

ON DOCILE SPACES, MACKEY FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES, AND SEQUENTIALLY MACKEY FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES

CARLOS BOSCH GIRAL, CÉSAR L. GARCÍA, THOMAS E. GILSDORF,
 CLAUDIA GÓMEZ - WULSCHNER, AND RIGOBERTO VERA
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ITAM RÍO HONDO #1, COL.
 PROGRESO TIZAPÁN, MÉXICO, DF, 01080, MÉXICO

Email: bosch@itam.mx, clgarcia@itam.mx, thomas.gilsdorf@itam.mx,
claudiag@itam.mx, rveram@itam.mx.

ABSTRACT. In this article we discuss the relationship between three types of locally convex spaces: docile spaces, Mackey first countable spaces, and sequentially Mackey first countable spaces. More precisely, we show that docile spaces are sequentially Mackey first countable. We also show the existence of sequentially Mackey first countable spaces that are not Mackey first countable, and we characterize Mackey first countable spaces in terms of normability of certain inductive limits.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A03, 46A13.

Keywords: Mackey first countable, Mackey sequentially first countable, docile space, bounding cardinal, inductive limit.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper, *space* refers to a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space $E = (E, \tau)$ over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . We assume that E is infinite dimensional unless otherwise indicated. Unspecified notation follows standard locally convex spaces notation such as in [2]. To denote E as a linear subspace of another linear space F , we use $E \leq F$, and $E \lesssim F$ if E is a proper subspace of F .

Date: April 1, 2020.

The concept of a docile space was introduced in [3]:

Definition 1.1. *A space $E = (E, \tau)$ is **docile** if every linear subspace of infinite dimension contains a τ -bounded set B such that $\text{span}\{B\}$ is infinite - dimensional.*

In one of his seminal papers, G. W. Mackey [5] defined the following:

Definition 1.2. *A space $E = (E, \tau)$ is **first countable**, henceforth referred to as **Mackey first countable**, if for every sequence of τ -bounded sets (B_n) , there exists a sequence of nonzero scalars (a_n) , such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n$ remains bounded.*

In [1], the following was introduced and the authors proved that it is equivalent to the concept of a docile space:

Definition 1.3. *A space E is **sequentially Mackey first countable**, if given any sequence (B_n) of bounded sets in E , and any sequence (x_k) from $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$, there exists a sequence (α_k) of scalars with infinitely many nonzero terms such that $\{\alpha_k x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded.*

Observe that the definition is unchanged if one uses sequences (x_k) without reference to a sequence (B_n) of bounded sets.

Clearly every Mackey first countable space is sequentially Mackey first countable. In this paper we will prove that there exist spaces that are sequentially Mackey first countable but not Mackey first countable. We will also obtain a characterization of Mackey first countability in terms of increasing sequences of bounded sets.

2. SEQUENTIALLY MACKEY FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES THAT ARE NOT MACKEY FIRST COUNTABLE.

The existence of sequentially Mackey first countable spaces that are not Mackey first countable conclusion will be in Corollary 2.2 which is a consequence of the following main result:

Theorem 2.1. *The weak dual of a nonnormable metrizable space of algebraic dimension greater or equal to \aleph_0 is not Mackey first countable.*

In [4; (5), 393] it is proved that every nonnormable metrizable space is Mackey first countable and that the strong dual of an infinite dimensional metrizable space, $(E', \beta(E', E))$, is not Mackey first countable. In fact, it is easy to see that any regular inductive (an inductive limit is **regular** if every bounded set is contained in and bounded in some step subspace) cannot be Mackey first countable.

In this paper we will make use of a bounding cardinal, \mathfrak{b} , defined as $\mathfrak{b} = \min\{|F|: F \subset \omega^\omega \text{ such that for each } f \in \omega^\omega \text{ there exists } g \in F \text{ for which } g \text{ is not less than } f\}$, where ω represents the ordinal of the natural numbers, \mathbb{N} , and $|F|$ denotes the cardinality of F .

It is known from ZFC, that $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{c}$, where $\mathfrak{c} = \text{card}(\mathbb{R})$.

From [3; Thm. 7. 4] we have that if the space E is of algebraic dimension less than \mathfrak{b} , then its weak dual, $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is docile. Via [1] we will obtain:

Corollary 2.2. *If $E = (E, \tau)$ is metrizable and of dimension \aleph_α , then $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is sequentially Mackey first countable, but not Mackey first countable.*

We recall that for an absolutely convex subset C of a space E , the linear subspace generated by C is $E_C = \text{span}\{C\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nC$. Some simple observations of this construction are: If $a > 0$, then $E_{aC} = E_C$, and if $A \subset C$, then $E_A \leq E_C$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 requires two preliminary lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of absolutely convex subsets of a space E , and that a_1, a_2, \dots is a sequence of positive numbers. If $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n$, then $E_C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{C_n}$.*

Proof: Because $a_n C_n \subset E_{C_n}$, we have $C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{C_n}$, and hence, $E_C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{C_n}$. Next, let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{C_n}$. Then $x \in E_{C_n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that for some $r > 0$, $x \in rC_n$, which implies that there exists $y \in C_n$ with $x = ry$. From this,

$$x = ry = \frac{r}{a_n}(a_n y) \in \frac{r}{a_n}a_n C_n \subset \frac{r}{a_n}C \subset E_C. \quad \square$$

If C is bounded, then we can define a norm on E_C via the Minkowski sublinear functional of C :

$$\rho_C(x) = \inf\{r > 0 : x \in r \cdot C\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Under the same hypotheses of the previous lemma, suppose additionally that each C_n is bounded in E . Consider the increasing sequence of linear subspaces $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$, where $E_n = (E_{C_n}, \rho_{C_n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ and that $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n$ is bounded. Then $F = E_C$ and the topology induced by the norm ρ_C is weaker than the inductive limit topology formed by the sequence (E_{C_n}, ρ_{C_n}) .*

Proof: The equality $F = E_C$ was proved in the previous lemma. Now, observe that $a_n C_n \subset a_n C_{n+1} \subset a_n C$, and that from

$$E_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} i C_n.$$

For each $x \in E_n = \bigcup_{r>0} r C_n$, $x = rz$ for some $r > 0$ and $z \in C_n$. From this, we have $\rho_{C_n}(x) \leq r$, and

$$x = \frac{r}{a_n}(a_n z) \in \frac{r}{a_n} a_n C_n \subset \frac{r}{a_n} C.$$

This last line implies that $\rho_C(x) \leq \frac{r}{a_n}$, that is, $a_n \rho_C(x) \leq r$. By taking the infimum, we conclude that $a_n \rho_C(x) \leq \rho_{C_n}(x)$ implies $\rho_C(x) \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \rho_{C_n}(x)$, and we deduce that the topology induced by ρ_C on the subspace E_n is weaker than the normed topology of ρ_{C_n} , that is, ρ_{C_n} is weaker than τ_{ind} , which in turn gives us that ρ_C is weaker than τ_{ind} . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$ be a decreasing countable base of zero neighborhoods of the space E . We suppose that these neighborhoods are all absolutely convex and τ -closed. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_n = V_n^\circ$, the polar of the neighborhood V_n . By the Alaoglu - Bourbaki theorem, each B_n is $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact, hence, by [4; (5.1), 262], each B_n is both bounded and complete with respect to the strong topology $\beta(E', E)$.

In [4; (8), 394] it is shown that when E is a nonnormable metrizable space, (B_n) forms a fundamental sequence of bounded sets in $\beta(E', E)$, that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, B_n does not absorb B_{n+1} , and that the linear subspace generated by each B_n , (E'_{B_n}) is a proper subspace of that

generated by B_{n+1} .

Of course, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n = \{0\}$ in E implies that $E' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_{B_n}$.

From the previous statements we conclude that the sequence (B_n) indicates that $(E', \beta(E', E))$ is neither Mackey first countable nor sequentially Mackey first countable. We will prove that:

Claim 2.5. $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is not Mackey first countable.

Proof of Claim. Suppose there exists a sequence (a_n) of positive numbers such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n$ is $\sigma(E', E)$ - bounded. Consider

$$B = \overline{\text{absconv} \left\{ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n \right\}}^{\sigma(E', E)},$$

the absolutely convex $\sigma(E', E)$ - hull of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n$. We will show that the assumption that B is $\sigma(E', E)$ - bounded leads to the conclusion that E is finite dimensional.

By Lemma 2.3, $E' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_{B_n} = E'_B$, which means that B is absorbing in E' . Lemma 2.4 implies that the topology induced by the norm ρ_B in E' is weaker than τ_{ind} . Moreover, because B is a ρ_B - zero neighborhood, there exists a τ_{ind} - zero neighborhood U such that $U \subset B$. Thus, B is a τ_{ind} - bornivore, in particular, B is absorbing in E'

On the other hand, the $\sigma(E', E)$ - completeness of each B_n implies that each (E'_{B_n}, ρ_{B_n}) is a Banach space, giving us that the inductive limit of these spaces is barrelled (cf [2; 214]). Thus, as $B \subset E'$ is an absolutely convex, absorbing, $\sigma(E', E)$ - closed (hence, τ_{ind} - closed) set, i.e., a barrel, B is a zero neighborhood with respect to τ_{ind} . We conclude that $\beta(E', E) = \tau_{ind}$ in E' . Meanwhile, $(E'' = (E'[\beta'])')$ is metrizable: [4; (5), 301] and each $\sigma(E'', E)$ - bounded subset is β' -equicontinuous ([2; 3.6.2, 212]). Because U is absorbing in E' , its polar in $(E', \tau'_{ind})' = E''$ is $\sigma(E'', E)$ - compact, which means U° is $\beta(E'', E)$ - bounded. From $U \subset B$, $B^\circ \subset U^\circ \subset E''$, implying that B° is $\beta(E'', E)$ - bounded, and $\sigma(E'', E)$ - bounded as well. Because B is $\sigma(E', E)$ - bounded, $B^\circ \subset E''$ is absorbing, that is, $E''_{B^\circ} = E''$. Furthermore, $B^\circ \subset E''$ is β' -equicontinuous and thus $C = E \cap B^\circ \subset E$ is β' -equicontinuous, hence, τ - precompact. The equicontinuity of C gives us that C is a τ - zero neighborhood, and therefore, E is finite dimensional. This

contradiction completes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. This follows from the Theorem 2.1 above, and from the proof that such a space is docile ([3; Thm. 7]) given that $\aleph_o < \mathfrak{b}$. \square

In [1] the authors proved that a Hausdorff quotient of a Mackey first countable space is sequentially Mackey first countable. Theorem 2.1 leaves that conclusion as a partial answer to G. W. Mackey's original question, which, in its original form, remains open:

Problem 2.6. (*G.W. Mackey, [5]*). *Is a Hausdorff quotient of a Mackey first countable locally convex space again Mackey first countable?*

3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF MACKEY FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES.

Let $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$ be an increasing sequence of absolutely convex closed bounded sets in a space E . Put $(F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{B_n}, \tau_{ind})$ as the inductive limit of the normed linear subspaces generated by this sequence. By [2; 7.3.4, 222], the space (F, τ_{ind}) is bornological. In the main result of this section we will prove that E is Mackey first countable if and only if (F, τ_{ind}) is normed.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of closed, convex subsets of E , such that B_1 is balanced. Define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_n^\# = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$. If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is such that $0 < a_n < 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n$ is bounded if and only if, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n^\#$ is bounded.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow): Because $B_n \subset B_n^\#$, this implication is clear.

(\Rightarrow): Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$. Let $A \subset E$ be τ -bounded such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n \subset A$. Observe that

$$a_1 B_1 = a_1 B_1^\#, \quad a_2 B_2^\# = a_2(B_1 \cup B_2) = a_2(B_1 \cup a_2 B_2) \subset a_1 B_1 \cup a_2 B_2 \subset A.$$

Thus,

$$a_n B_n^\# = a_n \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k \right) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n a_n B_k \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n a_k B_k \subset A,$$

which shows that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k B_k^\# \subset A$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of closed, convex subsets of E , with B_1 balanced. Define $B_n^\#$ by:*

$$B_n^\# = \overline{\text{absconv} \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k \right\}}^\tau.$$

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is such that $0 < a_n < 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n$ is bounded if and only if, $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n^\#$ is bounded.

Proof. If $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n^\#$ is bounded, then we obtain again, $B_n \subset B_n^\#$.

Suppose $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n$ is bounded. Consider $A \subset E$, an absolutely convex closed, bounded set, such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n \subset A$. By the previous proposition,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n (B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n) \subset A.$$

We observe that

$$\overline{\text{absconv} \{a_n (B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n)\}}^\tau = a_n B_n^\#.$$

It follows that $a_n B_n^\# \subset A$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty a_n B_n^\# \subset A. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.3.

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{B_n} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_{B_n^\#} \leq E.$$

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $E_{B_n} \leq E_{B_n^\#}$, which gives us

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{B_n} \leq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_{B_n^\#}.$$

Let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_{B_n^\#}$. Then $x \in E_{B_n^\#}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By [4; (1), 173], $x = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k$ with $\alpha_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k = 1$ and $x_k \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m E_{B_k}$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We obtain $x \in \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{B_n}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The space (E, τ) is Mackey first countable if and only if, for each increasing collection $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded, absolutely convex closed sets in E , the corresponding inductive limit, $ind_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(E_{B_n^\#}, \rho_{B_n^\#})$, is normable.*

Proof. Let $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{B_n^\#} \leq E$, and let τ_{ind} denote the corresponding inductive limit topology on F .

Assume that for each increasing collection $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded, absolutely convex closed sets in E , $ind_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(E_{B_n^\#}, \rho_{B_n^\#})$, is normable. Let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of bounded subsets of E . Using ρ to denote the normed topology on F , we have $\tau_{ind} = \rho$. If $D \subset F$ is the ρ -closed unit ball, the $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists a_n > 0)$ such that $a_n B_n^\# \subset D \cap E_{B_n^\#} \subset D$. From this, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n^\# \subset D$. Moreover, $B_n \subset B_n^\#$ implies that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n \subset D$. Meanwhile, D is ρ -bounded, with $\tau \leq \tau_{ind} = \rho$, and this tells us that D is τ -bounded as well.

Conversely, if (E, τ) is Mackey first countable, then we will prove that there exists a norm ρ on F such that $\tau_{ind} = \rho$. To this end, let $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$ be an increasing sequence of closed, absolutely convex bounded subsets of E . Then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, 1]$, with $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > 0$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n$ is bounded in E . Put

$$B = \overline{\left\{ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B_n \right\}}^{\tau}.$$

Claim 3.5. $\rho_B = \tau_{ind}$.

Proof of claim. We have that B is absolutely convex, closed and bounded. By Lemma 2.4, $F = E_B$. On the other hand, by the definition of the inductive limit topology, $\rho_B \leq \tau_{ind}$. Hence, (F, ρ_B) and (F, τ_{ind}) are both bornological. We conclude via [2; 3.7.1 (a), 220], that $\rho_B = \tau_{ind}$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *The previous result applies to any countable collection of absolutely convex closed and bounded subsets.*

Proof. Consider the family \wp of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . The family \wp is ordered by inclusion. Moreover, given $S \in \wp$, $C_S = \sum_{k \in S} E_{B_k}$ satisfies: If $S \subset S'$, then $C_S \leq C_{S'}$. Therefore, we can speak of the inductive limits of such linear subspaces of the form $\{C_S\}_{S \in \wp}$: $G = ind C_S$, with the respective topology t_{ind} .

By Corollary 3.3, $F = G$, and because C_S is a linear subspace of some $E_{B_n^\#}$, we have: $\tau_{ind} \leq t_{ind}$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors would like to acknowledge research support for this paper by the Asociación Mexicana de Cultura, A. C.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bosch Giral, C., Gilsdorf, T., Gómez-Wulschner, C. *Mackey first countability and docile locally convex spaces*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **27**, (2011), no.4, 737 - 740.
- [2] Horváth, J. Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions, Vol. I. Addison - Wesley, (1966).
- [3] Kakol, J., Saxon, S. A., Todd, A. R. *Docile locally convex spaces*, Contemp. Math., **341**, AMS, (2004), 73 - 77.
- [4] Köthe, G. Topological Vector Spaces I. Springer Verlag, NY, (1969).
- [5] Mackey, G. W. *On infinite- dimensional linear spaces*. Trans. AMS, **66**, no. 57 (1945), 155 - 207.
- [6] Pérez Carreras, P., Bonet, J. Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces. North Holland Math. Studies, **131**, (1987).
- [7] Saxon, S. A., Sánchez Ruiz, L. M. *Optimal cardinals for metrizable barrelled spaces*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), **51**, (1995), no. 1, 137 - 147.