

Strong laws of large numbers for pairwise PQD random variables: a necessary condition

João Lita da Silva¹

*Department of Mathematics and GeoBioTec
Faculty of Sciences and Technology
NOVA University of Lisbon
Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal*

Abstract

A necessary condition is given for a sequence of identically distributed and pairwise positively quadrant dependent random variables obeying the strong laws of large numbers with respect to the normalising constants $n^{1/p}$ ($1 \leq p < 2$).

Key words and phrases: Strong law of large numbers, positively quadrant dependent random variables, (positively) associated random variables

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60F15

1 A necessary condition

Although the idea of positive dependence traces back to the forties by way of particular examples and without explicit referral to any dependent structure (see Hoeffding 1948; Lehmann 1951 or Blum et al. 1961), formal definitions only emerged in Esary et al. 1967 and Lehmann 1966. Throughout, we shall focus on the concepts of (positive) association and positive quadrant dependence, whose definitions can be found in the previous two references.

A finite sequence of random variables $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is said to be *(positively) associated* if for coordinatewise nondecreasing functions f and g defined on \mathbf{R}^n ,

$$\text{Cov}(f(X_1, \dots, X_n), g(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \geq 0$$

whenever the covariance is defined. A sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be (positively) associated if every finite subfamily is (positively) associated. A sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of

¹*E-mail address:* jfls@fct.unl.pt; joao.lita@gmail.com

random variables is said to be *pairwise positively quadrant dependent* (pairwise PQD, in short) if

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x_k, X_j \leq x_j\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x_k\}\mathbb{P}\{X_j \leq x_j\} \geq 0$$

for all reals x_k, x_j and all positive integers k, j such that $k \neq j$. Concerning elementary properties involving the aforementioned concepts, we refer the reader to Esary et al. 1967 and Lehmann 1966.

Associated to a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, we shall consider the space \mathcal{L}_p ($p > 0$) of all measurable functions X (necessarily random variables) for which $\mathbb{E}|X|^p < \infty$. Given an event A we shall denote the indicator random variable of the event A by I_A .

Over the last decades, some authors have established strong laws of large numbers for positively dependent random variables (see, for instance, Birkel 2010; Chen and Sung 2019; Louhichi 2000 or Lita da Silva 2018a). Recently, Chen and Sung (Chen and Sung 2019) considered a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of (positively) associated random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable $X \in \mathcal{L}_p$, $1 \leq p < 2$ (i.e. for which there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\sup_n \mathbb{P}\{|X_n| > t\} \leq C\mathbb{P}\{|X| > t\}$ for each $t > 0$ and some random variable $X \in \mathcal{L}_p$, $1 \leq p < 2$), proving that if the following covariance condition

$$\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq \infty} j^{-2/p} G_{X_k, X_j}(k^{1/p}, j^{1/p}) < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

holds, where $G_{X_k, X_j}(u, v) := \int_{-v}^v \int_{-u}^u [\mathbb{P}\{X_k > x, X_j > y\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x\}\mathbb{P}\{X_j > y\}] dx dy$, then $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k)/n^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$.

On the other hand, from Remark 1 of Lita da Silva 2018b, we can state that if $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of pairwise PQD random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ satisfying $\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq \infty} j^{-2} G_{X_k, X_j}(k, j) < \infty$ then $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k)/n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ (see also Theorem 3 of Chen and Sung 2019).

Our purpose in this short note is to provide a necessary condition for a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of identically distributed and pairwise PQD random variables to satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k)/n^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. During the whole of this paper, we shall define $\Delta_{k,j}(x, y) := \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x, X_j > y\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x\}\mathbb{P}\{X_j > y\}$.

Theorem 1 *Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed pairwise PQD random variables. If $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - c)/n^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ for some finite constant c , and*

$$\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq \infty} (kj)^{-1/p} G_{X_k, X_j}(k^{1/p}, j^{1/p}) < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{|X_1| > k^{1/p}\} < \infty$.

Proof. Firstly, if $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - c)/n^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ then

$$\frac{X_n}{n^{1/p}} = \frac{X_n - c}{n^{1/p}} + \frac{c}{n^{1/p}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - c)}{n^{1/p}} - \frac{(n-1)^{1/p} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (X_k - c)}{n^{1/p} (n-1)^{1/p}} + \frac{c}{n^{1/p}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Arguing by contradiction, let us assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\} = \infty$. Supposing $A_k := \{X_k > k^{1/p}\}$ and fixing an $\varepsilon > 1$, we have for every $k \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x, X_j > y\} \, dx dy &\geq \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \, dx dy \\ &= k^{1/p} j^{1/p} \left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k^{1/p} j^{1/p}} \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x, X_j > y\} \, dx dy \\ &= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k^{1/p} j^{1/p}} \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} [\Delta_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) + \mathbb{P}\{X_k > x\} \mathbb{P}\{X_j > y\}] \, dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k^{1/p} j^{1/p}} \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} \Delta_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) \, dx dy + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 > \frac{k^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 > \frac{j^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{k,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j)}{[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k)]^2} &\leq 2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (kj)^{-1/p} \int_{j^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{j^{1/p}} \int_{k^{1/p/\varepsilon}}^{k^{1/p}} \Delta_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) \, dx dy}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\})^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} + \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon X_1 > k^{1/p}\}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon X_1 > k^{1/p}\}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} \leq \frac{\int_0^n \mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon X_1 > t^{1/p}\} \, dt}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} = \frac{\varepsilon^p \int_0^{n/\varepsilon^p} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > s^{1/p}\} \, ds}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^p \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{\varepsilon^p} \rceil - 1} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^p \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^p}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\}} + \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil u \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer not less than u , we get

$$\ell := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j)}{[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k)]^2} \leq \varepsilon^{2p}.$$

Since the latter inequality is true for any $\varepsilon > 1$, we have $\ell \leq 1$. According to Rényi–Lamperti’s lemma (see, for instance, Chandra 2008), we obtain $\ell = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k) = 1$ which contradicts (1.3). Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > k^{1/p}\} < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

It remains to prove that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{X_k < -k^{1/p}\} < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

By virtue of $\Delta_{k,j}(x, y) = \mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x, X_j \leq y\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x\}\mathbb{P}\{X_j \leq y\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, it follows for any $k \neq j$ and each fixed $\varepsilon > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-j^{1/p}}^{-j^{1/p}/\varepsilon} \int_{-k^{1/p}}^{-k^{1/p}/\varepsilon} \Delta_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) \, dx dy \\ &= \int_{-j^{1/p}}^{-j^{1/p}/\varepsilon} \int_{-k^{1/p}}^{-k^{1/p}/\varepsilon} [\mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x, X_j \leq y\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq x\}\mathbb{P}\{X_j \leq y\}] \, dx dy \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 k^{1/p} j^{1/p} \mathbb{P}\{X_k \leq -k^{1/p}, X_j \leq -j^{1/p}\} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 k^{1/p} j^{1/p} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 \leq -\frac{k^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 \leq -\frac{j^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., by setting $B_k := \{X_k \leq -k^{1/p}\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B_k \cap B_j) &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k^{1/p} j^{1/p}} \int_{-j^{1/p}}^{-j^{1/p}/\varepsilon} \int_{-k^{1/p}}^{-k^{1/p}/\varepsilon} \Delta_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) \, dx dy \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 \leq -\frac{k^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_1 \leq -\frac{j^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 \leq -k^{1/p}\} = \infty$ and following exactly the same steps as before, one can conclude that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_k \cap B_j)}{[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_k)]^2} = 1,$$

and whence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 \leq -k^{1/p}\} < \infty$ entailing (1.5). Thus, (1.4) and (1.5) establish the thesis. \square

Next, we present a sequence of identically distributed and pairwise PQD random variables which satisfies (1.2).

EXAMPLE. Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ a sequence of identically distributed random variables such that, for all $k \neq j$, (X_k, X_j) has bivariate distribution,

$$F_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) = F_{X_k}(x)F_{X_j}(y) + \theta_{k,j} F_{X_k}^{s_{k,j}}(x) F_{X_j}^{s_{k,j}}(y) [1 - F_{X_k}(x)]^{r_{k,j}} [1 - F_{X_j}(y)]^{r_{k,j}}$$

where $r_{k,j}, s_{k,j} \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta_{k,j} \leq 1$ for all k, j . Thus, $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a pairwise PQD sequence (see, for instance, Lai and Xie 2000). Considering X_1 having probability density

function $f_{X_1}(t) = 2t^{-3}I_{(1,\infty)}(t)$, it follows $\mathbb{E}|X_1|^p = 2/(2-p)$ and $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = \infty$. After standard computations, we obtain for $u, v > 1$

$$G_{X_k, X_j}(u, v) = \theta_{k,j} \left[\frac{s_{k,j}\Gamma(s_{k,j})\Gamma(r_{k,j} + 1/2)}{(2r_{k,j} - 1)\Gamma(r_{k,j} + s_{k,j} + 1/2)} - \frac{H(-s_{k,j}, r_{k,j} - 1/2; r_{k,j} + 1/2; 1/u^2)}{(2r_{k,j} - 1)u^{2r_{k,j}-1}} \right] \\ \cdot \left[\frac{s_{k,j}\Gamma(s_{k,j})\Gamma(r_{k,j} + 1/2)}{(2r_{k,j} - 1)\Gamma(r_{k,j} + s_{k,j} + 1/2)} - \frac{H(-s_{k,j}, r_{k,j} - 1/2; r_{k,j} + 1/2; 1/v^2)}{(2r_{k,j} - 1)v^{2r_{k,j}-1}} \right]$$

where $\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty t^{z-1}e^{-t}dt$, $\text{Re}(z) > 0$, is the gamma function and $H(a, b; c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n$, $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ denotes the hypergeometric function (here, $(a)_n := a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)$ stands for Pochhammer symbol). For instance, supposing $r_{k,j} = r$, $s_{k,j} = s$, and $\theta_{k,j} = k^\mu j^\nu$ with μ, ν verifying $1/p - 1 < \mu < 2/p - 2 - \nu$ we have $G_{X_k, X_j}(k^{1/p}, j^{1/p}) \leq C\theta_{k,j}$ with C not depending on k, j yielding

$$\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq \infty} (kj)^{-1/p} G_{X_k, X_j}(k^{1/p}, j^{1/p}) \leq C \sum_{j=2}^\infty j^{\nu-1/p} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} k^{\mu-1/p} \leq C \sum_{j=2}^\infty j^{\mu+\nu-2/p+1} < \infty.$$

Similar examples can be obtained considering $X_1 \in \mathcal{L}_p$ and random pairs (X_k, X_j) , $k \neq j$ having bivariate distribution

$$F_{X_k, X_j}(x, y) = F_{X_k}(x)F_{X_j}(y) + \theta_{k,j}\phi_{k,j}[F_{X_k}(x)]\psi_{k,j}[F_{X_j}(y)]$$

by choosing suitable nonnegative absolutely continuous functions $\phi_{k,j}, \psi_{k,j}$ on $[0, 1]$ satisfying, for each k, j , $\phi_{k,j}(0) = \phi_{k,j}(1) = \psi_{k,j}(0) = \psi_{k,j}(1) = 0$, and

$$0 \leq \theta_{k,j} \leq -\frac{1}{\min\{\alpha_{k,j}\delta_{k,j}, \beta_{k,j}\gamma_{k,j}\}},$$

where $\alpha_{k,j} := \inf\{\phi'_{k,j}(t) : t \in A\} < 0$, $\beta_{k,j} := \sup\{\phi'_{k,j}(t) : t \in A\} > 0$, $\gamma_{k,j} := \inf\{\psi'_{k,j}(t) : t \in B\} < 0$ and $\delta_{k,j} := \sup\{\psi'_{k,j}(t) : t \in B\} > 0$, with $A = \{t \in [0, 1] : \phi'_{k,j}(t) \text{ exists}\}$ and $B = \{t \in [0, 1] : \psi'_{k,j}(t) \text{ exists}\}$ (see Corollary 2.4 of Rodríguez-Lallena and Úbeda-Flores 2004).

By gathering Theorem 4 of Chen and Sung 2019 with above Theorem 1, we can announce a Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund strong law of large numbers for (positively) associated and identically distributed random variables.

Corollary 1 *Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed and (positively) associated random variables satisfying condition (1.2). Then, $\mathbb{E}|X_1|^p < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E}X_1)/n^{1/p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$.*

Proof. Obviously, (1.2) implies (1.1) and one implication is a consequence of Theorem 4 in Chen and Sung 2019. The reciprocal follows from Theorem 1 and Corollary 4.1.3 of Chow and Teicher 1997. The proof is complete. \square

Furthermore, we are also able to present the following equivalence result.

Corollary 2 *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed and pairwise PQD random variables satisfying $\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq \infty} G_{X_k, X_j}(k, j)/(kj) < \infty$. Then, $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_1)/n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$.*

Proof. The sufficiency part is assured by Remark 1 of Lita da Silva 2018b (or Theorem 3 of Chen and Sung 2019). The necessity part is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 4.1.3 of Chow and Teicher 1997. \square

Acknowledgements. This work is a contribution to the Project UIDB/04035/2020, funded by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal.

References

- Birkel, T. 1989. A note on the strong law of large numbers for positively dependent random variables. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 7:17–20. doi:10.1016/0167-7152(88)90080-6.
- Blum, J., J. Kiefer, and M. Rosenblatt. 1961. Distribution free tests of independence based on the sample distribution. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 32:485–498. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177705055.
- Chandra, T. K. 2008. The Borel–Cantelli lemma under dependence conditions. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 78:390–395. doi:10.1016/j.spl.2007.07.023.
- Chen, P., and S. H. Sung. 2019. Strong laws of large numbers for positively dependent random variables. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM* 113:3089–3100. doi:10.1007/s13398-019-00679-4.
- Chow, Y. S., and H. Teicher. 1997. *Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Esary, J., F. Proschan, and D. Walkup. 1967. Association of random variables with applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 38:1466–1476. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177698701.
- Hoeffding, W. 1948. A nonparametric test of independence. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 19:546–557. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177730150.
- Lai, C. D., and X. Xie. 2000. A new family of positive quadrant dependent bivariate distributions. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 46:359–364. doi:10.1016/S0167-7152(99)00122-4.
- Lehmann, E. 1951. Consistency and unbiasedness of certain nonparametric tests. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 22:165–179. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177729639.
- Lehmann, E. 1966. Some concepts of dependence. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 37:1137–1153. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177699260.

- Lita da Silva, J. 2018. Strong laws of large numbers for pairwise quadrant dependent random variables. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 137:349–358. doi:10.1016/j.spl.2018.01.031.
- Lita da Silva, J. 2018. Almost sure convergence for weighted sums of pairwise PQD random variables. arXiv:1812.09947 [math.ST].
- Louhichi, S. 2000. Convergence rates in the strong law for associated random variables. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 20:203–214.
- Rodríguez-Lallena, J. A., and M. Úbeda-Flores. 2004. A new class of bivariate copulas. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 66:315–325. doi:10.1016/j.spl.2003.09.010.