

ON THE LOCAL VERSION OF THE LOG-BRUNN-MINKOWSKI CONJECTURE AND SOME NEW RELATED GEOMETRIC INEQUALITIES

ALEXANDER V. KOLESNIKOV, GALYNA V. LIVSHYTS

ABSTRACT. The conjectured Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality is a strengthening of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the partial case of symmetric convex bodies, equivalent to the validity of the following statement: for all symmetric convex smooth sets K in \mathbb{R}^n and all smooth even $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(1) \quad \int_{\partial K} H_x f^2 - \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} f, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle + \frac{f^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} f \right)^2.$$

In this note, we verify (1) with the particular choice of speed function $f(x) = |\langle v, n_x \rangle|$, for all symmetric convex bodies K , where $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an arbitrary vector.

Additionally, we prove that for any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and any symmetric convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\| \right)^2,$$

and characterize the equality cases. The above would also follow from the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture, if the latter was proven, and it may be of independent interest. We furthermore obtain some additional estimates related to this inequality, one of which involves the Poincaré constant of K , and may in some cases be stronger than (2).

1. INTRODUCTION

The Brunn-Minkowski inequality, proved in the full generality by Lusternik [26], states that:

$$(3) \quad |\lambda K + (1 - \lambda)L| \geq |K|^\lambda |L|^{1-\lambda},$$

which holds for all Borel-measurable sets K, L and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore, due to the n -homogeneity of the Lebesgue measure, (3) self-improves to an a-priori stronger form

$$(4) \quad |\lambda K + (1 - \lambda)L|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \lambda |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - \lambda) |L|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

See a survey by Gardner [11] on the subject for more information.

Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang, Zhang [2] conjectured that a stronger inequality, called Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, holds in the case when K and L are symmetric convex sets:

$$(5) \quad |\lambda K +_0 (1 - \lambda)L| \geq |K|^\lambda |L|^{1-\lambda},$$

where the zero-sum stands for

$$\lambda K +_0 (1 - \lambda)L := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \langle x, u \rangle \leq h_K(u)^\lambda h_L(u)^{1-\lambda}\};$$

Date: April 14, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 52.

Key words and phrases. Convex bodies, log-concave measures, Brunn-Minkowski inequality, Poincaré inequality, log-Minkowski problem.

here the support function of a convex set K is

$$h_K(x) := \sup_{y \in K} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang, Zhang [2] verified this conjecture for planar symmetric convex sets. Saraglou [30] and Cordero-Erasquin, Fradelizi and Maurey [9] proved the conjecture for unconditional convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n ; recently, a stronger result, with a weaker symmetry assumption, was derived by Böröczky, Kalantzopoulos [1]. Rotem [28] verified the conjecture for complex convex bodies.

Colesanti, Livshyts, Marsiglietti [7], and later Kolesnikov, Milman [23] derived the local version of the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture: in case the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture holds, then for every symmetric smooth convex body K and every smooth even function $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(6) \quad \int_{\partial K} H_x f^2 - \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} f, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle + \frac{f^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} f \right)^2.$$

Here Π is the second fundamental form of K , the mean curvature $H_x = \text{tr}(\Pi)$, and n_x stands for the normal unit vector to K at the point x . The integration runs in the Hausdorff $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure on the boundary of K . Chen, Huang, Li, Liu [5] and Putterman [27] showed that the validity of (6) for any C^2 -smooth symmetric convex set K , and any C^1 -smooth even function $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, yields back the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture.

Colesanti, Livshyts, Marsiglietti [7] observed that (6) holds for any even smooth function $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the partial case when K is the Euclidean ball centered at the origin. Indeed, in this case (6) boils down to showing that for any smooth even function $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{Var}(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} |\nabla_{\sigma} \varphi|^2,$$

where ∇_{σ} is the spherical gradient, and the variance and the expectation are taken with respect to the random vector distributed uniformly on the sphere. This fact is true, since for even functions the above holds, moreover, with the constant $\frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{n}$, in view of the fact that the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the unit sphere is $2n$. Kolesnikov and Milman [23] showed, furthermore, that (6) holds for any even smooth function $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the case when $K = B_p^n$, for any $p \in [2, \infty]$.

In contrast with the aforementioned results, it is also interesting to fix an even function $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and investigate the validity of (6) for all symmetric convex sets K , given that $f(x) = \varphi(n_x)$ on ∂K . Prior to this note, such a result was not known for any non-trivial examples of φ . To this end, we prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *For every symmetric convex bounded set K in \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior, for $f(x) = t\langle x, n_x \rangle + |\langle v, n_x \rangle|$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the inequality (6) is true. In other words,*

$$\int_{\partial K} H_x \langle n_x, v \rangle^2 - \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} |\langle n_x, v \rangle|, \nabla_{\partial K} |\langle n_x, v \rangle| \rangle + \frac{\langle n_x, v \rangle^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} |\langle n_x, v \rangle| \right)^2.$$

Furthermore, the equality is attained if and only if $K = C + [-v, v]$ for some symmetric convex $C \subset w^{\perp}$, for some vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus v^{\perp}$.

Speaking in vague terms, Theorem 1.1 indicates that the Local Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality “holds in one direction”; it is, in some sense, “a localized version” of this inequality. It is tempting to try and derive the conjecture from such localized version, however it will be clear in Section 6, that in order to hope to obtain any general

result, one must deduce a “localized” version in at least two directions, which we believe to be harder.

Recall the notion of mixed volumes for symmetric convex bodies K and M : for $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$V_k(K, M) = \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} |K + tM|_{t=0}^{(k)},$$

where $\cdot^{(k)}$ stands for the k -th derivative.

In this terminology, considering a symmetric convex set M and letting $f(x) = h_M(n_x)$, we rewrite the inequality (6):

$$(7) \quad n(n-1)V_2(K, M) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|}.$$

This is a direct strengthening, for symmetric sets, of Minkowski’s second inequality:

$$(8) \quad V_2(K, M) \leq \frac{V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|}.$$

One may check that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the inequality (6) is invariant under the transformation $f \rightarrow f + t\langle x, n_x \rangle$, or, in other words, the Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality is invariant under the transformation $L \rightarrow sL$. Therefore, in order to prove (6), it is sufficient to verify (7), for all convex sets M in \mathbb{R}^n . See Putterman [27] for an alternative rigorous reasoning.

Remark 1.2. *The inequality (7) holds for any pair of K and M such that $h_M(u) = h_K(u)$ for all u in the support of dS_K . Indeed, in this case, noting that $h_M(n_x) = h_K(n_x) = \langle x, n_x \rangle$, we write*

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2(n_x)}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} dH_{n-1}(x) = n|K|,$$

and

$$\frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|} = n^2 |K|.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|},$$

and (7) follows from Minkowski’s second inequality (8). Therefore, (7) holds, for example, when K is a polytope circumscribed around the unit ball, and $M = B_2^n$.

We note also that all the equality cases of Minkowski’s second inequality (characterized by van Handel and Shenfeld [14]) are also the equality cases of the Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

Remark 1.3. *As mentioned earlier, Kolesnikov and Milman [23] verified (6) for $K = B_\infty^n$. We note that the reduction of (6) to (7) allows to check this fact in a rather elementary manner: letting $K = B_\infty^n$ and $\varphi = h_M$, for some symmetric convex bounded set M , the inequality (7) rewrites as*

$$(9) \quad n(n-1)V_2(B_\infty^n, M) + 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi^2(e_i) \leq 2^{-4} \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{2n-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(e_i) \right)^2,$$

where we used the fact that norms are even functions, and thus $\varphi(e_i) = \varphi(-e_i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let B_φ be the origin-centered coordinate parallelepiped with sides $2\varphi(e_1), \dots, 2\varphi(e_n)$, and note that $M \subset B_\varphi$. Recall that mixed volumes are monotone, and therefore,

$$(10) \quad V_2(B_\infty^n, M) \leq V_2(B_\infty^n, B_\varphi).$$

By differentiating the determinant of the matrix with the diagonal $1 + t\varphi(e_1), \dots, 1 + t\varphi(e_n)$, we see that

$$(11) \quad n(n-1)V_2(B_\infty^n, B_\varphi) = 4 \cdot 2^{n-2} \sum_{i,j} \varphi(e_i)\varphi(e_j).$$

We conclude by noticing that the inequality (9) follows from (10), (11), and the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(e_i)\varphi(e_j) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(e_i) \right)^2.$$

In conclusion, (7) holds when $K = B_\infty^n$.

In view of the fact that mixed volumes are non-negative, the following is true:

If the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture holds, then for any symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , one has

$$(12) \quad \int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\| \right)^2.$$

Indeed, letting $f(x) = \|n_x\|_{M^\circ}$ in (6), we obtain (7), which, in turn, yields (12), in view of the fact that $V_2(K, M) \geq 0$. As the Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality is not known in general, (12) is not known a-priori. In this note, we show that (12) is indeed true:

Theorem 1.4. *For any symmetric convex bounded set K in \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior, and any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , we have*

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\| \right)^2.$$

Furthermore, the equality occurs if and only if $\|\cdot\| = |\langle \cdot, v \rangle|$, for some vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $K = C + [-v, v]$ for some symmetric convex $C \subset w^\perp$, for some vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus v^\perp$.

Note that the inequality

$$(13) \quad \int_{\partial K} \frac{f^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_{\partial K} f \right)^2$$

cannot hold without a strong assumption of f being a semi-norm: indeed, in case $f(x) = 1_\Omega$ for a very small set $\Omega \subset \partial K$, then (13) should fail, as one might note. Another example is $K = B_1^n$: in this case, (13) boils down to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} f^2(u_i) \leq \frac{n}{2^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} f(u_i) \right)^2,$$

where u_i are the unit normals to the faces of B_1^n . When $f(u_i) = f(-u_i) = 1$ for a fixed index i , and $f(u_j) = 0$ for all other indices $j \neq i$, the above becomes

$$2 \leq \frac{4n}{2^n},$$

which fails for any $n > 2$.

By $r(K)$ denote the in-radius of K , and $C_{\text{poin}}(K)$ denotes the Poincare constant of K . That is, $C_{\text{poin}}(K)$ is the smallest number such that

$$(14) \quad \int_K g^2 - \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\int_K g \right)^2 \leq C_{\text{poin}}^2(K) \int_K |\nabla g|^2,$$

for all C^1 -smooth functions g on K . To complement Theorem 1.4, we obtain another estimate, which may be stronger in some cases:

Theorem 1.5. *For any symmetric convex body K and any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have*

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}(K)}{r(K)} \cdot \frac{(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\|)^2}{|K|}.$$

Remark 1.6. Recall that $\inf_T \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}(TK)}{r(TK)} \leq Cn^{1/4}$, as follows from the work of Lee, Vempala [25] and Kannan, Lovasz, and Simonovits [17], where the infimum is taken over all linear operators from GL_n . Note also that the inequality in Theorem 1.5 is invariant under linear transformations, and therefore the bound $\frac{2C_{\text{poin}}(K)}{r(K)}$ could be replaced by $\inf_T \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}(TK)}{r(TK)}$. In particular, when K is an ellipsoid, we have, for every semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\|)^2}{|K|}.$$

As an immediate corollary of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 and Minkowski's second inequality (8), we get

Corollary 1. *For every pair of symmetric convex bodies K and M ,*

$$n(n-1)V_2(K, M) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2(n_x)}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \left(\frac{n-1}{n} + \min \left(1, \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}(K)}{r(K)} \right) \right) \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|}.$$

In addition, we show the following result, which is a corollary of a result of Giannopoulos and Hartzoulaki [13].

Proposition 1.7. *For any pair of symmetric convex bodies K and M with non-empty interior, there exists a linear operator T , depending on K and M , such that*

$$n(n-1)V_2(K, TM) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_{TM}^2(n_x)}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \left(1 + \frac{C \log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{n^2 V_1(K, TM)^2}{|K|},$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

In Section 2 we discuss some preliminaries. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.4. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 5 we prove Proposition 1.7. In Section 6 we discuss interesting open questions and reductions.

Acknowledgement. The first named author was supported by RFBR project 20-01-00432; the first named author has been funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project "5-100". The second named author is supported by the NSF CAREER DMS-1753260.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Convex body is a compact convex set with non-empty interior. Throughout the paper, K, L, M stand for convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Lebesgue volume shall be denoted by $|\cdot|$ as well as $|\cdot|_n$, and $|\cdot|_k$ stands for the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure, for $k \leq n$. For a point $x \in \partial K$, we denote by n_x the unit outer normal vector to the boundary of K at x . The Gauss map is the map which acts from ∂K into \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and associates to any vector x its unit normal(s) n_x . Recall the notation dS_K for the surface area measure of K on the sphere, the push forward of the boundary measure dH_{n-1} of K onto the sphere under the Gauss map. For brevity, we will use the notation $\int_{\partial K} g$ in place of $\int_{\partial K} g(x) dH_{n-1}(x)$.

We say that a convex body K is C^2 -smooth if its boundary is a surface of class C^2 . We say that K is in the class $C^{2,+}$ if it is C^2 smooth and the Gauss curvature is strictly positive for all the boundary points.

When K is a polytope with normals u_i and areas of facets F_i , with $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$dS_K = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i \delta_{u_i},$$

where δ_{u_i} stands for the delta-measure at u_i . Letting $h_i = h_K(u_i)$, we see that the inequality from Theorem 1.4 for polytopes rewrites as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{F_i}{h_i} \|u_i\|^2 \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N F_i \|u_i\|\right)^2}{|K|},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is an arbitrary semi-norm. In particular, when K is a polytope circumscribed around B_2^n , this boils down to

$$\mathbb{E}\|X\|^2 \leq n (\mathbb{E}\|X\|)^2,$$

where X is a random vector uniformly distributed over the finite set $\text{supp}(dS_K) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Claim 1. *[A part of Minkowski's theorem] When a convex bounded set K in \mathbb{R}^n has non-empty interior, the span of the support of the measure dS_K is the entire \mathbb{R}^n .*

This is one of the aspects of Minkowski's theorem, see, e.g. Schneider [31].

For $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we will use the notation

$$u^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle = 0\}$$

for the hyperplane orthogonal to u . More generally, for a linear space H we denote its orthogonal complement by H^\perp . Hyperplane sections of a convex set K will be denoted by $K \cap u^\perp$. We shall also consider projections of convex sets onto linear subspaces H , given by

$$K|H = \{x \in H : \exists y \in H^\perp : x + y \in K\}.$$

Recall the Cauchy's projection formula (see, e.g. Koldobsky [16]):

$$(15) \quad |K|v^\perp|_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial K} |\langle n_x, v \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta).$$

Minkowski functional of a symmetric convex bounded set K with non-empty interior is the norm

$$\|x\|_K = \inf\{t > 0 : x \in tK\}.$$

In case K has empty interior, its Minkowski functional is a semi-norm. A polar body of K is the convex body

$$K^\circ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \forall y \in K, \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

Recall that $K = K^{\circ\circ}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and that $h_{K^\circ}(x) = \|x\|_K$. An important property of support functions is the fact that

$$h_{K+L} = h_K + h_L.$$

When K is an interval $[-v, v]$, for a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$h_{[-v, v]}(u) = |\langle u, v \rangle|.$$

A convex body M is called a zonotope if it is a Minkowski sum of intervals, or in other words,

$$h_M(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i |\langle x, v_i \rangle|,$$

for a collection of unit vectors v_i and non-negative numbers α_i . A cube B_∞^n is a zonotope, while a cross-polytope B_1^n is not a zonotope. A convex body is called a zonoid, in case it is a limit of zonotopes, or, in other words

$$h_M(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle x, v \rangle| d\mu(v),$$

for some measure μ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . For $p \in [2, \infty]$, the set B_p^n is a zonoid. See, e.g., Koldobsky, Ryabogin, Zvavitch [18] for more information about zonoids.

Pick vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\langle v, w \rangle \neq 0$, and pick a convex bounded set $K \subset w^\perp$. A (tilted) symmetric *cylinder* with *axes* v and *base* K is a convex body given by

$$C := \{x + tv, x \in K, t \in [-1, 1]\} = K + [-v, v].$$

Recall also that the first mixed volume has the integral representation:

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_L(u) dS_K(u) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} h_L(n_x).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.4.

Throughout the section, K denotes a symmetric compact convex set with non-empty interior. We shall use a few times the following simple fact:

Lemma 3.1. *For any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\frac{1}{h_K(u)} \leq \frac{2|K \cap u^\perp|}{|K|}.$$

The equality occurs if and only if K is a cylinder with the base orthogonal to u .

Proof. By Fubini's theorem, for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$|K| = \int_{-h_K(u)}^{h_K(u)} |K \cap (u^\perp + tu)| dt \leq 2h_K(u) |K \cap u^\perp|,$$

where the last inequality follows since the maximal section of a symmetric convex set is the central one (which follows, e.g., from the Brunn-Minkowski inequality; see, e.g. Koldobsky [16]). The equality occurs if all the sections $|K \cap (u^\perp + tu)|$ have equal area, which occurs only if K is a cylinder with the base orthogonal to u . \square

As a consequence, we get

Lemma 3.2. *For a symmetric bounded convex set K with non-empty interior, and any set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for every vector $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, one has*

$$(16) \quad \frac{\sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle u, v \rangle|}{h_K(u)} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta)}{|K|}.$$

The equality occurs if and only if Ω consists only of vectors parallel to one another and non-orthogonal to u , and K is a cylinder with base orthogonal to u and axes parallel to all the vectors in Ω .

Proof. First, we observe that a projection of a subset is smaller than a projection of a set: for any pair of vectors $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(17) \quad |K \cap u^\perp| \cdot |\langle u, v \rangle| = |(K \cap u^\perp)|v^\perp| \leq |K|v^\perp|.$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$(18) \quad \frac{\sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle u, v \rangle|}{h_K(u)} \leq \frac{2}{|K|} |K \cap u^\perp| \cdot \sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \frac{2}{|K|} \sup_{v \in \Omega} |K|v^\perp|,$$

where in the last passage we used (17).

On the other hand, by Cauchy's projection formula (15), and in view of the fact that the integral of the supremum of a non-negative quantity is larger than the supremum of integrals, we get

$$(19) \quad 2 \sup_{v \in \Omega} |K|v^\perp| \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta).$$

The inequality (16) follows from (18) and (19).

Suppose now the equality holds in (16). Firstly, this means that the equality holds in the first passage of (18), and thus by Lemma 3.1, K must be a cylinder with base orthogonal to u .

Let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ be the set of vectors v in Ω which maximize $|\langle u, v \rangle|$. Note that for all $v \in \tilde{\Omega}$, we have $|\langle u, v \rangle| > 0$: indeed, otherwise, if the equality holds in (16), then all the vectors in the support of $dS_K(\theta)$ are orthogonal to all the vectors in Ω . But, by Claim 1, this contradicts the fact that K has non-empty interior, and therefore the span of the support of dS_K is the entire \mathbb{R}^n .

Therefore, for all $v \in \tilde{\Omega}$, we have $|\langle u, v \rangle| > 0$. Since the equality holds in (16), the equality also holds in (17), and therefore, K (which is a cylinder with base orthogonal to u) has axes parallel to v_0 , some vector $v_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$.

Next, in order for the equality

$$\sup_{\alpha \in A} \int f_\alpha(x) d\mu(x) = \int \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x) d\mu(x)$$

to occur, for some family of functions $f_\alpha \geq 0$, indexed by some set A , we must have $f_\alpha(x) = f_\beta(x)$, for almost every $x \in \text{supp}(d\mu)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$. Therefore, under the assumption of the equality in (16), we have, for almost every θ from the support of dS_K , that $|\langle \theta, v_1 \rangle| = |\langle \theta, v_2 \rangle|$, for all $v_1, v_2 \in \Omega$. But we have already concluded that K is a cylinder with axes parallel to v_0 and base orthogonal to u . Therefore, for all $\theta \in \text{supp}(dS_K) \setminus \{u\}$, we have $\langle \theta, v_0 \rangle = 0$. Thus Ω is contained in the set orthogonal to $\text{supp}(dS_K) \setminus \{u\}$. But since K is a bounded convex set with non-empty interior, Claim 1 implies that the span of the support of dS_K is the entire \mathbb{R}^n , and hence the dimension of the set $\text{supp}(dS_K) \setminus \{u\}$ is at least $n - 1$. Therefore, all the vectors in Ω are parallel to v_0 . The proof is complete. \square

As corollary, we notice

Corollary 2. *For a symmetric convex bounded set K with non-empty interior, and any vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$(20) \quad \frac{|\langle u, v \rangle|}{h_K(u)} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta)}{|K|}.$$

The equality holds if and only if K is a cylinder with axes parallel to v and base orthogonal to u .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the invariance of (6) under the change $f \rightarrow f + t\langle x, n_x \rangle$, it suffices to show that for any symmetric convex body K and any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, letting $M = [-v, v]$, we have

$$n(n-1)V_2(K, M) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|}.$$

Note that the function

$$|K + t[-v, v]|_n = |K|_n + 2t|v| \cdot |K|v^\perp|_{n-1}$$

is linear, and therefore

$$V_2(K, [-v, v]) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} |K + t[-v, v]|_t'' = 0.$$

The statement therefore follows from Corollary 2, by integrating (20) from Corollary 2 on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the measure $|\langle u, v \rangle| dS_K(u)$, and in view of the fact that

$$nV_1(K, [-v, v]) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta) = 2|K|v^\perp|,$$

where in the last passage we used Cauchy's projection formula (15).

Next, suppose equality holds. Then equality must hold in Corollary 2 for all u in the support of dS_K . Note that when K is a cylinder with axes parallel to v and base orthogonal to some vector u_0 , then all the vectors $u \in dS_K \setminus \{u_0\}$ have the property $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. We apply the equality case characterization from Corollary 2 to every u in the support of $|\langle \theta, v \rangle| dS_K(\theta)$, and conclude that, firstly, there is only one vector u_0 in the support of dS_K for which $|\langle u_0, v \rangle| > 0$, and secondly, K is a cylinder with axes parallel to v and base orthogonal to u_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall that any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n can be written as

$$\|u\| = \sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle u, v \rangle|,$$

for some set Ω . The conclusion thus follows by integrating (16) from Lemma 3.2 on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the measure $\sup_{v \in \Omega} |\langle u, v \rangle| dS_K(u)$.

Suppose now that the equality holds. Then the equality must hold in Lemma 3.2. Since K is a bounded convex set with non-empty interior, by Claim 1 there is at least one vector u_0 in the support of dS_K which is not orthogonal to all the vectors in Ω (unless $\Omega = \{0\}$, which is a trivial case anyway). Thus in order for the equality to hold in Theorem 1.4, Ω must consist only of vectors parallel to one another, by the equality case characterization in Lemma 3.2, applied with u_0 . The remaining part of the equality case characterization follows from the equality case characterization in Theorem 1.1. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5.

We begin by formulating a Lemma, which follows from a Bochner-type identity obtained by Kolesnikov and Milman [20], which is a generalization of a classical result of R.C. Reilly, along with the non-negativity of mixed volumes. Recall that for a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, its Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|A\|_{HS} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be C^2 -smooth strictly convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\|\cdot\|$ be an arbitrary semi-norm in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $u : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any C^2 function such that $\langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle = \|n_x\|$ for all $x \in \partial K$. Then*

$$\int_K \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2 \leq \int_K (\Delta u)^2.$$

Proof. Kolesnikov and Milman [20] showed, for all C^2 -smooth functions u on K :

$$(21) \quad \int_K (\Delta u)^2 dx = \int_K \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2 dx + \int_{\partial K} H_x \langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle^2 - 2 \langle \nabla_{\partial K} u, \nabla_{\partial K} \langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle \rangle + \langle \Pi \nabla_{\partial K} u, \nabla_{\partial K} u \rangle.$$

Recall that for any positive definite $n \times n$ matrix A and for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(22) \quad \langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle A^{-1}y, y \rangle \geq 2 \langle x, y \rangle.$$

As K is convex, its second quadratic form Π is positive definite, and consequently, letting

$$f(x) = \langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle = \|n_x\|,$$

we get

$$(23) \quad -2 \langle \nabla_{\partial K} u, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle + \langle \Pi \nabla_{\partial K} u, \nabla_{\partial K} u \rangle \geq \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} f, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle.$$

By (21) and (23), we have

$$(24) \quad \int_{\partial K} H_x f^2 - \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} f, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle \leq \int_K (\Delta u)^2 - \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2.$$

Recall that for any semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ there exists a symmetric convex set L (possibly with an empty interior) such that $\|\cdot\|_L = \|\cdot\|$. When $f(x) = \|n_x\|_{M^\circ}$, for some symmetric bounded convex set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$(25) \quad \int_{\partial K} H_x f^2 - \langle \Pi^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} f, \nabla_{\partial K} f \rangle = |K + tM|_0'' = n(n-1)V_2(K, M) \geq 0,$$

and the desired statement follows from (24) and (25). See e.g. [6], [19], as well as [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], for the proof of the first passage in (25), and e.g. Schneider [31] for the non-negativity of mixed volumes. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.5. Fix a symmetric convex set K in \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior and fix a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Let r be the inradius of K (that is, the radius of the largest ball contained in K), and let C_{poin} be the Poincare constant of K (defined in the introduction (14)).

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is in fact a norm, and is infinitely smooth. We may also assume that K is strictly convex and the boundary of K is of class C^∞ . The general result would then follow by approximation.

Let $u : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the Neumann system

$$\langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle = \|n_x\|, \quad x \in \partial K,$$

and

$$\Delta u = \frac{\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\|}{|K|}, \quad x \in K.$$

It exists by the standard results from PDE, see, e.g., Evans [10], and the function $|\nabla u|$ is continuously differentiable up to the boundary, under our regularity assumptions.

We estimate

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial K} |\nabla u| \langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle,$$

in view of the fact that $\langle \nabla u, n_x \rangle = \|n_x\| \geq 0$ (see [15] for a similar estimate). Note that, for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u| \nabla u) = \Delta u |\nabla u| + \langle \nabla^2 u \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \nabla u \rangle \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Delta u)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Thus, by divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} &\leq \frac{1}{r} \int_K \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Delta u)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \\ &\frac{1}{r} \int_K \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Delta u)^2 + \left(\frac{C_{\text{poin}}^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{C_{\text{poin}}^2}{2\beta} \right) \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the Poincare inequality coordinate-wise for ∇u , in view of the fact that u is even and thus $\int_K \nabla u = 0$. Lastly, we let $\alpha = \beta = C_{\text{poin}}$, and use Lemma 4.1, which states

$$\int_K \|\nabla^2 u\|_{HS}^2 \leq \int_K (\Delta u)^2,$$

in order to conclude

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}}{r} \cdot \int_K (\Delta u)^2.$$

It remains to recall that Δu is a constant function, and thus

$$\int_K (\Delta u)^2 dx = \frac{(\int_K \Delta u)^2}{|K|} = \frac{(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\|)^2}{|K|},$$

where in the last passage we used the Divergence Theorem. We conclude that

$$(26) \quad \int_{\partial K} \frac{\|n_x\|^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{2C_{\text{poin}}}{r} \cdot \frac{(\int_{\partial K} \|n_x\|)^2}{|K|},$$

and the theorem follows. \square

5. PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION 1.7.

For symmetric convex bodies K and M , we are looking to show that there exists a linear operator T such that

$$n(n-1)V_2(K, TM) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h_{TM}^2(n_x)}{h_K} dS_K \leq \left(1 + \frac{C \log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \cdot \frac{n^2 V_1(K, TM)^2}{|K|}.$$

Note that the statement is invariant under the operation of dilating M . Let T be such an operator that brings M to the position of minimal volume ratio with K . That is, suppose that $TM \subset K$ and $\frac{|K|}{|TM|}$ is minimal (among all the choices for $T \in GL_n$). Giannopoulos and Hartzoulaki [13] showed that in this case,

$$(27) \quad \left(\frac{|K|}{|TM|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C \sqrt{n} \log n.$$

As $TM \subset K$, we have $h_{TM} \leq h_K$, and therefore

$$(28) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h_{TM}^2}{h_K} dS_K \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{TM} dS_K.$$

On the other hand, by Minkowski's first inequality,

$$(29) \quad \frac{n^2 V_1(K, TM)^2}{|K|} = \frac{n V_1(K, TM)}{|K|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{TM} dS_K \geq n \left(\frac{|TM|}{|K|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{TM} dS_K.$$

Combining (28) and (29) with the Giannopoulos-Hartzoulaki bound (27), we get

$$(30) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h_{TM}^2}{h_K} dS_K \leq \frac{C \log n}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{n^2 V_1(K, TM)^2}{|K|}.$$

The above, together with Minkowski's second inequality (8) yields the desired result. \square

6. SOME QUESTIONS AND REDUCTIONS.

An interesting partial case of the local version of the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture

$$n(n-1)V_2(K, M) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|},$$

arises when $M = [-e_1, e_1] \times [-e_2, e_2]$, that is a two-dimensional square.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture holds. Then, for every symmetric convex body K ,*

$$(31) \quad 8|K| \text{span}(e_1, e_2)^\perp|_{n-2} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(|u_1| + |u_2|)^2}{h_K(u)} dS_K(u) \leq \frac{4(|K|e_1^\perp|_{n-1} + |K|e_2^\perp|_{n-1})^2}{|K|_n}.$$

Proof. Let $M = [-e_1, e_1] \times [-e_2, e_2]$, which in fact also means $M = [-e_1, e_1] + [-e_2, e_2]$. By Cauchy's projection formula (15), and the linearity of mixed volumes,

$$nV_1(K, M) = nV_1(K, [-e_1, e_1]) + nV_1(K, [-e_2, e_2]) = 2(|K|e_1^\perp|_{n-1} + |K|e_2^\perp|_{n-1}).$$

In view of the above, as well as the fact that $h_M(u) = |u_1| + |u_2|$, it remains to show that

$$(32) \quad n(n-1)V_2(K, M) = 8|K| \text{span}(e_1, e_2)^\perp|_{n-2}.$$

Then we would get (7), which itself is equivalent to the Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. Without loss of generality, assume that K has smooth boundary. We note that

$$(33) \quad n(n-1)V_2(K, M) = 2 \int_{Le_1} \frac{\langle \Pi e_1, e_2 \rangle}{|\Pi e_1|} |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle|,$$

where

$$Le_1 = \{x \in \partial K : \langle n_x, e_1 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Indeed, integrating by parts in ∂K , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial K} H_x |\langle n_x, e_1 \rangle| |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle| &= - \int_{\partial K} \text{div}_{\partial K} (e_1 - \langle n_x, e_1 \rangle n_x) \text{sign}(\langle n_x, e_1 \rangle) |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle| = \\ &= 2 \int_{Le_1} \frac{\langle \Pi e_1, e_2 \rangle}{|\Pi e_1|} |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle| + \int_{\partial K} \text{sign}(\langle n_x, e_1 \rangle) \text{sign}(\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle) \langle \Pi(e_1 - \langle n_x, e_1 \rangle n_x), e_2 - \langle n_x, e_2 \rangle n_x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and (33) follows by polarization.

Note that the projection of Le_1 onto e_1^\perp is precisely the boundary of $K|e_1^\perp$. Furthermore, note that for $x \in Le_1$, the vector Πe_1 is orthogonal to the surface of Le_1 . We conclude that

$$\int_{Le_1} \frac{\langle \Pi e_1, e_1 \rangle}{|\Pi e_1|} |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle| = \int_{\partial(K|e_1^\perp)} |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle|.$$

Applying the Cauchy projection formula (15) to $L = K|e_1^\perp$ we get

$$\int_{\partial(K|e_1^\perp)} |\langle n_x, e_2 \rangle| = 2|(K|e_1^\perp)|e_2^\perp|_{n-2} = 2|K|span(e_1, e_2)^\perp|_{n-2},$$

which yields (32), and thus the Proposition is proven. \square

Remark 6.2. *In some sense, the inequality (31) reminds of Bonnesen's inequality in two dimensions, which played an important role in the proof of the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture in dimension two by Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang, Zhang [2]. Additionally, (31) may be viewed as a "localization in two directions" of the Local Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. It is tempting to apply a term-by-term estimate, and to use Theorem 1.1 in order to deduce (31), however, unfortunately, the remaining inequality may be false. This can be seen from letting K to be a hexagon on the plane which is close in its shape to a square.*

By linearity of mixed volumes, we note the following

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose (31) is true for any symmetric convex body K . Then*

(1) *For any symmetric convex body K and any zonoid M ,*

$$(34) \quad n(n-1)V_2(K, M) + \int_{\partial K} \frac{h_M^2}{\langle x, n_x \rangle} \leq \frac{n^2 V_1(K, M)^2}{|K|};$$

(2) *For any zonoid K and any convex body M , the inequality (34) holds.*

Proof. In view of the fact that (34) is invariant under linear transformations (see, e.g. [23] for the proof of this fact), if (31) holds for all symmetric convex bodies K , then for any pair of vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, (34) holds for any symmetric convex body K and $M = [-v, v] + [-w, w]$.

Suppose $Z = \sum_{i=1}^N [-v_i, v_i]$ is a zonotope. Denote $S_{ij} = [-v_i, v_i] + [-v_j, v_j]$. By our previous observation, assuming (31), we have

$$(35) \quad n(n-1)V_2(K, S_{ij}) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h_{S_{ij}}^2}{h_K} dS_K \leq \frac{n^2 V_1(K, S_{ij})}{|K|}.$$

Note that (34) for K and $M = Z$ rewrites as

$$\sum_{i,j} n(n-1)V_2(K, S_{ij}) + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h_{S_{ij}}^2}{h_K} dS_K \leq \sum_{i,j} \frac{n^2 V_1(K, S_{ij})}{|K|},$$

which follows from the term-by-term application of (35) when $i \neq j$ and Theorem 1.1 when $i = j$. Therefore, by approximation, (31) implies (34) for any symmetric convex body K and a zonoid M . This concludes part (1).

To show part (2), recall the Fourier-analytic characterization of zonoids due to Koldobsky, Ryabogin and Zvavitch [18]: when Z is a zonoid, $\widehat{h_Z} \leq 0$. Furthermore, unless the surface area measure of Z places positive measure on any subspace, one has $\widehat{h_Z} < 0$.

Suppose K is a zonoid. Assume without loss of generality that K and M are smooth and strictly convex (otherwise, the statement follows by approximation). Then $\widehat{h}_K < 0$. For any smooth strictly convex body M there exists $t > 0$, such that $\widehat{h}_{M+tK} = \widehat{h}_M + t\widehat{h}_K < 0$, and therefore $M + tK$ is a zonoid. The statement of part (2) therefore follows from part (1), in view of the invariance of (34) under the change $M \rightarrow M + tK$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K.J. Böröczky, P. Kalantzopoulos, *Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality under symmetry*, preprint.
- [2] K.J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, *The log-Brunn-Minkowski-inequality*, Adv. Math., Vol. 231, no. 3-4, (2012), 1974–1997.
- [3] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, *The logarithmic Minkowski Problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 26, no. 3, (2013), 831–852.
- [4] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, *Affine images of isotropic measures*, J. Differential Geom., Vol. 99, no. 3, (2015), 407–442.
- [5] S. Chen, Y. Huang, Q. Li, J. Liu, *L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for $p \in (1 - \frac{c}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}, 1)$* , preprint.
- [6] A. Colesanti, *From the Brunn-Minkowski inequality to a class of Poincaré type inequalities*, Commun. Contemp. Math., Vol. 10, no. 5, (2008), 765–772.
- [7] A. Colesanti, G. Livshyts, A. Marsiglietti, *On the stability of Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 273, no. 3, (2017), 1120–1139.
- [8] A. Colesanti, G. Livshyts, *Uniqueness of a smooth convex body with a uniform cone volume measure in the neighborhood of a ball*, preprint.
- [9] D. Cordero-Erausquin, M. Fradelizi, B. Maurey, *The (B) conjecture for the Gaussian measure of dilates of symmetric convex sets and related problems*, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 214, no. 2, (2004), 410–427.
- [10] L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 19, (2010), 749 pp.
- [11] R. Gardner, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 39, (2002), 355–405.
- [12] R. Gardner, A. Zvavitch, *Gaussian Brunn-Minkowski-type inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 362, no. 10, (2010), 5333–5353.
- [13] A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki, *On the volume ratio of two convex bodies*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 34(06):703-707, 2002.
- [14] R. van Handel, Y. Shenfeld, *Extremals in Minkowski’s quadratic inequality*, preprint.
- [15] J. Hosle, A. V. Kolesnikov, G. V. Livshyts, *On the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski and dimensional Brunn-Minkowski conjectures for log-concave measures*, preprint.
- [16] A. Koldobsky, *Fourier Analysis in Convex Geometry*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, AMS, Providence RI 2005.
- [17] R. Kannan, L. Lovasz, and M. Simonovits, *Isoperimetric problems for convex bodies and a localization lemma*, Discrete Comput. Geom., 13(3-4):541-559, (1995).
- [18] A. Koldobsky, D. Ryabogin, A. Zvavitch, *Projections of convex bodies and the Fourier transform*, Israel J. Math. 139 (2004), 361-380.
- [19] A.V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Riemannian metrics on convex sets with applications to Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 55, (2016), 1–36.
- [20] A.V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Brascamp-Lieb-Type Inequalities on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds with Boundary*, J. Geom. Anal., (2017), vol. 27, no. 2, 1680–1702.
- [21] A.V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Poincaré and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities on the boundary of weighted Riemannian manifolds*, (in press, Amer. J. of Math.)
- [22] A. V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Sharp Poincare-type inequality for the Gaussian measure on the boundary of convex sets*, In: Klartag B., Milman E. (eds) Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 2169. Springer, Cham, (2017), 221–234.
- [23] A. V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Local L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for $p < 1$* , Memoirs of the AMS.
- [24] A. V. Kolesnikov, G. V. Livshyts, *On the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture: symmetry in the inequalities of the Brunn-Minkowski type*, preprint.

- [25] Y. Lee, S. Vempala, *Eldan's Stochastic Localization and the KLS Hyperplane Conjecture: An Improved Lower Bound for Expansion*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01507>.
- [26] L. A. Lusternik, *Die Brunn-Minkowskische Ungleichung für beliebige messbare Mengen*, C. R. Acad. Sci. URSS 8 (1935), 55-58.
- [27] E. Putterman, *Equivalence of the Local and Global Versions of the L^p -Brunn-Minkowski Inequality*, preprint, arXiv:1909.03729
- [28] L. Rotem, *A letter: The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for complex bodies*, <http://www.tau.ac.il/~liranro1/papers/complexletter.pdf>.
- [29] C. Saroglou, *Remarks on the conjectured log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Geom. Dedicata, (2015), Vol. 177, no. 1, 353–365.
- [30] C. Saroglou, *More on logarithmic sums of convex bodies*, preprint, arXiv:1409.4346.
- [31] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, second expanded edition*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, (2013).

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION
E-mail address: `sascha77@mail.ru`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA
E-mail address: `glivshyts6@math.gatech.edu`