

# Weighted gradient estimates for the class of singular $p$ -Laplace system

Tan Duc Do<sup>1</sup>, Le Xuan Truong<sup>2</sup>, Nguyen Ngoc Trong<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

Email: tanducdo.math@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

Email: lxuantruong@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

\* Corresponding author

Email: trongnn37@gmail.com

April 7, 2020

## Abstract

Let  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ ,  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$ . Let  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  be such that

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$$

and  $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Consider the  $p$ -Laplace system

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We obtain a weighted gradient estimate for distributional solutions of this system.

**Keywords:** Nonlinear elliptic systems, Gradient regularity.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary: 35J60, 35J61, 35J62; secondary: 35J75, 42B37.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Tensors and <math>p</math>-harmonic maps</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>A comparison estimate</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Good-<math>\lambda</math> type bounds</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Global weighted gradient estimates</b>	<b>27</b>

## 1 Introduction

Calderon-Zygmund theory is undoubtedly classical to linear partial differential equations. In the last few years, its extension to non-linear settings has become an active area of research. For a comprehensive survey on this account, cf. [Min10] and also the references therein. Our paper continues this trend with a gradient estimate for the solutions of a  $p$ -Laplace system.

Specifically, let  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ ,  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$ . Consider the  $p$ -Laplace system

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  belongs to some appropriate Lebesgue space.

Our aim is to derive a general Muckenhoupt-Wheeden-type gradient estimate for (1.1). This result inherits the spirit of [KM18], [NP19], [NP] and [NP20]. Specifically, in [NP19], [NP] the authors obtained such estimates when  $N = 1$  and  $1 < p \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ . If in addition  $\frac{3n-2}{2n-1} < p \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ , pointwise gradient estimates with measure data are also available (cf. [NP20]). In a system setting (i.e.  $N \geq 1$ ) with measure data, pointwise gradient bounds via Riesz potential and Wolff potential for  $p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$  were obtained in [KM18]. Regarding the method of proof, we follow the general frameworks presented in these papers. Our main contribution involves the reconstructions of a comparison estimate and a good- $\lambda$ -type bound peculiar to the setting in this paper.

To state our main result, we need some definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a distributional (or weak) solution to (1.1) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^{p-2} Du : D\varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \, dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Here  $Du$ , which is a counterpart of  $\nabla u$  in the equation setting, is understood in the sense of tensors. See Section 2 for further details.

Next recall the notion of Muckenhoupt weights.

**Definition 1.2.** A positive function  $\omega \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be an  $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ -weight if there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\nu > 0$  such that

$$\omega(E) \leq C \left( \frac{|E|}{|B|} \right)^\nu \omega(B),$$

for all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and all measurable subset  $E$  of  $B$ . The pair  $(C, \nu)$  is called the  $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ -constants of  $\omega$  and is denoted by  $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}$ .

In what follows, we will also make use of the maximal function defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{\rho>0} \rho^\beta \int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| \, dy$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\beta \in [0, n]$ , where

$$\int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| \, dy := \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x)|} \int_{B_\rho(x)} f(y) \, dy.$$

When  $\beta = 0$ , the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0$  is recovered.

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ ,  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$ . Let  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  be such that

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$$

and  $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a strictly increasing function that satisfies

$$\Phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty.$$

Furthermore assume that there exists a  $c > 1$  such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq c \Phi(t)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then for all  $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_\infty$  there exist a  $C > 0$  and a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , both depending on  $n, p, \Phi$  and  $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}$  only, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|Du|) \omega \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] \omega \, dx$$

for all distributional solution  $u$  of (1.1).

Note that in our setting all functions are vector fields. For short we will write, for instance,  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in place of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  hereafter. When scalar-valued functions are in use, we will explicitly write  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ . This convention applies to all function spaces in the whole paper.

When  $n = 1$  it has been known that the distributional solution  $u$  is locally  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for some exponent  $\alpha = \alpha(n, N, p) > 0$ , whose result is due to [Uhl77]. Hence we only consider  $n \geq 2$  in this project. We also remark that the function  $\Phi$  in the above theorem is quite general. In particular, we do not require  $\Phi$  to be convex or to satisfy the so-called  $\nabla_2$  condition:  $\Phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2a} \Phi(at)$  for some  $a > 1$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ . As such one can take, for examples,  $\Phi(t) = t^\alpha$  or  $\Phi(t) = [\log(1+t)]^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 collects definitions and basic facts about tensors and  $p$ -harmonic maps. In Sections 3 and 4 we derive a comparison estimate and a good- $\lambda$ -type bound respectively. Lastly Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 5.

**Notations.** Throughout the paper the following set of notation is used without mentioning. Set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$ . For all ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  we write  $w(B) := \int_B w$ . The constants  $C$  and  $c$  are always assumed to be positive and independent of the main parameters whose values change from line to line. Given a ball  $B = B_r(x)$ , we let  $tB = B_{tr}(x)$  for all  $t > 0$ . If  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then the conjugate index of  $p$  is denoted by  $p'$ .

**Throughout assumptions.** In the entire paper, we always assume that  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ ,  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $p \in \left(1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}\right]$  without explicitly stated.

## 2 Tensors and $p$ -harmonic maps

This section briefly summarizes definitions and basic facts regarding tensors and  $p$ -harmonic maps. Further details are available in [KM18, Sections 2 and 3]. These will be used frequently in subsequent sections without mentioning.

Let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  and  $\{e^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$  be the canonical bases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^N$  respectively. Let  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$  be second-order tensors of size  $(N, n)$ , that is,

$$\zeta = \zeta_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \xi_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j$$

in which repeated indices are summed. Note that the linear space of all second-order tensors is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ .

The Frobenius product of  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$  is given by

$$\zeta : \xi = \zeta_j^\alpha \xi_j^\alpha,$$

from which we also obtain the Frobenius norm of  $\zeta$  as  $|\zeta|^2 = \zeta : \zeta$ . The divergence of  $\zeta$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{div} \zeta = (\partial_j \zeta_j^\alpha) e^\alpha.$$

Also the gradient of a first-order tensor  $u = u^\alpha e^\alpha$  is the second-order tensor

$$Du = (\partial_j u^\alpha) e^\alpha \otimes e_j.$$

Next consider the tensor field

$$A_q(z) := |z|^{q-2} z = |z|^{q-2} z_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j$$

defined on the linear space of all second-order tensors, where  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . The differential of  $A_q$  is defined as a fourth-order tensor

$$\partial A_q(z) = |z|^{q-2} \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} + (q-2) \frac{z_i^\alpha z_j^\beta}{|z|^2} \right) (e^\alpha \otimes e_i) \otimes (e^\beta \otimes e_j).$$

Here  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Kronecker's delta. This leads to

$$\partial A_q(z) : \xi = |z|^{p-2} \left( \xi + (q-2) \frac{(z : \xi) z}{|z|^2} \right)$$

and

$$(\partial A_q(z) : \xi) : \xi = |z|^{q-2} \left( |\xi|^2 + (q-2) \frac{(z : \xi)^2}{|z|^2} \right).$$

Regarding second-order tensors, the following inequality is well-known (cf. [KM18, (4.51)]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . There exists a  $c = c(n; N; p) \leq 1$  such that*

$$\left( |z_2|^{q-1} z_2 - |z_1|^{q-1} z_1 \right) : (z_2 - z_1) \geq c \left( |z_2|^2 + |z_1|^2 \right)^{(q-2)/2} |z_2 - z_1|^2$$

for all second-order tensors  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

We end this section with the definition of a  $q$ -harmonic map.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . A function  $v \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be  $q$ -harmonic if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Dv|^{q-2} Dv : D\varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 3 A comparison estimate

In this section we prove a comparison estimate between the weak solutions of (1.1) and a  $p$ -harmonic map, which is the content of Proposition 3.1.

In what follows it is convenient to denote

$$q_0 = \frac{\beta' (p-1) n}{\beta' (n-1) - n}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that  $q_0 \in (1, p)$ . Also set  $B_\sigma = B_\sigma(0)$  for all  $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $M \geq 1$  and  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  be such that  $\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$ . Let  $1 < q < q_0$  and*

*$B = B_r(x_0)$  be a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$  satisfy*

$$\oint_B |u| \, dx \leq Mr. \quad (3.2)$$

*Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, M, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$  such that if*

$$\left| \oint_B |Du|^{p-2} Du : D\varphi \, dx \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{r} \left( \oint_B |\varphi(x)|^{\beta'} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$ , then there exist a constant  $c = c(n, N, p, q) > 0$  and a  $p$ -harmonic map  $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon$$

as well as

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |v| dx \leq M 2^n r \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq cM.$$

We divide the proof of Proposition 3.1 into several parts. To begin with, recall the following self-improving property of reverse Holder inequalities (cf. [HK, Lemma 3.38]).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $0 < q < a < \gamma < \infty$ ,  $\xi \geq 0$  and  $M \geq 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a non-negative Borel measure with finite total mass and  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a ball. Suppose  $0 \leq g \in L^p(U, \nu)$  satisfies the following: there exists a  $c_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \int_{\sigma_1 B} g^\gamma d\nu \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{c_0}{(\sigma - \sigma_1)^\xi} \left( \int_{\sigma B} g^a d\nu \right)^{1/a} + M$$

for all  $\kappa \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma \leq 1$ , where  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists a  $c = c(c_0, \xi, \sigma, a, q) > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\sigma_1 B} g^\gamma d\nu \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{c}{(1 - \sigma)^\zeta} \left[ \left( \int_{\sigma B} g^q d\nu \right)^{1/q} + M \right]$$

for all  $\sigma \in (\kappa, 1)$ , where

$$\zeta := \frac{\xi p(\gamma - q)}{q(\gamma - a)}.$$

Next we will establish suitable a priori estimates for (scaled) weak solutions of (1.1) under the assumptions in Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  and  $\beta$  be as in Proposition 3.1. Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose  $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(B_1)$  satisfies*

$$\int_{B_1} |\bar{u}| dx \leq 1 \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |D\bar{u}|^{p-2} D\bar{u} : D\eta dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} \delta \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)} \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_1)$ . Then there exists a  $c = c(n, N, p, q)$  such that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})} \leq c$$

for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$ .

**Proof.** The main idea is to test (3.5) with suitable test functions. Following [KM18, Proof of Theorem 4.1] consider for each  $t > 0$  the truncation operator  $T_t : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$  defined by

$$T_t(z) := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{t}{|z|} \right\} z. \quad (3.6)$$

By direct calculations,  $DT_t : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$  is given by

$$DT_t(z) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } |z| \leq t \\ \frac{t}{|z|} \left( I - \frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^2} \right) & \text{if } |z| > t, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $I : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$  denotes the identity operator.

Now let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_1; \mathbb{R})$  be such that  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$  and then choose

$$\eta := \phi^p T_t(\bar{u})$$

as a test function in (3.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} D\eta &= \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u} < t\}} (\phi^p D\bar{u} + p\phi^{p-1}\bar{u} \otimes D\phi) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u} \geq t\}} \frac{t}{|\bar{u}|} (\phi^p (I - P)D(\bar{u}) + p\phi^{p-1}\bar{u} \otimes D\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P := \frac{\bar{u} \otimes \bar{u}}{|\bar{u}|^2}$ . Also notice that

$$D\bar{u} : [(I - P)D\bar{u}] = |D\bar{u}|^2 - \frac{u^\alpha D_j \bar{u}^\alpha u^k D_j \bar{u}^k}{|u|^2} = |D\bar{u}|^2 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (D_j u \cdot u)^2}{|u|^2} \geq 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)} &= \left( \int_{B_1} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\beta'} \phi^{p\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} = \left( \int_{B_1} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\theta\beta'} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\beta'(1-\theta)} \phi^{p\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \\ &\leq t^\theta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

Substituting these into (3.5) and using Young's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| < t\}} |D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p dx &\leq c \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| < t\}} |\bar{u}|^p |D\phi|^p dx + c M^{1-p} \delta t^\theta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\quad + ct \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| \geq t\}} |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for some  $c = c(n, N, p) > 0$ .



For the rest of the proof we use  $c = c(n, N, p)$  whose value may vary from line to line.

Next let  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . Multiplying (3.9) by  $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma-\theta}$  and then integrating on  $(0, \infty)$  with respect to  $t$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\theta + \gamma} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx &\leq \frac{c}{\gamma + \theta} \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx \\ &+ \frac{c}{\gamma} \delta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} + c \int_{B_1} \frac{|\bar{u}| |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{|\bar{u}| |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx \leq \frac{1}{2c(\gamma + \theta)} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx + c(\gamma + \theta)^{p-1} \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{-(\gamma+\theta)} |D\phi|^p |\bar{u}|^p dx.$$

Consequently

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx \leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \quad (3.10)$$

The pointwise inequality  $|D|\bar{u}|| \leq |D\bar{u}|$  implies

$$|D((1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi)|^p \leq \frac{c |D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1+\gamma}} \phi^p + c(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma} |D\phi|^p.$$

Combining with (3.10), we obtain

$$\int_{B_1} |D((1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi)|^p dx \leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (3.11)$$

Applying Sobolev's inequality to (3.11) and combining the derived estimate with (3.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx + \left( \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} \phi^{\frac{pn}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} &\leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx \\ &+ \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Next let  $7/8 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma \leq 1$  and  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_\sigma)$  be such that

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad \psi|_{B_{\sigma_1}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D\psi| \leq \frac{100}{\sigma - \sigma_1}.$$

With this choice of test function, we deduce from (3.12) that

$$\left( \int_{B_{\sigma_1}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \int_{B_\sigma} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma} dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta} \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $\gamma, \theta \in (0, 1)$ .

Now we choose  $\theta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $p - \theta - \gamma \geq 1$ . Then thanks to Lemma 3.2 and (3.4), we get

$$\left( \int_{B_{\sigma_1}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|1 + \bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta}.$$

The lemma can now be achieved by iterating (3.14) multiple times. Indeed if we denote  $b = \frac{n}{n-p}$  then (3.14) reads

$$\|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b(p-\theta-\gamma)}(B_{\sigma_1})}^{p-\theta-\gamma} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (3.14)$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  set  $\gamma_k = (2\beta')^{-k}$  and  $\theta_k$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta'}, \\ \theta_{k+1} = 1 - \frac{b}{\beta'}(p - \theta_k - \gamma_k) \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Using (3.14), (3.3), we obtain

$$\|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta_k)}(B_{7/8})} + \|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b(p-\theta_k-\gamma_k)}(B_{7/8})} \leq c_k \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , where  $c_k = c_k(n, N, p, k)$ .

By extracting a subsequence when necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_k = \theta_0$ .

Then

$$\beta'(1 - \theta_0) = \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p}$$

or equivalently

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\beta'(n - p) - pn}{\beta'(n - p) - n}.$$

Observe that for all  $a_1 > 0$  there exists a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\theta_0 + \frac{a_1}{b} \geq \theta_{k_1} + \gamma_{k_1}$ . Therefore (3.15) implies

$$\int_{B_{7/8}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta_0)n}{n-p} - a_1} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_1) \quad (3.16)$$

for all  $a_1 > 0$ . Choosing a suitable test function in (3.10) leads to

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx \leq c \int_{B_{7/8}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_{7/8})}^{1-\theta}.$$

Then (3.15) in turn implies

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_k+\gamma_k}} dx \leq c_k, \quad (3.17)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , where  $c_k = c_k(n, N, p, k)$ .

Analogously for all  $a_2 > 0$  there exists a  $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\theta_0 + a_2 > \theta_{k_2} + \gamma_{k_2}$ . Therefore (3.17) gives

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_0 + a_2}} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2) \quad (3.18)$$

for all  $a_2 > 0$ .

Now let  $a = \frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n}$  and apply Holder's inequality for the exponent  $\frac{p}{a-a_2}$  to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx &= \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{a-a_2} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{-(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)/p} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)/p} dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_0 + a_2}} dx \right)^{(a-a_2)/p} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)}{p-a+a_2}} dx \right)^{(p-a+a_2)/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since  $(a - a_2)/(p - a + a_2) < a/(p - a)$  and  $\beta' > np/(n - p)$ , one has

$$\frac{\theta_0 a}{p - a} < \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p}$$

and so

$$\frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a - a_2)}{p - a + a_2} < \frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)a}{p - a} < \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p} - a_1$$

for all  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  small enough.

By putting (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) together,

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2) \quad (3.20)$$

for sufficiently small  $a_2 > 0$ .

We now combine (3.13) and (3.20) to conclude that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_1} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2)$$

for all sufficiently small  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  (and so trivially for all larger values of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ).

This verifies our claim. ■

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $\beta$  be as in Proposition 3.1. Let  $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset W^{1,p}(B_1)$  satisfy*

$$\int_{B_1} |u_j| dx \leq 1 \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |Du_j|^{p-2} Du_j : D\varphi dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} 2^{-j} \left( \int_{B_1} |\varphi(x)|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \quad (3.22)$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_1)$ . Then there exists a  $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$$

for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-2} D\tilde{u} : D\varphi dx = 0 \quad (3.23)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/2})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $1 < q < q_0$  and  $q_1 = (q + q_0)/2$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exists a  $c = c(n, N, p, q)$  such that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^q dx \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^{q_1} dx \leq c \quad (3.24)$$

uniformly in  $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

For convenience we will constantly use  $c = c(n, N, p, q)$  without mentioning further, the value of which may vary from line to line.

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume there exist  $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$ ,  $b \in L^{q/(p-1)}(B_{3/4})$  and  $h \in L^q(B_{3/4})$  such that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^q dx + \sup_j \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^q dx + \sup_j \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^{q_1} dx < \infty, \quad (3.25)$$

$$D\bar{u}_j \rightharpoonup D\tilde{u}, \quad |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| \rightharpoonup h \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(B_{3/4}), \quad (3.26)$$

$$|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j \rightharpoonup b \quad \text{weakly in } L^{q/(p-1)}(B_{3/4}) \quad \text{and} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\bar{u}_j \longrightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{strongly in } L^q(B_{3/4}) \text{ and pointwise in } B_{3/4}. \quad (3.28)$$

As a consequence of (3.21) and (3.24) we have

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |\tilde{u}| dx \leq 2^n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^q dx \leq c. \quad (3.29)$$

Next we aim to prove that  $h = 0$  almost everywhere, from which the lemma follows at once. To this end it suffices to show that

$$h(\bar{x}) = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

for all  $\bar{x} \in B_{3/4}$  which is a Lebesgue point simultaneously for  $\tilde{u}$ ,  $D\tilde{u}$ ,  $h$  and  $b$ , that is,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\theta(\bar{x})} \left[ |\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |h - h(\bar{x})| + |b - b(\bar{x})|^{1/(p-1)} \right]^q dx = 0 \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$|\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |h(\bar{x})| + |b(\bar{x})| < \infty. \quad (3.32)$$

To see this, with (3.30) in mind,  $D\bar{u}_j \rightarrow D\tilde{u}$  strongly in  $L^1(B_{3/4})$ . Whence the second bound in (3.29) and interpolation yield

$$\|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^q(B_{3/4})} \leq \|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^1(B_{3/4})} \|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^{q_1}(B_{3/4})}^{1-\theta} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where  $\theta$  is such that  $1/q = \theta + (1-\theta)/q_1$ .

Now back to the proof of (3.30), let  $\bar{x} \in B_{3/4}$  be a simultaneous Lebesgue point for  $\tilde{u}$ ,  $D\tilde{u}$ ,  $h$  and  $b$ . Set

$$\alpha_\sigma(x) := (\tilde{u})_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} + D\tilde{u}(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})$$

for all  $\sigma \in (0, 3/4)$ . Poincare's inequality for  $\alpha_\sigma$  implies

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \leq c \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})|^q dx = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

By (3.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{x}) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx + \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

We aim to show that  $I = II = 0$ . For this we estimate each term separately. Term  $II$  turns out to be easier to estimate so we do it first.

**Term  $II$ :** We first show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} h dx. \quad (3.35)$$

To this end note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}| \geq \sigma/2\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &+ \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By invoking (3.25) and (3.28) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \left( \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \frac{|\{x \in B_{3/4} : |\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}| \geq \sigma/2\}|}{|B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})|} \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies (3.35).

Next we use (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} h dx &\leq \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} h^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq c \left[ \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |h - h(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{1/q} + h(\bar{x}) \right] \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $II = 0$ .

**Term I:** One has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx \\ &+ 2^n \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\tilde{u} - D\alpha_\sigma| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\tilde{u} - D\alpha_\sigma| dx \leq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| dx = 0$$

by (3.31), it remains to show that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx &\leq \left( \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left( |D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left( \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \left( |D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{2-p} dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the right-hand side is bounded uniformly in  $j$  due to (3.24) and (3.29). Hence to achieve (3.36), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left( |D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 dx = 0.$$

To this end, let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_\sigma(\bar{x}))$  be such that

$$0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \quad \phi|_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D\phi| \leq \frac{4}{\sigma}.$$

Set  $\eta := \phi T_\sigma(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma)$ , where  $T_\sigma$  is defined by (3.6). It follows from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : D\eta \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \leq \sigma\}} \left[ (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \right] \phi \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}} \frac{\sigma}{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|} \left[ (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : (I - P_j) D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \right] \phi \\ &+ (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] \\ &=: G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) + G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) + G_{j,\sigma}^3(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_j := \frac{(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes (\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma)}{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad P := \frac{(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes (\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma)}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|^2}.$$

Since  $\alpha_\sigma$  is affine, one has

$$\int_{B_1} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : D\eta dx = 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) dx \leq 2^{-j} \sigma^{1-n} - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx, \quad (3.37)$$

where we used the monotonicity of the vector field  $z \mapsto |z|^{p-2} z$  in the first step.

Next we estimate the two integrals on the right-hand side of the above inequality.

**Integral of  $G_{j,\sigma}^3$ :** First we deduce from (3.24) that  $\{|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is bounded in  $L^{q/(p-1)}$ . This together with (3.27) and (3.28) imply that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx = \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} (b - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\bar{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] dx.$$

Holder's inequality then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} (b - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] dx \right| \\
& \leq c \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b - b(\bar{x})|^{q/(p-1)} + |b(\bar{x})|^{q/(p-1)} + |D\tilde{x}(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left( \frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} dx \right)^{1 - \frac{p-1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first integral on the right-hand side is bounded. For the second integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left( \frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} dx & \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left( \frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^q dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\frac{q}{q-(p-1)} > q$  and (3.33) in the first and second steps respectively.

Consequently

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx \right| = 0.$$

**Integral of  $G_{j,\sigma}^2$ :** We have  $D\bar{u}_j : [(I - P_j)D\bar{u}_j] \geq 0$  by a similar argument to that of (3.8). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : (I - P_j)D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \\
& \geq -|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j : (I - P_j)D\alpha_\sigma - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P_j)D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Observe also that  $\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} P_j \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} P$  a.e. and hence strongly in  $L^s(B_{3/4})$  for every  $s \geq 1$ . The same also applies to the convergence  $\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} |\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|^{-1}$ . These in combination with (3.38) and (3.27) yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx \right) & \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} b : (I - P)D\alpha_\sigma \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|} dx \\
& \quad + \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P)D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate each on the right-hand side separately. As  $q > p - 1$  there exists an  $s > 1$  such that



$\frac{q(s-1)}{q-p+1} \leq q$ . Keeping in mind (3.33) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} b : (I - P) D\alpha_\sigma \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|>\sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|} dx \right| &\leq c \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b| \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^{s-1} dx \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b|^{q/(p-1)} dx \right)^{(p-1)/q} \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{(s-1)/q} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P) D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|>\sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma)| \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^{q-1} dx \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u} - D\bar{u}(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\bar{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{1-1/q} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx \right) \leq 0.$$

This finishes our estimate for the integral of  $G_{j,\sigma}^2$ .

Continuing with (3.37) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) dx = 0. \quad (3.39)$$

We proceed with the proof of (3.36). It follows from (3.39) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left( |D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 \phi dx = 0.$$

Hence  $I = 0$ .

That  $h(\bar{x}) = 0$  now follows from (3.34), whence  $Du \in L^q(B_{3/4})$ . Lastly, we let  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.22) to obtain (3.23). This completes our proof.  $\blacksquare$

We now have enough preparation to derive Proposition 3.1.

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** We proceed via a proof by contradiction. Our arguments follow [KM18, Step 5 in Proof of Theorem 4.1] closely.

For a contradiction, assume that there exist an  $\epsilon > 0$  and sequences of balls  $\{B_{r_j}(x_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  and  $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset W^{1,p}(B_{r_j}(x_j))$  such that

$$\int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} |u_j| dx \leq M r_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} |Du_j|^{p-2} Du_j : D\phi dx \right| \leq \frac{2^{-j}}{r_j} \|\phi\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_{r_j}(x_j))} \quad (3.40)$$

for all  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{r_j}(x_j)) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_{r_j}(x_j))$ , whereas

$$\left( \int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |Du_j - Dv|^q \right)^{1/q} > \epsilon$$

for all  $v \in W^{1,p}(B_{r_j/2}(x_j))$  being  $p$ -harmonic in  $B_{r_j}(x_j)$  and satisfying

$$\int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |v| dx \leq 2^n M r_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |Dv|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( \frac{2^n c}{|B_1|} \right)^{1/q} M$$

for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$ , where  $c = c(n, N, p, q)$ .

For the rest of the proof,  $c$  will always denote a constant depending on  $n, N, p, q$  only whose value may vary from line to line.

We first perform a scaling on  $u_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . For convenience, we denote  $u_0 = u$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$  let

$$\bar{u}_j(x) = \frac{u_j(x_0 + rx)}{Mr} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x) = \frac{\varphi(x_0 + rx)}{r}.$$

Then (3.2), (3.3) and (3.40) become

$$\int_{B_1} |\bar{u}_j| dx \leq 1 \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j : D\eta dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} \delta_j \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)}, \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$\delta_j := \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 2^{-j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\bar{u}_j\|_{W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})} \leq c$$

for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using Lemma 3.4 there exists a  $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$$

for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$  with the property that

$$\oint_{B_{1/2}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-2} D\tilde{u} : D\varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/2})$ .

We aim to show that  $\tilde{u}$  is  $p$ -harmonic. In particular, we will show that  $D\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{1/2})$ .

Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_{3/4})$  be such that  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$  and  $\phi|_{B_{1/2}} = 1$ . It follows from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| < t\}} |D\bar{u}_j|^p \phi^p \, dx &\leq c \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| < t\}} |\bar{u}_j|^p |D\phi|^p \, dx + c M^{1-p} \delta_j t^\theta \left\| \bar{u}_j \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\theta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\quad + ct \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| \geq t\}} |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the inferior limit both sides of this inequality when  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and then referring to Fatou's lemma for the left-hand side, one has

$$\int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| < t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p \, dx \leq c \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| < t\}} |\tilde{u}|^p |D\phi|^p \, dx + ct \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

Next let  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . By multiplying the above inequality by  $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma}$ , integrating over  $(0, \infty)$  with respect to  $t$  and then invoking Fubini's theorem we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} \, dx &\leq \frac{c}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p \, dx \\ &\quad + c \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

To handle the second integral on the right-hand side of this inequality, an application of Fubini's theorem and

Young's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
c \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx dt &\leq \frac{c}{1-\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{c\gamma^{p-1}}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} dx \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.43)$$

From this there are two possibilities. If  $n < p^2$  then  $p < q_0$ , from which it follows that  $u \in L^p(B_{3/4})$ . So taking  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  in (3.43) yields  $Du \in L^p(B_{1/2})$ . It remains to consider  $p^2 \leq n$ . In this case choose  $\gamma \geq \frac{n-p^2}{n-p}$ . Using the fact that  $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$  for all  $q \in (1, q_0)$  we deduce that right-hand side in (3.43) is finite.

Since

$$\left| D \left( (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}} \phi \right) \right|^p \leq \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{p} \right)^p |D\tilde{u}|^p (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{-\gamma},$$

(3.43) implies that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \left| D \left( (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}} \phi \right) \right|^p dx \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.44)$$

Set  $\theta = \frac{n}{n-p} = \frac{p^*}{p}$ , where  $p^*$  denotes the Sobolev's exponent. Using Sobolev's inequality and (3.44), we obtain

$$\left( \int_{B_{3/4}} \left( (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma/p} \phi \right)^{\theta p} dx \right)^{1/\theta} \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} \phi |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.45)$$

Next we use an iterating argument in the spirit of (finite) Moser's iteration to derive the claim. Define

$$q_j = \theta^j(p-\gamma), \quad \gamma_j = p - q_j, \quad B_j = B_{5/8+1/(j+1)}$$

and correspondingly choose  $\{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(B^j)$  such that

$$0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1, \quad \phi_{j+1} \leq \phi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_j|_{B_{j+1}} = 1$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing.

Now (3.45) reads

$$\left( \int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\tilde{u}|)^{\theta(p-\gamma_j)} \phi_j^{\theta p} \right)^{1/\theta} \leq c \int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma_j} |D\phi_j|^p dx$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , provided that  $\gamma_j > 0$ . In other words  $u \in L^{q_j}(B^j)$  implies  $u \in L^{q_{j+1}}(B^{j+1})$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma_j > 0$ .

Let  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest number such that  $\gamma_{j_0+1} \leq 0$ . Then  $u \in L^{q_{j_0+1}}(B^{j_0+1})$ . This in particular yields  $\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{5/8})$ . Combining this with (3.43) and then taking the limit when  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  give  $D\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{1/2})$ .

The claim now follows by reversing the scaling process at the beginning of the proof. ■

The following lemmas are direct consequences of Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  be such that*

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}.$$

*Let  $B = B_r(x_0)$  be a ball and  $f \in L^\beta(B)$ . Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$  be a weak solution to (1.1) in  $B$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $q \in (1, q_0)$ , where  $q_0$  is defined in (3.1). Then there exist  $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$  and a  $p$ -harmonic map  $v$  in  $\frac{1}{2}B$  such that*

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \int_B |u - (u)_B| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}. \quad (3.46)$$

**Proof.** We use a scaling argument with

$$\bar{u} := \frac{u - (u)_B}{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f} := \frac{f}{\lambda^{p-1}}, \quad (3.47)$$

where

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{r} \int_B |u - (u)_B| dx + \left[ \frac{r}{\delta} \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}$$

and  $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon)$  is given in Proposition 3.1 with  $M = 1$ .

It follows that

$$\int_B |\bar{u}| dx \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta_p \bar{u} = \bar{f} \quad \text{in } B.$$

If  $\lambda = 0$  then  $u$  is constant and so we can choose  $v = u$ .

Next assume that  $\lambda > 0$ . We have

$$\left| \int_B |D\bar{u}|^{p-2} D\bar{u} : D\varphi dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}} \left( \int_B |\varphi|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \leq \frac{\delta}{r} \left( \int_B |\varphi|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'},$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$ . Therefore by Proposition 3.1 there exists a  $p$ -harmonic map  $\bar{v}$  in  $\frac{1}{2}B$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |D\bar{u} - D\bar{v}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Scaling back to  $u$  with  $v = \lambda \bar{v}$  we obtain (3.46). To finish note that  $v$  is  $p$ -harmonic. ■

**Proposition 3.6.** *Adopt the assumptions and notation in Lemma 3.5. Then there exist constants*

$$\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1), C = C(n, p, q) > 0$$

and a  $p$ -harmonic map  $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \varepsilon \left( \int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B)} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + C(1 + \varepsilon) \left( \int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

**Proof.** Using Lemma 3.5, Poincaré's and Holder's inequalities, there exists a  $p$ -harmonic map  $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon \left( \int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Next it follows from [KM18, (3.6)] that

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B)} \leq C \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv| dx \leq C \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

for a constant  $C = C(n, p, q)$ .

The claim now follows by combining these two estimates together. ■

## 4 Good- $\lambda$ type bounds

In this section we present a good- $\lambda$ -type estimate - Proposition 4.3. In order to do this, we need two auxiliary results.

The first one can be viewed as a (weighted) substitution for the Calderon-Zygmund-Krylov-Safonov decomposition (cf. [MP11]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\omega$  be an  $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ -weight and  $B$  be a ball of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $E \subset F \subset B$  be measurable and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  satisfy the following property:*

- (i)  $\omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B)$ .
- (ii)  $\omega(E \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x))$  implies  $B_\rho(x) \cap B \subset F$  for all  $x \in B$  and  $\rho \in (0, R]$ .

*Then there exists a  $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$  such that  $\omega(E) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F)$ .*

The next result is a variation of Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\omega$  be an  $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ -weight. Let  $E \subset F$  be measurable and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  satisfy the following property:*

*For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R \in (0, \infty)$ , one has*

$$\omega(E \cap B_R(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x)) \quad \text{implies} \quad B_R(x) \subset F. \quad (4.1)$$

*Then there exists a  $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$  such that  $\omega(E) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F)$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\omega(E) \vee \omega(F) < \infty$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R$  be sufficiently large such that  $\omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x_0))$ . Set  $S = E \cap B_R(x_0)$  and  $T = F \cap B_R(x_0)$ . The claim follows directly from Lemma 4.1 with  $S, T, B_R(x_0)$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

Indeed, we have  $\omega(S) \leq \omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x_0))$ . Assume that  $x \in B_R(x_0)$  and  $\rho \in (0, R]$  satisfy

$$\omega(S \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x)).$$

Obviously we also have

$$\omega(E \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x)).$$

Therefore (4.1) implies  $B_\rho(x) \subset F$ , from which it follows that  $B_\rho(x) \cap B_R(x_0) \subset F \cap B_R(x_0) = T$ .

Next Lemma 4.1 asserts that there exists a  $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$  such that  $\omega(E \cap B_R(x_0)) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F \cap B_R(x_0))$ .

Now we let  $R$  tend to infinity to complete the proof. ■

Recall the maximal function defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{\rho>0} \rho^\beta \int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| dy$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\beta \in [0, n]$ . The case  $\beta = 0$  corresponds to the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0$ .

We now turn to the aforementioned good- $\lambda$ -type estimate.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_\infty$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $q \in (1, q_0)$ . Let  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  be such that  $\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$  and  $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exist constants*

$$C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}), \quad \Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q) > 3^{n/q} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \delta(n, p, q, \varepsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left[ \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \right] \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** Set

$$E_{\lambda, \delta} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\}$$

and

$$F_\lambda = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\}$$

for each  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Here  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q)$  is to be chosen later.

We will use Lemma 4.2 for  $E_{\lambda, \delta}$  and  $F_\lambda$ . That is, we will verify that

$$\omega(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)) \implies B_r(x) \subset F_\lambda$$



for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , provided that  $\delta$  is sufficiently small.

Indeed, let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . To avoid triviality, we consider  $E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset$ . By contraposition, assume that  $B_r(x) \cap F_\lambda^c \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in B_r(x)$  such that

$$\left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1) \right)^{1/q} \leq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda. \quad (4.2)$$

We aim to show that

$$\omega(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x)) < \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)).$$

First note that

$$\left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} \leq \max \left\{ \left( \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, 3^{n/q} \lambda \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $y \in B_r(x)$ . Indeed, if  $\rho \leq r$  then

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^q dx = \int_{B_\rho(y)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \leq \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y).$$

Otherwise  $B_\rho(y) \subset B_{2r+\rho}(x_1)$  and we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^q dx \leq \frac{1}{|B_\rho(y)|} \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_1)} |Du|^q dx = 3^n \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_1)} \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1) \leq 3^n \lambda^q.$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \cap B_r(x)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\Lambda_0 \geq 3^{n/q}$ .

Applying Proposition 3.6 to  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f, B = B_{8r}(x)$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , there exist constants  $\delta = \delta(n, p, q, \varepsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1)$ ,  $C_0 = C_0(n, p, q) > 0$  and a  $p$ -harmonic map  $v \in W^{1,p}(B_{4r}(x))$  such that

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x))} \leq \frac{C_0 \eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dy \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + C_0(1 + \eta) \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dy \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\left( \int_{B_{4r}(x)} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ r \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \eta \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Using (4.2) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x))} &\leq \frac{C_0\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} + C_0(1+\eta) [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1)]^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_0(1+\eta)\lambda \leq 2C_0\lambda\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left( \int_{B_{4r}(x)} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[ R \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \eta \left( \int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} + \eta [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1)]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \eta\lambda.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Clearly

$$\left[ \mathbf{M} \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 f_j \right|^q \right) \right]^{1/q} \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[ \mathbf{M}(|f_j|^q) \right]^{1/q}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|E \cap B_r(x)| &\leq \left| \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9\} \cap B_r(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Dv|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9\} \cap B_r(x) \right|.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

In view of (4.4) there holds

$$\left| y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \{ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Dv|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9 \} \cap B_r(x) \right| = 0,$$

provided that  $\Lambda_0 \geq \max\{3^{n/q}, 30C_0\}$ .

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}|E \cap B_r(x)| &\leq \left| \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : [\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q(y))]^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9 \right\} \cap B_r(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q dx \leq C\eta^q r^n,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\mathbf{M}$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  in the second step.

Thus

$$\omega(E \cap B_r(x)) \leq c \left( \frac{|E \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} \right)^\nu \omega(B_r(x)) \leq c(C\eta^q)^\nu \omega(B_r(x)) < \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)),$$

where we chose  $\eta$  small enough such that  $c(C\eta^q)^\nu < \epsilon$ .

This completes our proof. ■

## 5 Global weighted gradient estimates

With the knowledge from the previous sections, we are now ready to tackle the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By Theorem 4.3, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $q \in (1, q_0)$ , where  $q_0$  is defined in (3.1) there exist constants  $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$ ,  $\delta = \delta(n, p, q, \epsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1)$  and  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q) > 3^{n/q}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x))^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \right) \\ \leq C\epsilon \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

By hypothesis  $\Phi$  is invertible and  $\Phi^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x)]^{1/q} > \Phi^{-1}(t) \right\} \right) \leq \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} > \frac{\delta^{1/(p-1)}}{\Lambda_0} \Phi^{-1}(t) \right\} \right) \\ + C\epsilon \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{\Lambda_0} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ . This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ \leq C\epsilon \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \Lambda_0 \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ + \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \frac{\Lambda_0}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ \leq C\epsilon \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_1 \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ + \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_2 \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\Phi(2t) \leq c \Phi(t)$  and  $\Phi$  is increasing in the second step. Here  $T > 0$ ,  $H_1 = c^{\lceil \log_2(\Lambda_0) \rceil}$

and  $H_2 = c^{\lceil \log_2(\frac{\Lambda_0}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}}) \rceil}$ , in which  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the ceiling function.

Using a change of variables we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\
& \leq H_1 C \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_1}} \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\
& \quad + H_2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_2}} \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2H_1 C}$  so that the first integral on the right is absorbed by the left-hand term, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\
& \leq 2H_2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_2}} \omega \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|f|) \omega dx = \int_0^\infty \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi(|f(x)|) > t\}) dt.$$

Thus by letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \omega dx \leq 2H_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[ \left( \mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] \omega dx$$

as required. ■

## References

- [DiB83] DiBENEDETTO, E.,  $C^{1+\alpha}$  local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Non-linear Anal.* **7** (1983), 827–85.
- [Giu03] GIUSTI, E., *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc, River Edge, 2003.
- [HK] HEINONEN, J. and KILPELAINEN, T., *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monograph.

- [KM18] KUUSI, T. and MINGIONE, G., Vectorial nonlinear potential theory. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **20** (2018), 929–1004.
- [Min10] MINGIONE, G., Non-linear aspects of Calderon-Zygmund theory. *Jahresber Dtsch Math-Ver* **112** (2010), 159–191.
- [MP11] MENGESHA, T. and PHUC, N. C., Weighted and regularity estimates for nonlinear equations on Reifenberg flat domains. *Journal of Differential Equations* **250** (2011), 1485–2507.
- [NP] NGUYEN, Q. H. and PHUC, N. C., Existence and regularity estimates for quasilinear equations with measure data: the case  $1 < p \leq \frac{3n-2}{2n-1}$ . arXiv:2003.03725v1.
- [NP19] ———, Good- $\lambda$  and Muckenhoupt-Wheeden type bounds in quasilinear measure datum problems with applications. *Math. Ann.* **374** (2019), 67–98.
- [NP20] ———, Pointwise gradient estimates for a class of singular quasilinear equations with measure data. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2020), 2961–2998.
- [Uhl77] UHLENBECK, K., Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems. *Acta Math.* **138** (1977), 219–240.