

CONDITIONAL STABILITY OF MULTI-SOLITONS FOR THE 1D NLKG EQUATION WITH DOUBLE POWER NONLINEARITY

XU YUAN

ABSTRACT. We consider the one-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with a double power focusing-defocusing nonlinearity

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u + u - |u|^{p-1}u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0, \quad \text{on } [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $1 < q < p < \infty$. The main result states the stability in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the sums of decoupled solitary waves with different speeds, up to the natural instabilities. The proof is inspired by the techniques developed for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a similar context by Martel, Merle and Tsai [14, 15]. However, the adaptation of this strategy to a wave-type equation requires the introduction of a new energy functional adapted to the Lorentz transform.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. We consider the one-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (NLKG) with a double power nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u + u - |u|^{p-1}u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^1, \quad \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1 \in L^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < q < p < \infty$. This equation also rewrites as a first order system in time for the function $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 = u_2 \\ \partial_t u_2 = \partial_x^2 u_1 - u_1 + f(u_1), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$f(u_1) = |u_1|^{p-1}u_1 - |u_1|^{q-1}u_1. \quad (1.3)$$

We recall that the Cauchy problem for equation (1.2) is locally well-posed in the energy space $H^1 \times L^2$. See *e.g.* [8]. Denote $F(u_1) = \frac{1}{p+1}|u_1|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{q+1}|u_1|^{q+1}$. For any solution $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ of (1.2) in $H^1 \times L^2$, the energy $E(\vec{u})$ and momentum $\mathcal{I}(\vec{u})$ are conserved, where

$$E(\vec{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{(\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)\} dx, \quad \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1)u_2 dx.$$

Denote by Q the *ground state*, which is the unique positive even solution in H^1 of the equation

$$Q'' - Q + f(Q) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.4)$$

The existence and properties of this solution are studied in [2, Section 6] (see also Remark 1.4 and Section 2.1). The ground state generates the standing wave solution $\vec{Q} = (Q, 0)$ of (1.2). Moreover, using the Lorentz transformation on \vec{Q} , one obtains traveling solitary waves or *solitons*: for $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, with $-1 < \ell < 1$, let

$$Q_\ell(x) = Q\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}}\right), \quad \vec{Q}_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} Q_\ell \\ -\ell \partial_x Q_\ell \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\vec{u}(t, x) = \vec{Q}_\ell(x - \ell t)$ is a solution of (1.2).

It is well-known (see precise statements and references in §2.1) that the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial_x^2 + 1 - f'(Q)$$

appearing after linearization of equation (1.2) around $\vec{Q} = (Q, 0)$, has a unique negative eigenvalue $-\nu_0^2$ ($\nu_0 > 0$), with corresponding smooth even eigenfunction Y . Set

$$\vec{Y}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \nu_0 Y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{Z}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 Y \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

From explicit computations, the function $\vec{u}^+(t, x) = \exp(\nu_0 t) \vec{Y}^+(x)$ is solution of the linearized system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 = u_2 \\ \partial_t u_2 = -\mathcal{L}u_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Since $\nu_0 > 0$, the solution \vec{u}^+ illustrates the (one-dimensional) exponential instability of the solitary wave \vec{Q} in positive time. An equivalent formulation of instability is obtained by observing that for any solution \vec{u} of (1.5), it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} a^+ = \nu_0 a^+ \quad \text{where} \quad a^+(t) = \left(\vec{u}(t), \vec{Z}^+ \right)_{L^2}.$$

More generally, for $-1 < \ell < 1$, set

$$Y_\ell = Y \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{Z}_\ell^+ = \begin{pmatrix} (\ell \partial_x Y_\ell + \frac{\nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_\ell) e^{\frac{\ell \nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x} \\ Y_\ell e^{\frac{\ell \nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The main purpose of this article is to study the conditional stability of multi-solitons with different speeds for (1.1). More precisely, the main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \geq 2$. For all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $\sigma_n = \pm 1$, $\ell_n \in (-1, 1)$ with $-1 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_N < 1$. There exist $L_0 > 0$, $C_0 > 0$, $\gamma_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following is true. Let $\vec{\varepsilon} \in H^1 \times L^2$ and $y_1^0 < \dots < y_N^0$ be such that there exist $L > L_0$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ with*

$$\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1 \times L^2} < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1}^0 - y_n^0 > L \quad \text{for all } n = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Then, there exist $h_1^+, \dots, h_N^+ \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |h_n^+| \leq C_0 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}),$$

such that the solution $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ of (1.2) with initial data

$$\vec{u}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N (\sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} + h_n^+ \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+) (\cdot - y_n^0) + \vec{\varepsilon}$$

is globally defined in $H^1 \times L^2$ for $t \geq 0$ and, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left\| \vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} (\cdot - y_n(t)) \right\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq C_0 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}),$$

where $y_1(t), \dots, y_N(t)$ are C^1 functions satisfying, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$, $t \geq 0$,

$$|y_n(0) - y_n^0| \leq C_0 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}), \quad |\dot{y}_n(t) - \ell_n| \leq C_0^2 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}).$$

Remark 1.2. As remarked before, each soliton solution $\vec{u}(t, x) = \pm \vec{Q}_{\ell_n}(x - \ell_n t)$ of equation (1.2) has exactly one exponential instability direction in positive time. Recall that such instability has led to the construction of co-dimension one center-stable manifolds for initial data close to a single soliton for the NLKG or the wave equations in [9, 17, 18]. In the case of a perturbation of the sum of N

decoupled solitons $\sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n Q_{\ell_n}(\cdot - y_n)$, this justifies the need of adjusting the N free parameters $(h_n^+)_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$ in the choice of the initial data to obtain global existence and stability in Theorem 1.1. Such difficulty does not appear when the solitons are stable, like for the mass subcritical generalized Korteweg-de Vries (gKdV) and nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) discussed in the next remark.

Remark 1.3. Historically, multi-soliton solutions were first studied extensively for integrable equations, like the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the one-dimensional cubic Schrödinger equation. In the non-integrable cases, for dispersive or wave equations, the first result concerning stability and asymptotic stability of multi-soliton solutions was given by Perelman [19] for some NLS equations, extending the single soliton case treated by Buslaev and Perelman [3]. The present work is inspired by [14, 15] proving the stability of the sums of decoupled solitons for the mass subcritical gKdV equations and some NLS equations. See also [20] and [1, 5, 10, 16] for other related models.

Such stability results are closely related to the existence of *asymptotic pure multi-solitons*, established in the case of the NLKG equation by Côte and Muñoz [7]. For gKdV, NLS, and the energy-critical wave equation for both stable and unstable solitons, we refer to [4, 6, 11, 12, 13, 21].

Remark 1.4. The double power nonlinearity (1.3) is a typical choice for dispersive or wave equations, but Theorem 1.1 can be extended to any general real-valued $C^{1,\alpha}$ function f (with $\alpha > 0$) satisfying the following:

- (i) The function f is odd and $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$.
- (ii) There exists a smallest $s_0 > 0$ such that $F(s_0) - \frac{1}{2}s_0^2 = 0$, and $f(s_0) - s_0 > 0$.
- (iii) There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $s \in (-r_0, r_0)$, $sf(s) - 2F(s) \leq 0$.

Here, $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\sigma) d\sigma$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Conditions (i)-(ii) are related to the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a unique standing wave in [2, Theorem 5]. Note that for f defined in (1.3), the existence of a smallest $s_0 > 0$ such that $F(s_0) - \frac{1}{2}s_0^2 = 0$ is clear and then

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_0) - s_0 &= s_0^p - s_0^q - s_0 = \frac{1}{s_0} \left((p+1)F(s_0) + \frac{p-q}{q+1}s_0^{q+1} - s_0^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_0} \left(\frac{p-q}{q+1}s_0^{q+1} + \frac{p-1}{2}s_0^2 \right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which justifies the existence of the standing wave Q for (1.1) (see also [2, Example 2, p. 318]).

Condition (iii) ensures that the nonlinear effect is defocusing for small values of u_1 , which is decisive in our proof (more precisely, in the proof of the monotonicity result Lemma 3.7). Recall that this issue is already present in [15, 19] for the NLS equation, where a weaker but similar restriction is imposed on the nonlinearity.

To our knowledge, this article proves the first statement of (conditional) stability of the sums of decoupled solitons for a wave-type equation. Observe that the Lorentz transform involved in the propagation of solitons has quite different properties than the Galilean transform for the NLS equation or the natural propagation phenomenon related to the solitons of the gKdV equation. Compared to the energy method developed in [15], we use a similar functional based on localized versions of the momentum and the energy around each soliton (respectively defined in (3.21) and (3.22)), but a specific combination of these quantities has to be used in the wave case (see (3.24) and the definition of the coefficients c_n in (3.17)); moreover, the introduction of an additional lower order term F_n (see (3.23)) was necessary to remove diverging terms. See also Remark 3.3.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces technical tools involved in a dynamical approach to the N -soliton problem for (1.1): estimates of the non-linear interactions between solitons, decomposition by modulation and parameter estimates. Energy estimates and monotonicity properties are proved in Section 3. Finally, Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 4.

1.2. Notation. We denote $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ the L^2 scalar product for real-valued functions $u, v \in L^2$,

$$(u, v)_{L^2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)v(x) dx.$$

For

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

denote

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v})_{L^2} := \sum_{k=1,2} (u_k, v_k)_{L^2}, \quad \|\vec{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \|u_1\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For $f \in L^2$ and $\ell \in (-1, 1)$, set

$$f_\ell(x) = f(x_\ell), \quad \text{where } x_\ell = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}}.$$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor, Professor Yvan Martel, for his generous help, encouragement, and guidance related to this work. The author is also grateful to the anonymous referees for careful reading and useful suggestions, which led to an improved version of this article.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Spectral theory. It is well-known that the unique positive even solution Q of (1.4) is smooth and satisfies the following estimate on \mathbb{R} ,

$$|Q^{(\alpha)}(x)| \lesssim e^{-|x|} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.1)$$

In this section, we recall the spectral properties of the linearized operator around Q_ℓ . First, for $-1 < \ell < 1$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell = -(1-\ell^2)\partial_x^2 + 1 - f'(Q_\ell).$$

We recall the following standard spectral properties for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_ℓ (see e.g. [7, Lemma 1 and Corollary 1]).

Lemma 2.1. (i) Spectral properties. *The unbounded operator \mathcal{L} on L^2 with domain H^2 is self-adjoint, its continuous spectrum is $[1, +\infty)$, its kernel is spanned by Q' and it has a unique negative eigenvalue $-\nu_0^2$ ($\nu_0 > 0$), with corresponding smooth even eigenfunction Y . Moreover, on \mathbb{R} ,*

$$|Y^{(\alpha)}(x)| \lesssim e^{-\sqrt{1+\nu_0^2}|x|} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) Coercivity property of \mathcal{L} . *There exists $\nu > 0$ such that, for all $v \in H^1$,*

$$(\mathcal{L}v, v)_{L^2} \geq \nu \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \nu^{-1} ((v, Q')_{L^2}^2 + (v, Y)_{L^2}^2).$$

(iii) Coercivity property of \mathcal{L}_ℓ . *Let $\ell \in (-1, 1)$. There exists $\nu > 0$ such that, for all $v \in H^1$,*

$$(\mathcal{L}_\ell v, v)_{L^2} \geq \nu \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \nu^{-1} ((v, \partial_x Q_\ell)_{L^2}^2 + (v, Y_\ell)_{L^2}^2).$$

Second, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + 1 - f'(Q_\ell) & -\ell\partial_x \\ \ell\partial_x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\vec{Z}_\ell^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x Q_\ell \\ -\ell\partial_x^2 Q_\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{Z}_\ell^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_{\ell,1}^\pm \\ \Upsilon_{\ell,2}^\pm \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{\ell,1}^\pm = \left(\ell\partial_x Y_\ell \pm \frac{\nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_\ell \right) e^{\pm \frac{\ell\nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x} \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon_{\ell,2}^\pm = Y_\ell e^{\pm \frac{\ell\nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x}.$$

The functions \vec{Z}_ℓ^\pm are eigenfunctions of the linearized matrix operator $\mathcal{H}_\ell J$ and are explicitly determined by solving the eigenvalue problem $\mathcal{H}_\ell J \vec{Z} = \lambda \vec{Z}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *Let $\ell \in (-1, 1)$.*

(i) Decay properties of $\Upsilon_{\ell,1}^\pm$ and $\Upsilon_{\ell,2}^\pm$. *It holds, on \mathbb{R} , for $k = 1, 2$,*

$$\left| \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \Upsilon_{\ell,k}^\pm(x) \right| \lesssim e^{-|x|} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) Properties of \mathcal{H}_ℓ and $\mathcal{H}_\ell J$. *It holds*

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Z}_\ell^0 = 0, \quad (\vec{Z}_\ell^0, \vec{Z}_\ell^\pm)_{L^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_\ell J (\vec{Z}_\ell^\pm) = \mp \nu_0 (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \vec{Z}_\ell^\pm. \quad (2.4)$$

(iii) Coercivity property of \mathcal{H}_ℓ . *There exists $\nu > 0$ such that, for all $\vec{v} \in H^1 \times L^2$,*

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \geq \nu \|\vec{v}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \nu^{-1} ((\vec{v}, \vec{Z}_\ell^0)_{L^2}^2 + (\vec{v}, \vec{Z}_\ell^+)_{L^2}^2 + (\vec{v}, \vec{Z}_\ell^-)_{L^2}^2). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. We prove (i) for $\alpha = 0$; the estimates for $\alpha \geq 1$ are proved similarly. By (2.2), we have, for $k = 1, 2$, on \mathbb{R} ,

$$|\Upsilon_{\ell,k}^\pm(x)| \lesssim e^{-\frac{\sqrt{1+\nu_0^2} - |\ell|\nu_0}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} |x|}.$$

But an elementary computation yields

$$\left(\sqrt{1+\nu_0^2} - |\ell|\nu_0 \right)^2 = \left(|\ell|\sqrt{1+\nu_0^2} - \nu_0 \right)^2 + 1 - \ell^2,$$

and so $\sqrt{1+\nu_0^2} - |\ell|\nu_0 \geq \sqrt{1-\ell^2}$, which implies $|\Upsilon_{\ell,k}^\pm(x)| \lesssim e^{-|x|}$.

For (ii) and (iii), we refer to Proposition 1 and the proofs of Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Proposition 2 in [7]. \square

2.2. Decomposition of the solution around multi-solitary waves. We recall general results on solutions of (1.2) that are close to the sum of $N \geq 2$ decoupled solitary waves. For any $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $\sigma_n = \pm 1$ and $\ell_n \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy

$$-1 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_N < 1.$$

Let $t \mapsto y_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 functions such that

$$y_{n+1} - y_n \gg 1 \quad \text{for any } n = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.6)$$

For $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, define

$$Q_n = \sigma_n Q_{\ell_n}(\cdot - y_n), \quad \vec{Q}_n = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -\ell_n \partial_x Q_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\vec{Z}_n^0 = \sigma_n \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0(\cdot - y_n), \quad \vec{Z}_n^\pm = \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^\pm(\cdot - y_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_{n,1}^\pm \\ \Upsilon_{n,2}^\pm \end{pmatrix}.$$

We recall a decomposition result for solutions of (1.2).

Lemma 2.3. *There exist $L_0 > 0$ and $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$ such that if $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ is a solution of (1.2) on $[0, T_0]$, where $T_0 > 0$, such that for all $t \in [0, T_0]$*

$$\inf_{z_{n+1} - z_n > L_0} \|\vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n}(\cdot - z_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \delta_0, \quad (2.7)$$

then there exist C^1 functions $y_1(t), \dots, y_N(t)$ on $[0, T_0]$ such that, $\vec{\varphi}$ being defined by

$$\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{u} = \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n + \vec{\varphi}, \quad (2.8)$$

it satisfies

$$(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \delta_0, \quad y_{n+1} - y_n \geq \frac{3}{4}L_0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. The proof of the decomposition lemma relies on a standard argument based on the Implicit function Theorem (see *e.g.* Lemma 5 and Appendix B in [7]) and we omit it. \square

Set

$$\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n \quad \text{and} \quad G = f(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f(Q_n). \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.4 (Equation of $\vec{\varphi}$). *The function $\vec{\varphi}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 + \text{Mod}_1, \\ \partial_t \varphi_2 = \partial_x^2 \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 + f(U_1 + \varphi_1) - f(U_1) + G + \text{Mod}_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\text{Mod}_1 = \sum_{n=1}^N (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) \partial_x Q_n, \quad \text{Mod}_2 = - \sum_{n=1}^N (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) \ell_n \partial_x^2 Q_n. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. First, from the definition of $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ in (2.8),

$$\partial_t \varphi_1 = \partial_t u_1 - \partial_t U_1 = \varphi_2 + U_2 - \partial_t U_1 = \varphi_2 + \sum_{n=1}^N (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) \partial_x Q_n.$$

Second, using (1.2),

$$\partial_t \varphi_2 = \partial_t u_2 - \partial_t U_2 = \partial_x^2 u_1 - u_1 + f(u_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N \dot{y}_n (\ell_n \partial_x^2 Q_n).$$

We observe from (2.8) and $-(1 - \ell_n^2) \partial_x^2 Q_n + Q_n - f(Q_n) = 0$,

$$\partial_x^2 u_1 - u_1 + f(u_1) = \partial_x^2 \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 + f(U_1 + \varphi_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f(Q_n) + \sum_{n=1}^N \ell_n^2 \partial_x^2 Q_n.$$

Therefore, from the definition of G and Mod_2 , we obtain (2.12). \square

For future reference, we present in Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 some technical results. First, we give several pointwise estimates concerning the nonlinearity f .

Lemma 2.5. *For any $(s_n)_{n=1, \dots, N} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the following estimates hold.*

(i) *For $1 < q < p \leq 3$,*

$$\left| f' \left(\sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(s_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} |s_{n'}|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |s_{n'}|^{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \quad (2.14)$$

(ii) For $1 < q \leq 3 < p < \infty$,

$$\left| f' \left(\sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(s_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} |s_{n'}|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{p-2} |s_{n'}|. \quad (2.15)$$

(iii) For $3 < q < p < \infty$,

$$\left| f' \left(\sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(s_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{q-2} |s_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{p-2} |s_{n'}|. \quad (2.16)$$

(iv) For $1 < q < p < \infty$,

$$\left| f \left(\sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N f(s_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{q-1} |s_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^{p-1} |s_{n'}|, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\left| F \left(\sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N F(s_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^q |s_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |s_n|^p |s_{n'}|. \quad (2.18)$$

(v) For $1 < q < p < \infty$,

$$|f(s_1 + s_2) - f(s_1) - f'(s_1)s_2| \lesssim |s_2|^2 + |s_2|^q + |s_2|^p, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\left| F(s_1 + s_2) - F(s_1) - f(s_1)s_2 - \frac{1}{2}f'(s_1)s_2^2 \right| \lesssim |s_2|^3 + |s_2|^{q+1} + |s_2|^{p+1}. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. Proof of (i)-(ii)-(iii). Recall that $f'(s) = p|s|^{p-1} - q|s|^{q-1}$ for p, q such that $1 < q < p < \infty$. Let $(s_n)_{n=1, \dots, N} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be such that

$$|s_m| = \max_{n=1, \dots, N} |s_n|.$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right|^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^N |s_n|^{p-1} \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|^{p-1} + \left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right|^{p-1} - |s_m|^{p-1} \right|.$$

First, by the definition of m , we observe that

$$\sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|^{p-1} \lesssim \begin{cases} |s_m|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 3 \\ |s_m|^{p-2} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n| & \text{if } 3 < p. \end{cases}$$

Second, if $|s_m| \leq 2 \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|$, then

$$\left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right|^{p-1} - |s_m|^{p-1} \right| \lesssim |s_m|^{p-1} \lesssim \begin{cases} |s_m|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 3 \\ |s_m|^{p-2} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n| & \text{if } 3 < p. \end{cases}$$

Third, if $|s_m| > 2 \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|$ then by the inequality $|(1 + \mu)^{p-1} - 1| \lesssim |\mu|$ for any $|\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^N s_n \right|^{p-1} - |s_m|^{p-1} \right| = |s_m|^{p-1} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_m} \sum_{n \neq m} s_n \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right| \lesssim |s_m|^{p-2} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|.$$

Moreover, note that if $1 \leq p \leq 3$ then $p-2 \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ and thus by the definition of m ,

$$|s_m|^{p-2} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n| \lesssim |s_m|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{n \neq m} |s_n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Proceeding similarly for the term $|s|^{q-1}$ in $f'(s)$, we obtain (i), (ii) and (iii).

The proofs of (iv) and (v) follow from the same technique. \square

Second, using the pointwise estimates of Lemma 2.5, we derive estimates related to the equation of $\vec{\varphi}$ and the nonlinear interaction term G defined in (2.11). Denote

$$q^* = \begin{cases} \frac{q-1}{2} & \text{for } 1 < q \leq 3 \\ q-2 & \text{for } 3 < q < \infty \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } 1 < p \leq 3 \\ p-2 & \text{for } 3 < p < \infty \end{cases}$$

and observe that $0 < q^* < p^*$. Fix

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{8} \min(q^*, 1) > 0 \quad \text{and let} \quad \theta = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} e^{-4\gamma_0(y_{n+1}-y_n)}. \quad (2.21)$$

The introduction of γ_0 and θ will allow us to keep track of the exponent of exponential interactions between solitons (for instance, see the next lemma). We will not keep track of this dependence in multiplicative constants.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume (2.6), we have*

$$\sum_{n \neq n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|Q_n Q_{n'}| + |\partial_x Q_n \partial_x Q_{n'}| + |\partial_x^2 Q_n \partial_x^2 Q_{n'}|) dx \lesssim \theta, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\sum_{n \neq n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_x Q_n \Upsilon_{n',1}| + |\partial_x^2 Q_n \Upsilon_{n',2}|) dx \lesssim \theta, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| F(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N F(Q_n) \right| dx \lesssim \theta, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\|G\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n \neq n'} \|f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{n',2}\|_{L^2} + \|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \theta. \quad (2.25)$$

Remark 2.7. For convenience, all the terms above are bounded by the same error term $\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} e^{-4\gamma_0(y_{n+1}-y_n)}$. Of course, in view of the definition of γ_0 , these estimates are far from being optimal.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Proof of (2.22). First, by change of variable, for any $n \neq n'$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Q_n Q_{n'}| dx = H_1 + H_2,$$

where

$$H_1 = (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{I_1} Q(x) Q \left(\frac{(y_n - y_{n'}) + (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{(1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dx,$$

$$H_2 = (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{I_2} Q(x) Q \left(\frac{(y_n - y_{n'}) + (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{(1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dx,$$

and

$$I_1 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x| < \frac{1}{2} |y_n - y_{n'}| \right\},$$

$$I_2 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x| \geq \frac{1}{2} |y_n - y_{n'}| \right\}.$$

From the decay properties of Q in (2.1) and then $\gamma_0 \leq \frac{1}{8} \leq \frac{1}{8}(1 - \ell_n^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, for any $x \in I_2$, we have

$$|Q(x)| \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_n - y_{n'}|}{\sqrt{1 - \ell_n^2}}} \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|},$$

and so

$$H_2 \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|} \int_{I_2} Q \left(\frac{(y_n - y_{n'}) + (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{(1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|} \lesssim \theta.$$

Similarly, for any $x \in I_1$,

$$\left| Q \left(\frac{(y_n - y_{n'}) + (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{(1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right| \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|},$$

and so

$$H_1 \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|} \int_{I_1} Q(x) dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 |y_n - y_{n'}|} \lesssim \theta.$$

This proves estimate (2.22) for $Q_n Q_{n'}$. Using (2.1), the rest of the proof of (2.22) is the same.

Proof of (2.23). From (2.1), (2.3) and $(1 - \ell_n^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n \neq n'} |\partial_x Q_n \Upsilon_{n',1}| + |\partial_x^2 Q_n \Upsilon_{n',2}| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} e^{-|x - y_n|} e^{-|x - y_{n'}|}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of (2.22), using $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{8} \min(q^*, 1) \leq \frac{1}{8}$, we obtain (2.23).

Proof of (2.24). From (2.1), (2.18) and $1 < q < p < \infty$, we infer

$$\left| F(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N F(Q_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^q |Q_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^p |Q_{n'}| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n| |Q_{n'}|.$$

Thus, estimate (2.24) follows from (2.22).

Proof of (2.25). From (2.17), we have

$$|G| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{q-1} |Q_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{p-1} |Q_{n'}|.$$

By $1 < q < p$, (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\sum_{n \neq n'} |f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{n',2}| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{q-1} e^{-|x - y_{n'}|}.$$

Moreover, from (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), we infer, for $1 < q < p \leq 3$,

$$\left| f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$

for $1 < q \leq 3 < p$,

$$\left| f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{p-2} |Q_{n'}|,$$

and last, for $3 < q < p$,

$$\left| f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \right| \lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{q-2} |Q_{n'}| + \sum_{n \neq n'} |Q_n|^{p-2} |Q_{n'}|.$$

Arguing as in the proof of (2.22), using $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{8} \min(q^*, 1)$, we obtain (2.25). \square

Third, we derive the control of \dot{y}_n from the orthogonality conditions (2.9).

Lemma 2.8 (Control of \dot{y}_n). *In the context of Lemma 2.3, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |\dot{y}_n - \ell_n| \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \theta. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. First, we rewrite the equation of $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ as

$$\partial_t \vec{\varphi} = \vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varphi} + \vec{\text{Mod}} + \vec{G} + \vec{R}_1 + \vec{R}_2, \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \partial_x^2 - 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\text{Mod}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Mod}_1 \\ \text{Mod}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\vec{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{R}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(U_1 + \varphi_1) - f(U_1) - f'(U_1)\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\vec{R}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n))\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Second, from the orthogonality conditions (2.9),

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{\varphi}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = (\partial_t \vec{\varphi}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + (\vec{\varphi}, \partial_t \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2}.$$

Thus, using (2.27),

$$0 = (\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varphi}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + (\vec{R}_1, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + (\vec{R}_2, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + (\vec{G}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + (\vec{\text{Mod}}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2}$$

$$- (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) (\vec{\varphi}, \partial_x \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} - \ell_n (\vec{\varphi}, \partial_x \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2}.$$

By integration by parts and the decay properties of Q in (2.1), the first term is

$$(\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varphi}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = (\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\mathcal{L}}^t \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = O(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Next, by (2.19), we infer

$$|R_1| \lesssim |\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^q + |\varphi_1|^p,$$

and by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\left| (\vec{R}_1, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^q + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.25), we obtain

$$\left| (\vec{R}_2, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n'=1}^N f'(Q_{n'})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \theta^2.$$

Then, using (2.25), we have

$$\left| (\vec{G}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \lesssim \theta.$$

Next, using the expression of $\vec{\text{Mod}}$,

$$(\vec{\text{Mod}}, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) (\vec{Z}_n^0, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} (\dot{y}_{n'} - \ell_{n'}) (\vec{Z}_{n'}^0, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2}.$$

Moreover, from (2.22),

$$\sum_{n' \neq n} (\dot{y}_{n'} - \ell_{n'}) (\vec{Z}_{n'}^0, \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} = O\left(\theta \sum_{n' \neq n} |\dot{y}_{n'} - \ell_{n'}|\right).$$

Last, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) (\vec{\varphi}, \partial_x \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} \right| + \left| \ell_n (\vec{\varphi}, \partial_x \vec{Z}_n^0)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} (1 + |\dot{y}_n - \ell_n|).$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain

$$|\dot{y}_n - \ell_n| \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \theta + O\left((\theta + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \sum_{n'=1}^N |\dot{y}_{n'} - \ell_{n'}|\right).$$

Summing over n , we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |\dot{y}_n - \ell_n| \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \theta + O\left((\theta + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \sum_{n'=1}^N |\dot{y}_{n'} - \ell_{n'}|\right),$$

which implies (2.26) for δ_0 small enough and L_0 large enough. \square

Last, we consider the equation of the exponential directions.

Lemma 2.9 (Exponential directions). *In the context of Lemma 2.3, let $a_n^\pm = (\bar{\varphi}, \bar{Z}_n^\pm)_{L^2}$ for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. It holds*

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} a_n^\pm \mp \alpha_n a_n^\pm \right| \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^q + \theta, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\alpha_n = \nu_0(1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. First, using (2.27), we compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} a_1^\pm &= \left(\partial_t \bar{\varphi}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} + \left(\bar{\varphi}, \partial_t \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} \\ &= \left(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \bar{\varphi}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} - \ell_1 \left(\bar{\varphi}, \partial_x \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} + \left(\bar{G}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{R}_1, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} + \left(\bar{R}_2, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} + \left(\text{Mod}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} - (\dot{y}_1 - \ell_1) \left(\bar{\varphi}, \partial_x \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, from (2.4) and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \bar{\varphi}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} - \ell_1 \left(\bar{\varphi}, \partial_x \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} &= - \left(\bar{\varphi}, \left(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1} J \bar{Z}_{\ell_1}^\pm \right) (\cdot - y_1) \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^N (\varphi_1, f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{1,2}^\pm)_{L^2} \\ &= \pm \alpha_1 a_1^\pm + \sum_{n=2}^N (\varphi_1, f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{1,2}^\pm)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.25) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^N (\varphi_1, f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{1,2}^\pm)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \sum_{n=2}^N \|f'(Q_n) \Upsilon_{1,2}^\pm\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \theta^2.$$

Next, using (2.25), (2.19) and the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$\left| \left(\bar{R}_1, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^q + |\varphi_1|^p) dx \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^q + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p,$$

$$\left| \left(\bar{R}_2, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n)\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2} \leq \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \theta^2,$$

and

$$\left| \left(\bar{G}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \lesssim \theta.$$

Moreover, from (2.4), (2.23) and (2.26),

$$\left(\text{Mod}, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} = \sum_{n=2}^N (\dot{y}_n - \ell_n) \left(\bar{Z}_n^0, \bar{Z}_1^\pm \right)_{L^2} = O(\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \theta^2).$$

Last, from (2.26),

$$|(\dot{y}_1 - \ell_1) (\bar{\varphi}, \partial_x \bar{Z}_1^\pm)_{L^2}| \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \theta^2.$$

Gathering the above estimates and proceeding similarly for a_n^\pm for $n = 2, \dots, N$, we obtain (2.28). \square

3. MONOTONICITY PROPERTY FOR THE 1D KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION

3.1. **Bootstrap setting.** Fix

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{8} \min(\ell_2 - \ell_1, \dots, \ell_N - \ell_{N-1}) > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We introduce the following bootstrap estimates: for $C_0 \gg 1$ to be chosen later,

$$\begin{cases} \|\bar{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_0 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}), \\ \min\{(y_{n+1} - y_n)(t); n = 1, \dots, N\} \geq \frac{9}{10}L + 2\gamma_1 t, \\ \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n^+(t)|^2 \leq C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}), \\ \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n^-(t)|^2 \leq C_0^{\frac{7}{4}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that γ_0 is defined in (2.21). Let \vec{u}_0 satisfy (2.7) and (3.2) at $t = 0$, let \vec{u} be the corresponding solution of (1.2) and define

$$T_*(\vec{u}_0) = \sup\{t \in [0, \infty) : \vec{u} \text{ satisfies (2.7) and (3.2) holds on } [0, t]\}, \quad (3.3)$$

Note that, from (3.2), for all $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$,

$$\theta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} e^{-4\gamma_0(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t))} \lesssim e^{-\left(\frac{18}{5}L + 8\gamma_1 t\right)} \lesssim e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note also that, for C_0 large enough, from (2.26) and (3.2), for all $n = 1, \dots, N$ and $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$,

$$|\dot{y}_n - \ell_n| \leq C_0 \left(\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \theta \right) \leq 2C_0^2 (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}) \leq \gamma_1,$$

for L large enough and δ small enough (depending on C_0). Integrating on $[0, t]$ for any $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$, we obtain, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$|y_n(t) - \ell_n t - y_n(0)| \leq \gamma_1 t. \quad (3.5)$$

3.2. **Monotonicity property.** First, we fix suitable cut-off functions. Let χ be a C^3 function such that

$$\chi' \geq 0, \quad \sqrt{\chi} \in C^1, \quad \sqrt{1 - \chi} \in C^1, \quad \chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \leq -1, \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\beta_n = \frac{\ell_{n-1} + \ell_n}{2}, \quad \bar{y}_n^0 = \frac{y_{n-1}(0) + y_n(0)}{2}, \quad \chi_n(t, x) = \chi\left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t + a)^\alpha}\right),$$

for $n = 2, \dots, N$, where α and a are chosen so that

$$\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{4}{7} \quad \text{and} \quad a = \left(\frac{L}{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

We also set

$$\beta_1 = 0, \quad \chi_1 = 1, \quad \chi_{N+1} = 0.$$

Let

$$\psi_n(t, x) = \chi_n(t, x) - \chi_{n+1}(t, x) \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N.$$

Note that $\psi_n \equiv 1$ around the solitary wave Q_n , and $\psi_n \equiv 0$ around the solitary waves $Q_{n'}$ for $n' \neq n$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_n = \sum_{n'=n}^N \psi_{n'} \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3.6)$$

Set

$$\Omega_n(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0| \leq (t+a)^\alpha\} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_*(\bar{u}_0)].$$

From the definition of χ_n and Ω_n , we have the following estimates ($\mathbf{1}_I$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval I)

$$|\partial_x \chi_n| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$|\partial_x^2 \chi_n| + |\partial_{tx} \chi_n| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}, \quad |\partial_x^3 \chi_n| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{3\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}. \quad (3.8)$$

We give technical estimates related to the cut-off functions.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $n = 1, \dots, N$ and all $t \in [0, T_*(\bar{u}_0)]$, the following holds.*

(i) Estimates related to χ_n . *We have*

$$\sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 \right) \chi_n \, dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} (\|\chi_n Q_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \|\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}) \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.10)$$

(ii) Estimates related to $(1 - \chi_n)$. *We have*

$$\sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 \right) (1 - \chi_n) \, dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\sum_{n'=n}^N (\|(\chi_n - 1)Q_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \|(\chi_n - 1)\partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}) \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.12)$$

(iii) Estimates related to Ω_n . *We have*

$$\sum_{n'=1}^N (\|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} Q_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}) \lesssim e^{-2(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}. \quad (3.13)$$

(iv) Estimates related to $\psi_{n'}$. *We have*

$$\sum_{n' \neq n} \|f'(Q_n) \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\sum_{n' \neq n} \|\Upsilon_{n,1} \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\Upsilon_{n,2} \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\sum_{n' \neq n} \|\partial_x Q_n \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\partial_x^2 Q_n \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Proof of (i). We prove (3.9); (3.10) is proved similarly. By the definition of χ_n , for any $x \in \text{supp } \chi_n$,

$$x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0 \geq -(t+a)^\alpha,$$

and so using (3.5), for any $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$,

$$x - y_{n'}(t) \geq (\beta_n - \ell_{n'})t - \gamma_1 t + (\bar{y}_n^0 - y_{n'}(0)) - (t+a)^\alpha.$$

By the definition of γ_1 and (3.2) at $t = 0$, we have $\beta_n - \ell_{n'} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\ell_n - \ell_{n-1}) \geq 4\gamma_1$,

$$\bar{y}_n^0 - y_{n'}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(y_n(0) + y_{n-1}(0)) - y_{n'}(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}(y_n(0) - y_{n-1}(0)) \geq \frac{9L}{20},$$

and by the definition of $a^\alpha = \frac{L}{10}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$(t+a)^\alpha \leq t^\alpha + a^\alpha \leq t^\alpha + \frac{L}{10} \leq \gamma_1 t + \frac{L}{5},$$

for L large enough. Therefore, from $\gamma_0 \leq \frac{1}{8}$, for any $x \in \text{supp } \chi_n$,

$$x - y_{n'}(t) \geq 4\gamma_1 t - \gamma_1 t + \frac{9L}{20} - \gamma_1 t - \frac{L}{5} \geq 2\gamma_1 t + \frac{L}{4} \geq 2(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t),$$

for L large enough. Based on the decay properties of Q in (2.1) and above estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 \right) \chi_n dx &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{x-y_{n'}(t) \geq 2(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)} e^{-\frac{2|x-y_{n'}(t)|}{\sqrt{1-\ell_{n'}^2}}} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{x \geq 2(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)} e^{-2x} dx \lesssim e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.9).

The proof of (ii) is the same.

Proof of (iii). Let any $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $1 \leq n' \leq N$. For any $x \in \Omega_n$, by the triangle inequality, $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{8} \min_{n' \neq n} |\ell_n - \ell_{n'}|$, (3.2) at $t = 0$ and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y_{n'}(t)| &\geq |(\ell_{n'} - \beta_n)t + y_{n'}(0) - \bar{y}_n^0| - |y_{n'}(t) - \ell_{n'}t - y_{n'}(0)| - |x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0| \\ &\geq |\ell_{n'} - \beta_n|t + |y_{n'}(0) - \bar{y}_n^0| - \gamma_1 t - (t+a)^\alpha \geq 3\gamma_1 t + \frac{9L}{20} - (t+a)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using similar arguments as in the proof of (3.9), we obtain (3.13).

Proof of (iv). We prove (3.14); from (2.1) and (2.3), we see that (3.15) and (3.16) are proved similarly. Let $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$. From the definition of $\psi_{n'}$, we have, for any $x \in \text{supp } \psi_{n'}$,

$$x - \beta_{n'+1}t - \bar{y}_{n'+1}^0 \leq (t+a)^\alpha.$$

Thus, by $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{8} \min_{n' \neq n} |\ell_n - \ell_{n'}|$, (3.2) at $t = 0$, (3.5) and $a^\alpha = \frac{L}{10}$, for any $x \in \text{supp } \psi_{n'}$

$$\begin{aligned} x - y_n(t) &\leq (\beta_{n'+1} - \ell_n)t + (\bar{y}_{n'+1}^0 - y_n(0)) + \gamma_1 t + (t+a)^\alpha \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}(\ell_n - \ell_{n-1})t - \frac{1}{2}(y_n(0) - y_{n-1}(0)) + \gamma_1 t + t^\alpha + a^\alpha \\ &\leq -3\gamma_1 t - \frac{9L}{20} + t^\alpha + \frac{L}{10} \leq -3\gamma_1 t - \frac{9L}{20} + \gamma_1 t + \frac{L}{5} \leq -\frac{L}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

for L large enough. Let $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $n' \geq n+1$. From the definition of $\psi_{n'}$, we have, for any $x \in \text{supp } \psi_{n'}$,

$$x - \beta_{n'}t - \bar{y}_{n'}^0 \geq -(t+a)^\alpha.$$

Using again $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{1}{8} \min_{n' \neq n} |\ell_n - \ell_{n'}|$, (3.2) at $t = 0$, (3.5) and $a^\alpha = \frac{L}{10}$, for any $x \in \text{supp } \psi_{n'}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x - y_n(t) &\geq (\beta_{n'} - \ell_n)t + (\bar{y}_{n'}^0 - y_n(0)) - \gamma_1 t - (t+a)^\alpha \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n)t + \frac{1}{2}(y_{n+1}(0) - y_n(0)) - \gamma_1 t - t^\alpha - a^\alpha \\ &\geq 3\gamma_1 t + \frac{9L}{20} - t^\alpha - \frac{L}{10} \geq 3\gamma_1 t + \frac{9L}{20} - \gamma_1 t - \frac{L}{5} \geq \frac{L}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using similar arguments as in the proof of (3.9) and $\frac{p-1}{4} \leq 2\gamma_0$, we obtain (3.14). \square

Second, let

$$c_1 = \ell_1, \quad c_2 = \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{1 - \beta_2 \ell_2}, \quad c_n = \left(\frac{\ell_n - \ell_{n-1}}{1 - \beta_n \ell_n} \right) \prod_{n'=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right), \quad (3.17)$$

for $n = 3, \dots, N$. Denote

$$\tilde{c}_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_n = 1 + \sum_{n'=2}^n c_{n'} \beta_{n'} \quad \text{for } n = 2, \dots, N. \quad (3.18)$$

Such specific choices are explained in Remark 3.3. By direct computation, one proves the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For $n = 2, \dots, N$,

$$\sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} = \tilde{c}_n \ell_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_n = \prod_{n'=2}^n \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right). \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. We prove (3.19) by induction.

Step 1. For $n = 2$. By direct computation,

$$\tilde{c}_2 = 1 + c_2 \beta_2 = 1 + \frac{\beta_2 \ell_2 - \beta_2 \ell_1}{1 - \beta_2 \ell_2} = \frac{1 - \beta_2 \ell_1}{1 - \beta_2 \ell_2},$$

$$c_1 + c_2 = \ell_1 + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{1 - \beta_2 \ell_2} = \frac{\ell_2 - \beta_2 \ell_1 \ell_2}{1 - \beta_2 \ell_2} = \tilde{c}_2 \ell_2,$$

which implies (3.19) for $n = 2$.

Step 2. Assuming that (3.19) is true for $n = k$ with $2 \leq k \leq N - 1$, we prove that it is also true for $n = k + 1$. From the definition of c_n for $n \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{k+1} &= \prod_{n'=2}^k \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) + \left(\frac{\beta_{k+1} (\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k)}{1 - \beta_{k+1} \ell_{k+1}} \right) \prod_{n'=2}^k \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\beta_{k+1} (\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k)}{1 - \beta_{k+1} \ell_{k+1}} \right) \prod_{n'=2}^k \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) = \prod_{n'=2}^{k+1} \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n'=1}^{k+1} c_{n'} &= \tilde{c}_k \ell_k + c_{k+1} \\ &= \ell_k \prod_{n'=2}^k \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) + \left(\frac{\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k}{1 - \beta_{k+1} \ell_{k+1}} \right) \prod_{n'=2}^k \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) \\ &= \ell_{k+1} \prod_{n'=2}^{k+1} \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'-1}}{1 - \beta_{n'} \ell_{n'}} \right) = \tilde{c}_{k+1} \ell_{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.19) is true for $n = k + 1$. By the induction argument, (3.19) is proved for any $n = 2, \dots, N$. \square

Third, we introduce the following quantities, for $n = 2, \dots, N$,

$$\mathcal{J}_n(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u}) + \beta_n E_n(\vec{u}) + \beta_n F_n(\vec{u}) \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u}) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\chi_n \partial_x u_1 + \frac{1 - \beta_n^2}{2} (\partial_x \chi_n) u_1 \right) u_2 \, dx, \quad (3.21)$$

$$E_n(\vec{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1) \right) \chi_n \, dx, \quad (3.22)$$

$$F_n(\vec{u}) = -\frac{\alpha}{t+a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0) u_1 u_2 (\partial_x \chi_n) \, dx. \quad (3.23)$$

Last, we set

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{u}) = E(\vec{u}) + c_1 \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \mathcal{J}_n(\vec{u}) \quad (3.24)$$

where, as defined in the Introduction,

$$E(\vec{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1) \right) dx, \quad \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1) u_2 \, dx.$$

Remark 3.3. (i) The quantity $\mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u})$ is a standard localized version of the momentum for a wave-type equation, while $E_n(\vec{u})$ is a standard localized version of the energy.

(ii) The expression $E(\vec{u}) + c_1 \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n (\mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u}) + E_n(\vec{u}))$ should be the correct functional for stability of the sum of N solitons. Indeed, due to the specific choices of c_n in (3.17), locally around each solitary wave Q_n this functional is analogous to the quantity $\tilde{c}_n (E(\vec{u}) + 2\ell_n \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}))$ (see Lemma 3.4). The functional $E(\vec{u}) + 2\ell \mathcal{I}(\vec{u})$ is a usual quantity to prove stability of a soliton Q_ℓ with speed $\ell \in (-1, 1)$.

(iii) For technical reasons, we need to add the refined term $F_n(\vec{u})$ to the definition of \mathcal{J}_n .

By expanding $\vec{u}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) + \vec{\varphi}(t)$, we obtain the following formula.

Lemma 3.4. For all $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\vec{u}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}) + \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n H_n(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$H_n(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + 2\ell_n (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 - f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 \right) \psi_n \, dx,$$

for $n = 1, \dots, N$.

Remark 3.5. Error terms are estimated by $e^{-3\gamma_0 L}$ in this section. Observe that some loss is needed with respect to Section 2: Lemmas 2.6, 2.8 and 2.9.

Proof. Step 1. Expansion of $E(\vec{u})$. We prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} E(\vec{u}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad + O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Recall that $\vec{U} = \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n$. First, using the decomposition (2.8), the definition of G , the equation $-(1 - \ell_n^2) \partial_x^2 Q_n + Q_n - f(Q_n) = 0$ and integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E(\vec{u}) &= E(\vec{U}) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + \tilde{E}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_1 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F(U_1 + \varphi_1) - F(U_1) - f(U_1) \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2} f'(U_1) \varphi_1^2) \, dx, \\ \tilde{E}_2 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} G \varphi_1 \, dx, \\ \tilde{E}_3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n)) \varphi_1^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.20), $1 < q < p < \infty$ and the Sobolev embedding Theorem,

$$|\tilde{E}_1| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_1|^{p+1} + |\varphi_1|^{q+1} + |\varphi_1|^3) \, dx \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{H^1}^3 + \|\varphi_1\|_{H^1}^{q+1}.$$

By (2.25), (3.4), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality, we have

$$|\tilde{E}_2| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^3 + \theta^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^3 + e^{-\frac{9}{2}\gamma_0 L},$$

and

$$|\tilde{E}_3| \lesssim \|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n)\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_1\|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{H^1}^3 + \theta^3 \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-9\gamma_0 L}.$$

Second, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\vec{U}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n)^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \neq n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(1 + \ell_n \ell_{n'}) (\partial_x Q_n) (\partial_x Q_{n'}) + Q_n Q_{n'}] \, dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N F(Q_n)) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (2.22), (2.24) and (3.4),

$$\sum_{n \neq n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_x Q_n \partial_x Q_{n'}| + |Q_n Q_{n'}|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(U_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N F(Q_n)| \, dx \lesssim \theta \lesssim e^{-3\gamma_0 L}.$$

We see that (3.26) follows from above estimates.

Step 2. Expansion of $\mathcal{I}(\vec{u})$. We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \, dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 \, dx + O(e^{-3\gamma_0 L}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By direct computation and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx - 2 \sum_{n \neq n'} \ell_{n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_n) (\partial_x Q_{n'}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.22) and (3.4), we obtain (3.27).

Step 3. Expansion of $\mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u})$. We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u}) &= -2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} + \ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_n (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx + O\left(\frac{\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

We decompose

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{I}_n^1(\vec{u}) + (1 - \beta_n^2) \mathcal{I}_n^2(\vec{u}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_n^1(\vec{u}) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\chi_n \partial_x u_1) u_2 dx, \quad \mathcal{I}_n^2(\vec{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \chi_n) u_1 u_2 dx.$$

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_n^1 . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n^1(\vec{u}) &= -2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} + \ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_n (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx + O(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

By direct computation and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n^1(\vec{u}) &= -2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 + \ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} - \varphi_2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_n (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx + \mathcal{I}_n^{1,1} + \mathcal{I}_n^{1,2} + \mathcal{I}_n^{1,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n^{1,1} &= -2 \sum_{n' \neq n''} \ell_{n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_n (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\partial_x Q_{n''}) dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_n^{1,2} &= -2 \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 + \ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} - \varphi_2) dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_n^{1,3} &= -2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\chi_n - 1) (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 + \ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} - \varphi_2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.22) and (3.4),

$$|\mathcal{I}_n^{1,1}| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n''} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x Q_{n'}| |\partial_x Q_{n''}| dx \lesssim \theta \lesssim e^{-3\gamma_0 L}.$$

By (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_n^{1,2}| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} (\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}) + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 \chi_n \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 \chi_n \, dx \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_n^{1,3}| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=n}^N (\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|(\chi_n - 1) \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}) + \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 (1 - \chi_n) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=n}^N \left(\|(\chi_n - 1) \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 (1 - \chi_n) \, dx \right) \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that (3.29) follows from above estimates.

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_n^2 . We decompose

$$\mathcal{I}_n^2(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{I}_n^{2,1} + \mathcal{I}_n^{2,2} + \mathcal{I}_n^{2,3},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n^{2,1} &= - \sum_{n', n''=1}^N \ell_{n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \chi_n) (\partial_x Q_{n'}) Q_{n''} \, dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_n^{2,2} &= \sum_{n'=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \chi_n) (-\ell_{n'} (\partial_x Q_{n'}) \varphi_1 + Q_{n'} \varphi_2) \, dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_n^{2,3} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \chi_n) \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.13), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality,

$$|\mathcal{I}_n^{2,1}| \lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_x Q_{n'}|^2 + |Q_{n'}|^2) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_n^{2,2}| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} (\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2} + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=1}^N \left(\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (3.7) and $a^\alpha = \frac{L}{10}$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_n^{2,3}| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_1| |\varphi_2| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \lesssim \frac{\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{(t+a)^\alpha} \lesssim \frac{\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L}.$$

From above estimates, we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{I}_n^2| \lesssim \frac{\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.30)$$

We see that (3.28) follows from (3.29) and (3.30).

Step 4. Expansion of $E_n(\vec{u})$. We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n(\vec{u}) &= \sum_{n'=n}^N (1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}) \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'} + \ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \sum_{n'=n}^N f'(Q_{n'}) \varphi_1^2 \right) \chi_n \, dx \\
&\quad + O \left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

First, from (2.8), $-(1 - \ell_{n'}^2) \partial_x^2 Q_{n'} + Q_{n'} - f(Q_{n'}) = 0$, integration by parts and an elementary computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n(\vec{u}) &= E_n(\vec{U}) + 2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \sum_{n'=n}^N f'(Q_{n'}) \varphi_1^2 \right) \chi_n \, dx \\
&\quad + \tilde{E}_n^1 + \tilde{E}_n^2 + \tilde{E}_n^3 + \tilde{E}_n^4 + \tilde{E}_n^5 + \tilde{E}_n^6,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_n^1 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F(U_1 + \varphi_1) - F(U_1) - f(U_1) \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2} f'(U_1) \varphi_1^2 \right) \chi_n \, dx, \\
\tilde{E}_n^2 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} G \varphi_1 \chi_n \, dx, \\
\tilde{E}_n^3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(f'(U_1) - \sum_{n'=n}^N f'(Q_{n'}) \right) \varphi_1^2 \chi_n \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_n^4 &= -2 \sum_{n'=1}^N (1 - \ell_{n'}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\partial_x \chi_n) \varphi_1 \, dx, \\
\tilde{E}_n^5 &= 2 \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \chi_n \, dx, \\
\tilde{E}_n^6 &= 2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\ell_{n'} \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) (\chi_n - 1) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using again (2.20), $1 < q < p < \infty$ and the Sobolev embedding Theorem, we obtain

$$|\tilde{E}_n^1| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_1|^3 + |\varphi_1|^{q+1} + |\varphi_1|^{p+1}) \, dx \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1}.$$

By (2.25), (3.4), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality,

$$|\tilde{E}_n^2| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^3 + \theta^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2}^3 + e^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma_0 L},$$

Recall that, for any $x \in \text{supp} \chi_n$ and $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$,

$$|x - y_{n'}(t)| \geq \frac{1}{4}(\ell_n - \ell_{n-1})t + \frac{L}{4} \geq \frac{L}{4},$$

for L large enough. Thus, from $1 < q < p < \infty$ and $\gamma_0 \leq \frac{p-1}{8}$, we have

$$\sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|f'(Q_{n'})\chi_n\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q-1)L} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}.$$

Therefore, using again (2.25), (3.4), the Sobolev embedding Theorem and the Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}_n^3| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n'=1}^N f'(Q_{n'})| + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} |f'(Q_{n'})| \right) \varphi_1^2 |\chi_n| \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \left(\|f'(U_1) - \sum_{n'=1}^N f'(Q_{n'})\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|f'(Q_{n'})\chi_n\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \theta^3 + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|f'(Q_{n'})\chi_n\|_{L^\infty}^3 \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-6\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (3.10), (3.12), (3.13), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}_n^4| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=1}^N \|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}, \\ |\tilde{E}_n^5| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \|\chi_n \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}, \\ |\tilde{E}_n^6| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=n}^N \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|(\chi_n - 1) \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n'=n}^N \|(\chi_n - 1) \partial_x Q_{n'}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(\vec{U}) &= \sum_{n'=n}^N (1 - \ell_{n'}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}) + 2 \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n'})^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \tilde{E}_n^7 + \tilde{E}_n^8 + \tilde{E}_n^9 + \tilde{E}_n^{10}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_n^7 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F(U_1) - \sum_{n'=1}^N F(Q_{n'}) \right) \chi_n \, dx, \\ \tilde{E}_n^8 &= \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((1 + \ell_{n'}^2) (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 - 2F(Q_{n'}) \right) \chi_n \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_n^9 &= \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((1 + \ell_{n'}^2) (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 - 2F(Q_{n'}) \right) (\chi_n - 1) \, dx, \\ \tilde{E}_n^{10} &= \sum_{n' \neq n''} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((1 + \ell_{n'} \ell_{n''}) (\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\partial_x Q_{n''}) + Q_{n'} Q_{n''} \right) \chi_n \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.24) and (3.4),

$$|\tilde{E}_n^7| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| F(U_1) - \sum_{n'=1}^N F(Q_{n'}) \right| \, dx \lesssim \theta \lesssim e^{-3\gamma_0 L}.$$

From $1 < q < p < \infty$, (3.9) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}_n^8| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 + |Q_{n'}|^{p+1} + |Q_{n'}|^{q+1}) \chi_n \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2) \chi_n \, dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}_n^9| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2 + |Q_{n'}|^{p+1} + |Q_{n'}|^{q+1}) (1 - \chi_n) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n'=n}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + Q_{n'}^2) (1 - \chi_n) \, dx \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

Last, using again (2.22) and (3.4), we have

$$|\tilde{E}_n^{10}| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n''} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(\partial_x Q_{n'}) (\partial_x Q_{n''})| + |Q_{n'} Q_{n''}|) \, dx \lesssim \theta \lesssim e^{-3\gamma_0 L}.$$

We see that (3.31) follows from above estimates.

Step 5. Estimate of $F_n(\vec{u})$. We claim

$$|F_n(\vec{u})| \lesssim \frac{\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.32)$$

From (2.8), we decompose

$$F_n(\vec{u}) = F_n^1 + F_n^2 + F_n^3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^1 &= -\frac{\alpha}{t+a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0) (\varphi_1 \varphi_2) (\partial_x \chi_n) \, dx, \\ F_n^2 &= \frac{\alpha}{t+a} \sum_{n', n''=1}^N \ell_{n''} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0) (Q_{n'} \partial_x Q_{n''}) (\partial_x \chi_n) \, dx, \\ F_n^3 &= -\frac{\alpha}{t+a} \sum_{n'=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0) (\varphi_2 Q_{n'} - \ell_{n'} \varphi_1 \partial_x Q_{n'}) (\partial_x \chi_n) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

First, from (3.7) and $a = (\frac{L}{10})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \frac{L}{10}$,

$$|F_n^1| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_1| |\varphi_2| \, dx \lesssim \frac{\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L}.$$

Next, using again (3.7), (3.13), the AM-GM inequality and the Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n^2| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)} \sum_{n', n''=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Q_{n'}| |\partial_x Q_{n''}| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N (\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2) \lesssim e^{-4\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F_n^3| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)} \sum_{n'=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_2 Q_{n'}| + |\varphi_1 \partial_x Q_{n'}|) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} dx \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} (\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2} + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N \left(\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 \right) \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain (3.32).

Step 6. Conclude. First, we claim

$$E(\vec{u}) + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \beta_n E_n(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3 + O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}\right). \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\vec{Q}), \\
 \mathcal{E}_2 &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n \ell_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx, \\
 \mathcal{E}_3 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2) \psi_n dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, from (3.18), (3.26) and (3.31) and direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E(\vec{u}) + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \beta_n E_n(\vec{u}) \\
 &= \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \beta_n \chi_n\right) \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2\right) dx \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} \beta_{n'} \chi_{n'}\right) f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 dx \right] + O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q+1} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, from (3.6) and the definition of \tilde{c}_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \beta_n \chi_n\right) \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2\right) dx \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2\right) \psi_n dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^N \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \sum_{n'=2}^n c_{n'} \beta_{n'} \chi_{n'}\right) f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 dx \right] \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 \psi_n dx + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{n' \neq n} \left[\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{(n')^+} (c_k \beta_k)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 \psi_{n'} dx \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $(n')^+ = \min(n', n)$. Note that, by (3.14), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Young's inequality and the Sobolev embedding Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n' \neq n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(Q_n) \varphi_1^2 \psi_{n'} dx \right| &\lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|f'(Q_n) \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \sum_{n' \neq n} \|f'(Q_n) \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2}^3 \lesssim \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-6\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that (3.33) follows from combining these identities and estimates. Second, we claim

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \mathcal{I} + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \mathcal{I}_n &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\left(\sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx \right] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\left(\sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 \psi_n dx \right] \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Indeed, from (3.27) and (3.28),

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \mathcal{I} + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \mathcal{I}_n &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} \right) (\partial_x Q_n) (\ell_n \partial_x Q_n + \ell_n \partial_x \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(c_1 + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \chi_n \right) (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx + O \left(\frac{\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using again (3.6), we have

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(c_1 + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n \chi_n \right) (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 dx = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\left(\sum_{n'=1}^n c_{n'} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2 \psi_n dx \right],$$

which implies (3.34).

Combining (3.19), (3.20), (3.24), (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), we obtain (3.25). \square

Next, using the standard localized argument, we obtain the following coercivity result.

Lemma 3.6 (Coercivity). *There exist $0 < \mu \ll 1$ such that for all $t \in [0, T_*(\bar{u}_0)]$,*

$$\mu \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n H_n(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi}) + \mu^{-1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (a_n^-)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n^+)^2 \right] + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. First, we prove the localized coercivity of $H_n(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi})$ from the coercivity property (2.5) around one solitary wave with the orthogonality properties (2.9). By direct computation, the definition of ψ_n and $\sqrt{\chi}, \sqrt{1-\chi} \in C^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + 2\ell_n (\partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2) \psi_n dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\partial_x \left(\varphi_1 \sqrt{\psi_n} \right) \right)^2 + 2\ell_n \left(\partial_x \left(\varphi_1 \sqrt{\psi_n} \right) \right) \varphi_2 \sqrt{\psi_n} \right) dx + O \left(\frac{1}{L} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

We define

$$\vec{\varphi}_n = (\varphi_{1,n}, \varphi_{2,n}) = \left(\varphi_1(\cdot + y_n) \sqrt{\psi_n(\cdot + y_n)}, \varphi_2(\cdot + y_n) \sqrt{\psi_n(\cdot + y_n)} \right),$$

for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. From (2.5), (3.36) and the translation $x \rightarrow x + y_n$, there exists $\nu_n > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_n(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}) &= (\mathcal{H}_{\ell_n} \vec{\varphi}_n, \vec{\varphi}_n)_{L^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{L} \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\right) \\ &\geq \nu_n \|\vec{\varphi}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \nu_n^{-1} \left((\vec{\varphi}_n, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0)_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{\pm} (\vec{\varphi}_n, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^{\pm})_{L^2}^2 \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{L} \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ψ_n and the translation $x \rightarrow x - y_n$,

$$\|\vec{\varphi}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2) \psi_n \, dx + O\left(\frac{1}{L} \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\right).$$

Note that, from $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1$, we have $1 - \sqrt{\psi_n} \leq 1 - \psi_n$. Thus, using (3.15), (3.16), and $\sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \partial_x Q_n\|_{L^2} + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \partial_x^2 Q_n\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|(1 - \psi_n) \partial_x Q_n\|_{L^2} + \|(1 - \psi_n) \partial_x^2 Q_n\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\partial_x Q_n \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\partial_x^2 Q_n \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \Upsilon_{n,1}\|_{L^2} + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \Upsilon_{n,2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\Upsilon_{n,1} \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\Upsilon_{n,2} \psi_{n'}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-2\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on above estimates, (2.9), the definition of a_n , the translation $x \rightarrow x - y_n$ and the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\varphi}_n, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0)_{L^2}^2 &= \left(\vec{\varphi}, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \vec{Z}_n^0 \right)_{L^2}^2 \\ &= O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \partial_x Q_n\|_{L^2}^6 + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \partial_x^2 Q_n\|_{L^2}^6\right) \\ &= O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-12\gamma_0 L}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\varphi}_n, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^{\pm})_{L^2}^2 &= \left(a_n^{\pm} + \left(\vec{\varphi}, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \vec{Z}_n^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ &= (a_n^{\pm})^2 + O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \Upsilon_{n,1}\|_{L^2}^3 + \|(1 - \sqrt{\psi_n}) \Upsilon_{n,2}\|_{L^2}^3\right) \\ &= (a_n^{\pm})^2 + O\left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-6\gamma_0 L}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain the following localized coercivity of $H_n(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi})$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}) &\geq \nu_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x \varphi_1)^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2) \psi_n \, dx - \nu_n^{-1} \left((a_n^-)^2 + (a_n^+)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{L} \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3 + e^{-6\gamma_0 L}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. Last, combining $\tilde{c}_n > 0$, (3.37), $\sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n = 1$ and taking L large enough, we obtain the coercivity property (3.35). \square

Last, we prove the following almost monotonicity property for \mathcal{J}_n .

Lemma 3.7. *There exist C_1 such that for any $n = 1, \dots, N$ and $t \in [0, T_*(\bar{u}_0)]$,*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(t) - \mathcal{J}_n(0) \leq \frac{C_1}{L^{2\alpha-1}} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\bar{\varphi}(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + C_1 e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \quad (3.38)$$

Proof. Step 1. Time variation of \mathcal{I}_n . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}_n &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)^2 \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1 f(u_1) - 2F(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + \beta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1) u_2 \partial_x \chi_n \, dx - 2\beta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u_1) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (2(\partial_x u_1) u_2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

First, using (1.2) and integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(\chi_n \partial_x u_1) u_2 \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2F(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t \chi_n) (\partial_x u_1) u_2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\partial_t \chi_n = -\beta_n \partial_x \chi_n - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (\partial_x \chi_n). \quad (3.40)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(\chi_n \partial_x u_1) u_2 \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2\beta_n (\partial_x u_1) u_2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (2(\partial_x u_1) u_2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u_1) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Second, using again (1.2) and integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1 u_2 \partial_x \chi_n \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-(\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 f(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1 u_2) \partial_{tx} \chi_n \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1^2 \partial_x^3 \chi_n \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (3.8), (3.13) and the AM-GM inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1 u_2) \partial_{tx} \chi_n \, dx \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N (Q_{n'})^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N (\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1^2 \partial_x^3 \chi_n \, dx \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{3\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N (Q_{n'})^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + \varphi_1^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{3\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N (\|\partial_x Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{3\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1 u_2 \partial_x \chi_n \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 f(u_1) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Gathering (3.41) and (3.42), we obtain (3.39).

Step 2. Time variation of E_n . We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} E_n &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1) u_2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx - \beta_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad + 2\beta_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u_1) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) F(u_1) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

First, from (1.2),

$$\partial_t [(\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)] = 2(\partial_x u_1) \partial_x u_2 + 2(\partial_x^2 u_1) u_2 = 2\partial_x (u_2 \partial_x u_1).$$

Therefore, by integration by parts,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t [(\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)]) \chi_n \, dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1) u_2) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx.$$

Second, from (3.40),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)) \partial_t \chi_n \, dx \\
 &= -\beta_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) ((\partial_x u_1)^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2F(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Gathering these identities, we obtain (3.43).

Step 3. Time variation of F_n . We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} F_n &= \frac{-\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) [-(\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 f(u_1)] \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

First, from (1.2), $\partial_t(u_1 u_2) = u_2^2 - u_1^2 + u_1 f(u_1) + u_1 (\partial_x^2 u_1)$. Therefore, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) [\partial_t(u_1 u_2)] \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (-(\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 f(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{t+a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1^2 \partial_x^2 \chi_n \, dx + \frac{1}{2(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) u_1^2 \partial_x^3 \chi_n \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, from (2.8), (3.8), (3.13) and the AM-GM inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{t+a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_1^2 \partial_x^2 \chi_n \, dx \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N Q_{n'}^2 + \varphi_1^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N \|Q_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) u_1^2 \partial_x^3 \chi_n \, dx \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N Q_{n'}^2 + \varphi_1^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \, dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) [\partial_t(u_1 u_2)] \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) [-(\partial_x u_1)^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 f(u_1)] \partial_x \chi_n \, dx \\ & \quad + O\left(\frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Second, by direct computation and taking partial derivative of (3.40) with respect to x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[\left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{t+a} \right) \partial_x \chi_n \right] &= -\frac{\beta_n}{(t+a)} \partial_x \chi_n - \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2-\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) \partial_x \chi_n \\ & \quad - \frac{\beta_n}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) \partial_x^2 \chi_n \\ & \quad - \frac{\alpha}{(t+a)^{2-2\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right)^2 \partial_x^2 \chi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.7), (3.8) and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{4}{7}$,

$$\left| \partial_t \left[\left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{t+a} \right) \partial_x \chi_n \right] \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}.$$

Based on (2.8), (3.13), the AM-GM inequality and above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1 u_2) \partial_t \left[\left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{t+a} \right) \partial_x \chi_n \right] dx \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N Q_{n'}^2 + \sum_{n'=1}^N (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{1+\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

We see that (3.44) follows from (3.45) and (3.46).

Step 4. Conclude. Note that from (3.39), (3.43), (3.44) and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{4}{7}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}_n &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}_n + \beta_n \frac{d}{dt} E_n + \beta_n \frac{d}{dt} F_n \\ &= \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 + O \left(\frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (u_2 (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)) \partial_x \chi_n dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[1 - \beta_n^2 - \frac{\alpha \beta_n}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) \right] (u_1 f(u_1) - 2F(u_1)) \partial_x \chi_n dx.$$

Estimates of \mathcal{F}_1 . We claim

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \leq \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-3(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}. \quad (3.47)$$

Indeed, by the AM-GM inequality and $1 - \alpha = (1 - \frac{5}{4}\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2\alpha}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - \bar{y}_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) (u_2 (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)) \partial_x \chi_n dx \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2-\frac{5}{2}\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_2^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx + \frac{1}{(t+a)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (2.8), (3.7), (3.13) and the AM-GM inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2-\frac{5}{2}\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_2^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx \right| & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2-\frac{3}{2}\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{n'=1}^N (\partial_x Q_{n'})^2 + \varphi_2^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2-\frac{3}{2}\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-4(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, from $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{4}{7}$, we have $2 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha > 2\alpha$. Thus, from $a = (\frac{L}{10})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and taking L large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 & \leq -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx + \frac{1}{L^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_1 + \beta_n u_2)^2 \partial_x \chi_n dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-3(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.47).

Estimates of \mathcal{F}_2 . We claim

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \leq 0. \quad (3.48)$$

First, observe that, for L large enough, for any $x \in \Omega_n$,

$$1 - \beta_n^2 - \frac{\alpha\beta_n}{(t+a)^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{x - \beta_n t - y_n^0}{(t+a)^\alpha} \right) \geq 1 - \beta_n^2 - |\alpha\beta_n| \left| \frac{10}{L} \right|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} > 0.$$

Second, recall that, for any $x \in \Omega_n$ and $n' = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y_{n'}(t)| &\geq |\ell_{n'} - \beta_n|t + |y_{n'}(0) - \bar{y}_n^0| - \gamma_1 t - t^\alpha - \frac{L}{10} \\ &\geq 8\gamma_1 t + \frac{9L}{20} - \left(2\gamma_1 t + \frac{L}{5} \right) \geq \frac{L}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

for L large enough. Thus, from the decay properties of Q in (2.1), for any $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$,

$$\sum_{n'=1}^N |Q_{n'}(t, x)| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N e^{-\frac{|x - y_{n'}(t)|}{\sqrt{1 - \ell_{n'}^2}}} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \lesssim e^{-\frac{L}{4}}.$$

Based on above estimate, (3.2) and $\gamma_0 \leq \frac{1}{8}$, we have, for any $x \in \Omega_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{n'=1}^N |Q_{n'}(t, x)| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} + |\varphi_1(t, x)| \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{L}{4}} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim C_0 (e^{-\gamma_0 L} + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for L large enough and δ small enough, we obtain for any $x \in \Omega_n$,

$$u_1 f(u_1) - 2F(u_1) = -\frac{q-1}{q+1} |u_1|^{q+1} + \frac{p-1}{p+1} |u_1|^{p+1} \leq 0,$$

since $1 < q < p < \infty$. We conclude (3.48) from above estimates.

Gathering estimates (3.47) and (3.48), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}_n(t) \lesssim \frac{1}{(t+a)^{2\alpha}} \|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-3(\gamma_0 L + \gamma_1 t)}.$$

Integrating on $[0, t]$ for any $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_n(t) - \mathcal{J}_n(0) \lesssim \frac{1}{L^{2\alpha-1}} \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|\vec{\varphi}(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L},$$

which implies (3.38). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 using a bootstrap argument. We start with a technical result that will allow us to adjust the initial value with N free parameters.

Lemma 4.1 (The initial unstable mode). *Let $N \geq 2$. For $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $\sigma_n = \pm 1$ and $-1 < \ell_n < 1$ with $-1 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_N < 1$. There exist $L_0 \gg 1$ and $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$ such that the following is true. Let $y_1^0 < \dots < y_N^0$ be such that*

$$L = \min(y_{n+1}^0 - y_n^0, n = 1, \dots, N-1) > L_0,$$

and $\vec{\varepsilon} \in H^1 \times L^2$, $\mathbf{a}^+ = (a_n^+)_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be such that

$$\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \delta < \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a}^+ \in \bar{B}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r) \quad \text{where} \quad r = C_0^{\frac{3}{4}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

C_0 is defined in the bootstrap (3.2) and to be taken large enough. Then, there exist $\tilde{y}_1^0 < \dots < \tilde{y}_N^0$ and $h_1^+, \dots, h_N^+ \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (|h_n^+| + |\tilde{y}_n^0 - y_n^0|) \leq C_0^{\frac{13}{6}} (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}), \quad (4.2)$$

such that the initial value defined by

$$\vec{u}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} + h_n^+ \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ \right) (\cdot - y_n^0) + \vec{\varepsilon}$$

rewrites as:

$$\vec{u}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) + \vec{\varphi}(0) \quad (4.3)$$

where $\vec{\varphi}(0)$ satisfies for all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\left(\vec{\varphi}(0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0 (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} = 0, \quad a_n^+(0) = \left(\vec{\varphi}(0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} = a_n^+. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, the initial data (4.3) is modulated in the sense of Lemma 2.3 with $y_n(0) = \tilde{y}_n^0$, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. Let

$$\Gamma^0 = (\mathbf{0}, y_1^0, \dots, y_N^0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \quad \Gamma = (h_1^+, \dots, h_N^+, \tilde{y}_1^0, \dots, \tilde{y}_N^0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}.$$

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N} \\ (\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma) &\mapsto (\Psi_1^a, \dots, \Psi_N^a, \Psi_1^0, \dots, \Psi_N^0), \end{aligned}$$

where $X = (H^1 \times L^2) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, and for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^a &= \sum_{n'=1}^N \sigma_{n'} \left(\left(\vec{Q}_{\ell_{n'}} (\cdot - y_{n'}^0) - \vec{Q}_{\ell_{n'}} (\cdot - \tilde{y}_{n'}^0) \right), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n'=1}^N h_{n'}^+ \left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^+ (\cdot - y_{n'}^0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} - a_n^+, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^0 &= \sum_{n'=1}^N \sigma_{n'} \left(\left(\vec{Q}_{\ell_{n'}} (\cdot - y_{n'}^0) - \vec{Q}_{\ell_{n'}} (\cdot - \tilde{y}_{n'}^0) \right), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0 (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n'=1}^N h_{n'}^+ \left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^+ (\cdot - y_{n'}^0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0 (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0 (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.3),

$$\vec{\varphi}(0) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n \left(\vec{Q}_{\ell_n} (\cdot - y_n^0) - \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) \right) + \sum_{n=1}^N h_n^+ \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ (\cdot - y_n^0) + \vec{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.5)$$

and thus the set of conditions in (4.4) is equivalent to $\Psi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$. We solve this nonlinear system by the Implicit Function Theorem. First, it is easy to check that

$$\Psi(\vec{0}, \mathbf{0}, \Gamma^0) = 0.$$

Second, by direct computation and integration by parts, for any $(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma)$ around $(\vec{0}, \mathbf{0}, \Gamma^0)$,

$$D_{\Gamma} \Psi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \left(\left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+(\cdot - y_n^0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^+(\cdot - y_{n'}^0) \right)_{L^2} \right)_{n, n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}} + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0|), \\
B &= \left(\left(\sigma_n \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0(\cdot - y_n^0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^+(\cdot - y_{n'}^0) \right)_{L^2} \right)_{n, n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}} + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0|), \\
C &= \left(\left(\sigma_n \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0(\cdot - y_n^0), \vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^+(\cdot - y_{n'}^0) \right)_{L^2} \right)_{n, n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}} + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0|), \\
D &= \left(\left(\sigma_n \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^0(\cdot - y_n^0), \sigma_{n'} \vec{Z}_{\ell_{n'}}^0(\cdot - y_{n'}^0) \right)_{L^2} \right)_{n, n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}} + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0|).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from $\left(\vec{Z}_{\ell}^0, \vec{Z}_{\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = 0$ and similar argument as in the proof of (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \text{diag} \left(\left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_1}^+, \vec{Z}_{\ell_1}^+ \right)_{L^2}, \dots, \left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_N}^+, \vec{Z}_{\ell_N}^+ \right)_{L^2} \right) + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0| + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}), \\
B &= O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0| + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}), \\
C &= O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0| + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}), \\
D &= \text{diag} \left(\left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_1}^0, \vec{Z}_{\ell_1}^0 \right)_{L^2}, \dots, \left(\vec{Z}_{\ell_N}^0, \vec{Z}_{\ell_N}^0 \right)_{L^2} \right) + O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\Gamma - \Gamma^0| + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $D_{\Gamma} \Psi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma)$ is an invertible matrix for $L > L_0$ large enough, with a lower bound uniform around $(\vec{0}, \mathbf{0}, \Gamma^0)$. Therefore, by the uniform variant of the implicit function theorem, there exist $0 < \delta_1 \ll 1$ (independent with the choose of $y_1^0 < \dots < y_N^0$) and C^1 map

$$\Pi : B_{H^1 \times L^2}(\vec{0}, \delta_1) \times B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\mathbf{0}, \delta_1) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}(\Gamma^0, \delta_1),$$

such that for all $\vec{\varepsilon} \in B_{H^1 \times L^2}(\vec{0}, \delta_1)$, $\mathbf{a}^+ \in B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\mathbf{0}, \delta_1)$ and $\Gamma \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}(\Gamma^0, \delta_1)$,

$$\Psi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+, \Gamma) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Gamma = \Pi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+).$$

Moreover, taking $0 < \delta < \delta_0 \ll 1$ small enough, $L > L_0$ large enough, for any $(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+)$ satisfying (4.1), we have

$$|\Gamma - \Gamma^0| = \left| \Pi(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a}^+) - \Pi(\vec{0}, \mathbf{0}) \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \|\mathbf{a}^+\| \lesssim \delta + C_0^{\frac{3}{4}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which implies (4.2) for taking C_0 large enough (independent with δ_0 and L_0). The proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete. \square

We are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\vec{\varepsilon} \in H^1 \times L^2$ and $y_1^0 < \dots < y_N^0$ as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. For all $\mathbf{a}^+(0) = \mathbf{a}^+ = (a_1^+, \dots, a_N^+) \in \bar{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$, we consider the solution $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ with the initial data as defined in Lemma 4.1

$$\vec{u}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} + h_n^+ \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+ \right) (\cdot - y_n^0) + \vec{\varepsilon} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n \vec{Q}_{\ell_n} (\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0) + \vec{\varphi}(0). \quad (4.6)$$

Note that, for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we just need to prove the existence of \vec{u}_0 such that $T_*(\vec{u}_0) = \infty$. We start by closing all bootstrap estimates except the one for the unstable modes. Last, we prove the existence of suitable parameters $\mathbf{a}^+ = (a_1^+, \dots, a_N^+)$ using a topological argument. Denote $q_0 = \min(3, q + 1)$.

Step 1. Closing the estimates in $\vec{\varphi}$. First, from (4.2) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{\varphi}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^N \|\vec{Q}_{\ell_n}(\cdot - y_n^0) - \vec{Q}_{\ell_n}(\cdot - \tilde{y}_n^0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n^+ \vec{Z}_{\ell_n}^+(\cdot - y_n^0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N (|h_n^+|^2 + |\tilde{y}_n^0 - y_n^0|^2) \lesssim C_0^{\frac{13}{8}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Second, from (3.38), conservation of energy $E(\vec{u}(t))$ and momentum $\mathcal{I}(\vec{u}(t))$, for any $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0(0))]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\vec{u}(t)) - \mathcal{E}(\vec{u}(0)) &= \sum_{n=2}^N c_n (\mathcal{J}_n(t) - \mathcal{J}_n(0)) \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\sum_{n=2}^N c_n \right) \left(\frac{1}{L^{2\alpha-1}} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\vec{\varphi}(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, from (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{c}_n H_n(\vec{\varphi}(t), \vec{\varphi}(t)) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(\vec{u}(t)) - \mathcal{E}(\vec{u}(0)) + O \left(\frac{\|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{L} + \|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q_0} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} + \|\vec{\varphi}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (3.2), (3.35), (4.7) and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{4}{7}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{L^{2\alpha-1}} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\vec{\varphi}(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \frac{1}{L} \|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\vec{\varphi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q_0} \\ &\quad + \left(C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} + C_0^{\frac{7}{4}} + C_0^{\frac{13}{8}} \right) (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}) + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{C_0^2}{L^{2\alpha-1}} + C_0^{\frac{7}{4}} \right) (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}) + C_0^{q_0} (\delta^{q_0} + e^{-q_0 \gamma_0 L}) + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}. \end{aligned}$$

This strictly improves the estimate on $\vec{\varphi}$ in (3.2) for taking L, C_0 large enough and δ small enough.

Step 2. Closing the estimates in $\min_n (y_{n+1} - y_n)$. From (3.5), (4.2) and $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{8} \min_n (\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n)$, for any $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t) &\geq (\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n)t + (y_{n+1}^0 - y_n^0) - 2\gamma_1 t - |\tilde{y}_{n+1}^0 - y_{n+1}^0| - |\tilde{y}_n^0 - y_n^0| \\ &\geq 8\gamma_1 t + L - 2\gamma_1 t + O \left(C_0^{\frac{13}{16}} (\delta + e^{-\gamma_0 L}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which strictly improves the estimate on $\min_n (y_{n+1} - y_n)$ in (3.2) for taking L large enough and δ small enough.

Step 3. Closing the estimates in $\mathbf{a}^- = (a_n^-)_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$. Note that, from (4.7),

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (a_n^-(0))^2 \lesssim \|\vec{\varphi}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \lesssim C_0^{\frac{13}{8}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}).$$

By direct computation, (2.28), (3.2) and (3.4), for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{2\alpha_n t} (a_n^-(t))^2) &= 2e^{2\alpha_n t} a_n^-(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} a_n^-(t) + \alpha_n a_n^-(t) \right) \\ &= e^{2\alpha_n t} O \left(|a_n^-|^{q_0} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q_0} + \theta \right) \\ &= e^{2\alpha_n t} O \left(C_0^{q_0} (\delta^{q_0} + e^{-q_0 \gamma_0 L}) + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on $[0, t]$, for any $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$ and any $n = 1, \dots, N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a_n^-(t))^2 &\lesssim e^{-2\alpha_n t} (a_n^-(0))^2 + e^{-2\alpha_n t} \int_0^t e^{2\alpha_n s} (C_0^{q_0} (\delta^{q_0} + e^{-q_0 \gamma_0 L}) + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}) \, ds \\ &\lesssim C_0^{\frac{13}{8}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}) + C_0^{q_0} (\delta^{q_0} + e^{-q_0 \gamma_0 L}) + e^{-3\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

which strictly improves the estimate on $\mathbf{a}^- = (a_n^-)_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$ in (3.2) for taking L large enough and δ small enough.

Step 4. Final argument on the unstable parameters. Let

$$b(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n^+(t))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha} = \min_n \alpha_n.$$

We claim, for any time $t \in [0, T_*(\vec{u}_0)]$ where it holds $b(t) = C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L})$, the following transversality property holds,

$$\frac{d}{dt} b(t) \geq \bar{\alpha} C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}) > 0. \quad (4.8)$$

From (2.28), (3.2) and (3.4), taking C_0 large enough, for any time $t \in [0, T_*]$ where it holds $b(t) = C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} b(t) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (a_n^+(t))^2 + O \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n^+(t)| \right) \left(\|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q_0-1} + \theta \right) \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (a_n^+(t))^2 + O \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n^+|^{q_0} + \|\vec{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q_0} + e^{-3\gamma_0 L} \right) \\ &\geq 2\bar{\alpha} C_0^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L}) - C_0^{q_0+1} (\delta^{q_0} + e^{-q_0 \gamma_0 L}) - C_0 e^{-3\gamma_0 L}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.8) for taking δ small enough and L large enough (depending on C_0). The transversality relation (4.8) is enough to justify the existence of at least a couple $\mathbf{a}^+(0) = (a_1^+(0), \dots, a_N^+(0)) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r)$ such that $T_*(\vec{u}_0) = \infty$ where $r = C_0^{\frac{3}{4}} (\delta^2 + e^{-2\gamma_0 L})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The proof is by contradiction, we assume that for all $\mathbf{a}^+(0) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r)$, it holds $T_*(\vec{u}_0) < \infty$. Then, a contradiction follows from the following discussion (see for instance more details in [6] and [7, Section 3.1]).

Continuity of $T_(\vec{u}_0)$.* The above transversality condition (4.8) implies that the map

$$\mathbf{a}^+(0) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r) \mapsto T_*(\vec{u}_0) \in [0, \infty)$$

is continuous and

$$T_*(\vec{u}_0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{a}^+(0) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r).$$

Construction of a retraction. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} : \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r) &\mapsto \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r) \\ \mathbf{a}^+(0) &\mapsto \mathbf{a}^+(T_*(\vec{u}_0)). \end{aligned}$$

From what precedes, \mathcal{M} is continuous. Moreover, \mathcal{M} restricted to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r)$ is the identity. The existence of such a map is contradictory with the no retraction theorem for continuous maps from the ball to the sphere.

We have proved the existence of $\mathbf{a}^+(0) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(r)$, associated to a global solution $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ of (1.2) with initial data defined in Lemma 4.1, which also satisfies (2.7) and (3.2) for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thus complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Béthuel, P. Gravejat and D. Smets, Stability in the energy space for chains of solitons of the one-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **64** (2014), no. 1, 19–70.
- [2] H. Berestycki and P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **82**, (1983), no. 4, 313–345.
- [3] V. S. Buslaev and G. S. Perelman, On the stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Nonlinear evolution equations*, 75–98, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **164**, Adv. Math. Sci., 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [4] V. Combet, Multi-soliton solutions for the supercritical gKdV equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **36** (2011), no. 3, 380–419.
- [5] A. Contreras and D. Pelinovsky, Stability of multi-solitons in the cubic NLS equation. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **11** (2014), no. 2, 329–353.
- [6] R. Côte, Y. Martel and F. Merle, Construction of multi-soliton solutions for the L^2 -supercritical gKdV and NLS equations. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **27** (2011), no. 1, 273–302.
- [7] R. Côte and C. Muñoz, Multi-solitons for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Forum of Mathematics*, Sigma **2** (2014), Paper No. e15, 38 pp.
- [8] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *Math. Z.* **189** (1985), no. 4, 487–505.
- [9] J. Krieger, K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Center-stable manifold of the ground state in the energy space for the critical wave equation. *Math. Ann* **361** (2015), no. 1-2, 1–50.
- [10] S. Le Coz and Y. Wu, Stability of multisolitons for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2018, no. 13, 4120–4170.
- [11] Y. Martel, Asymptotic N -soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 5, 1103–1140.
- [12] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Multi solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **23** (2006), no. 6, 849–864.
- [13] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Construction of multi-solitons for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **222** (2016), no. 3, 1113–1160.
- [14] Y. Martel, F. Merle and T.-P. Tsai, Stability and asymptotic stability in the energy space of the sum of N solitons for subcritical gKdV equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), no. 2, 347–373.
- [15] Y. Martel, F. Merle and T.-P. Tsai, Stability in H^1 of the sum of K solitary waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Duke Math. J.* **133** (2006), no. 3, 405–466.
- [16] C. Miao, X. Tang and G. Xu, Stability of the traveling waves for the derivative Schrödinger equation in the energy space. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56** (2017), no. 2, Paper No. 45, 48 pp.
- [17] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Invariant manifolds and dispersive Hamiltonian evolution equations. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. *European Mathematical Society (EMS)*, Zürich, 2011.
- [18] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Global dynamics above the ground state for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation without a radial assumption. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **203** (2012), no. 3, 809–851.
- [19] G. Perelman, Some results on the scattering of weakly interacting solitons for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Spectral Theory, Microlocal Analysis, Singular Manifolds*, 78–137, Math. Top., 14, Adv. Partial Differential Equations, *Akademie Verlag, Berlin*, 1997.
- [20] I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag, A. Soffer, Asymptotic stability of N -soliton states of NLS. Preprint arXiv:math/0309114.
- [21] X. Yuan, On multi-solitons for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *Nonlinearity* **32** (2019), no. 12, 5017–5048.

CMLS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, F-91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE.

Email address: xu.yuan@polytechnique.edu