

COMMUTANT LIFTING IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

SIBAPRASAD BARIK, MONOJIT BHATTACHARJEE, AND B. KRISHNA DAS

ABSTRACT. In this article we study commutant lifting, more generally intertwining lifting, for different reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces over two domains in \mathbb{C}^n , namely the unit ball and the unit polydisc. The reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces we consider are mainly weighted Bergman spaces. Our commutant lifting results are explicit in nature and that is why these results are new even in one variable ($n = 1$) set up.

NOTATION

\mathbb{N}	The set of all natural numbers.
\mathbb{Z}_+	The set of all positive integers.
\mathbb{D}	Open unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .
\mathbb{D}^n	Open unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n .
\mathbb{B}^n	Open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n .
\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E}	Hilbert spaces.
$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$	The space of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .
$H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D})$	\mathcal{E} -valued Hardy space on \mathbb{D} .

All Hilbert spaces are assumed to be over the complex numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the well-studied problems in function theory is the classical interpolation problem of bounded analytic functions: the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem and the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem. The classical Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem ([24, 23]) over the unit disk (\mathbb{D}) asks the following: Given any set of n distinct points $\{z_i\}_1^n \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ and arbitrary n points $\{w_i\}_1^n \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ whether there exists a bounded holomorphic function Φ on \mathbb{D} with $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ such that $\Phi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. It was Sarason ([27]) who first observed that there is a natural operator theoretic connection, by means of a commutant lifting theorem, to this function theoretic problem. The key observation was that having such a bounded analytic function Φ is same as having a lift (co-extension) of a certain operator defined on a certain co-invariant subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} . In this context, Sarason proved that if \mathcal{Q} is a co-invariant subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a contraction such that $X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}M_z|_{\mathcal{Q}}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}}M_z|_{\mathcal{Q}})X$ then there exists a Φ in $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, the algebra of all

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A13, 47A20, 47A45, 47A48, 47A56, 46E22, 47B32, 32A36, 32A70.

Key words and phrases. Commutant lifting, intertwining lifting, weighted Bergman spaces, Schu-Agler functions, hypercontractions.

bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} , such that $M_\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{Q}} = X^*$ and $\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ where M_z is the shift on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and M_Φ is the multiplication operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ induced by the bounded analytic function Φ . Adding more operator theoretic flavor, Sz.-Nagy and Foias generalized this for arbitrary contractions and prove the following intertwining lifting result (also see [16] for a matricial approach): If T and S are contractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} with minimal isometric dilations ([26]) $U \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ respectively, and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction such that $XT = SX$ then there exists a contraction $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ satisfying $YU = VY$ and $Y^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = X^*$. Apart from its function theoretic applications and interesting operator theoretic consequences, intertwining lifting theorem has applications in the control theory (see [18]). There have been significant amount of research devoted to finding multivariate analogues of this intertwining lifting theorem and its counterpart in the setting of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces over different domains in \mathbb{C}^n with application to interpolations. An incomplete list of references is [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 15, 17, 21, 23, 24].

The aim of this article is to study intertwining lifting theorem for different reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces on two domains in \mathbb{C}^n , namely the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n and the unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^n . In the case of \mathbb{B}^n , let $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) be the \mathcal{E} -valued weighted Bergman space with kernel

$$K_m(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle)^m} I_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{B}^n)$$

for some coefficient Hilbert space \mathcal{E} . A closed subspace \mathcal{Q} of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is a co-invariant subspace if \mathcal{Q} is jointly invariant under the adjoint of the n -tuple of shifts $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$. One of the problems that we consider in this setting is the following.

If $\mathcal{Q}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_)$ are co-invariant subspaces for some Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_* and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction such that*

$$(1.1) \quad X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}) X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ then does there exists a Schur-Agler function (defined below) $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_)$ such that $X^* = M_\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$?*

It is because of the fact that Schur-Agler class of functions is more tractable in the sense of its transfer function realization (see Theorem 2.2 below), we seek liftings corresponding to multipliers in this class. Also note that for a Schur-Agler function $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$, the corresponding multiplication operator $M_\Phi : \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ defined by $f \mapsto \Phi f$ is a bounded operator (see Proposition 2.3 below). It turns out that it is not possible to find a lift of X in the Schur-Agler class multipliers, in general. We find a necessary and sufficient condition on X for which it has a lift in the Schur-Agler class. The necessary and sufficient condition is simply that

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1} (I - XX^*) \geq 0$$

where $S = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})$ and $\sigma_S : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_2)$ is defined by

$$Y \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n S_i Y S_i^*.$$

In the case when X satisfies the above the positivity condition, we also obtain an explicit description of its lift Φ by means of finding a unitary whose transfer function is Φ . We

should mention here that the present work is based on ideas found in the context of sharp von Neumann inequality on distinguished varieties in [13]. For $m = 1$, the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is known as the Drury-Arveson space and the above positivity condition is satisfied by any contraction X , in this case. Therefore, in the case of Drury-Arveson space any contraction satisfying the intertwining relation (1.1) can be lifted to a multiplier corresponding to a Schur-Agler function. This result is known (see [3, 7, 14, 17]). However, our proof is not only new but also provides an explicit description of the lifting. The explicitness of the lifting is naturally important from the point of view of its application in interpolation. As an immediate consequence, this also provides an alternate proof of transfer function realization of Schur-Agler functions on \mathbb{B}^n which was proved earlier in [17] and also in [7]. We continue our study to consider the above intertwining lifting problem for the case when \mathcal{Q}_1 is a co-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and \mathcal{Q}_2 is a co-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ for $p > m$ (see Theorem 3.11) and which led to factorization of certain type of multipliers (see Theorem 3.13). A particular case, namely $m = 1$ and $p > 1$, is studied recently in [15].

Several variable analogue of Sz.-Nagy and Foias intertwining lifting theorem is rather complicated and fails in general (see [18, 21]). In particular, Muller [21] showed that if (T_1, T_2) is a pair of commuting contractions on \mathcal{H} with minimal regular dilation (U_1, U_2) on \mathcal{K} ([26]) and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ commutes with T_1 and T_2 , then there does not exist any operator $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ which commutes with U_1 and U_2 such that $X = P_{\mathcal{H}}Y|_{\mathcal{H}}$. On the other hand, in the setting of \mathcal{E} -valued Hardy space over the unit polydisc $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($n \geq 2$) Ball, Li, Timotin and Trent ([5]) found a necessary and sufficient condition for intertwining lifting in the Schur-Agler class of functions on \mathbb{D}^n . In this article, we generalize this result in the setting of weighted Bergman spaces over \mathbb{D}^n . To be more precise, corresponding to each $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ be the \mathcal{E} -valued weighted Bergman space over \mathbb{D}^n with kernel

$$K_{\gamma}(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^{-\gamma_i} I_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

where \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert space. If \mathcal{Q}_i is a co-invariant subspace of $A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction such that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X,$$

then we find a necessary and sufficient condition on X for which there is a Schur-Agler function (defined below) $\Phi : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ such that $X^* = M_{\Phi}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ (see Theorem 4.6 below for more details). In the case when X satisfies the necessary and sufficient condition, we find such a Schur-Agler function explicitly. This uses an appropriate modification of techniques found in [5].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the next section, we define reproducing kernels on \mathbb{B}^n and \mathbb{D}^n which we consider and describe few properties of their multiplier algebras. In Section 3, we study intertwining lifting theorem for reproducing kernels on \mathbb{B}^n . The intertwining lifting theorem for kernels on \mathbb{D}^n is considered in Section 4. We conclude the paper with some examples and remarks in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For an arbitrary set Λ , an operator-valued function $K : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *positive definite* if $\sum_{i,j}^n \langle K(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \geq 0$ for every choice of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$. A renowned theorem of Kolmogorov and Aronszajn (Theorem I.5.1 in [20]) completely characterizes all positive definite functions. It says that a function $K : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is positive definite if and only if there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a function $F : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $K(z, w) = F(z)F(w)^*$ for all $z, w \in \Lambda$. In this article, we mainly deal with kernel functions on two different domains in \mathbb{C}^n , namely the polydisc (\mathbb{D}^n) and the unit ball (\mathbb{B}^n). Multiplier algebras of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces corresponding to such kernel functions are inevitable in the present consideration. A brief descriptions of these are considered in the subsections below. For Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 and for any domain $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, we denote by $H^\infty(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ the Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ with respect to the norm $\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup \{\|\phi(z)\| : z \in \Lambda\}$ and by $H_1^\infty(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ we denote the closed unit ball of $H^\infty(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$.

2.1. Bergman Spaces over the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n : For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the positive definite function $K_m : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_m(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = (1 - \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle)^{-m} = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{w}_i)^{-m}, \quad (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{B}^n).$$

is known as the kernel for weighted Bergman space over \mathbb{B}^n . For an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , we denote by $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ the \mathcal{E} -valued weighted Bergman space over \mathbb{B}^n with kernel

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow K_m(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) I_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{B}^n).$$

Note that, for each $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have (cf. page 983, [22])

$$(2.1) \quad (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i)^{-m} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_m(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $\rho_m(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(m+|\mathbf{k}|-1)!}{\mathbf{k}!(m-1)!}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} = z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n}$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Using this, one can represent the Hilbert function space $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ in the following concrete way (see [4] and [22])

$$\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}) = \{f \in \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}) : \|f\|^2 := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{\|a_{\mathbf{k}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2}{\rho_m(\mathbf{k})} < \infty\}.$$

For $m = 1, n$ and $n+1$, the corresponding Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$, $\mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{H}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ are known as the \mathcal{E} -valued Drury-Arveson space, the \mathcal{E} -valued Hardy space and the \mathcal{E} -valued Bergman space over \mathbb{B}^n , respectively. Following standard notation, we denote the Drury-Arveson space $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ by $H_n^2(\mathcal{E})$. The commuting n -tuple of co-ordinate multiplication operators $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ are called shifts on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$. These shifts on weighted Bergman spaces are models of a class of commuting n -tuple of operators which we define next. A commuting n -tuple of commuting contractions $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ on \mathcal{H} is said to be an *m-hypercontraction* if

$$(1 - \sigma_T)^i(I_{\mathcal{H}}) \geq 0$$

for $i = 1, m$ where $\sigma_T : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely positive map defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma_T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^* \quad (X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})).$$

It turns out that the above positivity for $i = 1, m$ is equivalent to the positivity for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ (see [22, Lemma 2]). In other words if T is an m -hypercontraction then it is also p -hypercontraction for all $p = 1, \dots, m$. An m -hypercontraction T on \mathcal{H} is said to be *pure* if $\sigma_T^j(I_{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow 0$ in strong operator topology as $j \rightarrow \infty$. The *defect operator* and the *defect space* of T is denoted by D_{m,T^*} and \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*} respectively and defined by

$$D_{m,T^*} = [(1 - \sigma_T)^m(I_{\mathcal{H}})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*} = \overline{\text{ran}} D_{m,T^*}.$$

If T is a pure m -hypercontraction, then the *canonical dilation map* $\pi_T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*})$, defined by

$$(2.3) \quad (\pi_T h)(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_m(\mathbf{k})(D_{m,T^*} T^{*\mathbf{k}} h) z^{\mathbf{k}} \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbb{B}^n)$$

is an isometry and

$$\pi_T T_i^* = M_{z_i}^* \pi_T \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $\rho_m(\mathbf{k})$ is as in (2.1). In other words,

$$T \cong (P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}}),$$

where $\mathcal{Q} = \text{ran} \pi_T$ is a co-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*})$. This well-known models of pure m -hypercontractions is obtained in [22].

For any two arbitrary Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 and $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, a holomorphic function $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ is said to be a *multiplier* from $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)$ to $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)$ if

$$\Phi f \in \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ the space of all multipliers from $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)$ to $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)$. By an immediate consequence of closed graph theorem, each member Φ of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ induces a bounded linear operator

$$M_{\Phi} : \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2), \quad f \mapsto \Phi f,$$

and it is known as the multiplication operator induced by Φ . With the induced norm, that is

$$\|\Phi\| := \|M_{\Phi}\|,$$

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ becomes a Banach space. The unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ and elements of the unit ball are called contractive multipliers. It is worth mentioning the following recent characterization of multipliers on weighted Bergman spaces. Although the characterization is valid for large class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces but we state it only for weighted Bergman spaces.

THEOREM 2.1 (cf. [25]). *Let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$. Then*

$$XM_{z_i} = M_{z_i}X, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

if and only if there exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ such that $X = M_\Theta$. Here M_{z_i} in the left side of the above identity is the shift on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)$ and M_{z_i} in the right side is the shift on $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)$.

In [4], Arveson shows that the space of multipliers from $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)$ to $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)$ is strictly contained in $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)) \subsetneq H^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)).$$

In particular, for $p = 1$, the space of Drury-Arveson space multipliers $\mathcal{M}(H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1), H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2))$ is strictly contained in $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$. The set of all contractive multipliers from Drury-Arveson space to itself, that is, $\mathcal{M}_1(H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1), H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2))$ is known as *Schur-Agler* class of functions on \mathbb{B}^n . The Schur-Agler class of functions has a well-known characterization in terms of transfer functions (cf. [17, 7]) as follows.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose $\phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ is a holomorphic function. Then the function $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_1(H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1), H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2))$ if and only if there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a unitary*

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}^n$$

such that $\phi(\mathbf{z}) = A + C(I - ZD)^{-1}ZB$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^n$, where the row contraction $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}^n, \mathcal{K})$ is defined by $Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i h_i$ ($(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$).

The connection between different multipliers spaces, in particular Schur-Agler class and $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ with $p \geq 2$, plays an important role in this article. It is highlighted in a recent article for general reproducing kernel Hilbert space (see Theorem 4.1 in [11]) but for our purpose we state a special case of it.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The Schur-Agler class is contained in the class of contractive multipliers of weighted Bergman spaces, that is, for all $p \geq 2$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_1(H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1), H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)).$$

2.2. Bergman spaces over the polydisc \mathbb{D}^n : For each $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the function $K_\gamma : \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^{-\gamma_i}, \quad \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n$$

is positive definite and is the kernel of weighted Bergman space over \mathbb{D}^n . For an Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , the kernel for the \mathcal{E} -valued weighted Bergman space over \mathbb{D}^n corresponding to γ is given by

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow K_\gamma(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})I_{\mathcal{E}}$$

and we denote the corresponding weighted Bergman space by $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$. An alternative description of the space $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is

$$A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}) = \left\{ f \in \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}) : \|f\|^2 := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{\|a_{\mathbf{k}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2}{\rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k})} < \infty \right\}$$

where $\rho_\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(\gamma + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{e})!}{\mathbf{k}!(\gamma - \mathbf{e})!} \quad (\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n),$$

with $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)$. In particular, for $\gamma = (1, \dots, 1)$, the space $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is known as the \mathcal{E} -valued Hardy space over \mathbb{D}^n . Unlike the case of the unit ball, the space of multipliers $\mathcal{M}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ is $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ and for $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$, the corresponding multiplication operator is defined by the usual way

$$M_\phi : A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_2), \quad (M_\phi f)(\mathbf{z}) = \phi(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z}) \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n, f \in A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)).$$

It is well-known that an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_1), A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_2))$ intertwines $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_1)$ and $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}_2)$ if and only if $X = M_\phi$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$. Due to Varopoulos [28], transfer function realization for elements in $H_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ is not possible in general. But, Agler, in his seminal paper [1], introduces a class inside $H_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ which has transfer function realization and is known as Schur-Agler class in \mathbb{D}^n . We denote this class by $\mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ and it is defined as

$$\{\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)) : \|\phi(T)\| \leq 1 \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) \text{ with } \|T_i\| < 1, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$ is the collection of all n -tuples of commuting contractions on \mathcal{H} . Realization in terms of unitary colligation for the members of $\mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ is obtained in [1] and it is a generalization of the fundamental one-dimensional result of Sz.-Nagy and Foias (cf. [26]).

THEOREM 2.4 (cf. [1]). *Let $\phi : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ be a holomorphic function. Then the function $\phi \in \mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2))$ if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$ with $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{K}_n$ and a unitary operator*

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

such that $\phi(\mathbf{z}) = A + C(I - E(\mathbf{z})D)^{-1}E(\mathbf{z})B$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n$, where $E : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ is defined by $E(\mathbf{z})(\oplus_{i=1}^n h_i) = \oplus_{i=1}^n z_i h_i$ for $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

3. COMMUTANT LIFTING FOR PURE m -HYPERCONTRACTIONS

Using the well-known models of pure m -hypercontractions [22], we first consider intertwining lifting for pure m -hypercontractions. We begin with pure m -hypercontractions $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively. Let $\pi_T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*})$ and $\pi_S : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ be the canonical dilation map of T and S respectively, as in (2.3). If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction such that

$$XT_i = S_i X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then our aim is to find a necessary and sufficient condition on X such that there exists a Schur-Agler function $\Phi : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ satisfying

$$\pi_T X^* = M_\Phi^* \pi_S.$$

Now we assume that X satisfies the positivity

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*) \geq 0,$$

where σ_S is as in (2.2). In such a case, we set $\Delta_{S,X}^2 := (1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*)$. Needless to say that for $m = 1$, that is for row contractions T and S , the above positivity assumption is automatic. Using (3.1) and the intertwining property of X , we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \sigma_S)^m(I_{\mathcal{K}}) - X(1 - \sigma_T)^m(I_{\mathcal{H}})X^* &= (1 - \sigma_S)^m(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*) \\ &= (1 - \sigma_S)(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*) \\ &= (1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*) - \sigma_S(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - XX^*). \end{aligned}$$

This in particular shows that

$$(3.2) \quad D_{m,S^*}^2 + \sigma_S(\Delta_{S,X}^2) = \Delta_{S,X}^2 + XD_{m,T^*}^2X^*.$$

Then by adding an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , if necessary, and by setting

$$\mathcal{R} := \overline{\text{ran}}\Delta_{S,X} \oplus \mathcal{E}$$

we construct a unitary

$$(3.3) \quad U := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}$$

such that

$$U(D_{m,S^*}k, (\Delta_{S,X}S_1^*k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}), \dots, (\Delta_{S,X}S_n^*k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})) = (D_{m,T^*}X^*k, (\Delta_{S,X}k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})) \quad (k \in \mathcal{K})$$

where $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n) : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}$ is a contraction and $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n) : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a row contraction. Before proceeding further we fix some notations. For an n -tuple of contractions $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ on \mathcal{H} and an ordered element $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$), we set

$$T_F := T_{f_1}T_{f_2} \cdots T_{f_r}.$$

If T is a row contraction, then the n^r -tuple $\{T_F : F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r\}$ is also a row contraction. This follows from the identity that

$$\sigma_{T^{\bullet r}}(I_{\mathcal{H}}) = \sigma_T^r(I_{\mathcal{H}}),$$

where $T^{\bullet r} = \{T_F : F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r\}$. Now we prove a lemma which is crucial to prove the intertwining lifting theorem and also to obtain explicit description of the lifting.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be pure m -hypercontractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a contraction such that $XT_i = S_iX$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and*

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0.$$

If $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ is the unitary as in (3.3), then we have the following operator identity

$$D_{m,T^*} X^* = AD_{m,S^*} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^i} B_j D_F C D_{m,S^*} S_F^* S_j^*,$$

where the sum converges in the strong operator topology and we follow the convention that $\{1, \dots, n\}^0 = \emptyset$, $D_\emptyset = I_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $S_\emptyset = I_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Proof. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, since

$$U(D_{m,S^*} k, (\Delta_{S,X} S_1^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}), \dots, (\Delta_{S,X} S_n^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})) = (D_{m,T^*} X^* k, (\Delta_{S,X} k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})),$$

we have the following two identities

$$(3.4) \quad D_{m,T^*} X^* k = AD_{m,S^*} k + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j (\Delta_{S,X} S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad (\Delta_{S,X} k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) = CD_{m,S^*} k + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i (\Delta_{S,X} S_i^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Now we solve the above equations for $D_{m,T^*} X^* k$ through an iterative process. In the first step we replace k by $S_j^* k$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) in (3.5), to get

$$(\Delta_{S,X} S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) = CD_{m,S^*} S_j^* k + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i (\Delta_{S,X} S_i^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Then by replacing it in (3.4), we have

$$D_{m,T^*} X^* k = AD_{m,S^*} k + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j CD_{m,S^*} S_j^* k + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n B_j D_i (\Delta_{S,X} S_i^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Repeating this r times we get

$$(3.6) \quad D_{m,T^*} X^* k = AD_{m,S^*} k + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^i} B_j D_F C D_{m,S^*} S_F^* S_j^* k \\ + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} B_j D_F (\Delta_{S,X} S_F^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})$$

Now using the facts that $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n) : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}$ is a contraction, the n^r -tuple $(D_F : F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r) : \mathcal{R}^{n^r} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a row contraction and $\Delta_{S,X}$ is a contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} B_j D_F(\Delta_{S,X} S_F^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} D_F(\Delta_{S,X} S_F^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} \|S_F^* S_j^* k\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} \langle S_j S_F S_F^* S_j^* k, k \rangle \\
&= \langle \sigma_S^{r+1}(I_{\mathcal{K}}) k, k \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally since S is a pure m -hypercontraction, that is $\sigma_S^{r+1}(I_{\mathcal{K}}) \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} B_j D_F(\Delta_{S,X} S_F^* S_j^* k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$. The proof now follows from (3.6). \blacksquare

REMARK 3.2. A particular case of the above lemma, that is, for $m = 1$ and $n = 1$ is obtained in [13, Lemma 2.1].

Now, we are ready to prove the intertwining lifting theorem for pure m -hypercontractions.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be pure m -hypercontractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a contraction such that $XT_i = S_i X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $\pi_T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*})$ and $\pi_S : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ are the canonical dilation map of T and S respectively, then there exists a Schur-Agler function $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ such that $\pi_T X^* = M_{\Phi}^* \pi_S$ if and only if

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0.$$

Moreover, in the case when $(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0$, the Schur-Agler function Φ can be taken as the transfer function of the unitary U^* as in (3.3), that is

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = A^* + C^*(I - ZD^*)^{-1}ZB^* \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^n)$$

where $Z = (z_1 I_{\mathcal{R}}, \dots, z_n I_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a row operator corresponding to each $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^n$.

Proof. First we assume that $(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0$. Let Φ be the transfer function of the unitary U^* as in (3.3), that is

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}) := A^* + C^*(I - ZD^*)^{-1}ZB^* \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^n).$$

Being a transfer function of a unitary, by Theorem 2.2, $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ is a Schur-Agler function. Now we show that M_{Φ} is a lifting of X . To this end, let $k \in \mathcal{K}, \delta =$

$(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}$. Then in one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M_\Phi^* \pi_S k, \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \rangle &= \langle \pi_S k, M_\Phi \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_m(\mathbf{k}) (D_{m,S^*} S^{*\mathbf{k}} k) \mathbf{z}^\mathbf{k}, \left(A^* + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n C^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i D_i^* \right)^r B_j^* z_j \right) \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \right\rangle \\
&= \langle D_{m,S^*} S^{*\delta} k, A^* \eta \rangle + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} \langle D_{m,S^*} S_F^* S^{*\delta} S_j^* k, C^* D_F^* B_j^* \eta \rangle \\
&= \langle A D_{m,S^*} S^{*\delta} k, \eta \rangle + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} \langle B_j D_F C D_{m,S^*} S_F^* S_j^* S^{*\delta} k, \eta \rangle \\
&= \langle (A D_{m,S^*} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{F \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r} B_j D_F C D_{m,S^*} S_F^* S_j^*) S^{*\delta} k, \eta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using the intertwining property of X , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi_T X^* k, \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_m(\mathbf{k}) (D_{m,T^*} T^{*\mathbf{k}} X^* k) \mathbf{z}^\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \right\rangle \\
&= \langle D_{m,T^*} T^{*\delta} X^* k, \eta \rangle \\
&= \langle D_{m,T^*} X^* S^{*\delta} k, \eta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\langle \pi_T X^* k, \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \rangle = \langle M_\Phi^* \pi_S k, \mathbf{z}^\delta \eta \rangle,$$

and therefore $\pi_T X^* = M_\Phi^* \pi_S$. This proves one direction of the theorem as well as the last part of the theorem.

For the converse part, suppose $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$ is a Schur-Multiplier such that $\pi_T X^* = M_\Phi^* \pi_S$. First we claim that

$$(1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \geq 0,$$

where $M_z = (M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ is the n -tuple of shifts on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*})$. Indeed, for $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathcal{D}_{m,S^*}$ we note that

$$\langle (1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I) \sum_{p=1}^r K_m(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_p) \eta_p, \sum_{q=1}^r K_m(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_q) \eta_q \rangle = \sum_{p,q=1}^r \frac{\langle \eta_q, \eta_p \rangle}{1 - \langle \mathbf{w}_p, \mathbf{w}_q \rangle}.$$

The above identity together with $M_\Phi M_z = M_z M_\Phi$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle (1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \sum_{p=1}^r K_m(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_p) \eta_p, \sum_{q=1}^r K_m(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_q) \eta_q \rangle \\
&= \sum_{p,q=1}^r \frac{\langle (I_{\mathcal{D}_{m,S^*}} - \Phi(\mathbf{w}_p) \Phi(\mathbf{w}_q)^*) \eta_q, \eta_p \rangle}{1 - \langle \mathbf{w}_p, \mathbf{w}_q \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now being a Schur-Agler function, $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_1(H_n^2(\mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}), H_n^2(\mathcal{D}_{m,S^*}))$ and this is equivalent to the positive definiteness of the function

$$(z, w) \mapsto \frac{I_{\mathcal{D}_{m,S^*}} - \Phi(z)\Phi(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{B}^n).$$

This in turn implies that $(1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1}(I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \geq 0$. The proof now follows from the identity that

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) = \pi_S^*(1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1}(I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*)\pi_S.$$

■

REMARK 3.4. *The necessary and sufficient condition obtained in the above theorem can be reformulated as*

$$D_{m-1,S^*} - XD_{m-1,S^*}X^* \geq 0,$$

where S is viewed as an $(m-1)$ -hypercontraction and D_{m-1,S^*} is the corresponding defect operator of S . The particular case $n = 1$ of the above commutant lifting theorem is observed in [9] and played the key role for describing class of factors of hypercontractions.

As an immediate consequence of this result we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be two Hilbert spaces, and let \mathcal{Q}_i be a co-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2$. If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction such that*

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

then there exists a Schur-Agler function $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ such that $X^ = M_\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ if and only if*

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0,$$

where $S_i = P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$.

In such a case, the multiplier Φ can be made explicit by taking transfer function of the corresponding unitary U^ as in (3.3).*

REMARK 3.6. *For $m = 1$ and $n = 1$, the above theorem yields the Sarason's commutant lifting theorem with explicit description of the lifting. Such an explicit commutant lifting result also observed in [13] and is the basis for sharp von Neumann inequality. It is worth mentioning here that, as an application of this explicit commutant lifting, interpolants of Nevanlinna-Pick problem on the unit disc can be described explicitly (see Section 5 below).*

The above theorem suggests that, in the setting of weighted Bergman space, commutant lifting in the Schur-Agler class of functions does not hold in general and certain positivity is required. As we have pointed out earlier that the positivity condition is automatic in the case of Drury-Arveson space ($m = 1$). Thus we recover the well-known commutant lifting theorem for Drury-Arveson spaces (see [3], [7]) as a consequence. There are now several proofs of this result available in the literature. However, our proof, for this particular case, is not only new but also provides an explicit description of the lifting. Explicitness of the lifting is naturally important from the point of view its application in Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem. We state this particular commutant lifting result in the next theorem. For readers convenience,

below we indicate the structure of the unitary, similar to the one constructed in (3.3), which gives the explicit lifting. If S and T are row contractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively and if $X \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction such that $XT_i = S_iX$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the identity (3.2) reduces to

$$D_{1,S^*}^2 + \sigma_S(I - XX^*) = (I - XX^*) + XD_{1,T^*}^2X^*.$$

Thus by adding an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , if necessary, and setting

$$\mathcal{R} := \overline{\text{ran}}(I - XX^*) \oplus \mathcal{E},$$

we construct a unitary

$$(3.7) \quad U : \mathcal{D}_{1,S^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{1,T^*} \oplus \mathcal{R}$$

such that

$$U(D_{1,S^*}k, ((I - XX^*)^{1/2}S_1^*k, 0_{\mathcal{E}}), \dots, ((I - XX^*)^{1/2}S_n^*k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})) = (D_{1,T^*}X^*k, ((I - XX^*)^{1/2}k, 0_{\mathcal{E}})),$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$.

THEOREM 3.7. *For Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 , let \mathcal{Q}_i be a co-invariant subspace of $H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction such that*

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a multiplier $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_1(H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1), H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2))$ such that

$$X^* = M_{\Phi}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}.$$

Moreover, the multiplier Φ can be taken to be the transfer function of the unitary U^ as in 3.7 corresponding to $T = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1})$ and $S = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})$.*

As an application, the above theorem can be used to prove Theorem 2.2, that is every Schur-Agler functions has a transfer function realization corresponding to a unitary. This is a well-known result (see [3, 7, 17]). However, our proof is different and the description of the unitary is somewhat more explicit. We summarize this in the following remark.

REMARK 3.8. *In the above theorem, if we take $\mathcal{Q}_i = H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2$ and if $X = M_{\Phi}$ for some Schur-Agler function $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$, then by the last part of the theorem Φ is a transfer function of a explicit unitary U^* as in (3.7) corresponding to $T = M_z$ on $H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $S = M_z$ on $H_n^2(\mathcal{E}_2)$.*

Next we consider the intertwining lifting problem corresponding to a m -hypercontraction and a p -hypercontraction with $p > m$. More precisely, if T is a pure m -hypercontraction on \mathcal{H} and S is a pure p -hypercontraction on \mathcal{K} and if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction with $XT_i = S_iX$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ then we find a necessary and sufficient condition on X so that X has a lifting of certain type (see Theorem 3.11) in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{m,T^*}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{D}_{p,S^*}))$. This is obtained using a dilation technique recently found in [15]. For $p > m$ and a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , since the kernel of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is a factor of the corresponding kernel of $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$, we get the following dilation result as an application of Theorem 6.1 in [19].

PROPOSITION 3.9 (cf. [19]). *Let $p > m$ and let \mathcal{E}_* be a Hilbert space. Then there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{F} and an isometry $\pi_{pm} : \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$ such that*

$$\pi_{pm} M_{z_i}^* = M_{z_i}^* \pi_{pm}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

where M_{z_i} in the left side of the above identity is the shift on $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ where as M_{z_i} in the right is the shift on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$.

It is clear from the intertwining property that the adjoint of the dilation map π_{pm} is a co-isometric multiplier in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$. Now for a co-invariant subspace \mathcal{Q}_2 of $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$, if $i_{\mathcal{Q}_2} : \mathcal{Q}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ is the inclusion map then it can be checked easily that the map

$$(3.8) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} := \pi_{pm} \circ i_{\mathcal{Q}_2} : \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F}).$$

satisfies

$$\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_2} = M_{z_i}^* \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}.$$

In other words, $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} : \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$ is a dilation map. Using this dilation map next we prove a lemma which is essential for the intertwining lifting theorem to follow. We mention here that the part (a) of the lemma below is a suitable modification of Lemma 3.1 in [15].

LEMMA 3.10. *Let $p > m$ and let \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 be co-invariant subspaces of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ respectively. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ be a contraction. Set $\tilde{X} := \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2))$ where $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ is the dilation map as in (3.8).*

(a) *Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, X satisfies*

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X,$$

if and only if

$$\tilde{X}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_i}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})\tilde{X}.$$

(b) *The positivity $(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0$ for X is equivalent to the corresponding positivity $(1 - \sigma_{S'})^{m-1}(I - \tilde{X}\tilde{X}^*) \geq 0$ for \tilde{X} where $S = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})$, and $S' = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_1}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}, \dots, P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_n}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ is a dilation map, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}) = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_i}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}.$$

Now if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction satisfies

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X,$$

for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) &= \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X \\ &= (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_i}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} X \\ &= (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_i}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})\tilde{X}. \end{aligned}$$

The converse part is similar. This proves part (a). For part (b), since $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} S_i = S'_i \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} S_i^r X X^* S_i^{*r} \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}^* |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} = (S'_i)^r \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} X X^* \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}^* (S'_i)^{*r} = (S'_i)^r \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^* (S'_i)^{*r},$$

for any $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. This in particular implies that

$$(1 - \sigma_{S'})^{m-1} (I - \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^*) = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} (1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1} (I - X X^*) \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}^* |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}.$$

The proof now follows from the above identity. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove the intertwining lifting theorem in the present setting.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let $p > m$. For Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_* , let \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 be co-invariant subspaces of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ respectively. If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ is a contraction such that*

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i} |_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_i} |_{\mathcal{Q}_2}) X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{F} , a Schur-Agler function $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ and a co-isometric multiplier $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_))$ such that $X^* = M_{\Phi}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ where $\Phi = \Phi_2 \Phi_1$ if and only if*

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1} (I - X X^*) \geq 0$$

where $S = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_2}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} M_{z_n} |_{\mathcal{Q}_2})$.

Proof. Let $\pi_{pm} : \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$ be the dilation map as in Proposition 3.9 for some Hilbert space \mathcal{F} . Then we have observe earlier that π_{pm}^* is an co-isometric multiplier. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} := \pi_{pm} \circ i_{\mathcal{Q}_2} : \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$ be the dilation map of \mathcal{Q}_2 as considered in (3.8), and let $\tilde{X} = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2} X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2))$. Now if X satisfies $(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1} (I - X X^*) \geq 0$, then by Lemma 3.10 we have for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\tilde{X}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} M_{z_i} |_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_i} |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}) \text{ and } (1 - \sigma_{S'})^{m-1} (I - \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^*) \geq 0,$$

where $S' = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_1} |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}, \dots, P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)} M_{z_n} |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})$. Then by Theorem 3.5 we get a Schur-Agler function $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\tilde{X}^* = M_{\Phi_1}^* |_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}$. Finally, setting $\Phi := \pi_{pm}^* \Phi_1$ we see that $X^* = M_{\Phi}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$.

For the converse part, if $X^* = M_{\Phi}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ then observe that

$$(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1} (I - X X^*) = P_{\mathcal{Q}_2} (1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_{\Phi} M_{\Phi}^*) |_{\mathcal{Q}_2},$$

where $M_z = (M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ is n -tuple of shifts on $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$. Further, since $\Phi = \Phi_2 \Phi_1$ with Φ_2 being a co-isometric multiplier

$$(1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_{\Phi} M_{\Phi}^*) = M_{\Phi_2} ((1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_{\Phi_1} M_{\Phi_1}^*)) M_{\Phi_2}^*.$$

Here M_z on the left hand side is the n -tuple of shifts on $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ while M_z on the right is the n -tuple of shift on $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F})$. On the other hand, the positivity of $(1 - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1} (I - M_{\Phi_1} M_{\Phi_1}^*)$ is a consequence of the fact that Φ_1 is a Schur-Agler function. The proof now follows. \blacksquare

Few remarks are in order.

REMARKS 3.12. (i) *The proof of the above theorem suggests that in the case when X satisfies $(1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0$, then the lifting of X can be made explicit. To be more precise, the Hilbert space \mathcal{F} can be taken to be the one as in Proposition 3.9, the Schur-Agler function Φ_1 can be taken as the transfer function of the unitary U^* as in (3.3) corresponding to $T = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_1}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_n}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1})$, $S = (P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}M_{z_1}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}, \dots, P_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)}M_{z_n}|_{\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\mathcal{Q}_2)})$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ as in (3.8) and corresponding to the intertwiner $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_2}X$, and the co-isometric multiplier Φ_2 can be taken as π_{pm}^* appeared in Proposition 3.9.*

(ii) *If we take $m = 1$ in the above theorem, then for any contraction $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$ with*

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_1}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_1}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}_2}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}_2})X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ always satisfies the positivity hypothesis and therefore can be lifted to a multiplier of the form $\Phi_2\Phi_1$ where Φ_2 is a co-isometric multiplier and Φ_1 is a Schur-Agler function defined on appropriate Hilbert spaces. Thus we recover Theorem 3.4 in [15], as a particular case. But we emphasis here that the lifting we get using our theorem is explicit.

If we take $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$ then the above theorem says that a multiplier $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$ can be factorized as $\Phi_2\Phi_1$ where $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a Schur-Agler function and $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$ is a co-isometric multiplier for some Hilbert space \mathcal{F} if and only if

$$(3.9) \quad (1 - \sigma_S)^{m-1}(I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \geq 0$$

where $S = (M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $\mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)$. Now we look at the positivity condition more carefully. For any $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathcal{E}_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (I - \sigma_{M_z})^{m-1}(I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \sum_{i=1}^r K_p(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_i)\eta_i, \sum_{j=1}^r K_p(\cdot, \mathbf{w}_j)\eta_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^r \langle (1 - \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle)^{m-1} K_p(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j)\eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \\ & \quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^r \langle (1 - \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle)^{m-1} K_m(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j)\Phi(\mathbf{w}_i)\Phi(\mathbf{w}_j)^*\eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^r \langle (K_{p-m+1}(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) - \Phi(\mathbf{w}_i)\Phi(\mathbf{w}_j)^*K_1(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j))\eta_i, \eta_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the positivity in (3.9) is equivalent to the positive semi definiteness of the function

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \mapsto K_{p-m+1}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})I_{\mathcal{E}_*} - K_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\Phi(\mathbf{z})\Phi(\mathbf{w})^* \quad (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{B}^n),$$

and which is equivalent to the fact that Φ is a multiplier in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_{p-m+1}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_{p-m+1}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$ and thus we have the following factorization result for a subclass of multipliers in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$.

THEOREM 3.13. *Let $p > m$, and let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*$ be Hilbert spaces. Suppose Φ is a multiplier in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$. Then Φ can be factorized as $\Phi_2\Phi_1$ where $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$*

is a Schur-Agler function and $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{H}_p(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$ is a co-isometric multiplier for some Hilbert space \mathcal{F} if and only if $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{H}_{p-m+1}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathcal{E}_*))$.

We end the section with the remark that the above factorization of multipliers is related to the one obtained in [10, Theorem 4.2].

4. COMMUTANT LIFTING IN POLYDISC

In this section, we prove intertwining lifting theorem for weighted Bergman spaces over \mathbb{D}^n . We begin by defining hypercontractions in this setting and their canonical models. Recall that, for each $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ the reciprocal of the kernel of $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{C})$ is a polynomial with the expression

$$K_\gamma^{-1}(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^{\gamma_i} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \leq \gamma} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) z^{\mathbf{k}} \bar{w}^{\mathbf{k}} \quad (\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n),$$

where $\rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k})$ is as in (2.4) and $\mathbf{k} \leq \gamma$ if $k_i \leq \gamma_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. By using Agler's hereditary functional calculus, for every n -tuple of commuting contractions $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^n$ we set

$$K_\gamma^{-1}(T, T^*) := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \leq \gamma} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) T^{\mathbf{k}} T^{*\mathbf{k}}.$$

With the above functional calculus, an n -tuples of commuting contractions $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^n$ is a γ -hypercontraction if $K_\gamma^{-1}(T, T^*) \geq 0$ and T is pure if each T_i is pure for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. For every γ -hypercontraction T on \mathcal{H} , the defect operator and defect spaces are defined as

$$D_{\gamma, T^*} := K_\gamma^{-1}(T, T^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*} := \overline{\text{ran}} K_\gamma^{-1}(T, T^*)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

respectively. A pure γ -hypercontraction T on \mathcal{H} dilates to the weighted shift $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*})$ (see [12]) via the canonical dilation map $\pi_T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*})$, defined by

$$(4.1) \quad (\pi_T h)(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) (D_{\gamma, T^*} T^{*\mathbf{k}} h) z^{\mathbf{k}} \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

The map π_T is an isometry and satisfies the intertwining property

$$\pi_T T_i^* = M_{z_i}^* \pi_T \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The adjoint of the dilation map π_T has the following explicit action on monomials.

LEMMA 4.1. *For any $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$,*

$$\pi_T^*(z^{\mathbf{p}} \eta) = T^{\mathbf{p}} D_{\gamma, T^*} \eta.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the following straightforward calculation:

$$\langle \pi_T^*(z^{\mathbf{p}} \eta), h \rangle = \langle z^{\mathbf{p}} \eta, \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \rho_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) (D_{\gamma, T^*} T^{*\mathbf{k}} h) z^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \langle T^{\mathbf{p}} D_{\gamma, T^*} \eta, h \rangle$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. ■

Now we work towards the intertwining lifting theorem and this is why, *for the remaining of this section we fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and pure γ -hypercontractions $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S =$*

(S_1, \dots, S_n) on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively. Suppose that there is an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ with $XT_i = S_i X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also assume that there exist positive operators F_1, \dots, F_n in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and a unitary

$$(4.2) \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i \oplus \mathcal{L} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i \oplus \mathcal{L} \right)$$

such that

$$(4.3) \quad U(D_{\gamma, S^*} k, F_1 S_1^* k, \dots, F_n S_n^* k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}) = (D_{\gamma, T^*} X^* k, F_1 k, \dots, F_n k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (k \in \mathcal{K})$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i = \overline{\text{ran}} F_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and \mathcal{L} is a Hilbert space. We set $\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i \oplus \mathcal{L}$ and decompose $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ and $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$ with

$$B_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n : \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*},$$

and

$$D_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad D_n : \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

Also define operators $Q, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(4.4) \quad Q(k) = (F_1 k, \dots, F_n k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad \text{and} \quad R(k) = (F_1 S_1^* k, \dots, F_n S_n^* k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}),$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$. Then by (4.3), we have the following two identities

$$(4.5) \quad D_{\gamma, T^*} X^* = A D_{\gamma, S^*} + B R,$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad Q = C D_{\gamma, S^*} + D R.$$

Let Φ be the transfer function of the unitary operator U^* , as in (4.2), that is

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi(z) = A^* + C^*(I_{\mathcal{F}} - E(z)D^*)^{-1}E(z)B^* \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

We also define a map $\Psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})$ by

$$(4.8) \quad (\Psi x)(z) = C^*(I - E(z)D^*)^{-1}x \quad (x \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Then, $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$ is a row operator with $\Psi_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\Psi_n : \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L} \rightarrow A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})$. In the next two lemmas, we describe several properties of Φ and Ψ which do the heavy lifting of the theorem following it. In this context we use canonical embedding, for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , $\iota_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$(\iota_{\mathcal{E}} \eta)(z) = 1 \otimes \eta \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The adjoint of the embedding satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \iota_{\mathcal{E}}^* f = f(0) \quad \text{for all } f \in A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E}).$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Let Φ, Ψ and the unitary U be as above. Then Ψ is a contraction and satisfies*

$$\Psi = \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} C^* + \sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j D_j^* \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\Phi} \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}} = \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} A^* + \sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j B_j^*,$$

where $A, B = (B_1, \dots, B_n), C$ and $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$ are as in (4.2).

Proof. The contractivity of the row operator Ψ follows from Lemma 3.2 of [5]. For the first identity, note that for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi x)(z) &= C^*(I - E(z)D^*)^{-1}(I - E(z)D^* + E(z)D^*)x \\ &= C^*x + (\Psi E(z)D^*x)(z) \\ &= (\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} C^*x)(z) + \sum_{j=1}^n z_j (\Psi_j D_j^* x)(z) \\ &= (\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} C^*x)(z) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j D_j^* x_j \right)(z), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the first identity follows. On the other hand a similar calculation, for $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$, also shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (M_\Phi \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}} \eta)(z) &= (\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} A^* \eta)(z) + (\Psi E(z) B^* \eta)(z) \\ &= (\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} A^* \eta)(z) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j B_j^* \eta \right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

We need to fix some notations for the next lemma. The conjugacy map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ corresponding to an operator X on \mathcal{H} is the completely positive map $C_X : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, defined by

$$C_X(A) = XAX^*, \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})).$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, using the above conjugacy map, we define a linear operator $\Sigma_X^N : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\Sigma_X^N(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} C_X^k(A) = \Sigma_{k=0}^{N-1} X^k A X^{*k} \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})),$$

and this is then generalized for an n -tuple of operators $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^n$ to define $\Sigma_T^N : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\Sigma_T^N(A) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Sigma_{T_j}^N(A) \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})).$$

For an operator $A \geq 0$, it is easy to observe that $(\Sigma_T^N(A))_{N=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of positive operators and whenever the sequence converges in the strong operator topology, we denote the limit by $\Sigma_T(A)$. The above definitions show that

$$(4.10) \quad \Sigma_T^N \prod_{j=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} - C_{T_j})(A) = \prod_{j=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} - C_{T_j})(\Sigma_T^N(A)) = \prod_{j=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} - C_{T_j^N})(A).$$

Another notation we use, for an n -tuple of commuting contractions $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, is \hat{T}_i which denotes the $(n-1)$ -tuple $(T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_n)$ obtained from T by removing T_i .

LEMMA 4.3. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert space and $M_z = (M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ be the weighted Bergman shift on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$. Suppose, there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that the operator $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n) : \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is bounded. If Φ is a multiplier on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\|(\iota_{\mathcal{E}}^* f, \Psi_1^* M_{z_1}^* f, \dots, \Psi_n^* M_{z_n}^* f)\| = \|(\iota_{\mathcal{E}}^* M_\Phi^* f, \Psi_1^* f, \dots, \Psi_n^* f)\|$$

for all $f \in A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$, then

$$\Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) < \infty$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $\iota_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$ is the embedding as above.

Proof. The norm equality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_j \Psi_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j \Psi_j^* M_{z_j}^* &= \iota_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{E}}^* - M_\Phi \iota_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{E}}^* M_\Phi^* \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I) - M_\Phi \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I) M_\Phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\iota_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ is the projection on to the constant functions in $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$. A reformulation of the above identity yields that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) = \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*).$$

Applying $\Sigma_{M_z}^N$ on the both sides of the above equality and using (4.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}^N (I - C_{M_{z_j}^N})(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) &= \Sigma_{M_z}^N \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_{j-1}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}^N}) \right) (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathcal{K}_0 := \cup_{k=0}^\infty \cap_{j=1}^n \text{Ker } M_{z_j}^{*k}$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and for sufficiently large N , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}^N (\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) f = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_{j-1}} \right) (I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*) f.$$

Since \mathcal{K}_0 is dense in $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{E})$, we get

$$\Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) < \infty,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. This completes the proof. ■

Using the above lemmas we now find a sufficient condition for intertwining lifting theorem in the case of pure γ -hypercontractions. We separate out the sufficiency part from the main theorem of this section to make the proof short and to illustrate explicitness of the lifting.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be two pure γ -hypercontractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively. Suppose that there exist operators F_1, \dots, F_n in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ with $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_i}(F_i^* F_i)$ exists for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, a contraction $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ with $XT_i = S_i X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and a unitary $U : \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ satisfying*

$$U(D_{\gamma, S^*} k, F_1 S_1^* k, \dots, F_n S_n^* k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}) = (D_{\gamma, T^*} X^* k, F_1 k, \dots, F_n k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}) \quad (k \in \mathcal{K}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i = \overline{\text{ran}} F_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{F}_i \oplus (\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L})$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{L} . Then

$$\pi_T X^* = M_{\Phi}^* \pi_S,$$

where $\Phi \in \mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ is the transfer function of U^* and π_T and π_S are the canonical dilation maps of T and S , respectively.

Proof. Let $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ be the block decomposition of U . Let Φ be the transfer function of the unitary operator U^* as defined in (4.7) and Ψ be as in (4.8). Then by Lemma 4.2 we have for all $f \in A_{\gamma}^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}}^* f, \Psi_1^* M_{z_1}^* f, \dots, \Psi_n^* M_{z_n}^* f) &= (A \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}}^* f + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Psi_i^* M_{z_i}^* f, C \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}}^* f + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \Psi_i^* M_{z_i}^* f) \\ &= (\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}}^* M_{\Phi}^* f, \Psi^* f). \end{aligned}$$

Since U is a unitary, applying Lemma 4.3 we get that $\Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. This in turn implies that

$$\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\pi_S^* \Psi_j \Psi_j^* \pi_S) = \pi_S^* \Sigma_{\hat{M}_{z_j}}(\Psi_j \Psi_j^*) \pi_S < \infty,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. We set, for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\Gamma_j := F_j^* - \pi_S^* \Psi_j$ and $\Gamma := (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_j \Gamma_j^* &\leq (F_j^* - \pi_S^* \Psi_j)(F_j - \Psi_j^* \pi_S) + (F_j^* + \pi_S^* \Psi_j)(F_j + \Psi_j^* \pi_S) \\ &= 2(F_j^* F_j + \pi_S^* \Psi_j \Psi_j^* \pi_S), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\Gamma_j \Gamma_j^*) < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

On the other hand, by using (4.4), the identity (4.6) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= Q^* - \pi_S^* \Psi \\ &= D_{\gamma, S^*} C^* + R^* D^* - \pi_S^* \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} C^* - \pi_S^* \sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j D_j^* \\ &= D_{\gamma, S^*} C^* + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j F_j^* D_j^* - D_{\gamma, S^*} C^* - \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \pi_S^* \Psi_j D_j^* \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \Gamma_j D_j^* = (S_1 \Gamma_1, \dots, S_n \Gamma_n) D^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since D is a contraction, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Gamma}^* \leq \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^* S_j^*,$$

and subsequently

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (I - C_{S_j})(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^* S_j^* \leq 0.$$

This further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n (\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}^N(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) - S_j^N \Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) S_j^{*N}) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}^N(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) - S_j^N \Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}^N(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) S_j^{*N}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}^N(I - C_{S_j^N})(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) \\ &= \Sigma_S^N \sum_{j=1}^n (I - C_{S_j})(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here for the last equality we have used the identity $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}^N(I - C_{S_j^N}) = \Sigma_S^N(I - C_{S_j})$ which follows from the easily verifiable identity that $(I - C_{S_j^N}) = \Sigma_{S_j}^N(I - C_{S_j})$. Since $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is pure, then by passing to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, by definition $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and hence $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_j}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^*) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. In other words,

$$F_j^* - \pi_S^* \Psi_j = \mathbf{\Gamma}_j = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_S^* M_\Phi(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{p}} \eta) &= S^{\mathbf{p}} \pi_S^* M_\Phi \iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}} \eta \\
&= S^{\mathbf{p}} \pi_S^* \left(\iota_{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}} A^* + \sum_{j=1}^n M_{z_j} \Psi_j B_j^* \right) (\eta) \quad [\text{by Lemma 4.2}] \\
&= S^{\mathbf{p}} \left(D_{\gamma, S^*} A^* + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \pi_S^* \Psi_j B_j^* \right) (\eta) \quad [\text{by Lemma 4.1}] \\
&= S^{\mathbf{p}} \left(D_{\gamma, S^*} A^* + \sum_{j=1}^n S_j F_j^* B_j^* \right) (\eta) \\
&= S^{\mathbf{p}} X D_{\gamma, T^*} \eta \quad [\text{by (4.5)}] \\
&= X T^{\mathbf{p}} D_{\gamma, T^*} \eta \\
&= X \pi_T^*(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{p}} \eta) \quad [\text{by Lemma 4.1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally since $\{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{p}} \eta : \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}\}$ forms a total subset of $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*})$,

$$\pi_T X^* = M_\Phi^* \pi_S.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

REMARK 4.5. *The above theorem is also proved in [8] for the particular case of the Hardy space over polydisc and it has been used to find isometric dilations of certain class of operator tuples and to obtain their sharp von Neumann inequality.*

Now, we are in a position to state the main theorem of this section which is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 in [5]. Eventhough we use slight variation of techniques as in [5], we make the proof self-contained for readers convenience.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be two pure γ -hypercontractions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction such that $XT_i = S_i X$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a contractive multiplier $\Phi \in \mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ such that $\pi_T X^* = M_\Phi^* \pi_S$ if and only if there exist positive operators G_1, \dots, G_n in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$I - XX^* = G_1 + \dots + G_n$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} (I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i - 1} (G_i) \geq 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where π_T and π_S are canonical dilation maps of T and S respectively.

Proof. For the if part, we consider a cone \mathcal{C} of bounded operators on $A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})$ as

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ R = G_1 + \dots + G_n : G_i \geq 0, \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i - 1} (G_i) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

The convexity of $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ follows from the definition. Now we claim that \mathcal{C} is weak-* closed. To this end, by Krein-Smulian theorem, it is enough to show that for all $r > 0$,

$$B_r := \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_r(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$$

is weak-* closed, where $\mathcal{B}_r(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ is the closed ball of radius r in $\mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$. Since B_r is norm bounded, weak-* topology and weak operator topology are same. Let $R^{(\alpha)} = G_1^{(\alpha)} + \cdots + G_n^{(\alpha)}$ be a net in B_r which converges to R in weak operator topology. Then the bounded net $G_i^{(\alpha)}$ in \mathcal{C} has a subnet which converges to G_i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $R = G_1 + \cdots + G_n$ and it follows that $R \in B_r$. This proves the claim.

Now we define

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := \{R \in \mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*})) : RM_{z_i} = M_{z_i}R, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

With an straight-forward computation using properties of the Bergman shift M_z we have the following two properties. The first one of which is also noted in [12, Lemma 3.5].

- (a) For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i-1} (I) \geq 0$, and
- (b) $\prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I) = P_{\mathcal{E}}$.

These properties ensure membership of following elements in \mathcal{C} :

- (i) If $R \in \mathcal{T}_0$ then $RR^* \in \mathcal{C}$. To see this, we choose $G_1 = RR^*$ and $G_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Then $RR^* = G_1 + \cdots + G_n$ and note that, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i-1} RR^*) = R \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i-1} I) R^* \geq 0.$$

- (ii) If $R \in \mathcal{T}_0$ then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^* \in \mathcal{C}$. In this case, for fixed i , we choose $G_i = RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^*$ and $G_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Then $RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^* = G_1 + \cdots + G_n$ and

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i-1} (RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^*)) = R \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} (I) R^* \geq 0.$$

We intend to show that $I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^* \in \mathcal{C}$ and it will be established through a separation argument. Consider a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ for which

$$0 \leq \Re[\text{Tr}(AR)] \quad (\text{for all } R \in \mathcal{C}).$$

Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A_\gamma^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ is a weak-* closed convex set, by separation argument, it is enough to show that $\Re[\text{Tr}(A(I - M_\Phi M_\Phi^*))] \geq 0$. To this end, we define a sesquilinear form $\rho : \mathcal{T}_0 \times \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\rho(R, R') = \frac{1}{2}[\text{Tr}(ARR'^*) + \overline{\text{Tr}(AR'R^*)}].$$

It is easy to check that ρ defines a semi inner product on \mathcal{T}_0 . Let $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{R : \rho(R, R) = 0\}$. Consider the quotient space $\mathcal{T}_0/\mathcal{N}_0$ and define a norm $|||\cdot|||$ on $\mathcal{T}_0/\mathcal{N}_0$ by

$$|||(R + \mathcal{N}_0)||| = \rho(R, R)^{1/2}.$$

Let \mathcal{T} be the completion of $\mathcal{T}_0/\mathcal{N}_0$ with respect to the norm $||| \cdot |||$. Then \mathcal{T} is a Hilbert space. For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, define $C_i : \mathcal{T}_0/\mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0/\mathcal{N}_0$ by

$$C_i(R + \mathcal{N}_0) = M_{z_i}R + \mathcal{N}_0.$$

The map C_i is a well-defined contraction because

$$|||(R + \mathcal{N}_0)|||^2 - |||(M_{z_i}R + \mathcal{N}_0)|||^2 = \Re[\text{Tr}(A(RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^*))] \geq 0$$

as $RR^* - M_{z_i}RR^*M_{z_i}^* \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence C_i extends uniquely by continuity to a contraction on \mathcal{T} , also denoted by C_i . It is also immediate from the definition that C_i and C_j commute for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Now $\Phi \in \mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ implies that $\|\Phi(rC_1, \dots, rC_n)\| \leq 1$ for all $r < 1$. Also, Taylor series expansion of Φ shows that

$$\Phi(rC_1, \dots, rC_n)(I) = \Phi(rM_{z_1}, \dots, rM_{z_n}) = M_{\Phi_r}$$

where $\Phi_r(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \Phi(rz_1, \dots, rz_n)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.1 of [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[\text{Tr} A(I - M_{\Phi}M_{\Phi}^*)] &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Re[\text{Tr} A(I - M_{\Phi_r}M_{\Phi_r}^*)] \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \{\rho(I, I) - \rho(\Phi(rC_1, \dots, rC_n)(I), \Phi(rC_1, \dots, rC_n)(I))\} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus $I - M_{\Phi}M_{\Phi}^* \in \mathcal{C}$ and therefore, there exist $G_1, \dots, G_n \geq 0$ with $I - M_{\Phi}M_{\Phi}^* = G_1 + \dots + G_n$ and

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i - 1} G_i) \geq 0.$$

Finally, using these positive operators G_i we have

$$\begin{aligned} I - XX^* &= \pi_S^*(I - M_{\Phi}M_{\Phi}^*)\pi_S \\ &= \pi_S^*G_1\pi_S + \dots + \pi_S^*G_n\pi_S, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i - 1} (\pi_S^*G_i\pi_S)) = \pi_S^* \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{M_{z_j}})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{M_{z_i}})^{\gamma_i - 1} G_i)\pi_S \geq 0,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. This completes the proof of the if part.

For the converse part, first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\gamma, S^*}^2 - XD_{\gamma, T^*}^2 X^* &= \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} (I - XX^*) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} (G_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i-1} (I - C_{S_i})(G_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (F_i^2 - S_i F_i^2 S_i^*),
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_i^2 = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i-1} G_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. This establish the identity

$$(D_{\gamma, S^*})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n S_i F_i^2 S_i^* = X(D_{\gamma, T^*})^2 X^* + \sum_{i=1}^n F_i^2,$$

and therefore, by adding an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{L} if necessary, we construct a unitary $U : \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*} \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{F}_i) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*} \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{F}_i) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{L})$ such that

$$U(D_{\gamma, S^*} k, F_1 S_1^* k, \dots, F_n S_n^* k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}) = (D_{\gamma, T^*} X^* k, F_1 k, \dots, F_n k, 0_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (k \in \mathcal{K})$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i = \overline{\text{ran}} F_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\hat{S}_i}^N(F_i^* F_i) &\leq (\Sigma_{S_1}^N)^{\gamma_1} \dots (\Sigma_{S_i}^N)^{\gamma_i-1} \dots (\Sigma_{S_n}^N)^{\gamma_n} (F_i^* F_i) \\
&= (\Sigma_{S_1}^N)^{\gamma_1} \dots (\Sigma_{S_i}^N)^{\gamma_i-1} \dots (\Sigma_{S_n}^N)^{\gamma_n} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i-1} (G_i)) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (I - C_{S_j})^{\gamma_j} ((I - C_{S_i})^{\gamma_i-1} (G_i)) \leq G_i.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies $\Sigma_{\hat{S}_i}(F_i^* F_i)$ exists for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, with an direct application of Theorem 4.4, we conclude that

$$\pi_T X^* = M_{\Phi}^* \pi_S$$

for a contractive multiplier $\Phi \in \mathcal{SA}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, T^*}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, S^*}))$ which is the transfer function of the unitary U^* constructed above. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We first consider an easy example of an operator and its lifting which often one consider in the commutant lifting approach of Nevanlinna and Pick interpolation problem. Given any set

of r distinct points $z_1, \dots, z_r \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider the co-invariant subspace

$$\mathcal{Q} := \text{Span}\{K_m(\cdot, z_1), \dots, K_m(\cdot, z_r)\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{C})$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define an operator $X : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$X^* K_m(\cdot, z_i) = \bar{w}_i K_m(\cdot, z_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

Then, it is easy to see that $X^* M_{z_j}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}} = M_{z_j}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}} X^*$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. In other words, $(P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_j}|_{\mathcal{Q}})X = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_j}|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, by a standard calculation, X is a contraction if and only if the matrix

$$(5.1) \quad [(1 - w_i \bar{w}_j) K_m(z_i, z_j)]_{i,j=1}^r$$

is positive-definite. Now, by Theorem 3.5, we conclude that the operator X has a lifting to a Schur-Agler class function Φ on \mathbb{B}^n if and only if $(1 - \sigma_T)^{m-1}(I - XX^*) \geq 0$ where $T = P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_z|_{\mathcal{Q}}$. In this set up, again a straightforward computation shows that the positivity of the operator $(1 - \sigma_T)^{m-1}(I - XX^*)$ is equivalent to the positivity of the matrix

$$(5.2) \quad [(1 - w_i \bar{w}_j) K_1(z_i, z_j)]_{i,j=1}^r.$$

Since $X^* = M_{\Phi}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, it follows that $\Phi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Observe that the positivity of the matrix in (5.2) implies the positivity of the matrix in (5.1). From the above discussion we have the following well-known interpolation result: *Given r distinct points $z_1, \dots, z_r \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a Schur-Agler function Φ on \mathbb{B}^n with $\Phi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ if and only if*

$$\left[\frac{(1 - w_i \bar{w}_j)}{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle} \right]_{i,j=1}^r \geq 0.$$

Even though the above result is known, the present proof provides a somewhat more explicit description of the interpolant Φ due to our explicit commutant lifting theorem. Needless to say that similar result is also true if we replace w_i by a contraction $W_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ for some Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 and for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We conclude the paper with the following question. The reader must have observed that the present intertwining lifting results, in both the setting of reproducing kernels over \mathbb{B}^n or \mathbb{D}^n , always concern Schur-Agler functions. In most cases, Schur-Agler functions is strictly smaller than the whole multiplier algebra of respective reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Therefore one can ask:

What is the necessary and sufficient condition for an intertwining lifting theorem for the multiplier algebra of weighted Bergman spaces over \mathbb{B}^n with $n \geq 2$ or over \mathbb{D}^n with $n > 2$?

Acknowledgement: The second named author acknowledges Indian Institute of Technology Bombay for warm hospitality. The research of the second named author is supported by the institute post-doctoral fellowship of IIT Bombay. The third named author's research work is supported by DST-INSPIRE Faculty Fellowship No. DST/INSPIRE/04/2015/001094.

REFERENCES

1. J. Agler, *On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisc*, Topics in operator theory: Ernst D. Hellinger memorial volume, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 48, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990, pp. 47-66.
2. J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy, *Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on the bidisk*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 506 (1999), 191-204.
3. C. Ambrozie and D. Timotin, *On an intertwining lifting theorem for certain reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 42 (2002), no. 4, 373-384.
4. W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras. III*, Multivariable operator theory, Acta Math. 181 (1998), 159-228.
5. J.A. Ball, W.S. Li, D. Timotin and T.T. Trent, *A commutant lifting theorem on the polydisc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 653-675.
6. J.A. Ball and T.T. Trent, *Unitary colligations, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, and Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation in several variables*, J. Funct. Anal. 157 (1998), 1-61.
7. J.A. Ball, T.T. Trent and V. Vinnikov, *Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Operator Theory and Analysis. Birkhäuser Basel, 2001. 89-138.
8. S. Barik, B.K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Isometric dilations and von Neumann inequality for finite rank commuting contractions*, in preparation.
9. M. Bhattacharjee and B.K. Das, *Factors of hypercontractions*, arXiv:1908.10790.
10. M. Bhattacharjee, B.K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Hypercontractions and factorizations of multipliers in one and several variables*, arXiv:1812.08143.
11. R. Clouâtre and M. Hartz, *Multiplier algebras of complete Nevanlinna-Pick spaces: dilations, boundary representations and hyperrigidity*, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), no. 6, 1690-1738.
12. R. E. Curto and F.-H. Vasilescu, *Standard operator models in the polydisc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), 791-810.
13. B.K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Ando dilations, von Neumann inequality, and distinguished varieties*, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), 2114-2131.
14. K.R. Davidson and T. Le, *Commutant lifting for commuting row contractions*, Bull Lond. Math. Soc. 42 (2010), 506-516.
15. Deepak K.D., D. Pradhan, J. Sarkar and D. Timotin, *Commutant lifting and Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation in several variables*, arXiv:1907.05439.
16. R.G. Douglas, P.S. Muhly and C. Pearcy, *Lifting commuting operators*, Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 385-395.
17. J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar, *Spherical contractions and interpolation problems on the unit ball*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 542 (2002), 219-236.
18. C. Foias and A. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 44. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
19. R. Kumari, J. Sarkar, S. Sarkar and D. Timotin, *Factorizations of kernels and reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 87 (2017), no. 2, 225-244.
20. M. Martin and M. Putinar, *Lectures on hyponormal operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 39. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1989.
21. V. Müller, *Commutant lifting theorem for n -tuples of contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 59 (1994), 465-474.
22. V. Müller and F.-H. Vasilescu, *Standard models for some commuting multioperators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 979-989.
23. R. Nevanlinna, *Über beschränkte Funktionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vor geschriebene Werte annehmen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 13 (1919), no. 1, 172.
24. G. Pick, *Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Funktionen, welche durch vorgegebene Funktionswerte bewirkt werden*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 723.

- 25. J. Sarkar, *An invariant subspace theorem and invariant subspaces of analytic reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces - II*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory 10 (2016), 769-782.
- 26. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*. North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1970.
- 27. D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in H^∞* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 179-203.
- 28. N. Varopoulos, *Sur une inégalité de Von Neumann*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 277 (1973), Sér. A, 19-22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA

E-mail address: `sbank@math.iitb.ac.in`, `sibaprasadbarik00@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA

E-mail address: `mono@math.iitb.ac.in`, `monojit.hcu@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA

E-mail address: `dasb@math.iitb.ac.in`, `bata436@gmail.com`