

# Some $\mathbb{A}$ -numerical radius inequalities for $d \times d$ operator matrices

Kais Feki<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be a positive (semidefinite) bounded linear operator acting on a complex Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ . The semi-inner product  $\langle x | y \rangle_A := \langle Ax | y \rangle$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  induces a seminorm  $\| \cdot \|_A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $T$  be an  $A$ -bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the  $A$ -numerical radius of  $T$  is given by

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup \left\{ |\langle Tx | x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\}.$$

In this paper, we establish several inequalities for  $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T})$ , where  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  is a  $d \times d$  operator matrix with  $T_{ij}$  are  $A$ -bounded operators and  $\mathbb{A}$  is the diagonal operator matrix whose each diagonal entry is  $A$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  be a non-trivial complex Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with identity  $I_{\mathcal{H}}$  (or  $I$  if no confusion arises). If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^d$ , we identify  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d)$  with the matrix algebra  $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  of  $d \times d$  complex matrices. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$  be the cone of positive (semi-definite) operators, i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \langle Ax | x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$  defines the following positive semi-definite sesquilinear form:

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \longmapsto \langle x | y \rangle_A = \langle Ax | y \rangle.$$

Clearly, the induced semi-norm is given by  $\|x\|_A = \langle x | x \rangle_A^{1/2}$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . This makes  $\mathcal{H}$  into a semi-Hilbertian space. One can verify that  $\| \cdot \|_A$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $A$  is injective, and that  $(\mathcal{H}, \| \cdot \|_A)$  is complete if and only if the range of  $A$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Throughout this article, we shall assume that an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a nonzero positive (semidefinite) operator. Moreover, by an operator we mean a bounded linear operator. In addition, the range and the null space of an operator  $T$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(T)$ , respectively. Also,  $T^*$  will be denoted to be the adjoint of  $T$ .

An operator  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called an  $A$ -adjoint of  $T$  if for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , the identity  $\langle Tx | y \rangle_A = \langle x | Sy \rangle_A$  holds. The existence of an  $A$ -adjoint operator is not guaranteed. Observe that  $T$  admits an  $A$ -adjoint operator if and only if the

---

*Date:* April 1, 2020.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46C05, 47A12, 47A63, 47A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Positive operator, semi-inner product, operator matrix, spectral radius, numerical radius.

equation  $AX = T^*A$  has solution. This kind of equations can be studied by using the next theorem due to Douglas (for its proof see [10] or [18]).

**Theorem 1.1.** ([10, Theorem 1]) *If  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T)$ ;
- (ii)  $TD = S$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ;
- (iii)  $SS^* \leq \lambda^2 TT^*$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$  (or equivalently  $\|S^*x\| \leq \lambda \|T^*x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ).

*If one of these conditions holds, then there exists a unique operator  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $TX = S$  and  $\mathcal{R}(Q) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(S)$  and*

$$\|Q\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu ; SS^* \leq \mu TT^* \}.$$

*Such  $Q$  is called the reduced solution or Douglas solution of  $TX = S$ .*

Therefore, if we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of all operators which admit an  $A$ -adjoint operator, then by Theorem 1.1 we see that

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) ; \mathcal{R}(T^*A) \subset \mathcal{R}(A) \}.$$

Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . The Douglas solution of the equation  $AX = T^*A$  is a distinguished  $A$ -adjoint operator of  $T$ , which is denoted by  $T^{\sharp A}$ . Note that,  $T^{\sharp A} = A^\dagger T^*A$  in which  $A^\dagger$  is denoted to be the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $A$  (see [3]). It is important to mention that if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $T^{\sharp A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\|T^{\sharp A}\|_A = \|T\|_A$  and  $(T^{\sharp A})^{\sharp A} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} T P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ . Here,  $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ . Furthermore, if  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $(TS)^{\sharp A} = S^{\sharp A} T^{\sharp A}$ . In addition, an operator  $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $A$ -unitary if  $\|U^{\sharp A}x\|_A = \|Ux\|_A = \|x\|_A$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . For more details, the reader is invited to consult [2, 3, 5, 6] and their references.

Furthermore, again by applying Douglas theorem we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) = \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) ; \exists \lambda > 0 ; \|Tx\|_A \leq \lambda \|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \}. \quad (1.1)$$

Operators in  $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  are called  $A$ -bounded. It should be mention here that  $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  are two subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  which are neither closed nor dense in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, we have  $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  (see [4, Proposition 1.2.]).

The semi-inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_A$  induces the following seminorm on  $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ :

$$\|T\|_A := \sup_{\substack{x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}, \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A} = \sup \{ \|Tx\|_A ; x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $A = I$ , we get the classical definition of the operator norm of an operator  $T$  which will be denoted by  $\|T\|$ . It was shown in [11] that for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  we have

$$\|T\|_A = \sup \{ |\langle Tx | y \rangle_A| ; x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = \|y\|_A = 1 \}. \quad (1.3)$$

In addition, for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  we have

$$\|T\|_A^2 = \|T^{\sharp A}T\|_A = \|TT^{\sharp A}\|_A. \quad (1.4)$$

The  $A$ -numerical radius and the  $A$ -spectral radius of an  $A$ -bounded operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  are defined by

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup \left\{ |\langle Tx | x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$r_A(T) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \|T^n\|_A^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|_A^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (1.5)$$

respectively. Notice that the second equality in (1.5) is proved in [12]. If  $A = I$ , the spectral and numerical radius of  $T$  will be simply denoted by  $r(T)$  and  $\omega(T)$  respectively.

It is well known that  $\omega_A(\cdot)$  defines a seminorm on  $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ , which is equivalent to the  $A$ -operator seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ , more precisely,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \leq \omega_A(T) \leq \|T\|_A,$$

for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, it was shown in [12] that for  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ , it holds

$$\omega_A(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T\|_A + \|T^2\|_A^{1/2} \right).$$

So, clearly, if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  and satisfies  $AT^2 = 0$ , then

$$\omega_A(T) = \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A. \quad (1.6)$$

It should be emphasized here that for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  we have

$$r_A(T) \leq \omega_A(T). \quad (1.7)$$

Also  $r_A(\cdot)$  satisfies the commutativity property, which asserts that

$$r_A(TS) = r_A(ST), \quad (1.8)$$

for every  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ .

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $A$ -selfadjoint if  $AT$  is selfadjoint, that is,  $AT = T^*A$ . Moreover, it was shown in [12] that if  $T$  is  $A$ -self-adjoint, then

$$\|T\|_A = \omega_A(T) = r_A(T). \quad (1.9)$$

For the sequel, for any arbitrary operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ , we write

$$\Re_A(T) := \frac{T + T^{\sharp_A}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Im_A(T) := \frac{T - T^{\sharp_A}}{2i}.$$

It has recently been shown in [20, Theorem 2.5] that if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A. \quad (1.10)$$

Recently, many results covering some classes of operators on a complex Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  are extended to  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_A)$  (see, e.g., [13, 12, 17, 5, 6, 20, 16]).

In in this work, we consider the following diagonal operator matrix whose each diagonal entry is  $A$ :

$$\mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & & & 0 \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & A \end{pmatrix},$$

acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H}$  equipped with the following inner-product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d \langle x_k | y_k \rangle,$$

for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{H}$ . The semi-inner product induced by the positive operator  $\mathbb{A}$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \langle \mathbb{A}x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d \langle Ax_k | y_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d \langle x_k | y_k \rangle_A,$$

for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{H}$ . The purpose of this paper is to establish several inequalities for  $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T})$ , where  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  is a  $d \times d$  operator matrix with  $T_{ij}$  are  $A$ -bounded operators. The inspiration for our investigation comes from [1, 19, 7, 9].

## 2. RESULTS

In this section, we present our results. To prove our first result, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([14]) *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})_{d \times d}$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix be such that  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  for all  $i, j$ . Then,*

$$r_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq r(\|T_{ij}\|_A).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** ([8]) *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})_{d \times d}$  be such that  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  for all  $i, j$ . Then,  $\mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{H})$  and*

$$\mathbb{T}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} = (T_{ji}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}})_{d \times d}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** ([8]) *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_A(U^{\sharp_A} T U) = \omega_A(T),$$

for any  $A$ -unitary operator  $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ .

Now, we are in a position to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix where  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \|T_{ii}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| T_{ii} T_{ii}^{\sharp_A} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d T_{ij} T_{ij}^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A} \right).$$

*Proof.* We first prove that

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T_{11}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_{1j} T_{1j}^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -self-adjoint operator. So, by (1.9) we have

$$r_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})) = \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_{\mathbb{A}}. \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathbb{A}}[\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})] &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S} + e^{-i\theta}\mathbb{S}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ e^{i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{-i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{1d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta}T_{11} + e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & e^{i\theta}T_{12} & \cdots & e^{i\theta}T_{1d} \\ e^{-i\theta}T_{12}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{-i\theta}T_{1d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & e^{i\theta}I & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{1d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So, by using (2.2) together with (1.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_{\mathbb{A}} &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & e^{i\theta}I & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{1d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^d T_{1k}T_{1k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & e^{i\theta}T_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}r \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \|T_{11}\|_A & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^d T_{1k}T_{1k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_A & \|T_{11}\|_A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we infer that

$$\|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T_{11}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_{1j} T_{1j}^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A} \right).$$

So, by taking the supremum over all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  in the above inequality and then using (1.10) we get (2.1) as desired. Now, for  $k \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ , we let

$$\mathbb{U}_k = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{J}_{k \times k} & \mathbb{0}_{k \times (d-k)} \\ \hline \mathbb{0}_{(d-k) \times k} & \mathbb{I}_{(d-k) \times (d-k)} \end{array} \right),$$

where  $\mathbb{J}_{k \times k}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{(d-k) \times (d-k)}$  are  $k \times k$  and  $(d-k) \times (d-k)$  operator matrices respectively and are defined by

$$\mathbb{J}_{k \times k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \\ \vdots & \ddots & I & 0 \\ 0 & I & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}_{(d-k) \times (d-k)} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & I & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, we have  $\mathbb{U}_k \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  for all  $k$ . Moreover, a short

calculation shows that  $\mathbb{U}_k^{\sharp_A} = \mathbb{P}\mathbb{U}_k$  where  $\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} \end{pmatrix}.$

So, it is not difficult to verify that  $\mathbb{U}_k$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ -unitary operator for all  $k$ . Moreover,

one can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) &\leq \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&\quad + \dots + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{d1} & T_{d2} & \cdots & T_{dd} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \mathbb{U}_2^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & T_{21} & \cdots & T_{2d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{U} \right] \\
&\quad + \dots + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \mathbb{U}_d^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \begin{pmatrix} T_{dd} & T_{dd-1} & \cdots & T_{d1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{U} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

So, by using Lemma 2.3 together with (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) &\leq \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & T_{21} & \cdots & T_{2d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&\quad + \dots + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{dd} & T_{dd-1} & \cdots & T_{d1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T_{11}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_{1j} T_{1j}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_A} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T_{22}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1, j \neq 2}^d T_{2j} T_{2j}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_A} \right) \\
&\quad + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \left( \|T_{dd}\|_A + \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} T_{dj} T_{dj}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_A} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

To establish our next result, we shall require the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & T_1 \\ & \ddots & \\ T_d & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  be such that

$T_i \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then, the following assertions hold

- (a)  $\|\mathbb{T}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A$ .
- (b)  $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \omega_A(T_i)$ .
- (c)  $\|\mathbb{S}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{H}$ . By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbb{T}x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^d |\langle T_k x_k \mid y_k \rangle_A| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^d \|T_k\|_A \|x_k\|_A \|y_k\|_A \\ &\leq \left( \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A \right) \times \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\|x_k\|_A^2 + \|y_k\|_A^2) \\ &= \frac{\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 + \|y\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2}{2} \left( \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By taking the supremum over all  $x \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$  in the inequality (2.3) and then using (1.3), we get

$$\|\mathbb{T}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A.$$

Let  $u = (x, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $v = (y, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $\|x\|_A = \|y\|_A = 1$ . Then  $\|u\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|v\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_A = \|y\|_A = 1$ . Therefore, in view of (1.3), we have

$$\|\mathbb{T}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq |\langle \mathbb{T}u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}| = |\langle T_1 x \mid y \rangle_A|.$$

This implies that  $\|\mathbb{T}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|T_1\|_A$ . Similarly, we can show that  $\|\mathbb{T}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|T_k\|_A$  for all  $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ . This proves the desired equality.

(b) Follows by using similar arguments as in (a).

(c)  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{H}$ . By using (1.2), it can be observed that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 &= \|T_1 x_d\|_A^2 + \|T_2 x_{d-1}\|_A^2 + \dots + \|T_d x_1\|_A^2 \\ &\leq \left( \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^d \|x_k\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\|\mathbb{S}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \|T_i\|_A.$$

Let  $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $\|x\|_A = 1$  and  $u = (0, 0, \dots, x_1)$ . Clearly,  $\|u\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ . So, we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{S}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|\mathbb{S}u\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|T_1 x_1\|_A.$$



Thus, by taking the supremum over all  $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x_1\|_A = 1$ , we obtain  $\|\mathbb{S}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|T_1\|_A$ . Similarly, it is not difficult to prove that  $\|\mathbb{S}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|T_i\|_A$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ . This proves the desired equality.  $\square$

Our next result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix where  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_A(T_{ii}) + \frac{1}{4} \left( d + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|T_{ij}\|_A^2 \right). \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* We first prove that

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) \leq \frac{\omega_A(T_{11})}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_{1j} T_{1j}^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_{\mathbb{A}} &= \frac{1}{2} r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta}T_{11} + e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp_A} & e^{i\theta}T_{12} & \cdots & e^{i\theta}T_{1d} \\ e^{-i\theta}T_{12}^{\sharp_A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{-i\theta}T_{1d}^{\sharp_A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_A} & 0 & \cdots & e^{i\theta}I \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{1d}^{\sharp_A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So, by using (1.8) and (1.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_A &= \frac{1}{2}r_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & e^{i\theta}I \\ T_{12}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{1d}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}r_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^d T_{1k}T_{1k}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{i\theta}T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\omega_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^d T_{1k}T_{1k}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{i\theta}T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\omega_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta}T_{11}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{i\theta}T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right] + \frac{1}{2}\omega_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\omega_A \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^d T_{1k}T_{1k}^{\sharp A} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

So, by using Lemma 2.4 together with (1.6) we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{S})\|_A \leq \frac{\omega_A(T_{11})}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_{1j}T_{1j}^{\sharp A} \right\|_A, \quad (2.6)$$

So, by taking the supremum over all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  in the above inequality and then using (1.10) we get (2.5). Finally, by using an argument similar to that used in proof of Theorem 2.1 we reach the desired equality (2.4).  $\square$

In order to prove our next result in this section, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.5.** ([14]) *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})_{d \times d}$  be such that  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$  for all  $i, j$ . Then,  $\mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathbb{H})$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\|\mathbb{T}\|_A \leq \|\widehat{\mathbb{T}}^A\|, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}^A = (\|T_{ij}\|_A)_{d \times d} \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|e^{i\theta}T + e^{-i\theta}S^{\sharp A}\|_A.$$

*Proof.* Notice first that, in view of Lemma 2.4 (c) we have

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^{\sharp_A} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \|X\|_A, \quad (2.8)$$

for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Now, by using Lemma 2.2 and (1.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{B}}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S^{\sharp_A} \\ T^{\sharp_A} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{-i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} \\ (S^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} S^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} \\ e^{i\theta} T^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} (S^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} S^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} \\ [e^{i\theta} S^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}]^{\sharp_A} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|e^{i\theta} S^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} (T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}\|_A, \text{ (by (2.8))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|e^{i\theta} T^{\sharp_A} + e^{-i\theta} S\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

So, by replacing  $\theta$  by  $-\theta$  in the above equality, we obtain

$$\omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|e^{-i\theta} T^{\sharp_A} + e^{i\theta} S\|_A. \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $\mathbb{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, we have  $\mathbb{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathbb{U}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{B}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} \\ P_{\mathcal{R}(A)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So, we verify that  $\|\mathbb{U}x\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \|\mathbb{U}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{B}}}x\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{B}}$  for all  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{U}$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -unitary operator. Thus, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \mathbb{U}^{\sharp_A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{U} \right] \\ &= \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\mathcal{R}(A)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Hence, by combining (2.9) together with (2.10) we prove the desired equality.  $\square$

Now, we are in a position to establish the following result which generalizes [7, Theorem 4.17.].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be an  $d \times d$  operator matrix with  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \omega(S),$$

where  $S = [s_{ij}] \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} \omega(T_{ij}) & , \text{ if } i = j, \\ \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{ij} \\ T_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \text{ with } \mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} , \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ . By using (2.2), it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{T}) &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\theta}\mathbb{T} + e^{-i\theta}\mathbb{T}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}T_{11}) & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{12} + e^{-i\theta}T_{21}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{1d} + e^{-i\theta}T_{d1}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{21} + e^{-i\theta}T_{12}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) & \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}T_{22}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{2d} + e^{-i\theta}T_{d2}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{d1} + e^{-i\theta}T_{1d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}T_{d2} + e^{-i\theta}T_{2d}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) & \cdots & \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}T_{dd}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by applying Lemma (2.5) together with the norm monotonicity of matrices with nonnegative entries and then using Lemma 2.6 and (1.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{A}}(e^{i\theta}\mathbb{T})\|_{\mathbb{A}} \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{11}) & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \cdots & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{1d} \\ T_{d1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{22}) & \cdots & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{2d} \\ T_{d2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{1d} \\ T_{1d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{d2} \\ T_{2d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \cdots & \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{dd}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, by taking the supremum over all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  in the above inequality we get  $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \|S\|$  where  $S$  is defined in (2.11). Finally, by (2.10), we have  $\omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{ij} \\ T_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{ji} \\ T_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$  for all  $i, j$ . Thus,  $S$  is a real symmetric matrix and so  $\omega(S) = \|S\|$ . Therefore, we get the desired result.  $\square$

Next we state from [15, p. 44] the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $T = (t_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $t_{ij} \geq 0$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Then*

$$\omega(T) = \frac{r(t_{ij} + t_{ji})}{2}.$$

**Remark 2.1.** *Bhunia et al. proved recently in [7, Theorem 4.12.] that for a  $d \times d$  operator matrix  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  with  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \omega([t_{ij}]) \quad \text{where} \quad t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{ij}), & i = j \\ \|T_{ij}\|_A, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, by Lemma 2.6 one observes that

$$\omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq \frac{\|T\|_A + \|S\|_A}{2},$$

for every  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . So, by taking into consideration Lemma 2.7, it is not difficult to verify that the inequality proved in Theorem 2.3 refines the inequality (2.12).

Our next result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix where  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \omega_A(T_{ii}) + \sqrt{\omega_A^2(T_{ii}) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \|T_{ij}\|_A^2} \right).$$

*Proof.* We first prove that

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_A(T_{11}) + \sqrt{\omega_A^2(T_{11}) + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

By applying Theorem 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ & \leq \omega \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A(T_{11}) & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \cdots & \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_{1d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\mathbb{B} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_{1j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , then by applying (1.6) together with Lemma 2.4 (c) we have

$$\omega_{\mathbb{B}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_{1j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_{1j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{1}{2} \|T_{1j}\|_A.$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
& \leq \omega \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{11}) & \frac{\|T_{12}\|_A}{2} & \cdots & \frac{\|T_{1d}\|_A}{2} \\ \frac{\|T_{12}\|_A}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\|T_{1d}\|_A}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{2} r \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{11}) & \|T_{12}\|_A & \cdots & \|T_{1d}\|_A \\ \|T_{12}\|_A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \|T_{1d}\|_A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{11}) + \sqrt{\omega_{\mathbb{A}}^2(T_{11}) + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.13). Now, by proceeding as in proof of Theorem 2.1 we get the required result.  $\square$

The following lemma is useful in proving our next result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\omega_A(T) \leq \sqrt{\|\Re_A(T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_A(T)\|_A^2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\Re_A(T)$  and  $\Im_A(T)$  are  $A$ -selfadjoint operators, then by taking into consideration (1.9) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle Tx \mid x \rangle_A|^2 &= |\langle \Re_A(T)x \mid x \rangle_A + i\langle \Im_A(T)x \mid x \rangle_A|^2 \\
&= |\langle \Re_A(T)x \mid x \rangle_A|^2 + |\langle \Im_A(T)x \mid x \rangle_A|^2 \\
&\leq \|\Re_A(T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_A(T)\|_A^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, by taking the supremum over all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\|_A = 1$  we get required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix where  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_A(\mathbb{T}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + \mu_i^2},$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \|\Re_A(T_{ii})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Re_A(T_{ii})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \|T_{ij}\|_A^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mu_i = \|\Im_A(T_{ii})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Im_A(T_{ii})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \|T_{ij}\|_A^2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is not difficult to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Re_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T})\|_{\mathbb{A}} &= r_{\mathbb{A}}[\Re_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T})] \\ &= r_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \Re_A(T_{11}) & \frac{T_{12}}{2} & \dots & \frac{T_{1d}}{2} \\ \frac{T_{12}^{\sharp A}}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{T_{1d}^{\sharp A}}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &\leq r \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \|\Re_A(T_{11})\|_A & \frac{\|T_{12}\|_A}{2} & \dots & \frac{\|T_{1d}\|_A}{2} \\ \frac{\|T_{12}^{\sharp A}\|_A}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\|T_{1d}^{\sharp A}\|_A}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\Re_A(T_{11})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Re_A(T_{11})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it can be seen that

$$\Im_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Im_A(T_{11}) & \frac{T_{12}}{2i} & \dots & \frac{T_{1d}}{2i} \\ -\frac{T_{12}^{\sharp A}}{2i} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\frac{T_{1d}^{\sharp A}}{2i} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we prove that

$$\|\Im_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T})\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\Im_A(T_{11})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Im_A(T_{11})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2} \right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \mu^2},$$

where

$$\lambda = \|\Re_A(T_{11})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Re_A(T_{11})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2},$$

$$\mu = \|\Im_A(T_{11})\|_A + \sqrt{\|\Im_A(T_{11})\|_A^2 + \sum_{j=2}^d \|T_{1j}\|_A^2}.$$

Finally, by using an argument similar to that used in proof of Theorem 2.1 we reach the desired result.  $\square$

Our next result reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (T_{ij})$  be a  $d \times d$  operator matrix where  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \omega_A(T_{ii}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d T_{ij} T_{ij}^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A}.$$

*Proof.* By using the triangle inequality and Lemma 2.4 (b) we get

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \omega_A(T_{ii}) + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$+ \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ T_{21} & 0 & T_{23} & \cdots & T_{2d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \dots + \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ T_{d1} & T_{d2} & \cdots & T_{dd-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

On the other hand it can be seen that

$$\mathbb{A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \mathbb{A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ T_{21} & 0 & T_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2$$

$$= \dots = \mathbb{A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{d1} & T_{d2} & \cdots & T_{dd} \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



So, by (1.6) we infer that

$$\mathbb{A} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

Moreover, by using (1.4) and Lemma 2.4, it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}} \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=2}^d T_{1k} T_{1k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\| \sum_{k=2}^d T_{1k} T_{1k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using similar arguments we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{T}) &\leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \omega_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{ii}) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{k=2}^d T_{1k} T_{1k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{k=1, k \neq 2}^d T_{2k} T_{2k}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{k=1, k \neq d}^d T_{dk} T_{dk}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This achieves the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abu-Omar and F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for  $n \times n$  operator matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Application*, 468 (2015), 18-26.
- [2] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (7) (2008) 1460-1475.
- [3] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, Metric properties of projections in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 62 (2008), pp.11-28.
- [4] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, Lifting properties in operator ranges, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 75:3-4(2009), 635-653.
- [5] H. Baklouti, K.Feki, O.A.M. Sid Ahmed, Joint numerical ranges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 555 (2018) 266-284.
- [6] H. Baklouti, K.Feki, O.A.M. Sid Ahmed, Joint normality of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 68(4) 845-866 (2020).
- [7] P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Some improvements of numerical radius inequalities of operators and operator matrices, arXiv:1910.06775v3 [math.FA] 29 Feb 2020.

- [8] P. Bhunia, K.Feki, K. Paul, *A-Numerical radius orthogonality and parallelism of semi-Hilbertian space operators and their applications*, arXiv:2001.04522v1 [math.FA] 13 Jan 2020.
- [9] P. Bhunia, K. Paul, R. k. Nayak *Sharp inequalities for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators and operator matrices* , arXiv:1908.04499v2 [math.FA] 1 Feb 2020.
- [10] R.G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 413-416.
- [11] M. Faghih-Ahmadi, F. Gorjizadeh, *A-numerical radius of A-normal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Italian journal of pure and applied mathematics n. 36-2016 (73-78).
- [12] K. Feki, *Spectral radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, Annals of Functionnal Analysis (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43034-020-00064-y>.
- [13] K. Feki and O.A.M. Sid Ahmed, *Davis-Wielandt shells of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, Banach J. Math. Anal. (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43037-020-00063-0>.
- [14] K.Feki, *Some A-spectral radius inequalities for A-bounded Hilbert space operators*, arXiv:2002.02905v1 [math.FA] 7 Feb 2020.
- [15] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [16] W. Majdak, N.A. Secolean, L. Suciu, *Ergodic properties of operators in some semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 61:2, (2013) 139-159.
- [17] M.S. Moslehian, Q. Xu, A. Zamani, *Seminorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 591 (2020) 299-321.
- [18] M.S. Moslehian, M. Kian, Q. Xu, *Positivity of  $2 \times 2$  block matrices of operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. Volume 13, Number 3 (2019), 726-743.
- [19] S. Sahoo, N. Das and D. Mishra, *Numerical radius inequalities for operator matrices*, Adv. Oper. Theory 4 (2019), no. 1, 197–214.
- [20] A. Zamani, *A-numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 578(2019) 159-183.

<sup>[1]</sup> UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, SFAX, TUNISIA.  
*E-mail address:* [kais.feki@hotmail.com](mailto:kais.feki@hotmail.com)