

VOLTERRA OPERATORS AND HANKEL FORMS ON BERGMAN SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

H. BOMMIER-HATO

ABSTRACT. For a Dirichlet series g , we study the Volterra operator $T_g f(s) = -\int_s^{+\infty} f(w)g'(w)dw$, acting on a class of weighted Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 of Dirichlet series. We obtain sufficient / necessary conditions for T_g to be bounded (resp. compact), involving BMO and Bloch type spaces on some half-plane. We also investigate the membership of T_g in Schatten classes. Moreover, we show that if T_g is bounded, then g is in \mathcal{H}_w^p , the L^p -version of \mathcal{H}_w^2 , for every $0 < p < \infty$. We also relate the boundedness of T_g to the boundedness of a multiplicative Hankel form of symbol g , and the membership of g in the dual of \mathcal{H}_w^1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Dirichlet series are functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}, \quad \text{with } s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For a real number θ , \mathbb{C}_θ stands for the half-plane $\{s, \Re s > \theta\}$, and \mathbb{D} for the unit disk. \mathcal{D} denotes the class of functions f of the form (1.1) in some half-plane \mathbb{C}_θ , and \mathcal{P} is the space of Dirichlet polynomials.

The increasing sequence of prime numbers will be denoted by $(p_j)_{j \geq 1}$, and the set of all primes by \mathbb{P} . Given a positive integer n , $n = p^\kappa$ will stand for the prime number factorization $n = p_1^{\kappa_1} p_2^{\kappa_2} \cdots p_d^{\kappa_d}$, which associates uniquely to n the finite multi-index $\kappa(n) = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_d)$. The number of prime factors in n is denoted by $\Omega(n)$ (counting multiplicities), and by $\omega(n)$ (without multiplicities).

The space of eventually zero complex sequences c_{00} consists in all sequences which have only finitely many non zero elements. We set $\mathbb{D}_{\text{fin}}^\infty = \mathbb{D}^\infty \cap c_{00}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0,\text{fin}}^\infty = \mathbb{N}_0^\infty \cap c_{00}$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is the set of non-negative integers.

Let $F : \mathbb{D}_{\text{fin}}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic, i.e. analytic at every point $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{fin}}^\infty$ separately with respect to each variable. Then F can be written as a convergent Taylor series

$$F(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\text{fin}}^\infty} c_\alpha z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{fin}}^\infty.$$

The truncation $A_m F$ of F onto the first m variables is defined by

$$A_m F(z) = F(z_1, \dots, z_m, 0, 0, \dots).$$

For z, χ in \mathbb{D}^∞ , we set $z \cdot \chi := (z_1 \chi_1, z_2 \chi_2, \dots)$, and $\mathbf{p}^x := (p_1^x, p_2^x, \dots)$ for a real number x .

The Bohr lift [12] of the Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ is the power series

$$\mathcal{B}f(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \chi^{\kappa(n)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\text{fin}}^\infty} \tilde{a}_\alpha \chi^\alpha, \quad \text{where } \tilde{a}_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}, \chi \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{fin}}^\infty,$$

with the multiindex notation $\chi^\alpha = \chi_1^{\alpha_1} \chi_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots$.

Given a sequence of positive numbers $w = (w_n)_n = (w(n))_n$, one considers the Hilbert space (see [25, 23])

$$\mathcal{H}_w^2 := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s} : \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} < +\infty \right\}.$$

The choice $w_n = 1$ corresponds to the space \mathcal{H}^2 , introduced in [20].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B10 and 32A36. Secondary 30B50 and 30H20.

Key words and phrases. Volterra operator, Dirichlet series, Hankel forms.

The author was supported by the FWF project P 30251-N35.

The weights considered in this article satisfy $w_n = O(n^\epsilon)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$; from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Dirichlet series in \mathcal{H}_w^2 absolutely converge in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$.

We are interested in the Volterra operator T_g of symbol $g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}$, defined by

$$(1.2) \quad T_g f(s) := - \int_s^{+\infty} f(w) g'(w) dw, \quad \Re s > \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the unit disk \mathbb{D} , the Volterra operator, whose symbol is an analytic function g , is given by

$$(1.3) \quad J_g f(z) := \int_0^z f(u) g'(u) du, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Pommerenke [29] showed that J_g (1.3) is bounded on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if g is in $BMOA(\mathbb{D})$. Let σ be the Haar measure on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . Fefferman's duality Theorem states that $BMOA(\mathbb{D})$ is the dual space of $H^1(\mathbb{D})$. Thus the boundedness of J_g is equivalent to the boundedness of the Hankel form

$$(1.4) \quad H_g(f, h) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(u) h(u) \overline{g(u)} d\sigma(u), \quad f, h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

Let V be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} , normalized such that $V(\mathbb{D}) = 1$.

Many authors, in particular [2], have studied Volterra operators on Bergman spaces of \mathbb{D} . The classical Bergman space $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D})$, $\gamma > 0$, is associated to the measure $d\tilde{m}_\gamma(z) := \gamma (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1} dV(z)$. J_g is bounded on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if g is in the Bloch space, which is the dual of $A_\gamma^1(\mathbb{D})$.

The Bergman space of the finite polydisk $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, corresponds to the measure

$$d\tilde{\nu}_\gamma(z) := d\tilde{m}_\gamma(z_1) \times \cdots \times d\tilde{m}_\gamma(z_d).$$

The boundedness of the Hankel form

$$(1.5) \quad H_g(f, h) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} f(z) h(z) \overline{g(z)} d\tilde{\nu}_\gamma(z), \quad f, h \in A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^d),$$

is equivalent to the membership of g to the Bloch space (see [18]), defined by

$$\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^d) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic} : \max_{\kappa \in \mathcal{I}_d} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^d} |\partial^\kappa f(\kappa.z)| (1 - |z|)^\kappa < +\infty \right\},$$

where \mathcal{I}_d denotes the set of multi-indices $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d)$, with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, and

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_d), \quad \partial^\kappa = \partial_{z_1}^{\kappa_1} \cdots \partial_{z_d}^{\kappa_d}, \quad (1 - |z|)^\kappa = (1 - |z_1|)^{\kappa_1} \cdots (1 - |z_d|)^{\kappa_d}.$$

Recall that for $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space of Dirichlet series \mathcal{H}^p is the space of Dirichlet series $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{B}f$ is in $H^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} := \|\mathcal{B}f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |\mathcal{B}f(z)|^p d\sigma_\infty(z) \right)^{1/p},$$

σ_∞ being the Haar measure of the infinite polytorus \mathbb{T}^∞ .

The norm in the space $\mathcal{H}^\infty := H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$ is

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_0} |f(s)|.$$

Let $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ be the space of series F which are finitely bounded, i.e.

$$\|F\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty} |A_m F(z)| < \infty.$$

Via the Bohr isomorphism, we have [17, 20]

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} = \|\mathcal{B}f\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)}.$$

Several abscissae are related to a function g in \mathcal{D} , of the form $g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}$:

$$\text{the abscissa of convergence } \sigma_c = \inf \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-\sigma} \text{ converges} \right\};$$

$$\text{the abscissa of absolute convergence } \sigma_a = \inf \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |b_n| n^{-\sigma} \text{ converges} \right\};$$

$$\text{the abscissa of uniform convergence } \sigma_u = \inf \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s} \text{ converges uniformly in } \mathbb{C}_\theta \right\}.$$

The abscissa of regularity and boundedness, denoted by σ_b , is the infimum of those θ such that $g(s)$ has a bounded analytic continuation, to the half-plane $\Re(s) > \theta + \epsilon$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.

We have $-\infty \leq \sigma_c \leq \sigma_u \leq \sigma_a \leq +\infty$, and, if any of the abscissae is finite $\sigma_a - \sigma_c \leq 1$. Moreover, it is known that $\sigma_b = \sigma_u$ [12], and $\sigma_a - \sigma_u \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Volterra operators (1.2) on the spaces \mathcal{H}^p have been investigated in [14]. Our aim is to study similar questions for the spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 , associated to specific weights w in the class \mathcal{W} defined below.

Definition 1. Let $\beta > 0$. A sequence w belongs to \mathcal{W} if it has one of the following forms:

- (1) $w_n = [d(n)]^\beta$, where $d(n)$ is the number of divisors of the integer n . Then $\mathcal{H}_w^2 := \mathcal{B}_\beta^2$.
- (2) $w_n = d_{\beta+1}(n)$, where $d_\gamma(n)$ are the Dirichlet coefficients of the power of the Riemann zeta function, namely $\zeta^\gamma(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} d_\gamma(n) n^{-s}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_w^2 := \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$.

As in the case of \mathcal{H}^2 [14], we obtain sufficient / necessary conditions for T_g to be bounded on the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 . However, due to the lack of information of the behavior of the symbols in the strip $0 < \Re s < 1/2$, it seems difficult to get an "if and only if" condition. In the Hardy space setting, it is shown that T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}^2 provided that g in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$. Since the spaces \mathcal{A}_β^2 and \mathcal{B}_β^2 (see section 2) locally behave like Bergman spaces of the half plane \mathbb{C}_0 , we would expect that the membership of g in $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ (resp. $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0)$) would imply the boundedness (resp. compactness) of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . We obtain such a sufficient condition when $\mathcal{B}g$ depends on a finite number of variables z_1, \dots, z_d . However, our method specifically uses that d is finite, and we do not know whether the same result holds if $\mathcal{B}g$ is a function of infinitely many variables.

Let \mathfrak{N}_d be the set of positive integers which are multiples of the primes p_1, \dots, p_d ,

$$\mathcal{D}_d := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D} : f(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathfrak{N}_d} a_n n^{-s} \right\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{d,w}^p := \mathcal{H}_w^p \cap \mathcal{D}_d.$$

One of our main results is the following.

Theorem 1. Let T_g be the operator defined by (1.2) for some Dirichlet series g in \mathcal{D} .

- (a) If $g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ is in $\mathcal{D}_d \cap \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)$, then T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 and

$$\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}.$$

- (b) If g is in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$, then T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 and

$$\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w)} \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)}.$$

- (c) If T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , then g is in $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ and

$$\|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w)}.$$

Via the Bohr lift, \mathcal{H}_w^2 are L^2 -spaces of functions on the polydisk \mathbb{D}^∞ . Precisely, there exists a probability measure μ_w on \mathbb{D}^∞ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |\mathcal{B}f(z)|^2 d\mu_w(z).$$

Analogously to the spaces \mathcal{H}^p , we define the space \mathcal{H}_w^p , $0 < p < \infty$ (see Section 2), as the closure of Dirichlet polynomials under the norm (quasi-norm if $0 < p < 1$)

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p} = \|\mathcal{B}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty, \mu_w)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{X}_w = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)$ be the space of symbols g giving rise to bounded operators T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . Our study provides the following strict inclusions:

$$BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p.$$

We will also compare \mathcal{X}_w with other spaces of Dirichlet series, in particular with the dual of \mathcal{H}_w^1 , and the space of symbols g generating a bounded Hankel form

$$H_g(fh) := \langle fh, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}$$

on the weak product $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2$. As in the case of \mathcal{H}^2 [14], we only get partial results. For Dirichlet series involving d primes, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_d \cap \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathcal{D}_d \cap \mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \mathcal{B}^{-1} \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^d).$$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 starts by presenting some properties of the spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 . As a space of analytic functions on the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, \mathcal{H}_w^2 is continuously embedded in a space of Bergman type of $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. In view of the Bohr lift, the norm of \mathcal{H}_w^2 can be expressed in terms of a probability measure μ_w on the polydisk. For $0 < p < \infty$, we consider the Bohr-bergman space \mathcal{H}_w^p , and derive equivalent norms for these spaces.

In section 3, we present some properties of the Dirichlet series which belong to a BMO or Bloch space of some half-plane \mathbb{C}_θ . In particular, we relate the Carleson measures for both spaces of Dirichlet series and Bergman type spaces.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. First we consider the case when g is a function of $p_1^{-s}, \dots, p_d^{-s}$. To prove (b), we observe that the boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}^2 implies the boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . On another hand, combining the fact that \mathcal{H}_w^2 is embedded in a Bergman type space of the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ with some characterizations of Carleson measures, we establish that

$$\mathcal{X}_w \subset \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}).$$

Compactness and Schatten classes are considered in Sections 5 and 6.

In section 7, we consider some specific symbols: fractional primitives of translates of a "weighted zeta"-function and homogeneous symbols. These examples will be used in section 8.

In Section 8, we investigate the relationship between the boundedness of the Volterra operator T_g , the boundedness of the Hankel form

$$H_g(fh) = \langle fh, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2},$$

and the membership of g in the dual of \mathcal{H}_w^1 . In particular, we study examples of Hankel forms on Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series, which are the counterparts of the Hilbert multiplicative matrix [13].

Additionally, we show the strictness of the inclusions derived previously

$$\text{BMOA}(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p,$$

and compare the space $\mathcal{D}_d \cap \mathcal{X}_w$ with Bloch spaces.

For two functions f, g , the notation $f = O(g)$ or $f \lesssim g$, means that there exists a constant C such that $f \leq Cg$. If $f = O(g)$ and $g = O(f)$, we write $f \asymp g$.

2. THE BOHR-BERGMAN SPACES $\mathcal{B}_\beta^2, \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$

2.1. The spaces $\mathcal{B}_\beta^2, \mathcal{A}_\beta^2$. These spaces are related to number theory. The number of divisors of the integer n , $d(n)$, is $d(n) = (\kappa_1 + 1) \cdots (\kappa_d + 1)$ when $n = p^\kappa$. We consider the following scale of Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{B}_\beta^2 = \left\{ f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^2} := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{[d(n)]^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \right\}, \text{ for } \beta > 0.$$

The case $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^2 . The reproducing kernels of \mathcal{B}_β^2 are

$$K^{\mathcal{B}_\beta^2}(s, u) = \zeta_\beta(s + \bar{u}), \text{ where } \zeta_\beta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} [d(n)]^\beta n^{-s}.$$

It is shown in [34] that there exists $\phi_\beta(s)$, an Euler product which converges absolutely in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, such that

$$\zeta_\beta(s) = [\zeta(s)]^{2\beta} \phi_\beta(s), \text{ and } \phi_\beta(1) \neq 0.$$

Another family of spaces arises from the so-called generalized divisor function. For $\gamma > 0$, the numbers $d_\gamma(n)$ are defined by the relation

$$\zeta^\gamma(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} d_\gamma(n) n^{-s}.$$

A computation involving Euler products shows that we have

$$d_\gamma(p^r) = \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)\cdots(\gamma+r-1)}{r!}, \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{P}, \text{ and any integer } r.$$

From its definition, d_γ is a multiplicative function, i.e. $d_\gamma(kl) = d_\gamma(k)d_\gamma(l)$ if k and l are relatively prime. Thus, $d_\gamma(n)$ can be computed explicitly from the decomposition $n = p^k$.

We define the spaces

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta^2 = \left\{ f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^2} := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{d_{\beta+1}(n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \right\}, \text{ for } \beta > 0,$$

with reproducing kernels $K^{\mathcal{A}_\beta^2}(s, u) = \zeta^{\beta+1}(s + \bar{u})$.

Notice that, in each case, the reproducing kernel has the form

$$K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(s, u) = Z_w(s + \bar{u}),$$

where $Z_w(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} w_n n^{-s}$ has a singularity at $s = 1$, with an estimate of the type

$$(2.7) \quad Z_w(s) = C_w (s-1)^{-(\delta+1)} [1 + O(1)].$$

2.2. Bohr-Bergman spaces on \mathbb{D}^∞ . The Bohr correspondence is an isometry between \mathcal{H}_w^2 and the weighted Bergman space of the infinite polydisk

$$H_w^2(\mathbb{D}^\infty) = \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0, \text{fin}}^\infty} a_\nu z^\nu : \sum_{\nu} \frac{|a_\nu|^2}{w_\nu} < \infty \right\}, \text{ where } w_\nu = \prod_j w_{\nu_j}.$$

In particular, the space \mathcal{H}^2 is identified with the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ [20].

Let us consider the following probability measures on the unit disk \mathbb{D} ,

$$dm_w(z) := M(|z|^2) dV(z), \text{ where } M(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{\beta-1}, & \text{if } w_n = [d(n)]^\beta, \\ \beta(1-r)^{\beta-1}, & \text{if } w_n = d_{\beta+1}(n) \end{cases} \quad \beta > 0.$$

On the finite polydisk \mathbb{D}^d ($d \in \mathbb{N}$), the corresponding Bergman spaces $H_w^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ - specifically $B_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ and $A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ - are the L^2 -closures of polynomials with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_w^2(\mathbb{D}^d)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^d} |f(z_1, \dots, z_d)|^2 dm_w(z_1) \times \cdots \times dm_w(z_d) \right)^{1/2}$$

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_n z^n$ is defined on \mathbb{D}^d , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \|f\|_{B_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|a_n|^2}{(n+1)^\beta} \text{ and } \|f\|_{A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} |a_n|^2 \frac{n!}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)\cdots(\beta+n)}.$$

When d is finite, the estimate

$$\frac{n!}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)\cdots(\beta+n)} \asymp (1+n)^{-\beta}$$

yields that, the spaces $B_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ and $A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ coincide as sets, with equivalent norms. However, the norms are no longer equivalent in the case of infinitely many variables.

The \mathcal{H}_w^2 -norm will be computed via the rotation invariant probability measure

$$d\mu_w(\chi) = dm_w(\chi_1) \times dm_w(\chi_2) \times dm_w(\chi_3) \times \cdots \text{ on } \mathbb{D}^\infty.$$

Applying the Bohr lift to a Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, and using (2.8) for each variable, one obtains the following formula (see [5] in the case of B_β^2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |\mathcal{B}f(\chi)|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

Definition 2. For $0 < p < \infty$, the Bohr-Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series B_β^p and A_β^p - denoted by \mathcal{H}_w^p - are the completions of the Dirichlet polynomials in the norm (quasi norm when $0 < p < 1$)

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |\mathcal{B}f(\chi)|^p d\mu_w(\chi).$$

The Kronecker flow of the point $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_t(\chi) = \left(2^{-it} \chi_1, 3^{-it} \chi_2, 5^{-it} \chi_3, \dots \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which defines an ergodic flow on \mathbb{T}^∞ by Kronecker's theorem.

Therefore, it follows from Fubini's Theorem that, for any rotation invariant probability measure $d\nu$ on \mathbb{D}^∞ and any probability measure $d\lambda$ on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$(2.9) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty, d\nu)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\mathcal{B}f)(\mathcal{T}_t\chi)|^p d\lambda(t) d\nu(\chi).$$

2.3. On the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let τ_θ be the following mapping from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{C}_θ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \tau_\theta(z) = \theta + \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

For $\delta > 0$, the conformally invariant Bergman space $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ is the space of those functions f which are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, and such that

$$\|f\|_{A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 := \|f \circ \tau_{1/2}\|_{A_\delta^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = 4^\delta \delta \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{\delta-1}}{|s + \frac{1}{2}|^{2\delta+2}} dm(s) < \infty.$$

The weights w of the class \mathcal{W} satisfy a Chebyshev-type estimate

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} w_n \asymp x (\log x)^\delta, \quad \text{where } \delta = \delta(w) := \begin{cases} 2^\beta - 1 & \text{if } w_n = [d(n)]^\beta, \\ \beta & \text{if } w_n = d_{\beta+1}(n). \end{cases}$$

For any real number τ , set $S_\tau = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\tau, \tau + 1]$. As mentioned in the introduction, the Dirichlet series which belong the \mathcal{H}_w^2 absolutely converge in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. The space \mathcal{H}_w^2 is locally embedded in $A_{i,\delta(w)}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ [25, 27], which means

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{S_\tau} |f(s)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{\delta-1}}{|s + \frac{1}{2}|^{2\delta+2}} dm(s) \leq c(\mathcal{H}_w^2) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

Since functions in \mathcal{H}_w^2 are uniformly bounded in \mathbb{C}_1 , these embeddings are global (see [5, 9]).

Lemma 1. *Let $\delta = \delta(w)$ be defined in (2.11). Then \mathcal{H}_w^2 is continuously embedded in $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$.*

2.4. Generalized vertical limits. Every $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots)$ in \mathbb{C}^∞ defines a completely multiplicative function by the formula $\chi(n) = \chi^k$, where $n = p^k$. For f of the form (1.1), the twisted Dirichlet series [5, 6], is defined by

$$(2.12) \quad f_\chi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}.$$

Notice that if $\chi \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$, f_χ is the vertical limit of f , introduced in [20].

We also consider the translations $f_\delta(s) = f(s + \delta)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. For those $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $s = \sigma + it$ for which the series (2.12) converges, we have

$$(2.13) \quad f_\chi(s) = (\mathcal{B}f_\sigma \mathcal{T}_t)(\chi).$$

When f is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that (2.13) holds whenever $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ and $\chi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}^\infty}$. By the Rademacher-Menchof Theorem (see [24]), (2.13) can be extended in the following way (the argument given in [5] for \mathcal{B}_β^2 remains true for A_β^2).

Lemma 2. *If f is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , the Dirichlet series f_χ as defined in (2.12) converges in \mathbb{C}_0 for almost every $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$, with respect to μ_w .*

Recall that $\tau_\theta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, is the conformal mapping defined in (2.10). For $0 < p < \infty$, the conformally invariant Hardy space $H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$, is the space of those functions f such that $f \circ \tau_\theta$ is in $H^p(\mathbb{T})$, the usual Hardy space of the unit disk. Setting $d\lambda(t) = \pi^{-1}(1+t^2)^{-1} dt$, we get

$$\|f\|_{H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_\theta)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\theta + it)|^p d\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f \circ \tau_\theta(u)|^p du, \quad \text{for } f \in H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_\theta).$$

Let f be in \mathcal{H}_w^p . In view of relation (2.9), and using the same argument as in [6, 20], one can prove that for almost all χ , with respect to μ_w , f_χ can be extended analytically on \mathbb{C}_0 to an element of $H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$. The norm of f in \mathcal{H}_w^p can be expressed as

$$(2.14) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \|f_\chi\|_{H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_0)}^p d\mu_w(\chi).$$

2.5. A Littlewood-Paley formula. We now derive another expression for the norm in \mathcal{H}_w^p .

Proposition 1. *Let λ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and $p \geq 1$.*

(a) *If $f \in \mathcal{H}_w^p$, then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p}^p \asymp I_p(f)$, where*

$$I_p(f) := |f(+\infty)|^p + 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} |f_\chi(y+it)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(y+it)|^2 y dy d\lambda(t) d\mu_w(\chi).$$

When $p = 2$, we have $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = I_2(f)$.

(b) *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, such that f and f_χ converge on \mathbb{C}_0 for a.a. $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$. If $I_p(f) < \infty$, then $f \in \mathcal{H}_w^p$.*

Proof. Since the real variable t corresponds to a rotation in each variable of \mathbb{D}^∞ , the rotation invariance of μ_w entails that $I_p(f)$ does not depend on the choice of the probability measure λ . For general $p \geq 1$, we prove (a), by using (2.14). We adapt the argument from [11] (for \mathcal{H}^p), by integrating over the polydisk \mathbb{D}^∞ instead of the polytorus \mathbb{T}^∞ .

Suppose f is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , and take $y > 0$. From (2.9) and the rotation invariance, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |f'_\chi(y+it)|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) d\lambda(t) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |\mathcal{B}f'_y(\chi)|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} (\log n)^2 n^{-2y}.$$

Integration against y on $(0, +\infty)$ gives the formula (see details in [8] for the case of \mathcal{H}^2).

If f is as in (b), the integrand in $I_p(f)$ is measurable. For $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$, the change of variables $s = y + it = \omega(z) = 2\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ transfers the Littlewood-Paley formula from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{C}_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(it)|^p \frac{2}{\pi(2^2+t^2)} dt &\asymp |f_\chi(2)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2) |f_\chi(\omega(z))|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(\omega(z))|^2 |\omega'(z)|^2 dV(z) \\ &\asymp |f_\chi(2)|^p + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2y}{(y+2)^2+t^2} |f_\chi(y+it)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(y+it)|^2 dt dy \\ &\lesssim \|f^*\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{C}_2})}^p + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{1+t^2} |f_\chi(y+it)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(y+it)|^2 dt dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $f^*(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n| n^{-s}$ is bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{C}_2}$.

Integrating on \mathbb{D}^∞ with respect to μ_w , and using (2.9), we get that

$$\|\mathcal{B}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty, \mu_w)}^p \lesssim \|f^*\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{C}_2})}^p + I_p(f) < \infty.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{B}f \in L^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty, \mu_w)$. The martingale $(A_m \mathcal{B}f)_m$ (with respect to the increasing sequence of σ -algebras of the sets $\mathbb{D}^m \times \{0\}$) converges in $L^p(\mathbb{D}^\infty, \mu_w)$ to $\mathcal{B}f$. Polynomial approximation in the Bergman spaces of the finite polydisks \mathbb{D}^m shows that $\mathcal{B}f$ is in $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_w^p$. \square

3. SPACES OF SYMBOLS OF VOLTERRA OPERATORS IN HALF-PLANES

If g is in \mathcal{D} , the definition (1.2) of T_g shows that we can assume that $g(+\infty) = 0$, i.e.

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}.$$

As in the study of Volterra operators on Bergman spaces the unit disk [2], and on the space of Dirichlet series \mathcal{H}^2 [14], the boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 will be related to Carleson measures, and to the membership of g to a BMO space or a Bloch space.

Let Y be either \mathcal{H}_w^2 or the Bergman space $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, $\delta > 0$. A positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ is called a Carleson measure for Y if there exists a constant C such that,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C \|f\|_Y^2 \text{ for all } f \in Y.$$

The smallest such constant, denoted by $\|\mu\|_{CM(Y)}$, is called the Carleson constant for μ with respect to Y . A Carleson measure μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for Y if we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f_k|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

for every weakly compact sequence $(f_k)_k$ in Y (which means that $\|f_k\|_Y$ is bounded and $f_k(s) \rightarrow 0$ on every compact set of $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$).

3.1. BMO spaces of Dirichlet series. The space $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$ consists of holomorphic functions g in the half-plane \mathbb{C}_θ which satisfy

$$\|g\|_{BMO(\mathbb{C}_\theta)} := \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| g(\theta + it) - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I g(\theta + i\tau) d\tau \right| dt < \infty.$$

Any g in $\mathcal{D} \cap BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$ has an abscissa of boundedness $\sigma_b \leq 0$ (Lemma 2.1 of [14]).

The space $VMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$ consists in those functions g in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$ such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f(it) - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(i\tau) d\tau \right| dt = 0.$$

3.2. Bloch spaces of Dirichlet series. The Bloch space $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$ consists of holomorphic functions in the half-plane \mathbb{C}_θ which satisfy

$$\|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_\theta)} := \sup_{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}_\theta} (\sigma - \theta) |f'(\sigma + it)|.$$

Lemma 3. *If g be in $\mathcal{D} \cap \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)$.*

(a) *Its abscissa of boundedness satisfies $\sigma_b \leq 0$.*

(b) *For every $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$, g_χ is in $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)$, and $\|g_\chi\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} \leq \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}$.*

(c) *Suppose that $y_0 > \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(y_0)$, such that,*

$$|g'_\chi(y + it)| \leq C 2^{-y} \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}, \text{ for all } \chi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty, t \in \mathbb{R}, y \geq y_0.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. If $s = \sigma + it$ is in \mathbb{C}_0 , the definition of the Bloch-norm implies that

$$\epsilon |g'(\epsilon + s)| \leq (\epsilon + \sigma) |g'(\epsilon + s)| \leq \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}.$$

It follows that g' , and then g is bounded in \mathbb{C}_ϵ ; (a) is proved.

Now fix $\sigma > 0$. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}, \dots)$, χ in \mathbb{D}^∞ . From the properties of \mathcal{H}^∞ and the proof of (a), we have

$$|A_m \mathcal{B}(g'_\sigma)_\chi(z)| = |A_m \mathcal{B}g'_\sigma(z, \chi)| \leq \|\mathcal{B}g'_\sigma\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = \|g'_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty},$$

and $\|(g'_\sigma)_\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} = \|\mathcal{B}(g'_\sigma)_\chi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \leq \|g'_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}$. Therefore, $(g'_\sigma)_\chi$ is in \mathcal{H}^∞ ; (b) holds, due to

$$\sigma |g'_\chi(\sigma + it)| \leq \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \chi \in \mathbb{T}^\infty, \sigma > 0.$$

If $0 < \delta < y_0 - \frac{1}{2}$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Parseval's relation induce that

$$\begin{aligned} |g'_\chi(y + it)|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} |b_n| (\log n) n^{-y} \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} |b_n| (\log n) n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} n^{-\left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} n^{-(y - \frac{1}{2} - \delta)} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \zeta(1 + \delta) 2^{-2y} \|\mathcal{B}g'_{\delta/2}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now get (c) from the chain of inequalities

$$\|\mathcal{B}g'_{\delta/2}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \leq \|\mathcal{B}g'_{\delta/2}\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = \|g'_{\delta/2}\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \leq \frac{2}{\delta} \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)},$$

□

Now, recall several of Bloch functions, which are extracted from [2, 19].

Lemma 4. *Assume $\delta > 0$. For g holomorphic in \mathbb{C}_θ , the following are equivalent:*

(a) $g \in \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$;

(b) $h = g \circ \tau_\theta \in \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D})$;

(c) *The measure $d\mu_{\mathbb{C}_\theta, g}(s) = |g'(\sigma + it)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \theta)^{\delta+1}}{|s - \theta + 1|^{2\delta+2}} d\sigma dt$ is a Carleson measure for $A_{i, \delta}(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$;*

(d) *The measure $d\mu_{\mathbb{D}, h}(z) = |h'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{\delta+1} dm_1(z)$ is a Carleson measure for $A_\delta^2(\mathbb{D})$;*

(e) The operator J_h , given by

$$J_h f(z) = \int_0^z f(t)h'(t)dt,$$

is bounded on $A_\delta^2(\mathbb{D})$.

Moreover, the quantities

$$\|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_\theta)}, \|\mu_{\mathbb{C}_\theta, g}\|_{CM(\mathbb{C}_\theta)}, \|Jg\|_{\mathcal{L}(A_\delta^2(\mathbb{D}))}$$

are comparable.

The little Bloch space is the space

$$\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_\theta) = \left\{ f \in \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_\theta) : \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \theta} (\sigma - \theta) |g'(s)| = 0 \right\}.$$

The membership in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_\theta)$ is characterized by a little oh version of Lemma 4, involving vanishing Carleson measures.

We show that Dirichlet polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{D} \cap \text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0)$. For $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n n^{-s}$, the partial sum operator is defined by $S_N g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n n^{-s}$.

Proposition 2. *Let g be in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists P in \mathcal{P} such that*

$$\|g - P\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} \leq \epsilon.$$

If in addition g is in \mathcal{D}_d , P can be chosen in \mathcal{D}_d .

Proof. For every $\delta > 0$, $g_\delta = g(\delta + \cdot)$ is also in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0)$. As δ tends to 0, $(g_\delta)_\delta$ converges to g uniformly on compact sets of \mathbb{C}_0 , and $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma |g'_\delta(s)| = 0$, uniformly with respect to $\delta \in (0, 1)$. It then follows from [3] that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|g - g_\delta\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} = 0$. Thus, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|g - g_\delta\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $\sigma_b(g) = \sigma_u(g) \leq 0$, the partial sums $(S_N g)_N$ converge uniformly to g in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_\delta}$, $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_N g_\delta - g_\delta\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0$. For large N , the triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - S_N g_\delta\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} &\leq \|g - g_\delta\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} + \|g_\delta - S_N g_\delta\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2 \|S_N g_\delta - g_\delta\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. Carleson measures on the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. On $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, we consider Carleson squares

$$Q(s_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_0 \right] \times \left[t_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right], \text{ where } s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0 \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$$

is the midpoint of the right edge of the square and $\epsilon = \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}$.

We need the following property (see section 7.2 in [35]).

Lemma 5. *Let $\delta > 0$ and let μ be a Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. Then μ is a Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ if and only if, for every square $Q(s_0)$, with $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, we have*

$$\mu(Q(s_0)) = O\left((2\sigma_0 - 1)^{\delta+1}\right) \text{ as } \sigma_0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^+.$$

In addition, μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ if and only if, uniformly for t_0 in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mu(Q(s_0)) = o\left((2\sigma_0 - 1)^{\delta+1}\right) \text{ as } \sigma_0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^+.$$

By Lemma 1, \mathcal{H}_w^2 is embedded in the Bergman-type space $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, the exponent $\delta = \delta(w)$ being defined in (2.11). Bounded Carleson measures for both spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 and $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ have been compared in [25, 26, 9]. We extend their results.

Lemma 6. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$.*

- (1) *If μ is a Carleson measure (resp. vanishing Carleson measure) for \mathcal{H}_w^2 , then μ is a Carleson measure (resp. vanishing Carleson measure) for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ and*

$$\|\mu\|_{CM(A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}))} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{CM(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}.$$

- (2) *Assume that μ has bounded support. If μ is a Carleson measure (resp. vanishing Carleson measure) for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, then μ is a Carleson measure (resp. vanishing Carleson measure) for \mathcal{H}_w^2 and*

$$\|\mu\|_{CM(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{CM(A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}))}.$$

Proof. Suppose that μ is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{H}_w^2 , and let $Q(s_0)$ be a small Carleson square in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. For the test function $f_{s_0}(s) = K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(s, s_0)$, we have

$$\int_{Q(s_0)} |f_{s_0}|^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f_{s_0}|^2 d\mu \leq C(\mu) \left\| K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(\cdot, s_0) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \lesssim Z_w(\Re s_0).$$

From the estimate of Z_w (2.7) and Lemma 5, μ is a Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, since

$$\left(\Re s_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2(\delta+1)} \mu(Q(s_0)) \lesssim \left(\Re s_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-(\delta+1)}.$$

For μ a Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ with bounded support, (2) holds [25, 26].

As for vanishing Carleson measures, the reasoning used in [9] for \mathcal{B}_β^2 can be transferred to the spaces \mathcal{A}_β^2 , with the test functions

$$f_k(s) = \frac{K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(s, s_k)}{\left\| K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(\cdot, s_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}},$$

where $s_k = 1/2 + \epsilon_k + i\tau_k$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ such that $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$. \square

We also require an equivalent norm for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, when $\delta > 0$. For Bergman spaces of the unit disk, recall the following consequence of Stanton's formula [32, 31]:

$$\|h\|_{A_\delta(\mathbb{D})}^2 \asymp |h(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{\delta+1} dV(z), \text{ for } h \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbb{D}.$$

Via the mapping $\tau_{1/2}$, we obtain that, for any f holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$,

$$(3.15) \quad \|f\|_{A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 \asymp \left| f\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f'(s)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{\delta+1}}{|s + \frac{1}{2}|^{2\delta+2}} dV(s).$$

4. BOUNDEDNESS OF T_g

In this section, we characterize functions in \mathcal{X}_w , and prove Theorem 1.

4.1. Carleson measure characterization. The boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 can be described in terms of Carleson measures. This generalizes the setting of the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^2 [14].

Recall that \mathcal{H}_w^2 is associated to the probability measure μ_w on the polydisk \mathbb{D}^∞ .

Proposition 3. T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 if and only if there exists a constant $C = C(g)$ such that

$$(4.16) \quad \|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} |f_\chi(\sigma + it)|^2 |g'_\chi(\sigma + it)|^2 \frac{\sigma d\sigma dt}{1+t^2} d\mu_w(\chi) \leq C^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2,$$

or, equivalently

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} |f_\chi(\sigma)|^2 |g'_\chi(\sigma)|^2 \sigma d\sigma d\mu_w(\chi) \leq C^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

The smallest constant C satisfying (4.16) is such that $C \asymp \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}$.

Proof. Applying the Littlewood-Paley formula (Proposition 1) to the measure $d\lambda(t) = \pi^{-1}(1 + t^2)^{-1} dt$ and the function $T_g f$, we get (4.16).

The rotation invariance of the measure $d\mu_w(\chi)$ gives (4.17). \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1 (a): $\mathcal{B}g$ depends on a finite number of variables. For $1 \leq q$ and $d \geq 1$, recall that $f \in \mathcal{H}_{d,w}^q$ if and only if f is in \mathcal{H}_w^q and $\mathcal{B}f$ is a function of z_1, \dots, z_d .

When needed, we shall identify $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{D}^d$ with $(z, 0) \in \mathbb{D}^d \times \{0\}$.

If $g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{d,w}^2$, we observe that for $z \in \mathbb{D}^d$,

$$\mathcal{B}g'(z) = \sum_{j=1}^d \log p_j \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \tilde{b}_\alpha \alpha_j z^\alpha = R\mathcal{B}g(z),$$

where R is the operator

$$RG(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d (\log p_j) z_j \partial_j G(z_1, \dots, z_d).$$

We define the set

$$\Delta_\epsilon := \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{D}^d, \forall j, |z_j| < p_j^{-\epsilon} \right\}, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0.$$

Take $x > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $z \in \mathbb{D}^d$. By construction, $z \in \overline{\Delta_{\sigma(z)}}$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}^{-x} \cdot z) \geq \sigma(z) + x \frac{\log p_1}{\log p_d}$.

For $g \in \mathcal{D}_d$, we write $g_z(x) = g_{(z,0)}(x) = \mathcal{B}g_x(z)$. Since g is in Bloch(\mathbb{C}_0), we apply (1.6) to g'_x , and get

$$(4.18) \quad |g'_z(x + it)| = |\mathcal{B}g'_x(\mathcal{T}t z)| \leq \frac{\sup_{\zeta \in \Delta_{\sigma(\mathfrak{p}^{-x} \cdot z)}} |\mathcal{B}g'(\zeta)|}{\sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_{\sigma(\mathfrak{p}^{-x} \cdot z)}} |g'(s)|} \leq \frac{\log p_d}{\log p_1} \frac{\|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}}{x + \sigma(z)},$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (a). Let $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ be in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , and, for $\chi = (z, z') \in \mathbb{D}^d \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$,

$$\mathcal{B}f(\chi) = \sum_{(\alpha, \alpha') \in \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{N}_{0, \text{fin}}^\infty} c_{\alpha, \alpha'} z^\alpha z'^{\alpha'} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} c'_\alpha(z') z^\alpha, \text{ where } c'_\alpha(z') = \sum_{\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_{0, \text{fin}}^\infty} c_{\alpha, \alpha'} z'^{\alpha'}.$$

In view of Proposition 3, we aim to estimate $\|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \simeq \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_0^1 |f_\chi(x)|^2 |g'_\chi(x)|^2 x dx d\mu_w(\chi), \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 := \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} |f_\chi(x)|^2 |g'_\chi(x)|^2 x dx d\mu_w(\chi).$$

By (4.18), the rotation invariance and Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \int_0^1 x \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \frac{1}{[x + \sigma(z)]^2} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} c'_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}'^{-x} \cdot z') (z_1 p_1^{-x})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z_d p_d^{-x})^{\alpha_d} \right|^2 d\mu_w(z, z') dx \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_0^1 x \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} |c'_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}'^{-x} \cdot z')|^2 I_\alpha(x) dx d\mu_w(z'), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_\alpha(x) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \frac{1}{[x + \sigma(z)]^2} |z_1 p_1^{-x}|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |z_d p_d^{-x}|^{2\alpha_d} d\mu_w(z).$$

Using the rotation invariance again as well as the fact that $p_j \geq 1$, and setting $\mathcal{J}_\alpha := \int_0^1 x I_\alpha(x) dx$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \int_0^1 x I_\alpha(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \left| \sum_{\alpha'} c_{\alpha, \alpha'}(\mathfrak{p}'^{-x} \cdot z')^{\alpha'} \right|^2 d\mu_w(z') \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} |c_{\alpha, \alpha'}|^2 \mathcal{J}_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |z'^{\alpha'}|^2 d\mu_w(z') \right) \lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \frac{|c_{\alpha, \alpha'}|^2 \mathcal{J}_\alpha}{w(p_{d+1}^{\alpha_{d+1}}) \cdots w(p_r^{\alpha_r})}. \end{aligned}$$

For the moment, we admit that $\mathcal{J}_\alpha \leq C(d, w) \left[\prod_{j=1}^d w(p_j^{\alpha_j}) \right]^{-1}$, which will be proved in Lemma 7. Hence,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \frac{|c_{\alpha, \alpha'}|^2}{w(p^{\alpha, \alpha'})} \lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

Combining Lemma 3 with the following observation,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} |f_\chi(x)|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \left| \sum_{n=p^\alpha} a_n n^{-x} \chi^\alpha \right|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|a_n|^2 n^{-2x}}{w_n} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2,$$

we estimate \mathcal{I}_2 ,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \lesssim \int_1^{+\infty} x \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 4^{-x} |f_\chi(x)|^2 d\mu_w(\chi) dx \lesssim \|g\|_{\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

□

Recall that

$$I_\alpha(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \frac{1}{[x + \sigma(z)]^2} |z_1 p_1^{-x}|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |z_d p_d^{-x}|^{2\alpha_d} d\mu_w(z), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, 0 < x < 1.$$

Lemma 7. *There exists a constant $C = C(w, d)$, such that*

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha := \int_0^1 x I_\alpha(x) dx \leq C \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{w(p_j^{\alpha_j})}.$$

The proof of Lemma 7 relies on technical computations (Lemma 8).

Lemma 8. *For $0 < T < 1$, and a real number $p \geq 2$, set $L := -\frac{\log T}{2 \log p}$ and $K = \min(1, L)$. There exists a constant $C = C(p, w) > 0$, such that*

$$J(p, T) := (\log T)^{-2} \int_0^K x M(Tp^{2x}) dx \lesssim C \begin{cases} M(T) & \text{if } \beta \geq 1 \text{ or } (\beta < 1, p^{-2} < T < 1), \\ M(Tp^2) & \text{if } \beta < 1, 0 < T \leq p^{-2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $p^{-2} < T < 1$, the change of variables $u = Tp^{2x}$ gives

$$J(p, T) = (\log T)^{-2} \frac{1}{(2 \log p)^2} \int_T^1 \log \frac{u}{T} M(u) \frac{du}{u}.$$

Since $\log \frac{u}{T} \leq \log \frac{1}{T}$ and $1 \leq \frac{1}{u} \leq \frac{1}{T} < p^2$,

$$J(p, T) \leq (\log T)^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2 \log p} \right)^2 \int_T^1 \log \frac{1}{T} M(u) \frac{1}{u} du \lesssim M(T).$$

Next suppose that $0 < T \leq p^{-2}$. Since $(\log T)^2 \geq 4(\log p)^2$, we notice that

$$J(p, T) \lesssim \int_0^1 x M(Tp^{2x}) dx \lesssim \begin{cases} \int_0^1 M(T) dx & \text{if } \beta \geq 1, \\ \int_0^1 M(Tp^2) dx & \text{if } \beta < 1 \end{cases}.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 7. Resorting to polar coordinates, and using changes of variables, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha \leq \int_Q \frac{xt^\alpha}{[x + \sigma(p_1^x \sqrt{t_1}, \dots, p_1^x \sqrt{t_d})]^2} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d M(p_k^{2x} t_k) p_k^{2x} \right) dx dt_1 \cdots dt_d,$$

where $Q = \{(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)^d, \forall k = 1..d, 0 < t_k < p_k^{-2x}\}$.

For $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in (0, 1)^d$, set

$$l_k(t) := -\frac{\log t_k}{2 \log p_k}, \quad K_k := \min(1, l_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq d,$$

$$l(t) := \min_{1 \leq k \leq d} l_k(t), \quad K := \min(1, l).$$

We observe that $Q = \{(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)^d, 0 < x < K(t)\}$. Now, for $1 \leq k \leq d$, we set $Q_k := \{(x, t), t \in (0, 1)^d, l(t) = l_k(t), 0 < x < K_k(t)\}$.

Let (x, t) be in Q_k . We have

$$(4.19) \quad 0 < t_l \leq T_{k,l} := t_k^{\frac{\log p_l}{\log p_k}} < 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq d.$$

In addition, since $0 < x < l_k(t)$, (4.19) implies $p_l^x \sqrt{t_l} < p_l^{l_k(t)} \sqrt{t_l} \leq 1$, and we see that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_l} p_l^x} \geq p_l^{l_k(t) - x} \geq p_l^{l_k(t) - x}$. Thus

$$(\log p_d) \sigma(p_1^x \sqrt{t_1}, \dots, p_d^x \sqrt{t_d}) = \log \min_{1 \leq l \leq d} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_l} p_l^x} \right) \geq \log p_1 (l_k(t) - x),$$

and $x + \sigma(p_1^x \sqrt{t_1}, \dots, p_1^x \sqrt{t_d}) \gtrsim -\log t_k$.

Set $d\widehat{t}_k = dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1} dt_{k+1} \cdots dt_d$, and

$$\widetilde{Q}_k := \{(x, t), 0 < t_k < 1, 0 < t_l < T_{k,l} \text{ for } l \neq k, 0 < x < K_k(t)\}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{J}_\alpha \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, k}$, where

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, k} = \int_{\widetilde{Q}_k} \frac{xt^\alpha}{[x + \sigma(p_1^x \sqrt{t_1}, \dots, p_1^x \sqrt{t_d})]^2} \left(\prod_{l=1}^d M(p_l^{2x} t_l) \right) dx dt.$$

We will obtain the Lemma by showing that

$$(4.20) \quad \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,k} \lesssim \prod_{l=1}^d [w(p_l^{\alpha_l})]^{-1}.$$

When $\beta \geq 1$, we use that, for $(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_k$, and $l \neq k$, $M(p_l^{2x} t_l) \leq M(t_l)$, altogether with Lemma 8. We derive (4.20) from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,k} &\lesssim \int_{0 < t_k < 1} \left(\int_{\prod_{j \neq k} (0, T_{k,j})} t^\alpha \int_0^{K_k(t)} x (\log t_k)^{-2} M(p_k^{2x} t_k) dx \prod_{l \neq k} M(t_l) d\hat{t}_k \right) dt_k \\ &\lesssim \int_{0 < t_k < 1} t_k^{\alpha_k} M(t_k) \left(\prod_{j \neq k} \int_0^{T_{k,j}} t_j^{\alpha_j} M(t_j) dt_j \right) dt_k \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^d \int_0^1 t_j^{\alpha_j} M(t_j) dt_j. \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose $0 < \beta < 1$. If $(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_k$, notice that, for $l \neq k$, $t_l p_l^{2x} \leq t_l p_l^{2l_k(t)} \leq 1$; this shows that $M(p_l^{2x} t_l) \leq M(p_l^{2l_k(t)} t_l)$. Hence, we see that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,k} \lesssim J_1 + J_2$, where, by Lemma 8 and the relation $p_l^{2l_k(t)} = T_{k,l}^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim \int_{0 < t_k < p_k^{-2}} t_k^{\alpha_k} M(p_k^2 t_k) \left(\prod_{j \neq k} \int_0^{T_{k,j}} t_j^{\alpha_j} M(t_j T_{k,j}^{-1}) dt_j \right) dt_k, \\ J_2 &\lesssim \int_{p_k^{-2} < t_k < 1} t_k^{\alpha_k} M(t_k) \left(\prod_{j \neq k} \int_0^{T_{k,j}} t_j^{\alpha_j} M(t_j T_{k,j}^{-1}) dt_j \right) dt_k. \end{aligned}$$

A change of variables provides the desired estimate. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1 (b) and (c). If $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ and $g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n n^{-s}$, we have

$$T_g f(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \left(\sum_{k|n, k < n} a_k b_{n/k} \right) n^{-s}.$$

As in the case of \mathcal{H}^2 , the operator

$$a_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \mapsto a_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (\log n)^{-1} n^{-s}$$

is compact on \mathcal{H}_w . Thus, set $b_1 = 1$, and our study will be unchanged if we replace T_g by

$$\tilde{T}_g f(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \left(\sum_{k|n} a_k b_{n/k} \right) n^{-s}.$$

Lemma 9. *If T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}^2 , then g is in \mathcal{X}_w , and the operator norms satisfy*

$$\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \leq \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^2)}.$$

Proof. If $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , the function $\tilde{f}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n w_n^{-1/2} n^{-s}$ is in \mathcal{H}^2 and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}$. Since $w_k \leq w_{kl}$ for any integers k, l , the Lemma is proven by the inequality

$$\|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\log n)^{-2} \left| \sum_{k|n, k < n} w_k^{-1/2} a_k b_{n/k} \right|^2 = \|T_g \tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2.$$

\square

We will also use the sufficient condition proved in Theorem 2.3 in [14], stating that if g is in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$, then T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}^2 , with

$$(4.21) \quad \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (b) and (c). If g is in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$, T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}^2 , and (b) is a consequence of (4.21) and Lemma 9.

To prove (c), we use that $(T_g f)' = f g'$, and that \mathcal{H}_w^2 is embedded in $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, with $\delta = \delta(w) > 0$. We set

$$d\nu_g(s) = |g'(s)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{\delta+1}}{|s + \frac{1}{2}|^{2(\delta+1)}} dV(s).$$

Now formula (3.15), the boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 and Lemma 1 induce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^2 d\nu_g(s) \lesssim \|T_g f\|_{A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 \leq c(w) \|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \leq c(w) \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2,$$

Thus, ν_g is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{H}_w^2 and $\|\nu_g\|_{CM(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}^2$. By Lemma 6, ν_g is also a Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ and

$$\|\nu_g\|_{CM(A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}))} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}^2.$$

We conclude by the characterization of the Bloch space given in Lemma 4. \square

We get a result which is in agreement with the situation for Hardy spaces [16], Bergman spaces [2] or the Hardy space of Dirichlet series \mathcal{H}^2 [14], with the same proof.

Corollary 1. *If g is in \mathcal{X}_w , then g is in $\cap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p$, and there exists $c > 0$, such that the function $e^{c|B_g|}$ is integrable on \mathbb{D}^∞ , with respect to $d\mu_w$.*

5. COMPACTNESS

We now present a little oh version of Theorem 1.

If the symbol is a vector of the standard orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_w^2 , that is

$$g(s) = e_{w,n}(s) := w_n^{1/2} n^{-s},$$

the operator $T_g^* T_g$ is diagonal, and its eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{n,k}^2 = \frac{w_n w_k}{w_{nk}} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n + \log k} \right)^2$$

tend to 0 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus T_g is compact. It follows that every Dirichlet polynomial generates a compact Volterra operator on \mathcal{H}_w^2 .

5.1. Case when B_g depends on a finite number of variables. We approximate a symbol g which is in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}_d$ by a Dirichlet polynomial P in the $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ -norm. From Theorem 1 (a), T_g is approximated in the operator norm by the compact operator T_P .

Theorem 2. *If g is in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}_d$, then T_g is compact on \mathcal{H}_w^2 .*

5.2. Sufficient / necessary conditions for compactness. In general, if the symbol $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 2} b_n n^{-s}$ satisfies an inequality of the form $\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)}^2 \leq \sum_{n \geq 2} |b_n|^2 W(n) < \infty$, we approximate T_g in the operator norm by the compact operator $T_{S_N g}$. Therefore, T_g is compact (see [14]).

The little oh version of Theorem 1 is related to the properties of $VMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$, and with the concept of vanishing Carleson measures.

Theorem 3. *Let g be in \mathcal{D} .*

- (1) *If g is in $VMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$, then T_g is compact on \mathcal{H}_w^2 .*
- (2) *If T_g is compact on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , then g is in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$.*

Proof. In order to prove (1), we use that $VMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}$ is the closure of Dirichlet polynomials in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$ (see [14]), and that, from Theorem 1, we have $\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)}$.

Recall that \mathcal{H}_w^2 is embedded in $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, $\delta = \delta(w)$ being defined in (2.11). Assume that T_g is compact on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , and consider the measure

$$d\nu_g(s) = |g'(s)|^2 \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{\delta+1}}{|s + \frac{1}{2}|^{2(\delta+1)}} dV(s).$$

Let $(f_k)_k$ be a weakly compact sequence in \mathcal{H}_w^2 . Formula (3.15), and Lemma 1 imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f_k(s)|^2 d\nu_g(s) \asymp \|T_g f_k\|_{A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 \lesssim \|T_g f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

By the compactness of T_g , ν_g is a vanishing Carleson measure for $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, with

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f_k(s)|^2 d\nu_g(s) = 0.$$

Now, g is in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, by the characterization of vanishing Carleson measures (Lemma 5). \square

6. MEMBERSHIP IN SCHATTEN CLASSES

Let g be a non constant symbol. As in the case of \mathcal{H}^2 , the Volterra operator T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 does not belong to any Schatten class.

Theorem 4. *If the Dirichlet series $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 2} b_n n^{-s}$ is not 0, then $T_g : \mathcal{H}_w^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_w^2$ is not in the Schatten class \mathcal{S}_p , for any $0 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Recall that $(e_{w,n})_n$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_w^2 . We follow the reasoning of Theorem 7.2 [14]. Using that $w_{Nn} \lesssim w_N w_n$, we see that, for $N \geq n$,

$$\|T_g e_{w,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{|b_k|^2 (\log k)^2}{(\log(kn))^2} \frac{w_n}{w_{kn}} \geq \frac{|b_N|^2 (\log N)^2}{(\log(Nn))^2} \frac{w_n}{w_{Nn}} \gtrsim \frac{|b_N|^2 (\log N)^2}{(2 \log n)^2} \frac{1}{w_N}.$$

For $p \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p \geq \sum_{n=N}^{+\infty} \|T_g e_{w,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^p = +\infty.$$

Therefore T_g is not in \mathcal{S}_p for $p \geq 2$, neither for $0 < p < \infty$. \square

7. EXAMPLES

In this section, we study the boundedness of T_g on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , for specific symbols g . We consider fractional primitives of translates of the weighted Zeta function Z_w and homogeneous symbols, which are the counterparts of the symbols presented in [14] in the \mathcal{H}^2 setting. The techniques of proof, as well as the results are similar to theirs, and we omit the details.

7.1. Fractional primitives of translates of Z_w .

Proposition 4. *With the notation of (2.11), take $1/2 \leq a < 1$, $2\gamma > \delta(w) - 1$. If*

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} w_n \frac{n^{-a}}{(\log n)^{\gamma+1}} n^{-s},$$

then T_g is unbounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 .

Proof. Abel summation and the Chebyshev estimate induce that g is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 . If $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, and $g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{\log n} n^{-s}$, we set $A_n = \sum_{k|n} a_{n/k} b_k$, so that

$$\|\tilde{T}_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(w_n \log n)^2} A_n^2.$$

We adapt the test functions of [14], and take $f_J(s) = \prod_{j=1}^J (1 + w_2^{1/2} p_j^{-s})$, for $J \geq 1$. By construction, it satisfies $\|f_J\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} \asymp 2^{J/2}$. Now, for \mathcal{J} a non-empty subset of $\{1, \dots, J\}$, we set $n_{\mathcal{J}} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} p_j$, and observe that

$$A_{n_{\mathcal{J}}} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{J}|, \{p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_k}\} \subset \mathcal{J}} w_2^{\frac{|\mathcal{J}|-k}{2}} [\log(p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_k})]^{-\gamma} w_2^k (p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_k})^{-a} + w_2^{\frac{|\mathcal{J}|}{2}}.$$

First assume that $\gamma \geq 0$. From the prime number Theorem, we obtain that

$$A_{n_{\mathcal{J}}} \gtrsim w_2^{\frac{|\mathcal{J}|}{2}} [J \log J]^{-\gamma} \left[1 + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{J}|, \{p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_k}\} \subset \mathcal{J}} w_2^{k/2} (p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_k})^{-a} \right].$$

Therefore, it follows again from the prime number Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_g f_J\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 &\gtrsim \sum_{\mathcal{J} \subset \{1, \dots, J\}, |\mathcal{J}| \geq J/2} \frac{1}{(\log n_{\mathcal{J}})^2} [J \log J]^{-2\gamma} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \left(1 + w_2^{1/2} p_j^{-a}\right)^2 \\ &\gtrsim 2^{J-1} [J \log J]^{-2\gamma} \min_{|\mathcal{J}| \geq J/2} \frac{1}{(\log n_{\mathcal{J}})^2} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \left(1 + w_2^{1/2} p_j^{-a}\right)^2 \\ &\gtrsim e^{cJ^{1-a} (\log J)^{-a}} \|f_J\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$, and T_g is unbounded. The case when $\gamma < 0$ is similar. \square

7.2. Homogeneous symbols. An m -homogeneous Dirichlet series has the form

$$g(s) = \sum_{\Omega(n)=m} b_n n^{-s}.$$

We extend Theorem 4.2 in [14] to the spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 .

Proposition 5. *There exist weights $W_m(n)$ such that for $g(s) = \sum_{\Omega(n)=m} b_n n^{-s}$,*

$$(7.22) \quad \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \leq \left(\sum_{\Omega(n)=m} |b_n|^2 W_m(n) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Precisely, there exist absolute constants C_m for which

$$W_m(n) = \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{for } m = 1, \\ C_2 \frac{\log n}{\log_2 n} & \text{for } m = 2, \\ C_m \frac{n^{\frac{m-2}{m}}}{(\log n)^{m-2}} & \text{for } m \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, when $m = 2$, $\log_2 n$ cannot be replaced in (7.22) by $(\log_2 n)^{1+\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. If a linear symbol ($m = 1$) $g(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} b_p p^{-s}$ belongs to \mathcal{H}^2 , we observe that $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 = 2^\beta \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 = (\beta + 1) \|g\|_{\mathcal{A}^2}^2$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 4.1 in [14] and Lemma 9 that T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 and $\|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \leq \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^2)}$. One can choose $C_1 = \max((\beta + 1)^{-1}, 2^{-\beta})$.

(7.22) is a consequence of Theorem 4.2 in [14] and Lemma 9. We now prove the sharpness of the factor $\log_2 n$. We assume that for some $\varepsilon > 0$, every 2-homogeneous Dirichlet series g satisfies

$$(7.23) \quad \|T_g\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_w^2)} \leq C_2 \left(\sum_{\Omega(n)=m} |b_n|^2 \frac{\log n}{(\log_2 n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

For x a large real number, and $q \sim e^x$ a prime number, the symbol considered in [14] is

$$g_x(s) = \sum_{x/2 < p \leq x} \frac{(\log_2(pq))^{1+\varepsilon/2}}{p} (pq)^{-s}.$$

We take as test functions

$$f_x(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s} = \prod_{x/2 < p \leq x} \left(1 + w_2^{1/2} p^{-s}\right).$$

If S_x denotes the set of square-free integers generated by the primes $x/2 < p \leq x$, we have $\|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \asymp |S_x| = 2^{N(x)}$, where $N(x) := \pi(x) - \pi(x/2)$. Now,

$$\frac{\|T_{g_x} f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2}{\|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2} \gtrsim \frac{1}{|S_x|} \sum_{n \in S_x} w_{nq}^{-1} (\log(nq))^{-2} \left| \sum_{pq|nq} \log(pq) \frac{(\log_2(pq))^{1+\varepsilon/2}}{p} a_{n/p} \right|^2.$$

If $n \in S_x$, and $p|n$, we have $a_{n/p} = w_2^{\frac{1}{2}[\omega(n)-1]}$, $w_n = w_2^{\omega(n)}$, and $w_{nq} = w_n w_q$. Thus,

$$\frac{\|T_{g_x} f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2}{\|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2} \gtrsim \frac{1}{|S_x|} \frac{(\log x)^{2+\varepsilon}}{x^2} \sum_{n \in S_x} \omega(n)^2.$$

Now $\sum_{n \in S_x} \omega(n)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N(x)} \binom{N(x)}{k} k^2 \asymp N(x)^2 2^{N(x)}$, and (7.23) does not hold, due to

$$\frac{\|T_{g_x} f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}}{\|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}} \gtrsim (\log x)^\varepsilon.$$

□

We will exhibit an homogeneous symbol g which is in $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \cap \text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, but not in \mathcal{X}_w . In fact, we observe that g is in every \mathcal{H}_w^p .

Lemma 10. *If g is an m -homogeneous Dirichlet series in \mathcal{H}_w^2 , then g is in $\cap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p$ and, for any $0 < p < \infty$, there exists $c = c(m, p)$ such that*

$$(7.24) \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p} \leq c \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}.$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $p \geq 2$. We first prove the inequality for $p = 2^k$, k being a positive integer, by an induction argument.

Obviously, it holds for $k = 1$.

Our proof is inspired of Lemma 8 in [30]. For any integer m , there exists a constant $C(m)$, such that $\max(w_n, d(n)) \leq C(m)$, whenever $\Omega(n) = m$.

If $f(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ is m -homogeneous, then $f^2(s) = \sum_n b_n n^{-s}$ is $2m$ -homogeneous, and $|b_n|^2 \leq d(n) \sum_{k|n} |a_k|^2 |a_{n/k}|^2$. Since $w_n \geq \sqrt{w_k} \sqrt{w_{n/k}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^4}^4 &= \|f^2\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \leq \sum_{\Omega(n)=2m} d(n) w_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{k|n} |a_k|^2 |a_{n/k}|^2 \right) \leq C(2m) \sum_{\Omega(n)=2m} \left(\sum_{k|n} \frac{|a_k|^2}{\sqrt{w_k}} \frac{|a_{n/k}|^2}{\sqrt{w_{n/k}}} \right) \\ &= C(2m) \left(\sum_k \frac{|a_k|^2}{\sqrt{w_k}} \right)^2 \leq C(2m) C(m) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that, for some k , an m -homogeneous Dirichlet series h satisfies

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^{2^k}}^2 \leq K(m, k) \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \text{ for any } m.$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^{2^{k+1}}}^{2^{k+1}} &= \|f^2\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^{2^k}}^{2^k} \leq K(2m, k) \|f^2\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^{2^k} = K(2m, k) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^{2^{k+1}} \\ &\leq K(2m, k) \left[C(2m) C(m) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^4 \right]^{2^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For general p , (7.24) is a consequence of Hölder's inequality. □

For our construction, we need two technical Lemmas.

Lemma 11. *Assume that $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < \eta$. For $j = 1..3$, we set $h_j(s) = \sum_{p \geq 3} \alpha_{j,p} p^{-s}$, where*

$$\alpha_{1,p} = (\log_2 p)^{-\delta}, \quad \alpha_{2,p} = \log_2 p, \quad \alpha_{3,p} = \log p (\log_2 p)^{-\eta}.$$

For a real number $\sigma > 1$, set $\sigma' := \frac{1}{\sigma-1}$. Then we have

$$(7.25) \quad h_1(\sigma) \asymp (\log \sigma')^{1-\delta}; \quad h_2(\sigma) \asymp \log_2(\sigma'); \quad h_3(\sigma) \asymp \sigma' (\log \sigma')^{-\eta}, \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow 1^+.$$

Proof. These asymptotics will follow from computations inspired by [22, 4]. Recall that

$$(7.26) \quad A_1(t) := \sum_{3 \leq p \leq t} \frac{1}{p} = \log_2 t + O(1).$$

Setting $f_1(t) = \frac{t^{-(\sigma-1)}}{(\log_2 t)^\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\sigma) &= \sum_{p \geq 3} \frac{p^{-(\sigma-1)}}{p (\log_2 p)^\delta} = - \int_3^{+\infty} A_1(t) f_1'(t) dt + O(1) \\ &\asymp (\sigma-1) \int_3^{+\infty} (\log_2 t)^{1-\delta} t^{-\sigma} dt = (\sigma-1) \left(\int_{\log 3}^{\sigma'} + \int_{\sigma'}^{+\infty} \right) (\log x)^{1-\delta} e^{-(\sigma-1)x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts (for the first integral), and a change of variable (for the second one), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\sigma) &\asymp (\sigma - 1) \int_{\log 3}^{\sigma'} (\log x)^{1-\delta} dx + \int_1^{+\infty} (\log y + \log \sigma')^{1-\delta} e^{-y} dy \\ &\asymp (\sigma - 1) \left[x (\log x)^{1-\delta} \right]_{x=\log 3}^{x=\sigma'} + \int_1^{+\infty} \left[(\log y)^{1-\delta} + (\log \sigma')^{1-\delta} \right] e^{-y} dy \\ &\asymp (\log \sigma')^{1-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The functions h_2 and h_3 are handled similarly. For $x \geq 3$, summation by parts and (7.26) induce that,

$$A_2(x) := \sum_{3 \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p \log_2 p} = \frac{A_1(x)}{\log_2 x} + \int_3^x \frac{A_1(t)}{t \log t (\log_2 t)^2} dt + O(1) \asymp \log_3 x.$$

Set $f_2(t) := t^{-(\sigma-1)}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(\sigma) &\asymp - \int_3^{+\infty} A_2(t) f_2'(t) dt + O(1) \asymp (\sigma - 1) \int_3^{+\infty} (\log_3 t) t^{-\sigma} dt \\ &= (\sigma - 1) \left(\int_{\log 3}^{e\sigma'} + \int_{e\sigma'}^{+\infty} \right) (\log_2 x) e^{-(\sigma-1)x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(\sigma - 1) \int_{\log 3}^{e\sigma'} (\log_2 x) e^{-(\sigma-1)x} dx \asymp (\sigma - 1) \int_{\log 3}^{e\sigma'} (\log_2 x) dx \leq (\sigma - 1) e\sigma' (\log_2 (e\sigma')) \lesssim \log_2 \sigma'.$$

We perform a change of variable in the integral over $[e\sigma', +\infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2} &:= (\sigma - 1) \int_{e\sigma'}^{+\infty} (\log_2 x) e^{-(\sigma-1)x} dx = \int_e^{+\infty} [\log (\log y + \log \sigma')] e^{-y} dy \\ &\geq (\log_2 \sigma') \int_e^{+\infty} e^{-y} dy \gtrsim \log_2 \sigma'. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(a+b) \leq \log a \log b + 1$, for $a \geq e$ and $b \geq e$, we obtain

$$I_{2,2} \leq \int_e^{+\infty} [(\log_2 y)(\log_2 \sigma') + 1] e^{-y} dy \lesssim \log_2 \sigma',$$

and $I_{2,2} \asymp \log_2 \sigma'$. It follows that $h_2(\sigma) \asymp \log_2 \sigma'$.

We now focus on h_3 . By Mertens' first Theorem, $A_3(x) := \sum_{3 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1)$, and putting $f_3(t) := t^{-(\sigma-1)} (\log_2 t)^{-\eta}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(\sigma) &= - \int_3^{+\infty} A_3(t) f_3'(t) dt + O(1) \asymp (\sigma - 1) \int_3^{+\infty} (\log t) t^{-\sigma} (\log_2 t)^{-\eta} dt \\ &\asymp (\sigma - 1) \left(\int_{\log 3}^{\sigma'} + \int_{\sigma'}^{+\infty} \right) x e^{-(\sigma-1)x} (\log x)^{-\eta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives that

$$I_{3,1} := (\sigma - 1) \int_{\log 3}^{\sigma'} x e^{-(\sigma-1)x} (\log x)^{-\eta} dx \asymp (\sigma - 1) \int_{\log 3}^{\sigma'} x (\log x)^{-\eta} dx \asymp \sigma' (\log \sigma')^{-\eta}.$$

Next, (7.25) is a consequence of

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,2} &:= (\sigma - 1) \int_{\sigma'}^{+\infty} x e^{-(\sigma-1)x} (\log x)^{-\eta} dx = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} \int_1^{+\infty} y e^{-y} (\log y + \log \sigma')^{-\eta} dy \\ &\lesssim \sigma' \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{y e^{-y}}{(\log \sigma')^\eta} dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 12. *If $2\eta > 1$ and $\delta + \eta > 1$, we have*

$$S := \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{P}, p_j \geq 3} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3 (\log_2 p_1)^{2\delta} (\log_2 p_2)^2 (\log_2 p_3)^{2\eta} (\log(p_1 p_2 p_3))^2} < \infty.$$

Proof. For $p_1, p_2 \geq 3$, we set $L := \log(p_1 p_2)$ and $S_3(p_1, p_2) := \sum_{p_3} \frac{(\log p_3)^2}{p_3 (\log_2 p_3)^{2\eta} (\log p_3 + L)^2}$. Then, we have

$$S = \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 (\log_2 p_1)^{2\delta} (\log_2 p_2)^2} S_3(p_1, p_2).$$

Under the condition $2\eta > 1$, the sum $S_3(p_1, p_2)$ converges, and

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(p_1, p_2) &= - \int_3^{+\infty} A_1(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{(\log t)^2}{(\log_2 t)^{2\eta} (\log t + L)^2} \right] dt + \frac{O(1)}{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{O(1)}{L^2} + \int_3^{+\infty} \frac{\log t}{t (\log_2 t)^{2\eta} (\log t + L)^2} dt = \frac{O(1)}{L^2} + \left(\int_{\log_3}^L + \int_L^{+\infty} \right) \frac{xdx}{(\log x)^{2\eta} (x + L)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$I_{3,1} := \int_{\log_3}^L \frac{xdx}{(\log x)^{2\eta} (x + L)^2} \asymp \frac{1}{L^2} \int_{\log_3}^L \frac{xdx}{(\log x)^{2\eta}} \asymp (\log L)^{-2\eta}.$$

We handle the second integral via a change of variable:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,2} &:= \int_L^{+\infty} \frac{xdx}{(\log x)^{2\eta} (x + L)^2} = \left(\int_1^L + \int_L^{+\infty} \right) \frac{ydy}{(1 + y)^2 (\log y + \log L)^{2\eta}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log L)^{2\eta}} \int_1^L \frac{dy}{y} + \int_L^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{y (\log y)^{2\eta}} \asymp (\log L)^{1-2\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$S_3(p_1, p_2) \lesssim (\log L)^{1-2\eta}, \quad L = \log(p_1 p_2).$$

We next put $M = \log p_1$, and deal with

$$S_2(p_1) := \sum_{p_2} \frac{1}{p_2 (\log_2 p_2)^2} S_3(p_1, p_2) \lesssim \sum_p \frac{1}{p (\log_2 p)^2 [\log(\log p + M)]^{2\eta-1}}.$$

With the notation $f_2(t) := [(\log_2 t)^2 [\log(\log t + M)]^{2\eta-1}]^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$S_2(p_1) = \frac{O(1)}{(\log M)^{2\eta-1}} - \int_3^{+\infty} A_1(t) f_2'(t) dt \lesssim \frac{O(1)}{(\log M)^{2\eta-1}} + I_{2,1} + I_{2,2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,1} &:= \int_3^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t \log t (\log_2 t)^2 [\log(\log t + M)]^{2\eta-1}}; \\ I_{2,2} &:= \int_3^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t (\log_2 t) (\log t + M) [\log(\log t + M)]^{2\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

We derive

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,1} &= \left(\int_{\log_3}^M + \int_M^{+\infty} \right) \frac{dx}{x (\log x)^2 [\log(x + M)]^{2\eta-1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{[\log M]^{2\eta-1}} \int_{\log_3}^M \frac{dx}{x (\log x)^2} + (\log M)^{1-2\eta} \int_M^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x (\log x)^2} \lesssim (\log M)^{1-2\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral is estimated in the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2} &= \left(\int_{\log_3}^M + \int_M^{+\infty} \right) \frac{dx}{(x + M) (\log x) [\log(x + M)]^{2\eta}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{M (\log M)^{2\eta}} \int_{\log_3}^M \frac{dx}{\log x} + \frac{1}{(\log M)^{2\eta-1}} \int_M^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x (\log x)^2} \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{M (\log M)^{2\eta}} \left(\left[\frac{x}{\log x} \right]_{x=\log_3}^M + \int_{\log_3}^M \frac{x^2 (\log x)^{-2}}{2x} dx \right) + \frac{1}{(\log M)^{2\eta}} \asymp \frac{1}{(\log M)^{2\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$S_2(p_1) \lesssim \frac{1}{(\log M)^{2\eta-1}}, \quad M = \log p_1.$$

It follows that

$$S \lesssim \sum_{p_1} \frac{1}{p_1 (\log_2 p_1)^{2\delta}} S_2(p_1) \lesssim \sum_{p \geq 3} \frac{1}{p (\log_2 p)^\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon := 2\delta + 2\eta - 1.$$

Again, partial summation gives that when $\varepsilon > 1$,

$$\sum_{3 \leq p} \frac{1}{p(\log_2 p)^\varepsilon} \asymp \varepsilon \int_3^{+\infty} \frac{\log_2 t}{t(\log t)(\log_2 t)^{\varepsilon+1}} dt < \infty.$$

□

Proposition 6. *There exists a 3-homogeneous function g which is in $(\cap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p) \cap \text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, such that T_g is unbounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 .*

Proof. Using Lemma 11, we see that, if $g' = -(h_1 h_2 h_3)_{\frac{1}{2}}$, g' is convergent on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, and its estimate near the line $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ is determined by the behavior of the functions h_j near the line $\Re s = 1$. Then g is in $\text{Bloch}_0(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, because of

$$|g'(\sigma)| \asymp \frac{1}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \right)^{1-\delta-\eta} \left(\log_2 \frac{1}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \right), \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow 1^+.$$

On another hand, the 3-homogeneous function

$$g(s) = \sum_n b_n n^{-s} = \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3} \frac{\alpha_{1,p_1} \alpha_{2,p_2} \alpha_{3,p_3}}{\log(p_1 p_2 p_3)} (p_1 p_2 p_3)^{-s}$$

is in \mathcal{H}_w^2 by Lemma 12, since $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = \sum_n |b_n|^2 w_n^{-1} \asymp \sum_n |b_n|^2 \asymp S < \infty$.

By Lemma 10, g is in $\cap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p$.

It remains to prove that T_g is unbounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . We again choose as test functions (cf the proof of Proposition 5)

$$f_x(s) := \prod_{\frac{x}{2} < p \leq x} \left(1 + w_2^{1/2} p^{-s} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}.$$

S_x is the set of square free integers generated by $\frac{x}{2} < p \leq x$. Set $V_x = \left\{ n \in S_x, \omega(n) \geq \frac{N(x)}{2} \right\}$.

For $n \in V_x$, set

$$A_n := \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | n} b_{p_1 p_2 p_3} (\log(p_1 p_2 p_3)) a_{\frac{n}{p_1 p_2 p_3}}$$

The coefficients in A_n satisfy

$$b_{p_1 p_2 p_3} (\log(p_1 p_2 p_3)) \gtrsim \frac{\log x}{x^{3/2} (\log_2 x)^{\eta+\delta+1}}.$$

Since $\|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \asymp |V_x|$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 &\geq \sum_{n \in V_x} w_n^{-1} (\log n)^{-2} A_n^2 \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{n \in V_x} w_2^{-\omega(n)} (\omega(n) \log x)^{-2} \left[\frac{\log x}{x^{3/2} (\log_2 x)^{\eta+\delta+1}} \binom{\omega(n)}{3} \left(w_2^{1/2} \right)^{\omega(n)-3} \right]^2 \\ &\gtrsim \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^4 \frac{1}{x^3 (\log_2 x)^{2(\delta+\eta+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

8. COMPARISON OF \mathcal{X}_w WITH OTHER SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

The previous results enable us to derive some inclusions involving \mathcal{X}_w .

In the context of the unit disk, the space of symbols g for which the Volterra operator J_g (1.3) is bounded on $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ is $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D})$. It coincides with the space of holomorphic g such that the Hankel form (1.5) is bounded, and with the dual space of $A_\alpha^1(\mathbb{D})$.

We shall study the counterparts of these facts for \mathcal{X}_w .

8.1. Bounded Hankel forms. The Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_w^2 is equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}$. The Hankel form of symbol $g \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined on \mathcal{H}_w^2 by

$$(8.27) \quad H_g(fh) := \langle fh, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}.$$

We say that H_g is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \times \mathcal{H}_w^2$ if there is a constant C such that

$$|H_g(fh)| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} \quad \text{for } f, h \in \mathcal{H}_w^2.$$

The weak product $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2$ is the Banach space defined as the closure of all finite sums $F = \sum_k f_k h_k$, where $f_k, h_k \in \mathcal{H}_w^2$, under the norm

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2} := \inf \sum_k \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} \|h_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all finite representations of F as $F = \sum_k f_k h_k$.

Let \mathcal{Y} be a Banach space of Dirichlet series in which the space of Dirichlet polynomials \mathcal{P} is dense. We say that a Dirichlet series ϕ is in the dual space \mathcal{Y}^* if the linear functional induced by ϕ via the \mathcal{H}_w^2 -pairing is bounded. In other words, $\phi \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ if and only if

$$v_\phi(f) = \langle f, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{P},$$

extends to a bounded functional on \mathcal{Y} .

From its definition, H_g (8.27) is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 if and only if $g \in (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^*$.

We aim to relate Hankel forms and Volterra operators. The primitive of $f \in \mathcal{D}$ with constant term 0 is denoted by

$$\partial^{-1}f(s) := - \int_s^{+\infty} f(u) du,$$

We observe that

$$H_g(fh) = f(+\infty)h(+\infty)g(+\infty) + \langle \partial^{-1}(f'h), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} + \langle \partial^{-1}(fh'), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}.$$

The Banach space $\partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)$ is the completion of the space of Dirichlet series F whose derivatives have a finite sum representation $F' = \sum_k f_k h'_k$, under the norm

$$\|F\|_{\partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)} := |F(+\infty)| + \sum_k \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} \|h_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2},$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite representations. The product rule $(fg)' = f'g + fg'$ implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2 \subset \partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2),$$

and then

$$(8.28) \quad (\partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2))^* \subset (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^*.$$

It has been shown in [15] that, for the space $\mathcal{H}_0^2 = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^2 : f(+\infty) = 0\}$, the inclusion $(\partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_0^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_0^2))^* \subset (\mathcal{H}_0^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_0^2)^*$ is strict. As for the space \mathcal{H}_w^2 , the question whether the inclusion is strict remains open.

The membership of g in $(\partial^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2))^*$ is equivalent to the boundedness of the so-called "half-Hankel form"

$$(8.29) \quad (f, h) \mapsto \langle \partial^{-1}(f'h), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}.$$

As in the case of \mathcal{H}^2 , the boundedness of T_g implies the boundedness of H_g .

Theorem 5. *If the Volterra operator T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , then the Hankel form H_g is bounded.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of Corollary 6.2 in [14] to the framework of the polydisk \mathbb{D}^∞ . Polarizing the Littlewood-Paley formula (1), we get

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} = f(+\infty)g(+\infty) + 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} f'_\chi(\sigma + it) \overline{g'_\chi(\sigma + it)} \sigma d\sigma \frac{dt}{1+t^2} d\mu_w(\chi).$$

Then, we derive an expression of the half-Hankel form

$$\langle \partial^{-1}(f'h), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} = 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} f'_\chi(\sigma + it) h_\chi(\sigma + it) \overline{g'_\chi(\sigma + it)} \sigma d\sigma \frac{dt}{1+t^2} d\mu_w(\chi).$$

Since T_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 , the Carleson measure characterization (4.16) induces that the form (8.29) is also bounded. Then H_g is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2$ by the inclusion (8.28). \square

The previous Theorem states that we have

$$\mathcal{X}_w \subset (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^*.$$

The rest of the section is devoted to study the reverse inclusion.

Let l_w^2 denote the Hilbert space of complex sequences $a = (a_n)_n$ such that

$$\|a\|_{l_w^2} := \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

A sequence $(\rho_n)_n$ generates the following multiplicative Hankel form

$$(8.30) \quad \rho(a, b) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m b_n \frac{\rho_{mn}}{w_{mn}}, \quad a, b \in l_w^2.$$

The symbol of the form is the Dirichlet series $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{\rho_n} n^{-s}$. The form ρ is said to be bounded if there is a constant C such that

$$|\rho(a, b)| \leq C \|a\|_{l_w^2} \|b\|_{l_w^2}.$$

If f and h are Dirichlet series with coefficients a and b , respectively, we have

$$H_g(fh) = \langle fh, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} = \rho(a, b).$$

When the symbol g has non negative coefficients, there is equivalence between the boundedness of H_g and the half-Hankel form (8.29). In fact, the proof given for \mathcal{H}^2 in [15] is valid for the spaces \mathcal{H}_w^2 .

Proposition 7. *Let $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{\rho_n} n^{-s}$ be in \mathcal{H}_w^2 . The linear functional defined on \mathcal{H}_w^2*

$$v_g(f) := \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}$$

is bounded on $\partial^{-1}(\partial \mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)$ if and only if the weighted form

$$J_g(a, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m b_n \frac{\log n}{\log m + \log n} \frac{\rho_{mn}}{w_{mn}},$$

(where it is understood that for $m = n = 1$, the summand is 0) is bounded on $l_w^2 \odot l_w^2$. The norms are equivalent, i.e.

$$\|g\|_{(\partial^{-1}(\partial \mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2))^*} \asymp \|v_g\| \asymp |\rho_1| + \|J_g\|.$$

If $\rho_k \geq 0$ for all k , then $g \in (\partial^{-1}(\partial \mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2))^$ if and only if $g \in (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^*$, with equivalent norms.*

Proposition 7 will enable us to provide examples of symbols g for which the Hankel form H_g and the half-Hankel form (8.29) are bounded, but the Volterra operator T_g is unbounded (see the proof of Proposition 9). This differs from the case of weighted Dirichlet spaces on the unit disk, for which the boundedness of H_g , the form (8.29) and T_g are equivalent [1].

For convergence reasons, we will consider Hankel forms defined on Dirichlet series without constant term. So we will work on the space

$$\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2 = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_w^2 : f(+\infty) = 0\}.$$

We have seen in Lemma 1 that the space \mathcal{H}_w^2 is embedded in a Bergman space of the form $A_{i,\delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$. For $\delta > 0$, it is thus natural to define the Hankel form

$$(8.31) \quad H^{(\delta)}(fh) := \int_{1/2}^{+\infty} f(\sigma)h(\sigma) \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^\delta d\sigma, \quad f, h \in \mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2.$$

Such multiplicative forms have been considered in the context of \mathcal{H}^2 [13] and on A_1^2 [10].

Since $K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(s, u) - 1 = \sum_{n \geq 2} w_n n^{-\bar{u}} n^{-s}$ is the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2$, we see that $H^{(\delta)}(fh) = \langle fh, \phi_\delta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2}$, where

$$\phi_\delta(s) = \int_{1/2}^{+\infty} \left[K^{\mathcal{H}_w^2}(s, \sigma) - 1 \right] \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^\delta d\sigma = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{w_n}{\sqrt{n} (\log n)^{\delta+1}} n^{-s}.$$

Proposition 8. *Let $\delta > 0$ as in (2.11). Then $H^{(\delta)}$ defined in (8.31) is a multiplicative Hankel form with symbol ϕ_δ , which is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 13 in [10]. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality ensures that

$$\left| H^{(\delta)}(fh) \right| \leq \left(\int_{1/2}^{+\infty} |f(\sigma)|^2 \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \right)^\delta d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{1/2}^{+\infty} |h(\sigma)|^2 \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \right)^\delta d\sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

If $f(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, notice the pointwise estimate

$$|f(\sigma)|^2 \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 \left(\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} w_n n^{-2\sigma} \right) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 4^{-\sigma}, \text{ for } \sigma \geq 1.$$

Since the bounded measure $d\mu(\sigma + it) = \chi_{(1/2, 1]}(\sigma) \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \right)^\delta d\sigma$, supported on the real line, is Carleson for $A_{i, \delta}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$, μ is Carleson for \mathcal{H}_w^2 by Lemma 6, and

$$\int_{1/2}^{+\infty} |f(\sigma)|^2 \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \right)^\delta d\sigma = \left(\int_{1/2}^1 + \int_1^{+\infty} \right) |f(\sigma)|^2 \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \right)^\delta d\sigma \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2.$$

□

We next exhibit symbols giving rise to bounded Hankel forms and bounded half-Hankel forms, though the associated Volterra operator is unbounded.

Proposition 9. *We have the strict inclusions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2) &\subsetneq (\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2)^* ; \\ \mathcal{X}_w &\subsetneq (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^* . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It just remains to check the strictness of the inclusions. For the exponent $\delta = \delta(w)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$, consider the symbol in $\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2$

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{w_n}{n^a (\log n)^{\delta+1}} n^{-s}.$$

From Proposition 8 and the fact that the coefficients are positive, g is in $(\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2)^*$ for any $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$. In fact, the half Hankel form corresponding to g is bounded. We have seen in Proposition 4 that T_g is not bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . Since $T_g 1 = g$, g does not belong to $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_{w,0}^2)$.

In order to prove that $g \in (\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2)^*$, we consider the associated multiplicative form ρ (8.30). Let f, h be Dirichlet series with coefficients a, b , belonging to \mathcal{H}_w^2 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a, b) &= \sum_{m, n \geq 2} a_m b_n \frac{\rho_{mn}}{w_{mn}} + a_1 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n \frac{\rho_n}{w_n} + b_1 \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m \frac{\rho_m}{w_m} \\ &= H_g((f - f(\infty))(g - g(\infty))) + f(\infty) \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2} + g(\infty) \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}, \end{aligned}$$

the first part of the proof entails that H_g is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_w^2 \odot \mathcal{H}_w^2$. □

8.2. \mathcal{X}_w and the dual of \mathcal{H}_w^1 . Keeping in mind the results known for Bergman spaces of the unit disk, it is natural to compare \mathcal{X}_w and $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^*$.

In general, the dual of \mathcal{H}_w^1 is not known. However, it is shown in [10] that

$$(8.32) \quad \mathcal{K} \subset (\mathcal{A}_1^1)^*,$$

where \mathcal{K} is the space of Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{d_4(n)}{[d(n)]^2} |a_n|^2 < \infty.$$

The following consequence of this inclusion will stress upon the difference between the finite and infinite dimensional setting.

Proposition 10. *$(\mathcal{A}_1^1)^*$ is not contained in $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}_1^2)$.*

Proof. By Abel summation and the Chebyshev estimate, the symbol

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^a (\log n)^2} n^{-s}, \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < a < 1,$$

is in \mathcal{K} , and thus in $(\mathcal{A}_1^1)^*$. However, T_g is unbounded on \mathcal{A}_1^2 (Proposition 4). □

8.3. \mathcal{X}_w and the spaces \mathcal{H}_w^p . It has been shown in [14] that $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}^2) \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}^p$. We have an analogue for Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series.

Theorem 6. *We have the strict inclusions*

$$BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_w^p.$$

Proof. The inclusions have been proved in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. As observed in [14], the symbols $g(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{\log n} n^{-s}$, where ψ is the completely multiplicative function defined on the primes by $\psi(p) := \lambda p^{-1} \log p$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, are in $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}^2)$, and satisfy

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \psi(n) n^{-\sigma} \asymp \exp\left(\lambda \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{1+\sigma}}\right) \asymp \exp\left(\lambda \frac{1}{\sigma}\right), \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Hence, they are not in $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_0)$, though they belong to \mathcal{X}_w (Lemma 9).

The second inclusion is strict by Proposition 6. \square

With the method of Proposition 4, one can show that $g(s) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{n^{-a}}{\log n} n^{-s}$, $1/2 \leq a < 1$, is not in \mathcal{X}_w , though it belongs to $BMOA(\mathbb{C}_{1-a})$ [14]. Therefore, we have the strict inclusion

$$\mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}).$$

8.4. $\mathcal{X}_w \cap \mathcal{D}_d$ and Bloch spaces.

Theorem 7. *Let d be a positive integer. The following inclusions hold*

$$\mathcal{D}_d \cap \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathcal{D}_d \cap \mathcal{X}_w \subsetneq \mathcal{B}^{-1} \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^d).$$

Proof. The first inclusion has been shown in Theorem 1 (a).

If g is in $\mathcal{D}_d \cap \mathcal{X}_w$, Theorem 5 implies that H_g is bounded on \mathcal{H}_w^2 . Therefore, the form $H_{\mathcal{B}g}$ (1.4) is bounded on the Bergman space $H_w^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$. From [18], $\mathcal{B}g$ is in $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^d)$.

Here is a function g which is not in \mathcal{X}_w , such that $\mathcal{B}g$ is in $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Suppose that

$$g'(s) = \frac{1}{1-2^{-s}} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-3^{-s}}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_0.$$

Straightforward computations show that $\mathcal{B}g \in \text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}^2)$. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{B_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}$ being equivalent, our setting will be the space $A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Now, for

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}}{\log(n+1)} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

define $f(s) = F(2^{-s})F(3^{-s})$, for $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$. We have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 = \|F\|_{A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D})}^4 \asymp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)(\log(n+1))^2} \right)^2 < \infty.$$

Putting

$$h_1(z_1) = F(z_1) \frac{1}{1-z_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z_1^m, \quad z_1 \in \mathbb{D},$$

$$h_2(z_2) = F(z_2) \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z_2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n z_2^n, \quad z_2 \in \mathbb{D},$$

we have $A_m \gtrsim \frac{(m+1)^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}}{\log(m+1)}$ and $B_n \gtrsim (n+1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^2}^2 &= \|R^{-1}(h_1 h_2)\|_{A_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}^2 \asymp \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \frac{|A_m|^2 |B_n|^2}{(m+n+1)^2 (m+1)^\beta (n+1)^\beta} \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{m+1}{(\log(m+1))^2} \frac{\log(m+1)}{(m+1)^2} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(m+1) \log(m+1)} = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. \square

A consequence of Theorems 1 and 6 is that

$$\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{C}_0) \cap \mathcal{D}_d \subset \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{H}_{d,w}^p.$$

This inclusion can be viewed as a counterpart of the situation of the disk, where $\text{Bloch}(\mathbb{D}) \subset \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} A_\beta^p(\mathbb{D})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman and K.M. Perfekt, *Hankel forms and embedding theorems in weighted Dirichlet spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2012), no. 19, 4435–4448.
- [2] A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, *Integration operators on Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 337–356.
- [3] J. Anderson, J. Clunie and C. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **270** (1974), 12–37.
- [4] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag (New-York), 1976.
- [5] M. Bailleul and O. F. Brevig, *Composition operators on Bohr-Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. M., Vol 41, (2016), 129–142.
- [6] M. Bailleul and P. Lefèvre, *Some Banach spaces of Dirichlet series*, Stud. Math., **226**, 1 (2015), 17–55.
- [7] F. Bayart, *Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators*, Monatsh. Math. **136** (2002), 203–236.
- [8] F. Bayart, *Compact composition operators on a Hilbert space of Dirichlet series*, Illinois J. Math. **47** (2003), no. 3, 725–743.
- [9] F. Bayart, O. F. Brevig, *Composition operators and embeddings theorems for some function spaces of Dirichlet series*, Mathematische Zeitschrift., **293** (2019), 3–4, 989–1014.
- [10] F. Bayart, O. F. Brevig, A. Haimi, J. Ortega-Cerda and K.M. Perfekt, *Contractive inequalities for Bergman spaces and multiplicative Hankel forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **371** (2019), 1, 681–707.
- [11] F. Bayart, H. Queffelec and K. Seip, *Approximation numbers of composition operators on H^p spaces of Dirichlet series*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), no. 2, 551–588.
- [12] H. Bohr, *Über die Bedeutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variablen in der Theorie der Dirichletschen reihen $\sum a_n/n^s$* , Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. (1913), 441–488.
- [13] O. F. Brevig, K.-M. Perfekt, K. Seip, A. G. Siskakis and D. Vukotic, *The multiplicative Hilbert matrix*, Adv. Math. **302** (2016), 410–432.
- [14] O. F. Brevig, K.-M. Perfekt and K. Seip, *Volterra operators on Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series*, J. Reine Angew. Math., DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2016-0069.
- [15] O. F. Brevig and K.-M. Perfekt, *Weak product of Dirichlet series*, Integ. Equat. Oper. Th., **86** 4 (2016), 453–473.
- [16] J. A. Cima and G. Schöber, *Analytic functions with bounded mean oscillation and logarithms of H^p functions*, Math. Z. **151** (1976), 295–300.
- [17] B.J. Cole and T.W. Gamelin, *Representing measures and Hardy spaces for the infinite polydisk algebra*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3, **53** (1986), 112–142.
- [18] O. Constantin, *Weak product decompositions and Hankel operators on vector-valued Bergman spaces*, J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), 157–178.
- [19] O. Constantin, *Carleson embeddings and some classes of operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **365** (2010), 668–682.
- [20] H. Hedenmalm, P. Lindqvist and K. Seip, *A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L_2(0; 1)$* , Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 1–37.
- [21] H. Helson, *Hankel forms and sums of random variables*, Studia Math. **176** (2006), 85–92.
- [22] A. Ivic, *The Riemann Zeta-Function. Theory and Applications*, Dover Publications Inc., 2003.
- [23] J. McCarthy, *Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet Series and their Multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 881–893.
- [24] A.M. Olevskii, *Fourier series with respect to general orthonormal systems*, Springer, 1975.
- [25] J. F. Olsen, *Local properties of Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 2669–2696.
- [26] J. F. Olsen and E. Saksman, *On the boundary behavior of the Hardy space of Dirichlet series and a frzme bound estimate*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **663** (2012), 33–66.
- [27] J. F. Olsen and K. Seip, *Local interpolation in Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 203–212.
- [28] J. Ortega-Cerdà and K. Seip, *A lower bound in Nehari’s theorem on the polydisc*, J. Anal. Math. **118** (2012), 339–342.
- [29] C. Pommerenke, *Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oscillation*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), no. 4, 591–602.
- [30] K. Seip, *Zeros of functions in Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), no.3-4,1327–1339.
- [31] W. Smith, *Composition operators between Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (2013), 2331–2348.
- [32] C. S. Stanton, *Counting functions and majorization for Jensen measures*, Pacific J. Math. **125** (1986) 459–468.
- [33] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, english ed., Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [34] B. M. Wilson, *Proofs of Some Formulae Enunciated by Ramanujan*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **2** (1923), no 1, 235–255.
- [35] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

BOMMIER-HATO: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: helene.bommier@gmail.com