriting procedure $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}} \mapsto \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ that transforms $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences to sentences in the corresponding infinitary version of \mathcal{R} such that for every finitely generated group G , we have $G \models \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$ if and only if $S(G, A) \models \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ for all finite generating sets A of G .*

This result, together with the classical López-Escobar theorem [LE], can be used to derive Proposition 5.1, which is crucial for the proof of the zero-one law for finitely generated groups. We will use an alternative approach and give a self-contained proof of Proposition 5.1. Thus Proposition 3.13 will not be used in our paper and so we leave the (straightforward) proof to the reader.

4 Hyperbolic groups and their generalizations

4.1. Hyperbolic groups. Throughout this paper, we often think of graphs as metric spaces. Given a connected graph Γ , we identify every edge of Γ with $[0, 1]$ and define the distance between two points $a, b \in \Gamma$ to be the length of the shortest path in Γ connecting a to b . Note that this distance is defined for all points in Γ , not necessarily vertices.

We begin by recalling Gromov's definition of hyperbolicity of a metric space [Gro]. Recall that a metric space S with a distance function d is called *geodesic*, if every two points $a, b \in S$ can be connected by a path p of length $\ell(p) = d(a, b)$.

Definition 4.1. Let δ be a non-negative constant. A metric space S is δ -*hyperbolic* if it is geodesic and for any geodesic triangle Δ in S , every side of Δ is contained in the union of the closed δ -neighborhoods of the other two sides. A metric space is called *hyperbolic* if it is δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$.

We list some classical examples.

- Example 4.2.**
- (a) Every bounded geodesic space S is hyperbolic with $\delta = \text{diam}(S)$.
 - (b) Every tree is hyperbolic with $\delta = 0$.
 - (c) \mathbb{H}^n is hyperbolic for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ while \mathbb{R}^n is not hyperbolic for $n \geq 2$.
 - (d) In the class of geodesic metric spaces, hyperbolicity is preserved by the quasi-isometry relation, see [BH, Chapter III.H, Theorem 1.9] for details.

Definition 4.3. A group G is *hyperbolic* if it is finitely generated and for some (equivalently, any) generating set A , the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, A)$ is hyperbolic. A hyperbolic group is called *elementary* if it has a cyclic subgroup of finite index and *non-elementary* otherwise.

Example 4.2 can be translated into the following.

Example 4.4. (a) Every finite group is hyperbolic.

(b) Every finitely generated free group is hyperbolic.

(c) If M is a closed hyperbolic manifold, then $\pi_1(M)$ is hyperbolic.

(d) The class of hyperbolic groups is closed under passing to finite extensions, subgroups of finite index, extensions with finite kernel, and quotients by finite normal subgroups.

An important class of examples consists of small cancellation groups. Recall that a word w in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1} = \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ is *reduced* if it contains no subwords of the form $x_i x_i^{-1}$ and $x_i^{-1} x_i$ and *cyclically reduced* if every cyclic shift of w is reduced. When talking about group presentations, we always assume that relations are cyclically reduced. A group presentation

$$G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle. \quad (5)$$

is said to be *symmetrized* if for every word $R \in \mathcal{R}$, all cyclic shifts of $R^{\pm 1}$ belong to \mathcal{R} . If the presentation (5) is not symmetrized, by its *symmetrization* we mean the presentation obtained by adding all cyclic shifts of $R^{\pm 1}$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$ to the set of relations. A symmetrized presentation (5) satisfies the $C'(\lambda)$ *small cancellation condition* for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ if any common initial subword U of two distinct words $R, S \in \mathcal{R}$ satisfies

$$\|U\| < \lambda \min\{\|R\|, \|S\|\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the number of letters in the corresponding word. A non-symmetrized group presentation satisfies $C'(\lambda)$ if so does its symmetrization.

The standard example is the presentation of the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus g ,

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \mid a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \cdots a_n b_n a_n^{-1} b_n^{-1} \rangle,$$

which satisfies $C'(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda > \frac{1}{4n}$.

The result below was originally proved by Greendlinger [Gre] using combinatorial arguments. For a contemporary geometric proof see [Ols91, Section 12].

Lemma 4.5 (Greendlinger Lemma). *Suppose that a group G has a symmetrized presentation (5) satisfying $C'(1/6)$. Then every non-empty reduced word in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ that represents 1 in G contains a subword U which is also a subword of some $R \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\|U\| > \|R\|/2$.*

It is known that a group is hyperbolic if and only if it has a finite presentation (5) satisfying the conclusion of the Greendlinger lemma [BH, Chapter III.Γ, Theorem 2.6]. In particular, we have the following result (for a direct proof using geometry of triangles, see [Str]).

Corollary 4.6. *Every group given by a finite presentation satisfying $C'(1/6)$ is hyperbolic.*

It is worth noting that the constant $1/6$ is optimal. That is, Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 generally fail for group presentations satisfying $C'(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 1/6$. Moreover, the condition $C'(\lambda)$ becomes essentially unrestrictive for $\lambda > 1/5$, see [Gol] or Section 12.4 of [Ols91].

We consider a particular example, which will be used (together with the Greendlinger lemma) in the proofs of Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.11.

Example 4.7. For any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$W_n(k) = \langle u, v \mid R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle.$$

where

$$R_j = u^j v u^j v^2 \dots u^j v^k \quad (6)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that for every sufficiently large k (e.g., $k \geq 30$) and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this presentation satisfies the $C'(1/6)$ condition and hence $W_n(k)$ is hyperbolic.

4.2. Relatively and acylindrically hyperbolic groups. The notion of relative hyperbolicity was also suggested by Gromov in [Gro]. Since then many equivalent definitions have been formulated. For a comprehensive survey, we refer to [Hru]. We recall the definition based on the notion of a hyperbolically embedded collection of subgroups introduced in [DGO], which is most suitable for our purpose.

Let G be a group, $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ a collection of subgroups of G , X a (possibly infinite) subset of G . Suppose that

$$G = \langle X \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k \rangle.$$

We denote by $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ the Cayley graph of G whose edges are labeled by letters from the alphabet

$$\mathcal{A} = X \sqcup H_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup H_k.$$

That is, two vertices $f, g \in V(\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})) = G$ are connected by an edge going from f to g and labeled by $a \in \mathcal{A}$ iff $fa = g$ in G . Disjointness of the union in the definition of \mathcal{A} means that if a letter $a \in H_i$ and a letter $b \in X$ (or $b \in H_j$ for $j \neq i$) represent the same element of G , then for every $f \in G$, the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ will have two edges connecting f and $fa = fb$: one labelled by a and the other labelled by b .

For each i , we naturally think of the Cayley graph $\Gamma_{H_i} = \Gamma(H_i, H_i)$ of H_i with respect to the generating set H_i as a (complete) subgraph of $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$. In this notation, we have the following.

Definition 4.8. A collection of subgroups $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ is *hyperbolically embedded in G with respect to X* , denoted $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$, if the following conditions hold.

- (a) The Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ is hyperbolic.
- (b) For every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many elements $h \in H_i$ such that the vertices h and 1 can be connected in $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ by a path of length at most n avoiding edges of Γ_{H_i} .




Figure 1: Cayley graphs $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ for $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $G = H * \mathbb{Z}$.

Further, we say that the collection $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ is *hyperbolically embedded* in G and write $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h G$ if $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ for some $X \subseteq G$.

To illustrate this definition, we consider standard examples borrowed from [DGO].

- Example 4.9.** (a) For any group G we have $G \hookrightarrow_h G$. We can take $X = \emptyset$ in this case. Similarly, if H is a finite subgroup of a group G , then we always have $H \hookrightarrow_h G$. Indeed $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, G)$.
- (b) Let $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}$, $X = \{x\}$, where x is a generator of \mathbb{Z} , $\mathcal{A} = X \sqcup H$. Then $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ is quasi-isometric to a line and hence it is hyperbolic. However, every two elements $h_1, h_2 \in H$ can be connected by a path of length at most 3 in $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A})$ that avoids edges of Γ_H (see Fig. 1). Thus $H \not\hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ whenever H is infinite.
- (c) Let $G = H * \mathbb{Z}$, $X = \{x\}$, where x is a generator of \mathbb{Z} , $\mathcal{A} = X \sqcup H$. In this case $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{A} = X \sqcup H)$ is quasi-isometric to a tree and no path connecting $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and avoiding edges of Γ_H exists unless $h_1 = h_2$. Thus $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$.

We list some useful properties of hyperbolically embedded subgroups. The proposition below can easily be proved by generalizing the idea behind Example 4.9 (b).

Proposition 4.10 (Dahmani-Guirardel-Osin, [DGO, Proposition 4.33]). *Let G be a group, $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ a collection of hyperbolically embedded subgroups of G*

- (a) *For all i and all $g \in G \setminus H$, we have $|g^{-1}H_i g \cap H_i| < \infty$.*
- (b) *For all $i \neq j$ and all $g \in G$, we have $|g^{-1}H_i g \cap H_j| < \infty$.*

Corollary 4.11. *Suppose that a group G contains a non-trivial torsion-free hyperbolically embedded subgroup. Then G has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups.*

Proof. Suppose that K is a finite normal subgroup of G . Then the centralizer $C_G(K)$ has finite index in G . For every $k \in K$, we have $|k^{-1}Hk \cap H| \geq |C_G(K) \cap H| = \infty$. By Proposition 4.10 (a), this implies $K \leq H$. Since H is torsion-free, we have $K = \{1\}$. \square

Recall that for a group $G = \langle A \rangle$, the word length of an element $g \in G$ with respect to A , denoted $|g|_A$, is the minimal n such that $g = a_1 \dots a_n$, where $a_i \in A \cup A^{-1}$ for all i . A finitely generated subgroup H of a group G is *undistorted* in G with respect to some (not necessarily finite) generating set A of G if for some (equivalently, any) finite generating set B of H , there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|h|_B \leq c|h|_A$$

for all $h \in H$. The next result is obtained in the course of proving Theorem 4.31 in [DGO] (see inequality (35) there). See also [AHO, Corollary 4.8] for a much stronger result.

Proposition 4.12 (Dahmani-Guirardel-Osin, [DGO]). *Let G be a finitely generated group, A a (possibly infinite) generating set of G , H a subgroup of G . Suppose that $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, A)$. Then H is finitely generated and undistorted in G with respect to A .*

We now give the definition of a relatively hyperbolic group based on hyperbolically embedded subgroups. For finitely generated groups it is equivalent to all other definitions, see [DGO, Proposition 4.28] and [Osi06a, Corollary 2.48].

Definition 4.13. A group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$, called *peripheral subgroups*, if and only if $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ for some finite subset $X \subseteq G$. We say that G is *non-elementary relatively hyperbolic* if it is not virtually cyclic and is hyperbolic relative to a collection of proper subgroups.

Remark 4.14. By [Osi06b, Lemma 4.4], if G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ and one of the subgroups H_i is proper and infinite, then all other subgroups are proper.

Example 4.15. (a) Every group is hyperbolic relative to itself.

- (b) A group is hyperbolic if and only if it is hyperbolic relative to the trivial subgroup (or, equivalently, with respect to the empty collection of subgroups).
- (c) If $G = H_1 * H_2$, then G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H_1, H_2\}$.
- (d) Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold with connected boundary such that $M \setminus \partial M$ admits a complete finite volume hyperbolic structure. Then $\pi_1(M)$ is hyperbolic relative to $\pi_1(\partial M)$ [Gro].

We mention one useful property of relative hyperbolicity.

Proposition 4.16 ([Osi06a, Corollary 2.41]). *If a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of hyperbolic subgroups, then G is hyperbolic itself.*

Finally, we discuss acylindrically hyperbolic groups. An isometric action of a group G on a metric space S is *acylindrical* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $R, N > 0$ such that for every two points $x, y \in S$ with $d(x, y) \geq R$, there are at most N elements $g \in G$ satisfying

$$d(x, gx) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, gy) \leq \varepsilon.$$

The notion of acylindricity goes back to Sela's paper [Sel97], where it was considered for groups acting on trees. In the context of general metric spaces, the above definition is due to Bowditch [Bow].

Informally, one can think of this condition as a kind of properness of the action on $S \times S$ minus a “thick diagonal”.

Example 4.17. (a) It is easy to see that proper cocompact actions are acylindrical.

(b) Every group action on a bounded space S is acylindrical. Indeed, it suffices to take $R > \text{diam}(S)$.

Every group has an acylindrical action on a hyperbolic space, namely the trivial action on the point. For this reason, we want to avoid elementary actions in the definition below. Recall that an action of a group G on a hyperbolic space S is *non-elementary* if the limit set of G on the Gromov boundary ∂S has infinitely many points. For readers unfamiliar with the notions of the Gromov boundary and limit sets, we mention a useful equivalent characterization: an acylindrical action of a group G on a hyperbolic space is non-elementary if and only if G is not virtually cyclic and the action has infinite orbits [Osi16, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 4.18 ([Osi16, Theorem 1.2]). *For any group G , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (AH₁) G admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on a hyperbolic space.
- (AH₂) G is not virtually cyclic and there exists a (possibly infinite) generating set A of G such that the corresponding Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, A)$ is hyperbolic, unbounded, and the natural action of G on $\Gamma(G, A)$ is acylindrical.
- (AH₃) G contains a proper infinite hyperbolically embedded subgroup.

Definition 4.19. A group G is *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it satisfies either of the equivalent conditions (AH₁)–(AH₃).

The class of acylindrically hyperbolic groups includes non-elementary hyperbolic and relatively hyperbolic groups, mapping class groups of closed surfaces of non-zero genus, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ for $n \geq 2$, non-virtually cyclic groups acting properly on proper $\text{CAT}(0)$ spaces and containing a rank-1 element, groups of deficiency at least 2, most 3-manifold groups, automorphism groups of some algebras (e.g., the Cremona group of birational transformations of the complex projective plane) and many other examples. For more details we refer to the survey [Osi18].

By [DGO, Theorem 2.24], every acylindrically hyperbolic group (in particular, every non-elementary hyperbolic or non-elementary relatively hyperbolic group) contains a unique maximal finite normal subgroup denoted by $K(G)$. We call $K(G)$ the *finite radical* of G . In what follows, we will need a slightly more precise version of condition (AH₃) obtained in [ABO] (a similar result is also proved in [DGO]). By F_2 we denote the free group of rank 2.

Proposition 4.20 (Abbott-Balasubramanya-Osin, [ABO, Proposition 5.13]). *Let G be an acylindrically hyperbolic group. Suppose that A is a generating set of G satisfying condition (AH₂). Then G contains a subgroup $H \cong K(G) \times F_2$ such that $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, A)$.*

We will also use the following “relatively hyperbolic version” of Proposition 4.20.

Corollary 4.21. *Let G be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$. Suppose that G is not virtually cyclic and $H_i \neq G$ for all i . Then there exists a subgroup $H \cong K(G) \times F_2$ such that G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H, H_1, \dots, H_k\}$.*

Proof. By definition, $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ for some finite set X . In particular, the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, A)$ is hyperbolic, where $A = X \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k$. Under the assumptions of the corollary, $\Gamma(G, A)$ is known to be unbounded [Osi06b, Corollary 4.6] and the action of G on $\Gamma(G, A)$ is known to be acylindrical [Osi16, Proposition 5.2]. Thus the generating set A satisfies (AH₂). By Proposition 4.20, there exists $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, A)$ such that $H \cong K(G) \times F_2$. The subgroup H is undistorted in G with respect to A by Proposition 4.12 and satisfies $|g^{-1}Hg \cap H| < \infty$ for all $g \in G \setminus H$ by Proposition 4.10. By the main result of [Osi06b], these properties imply that G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H, H_1, \dots, H_k\}$. \square

4.3. Group theoretic Dehn filling. Our next goal is to discuss a group theoretic analogue of Thurston’s theory of hyperbolic Dehn surgery. It will be used to show that the closures of the subspaces of \mathcal{G} shown on diagram (1) are homeomorphic to the Cantor set and that \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are homeomorphic to the subspace of irrational numbers in \mathbb{R} . Readers not interested in these results can safely skip Sections 4.3 and 4.4.

The classical Dehn surgery on a 3-dimensional manifold consists of cutting of a solid torus, which may be thought of as “drilling” along an embedded knot, and then gluing it back in a different way. The study of such transformations is partially motivated by the Lickorish-Wallace theorem, which states that every closed orientable connected 3-manifold can be obtained from the 3-dimensional sphere by performing finitely many surgeries.

The second part of the surgery, called *Dehn filling*, can be formalized as follows. Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold with toric boundary. Topologically distinct ways of attaching a solid torus to ∂M are parameterized by free homotopy classes of unoriented essential simple closed curves in ∂M , called *slopes*. For a slope s , the corresponding Dehn filling $M(s)$ of M is the manifold obtained from M by attaching a solid torus $\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to ∂M so that the meridian $\partial \mathbb{D}^2$ goes to a simple closed curve of the slope s . The fundamental theorem due to Thurston [Thu, Theorem 1.6] asserts that if $M \setminus \partial M$ admits a complete finite volume hyperbolic structure, then $M(s)$ is hyperbolic for all but finitely many slopes.

Given a group G and a subset $M \subseteq G$, we denote by $\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle$ the minimal normal subgroup of G containing M . In view of Example 4.15 (d) and Proposition 4.16, the theorem below can

be regarded as an algebraic counterpart of Thurston's result. In fact, Thurston's theorem follows from Theorem 4.22 modulo the geometrization conjecture. For more on this, see Section 4 of [Osi18].

Theorem 4.22 (Dahmani-Guirardel-Osin, [DGO, Theorem 2.27]). *Let G be a group, $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ a collection of subgroups of G . Suppose that $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ for some $X \subseteq G$. Then for every finite subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ such that for any subgroups $N_i \triangleleft H_i$ satisfying $N_i \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, the following statements hold.*

- (a) *For every i , the natural map from $\widehat{H}_i = H_i/N_i$ to $\widehat{G} = G/\langle\langle N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_k \rangle\rangle$ is injective (equivalently, we have $H_i \cap \langle\langle N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_k \rangle\rangle = N_i$). In what follows, we think of \widehat{H}_i as subgroups of \widehat{G} .*
- (b) *$\{\widehat{H}_1, \dots, \widehat{H}_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (\widehat{G}, \widehat{X})$, where \widehat{X} is the natural image of X in \widehat{G} . In particular, if G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$, then \widehat{G} is hyperbolic relative to $\{\widehat{H}_1, \dots, \widehat{H}_k\}$.*
- (c) *The natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is injective on \mathcal{K} .*
- (d) *If G and all \widehat{H}_i are torsion-free, then so is \widehat{G} .*

For relatively hyperbolic groups, this theorem was proved by the author in [Osi07]; the particular case of torsion-free groups was independently obtained by Groves and Manning [GM]. The generalization to hyperbolically embedded subgroups is given in [DGO].

4.4. Quotients of hyperbolic-like groups. We now prove two lemmas, which will be used later in the proofs of Theorem 2.9 and 2.11. Both lemmas are certainly implicit in the literature, and several particular cases have been already stated explicitly. The proofs are simple applications of small cancellation theory in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces. For hyperbolic groups, it was developed by Olshanskii [Ols93] (following an insight by Gromov [Gro] and the earlier work of Olshanskii on the geometric solution of the Burnside problem [Ols82, Ols91]) and then generalized to relatively and acylindrically groups by the author [Osi10] and Hull [Hull]. Readers unfamiliar with the methods of [Ols93] and their generalizations in [Hull, Osi10] can accept the results of this section as a “black box”.

By abuse of notation, we write $G \in \mathcal{Z}$ for a group G and a subset $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ if $(G, A) \in \mathcal{Z}$ for some generating set A .

Lemma 4.23. *Let \mathcal{Z} be one of the sets \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_{tf} , \mathcal{RH} , \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , \mathcal{AH}_{tf} , and let $G \in \mathcal{Z}$. For every finite subset \mathcal{K} of G , there exists a group $Q \in \mathcal{Z}$ and a non-injective epimorphism $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow Q$ such that the restriction $\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is injective.*

For the spaces \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_{tf} , \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , and \mathcal{AH}_{tf} , this is an immediate consequence of the results of [Ols93, Osi10, Hull] on small cancellation theory in the respective classes of groups. In fact, for every fixed \mathcal{K} , the quotient of G obtained by adding a single “random” relation (in any conceivable sense) will satisfy the required property almost surely.

In the case of groups with non-trivial finite normal subgroups, we have to be a bit more careful. Indeed, adding “random” relations to G may kill $K(G)$ with non-zero probability. However, the required quotient Q can still be obtained by adding certain special relations. E.g., if $G \in \mathcal{H}$, then for any element g of infinite order, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G/\langle\langle g^m \rangle\rangle$ is non-elementary hyperbolic and the natural map $G \rightarrow G/\langle\langle g^m \rangle\rangle$ is injective on \mathcal{K} [Ols93, Theorem 3]. Unfortunately, the analogue of this theorem for \mathcal{RH} and \mathcal{AH} does not seem to have been stated explicitly in the literature, although its proof is straightforward using either small cancellation or group theoretic Dehn filling technique. For expository purpose, we provide a proof of Lemma 4.23 based on Dehn fillings, which works in all cases.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{AH}$ and let \mathcal{K} be a finite subset of G . By Proposition 4.20, G contains a hyperbolically embedded subgroup $H \cong F_2 \times K(G)$. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis of F_2 and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ be the finite set provided by Theorem 4.22 applied to G and H . It is easy to show that there is a non-trivial element $w \in F_2 \leq H$ such that the normal closure N of w in H (which coincides with the normal closure of w in F_2) avoids \mathcal{F} , and F_2/N is torsion-free and non-elementary hyperbolic. E.g., we can take the element

$$w = xyxy^2 \cdots xy^k$$

for a sufficiently large k , see Example 4.7 and Lemma 4.5. Note that $H/N \cong F_2/N \times K(G)$ is also non-elementary hyperbolic (see Example 4.4 (d)). By Theorem 4.22, the map $G \rightarrow \widehat{G} = G/\langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ is injective on \mathcal{K} and the group $\widehat{H} = H/N$ is hyperbolically embedded in \widehat{G} . If $\widehat{H} = \widehat{G}$, then \widehat{G} is non-elementary hyperbolic and hence acylindrically hyperbolic. If $\widehat{H} \neq \widehat{G}$, then \widehat{G} is acylindrically hyperbolic by definition (see condition (AH₃) in Theorem 4.18).

If $G \in \mathcal{AH}_0$, then $K(G) = \{1\}$ and \widehat{H} is a torsion-free group. Therefore, $\widehat{G} \in \mathcal{AH}_0$ by Corollary 4.11. Note also that if $G \in \mathcal{AH}_{tf}$ then $\widehat{G} \in \mathcal{AH}_{tf}$ by part (d) of Theorem 4.22.

Further, suppose that $G \in \mathcal{RH}$. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ be a collection of proper subgroups of G such that G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$. By Corollary 4.21, we can find $H \cong F_2 \times K(G)$ such that G is hyperbolic relative to $\{H, H_1, \dots, H_k\}$. That is,

$$\{H, H_1, \dots, H_k\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X) \tag{7}$$

for some finite subset $X \subseteq G$. We then choose w as above so that Theorem 4.22 applies to the hyperbolically embedded collection (7). Consider the filling of G corresponding to the collection of subgroups $N = \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \triangleleft H$ and $N_i = \{1\} \triangleleft H_i$. By Theorem 4.22, the resulting group \widehat{G} is hyperbolic relative to $\{H/N, H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ in this case and $G \rightarrow \widehat{G} = G/\langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ is injective on \mathcal{K} . The fact that G is non-elementary relatively hyperbolic is obvious if $\widehat{H} = \widehat{G}$ and follows from Remark 4.14 if $\widehat{H} \neq \widehat{G}$. In the cases $G \in \mathcal{RH}_0$ and $G \in \mathcal{RH}_{tf}$, we argue as in the previous paragraph.

Finally, let $G \in \mathcal{H}$. We can think of this as a particular case of the situation considered in the previous paragraph with empty collection $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ (see Example 4.15 (b)). In this case, \widehat{G} is hyperbolic relative to \widehat{H} and we have $G \in \mathcal{H}$ by Proposition 4.16. The cases $G \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $G \in \mathcal{H}_{tf}$ are treated as above. \square

Corollary 4.24. *The spaces \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_{tf} , \mathcal{RH} , \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , and \mathcal{AH}_{tf} have no isolated points.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{Z} be one of these spaces, let $(G, A) \in \mathcal{Z}$, and let U be any neighborhood of (G, A) in \mathcal{G} . By the definition of the topology on \mathcal{G} , there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $(H, B) \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $(H, B) \approx_r (G, A)$ belongs to U . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{g \in G \mid |g|_A \leq r\},$$

where $|\cdot|_A$ denotes the word length with respect to A , and let $Q \in \mathcal{Z}$ be the group provided by Lemma 4.23. It is straightforward to see that $(Q, \varepsilon(A)) \approx_r (G, A)$ and hence $(Q, \varepsilon(A)) \in U$. \square

Lemma 4.25. *Let \mathcal{Z} be one of the sets \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_{tf} , \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , or \mathcal{AH}_{tf} . For any groups $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and any finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq G_i$, there exist a group $Q \in \mathcal{Z}$ and epimorphisms $\varepsilon_i: G_i \rightarrow Q$ such that the restriction of ε_i to \mathcal{F}_i is injective for $i = 1, 2$.*

This result is also well-known to experts. In the case $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H}_{tf}$, this lemma was proved by Champetier [Cha]. The case $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{AH}_0$ is done in [Hull, Corollary 7.4]. For other classes, weaker versions (without the injectivity condition) were proved in [Ols00] and [AMO]. In fact, the injectivity condition follows from the same proofs; the only reason it was not stated explicitly in [Ols00] and [AMO] is that it was unnecessary for the applications considered there. We provide a brief proof for completeness.

Proof. We begin with the case $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H}_0$. Let G_1, G_2 and $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be as above and let $P = G_1 * G_2$. Then P is hyperbolic and G_1 and G_2 do not normalize any non-trivial finite subgroup of P . The latter condition means that both G_1 and G_2 are Gromov subgroups of P in the terminology of [Ols93]. By [Ols93, Theorem 2], there exists a non-elementary hyperbolic group Q and an epimorphism $\varepsilon: P \rightarrow Q$ such that $\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2}$ is injective and $\varepsilon(G_1) = \varepsilon(G_2) = Q$; in addition, we can ensure that Q has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups by part 9) of [Min, Theorem 1]. Thus $Q \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Dealing with $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H}_{tf}$ is similar. In this case, part (7) of [Ols93, Theorem 2] guarantees that the group Q can be made torsion-free and so the reference to [Min, Theorem 1] is unnecessary.

For \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , and \mathcal{AH}_{tf} , the proof is identical modulo the changes indicated below. The notion of a Gromov subgroup must be replaced with the more restrictive notion of a suitable subgroup, see [AMO, Osi10] and [Hull] for the definition in the relatively hyperbolic and acylindrically hyperbolic case, respectively. The reference to Olshanskii's theorem [Ols93, Theorem 2] must be replaced with the references to [Osi10, Theorem 2.4] and [Hull, Theorem 1.5], respectively. Finally, the reference to [Min, Theorem 1] for classes \mathcal{RH}_0 and \mathcal{AH}_0 can be eliminated. Indeed, [Osi10, Theorem 2.4] and [Hull, Theorem 1.5] imply that the obtained common quotient group Q contains a suitable subgroup; in turn, this implies that Q has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups (see [AMO, Proposition 3.4] for the relatively hyperbolic case and [Hull, Definition 1.4] for acylindrically hyperbolic groups). \square

5 The zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences

5.1. Complexity of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences and Borel hierarchy. Our proof of the zero-one law for finitely generated groups makes use of the observation that an isomorphism-invariant subset of \mathcal{G} is Borel if and only if it is definable by an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence. This has long been known to experts and can be derived from the López-Escobar theorem for the space of countable structures (see [LE] or [Mar16, Section 3.1]) via Proposition 3.13. For expository purpose, we choose a different approach and provide a direct proof (which is by no means original). In fact, we obtain a somewhat more precise result; to state it, we need to recall the definition of the Borel hierarchy.

Let X be a topological space. For every countable ordinal $\alpha > 0$, we define the classes Σ_α^0 and Π_α^0 of Borel subsets of X as follows.

- (a) The class Σ_1^0 consists of open sets.
- (b) For $\alpha > 0$, a set is in Π_α^0 if its complement is in Σ_α^0 .
- (c) For $\alpha > 1$, a set is in Σ_α^0 if it is a countable union of sets from $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Pi_\beta^0$.

In particular, Π_1^0 consists of closed sets, Σ_2^0 consists of F_σ -sets, and Π_2^0 consists of G_δ -sets. An easy transfinite induction shows that Σ_α^0 is closed under finite intersections (and countable unions) and Π_α^0 is closed under finite unions (and, of course, countable intersections).

We now consider the space $X = \mathcal{G}$. For any finite $Y, Z \subset F_\infty$, we define a (possibly empty) set

$$U(Y, Z) = \{N \in \mathcal{N}(F_\infty) \mid Y \subseteq N, Z \cap N = \emptyset\}$$

and let $W(Y, Z)$ denote the preimage of $U(Y, Z)$ under the homeomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(F_\infty)$ (see Proposition 3.8). It is easy to see that the sets $W(Y, Z)$ form a base of clopen neighborhoods in \mathcal{G} . For what follows, it is convenient to denote this base by

$$\Sigma_0^0 = \Pi_0^0 = \{W(Y, Z) \mid Y, Z \subset F_\infty, |Y|, |Z| < \infty\}.$$

Proposition 5.1. *For every countable ordinal $\alpha \geq 0$, the following statements hold.*

- (a) *Suppose that σ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence of complexity class Σ_α or Π_α . Then $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ is an isomorphism-invariant Borel subset of \mathcal{G} of class Σ_α^0 or Π_α^0 respectively.*
- (b) *Conversely, for any isomorphism-invariant Borel set $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ of class Σ_α^0 or Π_α^0 , there is an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ of complexity class $\Sigma_{\max\{\alpha+1, 3\}}$ such that $X = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$.*

Remark 5.2. Before proceeding with the proof, we note that it is not, in general, true that a subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ of Borel class Σ_α^0 or Π_α^0 is definable by an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence of complexity Σ_α (respectively, Π_α). For example, let K be any non-trivial finite group. The set $X = [K]$ is closed but cannot be defined by a Π_1 -sentence as the set of models of every Π_1 -sentence is closed under taking subgroups while X is not. Similarly, it is easy to show that the set of all 2-generated groups is open but cannot be defined by a Σ_1 -sentence.

Proof. Consider the language \mathcal{L}' obtained from \mathcal{L} by adding constants c_1, c_2, \dots . Given $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$, where $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, we interpret c_i as a_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and as 1 for $i > n$. Thus every $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$ becomes an \mathcal{L}' -structure. We also denote by $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ the corresponding infinitary version of \mathcal{L}' .

Let T denote the set of all \mathcal{L}' -terms that only involve constants c_1, c_2, \dots . That is, T is the set of all words in the alphabet $c_1, c_1^{-1}, c_2, c_2^{-1}, \dots$. For every $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ with one free variable x and for every $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$, we obviously have

$$(G, A) \models \forall x \varphi(x) \text{ if and only if } (G, A) \models \bigwedge_{t \in T} \varphi(t) \quad (8)$$

and

$$(G, A) \models \exists x \varphi(x) \text{ if and only if } (G, A) \models \bigvee_{t \in T} \varphi(t) \quad (9)$$

since G is generated by A .

We first prove the following “non-invariant version” of Proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a countable ordinal. A Borel subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is in the class Σ_α^0 (respectively, Π_α^0) if and only if $X = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ for some $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ of complexity Σ_α (respectively, Π_α).*

Proof. We proceed by induction on α . We have $\sigma \in \Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$ if and only if σ is a Boolean combination of finitely many atomic formulas of the form $t_1 = t_2$ for some $t_1, t_2 \in T$; it is clear that $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ is in $\Sigma_0^0 = \Pi_0^0$ in this case. Assume now that $\alpha > 0$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_\alpha$. By the definition of Π_α , σ is obtained from formulas in the set $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Sigma_\beta$ by taking countable conjunctions, finite disjunctions, and adding universal quantifiers. By (8) and the inductive assumption, we have $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma) \in \Pi_\alpha^0$. Similarly, we can do the inductive step for $\sigma \in \Sigma_\alpha$ using (9). This proves the backwards implication of the lemma. To prove of the forward implication, we simply reverse all the arguments and omit the references to (8) and (9). \square

We now return to the proof of the proposition. Let σ be an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula of class Σ_α (respectively, Π_α). Clearly, σ can also be thought of as an $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula of the same complexity class and $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ is isomorphism-invariant. Thus part (a) of Proposition 5.1 follows immediately from Lemma 5.3.

To prove part (b), let X be an isomorphism-invariant Borel subset of \mathcal{G} of Borel class Σ_α^0 or Π_α^0 . By Lemma 5.3, there is an $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ of complexity class Σ_α (respectively, Π_α) such that $X = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$. We denote by σ_n the $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula obtained from σ by replacing all the occurrences of the constants c_i with the variables x_i for $i \leq n$ and with the constant 1 for $i > n$. Further, let $\xi_n = \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n (\alpha_n \wedge \sigma_n)$, where $\alpha_n = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the formula defined by (2). Recall that α_n means that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a generating set. For every $(G, A) \in X$ we have $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ for some n . Therefore, $G \models \xi_n$. This shows that $X \subseteq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi)$ for $\xi = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$. Conversely, if $G \models \xi$, then G satisfies ξ_n for some n . That is, there exists a generating set A of size n such that $(G, A) \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma) = X$. Thus $X = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi)$. It is easy to see that $\xi \in \Sigma_{\max\{\alpha+1, 3\}}$. \square

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2. For some applications, it is useful to reformulate the zero-one law in terms of a “generalized elementary equivalence”. Let F be a theory in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ (i.e., F is simply a set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences). We say that two groups G and H are F -equivalent if G and H satisfy exactly the same sentences from F . In particular, taking F to be the first-order logic we obtain the definition of the standard elementary equivalence.

We are now ready to prove a result incorporating both Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3.

Theorem 5.4. *For any isomorphism-invariant closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *For any non-empty open sets U, V in \mathcal{S} , there is a finitely generated group G such that $[G] \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $[G] \cap V \neq \emptyset$.*
- (b) *There exists a finitely generated group G such that $[G]$ is dense in \mathcal{S} .*
- (c) *The set of marked groups $(G, A) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\overline{[G]} = \mathcal{S}$ is comeager in \mathcal{S} .*
- (d) *\mathcal{S} satisfies the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences.*
- (e) *For any countable $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -theory F , \mathcal{S} contains a comeager F -equivalence class.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} is closed in \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{S} is a Polish space. In particular, it is a Baire space.

We first assume that (a) holds. By our assumptions and Lemma 3.12, \mathcal{S} is $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ -invariant and the action of $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ on \mathcal{S} is topologically transitive. Thus (c) follows from Lemma 3.3. Since \mathcal{S} is a Baire space, every comeager subset of \mathcal{S} is non-empty and we obtain (b). Obviously, (b) implies (a). Thus conditions (a), (b), and (c) are equivalent.

Further, we prove that (a) implies (d). Note that for any $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ , the set $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ is $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ -invariant and Borel by Proposition 5.1. Applying Theorem 3.4 to the action of $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ on \mathcal{S} we obtain that $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ is either meager or comeager.

Now suppose that (d) holds. We repeat the argument used in the introduction to prove Proposition 2.3. Fix a countable theory $F \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ and define

$$\overline{F} = F \cup \{\neg\sigma \mid \sigma \in F\}$$

and

$$Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\sigma \in \overline{F} \mid \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) \text{ is comeager in } \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Since \overline{F} is countable and any countable intersection of comeager sets is again comeager, $Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ has a comeager set of models in \mathcal{S} . We will show that any two models of $Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ are F -equivalent, thus proving (e). Arguing by contradiction, assume that there are models G and H of $Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\sigma \in F$ such that $G \models \sigma$ while $H \not\models \sigma$. We obviously have

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) \cup \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\neg\sigma) = \mathcal{S}.$$

Since \mathcal{S} is a Baire space, it cannot be covered by a union of two meager sets. Together with the zero-one law, this implies that either $\sigma \in Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ or $\neg\sigma \in Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$. Both cases contradict the assumption that G and H are models of $Th_{\overline{F}}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$.

Finally, we show that (e) implies (a). Let U, V be any non-empty open sets in \mathcal{S} . Then $U = U_0 \cap \mathcal{S}$ for some open $U_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. The set

$$W = \bigcup_{a \in \text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)} aU_0$$

is open in \mathcal{G} and $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ -invariant. By Proposition 5.1, $W = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ for some $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ . By condition (e) applied to $F = \{\sigma\}$, the set $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) = W \cap \mathcal{S}$ is either meager or comeager in \mathcal{S} . It cannot be meager as $U \subseteq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ (see property (B₂) after the definition of a Baire space). Hence, $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ is comeager in \mathcal{S} . In particular, it is dense in \mathcal{S} and we have $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exists $a \in \text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ such that $aU \cap V \neq \emptyset$, which is equivalent to (a). \square

We mention one particular corollary of the zero-one law, which makes use of the full strength of the $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -logic (for an applications, see Proposition 6.5).

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{S} is a closed isomorphism-invariant subspace of \mathcal{G} satisfying the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences. Then \mathcal{S} contains a comeager subset of groups with isomorphic profinite completions.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for every finite group K , there exists an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence φ_K such that $G \models \varphi_K$ for a finitely generated group G if and only if K is a quotient of G ¹. Let $F = \{\varphi_K\}$, where K ranges over the set of all finite groups. By condition (e) from Theorem 5.4, we conclude that generic groups from \mathcal{S} have the same set of finite images. For finitely generated groups, this property is equivalent to having isomorphic profinite completions by the classical theorem of Dixon, Formanek, Poland, and Ribes [DFPR]. \square

5.3. Generic properties. The question of which sentences hold generically in \mathcal{S} in the settings of Theorem 2.2 is rather non-trivial. We begin by an example showing that the “naive” attempt to answer this question does not work.

Example 5.6. Let G be a finitely generated group. One might expect that an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence holds generically in $\overline{[G]}$ if and only if it holds for G . In general, this is false. Indeed, let G be a condensed group. By Theorem 3.5, there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ such that $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) = [G]$. However, $[G]$ is countable and, therefore, cannot be comeager in \mathcal{S} .

On the other hand, we have the following elementary corollary of Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.7. *Let G be a finitely generated group.*

- (a) *If G satisfies an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ of complexity Π_1 , then σ holds for every group from $\overline{[G]}$.*
- (b) *If G satisfies an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence σ of complexity Π_2 , then σ holds generically in $\overline{[G]}$.*

¹The first order logic is not sufficient here. Indeed, the free groups of rank 2 and 3 are elementarily equivalent [KM, Sel06] but have distinct sets of finite quotients.

Proof. Part (a) for Π_1 -sentences follows immediately from the fact that for any such a sentence σ , the set $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma)$ is closed. To prove (b) we note that every Π_2 -definable subset of \mathcal{G} is a G_δ -set by Proposition 5.1. Since $G \models \sigma$, the set $\text{Mod}_{\overline{[G]}}(\sigma)$ is comeager in $\overline{[G]}$. \square

Note that part (b) cannot be extended beyond Π_2 even if we restrict to the first-order logic. Indeed, methods of [MO] can be used to show that there exists an acylindrically hyperbolic group G such that $\overline{[G]} = \overline{\mathcal{AH}_{tf}}$. However, a generic group in $\overline{\mathcal{AH}_{tf}}$ has 2 conjugacy classes by [Hull]. The latter property can be expressed by the $\forall\exists$ -sentence

$$\zeta = \forall a \forall b \exists t (a = 1 \vee b = 1 \vee t^{-1}at = b)$$

and ζ is never satisfied by an acylindrically hyperbolic group (in fact, every acylindrically hyperbolic group has exponentially growing set of conjugacy classes [HO]). It follows that the sentence $\neg\zeta$ is satisfied by G but does not belong to $Th^{gen}(\overline{[G]})$. Note that $\neg\xi$ is equivalent to a $\exists\forall$ -sentence. We leave details to the curious reader.

6 Examples and applications

6.1. Condensed groups. Our next goal is to discuss non-trivial instances of the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences. As explained in the introduction, this leads to the notion of a condensed group via the following immediate corollary of Proposition 3.12.

Corollary 6.1. *For every finitely generated group G , the isomorphism class $[G]$ is either discrete or has no isolated points.*

Proof. If $[G]$ is not discrete, there exists an accumulation point $(G, A) \in [G]$. Then every point of $[G]$ is an accumulation point since $[G]$ is the $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ -orbit of (G, A) and the action of $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ on \mathcal{G} is continuous. \square

We begin by proving Proposition 2.5. In fact, we obtain a somewhat stronger result. Before stating it, we have to recall several definitions. A group G is *equationally Noetherian*, if every system of equations with parameters in G has the same set of solutions as a finite subsystem [BMR]. Examples of equationally Noetherian groups include linear groups over commutative, Noetherian, unital rings (e.g., fields) [BMR], finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent groups [Bry], hyperbolic groups [RW] and some of their generalizations (see [GH] and references therein).

A group G is *residually finite* if for every non-trivial element $g \in G$, there is a homomorphism $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow K$ to a finite group K such that $\varepsilon(g) \neq 1$. Every finitely generated linear group is residually finite by the classical result of Maltsev. A group G is *Hopfian* if every epimorphism $G \rightarrow G$ is injective. Finitely generated residually finite (or equationally Noetherian) groups also serve as main examples of Hopfian groups. A simple example of a group that fails to be equationally Noetherian, residually finite, and Hopfian is the Baumslag-Solitar group

$$G = \langle a, b \mid b^{-1}a^2b = a^3 \rangle.$$

For more on this, we refer to [LS, Chapter IV, Section 4].

We say that a group G is *extremely non-Hopfian* if for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq G$, there exists a non-injective epimorphism $G \rightarrow G$ whose restriction to \mathcal{F} is injective.

Proposition 6.2. *(a) A finitely generated equationally Noetherian group cannot be condensed. In particular, finitely generated linear and abelian-by-nilpotent groups are not condensed.*

(b) A finitely presented condensed group is extremely non-Hopfian. In particular, a finitely presented residually finite group cannot be condensed.

Proof. Part (a) follows from the fact that for every equationally Noetherian group G , there are only countably many G -limit groups, which implies that $\overline{[G]}$ is countable (see [OH07] for details). By the Baire category theorem, this is impossible if G is condensed. Part (b) follows easily from Proposition 3.11 and the definition of a condensed group. \square

Next, we discuss examples of condensed groups.

Proposition 6.3. *Every finitely generated extremely non-Hopfian group is condensed.*

Proof. Let $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$, where G is a finitely generated extremely non-Hopfian group and let U be any neighborhood of (G, A) in \mathcal{G} . By the definition of the topology on \mathcal{G} , there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $(H, B) \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $(H, B) \approx_r (G, A)$ belongs to U . Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all elements of G length at most r with respect to A . By our assumption, there is a non-injective epimorphism $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow G$ such that the restriction of ε to \mathcal{F} is injective. It follows that $(G, \varepsilon(A)) \approx_r (G, A)$. Note that $(G, \varepsilon(A)) \neq (G, A)$ as ε is not injective. Thus (G, A) is a limit point of $[G]$, i.e., G is condensed. \square

We now derive part (a) of Example 2.6.

Corollary 6.4. *Let G be a finitely generated group such that $G \cong G \times G$. Then G is condensed.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-empty finite subset of G and let $k = |\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}|$. By induction, we have $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$, where $G_i \cong G$ for all i . Since $G_i \cap G_j = \{1\}$ for $i \neq j$, we have $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} \cap G_i = \{1\}$ for some i . Hence, the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/G_i$ is injective on \mathcal{F} . Clearly, $G/G_i \cong G$. Thus G is extremely non-Hopfian. It remains to apply Proposition 6.3. \square

The second part of Example 2.6 is based on the notion of an iterated monodromy group introduced by Nekrashevich. We do not go into detail here and refer the interested reader to the survey [Nek11] for definitions. In [Nek07], Nekrashevich constructed a closed subspace $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ homeomorphic to the Cantor set such that the isomorphism class of the iterated monodromy group $IMG(z^2+i)$ of the polynomial z^2+i is dense in \mathcal{N} . In particular, $IMG(z^2+i)$ is condensed. Later Nekrashevich used this construction to prove the following.

Proposition 6.5 (Nekrashevich, [Nek14]). *There exist 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise non-isomorphic residually finite groups of intermediate growth having isomorphic profinite completions.*

The proof given in [Nek14] essentially uses the algebraic structure of groups from \mathcal{N} . The key step is an explicit description of all finite quotients of such groups, which can be obtained from their actions on rooted trees. To illustrate the power of the $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -logic, we sketch an alternative proof of Proposition 6.5, which only uses results of [Nek07] and the present paper.

Proof. It is shown in [Nek07] that all groups in $\mathcal{N} = \overline{[IMG(z^2 + i)]}$ are residually finite and the group $IMG(z^2 + i)$ has intermediate growth. We first prove that the property of having intermediate growth is generic in \mathcal{N} .

Let \mathcal{SE} denote the set of all $(G, A) \in \mathcal{G}$ such that G has subexponential growth. Since the growth function of every group is submultiplicative, we have $(G, A) \in \mathcal{SE}$ if and only if for any rational $a > 1$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, the number of elements of length at most n in G with respect to A is less than a^n . It is not difficult to see that the latter condition can be expressed by an $\mathcal{L}'_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence of complexity class Π_2 (see the proof of Proposition 5.1 for the definition of the extended language \mathcal{L}'). By Lemma 5.3, \mathcal{SE} is a G_δ -set. Since $IMG(z^2 + i)$ has subexponential growth and its isomorphism class is dense in \mathcal{N} , the set \mathcal{SE} is comeager in \mathcal{N} .

By the Gromov theorem, groups of polynomial growth are virtually nilpotent and, in particular, finitely presented. Hence, they cannot occur in \mathcal{N} by Proposition 3.11. Thus generic groups in \mathcal{N} actually have intermediate growth. By Corollary 5.5, generic groups in \mathcal{N} also have isomorphic profinite completions and the result follows. \square

Finally, we discuss the relation between smoothness of the isomorphism relation and the existence of condensed groups in subspaces of \mathcal{G} . Let $\{0, 1\}^\omega$ denote the set of all binary sequences and let E_0 denote the equivalence relation on $\{0, 1\}^\omega$ such that $x E_0 y$ if and only if the sequences x and y match on all but finitely many terms. We will need the following fundamental result from the theory of Borel equivalence relations proved by Harrington, Kechris, and Louveau, following an earlier work of Glimm and Effros.

Theorem 6.6 (Harrington–Kechris–Louveau, [HKL]). *Let E be a Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space X . Then exactly one of the following holds.*

- (a) *E is smooth.*
- (b) *There is a continuous injective map $f: \{0, 1\}^\omega \rightarrow X$ such that for all $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$, we have $x E_0 y$ if and only if $f(x) E f(y)$.*

Proof of Proposition 2.7. We first prove the “only if” direction. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $(G, A) \in \mathcal{S}$ is condensed and the isomorphism relation on \mathcal{S} is smooth. Then the isomorphism relation on $\overline{[G]}$ is smooth. That is, there exists a Polish space P and a Borel function $f: \overline{[G]} \rightarrow P$ such that $f(G, A) = f(H, B)$ if and only if $G \cong H$. Every Borel

map between Polish spaces is “generically continuous” [Kec, Theorem 8.38]. This means that there is a comeager subset \mathcal{J} of $\overline{[G]}$ such that $f|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is continuous. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcap_{a \in \text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)} a\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{I} is isomorphism-invariant. Since $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ is countable, the set \mathcal{I} is comeager in $\overline{[G]}$. The action of $\text{Aut}_f(F_\infty)$ on $\overline{[G]}$ is topologically transitive, hence the set of points with dense orbits is also comeager in $\overline{[G]}$ by Lemma 3.3. In particular, there is $(H, B) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $[H]$ is a dense subset of \mathcal{I} . Since $f|_{\mathcal{I}}$ is continuous and constant on isomorphism classes, $f(\mathcal{I})$ consists of a single point, i.e., all groups in \mathcal{I} are isomorphic. However, this contradicts countability of isomorphism classes. Indeed, by the Baire theorem, \mathcal{I} is uncountable being a comeager subset of the Polish space $\overline{[G]}$ without isolated points.

Assume now that the isomorphism relation on \mathcal{S} is not smooth and let $f: \{0, 1\}^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the map provided by Theorem 6.6 applied to the isomorphism relation on \mathcal{S} . Since all equivalence classes are non-discrete in $\{0, 1\}^\omega$, the image of every element of $\{0, 1\}^\omega$ in \mathcal{S} is a condensed group. \square

6.2. Spaces associated to hyperbolic groups and their generalizations. To prove our next result, Theorem 2.9, we will need a family of hyperbolic groups defined as follows. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$A_p = \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \mid a_i^p = 1, [a_i, a_j] = 1, i, j = 1, \dots, p \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^p,$$

and

$$B_p = \langle c, d \mid c^p = 1, d^p = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

Further, let

$$H_p \cong A_p \rtimes B_p,$$

be the split extension corresponding to the action of c and d on A_p by the cyclic permutation of the generators a_1, \dots, a_p . It is easy to see that the groups H_p are non-elementary hyperbolic for all $p > 2$. Therefore, they are non-elementary relatively hyperbolic (with respect to $\{1\}$), and acylindrically hyperbolic.

We fix the generating set $X_p = \{a_1, \dots, a_p, c, d\}$ of H_p and let

$$U_p = \{(H, X) \in \mathcal{G} \mid (H, X) \approx_{\max\{p, 2\}} (H_p, X_p)\}.$$

Let also S_p denote the permutation group on p symbols.

Lemma 6.7. *For every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a first-order sentence φ_p in the language of groups such that the following conditions hold.*

- (a) *If a group G satisfies φ_p , then there is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow S_p$ with non-trivial image.*
- (b) *For any $(H, X) \in U_p$, the group H is generated by elements of order p and $H \models \varphi_p$.*




Figure 2:

Proof. We write $a \neq b$ to abbreviate $\neg a = b$ and consider the formulas

$$\psi_p = \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^p x_i^p = 1 \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i,j=1}^p x_i x_j = x_j x_i \right)$$

and

$$\varphi_p = \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_p \psi_p \wedge \left(\forall g \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^p \bigvee_{j=1}^p x_i g = g x_j \right) \wedge \exists h x_1 h \neq h x_1 \right).$$

It is easy to see that $G \models \varphi_p$ if and only if there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_p \in G$ such that $K = \langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G isomorphic to a quotient group of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^p$, conjugation by any element of G on K permutes the generators, and at least one element h acts on $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ non-trivially. In particular, we have (a).

Further, suppose that

$$(H, X) \approx_{\max\{p,2\}} (H_p, X_p),$$

where

$$X = \{a'_1, \dots, a'_p, c', d'\}.$$

By the definition of the relation $\approx_{\max\{p,2\}}$, the elements $x_1 = a'_1, \dots, x_p = a'_p$ satisfy ψ_p , and conjugation by every $x \in X$ permutes these elements. It follows that conjugation by every element of H permutes them. Using the definition of the relation $\approx_{\max\{p,2\}}$ again, we have $a'_1 c' \neq c' a'_1$. Thus $H \models \varphi_p$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Recall that the Cantor set is the unique (up to homeomorphism) non-empty, compact, zero-dimensional, metrizable space without isolated points [Kec, Theorem 7.4]. All spaces shown on diagram (1) are subspaces of the Cantor set 2^{F^∞} . Therefore, their closures are compact, zero-dimensional, and metrizable. They also have no isolated points by Lemma 4.24. Thus all these spaces are homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

Further, we show that every comeager subset of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}}$, or $\overline{\mathcal{AH}}$ contains infinitely many elementary equivalence classes. Suppose that one of the sets $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{AH}}$ contains a comeager subset covered by finitely many elementary equivalence classes E_1, \dots, E_k . Then for every $p > 2$, the marked group (H_p, X_p) belongs to $\bar{E}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{E}_k$. In particular, there exist primes $p > q$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $(H_p, X_p), (H_q, X_q) \in \bar{E}_i$.

It follows that there are $(H, X) \in U_p$ and $(K, Y) \in U_q$ such that $H \equiv K$ (see Fig. 2). By Lemma 6.7 (b), we have $K \models \varphi_q$. Hence $H \models \varphi_q$. In particular, H admits a homomorphism to S_q with non-trivial image by part (a) of Lemma 6.7. However, this is impossible since H is generated by elements of prime order $p > q$ by part (b). This contradiction shows that the number of elementary equivalence classes in every comeager subset of each of the classes $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{RH}}$, or $\overline{\mathcal{AH}}$ is infinite.

Finally, let \mathcal{Z} be one of the sets \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_{tf} , \mathcal{RH}_0 , \mathcal{RH}_{tf} , \mathcal{AH}_0 , or \mathcal{AH}_{tf} . Given any non-empty open subsets $U, V \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, we can find marked groups $(G_1, A_1), (G_2, A_2) \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $(G_1, A_1) \in U$ and $(G_2, A_2) \in V$. Let r be a natural number such that

$$U_{G_1, A_1}(r) \subseteq U \quad \text{and} \quad U_{G_2, A_2}(r) \subseteq V, \quad (10)$$

where the sets $U_{G_i, A_i}(r)$ are defined by (3). Let

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{g \in G_i \mid |g|_{A_i} \leq r\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let Q and $\varepsilon_i: G_i \rightarrow Q$ be the group and the epimorphisms provided by Lemma 4.25. Then $(Q, \varepsilon_i(A_i)) \approx_r (G_i, A_i)$. By (10), we obtain $(Q, \varepsilon_1(A_1)) \in U$ and $(Q, \varepsilon_2(A_2)) \in V$. This verifies condition (a) from Theorem 2.2 and part (b) of Theorem 2.9 follows. \square

6.3. Lacunary hyperbolic groups. A finitely generated group is *lacunary hyperbolic* if one of its asymptotic cones is an \mathbb{R} -tree. Recall that an asymptotic cone of a finitely generated group is a metric space, depending on the choice of a non-principal ultrafilter, which shows how the group looks like from “infinitely far away”. We do not go into detail here and refer the interested reader to [OOS] instead. Readers unfamiliar with asymptotic cones can accept the equivalent characterization given in Theorem 6.8 below as the definition of lacunary hyperbolicity.

We say that a group $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{G}$ is the *limit of an epimorphic sequence*

$$(K_1, Z_1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} (K_2, Z_2) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $(K_i, Z_i) \in \mathcal{G}$ for all i , if every $\varepsilon_i: K_i \rightarrow K_{i+1}$ is an epimorphism, $\varepsilon_i(Z_i) = Z_{i+1}$,

$$K \cong K_1 / \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_1 \circ \dots \circ \varepsilon_i),$$

and the natural homomorphism $K_1 \rightarrow K$ maps Z_1 to Z .

Theorem 6.8 ([OOS, Theorem 1.1]). *For every group K , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) K is lacunary hyperbolic.
- (b) There exists a finite generating set Z of K such that (K, Z) is the limit of an epimorphic sequence (11) and there exist positive constants δ_i, r_i such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

- (i) the Cayley graph $\Gamma(K_i, Z_i)$ is δ_i -hyperbolic;
- (ii) the epimorphism ε_i is injective on the subset $\{k \in K_i \mid |k|_{Z_i} \leq r_i\}$;
- (iii) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i/\delta_i = \infty$.

(c) The same property as in (b) holds for every finite generating set Z of K .

On the proof. Formally speaking, [OOS, Theorem 1.1] only claims that (a) is equivalent to (b). However, the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [OOS] goes as follows. Starting with any generating set Z of K one writes an (infinite) presentation of K with respect to Z and then obtains the desired sequence of groups K_i by truncating this presentation. Thus the proof actually shows that (a) implies (c). Since (c) obviously implies (b), we get the equivalence of all three conditions. \square

Corollary 6.9. *The spaces \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively.*

Proof. For \mathcal{LH} , this is an immediate corollary of Theorem 6.8.

Further, suppose that $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{LH}_0$ or $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{LH}_{tf}$ and let (11) be the sequence provided by Theorem 6.8. Let $k \in K_i$ be an element of finite order for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then k is conjugate to an element $h \in K_i$ of length $|h|_{Z_i} \leq 4\delta_i + 2$ (see the last line of the proof of [BH, Theorem 3.2, Chapter III.Γ]). Therefore, condition (iii) implies that for all but finitely many indices i , every non-trivial finite order element of K_i is mapped to a non-trivial finite order element of K under the natural homomorphism $K_i \rightarrow K$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that this property holds for all i .

In particular, if K is torsion-free, then all groups K_i are torsion-free. This settles the case of \mathcal{LH}_{tf} . Furthermore, if $\langle\langle k \rangle\rangle$ is finite and non-trivial in K_i , then the natural image of k in K generates a non-trivial finite normal subgroup. Thus we have $(K_i, Z_i) \in \mathcal{LH}_0$ whenever $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{LH}_0$. \square

Not every limit of hyperbolic groups in \mathcal{G} is lacunary hyperbolic. We discuss one particular example, which will be used later.

Example 6.10. Fix some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the group

$$W(k) = \langle u, v \mid R_1, R_2, \dots \rangle,$$

where R_j are defined by (6) for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly to the presentations of groups $W_n(k)$ considered in Example 4.7, this infinite presentation satisfies the $C'(1/6)$ small cancellation condition for $k \geq 30$. By [OOS, Proposition 3.12], $W(k)$ is not lacunary hyperbolic. The idea behind this fact is that $W(k)$ has relations “at all scales”. This implies the existence of non-trivial simple loops in all asymptotic cones and, therefore, none of the asymptotic cones of $W(k)$ is an \mathbb{R} -tree. On the other hand, $(W(k), \{u, v\})$ is the limit of the sequence of hyperbolic groups $(W_n(k), \{u, v\})$ considered in Example 4.7. Thus $W(k)$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Moreover, it is well-known that a group given by a presentation satisfying $C'(1/6)$ is torsion-free provided none of the relations is a proper power (see, for example, [Hue]). It follows that $W_n(k) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $W(k) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$.

The next lemma will only be used to show that \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are homeomorphic to the subspace of irrational numbers in \mathbb{R} . Readers not interested in this fact can skip the lemma and other results below and go directly to the proof of Theorem 2.11.

Lemma 6.11. *The sets $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \mathcal{LH}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0 \setminus \mathcal{LH}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf} \setminus \mathcal{LH}_{tf}$ are dense in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively.*

Proof. Recall that we write $G \in \mathcal{S}$ for a group G and a subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ if $(G, A) \in \mathcal{S}$ for some finite generating set A .

We deal with the case of torsion-free groups first. It suffices to show that for every $G \in \mathcal{H}_{tf}$ and every finite $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G$, there is $Q \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf} \setminus \mathcal{LH}_{tf}$ and an epimorphism $G \rightarrow Q$ injective on \mathcal{K} . Let $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_{tf}$. Since G is non-elementary hyperbolic, the generating set X satisfies condition (AH₂) from Theorem 4.18 (this uses the obvious observation that the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is acylindrical whenever $|X| < \infty$). By Proposition 4.20, there exists a subgroup $H \cong F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ such that $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$; note that we have $K(G) = \{1\}$ as G is torsion-free. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ be the finite set provided by Theorem 4.22 applied to the group G and the subgroup H . Let $W(k)$ be the group given in Example 6.10. By the Greedlinger lemma (see Lemma 4.5), there exists $k \geq 30$ such that the kernel of the map $F_2 \rightarrow W(k)$ sending $x \mapsto u$ and $y \mapsto v$ does not intersect \mathcal{F} . From now on, we fix any k satisfying this condition.

Let N (respectively, N_n) denote the kernel of the map $F_2 \rightarrow W(k)$ (respectively, $F_2 \rightarrow W_n(k)$) sending $x \mapsto u$ and $y \mapsto v$. We have $N_n \subseteq N$ and hence $N_n \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Thus the conclusion of Theorem 4.22 holds for all normal subgroups N_n as well as for N . We denote by Y (respectively, Y_n) the natural image of the set X in $Q = G/\langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ (respectively, $Q_n = G/\langle\langle N_n \rangle\rangle$). Since $N = \bigcup N_n$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q_n, Y_n) = (Q, Y)$$

in G (see Example 3.10 (b)). As we already mentioned in Example 6.10, $W(k)$ is torsion-free and every $W_n(k)$ is torsion-free hyperbolic. Since all generating sets under consideration are finite, parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.22 imply that Q (respectively, Q_n) is hyperbolic relative to $W(k)$ (respectively, $W_n(k)$); in addition, these groups are torsion-free by part (d). By Proposition 4.16, every Q_n is hyperbolic. It follows that $(Q, Y) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$.

Since Q is hyperbolic relative to $W(k)$, $W(k)$ is undistorted in Q with respect to a finite generating set of Q by Proposition 4.12. By [OOS, Theorem 3.8], every finitely generated subgroup of a lacunary hyperbolic group undistorted with respect to a finite generating set of the group is lacunary hyperbolic itself. Since $W(k)$ is not lacunary hyperbolic, we conclude that Q is not lacunary hyperbolic. This finishes the proof of the lemma for $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf} \setminus \mathcal{LH}_{tf}$.

For $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0 \setminus \mathcal{LH}_0$, we start with any $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and argue as above. We still have $K(G) = \{1\}$ by the definition of \mathcal{H}_0 in this case. Corollary 4.11 implies that $Q_n \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and hence $Q \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_0 \setminus \mathcal{LH}_0$.

Finally, we note that for $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \mathcal{LH}$, exactly the same proof works except that we may have $K(G) \neq \{1\}$ and $H \cong F_2 \times K(G) \not\cong F_2$ in this case. Instead of maps $F_2 \rightarrow W(k)$ and $F_2 \rightarrow W_n(k)$, we consider maps $H \rightarrow W(k) \times K(G)$ and $H \rightarrow W_n(k) \times K(G)$, which

send x and y to u and v , respectively, and acts identically on $K(G)$. It is well-known (and straightforward to see from the definitions in terms of asymptotic cones) that the property of being lacunary hyperbolic is stable under taking finite extensions and subgroups of finite index. Thus $W(k) \times K(G)$ is not lacunary hyperbolic. On the other hand, $W_n(k) \times K(G)$ is hyperbolic for every n (see Example 4.4 (d)), and we can complete the proof as above. \square

Recall that the *Baire set*² is the set $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the product metric. It is well-known that $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is homeomorphic to the set of irrational numbers with the topology induced from \mathbb{R} . Moreover, we have the following.

Theorem 6.12 (Alexandrov-Uryson, [Kec, Theorem 7.7]). *Every non-empty Polish zero-dimensional space, where all compact subsets have empty interiors, is homeomorphic to the Baire set.*

We will need an obvious corollary of this theorem.

Corollary 6.13. *Let \mathcal{C} denote the Cantor set and let \mathcal{B} be a dense G_δ -subspace of \mathcal{C} . Suppose that $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is dense in \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{B} is homeomorphic to the Baire set.*

Proof. The set \mathcal{B} is Polish by Proposition 3.1 and zero-dimensional being a subspace of a zero-dimensional space. According to the Alexandrov-Uryson theorem, to complete the proof it suffices to show that every compact subset of \mathcal{B} has empty interior. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ contains a non-empty subset U that is open in \mathcal{B} . Let W be an open subset of \mathcal{C} such that $U = \mathcal{B} \cap W$. Since $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is dense in \mathcal{C} , there is $x \in W \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{B} is dense in \mathcal{C} , there is a sequence of points $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B} \cap W = U$ converging to x . The closure \overline{U} of U in K is compact being a closed subset of a compact set. It follows that \overline{U} is compact (and hence closed) as a subset of \mathcal{C} . This implies that $x \in \overline{U} \subseteq K \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, a contradiction. \square

We are now ready to prove our last result.

Proof of Theorem 2.11. We first show that the spaces \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are dense G_δ -subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively. To this end, for each $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\delta_{G,X}$ the smallest natural number such that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ is $\delta_{G,X}$ -hyperbolic. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}$, we let

$$U_j(G, X) = \{(H, Y) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} \mid (H, Y) \approx_{j(4\delta_{G,X}+6)} (G, X)\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}} U_j(G, X).$$

²This set is often called “the Baire space”; we prefer to use the term “Baire set” (in analogy with the Cantor set) so that it is not confused with the notion of a Baire space discussed in Section 3.1.

We claim that every $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{U}$ is lacunary hyperbolic. Indeed, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a marked group $(K'_j, Z'_j) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(K, Z) \in U_j(K'_j, Z'_j)$. We define a sequence $(j(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ inductively by letting

$$j(1) = 1, \quad j(i+1) = j(i)(4\delta_{K'_{j(i)}, Z'_{j(i)}} + 6),$$

and

$$(K_i, Z_i) = (K'_{j(i)}, Z'_{j(i)}) \in \mathcal{H}$$

for $i \geq 1$. Let also

$$r_i = j(i+1).$$

By our construction, $(K, Z) \approx_{r_i} (K_i, Z_i)$ for all i . Note that

$$r_{i+1} = j(i+2) = j(i+1)(4\delta_{K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1}} + 6) > j(i+1) = r_i$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we also have $(K, Z) \approx_{r_i} (K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1})$. It follows that $(K_i, Z_i) \approx_{r_i} (K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1})$.

Being a hyperbolic group, K_i admits a finite presentation $K_i = \langle Z_i \mid \mathcal{R}_i \rangle$, where every relator $R \in \mathcal{R}_i$ has length at most $4\delta_{K_i, Z_i} + 6$ (see, for example, the proof of [BH, Chapter III.Γ.2.2]). Our definition of r_i implies that $r_i \geq 4\delta_{K_i, Z_i} + 6$. Hence, the map $Z_i \rightarrow Z_{i+1}$ extends to an epimorphism $\varepsilon_i: K_i \rightarrow K_{i+1}$ which is injective on the set of all elements $k \in K_i$ of length $|k|_{Z_i} \leq r_i$. Similarly, there exists an epimorphism $K_i \rightarrow K$ that maps Z_i to Z and is injective on the set of elements of length at most r_i . Clearly, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i / \delta_{K_i, Z_i} = \infty$. In particular, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i = \infty$. This easily implies that K is the direct limit of the sequence (11) satisfying conditions (i)–(iii). Thus \mathcal{U} consists of lacunary hyperbolic groups.

Conversely, let $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{LH}$. Let (11) be an epimorphic sequence provided by part (c) of Theorem 6.8. Since all maps ε_i in (11) are onto, K_i is non-elementary for all i . Further, conditions (i)–(iii) imply that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $n = n(j)$ such that $(K, Z) \in U_j(K_n, Z_n)$. It follows that $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus we have $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{LH}$.

By definition, \mathcal{U} is a G_δ -set. Note that \mathcal{U} is also dense in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ since $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{U}$ by construction. Similarly, $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{H}_{tf}$ are dense in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively. Thus \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are dense G_δ -subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf}$, respectively. Combining this with the first claim of Theorem 2.9, Lemma 6.11, and Corollary 6.13, we obtain that \mathcal{LH} , \mathcal{LH}_0 , and \mathcal{LH}_{tf} are homeomorphic to the Baire set and, in particular, to the subspace of irrational numbers in \mathbb{R} . \square

7 Remarks and open questions

We conclude this paper with a brief discussion of open questions and directions for further research. Our first question is motivated by the observation the all condensed groups discussed in this paper are not finitely presented.

Question 7.1. *Does there exist a finitely presented condensed group?*

Note that by Propositions 6.2 and 6.3, this is equivalent to asking whether there exists a finitely presented extremely non-Hopfian group.

Here is yet another basic open question about condensed groups. For the study of the quasi-isometry relation in the relevant context, see [MOW].

Question 7.2. *Is the property of being condensed invariant under elementary equivalence of finitely generated groups? Is it geometric (i.e., invariant under quasi-isometry)?*

A group G is called *quasi-finitely-axiomatizable* (QFA, for short) if there exists a first-order sentence σ such that all finitely generated models of σ are isomorphic to G [Nie]. Corollary 2.12 shows that generic torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups are very far from being QFA.

Question 7.3. *Does there exist a non-cyclic torsion-free QFA lacunary hyperbolic group?*

Recall that the generic first-order theory $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ of a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is the set of all first-order sentences in the language of groups whose set of models is comeager in \mathcal{S} . We denote by $Th_{\forall}^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ the subset of all universal sentences in $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$. Using methods of [Osi09], it is not difficult to show that $Th_{\forall}^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$, $Th_{\forall}^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0)$, and $Th_{\forall}^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf})$ are undecidable. Hence, so are $Th^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$, $Th^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0)$, and $Th^{gen}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{tf})$. The same result trivially holds for the closures of all other classes shown on diagram (1); indeed, the universal theory of each of them coincides with the universal theory of all groups or the universal theory of all torsion-free groups, both of which are known to be undecidable.

The general intuition suggests that every “sufficiently complicated” subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ must have undecidable generic first-order theory. Is it possible to convert this into a precise statement? For example, we can ask the following.

Question 7.4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a perfect subset of \mathcal{G} . Is it possible that $Th^{gen}(\mathcal{S})$ is decidable?*

In Theorem 2.9 (a), we showed that every comeager subset of \mathcal{H} has infinitely many elementary equivalence classes but we do not know whether this number is countable or not.

Question 7.5. *Does there exist a comeager subset of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with countably many elementary equivalence classes?*

Note that if the answer to this question is negative, then the number of elementary equivalence classes in every comeager subset of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is 2^{\aleph_0} . Indeed, suppose that some comeager subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ has less than 2^{\aleph_0} equivalence classes. Let \mathcal{S}_0 be a dense G_δ -subset of \mathcal{S} . Then \mathcal{S}_0 is Borel and, by the Silver dichotomy [Sil], the number of elementary equivalence classes in \mathcal{S}_0 must be countable. Clearly, \mathcal{S}_0 is also comeager in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$.

Our next question is motivated by the highly non-constructive nature of the proof of Corollary 2.12.

Question 7.6. *Find an explicit example of two non-isomorphic, elementarily equivalent, torsion-free, lacunary hyperbolic groups with property FA of Serre.*

Property FA is added here to rule out the “trivial” examples coming from the hyperbolic world (e.g., F_2 and F_3). By Theorem 2.10, the required examples cannot be found among hyperbolic groups. On the other hand, we know that generic torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups satisfy all the requirements. Yet, we cannot provide any concrete examples!

Theorem 2.11 implies that the set \mathcal{LH} is a Borel subset of \mathcal{G} . The set \mathcal{H} is also Borel since it is countable. However, we do not know the answer to the following.

Question 7.7. *Are \mathcal{RH} and \mathcal{AH} Borel subsets of \mathcal{G} ?*

Many of our results can be proved in the more general settings of Ω -algebras, where Ω is any countable signature. We briefly outline possible generalizations and discuss related questions. For all unexplained notation and background from universal algebra, we refer to [Cohn].

An Ω -algebra A is *generated* by a subset $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ if every element $a \in A$ can be expressed as $a = t(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ for some Ω -term t . An *n -generated marked Ω -algebra* is a pair (A, Y) , where A is an Ω -algebra and Y is an ordered generating set of A of cardinality n . As in the case of groups, we consider such pairs up to the following equivalence relation: pairs $(A, (y_1, \dots, y_n))$ and $(B, (z_1, \dots, z_n))$ are identified if the map $y_i \mapsto z_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, extends to an isomorphism $A \rightarrow B$. We denote the set of all n -generated marked Ω -algebras by $\mathcal{A}_n(\Omega)$.

A *congruence* on an Ω -algebra A is a subset of $A \times A$ that is simultaneously an equivalence relation and an Ω -subalgebra of $A \times A$. Let $\text{Con}(A)$ denote the set of all congruences of A . We also denote by $T_n = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the free Ω -algebra generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. That is, T_n is the set of all Ω -terms in variables x_1, \dots, x_n equipped with the obvious operations. It is well-known (and easy to prove) that elements of $\text{Con}(A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with homomorphisms of Ω -algebras with domain A , see [Cohn, Section II.3]. This allows us to construct a bijection $\mathcal{A}_n(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Con}(T_n)$ in the same way as in Proposition 3.8. The product topology on $2^{A \times A}$ induces the structure of a Hausdorff, zero-dimensional, separable, compact space on $\text{Con}(T_n)$ and, via the above bijection, on $\mathcal{A}_n(\Omega)$.

Unlike in the case of groups, there is no “canonical” embedding of $\mathcal{A}_n(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(\Omega)$. However, we can still form the *space of finitely generated marked Ω -algebras* by taking the topological disjoint union

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n(\Omega).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is a Polish space.

Let \mathcal{L} be the first-order language with signature Ω . The isomorphism class of a given Ω -algebra, the set of models of a given $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence, and satisfiability of the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences in a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ are defined in the same way as in the case of groups. Once this terminology is established, one can show that the direct analogue of Theorem 2.2 for Ω -algebras holds.

Theorem 7.8. *For any isomorphism-invariant closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *For any non-empty open sets U, V in \mathcal{S} , there is a finitely generated Ω -algebra A such that $[A] \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $[A] \cap V \neq \emptyset$.*
- (b) *There exists a finitely generated Ω -algebra A such that $[A]$ is dense in \mathcal{S} .*
- (c) *\mathcal{S} satisfies the zero-one law for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentences.*

The proof is essentially the same as in the case of groups. The only difference is that, in general, there is no natural group of homeomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ whose orbits are precisely the isomorphism classes. However, it is not difficult to show that for any marked Ω -algebras $(A, Y), (B, Z) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ such that $A \cong B$, there are open neighborhoods $N(A, Y)$ and $N(B, Z)$ of (A, Y) and (B, Z) , respectively, and an isomorphism-preserving homeomorphism $N(A, Y) \rightarrow N(B, Z)$ taking (A, Y) to (B, Z) . The existence of such local homeomorphisms is, in fact, sufficient to carry out the proof.

One can also generalize some other results of our paper in these settings. However, it is not quite clear whether this general theory is worth developing as it lacks non-trivial natural examples, like the spaces from Theorem 2.9.

Question 7.9. *Find interesting examples of countable signatures Ω and perfect subsets $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ containing dense isomorphism classes.*

A natural approach to Question 7.9 is based on condensed Ω -algebras. As in the case of groups, we call an Ω -algebra U *condensed* if its isomorphism class in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ has no isolated points. It is then easy to show that for any finitely generated condensed group G and any finitely generated ring R , the group ring $R[G]$ is finitely generated and condensed as a structure in the language of unital rings, whose signature is $\{0, 1, +, \cdot\}$. One can play around this idea a bit but all the examples we get this way are not very convincing as they essentially come from group theory. Similarly to the case of groups, one can show that if a finitely generated Ω -algebra U is isomorphic to $U \times U$, then U is condensed. We do not know the answer to the following.

Question 7.10. *Does there exist a non-zero, finitely generated, associative ring R such that $R \cong R \times R$?*

References

- [ABO] C. Abbott, S.H. Balasubramanya, D. Osin, Hyperbolic structures on groups, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **19** (2019), no. 4, 1747-1835.
- [AHO] C. Abbott, D. Hume, D. Osin, Extending group actions on metric spaces, *J. Topol. Anal.*, to appear; [arXiv:1703.03010](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03010).

- [AMO] G. Arzhantseva, A. Minasyan, D. Osin, The SQ-universality and residual properties of relatively hyperbolic groups, *J. Algebra* **315** (2007), no. 1, 165-177.
- [BMR] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov, V.N. Remeslennikov, Algebraic geometry over groups I: Algebraic sets and ideal theory, *J. Algebra*, 219 (1999), 16-79.
- [Bow] B. Bowditch, Tight geodesics in the curve complex, *Invent. Math.* **171** (2008), no. 2, 281-300.
- [BH] M.R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] **319**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Bry] R. Bryant, The verbal topology of a group, *J. Algebra* **48** (1977), 340-346.
- [Ch] C. Chabauty, Limite d'ensembles et géométrie des nombres, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **78** (1950), 143-151.
- [Cha] C. Champetier, L'espace des groupes de type fini, *Topology* **39** (2000), no. 4, 657-680.
- [Cohn] P.M. Cohn, Universal algebra. Harper & Row, Publishers, New York-London, 1965.
- [DGO] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, D. Osin, Hyperbolically embedded subgroups and rotating families in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 245 (2017), no. 1156.
- [DGP] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, P. Przytycki, Random groups do not split, *Math. Ann.* **349** (2011), no. 3, 657-673.
- [DFPR] J. Dixon, E. Formanek, J. Poland, L. Ribes, Profinite completions and isomorphic finite quotients, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **23** (1982), 227-231.
- [Fag] R. Fagin, Probabilities on finite models, *J. Symbolic Logic* **41** (1976), no. 1, 50-58.
- [GGM] R.H. Gilman, Yu. Gurevich, A. Miasnikov, A geometric zero-one law, *J. Symbolic Logic* **74** (2009), no. 3, 929-938.
- [GH] D. Groves, M. Hull, Homomorphisms to acylindrically hyperbolic groups I: Equationally noetherian groups and families, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), no. 10, 7141-7190.
- [GKLT] Yu. V. Glebskii, D. I. Kogan, M. I. Liogon'kii, V. A. Talanov, Range and degree of realizability of formulas in the restricted predicate calculus, *Cybernetics*, **5** (1969), no. 2, 142-154.
- [GM] D. Groves, J. Manning, Dehn filling in relatively hyperbolic groups, *Israel J. Math.* **168** (2008), 317-429.
- [Gol] A.I. Gol'berg, The impossibility of strengthening certain results of Greendlinger and Lyndon, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), no. 6, 201-202.

- [Gre] M. Greendlinger, Dehn's algorithm for the word problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960), 67-83.
- [Gri] R. I. Grigorchuk, Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means, *Math. USSR Izv.* **25** (1985), no. 2, 259-300.
- [Gro] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, Essays in Group Theory, MSRI Series, Vol.8, (S.M. Gersten, ed.), Springer, 1987, 75–263.
- [HKL] L. Harrington, A. S. Kechris, A. Louveau, A Glimm-Effros dichotomy for Borel equivalence relations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), no. 4, 903-928.
- [Hru] C. Hruska, Relative hyperbolicity and relative quasiconvexity for countable groups, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **10** (2010), no. 3, 1807-1856.
- [Hue] J. Huebschmann, Cohomology theory of aspherical groups and of small cancellation groups. Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977), pp. 271-273, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.
- [HO] M. Hull, D. Osin, Conjugacy growth of finitely generated groups, *Adv. Math.* **235** (2013), 361-389; Corrigendum to “Conjugacy growth of finitely generated groups”, *Adv. Math.* **294** (2016), 857-859.
- [Hull] M. Hull, Small cancellation in acylindrically hyperbolic groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **10** (2016), no. 4, 1077-1119.
- [Kec] A. Kechris, Classical Descriptive Set Theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **156**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [KM] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, Elementary theory of free non-abelian groups, *J. Algebra* **302** (2006), no. 2, 451-552.
- [KV92] P. Kolaitis, M.Y. Vardi, Infinitary logics and 0 – 1 laws. Selections from the 1990 IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. *Inform. and Comput.* **98** (1992), no. 2, 258-294.
- [KV00] P. Kolaitis, M.Y. Vardi, 0-1 laws for fragments of existential second-order logic: a survey. Mathematical foundations of computer science 2000 (Bratislava), 84-98, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 1893, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [LE] E. G. K. Lopez-Escobar, An interpolation theorem for denumerably long formulas, *Fund. Math.* **57** (1965), 253-272.
- [LS] R. Lyndon, P. Schupp, Combinatorial group theory. Reprint of the 1977 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Mar02] D. Marker, Model Theory. An Introduction. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **217**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

- [Mar16] D. Marker, Lectures on Infinitary Model Theory. Lecture Notes in Logic, **46**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [Mei] D. Meier, Non-Hopfian groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1982), no. 2, 265-270.
- [Min] A. Minasyan, On residualizing homomorphisms preserving quasiconvexity *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), no. 7, 2423-2463.
- [MO] A. Minasyan, D. Osin, Acylindrically hyperbolic groups with exotic properties, *J. Algebra* **522** (2019), 218-235.
- [MOW] A. Minasyan, D. Osin, S. Witzel, Quasi-isometric diversity of marked groups, [arXiv:1911.01137](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.01137).
- [Nek07] V. Nekrashevych, A minimal Cantor set in the space of 3-generated groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **124** (2007), 153-190.
- [Nek11] V. Nekrashevych, Iterated monodromy groups. Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath, Vol. **1**, 4193, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 387, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [Nek14] V. Nekrashevich, An uncountable family of 3-generated groups with isomorphic profinite completions, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **24** (2014), no. 1, 33-46.
- [Nie] A. Nies, Describing groups, *Bull. Symbolic Logic* **13** (2007), no. 3, 305-339.
- [OH08] A. Ould Houcine, On finitely generated models of theories with at most countably many nonisomorphic finitely generated models, *arXiv:0804.2908*.
- [OH07] A. Ould Houcine, Limit groups of equationally Noetherian groups. Geometric group theory, 103-119, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
- [Oli] Y. Ollivier, A January 2005 invitation to random groups. Ensaos Matemáticos, **10**. Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro, 2005.
- [Ols82] A.Yu. Olshanskii, The Novikov-Adyan theorem, *Mat. Sb.* **118** (1982), no. 2, 203-235.
- [Ols91] A.Yu. Olshanskii, Geometry of Defining Relations in Groups. Translated from the 1989 Russian original. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), **70**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [Ols93] A.Yu. Olshanskii, On residualizing homomorphisms and G-subgroups of hyperbolic groups, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **3** (1993), no. 4, 365-409.
- [Ols00] A.Yu. Olshanskii, On the Bass-Lubotzky question about quotients of hyperbolic groups, *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), no. 2, 807-817.
- [OOS] A. Olshanskii, D. Osin, M. Sapir, Lacunary hyperbolic groups, with an appendix by M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner. *Geom. & Topol.* **13** (2009), 2051-2140.

- [Osi06a] D. Osin, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **179** (2006), no. 843.
- [Osi06b] D. Osin, Elementary subgroups of relatively hyperbolic groups and bounded generation, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, **16** (2006), no. 1, 99-118.
- [Osi07] D. Osin, Peripheral fillings of relatively hyperbolic groups, *Invent. Math.* **167** (2007), no. 2, 295-326.
- [Osi09] D. Osin, On the universal theory of torsion and lacunary hyperbolic groups, *Groups, Complex., Crypt.* **1** (2009), no. 2, 311-319.
- [Osi10] D. Osin, Small cancellations over relatively hyperbolic groups and embedding theorems. *Ann. Math.* **172** (2010), no. 1, 1-39.
- [Osi16] D. Osin, Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 2, 851-888.
- [Osi18] D. Osin, Groups acting acylindrically on hyperbolic spaces. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians – Rio de Janeiro 2018, Vol. II, Invited lectures, 919–939. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [Oxt] J.C. Oxtoby, Note on transitive transformations, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **23** (1937), 443-446.
- [RW] C. Reinfeldt, R. Weidmann, Makanin-Razborov diagrams for hyperbolic groups, preprint, <http://www.math.uni-kiel.de/algebra/de/weidmann/research/material-research/mr2014-pdf>, 2014.
- [Sha] Y. Shalom, Rigidity of commensurators and irreducible lattices, *Inv. Math.* **141**(2000) 1-54.
- [Sco] D. Scott, Invariant Borel sets, *Fund. Math.* **56** (1964), 117-128.
- [Sel97] Z. Sela, Acylindrical accessibility for groups, *Invent. Math.* **129** (1997), no. 3, 527-565.
- [Sel06] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups. VI. The elementary theory of a free group, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **16** (2006), no. 3, 707-730.
- [Sel09] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups. VII. The elementary theory of a hyperbolic group, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **99** (2009), no. 1, 217-273.
- [Sil] J. Silver, Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations, *Ann. Math.* **18** (1980), 1-28.
- [Str] R. Strebel, Appendix. Small cancellation groups. Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov (Bern, 1988), 227-273, Progr. Math., **83**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.

- [Tho] <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/82157/example-of-two-structures>
- [Tho09] S. Thomas, On the concept of “largeness” in group theory, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 12, 4181-4197.
- [Thu] W.P. Thurston, Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1982), no. 3, 357-381.
- [TV] S. Thomas, B. Velickovic, On the complexity of the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups, *J. Algebra* **217** (1999), no. 1, 352-373.
- [Jon] Jones, J. M. Tyrer, Direct products and the Hopf property, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **17** (1974), 174-196.
- [Wil] J. Williams, Isomorphism of finitely generated solvable groups is weakly universal, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **219** (2015), no. 5, 1639-1644.
- [WW] Ph. Wesolek, J. Williams, Chain conditions, elementary amenable groups, and descriptive set theory, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **11** (2017), no. 2, 649-684.

Denis Osin: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville 37240, U.S.A.
 E-mail: *denis.v.osin@vanderbilt.edu*