

CHEVALLEY FORMULA FOR ANTI-DOMINANT MINUSCULE FUNDAMENTAL WEIGHTS IN THE EQUIVARIANT QUANTUM K -GROUP OF PARTIAL FLAG MANIFOLDS

TAKAFUMI KOUNO, SATOSHI NAITO, AND DAISUKE SAGAKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give an explicit formula of Chevalley type, in terms of the Bruhat graph, for the quantum multiplication with the class of the line bundle associated to the anti-dominant minuscule fundamental weight $-\varpi_k$ in the torus-equivariant quantum K -group of the partial flag manifold G/P_J (where $J = I \setminus \{k\}$) corresponding to the maximal (standard) parabolic subgroup P_J of minuscule type in type A , D , E , or B . This result is obtained by proving a similar formula in a torus-equivariant K -group of the semi-infinite partial flag manifold \mathbf{Q}_J of minuscule type, and then by making use of the isomorphism between the torus-equivariant quantum K -group of G/P_J and the torus-equivariant K -group of \mathbf{Q}_J , recently established by Kato.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \mathbf{Q}^{rat} denote the (whole) semi-infinite flag manifold, which is the reduced ind-scheme whose set of \mathbb{C} -valued points is $G(\mathbb{C}((z)))/(T \cdot N(\mathbb{C}((z))))$ (see [Kat2] for details), where G is a simply-connected simple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with Borel subgroup $B = TN$, T maximal torus and N unipotent radical. In this paper, we concentrate on the semi-infinite Schubert (sub)variety $\mathbf{Q} := \mathbf{Q}(e) \subset \mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}}$ associated to the identity element e of the affine Weyl group $W_{\text{af}} = W \ltimes Q^\vee$, with $W = \langle s_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ the Weyl group and $Q^\vee = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee$ the coroot lattice of G ; we also call \mathbf{Q} the semi-infinite flag manifold. The study of an equivariant K -group of \mathbf{Q} was started in [KaNS], in which a Chevalley formula for dominant weights was obtained. Shortly afterward, in [NOS], we proved a Chevalley formula for anti-dominant weights in a T -equivariant K -group $K'_T(\mathbf{Q})$ of \mathbf{Q} .

A breakthrough in the study of the equivariant K -group of \mathbf{Q} was achieved in [Kat1] (see also [Kat3]), in which Kato established a $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module isomorphism from the (small) T -equivariant quantum K -group $QK_T(G/B)$ of the finite-dimensional flag manifold G/B onto the T -equivariant K -group $K'_T(\mathbf{Q})$ of \mathbf{Q} , where $P = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i$ is the weight lattice of G and $\mathbb{Z}[P] \subset \mathbb{C}[P]$ is identified with the representation ring of T . This $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module isomorphism sends each (opposite) Schubert class in $QK_T(G/B)$ to the corresponding semi-infinite Schubert class in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q})$. Moreover, it respects the quantum multiplication \star in $QK_T(G/B)$ and the tensor product in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q})$; to be more precise, it respects the quantum multiplication \star with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\varpi_k)]$ and the tensor product with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(-\varpi_k)]$ for each $k \in I$. In view of this result, the formula for the quantum multiplication with $[\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\varpi_k)]$, $k \in I$, in $QK_T(G/B)$ is immediately obtained from a Chevalley formula in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q})$ obtained in [NOS]; see [LNS] for details.

Let $k \in I$ be such that the fundamental weight ϖ_k is minuscule, and set $J := I \setminus \{k\}$. The purpose of this paper is to give an explicit formula of Chevalley type, in terms of the Bruhat graph, for the quantum multiplication \star with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$ in the (small) T -equivariant quantum K -group $QK_T(G/P_J) = K_T(G/P_J) \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_k]$, where $K_T(G/P_J)$ is the T -equivariant K -group of the (finite-dimensional) partial flag manifold G/P_J , with $P_J \supset B$ the maximal (standard) parabolic subgroup of G associated to the subset $J = I \setminus \{k\}$, and $\mathbb{C}[Q_k]$ is the polynomial ring in the (Novikov) variable Q_k corresponding to the simple coroot α_k^\vee . In this paper, we deal with the cases that G (or its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$) is of types A , D , E ,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37; Secondary 14N15, 14M15, 33D52, 81R10.

Key words and phrases. quantum Chevalley formula, quantum LS path, semi-infinite flag manifold, Grassmannian, (quantum) Schubert calculus.

and B ; in our forthcoming paper [KoNS], we deal with the case that G is of type C but ϖ_k is an arbitrary fundamental weight, and also the case that G is of type B and ϖ_k is a cominuscule weight. Let us state the main result (Theorem I below) of this paper. Let $W^J = W^{I \setminus \{k\}}$ denote the set of minimal(-length) representatives for W/W_J , with $W_J = \langle s_i \mid i \in J = I \setminus \{k\} \rangle$ the stabilizer of ϖ_k in W ; for $w \in W$, we denote by $[w] \in W^J$ the representative of the coset wW_J . For $x \in W^J$, we denote by $\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ the set of all directed paths $\mathbf{p} : y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s$ in the Bruhat graph $\text{BG}(W)$ such that $y_0 = x$, and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ with $\gamma_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \gamma_s$, where Δ^+ is the set of positive roots, $\Delta_J^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, and \triangleleft is a reflection (convex) order on Δ^+ satisfying the condition that $\beta \triangleleft \gamma$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_J^+$ and $\gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. Also, we set $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) := y_s \in W^J$ if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ is of the form above, and $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft) := \{\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft\}$; note that the set $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)$ does not depend on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft above (see Lemma 2.11). We denote by $\theta \in \Delta^+$ the highest root.

Theorem I. Assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ is a simple Lie algebra of type A, D, E , or B . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is a minuscule fundamental weight, and set $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. Let $x \in W^J$. Then the following hold in $QK_T(G/P_J)$:

(1) If $x \geq [s_\theta]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^x}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)] = \\ & e^{x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^y}] + e^{x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{[y^s \gamma_Q]}}] Q_k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover, in the second sum on the right-hand side of (1.1), no cancellations occur.

(2) If $x \not\geq [s_\theta]$, then

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^x}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)] = e^{x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^y}]. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, for $y \in W^J$, $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^y}]$ denotes the opposite Schubert class in $K_T(G/P_J)$ associated to y , with $\mathcal{B}_J^e = \mathcal{B}_J := G/P_J$ the partial flag manifold, and

$$\gamma_Q := \begin{cases} \alpha_k & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A, D, \text{ or } E, \\ s_n \alpha_{n-1} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ and } k = n. \end{cases}$$

Remark. We know the formula

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{s^k}}] = [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}] - e^{-\varpi_k} [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)] \quad (1.3)$$

in $K_T(G/P_J)$, and hence in $QK_T(G/P_J)$; this formula is also obtained as the special case that $x = e$ of Theorem I.

We should mention that a formula for the quantum multiplication with $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$ in $QK_T(G/P_J)$ is obtained by [BCMP] in the case that ϖ_k is a cominuscule fundamental weight; in types A, D, E , a fundamental weight ϖ_k is cominuscule if and only if it is minuscule. In type B_n , a formula for the minuscule (but not cominuscule) fundamental weight ϖ_n seems not to be explicitly written in [BCMP]; however, it is obtained from one in [BCMP] for the maximal orthogonal Grassmannian corresponding to one of the two ‘‘forked’’ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of type D_{n+1} (see [IMN, Sect. 3.5] for details). However, in their description and the proof of the formula, we can hardly see the relation with the quantum Bruhat graph introduced in [BFP], while in ours it is transparent.

The proof of our formula is based on the $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module isomorphism, established in [Kat3], from the T -equivariant quantum K -group $QK_T(G/P_J)$ onto the T -equivariant K -group $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ of the semi-infinite partial flag manifold \mathbf{Q}_J corresponding to ϖ_k ; the T -equivariant K -group $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -basis of the semi-infinite Schubert classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(yt_{\beta^\vee})}]$ for $y \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$. This $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module isomorphism sends the (opposite) Schubert class $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^y}]Q_k$ in $QK_T(G/P_J)$ to the semi-infinite Schubert class $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(yt_{\alpha_k^\vee})}]$ in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ for each $y \in W^J$, and also respects the quantum multiplication \star with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$ and

the tensor product (denoted by $\Xi(-\varpi_k)$) with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\varpi_k)]$. Namely, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QK_T(G/P_J) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J) \\ \downarrow \star[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)] & & \downarrow \Xi(-\varpi_k) \\ QK_T(G/P_J) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{} & K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J). \end{array}$$

By this $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module isomorphism, the proof of Theorem I is reduced to the proof of a Chevalley formula (Theorem II below) for $-\varpi_k$ in a $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant K -group $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ of \mathbf{Q}_J ; it suffices to specialize this formula at $q = 1$.

Theorem II. Assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ is a simple Lie algebra of type A, D, E , or B . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is a minuscule fundamental weight, and set $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. Then, for $x \in W^J$, the following hold in $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ (and hence in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J)$):

(1) If $x \geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\varpi_k)] &:= \Xi(-\varpi_k)([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]) \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(y)}] \\ &\quad + e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(\lfloor y s_\gamma \rfloor t_{\alpha_k^\vee})}]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, in the second sum on the right-hand side of (1.4), no cancellations occur.

(2) If $x \not\geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\varpi_k)] &:= \Xi(-\varpi_k)([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]) \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(y)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Remark. As the special case that $x = e$, we obtain the formula $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\varpi_k)] = e^{-\varpi_k}([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(s_k)}])$, or equivalently, $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(s_k)}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}] - e^{\varpi_k}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\varpi_k)]$, where $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}]$ can be thought of as the identity element of $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ (or $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_J)$) with respect to the tensor product.

Now, we explain how to prove our results above. Recall that ϖ_k is minuscule, and $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. Let $\mathbf{Q}_J^{\text{rat}}$ denote the (whole) the semi-infinite partial flag manifold, which is the reduced ind-scheme whose set of \mathbb{C} -valued points is $G(\mathbb{C}((z)))/(T \cdot [P_J, P_J](\mathbb{C}((z))))$ (see [Kat2] for details); in this paper, we concentrate on the semi-infinite Schubert (sub)variety $\mathbf{Q}_J := \mathbf{Q}_J(e) \subset \mathbf{Q}_J^{\text{rat}}$ associated to the identity element $e \in W^J$, which we also call the semi-infinite partial flag manifold. Following [Kat3], we define a $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant K -group $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ of \mathbf{Q}_J to be the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -module consisting of all finite $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -linear combinations of the semi-infinite Schubert classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]$ for $x = vt_{\beta^\vee} \in W_{\text{af}}$, with $v \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$; the semi-infinite Schubert classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]$ for $x = vt_{\beta^\vee} \in W_{\text{af}}$, with $v \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$, turn out to form a $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -basis of $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$. Also, let $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$ denote the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -module of all functions on $\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k$ with values in $\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]$, and set

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]) := \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]) / \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{neg}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]),$$

where $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{neg}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$ is the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -submodule of $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$ consisting of those $f \in \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$ such that there exists some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}\varpi_k$ for which $f(\mu) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \gamma + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_k$. Then, for each $x = vt_{\beta^\vee} \in W_{\text{af}}$, with $v \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$, the assignment

$$\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k \ni \mu \mapsto \text{gch } H^0(\mathbf{Q}_J, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(\mu)) \in \mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]$$

defines an element of $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$, which we denote by $f^x(\cdot)$; here, we denote by $\text{gch } H^0(\mathbf{Q}_J, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(\mu))$ the graded character of the $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -module $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_J, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(\mu))$, which is identical to the graded character of the Demazure submodule $V_x^-(\mu)$ of the

level-zero extremal weight module $V(\mu)$ over the quantum affine algebra $U_\nu(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$ if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_k$, and is zero if $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_k$ (see [Kat2] and also [Kat3] for details), where \mathfrak{g}_{af} is the (untwisted) affine Lie algebra whose underlying simple Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . Here we warn the reader that the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(\mu)$ associated to $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\varpi_k$ are normalized (as in [Kat1]) in such a way that $\text{gch } H^0(\mathbf{Q}_J, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(\mu)) = \text{gch } V_e^-(\mu)$ holds for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_k$; this convention differs from that of [KaNS] by the twist coming from the involution $-w_0$. Thus we obtain a $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -linear map:

$$\Phi : K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$$

given by $\Phi([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]) = f^x(\cdot)$ for each $x = vt_{\beta^\vee} \in W_{\text{af}}$, with $v \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$, which is injective since the graded characters $\text{gch } V_{vt_{\beta^\vee}}^-(\mu)$, $v \in W^J$ and $\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k^\vee$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ when they are regarded as functions of sufficiently large $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$ (see [Kat3]).

From the explicit identities obtained in [NOS] (in the case of anti-dominant weights) for the graded characters of Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules, it can be shown (see [Kat3]) that there exist $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -module endomorphisms $\Xi(-\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$, of $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ such that $\Xi(-(\lambda + \lambda')) = \Xi(-\lambda) \circ \Xi(-\lambda')$ for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$ and such that the following diagram commutes for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]) \\ \Xi(-\lambda) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta(-\lambda) \\ K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P]), \end{array}$$

where $\Theta(-\lambda)f(\cdot) = f(\cdot - \lambda)$ for $f(\cdot) \in \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{Z}\varpi_k}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[P])$; the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -module endomorphism $\Xi(-\lambda)$ can be thought of as the tensor product with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J}(-\lambda)]$ in $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$. In view of the commutativity of the diagram above and the injectivity of the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -linear map Φ , the proof of our Chevalley formula (Theorem II) for $-\varpi_k$ in $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_J)$ is reduced to the proof of the corresponding identity of Chevalley type (Theorem III below) for the graded characters of Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules over the quantum affine algebra $U_\nu(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$; we derive this identity from the results in [NOS] through a detailed analysis of the quantum Bruhat graph. Indeed, since the left-hand side of (1.4) or (1.5) is $\Xi(-\varpi_k)([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}])$, its image under Φ is identical to $\Theta(-\varpi_k)(\Phi([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_J(x)}]))$ by the commutativity of the diagram above; by the definitions, this is identical to the graded character $\text{gch } V_x^-(\mu - \varpi_k)$ (regarded as a function of $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$), which is just the left-hand side of (1.6) or (1.7) below. Also, the image under Φ of the right-hand side of (1.4) (resp., (1.5)) is, by the definitions, identical to the right-hand side of (1.6) (resp., (1.7)) (regarded as a function of $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$). Because these two functions of $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\varpi_k$ coincide by Theorem III below, we deduce (1.4) (resp., (1.5)) from the injectivity of the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -linear map Φ .

Theorem III (= Theorem 3.1). Assume that \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type A , D , E , or B . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is a minuscule fundamental weight, and set $\mu := N\varpi_k$, with $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then, for $x \in W^J$ with $J = I \setminus \{k\}$, the following identities for the graded characters of Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules hold:

(1) If $x \geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-(\mu - \varpi_k) &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(\mu) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} \text{gch } V_{\lfloor y s_\gamma \rfloor t_{\alpha_k^\vee}}^-(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, in the second sum on the right-hand side of (1.6), no cancellations occur.

(2) If $x \not\geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then

$$\text{gch } V_x^-(\mu - \varpi_k) = \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(\mu). \quad (1.7)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first fix basic notation used throughout this paper. Then we recall some basic facts about the quantum Bruhat graph and quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths. Also, we review a character identity of Chevalley type in [NOS], from which a Chevalley formula for anti-dominant weights follows. In Section 3, we restate Theorem III above as Theorem 3.1. Also, we show Theorem III in the case that $x = e$. In Section 4, we first show some lemmas on quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of shape ϖ_k , a minuscule fundamental weight, and Bruhat or quantum edges in the quantum Bruhat graph. Then, after reviewing some basic facts about Demazure operators, we show recurrence relations for coefficients in the character identity of Chevalley type for anti-dominant minuscule fundamental weights, which are needed in an (inductive) argument in the proof of Theorem III. In Section 5, we prove Theorem III (with $x \neq e$) in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ is of type A , D , or E . In Section 6, we prove Theorem III (with $x \neq e$) in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ is of type B . In Appendix A, we give an example of Theorem I in type A_6 .

2. CHARACTER IDENTITY OF CHEVALLEY TYPE FOR GENERAL ANTI-DOMINANT WEIGHTS.

2.1. Basic notation. Let \mathfrak{g} be an (arbitrary) finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} ; we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the canonical pairing of $\mathfrak{h}^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{h} . Denote by $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the set of simple coroots and simple roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively, and set $Q := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, $Q^\vee := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee$. Let Δ , Δ^+ , and Δ^- be the set of roots, positive roots, and negative roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively, and denote by Δ_{long}^+ and Δ_{short}^+ the set of positive long roots and positive short roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively; if \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced, then $\Delta_{\text{long}}^+ = \Delta^+$ and $\Delta_{\text{short}}^+ = \emptyset$ by our convention. Let $\theta \in \Delta^+$ denote the highest root of \mathfrak{g} ; recall that $\theta \in \Delta_{\text{long}}^+$. We set $\rho := (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$. Also, let ϖ_i , $i \in I$, denote the fundamental weights for \mathfrak{g} , and set

$$P := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i \quad \text{and} \quad P^+ := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_i. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $W := \langle s_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be the (finite) Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , where s_i is the simple reflection with respect to α_i for $i \in I$, with $e \in W$ the identity element and $w_\circ \in W$ the longest element. Let us denote by \geq the Bruhat order on W , and by $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the length function on W . For $x \in W$, we set $\text{Inv}(x) := \Delta^+ \cap x^{-1}\Delta^- = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \mid x\alpha \in \Delta^-\}$; recall that $\ell(x) = \#\text{Inv}(x)$. For $\beta \in \Delta$, we denote by $\beta^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ its dual root, and by $s_\beta \in W$ the corresponding reflection; remark that for $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+$, $s_\beta = s_\gamma$ if and only if $\beta = \gamma$. Note that

$$\ell(s_\beta) \leq 2\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle - 1 \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Delta^+; \quad (2.2)$$

if the equality holds in (2.2), then β is called a (positive) quantum root. Denote by $\Delta_{\text{quantum}}^+$ the set of quantum roots.

Lemma 2.1 ([BMO, Lemma 7.2]). A positive root $\beta \in \Delta^+$ is a quantum root if and only if either of the following holds: (a) $\beta \in \Delta_{\text{long}}^+$; (b) $\beta \in \Delta_{\text{short}}^+$, and β is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of short simple roots.

Let $J \subset I$ be a subset of I . We set

$$\Delta_J^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i, \quad \rho_J := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_J^+} \alpha, \quad W_J := \langle s_i \mid i \in J \rangle.$$

Denote by $w_{J,\circ} \in W_J$ the longest element of W_J . For $\xi = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \alpha_i^\vee \in Q^\vee$, we set $[\xi] = [\xi]^J := \sum_{i \in I \setminus J} c_i \alpha_i^\vee$. Let W^J denote the set of minimal(-length) coset representatives for the cosets in W/W_J ; we know from [BB, Sect. 2.4] that

$$W^J = \{w \in W \mid w\alpha \in \Delta^+ \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_J^+\}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $w \in W$, we denote by $[w] = [w]^J \in W^J$ the minimal coset representative for the coset wW_J in W/W_J ; note that $\text{Inv}([w_\circ]) = \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\Lambda \in P^+$ be such that $J_\Lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \Lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}$ is identical to J . Let $w \in W^J$ and $j \in I$.

- (1) If $\langle w\Lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$, then $w^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. In this case, $s_j w \in W^J$, and $s_j w > w$.
- (2) If $\langle w\Lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$, then $-w^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. In this case, $s_j w \in W^J$, and $s_j w < w$.
- (3) If $\langle w\Lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$, then $w^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta_J^+$. In this case, $s_j w = ws_p \in W^J$ for some $p \in J$, and $[s_j w] = w$.

2.2. Reflection orders. In this subsection, we review some basic facts about reflection orders on Δ^+ ; for details, see [Dy].

Definition 2.3. A total order \triangleleft on Δ^+ is called a reflection (convex) order if for each $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$, either $\alpha \triangleleft \alpha + \beta \triangleleft \beta$ or $\beta \triangleleft \alpha + \beta \triangleleft \alpha$ holds.

Let $w_\circ = s_{j_p} s_{j_{p-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1}$ be a reduced expression of the longest element w_\circ of W . If we set

$$\beta_q := s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{q-1}} \alpha_{j_q} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq p,$$

then $\Delta^+ = \{\beta_q \mid 1 \leq q \leq p\}$. Moreover, if we define a total order \triangleleft by $\beta_p \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_2 \triangleleft \beta_1$, then \triangleleft is a reflection order on Δ^+ . Thus we have a map from the set of reduced expressions of w_\circ to the set of reflection orders on Δ^+ ; in fact, this map is bijective (see [Dy, (2.13) Proposition], and also [Pa, Theorem on page 662 and Corollary on page 663]).

Let $w \in W$. Then there exists $v \in W$ such that $w_\circ = vw$ and $\ell(w_\circ) = \ell(v) + \ell(w)$. The set of reflection orders \triangleleft on Δ^+ satisfying the condition that $\beta \triangleleft \gamma$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \text{Inv}(w)$ and $\gamma \in \text{Inv}(w)$ is in bijection with the set of reduced expressions of w_\circ of the form

$$w_\circ = \underbrace{s_{j_p} s_{j_{p-1}} \cdots s_{j_{a+2}} s_{j_{a+1}}}_{=v} \underbrace{s_{j_a} s_{j_{a-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1}}_{=w};$$

note that $\text{Inv}(w) = \{s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{q-1}} \alpha_{j_q} \mid 1 \leq q \leq a\}$. Similarly, if $w_\circ = vw_2 w_1$, with $v, w_2, w_1 \in W$, and $\ell(w_\circ) = \ell(v) + \ell(w_2) + \ell(w_1)$, then $\text{Inv}(w_1) \subset \text{Inv}(w_2 w_1)$, and the set of reflection orders \triangleleft on Δ^+ satisfying the condition that $\beta \triangleleft \gamma_1 \triangleleft \gamma_2$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \text{Inv}(w_2 w_1)$, $\gamma_1 \in \text{Inv}(w_2 w_1) \setminus \text{Inv}(w_1)$, and $\gamma_2 \in \text{Inv}(w_1)$ is in bijection with the set of reduced expressions of w_\circ of the form

$$w_\circ = \underbrace{s_{j_p} s_{j_{p-1}} \cdots s_{j_{a+2}} s_{j_{a+1}}}_{=v} \underbrace{s_{j_a} s_{j_{a-1}} \cdots s_{j_{t+2}} s_{j_{b+1}}}_{=w_2} \underbrace{s_{j_b} s_{j_{t-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1}}_{=w_1}.$$

2.3. Quantum Bruhat graph.

Definition 2.4 ([BFP, Definition 6.1]). The quantum Bruhat graph, denoted by $\text{QBG}(W)$, is the Δ^+ -labeled directed graph whose vertices are the elements of W , and whose directed edges are of the form: $w \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ for $w, v \in W$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+$ such that $v = ws_\beta$, and such that either of the following holds: (B) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1$; (Q) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1 - 2\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle$. An edge satisfying (B) (resp., (Q)) is called a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge. The Bruhat graph, denoted by $\text{BG}(W)$, is the Δ^+ -labeled directed graph obtained from $\text{QBG}(W)$ by removing all quantum edges.

Remark 2.5. For $w \in W$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+$, we see that $\ell(ws_\beta) \geq \ell(w) - \ell(s_\beta) \geq \ell(w) + 1 - 2\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle$. Hence, if $w \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ is a quantum edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$, then β is a quantum root. Moreover, if $s_\beta = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_r}$ is a reduced expression of s_β (note that $r = 2\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle - 1$), then $\ell(ws_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_t}) = \ell(w) - t$ for all $0 \leq t \leq r$.

Let $\mathbf{p} : y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r$ be a directed path in $\text{QBG}(W)$. We set $\text{start}(\mathbf{p}) := y_0$ and $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) = y_r$. Also, we define the length $\ell(\mathbf{p})$ and the weight $\text{wt}(\mathbf{p})$ of \mathbf{p} by

$$\ell(\mathbf{p}) := r \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt}(\mathbf{p}) := \sum_{1 \leq u \leq r} \beta_u^\vee.$$

$y_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\beta_u} y_u$ is a quantum edge

For $x, y \in W$, we define $\text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y)$ and $\ell(x \Rightarrow y)$ to be the weight $\text{wt}(\mathbf{p})$ and the length $\ell(\mathbf{p})$ of a shortest directed path \mathbf{p} from x to y in $\text{QBG}(W)$, respectively; we know that $\text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y)$ does not depend on the choice of a shortest directed path \mathbf{p} (see, e.g., [LNS³2, Sect. 4.1]).

Remark 2.6. Let $x, y \in W$. We see that $y \geq x$ in the Bruhat order if and only if all the edges in a shortest directed path \mathbf{p} from x to y are Bruhat edges, that is, if and only if \mathbf{p} is a directed path in $\text{BG}(W)$. In this case, $\ell(x \Rightarrow y) = \ell(\mathbf{p}) = \ell(y) - \ell(x)$, and $\text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$.

Let \triangleleft be an arbitrary reflection (convex) order on Δ^+ (see Section 2.2). A directed path $y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ is said to be label-increasing (with respect to \triangleleft) if $\beta_1 \triangleleft \beta_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_r$. We know the following from [BFP] (see also [LNS³1, Theorem 7.3]).

Theorem 2.7. For all $x, y \in W$, there exists a unique label-increasing directed path $\mathbf{p} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r = y$ from x to y in $\text{QBG}(W)$. Moreover, it is a shortest directed path from x to y , and is lexicographically minimal among all shortest directed paths from x to y in the following sense: for each shortest directed path $\mathbf{q} : x = z_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} z_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_r} z_r = y$, there exists $1 \leq u \leq r$ such that $\gamma_t = \beta_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq u$ and $\gamma_{u+1} \triangleright \beta_{u+1}$.

Remark 2.8. Let $x, y \in W$ be such that $y \geq x$ in the Bruhat order. By Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.6, the (unique) label-increasing directed path from x to y in $\text{QBG}(W)$ is a directed path of length $\ell(y) - \ell(x)$ in $\text{BG}(W)$.

The next lemma follows from [BB, Corollary 2.5.2].

Lemma 2.9. Let J be a subset of I . Let $y \in W^J$ and $\gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} ys_\gamma$ is a directed edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$. If the edge $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} ys_\gamma$ is a Bruhat edge, then $ys_\gamma \in W^J$.

Let J be a subset of I . Let \triangleleft be an arbitrary reflection (convex) order on Δ^+ satisfying the condition that

$$\beta \triangleleft \gamma \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Delta_J^+ \text{ and } \gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+; \quad (2.4)$$

recall that $\text{Inv}(\lfloor w_\circ \rfloor) = \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ (see Section 2.2). For each $y \in W^J$, denote by $\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}$ (resp., $\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}$) the set of all label-increasing directed paths \mathbf{p} in the Bruhat graph $\text{BG}(W)$ (resp., in the quantum Bruhat graph $\text{QBG}(W)$) such that $\text{start}(\mathbf{p}) = y$, and such that all the labels of edges in \mathbf{p} are contained in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$:

$$\mathbf{p} : \underbrace{y = y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r}_{\text{directed path in } \text{BG}(W) \text{ (resp., } \text{QBG}(W))} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} r \geq 0, \\ \beta_u \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+ \text{ for all } 1 \leq u \leq r, \\ \beta_1 \triangleleft \beta_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_r. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that $\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft} \subset \mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}$.

Remark 2.10. Keep the notation and setting above.

- (1) By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path in Theorem 2.7, the map $\text{end} : \mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft} \rightarrow W$, $\mathbf{p} \mapsto \text{end}(\mathbf{p})$, is injective. For a subset \mathbf{B} of $\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}$, we set $\text{end}(\mathbf{B}) := \{\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{B}\}$.
- (2) Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}$ be of the form (2.5). We see by Lemma 2.9 that $y_u \in W^J$ for all $0 \leq u \leq s$. In particular, $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \in W^J$, and hence $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}) \subset W^J$.

Lemma 2.11. Keep the notation and setting above. Neither $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft})$ nor $\text{end}(\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft})$ depends on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4). Namely, if \prec is also a reflection order on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4), then $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}) = \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\prec})$ and $\text{end}(\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}) = \text{end}(\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\prec})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}$, and let \mathbf{q} be the label-increasing directed path from y to $w := \text{end}(\mathbf{p})$ with respect to \prec . We claim that $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{QBG}_y^{\prec}$. Recall from Theorem 2.7 that \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} are both shortest directed paths from y to w ; we write them as follows:

$$\mathbf{p} : y = y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r = w,$$

$$\mathbf{q} : y = z_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} z_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_r} z_r = w.$$

Because \mathbf{p} is lexicographically less than or equal to \mathbf{q} with respect to \triangleleft in the sense of Theorem 2.7, we have $\gamma_1 \trianglerighteq \beta_1$. Since $\beta_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$, and \triangleleft satisfies condition (2.4), we deduce that $\gamma_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. Since \prec also satisfies condition (2.4), it follows that $\gamma_u \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq r$. Thus we obtain $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{QBG}_y^{\prec}$, as desired. This proves that $\text{end}(\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\triangleleft}) \subset \text{end}(\mathbf{QBG}_y^{\prec})$; the opposite inclusion can be shown similarly. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}$, then we have $w \geq y$. By Remark 2.6, the directed path \mathbf{q} is a directed path in $\text{BG}(W)$, and hence $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{BG}_y^{\prec}$. This proves that $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\triangleleft}) \subset \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^{\prec})$; the opposite inclusion can be shown similarly. This proves the lemma. \square

Finally, let us recall the following from [LNS³1, Lemma 5.14].

Lemma 2.12. Let $u, w \in W$, and $\beta \in \Delta^+$. Assume that we have a directed edge $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$. Let $j \in I$.

- (1) If $w^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ and $u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$, then the directed edge $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ is a Bruhat edge, and $\beta = u^{-1}\alpha_j$, $w = s_j u$.
- (2) If $w^{-1}\alpha_j, u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$, or if $w^{-1}\alpha_j, u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$, then we have a directed edge $s_j u \xrightarrow{\beta} s_j w$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$. Moreover, $s_j u \xrightarrow{\beta} s_j w$ is a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge if and only if $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ is a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge.

2.4. Dual tilted Bruhat order.

Definition 2.13 ([NOS, Definition 2.24]). For each $v \in W$, we define the dual v -tilted Bruhat order \leq_v^* on W as follows: for $w_1, w_2 \in W$,

$$w_1 \leq_v^* w_2 \iff \ell(w_1 \Rightarrow v) = \ell(w_1 \Rightarrow w_2) + \ell(w_2 \Rightarrow v). \quad (2.6)$$

Namely, $w_1 \leq_v^* w_2$ if and only if there exists a shortest directed path in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from w_1 to v passing through w_2 ; or equivalently, if and only if the concatenation of a shortest directed path from w_1 to w_2 and one from w_2 to v is one from w_1 to v .

Proposition 2.14 ([NOS, Proposition 2.25]). Let $v \in W$, and let J be a subset of I . Then each coset uW_J for $u \in W$ has a unique maximal element with respect to \leq_v^* ; we denote it by $\max(uW_J, \leq_v^*)$.

Lemma 2.15. Let \triangleleft be a reflection order on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4). Let $v, w \in W$, and $w' \in wW_J$. Then, $w' = \max(wW_J, \leq_v^*)$ if and only if all the labels in the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from w' to v in $\text{QBG}(W)$ are contained in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$.

Proof. We first show the “only if” part. Assume that $w' = \max(wW_J, \leq_v^*)$, and let

$$w' = y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r = v \quad (2.7)$$

be the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from w' to v in $\text{QBG}(W)$. By (2.4), it suffices to show that $\beta_1 \trianglerighteq \beta$, where β is the largest element of $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ with respect to \triangleleft . Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\beta_1 \triangleleft \beta$. We set $t := \max\{1 \leq u \leq r \mid \beta_u \triangleleft \beta\}$; note that $t \geq 1$. Then we see that $y_t \in wW_J$. Since $w' = \max(wW_J, \leq_v^*)$, it follows that $\ell(y_t \Rightarrow v) = \ell(y_t \Rightarrow w') + \ell(w' \Rightarrow v) \geq \ell(w' \Rightarrow v)$. However, it is obvious by (2.7) that $\ell(w' \Rightarrow v) > \ell(y_t \Rightarrow v)$, which is a contradiction.

We next show the “if” part. Let

$$w' = y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} y_r = v \quad (2.8)$$

be the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from w' to v in $\text{QBG}(W)$, where $\beta_u \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq r$ by the assumption. Here we remark that the full subgraph of $\text{QBG}(W)$ whose vertex set is wW_J is isomorphic, as a Δ_J^+ -labeled directed graph, to the quantum Bruhat graph $\text{QBG}(W_J)$ associated to the parabolic subgroup W_J , under the map $[w]z \mapsto z$ for $z \in W_J$. Also, we note that the restriction of the reflection order \triangleleft on Δ^+ to the subset Δ_J^+ is a reflection order

on Δ_J^+ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.7 (applied to $\text{QBG}(W_J)$) that for an arbitrary element $w'' \in wW_J$, there exists a directed path

$$w'' = z_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} z_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} z_s = w' \quad (2.9)$$

in the full subgraph above (and hence in $\text{QBG}(W)$) from w'' to w' such that $\gamma_u \in \Delta_J^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s$, and $\gamma_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \gamma_s$; notice that this directed path is a shortest directed path from w'' to w' . Hence, by (2.4), the concatenation of the directed paths (2.9) and (2.8) is the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from w'' to v passing through w' . Thus, we have shown that $w'' \leq_v^* w'$. This proves the lemma. \square

2.5. Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths. In this subsection, we fix $\mu \in P^+$, and set

$$J = J_\mu := \{i \in I \mid \langle \mu, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\} \subset I. \quad (2.10)$$

Definition 2.16. The parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, denoted by $\text{QBG}(W^J)$, is the $(\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+)$ -labeled directed graph whose vertices are the elements of W^J , and whose directed edges are of the form: $w \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ for $w, v \in W^J$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ such that $v = [ws_\beta]$, and such that either of the following holds: (B) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1$; (Q) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1 - 2\langle \rho - \rho_J, \beta^\vee \rangle$. An edge satisfying (B) (resp., (Q)) is called a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge. The parabolic Bruhat graph, denoted by $\text{BG}(W^J)$, is the $(\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+)$ -labeled directed graph obtained from $\text{QBG}(W^J)$ by removing all quantum edges.

Definition 2.17. Let $0 < \sigma < 1$ be a rational number. We define $\text{QBG}_{\sigma\mu}(W^J)$ (resp., $\text{BG}_{\sigma\mu}(W^J)$) to be the subgraph of $\text{QBG}(W^J)$ (resp., $\text{BG}(W^J)$) with the same vertex set but having only those directed edges of the form $w \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ for which $\sigma\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds.

Definition 2.18 ([LNS³2, Definition 3.1]). A quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri path of shape μ (resp., a Lakshmibai-Seshadri path of shape μ) is a pair

$$\eta = (\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (x_1, \dots, x_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s), \quad s \geq 1, \quad (2.11)$$

of a sequence x_1, \dots, x_s of elements in W^J , with $x_u \neq x_{u+1}$ for any $1 \leq u \leq s-1$, and an increasing sequence $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$ of rational numbers satisfying the condition that there exists a directed path in $\text{QBG}_{\sigma_u\mu}(W^J)$ (resp., $\text{BG}_{\sigma_u\mu}(W^J)$) from x_{u+1} to x_u for each $u = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$.

Denote by $\text{QLS}(\mu)$ and $\text{LS}(\mu)$ the sets of all quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths and all Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of shape μ , respectively; note that $\text{LS}(\mu) \subset \text{QLS}(\mu)$. For $\eta \in \text{QLS}(\mu)$ of the form (2.11), we set $\iota(\eta) := x_1$, $\kappa(\eta) := x_s$, and

$$\text{wt}(\eta) := \sum_{u=1}^s (\sigma_u - \sigma_{u-1}) x_u \mu \in P, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\text{deg}(\eta) := - \sum_{u=1}^{s-1} \sigma_u \langle \mu, \text{wt}(x_{u+1} \Rightarrow x_u) \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}. \quad (2.13)$$

For $\eta = (x_1, \dots, x_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s) \in \text{QLS}(\mu)$ and $v \in W$, define $\kappa(\eta, v) \in W$ by the following recursive formula:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{x}_0 := v, \\ \widehat{x}_u := \max(x_u W_J, \leq_{\widehat{x}_{u-1}}^*) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u \leq s, \\ \kappa(\eta, v) := \widehat{x}_s. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

We set

$$\zeta(\eta, v) := \text{wt}(\widehat{x}_1 \Rightarrow v) + \sum_{u=1}^{s-1} \text{wt}(\widehat{x}_{u+1} \Rightarrow \widehat{x}_u). \quad (2.15)$$

2.6. Character identity of Chevalley type for general anti-dominant weights. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}} = (\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ be the (untwisted) affine Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} associated to the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , where c is the canonical central element and d is the scaling element (or degree operator), with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$. We regard an element $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$ as an element of $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^*$ by setting $\langle \mu, c \rangle = \langle \mu, d \rangle := 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^* \times \mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the canonical pairing of $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}, \mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{h}_{af} . Let $\{\alpha_i^{\vee}\}_{i \in I_{\text{af}}} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_{\text{af}}} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^*$ be the set of simple coroots and simple roots of \mathfrak{g}_{af} , respectively, where $I_{\text{af}} := I \sqcup \{0\}$; note that $\langle \alpha_i, c \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \alpha_i, d \rangle = \delta_{i,0}$ for $i \in I_{\text{af}}$. Denote by $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^*$ the null root of \mathfrak{g}_{af} ; recall that $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$. Let W_{af} be the (affine) Weyl group of \mathfrak{g}_{af} , with e the identity element. For each $\xi \in Q^{\vee}$, let $t_{\xi} \in W_{\text{af}}$ denote the translation in $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{af}}^*$ by ξ (see [Kac, Sect. 6.5]); recall that $W_{\text{af}} \cong W \ltimes \{t_{\xi} \mid \xi \in Q^{\vee}\} \cong W \ltimes Q^{\vee}$. Finally, let $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$ denote the quantized universal enveloping algebra over $\mathbb{C}(\vee)$ associated to \mathfrak{g}_{af} , with E_i and F_i the Chevalley generators corresponding to α_i for $i \in I_{\text{af}}$. We denote by $U_{\vee}^{-}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$ the negative part of $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$, that is, the $\mathbb{C}(\vee)$ -subalgebra of $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$ generated by the F_i , $i \in I_{\text{af}}$.

We take an arbitrary $\lambda \in P^+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$. Let $V(\lambda)$ denote the (level-zero) extremal weight module of extremal weight λ over $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$, which is defined to be the integrable $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$ -module generated by a single element v_{λ} with the defining relation that “ v_{λ} is an extremal weight vector of weight λ ”. Here, recall from [Kas1, Sect. 3.1] and [Kas2, Sect. 2.6] that v_{λ} is an extremal weight vector of weight λ if and only if (v_{λ} is a weight vector of weight λ and) there exists a family $\{v_x\}_{x \in W_{\text{af}}}$ of weight vectors in $V(\lambda)$ such that $v_e = v_{\lambda}$, and such that for each $i \in I_{\text{af}}$ and $x \in W_{\text{af}}$ with $n := \langle x\lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle \geq 0$ (resp., ≤ 0), the equalities $E_i v_x = 0$ and $F_i^{(n)} v_x = v_{s_i x}$ (resp., $F_i v_x = 0$ and $E_i^{(-n)} v_x = v_{s_i x}$) hold, where for $i \in I_{\text{af}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the $E_i^{(k)}$ and $F_i^{(k)}$ are the k -th divided powers of the Chevalley generators E_i and F_i of $U_{\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})$, respectively; note that the weight of v_x is $x\lambda$. Also, for each $x \in W_{\text{af}}$, we define the Demazure submodule $V_x^{-}(\lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ by $V_x^{-}(\lambda) := U_{\vee}^{-}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{af}})v_x$.

Remark 2.19. Keep the notation and setting above. Take $J = J_{\lambda}$ as in (2.10). We deduce from [NS, Lemma 4.1.2] that $V_{y t_{\xi}}^{-}(\lambda) = V_{[y] t_{[\xi]}}^{-}(\lambda)$ for $y \in W$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee}$; for the notation $[y] = [y]^J$ and $[\xi] = [\xi]^J$, see Section 2.1.

Following [KaNS, Sect. 2.4], we define the graded character $\text{gch } V_x^{-}(\lambda)$ of $V_x^{-}(\lambda)$ by


$$\text{gch } V_x^{-}(\lambda) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in Q} \dim(V_x^{-}(\lambda)_{\lambda + \gamma + k\delta}) e^{\lambda + \gamma} \right) q^k, \quad \text{where } q := e^{\delta}. \quad (2.16)$$

Theorem 2.20 ([NOS, Corollary 3.15]). Let $\mu \in P^+$ and $x \in W$. For all $\lambda \in P^+$ such that $\lambda - \mu \in P^+$, the following identity holds:

$$\text{gch } V_x^{-}(\lambda - \mu) = \sum_{v \in W} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \text{QLS}(\mu) \\ \kappa(\eta, v) = x}} (-1)^{\ell(v) - \ell(x)} q^{-\deg(\eta)} e^{-\text{wt}(\eta)} \text{gch } V_{vt_{\zeta(\eta, v)}}^{-}(\lambda). \quad (2.17)$$

3. CHARACTER IDENTITY OF CHEVALLEY TYPE FOR ANTI-DOMINANT MINUSCULE FUNDAMENTAL WEIGHTS.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced or of type B_n . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is minuscule, that is, $\langle \varpi_k, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for all $\beta \in \Delta$; the fundamental weights corresponding to black nodes of the Dynkin diagrams below are the minuscule fundamental weights:



We set $J := J_{\varpi_k} = I \setminus \{k\}$. Fix an (arbitrary) reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4); recall from Lemma 2.11 that for each $y \in W^J$, the set $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_y^\triangleleft)$ does not depend on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4). In addition, we set

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} = \gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{g}, k) := \begin{cases} \alpha_k & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is simply-laced,} \\ s_n \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ and } k = n. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced or of type B_n . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is minuscule, and set $J = J_{\varpi_k} = I \setminus \{k\}$. Let $x \in W^J$. For all $N \geq 1$, the following hold:

(1) If $x \geq \lfloor s_{\theta} \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)+1} \text{gch } V_{[ys_{\gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}}]t_{\alpha_k}^\vee}^-(N\varpi_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, in the second sum on the right-hand side of (3.2), no cancellations occur, or equivalently, $[ys_{\gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}}] \neq [y's_{\gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}}]$ for any $y, y' \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)$ with $y \neq y'$.

(2) If $x \not\geq \lfloor s_{\theta} \rfloor$, then

$$\text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) = e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_k). \quad (3.3)$$

Here we show Theorem 3.1 in the case that $x = e$.

Proposition 3.2. Keep the notation and setting of Theorem 3.1. If $x = e$ (note that $x \not\geq \lfloor s_{\theta} \rfloor$), then the character identity (3.3) holds.

Proof. Since the reflection order \triangleleft satisfies (2.4) (with $J = I \setminus \{k\}$), we see that α_k is the largest element in Δ^+ with respect to \triangleleft . It is easily seen that $\mathbf{BG}_e^\triangleleft = \mathbf{BG}_e^\triangleleft$ is identical to the set $\{e \text{ (directed path of length 0), } e \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} s_k\}$. Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.3) can be written as:

$$e^{-\varpi_k} (\text{gch } V_e^-(N\varpi_k) - \text{gch } V_{s_k}^-(N\varpi_k)), \quad (3.4)$$

which is identical to $\text{gch } V_e^-((N-1)\varpi_k)$ on the left-hand side of (3.3) by [NOS, Proposition 5.3]. This proves the proposition. \square

In the rest of this paper, we will prove Theorem 3.1 in the case that $x \neq e$. We divide our proof as follows. In Section 5, we give a proof in simply-laced types. In Section 6, we give a proof in type B_n . Before giving these proofs, we show some technical lemmas in Section 4, which are valid in both types.

4. RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR COEFFICIENTS IN THE CHARACTER IDENTITY OF CHEVALLEY TYPE.

As in Section 3, assume that \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced or of type B_n . Let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is minuscule, and set $J = J_{\varpi_k} = I \setminus \{k\}$.

4.1. Quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of shape ϖ_k . Since ϖ_k is minuscule, we have $\langle \varpi_k, \beta^\vee \rangle \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^+$. Therefore, $\text{QBG}_{\sigma\mu}(W^J)$ (and hence $\text{BG}_{\sigma\mu}(W^J)$) has no directed edges for any rational number $0 < \sigma < 1$. Hence we obtain the following.

Lemma 4.1. It holds that $\text{QLS}(\varpi_k) = \text{LS}(\varpi_k) = \{(w; 0, 1) \mid w \in W^J\}$. Therefore, $\deg(\eta) = 0$ for all $\eta \in \text{QLS}(\varpi_k) = \text{LS}(\varpi_k)$.

Let $x \in W^J$. Let $\eta \in \text{QLS}(\varpi_k) = \text{LS}(\varpi_k)$ and $v \in W$ be such that $\kappa(\eta, v) = x$. By Lemma 4.1, $\eta = (w; 0, 1)$ for some $w \in W^J$. Hence we have $w = \kappa(\eta) = \lfloor \kappa(\eta, v) \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor = x$ since $x \in W^J$. Thus we obtain $\eta = (x; 0, 1)$. Therefore, by (2.14), we see that $\max(xW_J, \leq_v^*) = x$. Let \triangleleft be a reflection order on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4). It follows from Lemma 2.15 that $\max(xW_J, \leq_v^*) = x$ if and only if all the labels in the label-increasing directed path from x to v in $\text{QBG}(W)$ are contained in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.20, Remarks 2.10 (1) and 2.19, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \text{QBG}_x^\triangleleft} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{p})) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_{[\text{end}(\mathbf{p})]t_{[\text{wt}(\mathbf{p})}]}^- (N\varpi_k) \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\text{QBG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_{[y]t_{[\text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y)]}}^- (N\varpi_k) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$; for the notation $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor = \lfloor \cdot \rfloor^J$ and $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor = \lfloor \cdot \rfloor^J$, see Section 2.1. Recall from Lemma 2.11 that $\text{end}(\text{QBG}_y^\triangleleft)$ does not depend on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4).

4.2. Lemmas on Bruhat edges in the quantum Bruhat graph (1). Recall that ϖ_k is minuscule and $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. We know the following (see, e.g., [G, Lemma 11.1.16]).

Proposition 4.2. The restriction of the Bruhat order to W^J agrees with the restriction of the left weak Bruhat order to W^J . Namely, if $y, w \in W^J$ satisfy $w \geq y$, then there exist a sequence $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p = w$ of elements of W^J and a sequence j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p of elements of I such that $y_q = s_{j_q} y_{q-1}$ and $\ell(y_q) = \ell(y_{q-1}) + 1$ for all $1 \leq q \leq p$.

Lemma 4.3. For each $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, there exist a unique $\Phi^J(y) \in W^J$ and $\Phi_J(y) \in W_J$ such that $y = \Phi^J(y)\Phi_J(y)s_k$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(\Phi^J(y)) + \ell(\Phi_J(y)) + \ell(s_k)$.

Remark 4.4. Keep the notation and setting of Lemma 4.3. We see that $\Phi_J(y)s_k \in W^J$ and $\ell(\Phi_J(y)s_k) = \ell(\Phi_J(y)) + \ell(s_k)$. Also, it is easily verified that $\ell(y) = \ell(\Phi^J(y)) + \ell(\Phi_J(y)s_k)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $z_0 \in W_J$ be a longest element for which $y = y_0 z_0 s_k$ for some $y_0 \in W$ such that $\ell(y) = \ell(y_0) + \ell(z_0) + \ell(s_k)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $y_0 \notin W^J$. In this case, there exist $y'_0 \in W$ and $j \in J = I \setminus \{k\}$ such that $y_0 = y'_0 s_j$ and $\ell(y_0) = \ell(y'_0) + 1$. Hence we have $y = y'_0 s_j z_0 s_k$, with $\ell(s_j z_0) = \ell(z_0) + 1$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(y'_0) + \ell(s_j z_0) + \ell(s_k)$. Since $s_j z_0 \in W_J$, this contradicts the maximality of the length of $z_0 \in W_J$. Thus we obtain $y_0 \in W^J$, as desired.

Assume now that $y = y_1 z_1 s_k$ for some $y_1 \in W^J$ and $z_1 \in W_J$ such that $\ell(y) = \ell(y_1) + \ell(z_1) + \ell(s_k)$. Then, $y_1 \varpi_k = y_1 z_1 \varpi_k = y s_k \varpi_k = y_0 z_0 \varpi_k = y_0 \varpi_k$. Since $y_1, y_0 \in W^J$, we deduce that $y_0 = y_1$. Hence, from the equalities $y_1 z_1 s_k = y = y_0 z_0 s_k$, it follows that $z_1 = z_0$. This proves the lemma. \square

Recall that $w_{J,\circ}$ is the longest element of W_J . We write $\lfloor w_{J,\circ} s_k \rfloor \in W^J$ as $\lfloor w_{J,\circ} s_k \rfloor = z_k s_k$ for some $z_k \in W$ such that $\ell(z_k s_k) = \ell(z_k) + 1$. Since $w_{J,\circ} \in W_J$, we see that $\ell(w_{J,\circ} s_k) = \ell(w_{J,\circ}) + 1$. Also, since $z_k s_k = \lfloor w_{J,\circ} s_k \rfloor \leq w_{J,\circ} s_k$, we deduce by the Subword Property for the Bruhat order (see, e.g., [BB, Theorem 2.2.2]) that $z_k \in W_J$; in the notation of Lemma 4.3, we have $\Phi^J(\lfloor w_{J,\circ} s_k \rfloor) = e$ and $\Phi_J(\lfloor w_{J,\circ} s_k \rfloor) = z_k$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, and set $z := \Phi_J(y) \in W_J$. Then, $z_k s_k \geq z s_k$ in the notation above, where the equality holds if and only if $y \geq z_k s_k$.

Proof. Recall that $z s_k = \Phi_J(y) s_k \in W^J$ (see Remark 4.4). Since $z \in W_J$, we have $w_{J,\circ} \geq z$, and hence $w_{J,\circ} s_k \geq z s_k$. Thus we obtain $z_k s_k = [w_{J,\circ} s_k] \geq [z s_k] = z s_k$, as desired (see, e.g., [BB, Proposition 2.5.1]).

We set $y' := \Phi^J(y) \in W^J$. If $z_k s_k = z s_k$, then it is obvious that $y = y' z s_k = y' z_k s_k \geq z_k s_k$, which proves the “only if” part; recall that $\ell(y) = \ell(y') + \ell(z s_k) = \ell(y') + \ell(z_k s_k)$. Assume now that $y \geq z_k s_k$. Since $y, z_k s_k \in W^J$, and $y \geq z_k s_k$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that there exist $z_k s_k = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p = y \in W^J$ and $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p \in I$ such that $y_q = s_{j_q} y_{q-1}$ and $\ell(y_q) = \ell(y_{q-1}) + 1$ for all $1 \leq q \leq p$; notice that $\langle y_{q-1} \varpi_k, \alpha_{j_q}^\vee \rangle > 0$ for $1 \leq q \leq p$, since $y_q = s_{j_q} y_{q-1} \in W^J$ and $\ell(y_q) = \ell(y_{q-1}) + 1$. In view of Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that $s_{j_p} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} \in W^J$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists $1 \leq q \leq p$ such that $s_{j_{q-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} \in W^J$ and $s_{j_q} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} \notin W^J$. In this case, $(s_{j_{q-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1})^{-1} \alpha_{j_q} \in \Delta_J^+$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_{q-1} \varpi_k, \alpha_{j_q}^\vee \rangle &= \langle s_{j_{q-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} w_{J,\circ} s_k \varpi_k, \alpha_{j_q}^\vee \rangle \quad \text{since } [w_{J,\circ} s_k] = z_k s_k \\ &= \left\langle s_k \varpi_k, \underbrace{(w_{J,\circ} (s_{j_{q-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1})^{-1} \alpha_{j_q})^\vee}_{\in -\Delta_J^+} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \varpi_k, \underbrace{(s_k w_{J,\circ} (s_{j_{q-1}} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1})^{-1} \alpha_{j_q})^\vee}_{\in -s_k \Delta_J^+ \subset -\Delta^+} \right\rangle \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have proved the “if” part. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.6. Let $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, and set $z := \Phi_J(y) \in W_J$. If $y \not\geq z_k s_k$, or equivalently, $z_k s_k > z s_k$, then there exists $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge.

Proof. Since $z_k s_k, z s_k \in W^J$ (see Remark 4.4) and $z_k s_k > z s_k$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that there exist $z s_k = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p = z_k s_k \in W^J$ and $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p \in I$ such that $y_q = s_{j_q} y_{q-1}$ and $\ell(y_q) = \ell(y_{q-1}) + 1$ for all $1 \leq q \leq p$; note that $p \geq 1$. We claim that $j_1 \in J$. Indeed, we see that $z_k s_k \varpi_k = \varpi_k - z_k \alpha_k$, where $z_k \alpha_k$ is contained in $\alpha_k + \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$. Also, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} z_k s_k \varpi_k &= y_p \varpi_k = y_{p-1} \varpi_k - \alpha_{j_p} = y_{p-2} \varpi_k - (\alpha_{j_{p-1}} + \alpha_{j_p}) \\ &= \cdots = y_0 \varpi_k - \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{j_q} = z s_k \varpi_k - \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{j_q} \\ &= \varpi_k - z \alpha_k - \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{j_q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z \alpha_k$ is contained in $\alpha_k + \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$. Combining these, we deduce that $\sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{j_q} \in \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$, which implies that $j_1, \dots, j_p \in J$; in particular, we obtain $j_1 \in J$, as desired. Next, we claim that $\beta := y_0^{-1} \alpha_{j_1} = (z s_k)^{-1} \alpha_{j_1} \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$. Indeed, since $\ell(s_{j_1} z s_k) = \ell(z s_k) + 1$, we have $\beta = (z s_k)^{-1} \alpha_{j_1} \in \Delta^+$. Also, it follows that

$$z_k s_k \beta = s_{j_p} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} z s_k \beta = s_{j_p} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1} \alpha_{j_1}.$$

Since $s_{j_p} \cdots s_{j_2} s_{j_1}$ is reduced, we obtain $z_k s_k \beta \in \Delta^-$, and hence $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$, as desired. Here, we see that $y s_\beta = \Phi^J(y) z s_k s_\beta = \Phi^J(y) s_{j_1} z s_k$, which implies that $\ell(y s_\beta) \leq \ell(\Phi^J(y)) + \ell(s_{j_1}) + \ell(z s_k) = \ell(y) + 1$ (see Remark 4.4). Since $y \beta = \Phi^J(y) \alpha_{j_1}$, and $\Phi^J(y) \in W^J$, $j_1 \in J$, it follows from (2.3) that $y \beta \in \Delta^+$. Hence $\ell(y s_\beta) > \ell(y)$. Therefore, we find that $\ell(y s_\beta) = \ell(y) + 1$, which implies that $\beta \neq \alpha_k$ (recall that $y \neq e$), and hence $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.7. Let $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$. If $y \not\geq z_k s_k$ and $y s_\beta \geq z_k s_k$, then $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$.

Proof. Notice that $ys_\beta \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ by Lemma 2.9. We have $ys_\beta = \Phi^J(ys_\beta)\Phi_J(ys_\beta)s_k$ by Lemma 4.3. Since $ys_\beta \geq z_k s_k$, we see that $\Phi_J(ys_\beta) = z_k$ by Lemma 4.5. Since $ys_\beta \geq y$ and $\ell(ys_\beta) = \ell(y) + 1$, it follows from the Subword Property for the Bruhat order that y has a reduced expression obtained from a reduced expression of ys_β by removing one simple reflection. Here we recall that $\ell(ys_\beta) = \ell(\Phi^J(ys_\beta)) + \ell(\Phi_J(ys_\beta)) + \ell(s_k)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $y = w\Phi_J(ys_\beta)s_k = wz_k s_k$, where w is obtained from a reduced expression of $\Phi^J(ys_\beta)$ by removing one simple reflection. In this case, since $\ell(y) = \ell(w) + \ell(z_k s_k)$, it follows that $y \geq z_k s_k$, which contradicts the assumption. Since $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, the rightmost simple reflection of any reduced expression of y must be s_k . Hence we deduce that $y = \Phi^J(ys_\beta)z s_k$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(\Phi^J(ys_\beta)) + \ell(z) + \ell(s_k)$, where z is obtained from a reduced expression of $\Phi_J(ys_\beta) = z_k$ by removing one simple reflection. Let $z_k s_k = s_{i_a} s_{i_{a-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1}$ be a reduced expression of $z_k s_k$ (note that $i_1 = k$), and assume that $z s_k = s_{i_a} s_{i_{a-1}} \cdots s_{i_{b+1}} s_{i_{b-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1}$ for some $2 \leq b \leq a$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\beta &= y^{-1}(ys_\beta) = (\Phi^J(ys_\beta)z s_k)^{-1}(\Phi^J(ys_\beta)z_k s_k) = (z s_k)^{-1}(z_k s_k) \\ &= s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{b-1}} s_{i_b} s_{i_{b-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\beta = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{b-1}} \alpha_{i_b} \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.8. Let $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ and $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} ys_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$; note that $ys_\beta \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ by Lemma 2.9. Then, $\Phi^J(y) = \Phi^J(ys_\beta)$.

Proof. Recall that $y = \Phi^J(y)\Phi_J(y)s_k$ and $ys_\beta = \Phi^J(ys_\beta)\Phi_J(ys_\beta)s_k$. Also, notice that $\beta \neq \alpha_k$ since $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Since $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$, and since $z_k \in W_J$ and $\ell(z_k s_k) = \ell(z_k) + 1$, we deduce that $\beta = s_k z \alpha_j$ for some $z \in W_J$ and $j \in J$. Hence $ys_\beta = \Phi^J(y)\Phi_J(y)s_k s_\beta = \Phi^J(y)\Phi_J(y)z s_j z^{-1} s_k$; notice that $\Phi_J(y)z s_j z^{-1} \in W_J$. Therefore, we see that

$$\Phi^J(ys_\beta)\varpi_k = \Phi^J(ys_\beta)\Phi_J(ys_\beta)\varpi_k = ys_\beta s_k \varpi_k = \Phi^J(y) \underbrace{\Phi_J(y)z s_j z^{-1}}_{\in W_J} \varpi_k = \Phi^J(y)\varpi_k.$$

Since $\Phi^J(ys_\beta), \Phi^J(y) \in W^J$, we deduce that $\Phi^J(ys_\beta) = \Phi^J(y)$. This proves the lemma. \square

4.3. Demazure operators.

Definition 4.9. For $i \in I$, we define a $\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))$ -linear operator $D_i = D_i^-$ on $\mathbb{C}((q^{-1}))[[P]]$ as follows: for $\xi \in P$,

$$D_i e^\xi := \frac{e^\xi - e^{\alpha_i} e^{s_i \xi}}{1 - e^{\alpha_i}} = \begin{cases} e^\xi(1 + e^{\alpha_i} + e^{2\alpha_i} + \cdots + e^{-\langle \xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i}) & \text{if } \langle \xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \langle \xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1, \\ -e^\xi(e^{-\alpha_i} + e^{-2\alpha_i} + \cdots + e^{-(\langle \xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + 1)\alpha_i}) & \text{if } \langle \xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We can easily verify the following.

Lemma 4.10. For $\lambda, \mu \in P$ and $i \in I$, it holds that

$$D_i(e^\lambda e^\mu) = (D_i e^{\lambda+\mu})e^{\mu-\rho} + e^{s_i \lambda}(D_i e^\mu).$$

We know the following from [NOS, Proposition 6.6 and Remark 6.7].

Proposition 4.11. Let $x \in W$, $i \in I$, and $\lambda \in P^+$.

- (1) If $s_i x < x$, then $D_i \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda) = \text{gch } V_{s_i x}^-(\lambda)$. In particular, if $\langle x\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < 0$, then $D_i \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda) = \text{gch } V_{s_i x}^-(\lambda)$.
- (2) If $s_i x > x$, then $D_i \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda) = \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda)$. In particular, if $\langle x\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, then $D_i \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda) = \text{gch } V_x^-(\lambda)$.

4.4. Recurrence relations for coefficients in the character identity of Chevalley type. Recall that ϖ_k is minuscule and $J = J_{\varpi_k} = I \setminus \{k\}$. By (4.1), $\text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k)$ can be written as:

$$\text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) = e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k), \quad (4.2)$$

where $c_{v,m}^x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $c_{v,m}^x = 0$ for all but finitely many $(v, m) \in W^J \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 4.12. Let $j \in I$ be such that $\langle x\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 1$. It holds that $c_{v,m}^x = 0$ for all $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we set $c_{v,m} := c_{v,m}^x$. Let $j \in I$ be such that $\langle x\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 1$. By Proposition 4.11 (2), we have

$$D_j \underbrace{\text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k)}_{\text{(LHS) of (4.2)}} = \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k).$$

Also, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{D_j \left(e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \right)}_{\text{(RHS) of (4.2)}} \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ e^{-s_j x \varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} D_j \left(\text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \right) \quad \text{by Lemma 4.10} \\ &= \underbrace{e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k)}_{=\text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k)} \\ &+ e^{-s_j x \varpi_k} \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ e^{-s_j x \varpi_k} \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ e^{-s_j x \varpi_k} \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{s_j v t_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \quad \text{by Proposition 4.11;} \end{aligned}$$

notice that $s_j v \in W^J$ for $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m} \text{gch } V_{s_j v t_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) = 0.$$

Because the graded characters $\text{gch } V_{wt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k)$ for $(w, m) \in W^J \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are linearly independent (note that all the sums on the left-hand side of the equation above are finite sums), it follows that $c_{v,m} = 0$ for all $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.13. Let $j \in I$ be such that $\langle x\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = -1$; notice that $s_j x \in W^J$.

- (1) It holds that $c_{v,m}^{s_j x} = -c_{v,m}^x$ for all $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (2) It holds that $c_{v,m}^{s_j x} = c_{s_j v, m}^x$ for all $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$ (note that $s_j v \in W^J$) and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Let $j \in I$ be such that $\langle x\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = -1$. We see by Proposition 4.11 (1) and Lemma 4.10 that

$$D_j \left(\underbrace{e^{x\varpi_k} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k)}_{\text{(LHS) of (4.2)}} \right) = e^{x\varpi_k} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) + e^{s_j x \varpi_k} \text{gch } V_{s_j x}^-((N-1)\varpi_k).$$

Also, by Proposition 4.11, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & D_j \left(\underbrace{\sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k)}_{\text{(RHS) of (4.2) multiplied by } e^{x\varpi_k}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \geq 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) + \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{s_j v t_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k); \end{aligned}$$

note that $s_j v \in W^J$ for $v \in W^J$ such that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{s_j x \varpi_k} \text{gch } V_{s_j x}^-((N-1)\varpi_k) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^x \text{gch } V_{s_j v t_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k); \end{aligned}$$

here, observe that the left-hand side of this equation is identical to

$$e^{s_j x \varpi_k} \text{gch } V_{s_j x}^-((N-1)\varpi_k) = \sum_{v \in W^J} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} c_{v,m}^{s_j x} \text{gch } V_{vt_{m\alpha_k^\vee}}(N\varpi_k).$$

Hence we obtain the equalities in parts (1) and (2), as desired. This proves the lemma. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1 IN SIMPLY-LACED TYPES.

In this section, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced. As in Section 3, let $k \in I$ be such that ϖ_k is minuscule, and set $J = J_{\varpi_k} = I \setminus \{k\}$. We may assume that $x \neq e$ by Proposition 3.2.

5.1. Quantum edges in the quantum Bruhat graph (1).

Lemma 5.1. Let $y \in W^J$ and $\gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_J$. We have a quantum edge $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} ys_\gamma$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ if and only if $y \neq e$ and $\gamma = \alpha_k = \gamma_Q$ (see (3.1)).

Proof. If $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, then there exists a reduced expression of y whose rightmost simple reflection is s_k . This fact immediately implies the ‘‘if’’ part. Let us show the ‘‘only if’’ part. Assume that $\gamma \neq \alpha_k$. Then, s_γ has a reduced expression of the form $s_\gamma = \cdots s_p s_q s_p \cdots$ for some $p, q \in I$, with $p \neq q$, such that $s_p s_q s_p = s_q s_p s_q$. From the equalities $\ell(ys_\gamma) = \ell(y) - \langle 2\rho, \gamma^\vee \rangle + 1 = \ell(y) - \ell(s_\gamma)$ (see Remark 2.5), we see that if $ys_\gamma = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_s}$ is a reduced expression of ys_γ , then

$$y = \underbrace{s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_s}}_{=ys_\gamma} \underbrace{\cdots s_p s_q s_p \cdots}_{=s_\gamma}$$

is a reduced expression of y . However, this contradicts the fact that every element in W^J is fully commutative (see [G, Proposition 11.1.1 (i)]). Thus we have shown that $\gamma = \alpha_k$. This proves the lemma. \square

5.2. Sets of label-increasing directed paths (1). Let \triangleleft be a reflection order on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4); remark that $\alpha_k \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_J$ is the largest element in Δ^+ with respect to \triangleleft . Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Recall the notation $\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ and $\mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$ from Section 2.3; remark that $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \neq e$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$. For each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$, we define $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_k}^Q(\mathbf{p})$ to be the concatenation $\mathbf{p} \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_k$ of the directed path \mathbf{p} with the quantum edge $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_k$ (see Lemma 5.1). We see that $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_k}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$, and

$$\mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \sqcup \{\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_k}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft\}; \quad (5.1)$$

note that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ and $\text{wt}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_k}^Q(\mathbf{p})) = \alpha_k^\vee$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$. Therefore, it follows from (4.1) that for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) &= \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} \text{gch } V_{[ys_k]t_{\alpha_k^\vee}}^-(N\varpi_k), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

which proves the character identity (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 (1) in simply-laced types.

5.3. Cancellations in equation (5.2). Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. We set

$$\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft := \{\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_k}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft\} = \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft, \quad (5.3)$$

and then $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v := \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft \mid [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v\}$ for $v \in W^J$. By (5.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) &= \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{v \in W^J} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q})) - \ell(x)} \right)}_{=c_{v,1}^x; \text{ see (4.2)}} \text{gch } V_{vt_{\alpha_k^\vee}}^-(N\varpi_k). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Lemma 5.2. Keep the notation and setting above. If $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$, then

$$c_{v,1}^x = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q})) - \ell(x)} = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that the assertion is false. Let x be a maximal element (with respect to the Bruhat order) of the set

$$\{w \in W^J \setminus \{e\} \mid \#(\mathbf{G}_w^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2 \text{ and } c_{v,1}^w \neq 0 \text{ for some } v \in W^J\};$$

since $\mathbf{G}_{[w_\circ]}^\triangleleft = \{[w_\circ] \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} [w_\circ]s_k\}$, it follows that $x \neq [w_\circ]$. Take $j \in I$ such that $\langle x\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 1 > 0$ (recall that ϖ_k is minuscule); note that $s_jx \in W^J$ and $\ell(s_jx) = \ell(x) + 1$. Let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$ and $c_{v,1}^x \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.12 (1), we have $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \neq 0$; note that $s_jv \in W^J$.

Case 1. Assume that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$. We define an injective map $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{q}}$, as follows: for $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ with $y := \text{end}(\mathbf{q})$, we define $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}$ to be the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from s_jx to s_jy in $\text{QBG}(W)$ (see Theorem 2.7). We claim that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$. Indeed, recall that \mathbf{q} is of the form:

$$\mathbf{q} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}=\alpha_k} y_{s+1} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) = y; \quad (5.5)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{quantum edge}}$

note that $[y] = v$. Since $\langle y\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$ by the assumption in Case 1, we have $y^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. Similarly, we have $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. If $y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s$, then we see by Lemma 2.12 (2) that there exists a directed path \mathbf{q}' in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from s_jx to s_jy of the following form:

$$\mathbf{q}' : s_jx = s_jy_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} s_jy_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} s_jy_{s+1} = s_jy,$$

with $\text{wt}(\mathbf{q}') = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Observe that $\mathbf{q}' \in \text{QBG}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{BG}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft = \mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft$, and $[\text{end}(\mathbf{q}')] = [s_jy] = [s_jv] = s_jv$. Hence we obtain $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$. Moreover, by the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from s_jx to s_jy , we deduce that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q}'$, and hence $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$ in this case.

Assume now that $y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ for some $1 \leq u \leq s$; remark that $s \geq 1$ in this case, since $y_0^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y_{s+1}^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. If we set $a := \min\{1 \leq u \leq s \mid y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-\}$, then we deduce from Lemma 2.12 that $\gamma_a = y_{a-1}^{-1}\alpha_j$, and that there exists a directed path \mathbf{q}'' in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from s_jx to $y = \text{end}(\mathbf{q})$ of the following form:

$$\mathbf{q}'' : s_jx = s_jy_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a-1}} s_jy_{a-1} = y_a \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} y_{s+1} = y;$$

notice that $\mathbf{q}'' \in \text{QBG}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft$. Here, since $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$, it follows from [LNS³1, Lemma 7.7 (4)] that $\ell(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) = \ell(s_jx \Rightarrow s_jy) = \ell(x \Rightarrow y) = \ell(\mathbf{q}) = s+1 \geq 2$, and $\text{wt}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) = \text{wt}(s_jx \Rightarrow s_jy) = \text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Let us write $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}$ as:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} : s_jx = x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_{s+1}} x_{s+1} = s_jy,$$

where $\beta_1 \triangleleft \beta_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_{s+1}$. We will show that $\beta_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. Notice that $x_0^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ and $x_{s+1}^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $x_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s$. Then, we see by Lemma 2.12 (2) that there exists a directed path $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}'$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from x to y of the following form:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}' : x = s_jx_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} s_jx_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_{s+1}} s_jx_{s+1} = y.$$

By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from x to y , we deduce that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}' = \mathbf{q}$; in particular, $s_jx_a = y_a$. However, $\Delta^+ \ni (s_jx_a)^{-1}\alpha_j = y_a^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$, which is a contradiction. Thus there exists $1 \leq u \leq s$ such that $x_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. If we set $b := \max\{1 \leq u \leq s \mid x_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+\}$, then we see by Lemma 2.12 that there exists a directed path $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}''$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from s_jx to y of the following form:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}'' : s_jx = x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_b} x_b = s_jx_{b+1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{b+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_{s+1}} s_jx_{s+1} = y.$$

By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from s_jx to y , we deduce that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}'' = \mathbf{q}''$. Hence β_1 is either γ_1 (if $a \geq 2$) or γ_2 (if $a = 1$). Thus we obtain $\beta_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$, as desired. Since the reflection order \triangleleft satisfies condition (2.4), it follows that $\beta_u \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s+1$, which implies that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in \text{QBG}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft$. Also, since $\text{wt}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) \neq 0$ as seen above, we find that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \notin \mathbf{BG}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft$, and hence $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft$. It is easily seen that $[\text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}})] = [s_jy] = [s_jv] = s_jv$. Therefore, it follows that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$.

It remains to show that the map $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{s_jx}^\triangleleft)_{s_jv}$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{q}}$, is injective. Let $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, with $\mathbf{q}_1 \neq \mathbf{q}_2$. Note that $\text{end}(\mathbf{q}_1) \neq \text{end}(\mathbf{q}_2)$ by Remark 2.10 (1). Since $\text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_1) = s_j \text{end}(\mathbf{q}_1)$ and $\text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_2) = s_j \text{end}(\mathbf{q}_2)$ by the definitions above, we deduce that $\text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_1) \neq \text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_2)$. Hence,

by Remark 2.10 (1), it follows that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_1 \neq \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_2$, as desired. By the injectivity of the map above, we obtain $\#(\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v} \geq \#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. Also, by the maximality of x , we have $c_{s_j v, 1}^{s_j x} = 0$. However, by Lemma 4.13 (3), we see that $c_{v, 1}^x = c_{s_j v, 1}^{s_j x} = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $c_{v, 1}^x \neq 0$.

Case 2. Assume that $\langle v\varpi_k, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$. We define an injective map $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{q}}$, as follows. Assume that $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ is of the form (5.5). Note that $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ in this case. If we set $a := \min\{1 \leq u \leq s+1 \mid y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-\}$, then it follows from Lemma 2.12 that there exists a directed path in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from $s_j x$ to y of the form:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} : s_j x = s_j y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a-1}} s_j y_{a-1} = y_a \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} y_{s+1} = y,$$

with $\text{wt}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Observe that $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in \text{QBG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft \setminus \text{BG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft = \mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft$, and $[\text{end}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}})] = [y] = v$. Hence we have $\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$. By the same argument as in Case 1, we can show that the map $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{q}}$, is injective. Therefore, we obtain $\#(\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. We see by Lemma 4.13 (2) and the maximality of x that $c_{v, 1}^x = -c_{v, 1}^{s_j x} = 0$. However, this contradicts the assumption that $c_{v, 1}^x \neq 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. For $v \in W^J$ such that $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 1$, let $\mathbf{q}_{x, v}$ denote the (unique) element of $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$. By Lemma 5.2 and (5.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_k) &= e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\text{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_k) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_k} \sum_{\substack{v \in W^J \\ \#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 1}} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q}_{x, v})) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_{\text{wt}_{\alpha_k}^-} V_{\alpha_k}^-(N\varpi_k). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

In order to prove the assertion on cancellations (following (3.2)) and the character identity (3.3) in Theorem 3.1 (2) (in simply-laced types), it suffices to show the following proposition; its proof is given in the next subsection.

Proposition 5.3. Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$.

- (1) If $x \geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 0$ or 1 for all $v \in W^J$.
- (2) If $x \not\geq \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 1$ for any $v \in W^J$.

5.4. Proof of Proposition 5.3. As in Proposition 5.3, we assume that $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Recall that ϖ_k is minuscule and $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. Also, we recall from Section 4.2 that $[w_{J, \circ} s_k] = z_k s_k \in W^J$, with $z_k \in W_J$.

Lemma 5.4. If \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced and ϖ_k is minuscule, then the element $[w_{J, \circ} s_k] = z_k s_k$ is identical to $\lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that $w_{J, \circ} \alpha_k = \theta$. From this, we see that $[w_{J, \circ} s_k] = [w_{J, \circ} s_k w_{J, \circ}^{-1}] = [s_{w_{J, \circ} \alpha_k}] = \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, as desired. \square

Proposition 5.5 (=Proposition 5.3 (1)). Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. If $x \geq z_k s_k = \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 0$ or 1 for each $v \in W^J$.

Proof. Let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$; recall that \mathbf{q} is of the form:

$$\mathbf{q} : x = \underbrace{y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s}_{\in \text{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1} = \alpha_k} y_{s+1} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q}),$$

quantum edge

where $y_s \in W^J$, and $[\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = [y_{s+1}] = v$. Since $y_s \geq x \geq z_k s_k = \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$ in the Bruhat order, it follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 that $y_s = \Phi^J(y_s) z_k s_k$, with $\Phi^J(y_s) \in W^J$. Since $[y_s s_k] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v$ by the assumption, we deduce that $\Phi^J(y_s) = v$, and hence $y_s = v z_k s_k$. Thus, y_s is uniquely determined by v . By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from x to $v z_k s_k$ (see Theorem 2.7), we obtain $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 1$, as desired. This proves the proposition. \square

Proposition 5.6 (=Proposition 5.3 (2)). Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. If $x \not\geq z_k s_k = \lfloor s_\theta \rfloor$, then $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 1$ for any $v \in W^J$.

Proof. It is easily verified by Lemma 2.11 that $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ does not depend on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying (2.4). In this proof, we take a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4) and the additional condition that

$$\beta \triangleleft \gamma \quad \text{for all } \beta \in (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+) \setminus \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \text{ and } \gamma \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k); \quad (5.7)$$

the existence of a reflection order satisfying these conditions follows from Proposition 4.2 and the fact that $\lfloor w_\circ \rfloor \geq z_k s_k$ (see also Section 2.2).

Now, let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 0$. Let

$$\mathbf{q} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}=\alpha_k} y_{s+1} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q})$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{\text{quantum edge}}$

be an element of $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$; note that $y_s \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ and $\lfloor \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) \rfloor = \lfloor y_{s+1} \rfloor = v$. First we assume that $s \geq 1$, and $\gamma_s \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$. Since $s \geq 1$, we see that $y_{s-1} \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Hence it follows from Lemma 5.1 and (5.1) that

$$\mathbf{q}' : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} y_{s-1} s_k$$

is an element of $\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft$. Since $y_s, y_{s-1} \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, we have by Lemma 4.3

$$y_s = \Phi^J(y_s) \Phi_J(y_s) s_k \quad \text{and} \quad y_{s-1} = \Phi^J(y_{s-1}) \Phi_J(y_{s-1}) s_k,$$

with $\Phi^J(y_s), \Phi^J(y_{s-1}) \in W^J$ and $\Phi_J(y_s), \Phi_J(y_{s-1}) \in W_J$. Also, since $y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s$ is a Bruhat edge with label $\gamma_s \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$, we have $\Phi^J(y_{s-1}) = \Phi^J(y_s)$ by Lemma 4.8. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \text{end}(\mathbf{q}') \rfloor &= \lfloor y_{s-1} s_k \rfloor = \lfloor \Phi^J(y_{s-1}) \Phi_J(y_{s-1}) \rfloor = \Phi^J(y_{s-1}) = \Phi^J(y_s) = \lfloor \Phi^J(y_s) \Phi_J(y_s) \rfloor \\ &= \lfloor y_s s_k \rfloor = \lfloor \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) \rfloor = v, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$. Hence we obtain $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$.

Next, we assume that $\gamma_s \notin \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$. In this case, we see by (5.7) that $\gamma_u \notin \text{Inv}(z_k s_k)$ for any $1 \leq u \leq s$. Also, since $x \not\geq z_k s_k$ by the assumption, we deduce from Lemma 4.7 that $y_s \not\geq z_k s_k$. Since $y_s \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, it follows from Lemma 4.6 that there exists $\beta \in \text{Inv}(z_k s_k) \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ such that $y_s \xrightarrow{\beta} y_s s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{q}'' : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\beta} y_s s_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} y_s s_\beta s_k$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{\text{quantum edge}}$

is an element of $\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft$. Applying Lemmas 4.3 and 4.8 to $y_s, y_s s_\beta \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, we can show by exactly the same argument as above that $\lfloor \text{end}(\mathbf{q}'') \rfloor = \lfloor \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) \rfloor = v$. Thus we obtain $\mathbf{q}'' \in (\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}''\} = 2$. This proves the proposition. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 in simply-laced types.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1 IN TYPE B_n .

In this section, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , and $k = n$, which is a unique element in I such that ϖ_k is minuscule. We set $J = J_{\varpi_n} = I \setminus \{n\}$. We may assume that $x \neq e$ by Proposition 3.2.

6.1. Lemmas on Bruhat edges in the quantum Bruhat graph (2). Recall from (3.1) that $\gamma_Q = s_n \alpha_{n-1}$; note that $s_{\gamma_Q} = s_n s_{n-1} s_n \in W^J$. We set

$$W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J := \{y \in W^J \mid y \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n\}; \quad (6.1)$$

note that $W_J = W_{\{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}$ is the Weyl group of type A_{n-1} ; we denote by $(W_J)^{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$ the set of minimal coset representatives for the cosets in $W_J/W_{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$.

Lemma 6.1. For each $y \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$, there exist a unique $\Psi^J(y) \in W^J$ and $\Psi_J(y) \in W_J$ such that $y = \Psi^J(y) \Psi_J(y) s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(\Psi^J(y)) + \ell(\Psi_J(y)) + \ell(s_n s_{n-1} s_n)$. Moreover, $\Psi_J(y) \in (W_J)^{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$.

Proof. Since ϖ_n is minuscule, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that for each $y \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$, there exists a (unique) $w \in W$ such that $y = w s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(w) + \ell(s_n s_{n-1} s_n)$. The existence and uniqueness of $\Psi^J(y) \in W^J$ and $\Psi_J(y) \in W_J$ can be shown by exactly the same argument as for Lemma 4.3; replace s_k in the proof of Lemma 4.3 by $s_n s_{n-1} s_n$.

It remains to show that $\Psi_J(y) \in (W_J)^{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$; for this, it suffices to verify that if $\Psi_J(y) \neq e$, then the rightmost simple reflection in any reduced expression of $\Psi_J(y)$ is s_{n-2} . Assume that $\Psi_J(y) \neq e$, and write $\Psi_J(y)$ as $\Psi_J(y) = w s_j$ for some $w \in W_J$ and $j \in J$ such that $\ell(\Psi_J(y)) = \ell(w) + \ell(s_j)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $j \neq n-2$. Then we have

$$y = \Psi^J(y) \Psi_J(y) s_n s_{n-1} s_n = \begin{cases} \Psi^J(y) w s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} s_n = \Psi^J(y) w s_n s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} & \text{if } j = n-1, \\ \Psi^J(y) w s_j s_n s_{n-1} s_n = \Psi^J(y) w s_n s_{n-1} s_n s_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $y \in W^J$. Thus we obtain $j = n-2$, as desired. This proves the lemma. \square

Recall that $w_{J,\circ} \in W_J$ is the longest element of W_J ; also, recall from Section 4.2 that $[w_{J,\circ} s_n] = z_n s_n$.

Lemma 6.2. In type B_n , the element $[w_{J,\circ} s_n] = z_n s_n$ is identical to $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [s_\theta] &= s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} s_n \overbrace{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_n}^{=z_n s_n} \\ &= \underbrace{s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2}}_{=:w_n \in W_J} \underbrace{s_n s_{n-1} s_n}_{=:s_{\gamma_Q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. Since $w_{J,\circ} = (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1})(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2}) \cdots (s_1 s_2) s_1$, we can show the equalities $z_n s_n = [w_{J,\circ} s_n] = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$ by direct calculation. Let us show (6.2). Recall that $\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + 2\alpha_n$ and $\langle \varpi_n, \theta^\vee \rangle = 1$, and that $\gamma_Q = \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n$ and $\langle \varpi_n, \gamma_Q^\vee \rangle = 1$. We have $[s_\theta] \varpi_n = \varpi_n - \theta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w_n s_{\gamma_Q} \varpi_n &= s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} (\varpi_n - \gamma_Q) \\ &= s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} (\varpi_n - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n)) \\ &= \varpi_n - \underbrace{(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + 2\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n)}_{=\theta}; \end{aligned}$$

from this, we see that $w_n s_{\gamma_Q} \in W^J$. Also, since $[s_\theta] \varpi_n = w_n s_{\gamma_Q} \varpi_n$, and since $[s_\theta], w_n s_{\gamma_Q} \in W^J$, we obtain $[s_\theta] = w_n s_{\gamma_Q}$, as desired. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.3. Let $y \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$, and set $w := \Psi_J(y) \in W_J$. Then, $w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n \geq w s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, where the equality holds if and only if $y \geq [s_\theta] = w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$.

Proof. Notice that w_n is the longest element of $(W_J)^{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$. Since $w \in (W_J)^{J \setminus \{n-2\}}$ by Lemma 6.1, we have $w_n \geq w$. Since $\ell(w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n) = \ell(w_n) + \ell(s_n s_{n-1} s_n)$ and $\ell(w s_n s_{n-1} s_n) = \ell(w) + \ell(s_n s_{n-1} s_n)$, we deduce by the Subword Property for the Bruhat order that $w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n \geq w s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. Also, we can show by exactly the same argument as for Lemma 4.5 that the equality holds if and only if $y \geq [s_\theta] = w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. This proves the lemma. \square

The following lemma can be shown in exactly the same way as Lemma 4.6; recall from Lemma 6.2 that $[s_\theta] = w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$.

Lemma 6.4. Let $y \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$, and set $w := \Phi^J(y) \in W^J$. If $y \not\geq [s_\theta]$, or equivalently, if $w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n > w s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, then there exists $\beta \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta]) \setminus \{\alpha_n, s_n \alpha_{n-1} = \gamma_Q, s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n\}$ such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge.

Using Lemma 6.1, we can show the following by the same argument as for Lemma 4.7.

Lemma 6.5. Let $y \in W^J$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$. If $y \not\geq [s_\theta]$ and $y s_\beta \geq [s_\theta]$, then $\beta \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$.

The proof of the next lemma is similar to that of Lemma 4.8; remark that $\beta \neq \alpha_n$, $s_n \alpha_{n-1} (= \gamma_Q)$, $s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n$.

Lemma 6.6. Let $y \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$ and $\beta \in \text{Inv}(\lfloor s_\theta \rfloor)$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$; note that $y s_\beta \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$ by Lemma 2.9. Then, $\Psi^J(y) = \Psi^J(y s_\beta)$.

Lemma 6.7. Let $y \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. If $y \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, then $y = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$ for some $1 \leq p \leq n$.

Proof. Recall from Lemma 4.3 that $y = \Phi^J(y) \Phi_J(y) s_n$, with $\Phi^J(y) \in W^J$ and $\Phi_J(y) \in W_J$. Assume that $\Phi_J(y) = e$, and hence $y = \Phi^J(y) s_n$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\Phi^J(y) \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Since the rightmost simple reflection of any reduced expression of $\Phi^J(y)$ must be s_n , it follows that $\ell(y) < \ell(\Phi^J(y)) + \ell(s_n)$, which is a contradiction. Thus we obtain $\Phi^J(y) = e$, and hence $y = s_n$.

Assume that $\Phi_J(y) \neq e$. By exactly the same argument as for Lemma 6.1, we deduce that $\Phi_J(y) \in W_J$ is the minimal coset representative for a coset in $W_J/W_{J \setminus \{n-1\}}$. Hence we have $\Phi_J(y) = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1}$ for some $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\Phi^J(y) \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. Since the rightmost simple reflection of any reduced expression of $\Phi^J(y)$ must be s_n , it follows from the Subword Property for the Bruhat order that $y = \Phi^J(y) s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, we obtain $\Phi^J(y) = e$, and hence $y = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.8. Let $y \in W^J$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\beta} y s_\beta$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$. If $y \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $y s_\beta \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, then $\beta = s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n$.

Proof. Notice that $y \neq e$. By Lemma 6.7, $y = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$ for some $1 \leq p \leq n$. Since $y s_\beta \geq y$ and $\ell(y s_\beta) = \ell(y) + 1$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that there exists $j \in I$ such that $y s_\beta = s_j y = s_j s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$; note that this is a reduced expression of $y s_\beta$. Since $y s_\beta \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, we deduce that $j = n$. Thus we obtain $s_\beta = s_n s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, and hence $\beta = s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n$. This proves the lemma. \square

6.2. Quantum edges in the quantum Bruhat graph (2).

Lemma 6.9. Let $y \in W^J$ and $\gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. We have a quantum edge $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} y s_\gamma$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ if and only if $y \neq e$ and $\gamma = \alpha_n$, or $y \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $\gamma = s_n \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n = \gamma_Q$ (see (3.1)).

Proof. We first show the “if” part. It is easily shown that if $y \neq e$ and $\gamma = \alpha_n$, then $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} y s_\gamma$ is a quantum edge. Also, we deduce from Lemma 6.1 that if $y \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $\gamma = s_n \alpha_{n-1}$, then $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} y s_\gamma$ is a quantum edge. Thus we have shown the “if” part.

We next show the “only if” part. Since $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} y s_\gamma$ is a quantum edge, γ is a quantum root (see Remark 2.5). Because

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{long}}^+ &= \{\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \\ &\sqcup \{\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2(\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_n) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \\ \Delta_{\text{short}}^+ &= \{\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_n \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

it follows from Lemma 2.1 (together with the assumption $\gamma \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$) that $\gamma = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2(\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_n)$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, or $\gamma = \alpha_n$. Assume that $\gamma = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2(\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_n)$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$; we see by direct calculation that $\ell(s_\gamma) = 2\langle \rho, \gamma^\vee \rangle - 1 = 4n - 2i - 2j + 1$ and

$$\gamma = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2(\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_n) = (s_j \cdots s_{n-1})(s_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-2} s_n) \alpha_{n-1},$$

which implies that

$$s_\gamma = (s_j \cdots s_{n-1})(s_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-2} s_n) s_{n-1} (s_n s_{n-2} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i) (s_{n-1} \cdots s_j)$$

is a reduced expression of s_γ . Here, since $y \in W^J$, and $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} y s_\gamma$ is a quantum edge, it follows from Remark 2.5 and (2.3) that the leftmost simple reflection of any reduced expression of s_γ is s_n . Hence we have $j = n$ and $i = n-1$. Therefore, we deduce that $\gamma = \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n = s_n \alpha_{n-1}$. Thus we have shown that γ is either α_n or $s_n \alpha_{n-1}$.

Let $y \in W^J$ be such that $y \xrightarrow{\gamma} ys_\gamma$ is a quantum edge. We can easily verify that if $\gamma = \alpha_n$, then $y \neq e$. Assume that $\gamma = s_n\alpha_{n-1}$. Recall that $\ell(s_\gamma) = 2\langle \rho, \gamma^\vee \rangle - 1$ since γ is a quantum root. We have $y = (ys_\gamma)s_\gamma$, with $\ell(y) = \ell(ys_\gamma) + \ell(s_\gamma)$. Hence, by the Subword Property for the Bruhat order, we deduce that $y \geq s_\gamma = s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. Thus we have shown the ‘‘only if’’ part. This proves the lemma. \square

6.3. Sets of label-increasing directed paths (2). Let \triangleleft be a reflection order on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4); observe that $s_n\alpha_{n-1}$ and α_n are the second largest element and the largest element of Δ^+ with respect to \triangleleft , respectively. Let $x \in W^J = W^{I \setminus \{n\}}$, with $x \neq e$. Recall the notation $\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ and $\mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$ from Section 2.3; remark that $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$. For each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$, we define $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})$ to be the concatenation $\mathbf{p} \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_n$ of the directed path \mathbf{p} with the quantum edge $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_n$ (see Lemma 6.9). Then we deduce that $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$.

We define $\mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$ to be the subset of $\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ consisting of all those $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$ such that $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. Recall that $\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} = s_n\alpha_{n-1}$ (see (3.1)). For each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, we define $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})$ to be the concatenation $\mathbf{p} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}$ of the directed path \mathbf{p} with the quantum edge $\text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}} \text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}$ (see Lemma 6.9). We claim that $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$. Indeed, recall that $\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} = s_n\alpha_{n-1}$ and α_n are the second largest element and the largest element of Δ^+ with respect to \triangleleft , respectively. Hence it suffices to show that if \mathbf{p} is of the form $\mathbf{p} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s$, with $s \geq 1$, then the final label γ_s is neither $\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} = s_n\alpha_{n-1}$ nor α_n . Since $y_{s-1} \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$, it is easily seen that $\gamma_s \neq \alpha_n$. Also, since $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, we have $y_s = \text{end}(\mathbf{p}) \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. By Lemma 6.1, $y_s = \Psi^J(y_s)\Psi_J(y_s)s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ and $\ell(y_s) = \ell(\Psi^J(y_s)) + \ell(\Psi_J(y_s)) + \ell(s_n s_{n-1} s_n)$. Hence it follows that $\ell(y_s s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}) = \ell(y_s) - 3$, which implies that $y_s s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}} y_s$ is not a Bruhat edge. Therefore, we deduce that $\gamma_s \neq \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}, \alpha_n$, and hence $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft$. Here we note that $\text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) = \Psi^J(y_s)\Psi_J(y_s)$ and $\ell(\Psi^J(y_s)\Psi_J(y_s)s_n) = \ell(\Psi^J(y_s)\Psi_J(y_s)) + 1$. Hence we have a Bruhat edge $\text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))s_n$. We define $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))$ to be the concatenation $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))s_n$ of the directed path $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})$ with the Bruhat edge $\text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \text{end}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))s_n$.

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QBG}_x^\triangleleft &= \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \sqcup \{\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft\} \\ &\sqcup \{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\} \sqcup \{\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\}; \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

remark that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ and $\text{wt}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) = \alpha_n^\vee$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft$, and that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))) = \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}^\vee = \alpha_{n-1}^\vee + \alpha_n^\vee$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$. Therefore, it follows from (4.1) that for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_n) &= e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} \text{gch } V_{[y s_n] t_{\alpha_n}^\vee}^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} \text{gch } V_{[y s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}}] t_{\alpha_n}^\vee}^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 2} \text{gch } V_{[y s_{\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}} s_n] t_{\alpha_n}^\vee}^-(N\varpi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Since $s_{\gamma_Q} = s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, it is easily seen that $[\text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_n] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{p})s_{\gamma_Q} s_n]$ for $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft \subset \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft$. Hence we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_n) &= \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)+1} \text{gch } V_{[y s_n] t_{\alpha_n^\vee}}^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)+1} \text{gch } V_{[y s_{\gamma_Q}] t_{\alpha_n^\vee}}^-(N\varpi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Here we remark that if $x \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, then $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$; in particular, if $x \geq [s_\theta]$ (see Lemma 6.2), then $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$. Thus we have proved the character identity (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 (1) in type B_n ; we will prove the assertion on cancellations (following (3.2)) in type B_n in the next subsection (see the comment preceding Proposition 6.10).

6.4. Cancellations in equation (6.6). Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. We set

$$\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft := \{E_{\alpha_n}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\} \sqcup \{E_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\}, \quad (6.7)$$

and then $(\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v := \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft \mid [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v\}$ for $v \in W^J$. By (6.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_n) &= \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{v \in W^J} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q}))-\ell(x)} \right)}_{= c_{v,1}^x; \text{ see (4.2)}} \text{gch } V_{vt_{\alpha_n^\vee}}^-(N\varpi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

The assertion on cancellations (following (3.2)) in type B_n follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 6.10. Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. If $x \geq [s_\theta]$, then $\#(\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 0$ or 1 for each $v \in W^J$.

Proof. Recall from Lemma 6.2 that $[s_\theta] = w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n \in W^J$, with $w_n \in W_J$. Also, since $x \geq [s_\theta] \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, we have $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, and hence $\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft = \{E_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\}$, where $\gamma_Q = s_n \alpha_{n-1}$ (see (3.1)). Now, let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 0$, and let $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ be of the form:

$$\mathbf{q} : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s}_{=: \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft = \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} y_{s+1} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q});$$

quantum edge

note that $y_s \in W_{\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n}^J$ and $[\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = [y_{s+1}] = v$. Since $y_s \geq x \geq [s_\theta] = w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, it follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3 that $y_s = \Psi^J(y_s) w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, with $\Psi^J(y_s) \in W^J$. Since $[y_s s_{\gamma_Q}] = [y_{s+1}] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v$ by the assumption, we deduce that $\Psi^J(y_s) = v$, and hence $y_s = v w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. Thus, $y_s = \text{end}(\mathbf{p})$ is determined uniquely by v . By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from x to $v w_n s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ (see Theorem 2.7), we obtain $\#(\mathbf{H}_x^\triangleleft)_v = 1$, as desired. This proves the proposition. \square

6.5. Proof of the character identity (3.3) in type B_n . Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. We set

$$\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft := \{E_{\alpha_n}^Q(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft\} \sqcup \{E_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p}), E_{\alpha_n}^B(E_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p})) \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft\} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft, \quad (6.9)$$

and then $(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v := \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft \mid [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v\}$ for $v \in W^J$. By (6.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } V_x^-((N-1)\varpi_n) &= e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y)-\ell(x)} \text{gch } V_y^-(N\varpi_n) \\ &+ e^{-x\varpi_n} \sum_{v \in W^J} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q}))-\ell(x)} \right)}_{= c_{v,1}^x; \text{ see (4.2)}} \text{gch } V_{vt_{\alpha_n}^-}(N\varpi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

The character identity (3.3) in Theorem 3.1 (2) in type B_n follows from Lemma 6.11 and Proposition 6.12 below.

Lemma 6.11. Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$ and $v \in W^J$. If $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$, then

$$c_{v,1}^x = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v} (-1)^{\ell(\text{end}(\mathbf{q}))-\ell(x)} = 0.$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by descending induction on $\ell(x)$; the proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. If $x = [w_\circ]$, then we see that

$$\mathbf{X}_{[w_\circ]}^\triangleleft = \{w_\circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} w_\circ s_n, w_\circ \xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} w_\circ s_{\gamma_Q}, w_\circ \xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} w_\circ s_{\gamma_Q} \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} w_\circ s_{\gamma_Q} s_n\}.$$

Hence we can show the assertion by direct calculation. Assume that $x < [w_\circ]$, and let $j \in I$ be such that $s_j x > x$, or equivalently, $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$; note that $\langle x\varpi_n, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 1 > 0$ since ϖ_n is minuscule, and that $s_j x \in W^J$. Let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. If $\langle v\varpi_n, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$, then $c_{v,1}^x = 0$ by Lemma 4.12. Hence we may assume that $\langle v\varpi_n, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \neq 0$; note that $s_j v \in W^J$ in this case.

Case 1. Assume that $\langle v\varpi_n, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$. We define an injective map $(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$, as follows: for $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ with $y := \text{end}(\mathbf{q})$, we define $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ to be the label-increasing (shortest) directed path from $s_j x$ to $s_j y$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ (see Theorem 2.7). We claim that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$. Indeed, recall that \mathbf{q} is either of the following forms:

$$\mathbf{q} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} y_{s+1} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) = y, \quad (6.11)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=: \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{\text{quantum edge}}$

where γ_{s+1} is α_n (resp., γ_Q) if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$ (resp., $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$), or

$$\mathbf{q} : x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}=\gamma_Q} y_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+2}=\alpha_n} y_{s+2} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q}) = y; \quad (6.12)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=: \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{\text{quantum edge}} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{\text{Bruhat edge}}$

notice that $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. We set $t := s+1$ (resp., $=s+2$) if \mathbf{q} is of the form (6.11) (resp., (6.12)). If $y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq t-1$, then we see by Lemma 2.12 (2) that there exists a directed path \mathbf{q}' in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from $s_j x$ to $s_j y$ of the following form:

$$\mathbf{q}' : s_j x = s_j y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} s_j y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_t} s_j y_t = s_j y,$$

with $\text{wt}(\mathbf{q}') = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Observe that $\mathbf{q}' \in \mathbf{QBG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{BG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$, and $[s_j y] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{q}')] = s_j v$. Hence we obtain $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$. Moreover, by the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from $s_j x$ to $s_j y$, we deduce that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q}'$, and hence $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$ in this case.

Assume now that $y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ for some $1 \leq u \leq t-1$; remark that $t \geq 2$ in this case, since $y_0^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y_t^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. If we set $a := \min\{1 \leq u \leq t-1 \mid y_u^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^-\}$, then we deduce from Lemma 2.12 that $\gamma_a = y_{a-1}^{-1}\alpha_j$, and that there exists a directed path \mathbf{q}'' in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from $s_j x$ to $y = \text{end}(\mathbf{q})$ of the following form:

$$\mathbf{q}'' : s_j x = s_j y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a-1}} s_j y_{a-1} = y_a \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_t} y_t = y;$$

notice that $\mathbf{q}'' \in \mathbf{QBG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$. Here, since $x^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+$, it follows from [LNS³1, Lemma 7.7 (4)] that $\ell(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = \ell(s_j x \Rightarrow s_j y) = \ell(x \Rightarrow y) = \ell(\mathbf{q}) = t \geq 1$, and $\text{wt}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = \text{wt}(s_j x \Rightarrow$

$s_j y) = \text{wt}(x \Rightarrow y) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Let us write $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ as:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}} : s_j x = x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_t} x_t = s_j y,$$

where $\beta_1 \triangleleft \beta_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_t$. We will show that $\beta_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$. Notice that $x_0^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ and $x_t^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $x_u^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ for all $1 \leq u \leq t-1$. Then, we see by Lemma 2.12 (2) that there exists a directed path $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}'$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from x to y of the following form:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}' : x = s_j x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} s_j x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_t} s_j x_t = y.$$

By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from x to y , we deduce that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}' = \mathbf{q}$; in particular, $s_j x_a = y_a$. However, $\Delta^+ \ni (s_j x_a)^{-1} \alpha_j = y_a^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-$, which is a contradiction. Thus there exists $1 \leq u \leq t-1$ such that $x_u^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^+$. If we set $b := \max\{1 \leq u \leq t-1 \mid x_u^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^+\}$, then we see by Lemma 2.12 that there exists a directed path $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}''$ in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from $s_j x$ to y of the following form:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}'' : s_j x = x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_b} x_b = s_j x_{b+1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{b+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_t} s_j x_t = y.$$

By the uniqueness of a label-increasing directed path from $s_j x$ to y , we deduce that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}'' = \mathbf{q}''$. Hence β_1 is either γ_1 (if $a \geq 2$) or γ_2 (if $a = 1$). Thus we obtain $\beta_1 \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$, as desired. Since the reflection order \triangleleft satisfies condition (2.4), it follows that $\beta_u \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ for all $1 \leq u \leq t$, which implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{QBG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$. Also, since $\text{wt}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) \neq 0$ as seen above, we find that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \notin \mathbf{BG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$, and hence $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$. It is easily seen that $[\text{end}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}})] = [s_j y] = s_j v$. Therefore, it follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$.

The injectivity of the map $(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$, can be shown by exactly the same argument as for the map $(\mathbf{G}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v}$ in Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Hence we obtain $\#(\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_{s_j v} \geq \#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. From our induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.13 (2), we conclude that $c_{v,1}^x = c_{s_j v,1}^{s_j x} = 0$, as desired.

Case 2. Assume that $\langle v \varpi_n, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$. We define an injective map $(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$, as follows. Assume that $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ is either of the forms (6.11) or (6.12), and define $t \in \{s+1, s+2\}$ as in Case 1. Note that $x^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $y^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-$ in this case. If we set $a := \min\{1 \leq u \leq t \mid y_u^{-1} \alpha_j \in \Delta^-\}$, then it follows from Lemma 2.12 that there exists a directed path in $\text{QBG}(W)$ from $s_j x$ to y of the form:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}} : s_j x = s_j y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a-1}} s_j y_{a-1} = y_a \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_t} y_t = y,$$

with $\text{wt}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$. Observe that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{QBG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{BG}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft$, and $[\text{end}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}})] = [y] = v$. Hence we have $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$. By the same argument as in Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we can show that the map $(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$, is injective. Therefore, we obtain $\#(\mathbf{X}_{s_j x}^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. From our induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.13 (1), we conclude that $c_{v,1}^x = -c_{v,1}^{s_j x} = 0$, as desired.

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 6.12. Let $x \in W^J \setminus \{e\}$. If $x \not\geq [s_\theta]$, then $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 1$ for any $v \in W^J$.

Proof. It is easily verified by Lemma 2.11 and (6.9) that $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$ does not depend on the choice of a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying (2.4). In this proof, we take a reflection order \triangleleft satisfying condition (2.4) and the additional condition that

$$\beta \triangleleft \gamma \quad \text{for all } \beta \in (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+) \setminus \text{Inv}([s_\theta]) \text{ and } \gamma \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta]); \quad (6.13)$$

the existence of a reflection order satisfying these conditions follows from Proposition 4.2 and the fact that $[w_\circ] \geq [s_\theta]$ (see also Section 2.2).

Let $v \in W^J$ be such that $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \neq 0$. We will show that $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. Let $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$. If $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, then we deduce that $\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_Q}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p})) \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_Q}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}))$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, then we deduce that $\mathbf{q}' := \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{p}) \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq 2$.

Next, assume that $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p})$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, and write it as:

$$\mathbf{q} : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s}_{=\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} y_{s+1}}_{\text{quantum edge}} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q});$$

note that $y_s \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. If $s \geq 1$ and $\gamma_s \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$, then we define \mathbf{p}' to be

$$\mathbf{p}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1}}_{\in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft}.$$

If $y_{s-1} \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, or equivalently, if $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, then we define $\mathbf{q}' := \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_Q}^Q(\mathbf{p}')$, that is,

$$\mathbf{q}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1}}_{=\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} y_{s-1} s_{\gamma_Q}}_{\text{quantum edge}}.$$

It is easily seen that $\mathbf{q}' \in \mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft$. Moreover, we see from Lemma 6.6 (applied to the Bruhat edge $y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s$) that $[y_{s-1} s_{\gamma_Q}] = [\Psi^J(y_{s-1}) \Psi_J(y_{s-1})] = \Psi^J(y_{s-1}) = \Psi^J(y_s) = [y_s s_{\gamma_Q}] = [y_{s+1}] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v$, which implies that $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$. Hence we obtain $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$. Assume that $y_{s-1} \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, or equivalently, $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$. In this case, we deduce from Lemma 6.8 that $\gamma_s = s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n$, which implies that $[y_{s-1} s_n] = [y_s s_{\gamma_Q}] = [y_{s+1}] = [\text{end}(\mathbf{q})] = v$. Hence, if we define $\mathbf{q}' := \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^Q(\mathbf{p}')$, that is,

$$\mathbf{q}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1}}_{=\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\alpha_n} y_{s-1} s_n}_{\text{quantum edge}},$$

then $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$.

Assume that $\gamma_s \notin \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$. By (6.13), we see that $\gamma_u \notin \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$ for any $1 \leq u \leq s$. Since $x \not\geq [s_\theta]$ by the assumption, we deduce by Lemma 6.5 that $y_s \not\geq [s_\theta]$. Recall that $y_s \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$. Hence, by Lemma 6.4, there exists $\gamma \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta]) \setminus \{\alpha_n, \gamma_Q, s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n\}$ such that $y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma} y_s s_\gamma$ is a Bruhat edge in $\text{QBG}(W)$; remark that $\gamma_s \triangleleft \gamma$ by (6.13). Since $y_s s_\gamma > y_s \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, we have a quantum edge $y_s s_\gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} y_s s_\gamma s_{\gamma_Q}$ by Lemma 6.9. Now we define \mathbf{q}' to be

$$\mathbf{q}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma} y_s s_\gamma}_{\in \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\gamma_Q} y_s s_\gamma s_{\gamma_Q}}_{\text{quantum edge}}.$$

It is easily verified by Lemma 6.6 (applied to the Bruhat edge $y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma} y_s s_\gamma$) that $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$.

Finally, assume that $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_n}^Q(\mathbf{p})$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft$, and write \mathbf{q} as:

$$\mathbf{q} : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s}_{=\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\alpha_n} y_{s+1}}_{\text{quantum edge}} = \text{end}(\mathbf{q});$$

note that $y_s \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, and hence $y_{s-1} \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ (if $s \geq 1$). Remark that these elements are of the form in Lemma 6.7. If $s \geq 1$ and $\gamma_s \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$, then we define \mathbf{q}' to be

$$\mathbf{q}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1}}_{=\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft \setminus \mathbf{A}_x^\triangleleft} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\alpha_n} y_{s-1} s_n}_{\text{quantum edge}}.$$

Then it is easily seen by Lemma 6.7 that $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$.

Assume that $\gamma_s \notin \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$. We deduce by (6.13) that $\gamma_u \notin \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$ for any $1 \leq u \leq s$. Since $y_s \neq e$ and $y_s \not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$, it follows from Lemma 6.7 that $y_s = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n$ for some $1 \leq p \leq n$. If $p < n$ (resp., $p = n$), then we set $\gamma := s_n s_{n-1} \alpha_n$ (resp., $\gamma := s_n \alpha_{n-1} = \gamma_Q$). In either case, $\gamma \in \text{Inv}([s_\theta])$, and we have a Bruhat edge $y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma} y_s s_\gamma$; note that $y_s s_\gamma \geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$ (resp., $\not\geq s_n s_{n-1} s_n$) if $p < n$ (resp., $p = n$). Now we define \mathbf{q}' to be

$$\mathbf{q}' : \underbrace{x = y_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1}} y_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} y_s \xrightarrow{\gamma} y_s s_\gamma}_{\in \mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft} \xrightarrow{\beta} y_s s_\gamma s_\beta,$$

where $\beta := \gamma_Q$ (resp., α_n) if $p < n$ (resp., $p = n$). By (6.13), we have $\gamma_s \triangleleft \gamma \triangleleft \beta$. It is easily verified by Lemma 6.7 that $\mathbf{q}' \in (\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v$, and hence $\#(\mathbf{X}_x^\triangleleft)_v \geq \#\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'\} = 2$. This proves the proposition. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 in type B_n .

APPENDIX A. AN EXAMPLE IN TYPE A_6 .

In this appendix, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type A_6 and $k = 3$ in Theorem I in the Introduction; we know that G/P_J is the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, 7)$, where $J = I \setminus \{3\} = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}$. Observe that $[w_o] = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3$ is a reduced expression of $[w_o]$. We take a reflection order \triangleleft on Δ^+ satisfying condition (2.4) such that on $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+ = \text{Inv}([w_o])$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_6 \triangleleft \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_5 \triangleleft \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_4 \triangleleft \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ & \triangleleft \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_6 \triangleleft \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_5 \triangleleft \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \triangleleft \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ & \triangleleft \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_6 \triangleleft \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \triangleleft \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \triangleleft \alpha_3. \end{aligned}$$

We set $x = s_1 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 \in W^J$; remark that $x \geq s_1 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 = [s_\theta]$. Then we see that $\text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &:= x = s_1 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3, & x_2 &:= s_2 s_1 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3, \\ x_3 &:= s_1 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3, & x_4 &:= s_2 s_1 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^x}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_3)] \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_3} \left(\sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^y}] + \sum_{y \in \text{end}(\mathbf{BG}_x^\triangleleft)} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(x) + 1} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{\lfloor y s_3 \rfloor}}] Q_3 \right) \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_3} ([\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_1}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_2}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_3}}] + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_4}}] \\ &\quad - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{\lfloor x_1 s_3 \rfloor}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{\lfloor x_2 s_3 \rfloor}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{\lfloor x_3 s_3 \rfloor}}] Q_3 - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{\lfloor x_4 s_3 \rfloor}}] Q_3) \\ &= e^{-x\varpi_3} ([\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_1}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_2}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_3}}] + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_4}}] \\ &\quad - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_1}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_2}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_3}}] Q_3 - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_4}}] Q_3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_1 := s_4 s_3, \quad y_2 := s_2 s_4 s_3, \quad y_3 := s_5 s_4 s_3, \quad y_4 := s_2 s_5 s_4 s_3.$$

Therefore, by (1.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^x}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{s_3}}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{s_3}}] - e^{-\varpi_3} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^x}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_i}(-\varpi_3)] \\ &= [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{s_3}}] - e^{x\varpi_3 - \varpi_3} ([\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_1}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_2}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_3}}] + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{x_4}}] \\ &\quad - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_1}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_2}}] Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_3}}] Q_3 - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{y_4}}] Q_3). \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Let us compare (A.1) with the equation in [BCMP, Corollary 3.10]. Recall from [BCMP, Sect. 3.1] the definition of w_μ for a Young diagram μ ; we can verify that if we take $\mu := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$, then $w_\mu = x$. For simplicity of notation, we denote by μ the Schubert class $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{w_\mu}}]$ for a Young diagram μ . By [BCMP, Example 3.12], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{s_3}}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{w_\mu}}] \\ &= [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_J^{w_\mu}}] - J_{w_\mu} \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\ &\quad - Q_3 \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right); \end{aligned}$$

for the definition of J_{w_μ} , see [BCMP, Sect. 3.4]. Note that the variable q in [BCMP] is identical to Q_3 in this paper. Since

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= x_1, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= x_2, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= x_3, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= x_4, \\ w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= y_1, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= y_2, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= y_3, & w_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} &= y_4, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{s_3}}] \star [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{w_\mu}}] \\ &= [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{s_3}}] - J_{w_\mu}([\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{x_1}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{x_2}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{x_3}}] + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{x_4}}] \\ &\quad - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{y_1}}]Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{y_2}}]Q_3 + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{y_3}}]Q_3 - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^{y_4}}]Q_3). \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

By [BCMP, Lemma 3.4], we have $J_{w_\mu}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^y}] = e^{w_\mu \varpi_3 - \varpi_3}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^y}] = e^{x \varpi_3 - \varpi_3}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}^y}]$ for $y \in W^J$. Thus, equation (A.1) agrees with equation (A.2).

REFERENCES

- [BB] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **231**, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [BCMP] A. S. Buch, P-E. Chaput, L. C. Mihalcea, and N. Perrin, A Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum K -theory of cominuscule varieties, *Algebr. Geom.* **5** (2018), 568–595.
- [BFP] F. Brenti, S. Fomin, and A. Postnikov, Mixed Bruhat operators and Yang-Baxter equations for Weyl groups, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1999** (2001), 419–441.
- [BMO] A. Braverman, D. Maulik, and A. Okounkov, Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution, *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011), 421–458.
- [De] V. Deodhar, A splitting criterion for the Bruhat orderings on Coxeter groups, *Comm. Algebra* **15** (1987), 1889–1894.
- [Dy] M. J. Dyer, Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals, *Compositio Math.* **89** (1993), 91–115.
- [G] R. M. Green, *Combinatorics of Minuscule Representations*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **199**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [IMN] T. Ikeda, L. Mihalcea, and H. Naruse, Factorial P - and Q -Schur functions represent equivariant quantum Schubert classes, *Osaka J. Math.* **53** (2016), 591–619.
- [Kac] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [Kas1] M. Kashiwara, On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 117–175.
- [Kas2] M. Kashiwara, Level zero fundamental representations over quantized affine algebras and Demazure modules, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **41** (2005), 223–250.
- [Kat1] S. Kato, Loop structure on equivariant K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds, arXiv:1805.01718.
- [Kat2] S. Kato, Frobenius splitting of Schubert varieties of semi-infinite flag manifolds, arXiv:1810.07106.
- [Kat3] S. Kato, On quantum K -groups of partial flag manifolds, arXiv:1906.09343.
- [KaNS] S. Kato, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki, Pieri-Chevalley type formula for equivalent K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds, arXiv:1702.02408, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [KoNS] T. Kouno, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki, Chevalley formula for anti-dominant fundamental weights in the equivariant quantum K -group of a partial flag manifold in type C , in preparation.
- [LNS] C. Lenart, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki, A Chevalley formula for semi-infinite flag manifolds and quantum K -theory, arXiv:1911.12773.
- [LNS³1] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 1848–1901.
- [LNS³2] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals II: Alcove model, path model, and $P = X$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2017** (2017), 4259–4319.
- [LS] T. Lam and M. Shimozono, Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian, *Acta Math.* **204** (2010), 49–90.
- [M] A.-L. Mare, Polynomial representatives of Schubert classes in $QH^*(G/B)$, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), 757–769.
- [NNS] S. Naito, F. Nomoto, and D. Sagaki, Tensor product decomposition theorem for quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths and standard monomial theory for semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A* **169** (2020), 105–122.
- [NOS] S. Naito, D. Orr, and D. Sagaki, Chevalley formula for anti-dominant weights in the equivariant K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds, arXiv:1808.01468.
- [NS] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules and specializations of Macdonald polynomials, *Math. Z.* **283** (2016), 937–978.

- [Pa] P. Papi, A characterization of a special ordering in a root system, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 661–665.
- [Pe] D. Peterson, Quantum Cohomology of G/P , Lecture notes, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Spring 1997.

(Takafumi Kouno) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `kouno.t.ab@m.titech.ac.jp`

(Satoshi Naito) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `naito@math.titech.ac.jp`

(Daisuke Sagaki) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, 1-1-1 TENNODAI, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`