

HYPERBOLIC TUNNEL-NUMBER-ONE KNOTS WITH SEIFERT-FIBERED DEHN SURGERIES

SUNGMO KANG

ABSTRACT. Suppose α and R are disjoint simple closed curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H such that $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 as the exterior of a hyperbolic knot k (thus, k is a tunnel-number-one knot), and α is Seifert in H (i.e., a 2-handle addition $H[\alpha]$ is a Seifert-fibered space) and not the meridian of $H[R]$. Then for a slope γ of k represented by α , γ -Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ is a Seifert-fibered space. Such a construction of Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries generalizes that of Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries arising from primitive/Seifert positions of a knot, which was introduced in [D03].

In this paper, we show that there exists a meridional curve M of k (or $H[R]$) in ∂H such that α intersects M transversely in exactly one point. It follows that such a construction of a Seifert-fibered Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ can arise from a primitive/Seifert position of k with γ its surface-slope. This result supports partially the two conjectures: (1) any Seifert-fibered surgery on a hyperbolic knot in S^3 is integral, and (2) any Seifert-fibered surgery on a hyperbolic tunnel-number-one knot arises from a primitive/Seifert position whose surface slope corresponds to the surgery slope.

1. INTRODUCTION

A primitive/Seifert knot k , which was introduced by Dean [D03], is represented by a simple closed curve α lying on a genus two Heegaard surface Σ of S^3 bounding handlebodies H and H' such that α is primitive in one handlebody, say H' , and is Seifert in H , that is to say, a 2-handle addition $H'[\alpha]$ is a solid torus and $H[\alpha]$ is a Seifert-fibered space and not a solid torus. Such a pair (α, Σ) is called a primitive/Seifert position of k . Note that a knot may have more than one primitive/Seifert position. Also note that since H is a genus two handlebody, the Seifert condition of α in H indicates that $H[\alpha]$ is either a Seifert-fibered space over the disk with at most two exceptional fibers or a Seifert-fibered space over the Möbius band with at most one exceptional fiber. The curve α in the former (the latter, resp.) is said to be Seifert-d (Seifert-m, resp.).

To perform Dehn surgeries on k , we consider a surface-slope γ , which is defined to be an isotopy class of $\partial N(k) \cap \Sigma$, where $N(k)$ is a tubular neighborhood of k in S^3 . Note that α is isotopic to a component of $\partial N(k) \cap \Sigma$ in Σ and thus α can represent the surface-slope γ . Also since α intersects a meridional curve of k once, the surface-slope γ is integral. Then Lemma 2.3 of [D03] implies that γ -Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ on k is either a Seifert-fibered space over S^2 with at most three exceptional fibers or a Seifert-fibered space over $\mathbb{R}P^2$ with at most two exceptional fibers. Note that a connected sum of lens spaces may arise as a Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ but due to [EM92] it can be excluded if a primitive/Seifert knot k is hyperbolic.

Primitive/Seifert knots have some properties. Since α is primitive in H' , there exists a complete set of cutting disks $\{D_M, D_R\}$ of H' such that α intersects the

boundary M of D_M once transversely and is disjoint from the boundary R of D_R . Note that such a cutting disk D_R is unique up to isotopy in H' . Then it follows that M can be considered as a meridional curve of k and $H[R]$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of k in S^3 , which indicates that such a knot k is a tunnel-number-one knot in S^3 such that the curve R is the boundary of a cocore of the 1-handle regular neighborhood of a tunnel. Therefore, if k is a primitive/Seifert knot, then there exist three simple closed curves α , R , and M in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H satisfying:

- (1) α is Seifert in H .
- (2) R is disjoint from α such that $H[R]$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of k implying that k is a tunnel-number-one knot.
- (3) M is a meridional curve of k such that M is disjoint from R and M intersects α once transversely implying that the surface-slope is integral and α represents k .

In this paper, by taking only the conditions (1) and (2) we generalize a construction of Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries arising from primitive/Seifert knots. We will show that the conditions (1) and (2) imply the condition (3), and thus this generalization constructing Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries narrows down to the construction of Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries arising from primitive/Seifert knots.

More explicitly, we suppose α and R are disjoint simple closed curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H such that $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 as the exterior of a hyperbolic knot k , and α is Seifert in H and not the meridian of $H[R]$. Since α is disjoint from R , we can consider α as a curve representing a slope γ in $\partial N(k)$ of k in S^3 . Then note that since α is Seifert in H , it follows that the γ -Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ is either a Seifert-fibered space over S^2 with at most three exceptional fibers or a Seifert-fibered space over $\mathbb{R}P^2$ with at most two exceptional fibers.

The main result of this paper is the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose α and R are disjoint simple closed curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H such that $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 as the exterior of a hyperbolic knot k , and α is Seifert in H and not the meridian of $H[R]$. Then there exists a meridional curve M of k (or $H[R]$) in ∂H such that α intersects M transversely in exactly one point.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose α and R are disjoint simple closed curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H such that $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 as the exterior of a hyperbolic knot k , and α is Seifert in H and not the meridian of $H[R]$, whence for a slope γ represented by α , $k(\gamma)$ is a Seifert-fibered space. Then $(\alpha, \partial H)$ is a primitive/Seifert position of k and its surface-slope is γ so that the Seifert-fibered Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ arises from the primitive/Seifert position $(\alpha, \partial H)$.*

Proof. Let H' be the closure of the complement of H in S^3 . Since $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 as the exterior of k , H' is a genus two handlebody such that R bounds a cutting disk of H' . Since by Theorem 1.1, there exists a meridional curve M of k (or $H[R]$) in ∂H such that α intersects M transversely in exactly one point, α is primitive in H' . Therefore, $(\alpha, \partial H)$ is a primitive/Seifert position of k , its surface-slope is γ , and the Seifert-fibered Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ arises from the primitive/Seifert position $(\alpha, \partial H)$, as desired. \square

These results support partially the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. *Any Seifert-fibered surgery on a hyperbolic knot in S^3 is integral.*

Conjecture 2. *Any Seifert-fibered surgery on a hyperbolic tunnel-number-one knot arises from a primitive/Seifert position whose surface slope corresponds to the surgery slope.*

Conjecture 1 is known to be true for various Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries on a hyperbolic knot. Due to the famous result of [CGLS87], if $k(\gamma)$ is a lens space, then γ is integral. Boyer-Zhang [BZ98] proved that the conjecture is true for toroidal Seifert-fibered surgeries. If a Seifert-fibered surgery $k(\gamma)$ has a projective plane as the base surface, then it contains a Klein bottle, in which case by Gordon-Leucke [GL95] γ is integral. Thus the only remaining case is when γ -Dehn surgery $k(\gamma)$ is a Seifert fibered space over the sphere with three exceptional fibers. Theorem 1.2 gives a partial answer for this case.

Regarding Conjecture 2, there are families of hyperbolic knots admitting Seifert-fibered surgeries which do not arise from primitive/Seifert positions. See [MMM05], [T07], [DMM12], [DMM14], and [EJMM15]. All of the knots in [MMM05], [T07], and [DMM12] are not strongly invertible. Meanwhile, the knots in [DMM14] and [EJMM15] are strongly invertible but do not have tunnel number one. All of the knots above are not primitive/Seifert knots because any primitive/Seifert knot has tunnel number one and thus are strongly invertible. However, it is still unknown that there are examples of Seifert-fibered surgeries on hyperbolic tunnel-number-one knots in S^3 which do not arise from primitive/Seifert positions.

The main idea of proving Theorem 1.1 is to use the main result of [B20], which is originally introduced in [B93], saying that a meridian of $H[R]$ can be obtained from R by surgery along a distinguished wave, and the main result of [K20b] claiming that there are two types of R-R diagrams of Seifert-d curves in H : rectangular form and non-rectangular form, and there is one type of R-R diagram of Seifert-m curves in H .

Some related definitions and properties necessary to prove Theorem 1.1 are provided in Section 2. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-d with rectangular form and with non-rectangular form respectively. Section 5 provides the proof of Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-m.

Acknowledgement. This paper is originated from the joint work with John Berge for the project of the classification of hyperbolic primitive/Seifert knots in S^3 . I would like to express my gratitude to John Berge for his collaboration and support. I would also like to thank Cameron Gordon and John Luecke for their great hospitality while I stayed in the University of Texas at Austin.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We start with the following lemma, which can be found in [HOT80] or [O79] and shows some possible types of graphs of Heegaard diagrams of simple closed curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody.

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a genus two handlebody with a set of cutting disks $\{D_A, D_B\}$ and let \mathcal{C} be a finite set of pairwise disjoint nonparallel simple closed curves on ∂H whose intersections with $\{D_A, D_B\}$ are essential and not both empty. Then, after*




FIGURE 1. The three types of graphs of Heegaard diagrams of simple closed curves on the boundary of a genus two handlebody H which has cutting disks D_A and D_B , excluding diagrams in which simple closed curves are disjoint from both ∂D_A and ∂D_B .

perhaps relabeling D_A and D_B , the Heegaard diagram of \mathcal{C} with respect to $\{D_A, D_B\}$ has the form of one of the three graphs in Figure 1.

Definition 2.2 (cut-vertex). If v is a vertex of a connected graph G such that deleting v and the edges of G meeting v from G disconnects G , we say v is a *cut-vertex* of G .

The Heegaard diagram in Figure 1c either is not connected or has a cut-vertex.

Definition 2.3 (Positive Heegaard Diagram). A Heegaard diagram is *positive* if the curves of the diagram can be oriented so that all intersections of curves in the diagram are positive. Otherwise, the diagram is *nonpositive*.

Suppose R is a nonseparating simple closed curve in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H such that $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 , i.e., $H[R]$ is an exterior of a knot k in S^3 . It is shown in [B20], which is essentially originated from [B93], that a meridian of $H[R]$ (or k) can be obtained from R by surgery along a wave based at R . Recall that a wave on the curve R in ∂H is an arc ω whose endpoints lies on R with the opposite signs. The following is one of the results of [B20], which shows how to get a meridian of $H[R]$.

Theorem 2.4 (Waves provide meridians). Let H be a genus two handlebody with a set of cutting disks $\{D_A, D_B\}$ and let R be a nonseparating simple closed curve on ∂H such that the Heegaard diagram \mathbb{D}_R of R with respect to $\{D_A, D_B\}$ is connected and has no cut-vertex. Suppose, in addition, that the manifold $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 . Then \mathbb{D}_R determines a wave ω based at R such that if m is a boundary component of a regular neighborhood of $R \cup \omega$ in ∂H , with m chosen so that it is not isotopic to R , then m represents the meridian of $H[R]$. Furthermore, the wave ω determined by R can be obtained as follows:

- (1) If \mathbb{D}_R is nonpositive, then ω is a unique vertical wave ω_v which is isotopic to a subarc of the boundary of one of D_A and D_B with which R has both positive and negative signed intersections.
- (2) If \mathbb{D}_R is positive, then ω is a horizontal wave ω_h such that one endpoint of ω_h lies on an edge of \mathbb{D}_R connecting vertices A^+ and A^- , while the other endpoint of ω_h lies on an edge of \mathbb{D}_R connecting vertices B^+ and B^- .




FIGURE 2. A vertical wave ω_v in a nonpositive Heegaard diagram in a) and b) where R has both positive and negative signed intersections with D_B , and a horizontal wave ω_h in a positive Heegaard diagram in c). They are said to be distinguished in the sense that they can be used in a surgery on R to obtain a meridian of $H[R]$.




FIGURE 3. R-R diagrams of a simple closed curve R in which R has only one connection on one handle and at most two connections on the other handle. Here $a, b \geq 0$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and $m, n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Figures 2a and 2b show vertical waves ω_v when R has both positive and negative signed intersections with the cutting disk D_B so that the Heegaard diagram \mathbb{D}_R is nonpositive. Figure 2c shows a horizontal wave ω_h when \mathbb{D}_R is positive. Vertical waves and horizontal waves which are used to find a representative of a meridian of $H[R]$ as described in Theorem 2.4 are said to be *distinguished*.

Next proposition provides some special type of R-R diagrams of R such that $H[R]$ is nonhyperbolic. For the definition and properties of R-R diagrams, see [K20c].

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose R is a simple closed curve in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H with an R-R diagram of the form shown in Figure 3 with $a, b \geq 0$ and $m, n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

If $H[R]$ embeds in S^3 , then R is either a primitive curve, or a torus or cable knot relator on H . Therefore if k is a knot whose exterior is homeomorphic to $H[R]$, then k is either the unknot, a torus knot or a cable of a torus knot.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.4 of [K20a]. □




FIGURE 4. If α is a Seifert- d curve in the boundary of a genus two handlebody H , then α has an R-R diagram with the form of one of these figures with $P, S > 1$, $a, b > 1$, and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. If α has an R-R diagram with the form of Figure 4a, we say α has *rectangular form*. Then $H[\alpha]$ is a Seifert-fibered space over D^2 with two exceptional fibers of index P and S . If α has an R-R diagram with the form of Figure 4b, we say α has *non-rectangular form*. Then $H[\alpha]$ is a Seifert-fibered space over D^2 with two exceptional fibers of index $P(a + b) + b$ and S .

3. THE CASE WHEN α IS SEIFERT- d WITH RECTANGULAR FORM

The classification theorem of Seifert- d curves in [K20b] says that if α is a Seifert- d curve, then α has an R-R diagram of the forms in Figure 4. If α has the R-R diagram of the form in Figure 4a (4b, resp.), then we say that α is of a rectangular form (a non-rectangular form, resp.). In this section and next section we prove Theorem 1.1 for the case when α is of a rectangular form and for the case when α is of a non-rectangular form respectively.

Suppose α is of a rectangular form, i.e., α has an R-R diagram of the form in Figure 4a.

Proposition 3.1. *Theorem 1.1 holds if α is Seifert- d and has rectangular form.*

Proof. In the R-R diagram of α of a rectangular form in Figure 4a, we add two arbitrary bands of connections in each handle, namely Q - and R -connections in the A -handle, and U - and T -connections in the B -handle as shown in Figure 5. Note that $P + R = Q$ and $S + U = T$. Here we overuse the letter R meaning a simple closed curve as well as the label of the connection in the A -handle. However, the confusion will obviously be eliminated in the context.

Now we consider adding a simple closed curve R disjoint from α . We can observe that R cannot have both P - and S -connections, otherwise the curve R is forced to spiral endlessly and cannot be a simple closed curve. Therefore up to the symmetry of the R-R diagram of α , without loss of generality we may assume that R has no P -connections. There are two cases to consider: (1) R has neither P -connections nor S -connections and (2) R has no P -connections and has S -connections.

Case (1): The curve R has neither P -connections nor S -connections.




FIGURE 5. The R-R diagrams of disjoint nonseparating curves α and R in which α has rectangular form and R has no P -connections and no S -connections.

A priori there are two possible R-R diagrams of such curves R . These appear in Figure 5. However, examination shows the R-R diagrams of Figure 5a and Figure 5b agree up to homeomorphism and relabeling parameters. So we may suppose R has an R-R diagram of Figure 5a.

Note that the weights $a, b, c > 0$ in Figure 5, otherwise by Proposition 2.5, $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic.

First, suppose R is nonpositive. Since $P, S > 1$, none of R, Q, U , and T is 0. This, when combined with nonpositivity, implies that the Heegaard diagram of R underlying the R-R diagram is connected and has no cut vertex. Therefore there exists a distinguished vertical wave ω_v such that by Theorem 2.4 a meridian M of $H[R]$ is obtained from R by surgery along ω_v . It follows immediately from the R-R diagram that the vertical wave ω_v intersects α transversely at a point. Therefore a meridian M of $H[R]$ intersects α transversely at a point.

Now we assume that R is positive. The conditions that $a, b, c > 0$ and $P, S > 1$ implies that $RQ > 0$ and $TU > 0$, and $\max\{|R|, |Q|\} > 1$ and $\max\{|T|, |U|\} > 1$. Therefore the Heegaard diagram of R is connected and has no cut vertex and by Theorem 2.4 there exists a distinguished horizontal wave ω_h such that a meridian M of $H[R]$ is obtained from R by surgery along ω_h .

Locating the horizontal wave ω_h in the R-R diagram of R depends on which band of connections of R has maximal labels. See [K20c] for the information on the location of horizontal waves in R-R diagrams. Therefore, it depends on the signs of R, Q, T , and U . There are four cases to consider:

- (a) $R, Q > 0$ and $T, U < 0$;
- (b) $R, Q < 0$ and $T, U > 0$;
- (c) $R, Q > 0$ and $T, U > 0$;
- (d) $R, Q < 0$ and $T, U < 0$.

If $R, Q > 0$ and $T, U < 0$, then Q and U are the maximal labels in the A - and B -handles respectively and ω_h has an endpoint on a connection in one handle which borders the band of connections with maximal label. In order to locate ω_h in the R-R diagram, we isotope the outermost edge of the b parallel edges entering the




FIGURE 6. R-R diagrams of horizontal waves ω_h based at the curve R which show how ω_h depends on the signs of the parameters R, Q, U , and T . In 6a), $R, Q, P > 0$ and $T, U, -S < 0$. In 6b), $-P, R, Q < 0$ and $S, T, U > 0$. In 6c), $R, Q, P > 0$ and $S, T, U > 0$. In 6d), $-P, R, Q < 0$ and $T, U, -S < 0$.

Q -connection in the A -handle and also isotope the outermost edge of the b parallel edges entering the U -connection in the B -handle as shown in Figure 6a. Then it follows from [K20c] that ω_h appears as in Figure 6a. Similarly for the other cases, ω_h appears as in Figures 6b, 6c, and 6d. It follows that in the cases (a) and (b), $|\omega_h \cap \alpha| = 1$ and thus a meridian of $H[R]$ intersects α transversely at a point. In the cases (c) and (d), $\omega_h \cap \alpha = \emptyset$, which indicates that α is isotopic to a meridian of $H[R]$, a contradiction to the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Case (2): R has S -connections but no P -connections.

There are three possible R-R diagrams of R as shown in Figure 7. However, using an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of H and thus of the R-R diagram of (α, R) , and relabelling the parameters, we observe that the R-R diagram in Figure 7c is equivalent to that of Figure 7a. Therefore, we consider the R-R diagrams of the forms in Figures 7a and 7b. Since $H[R]$ is hyperbolic, it follows by Proposition 2.5 that $c > 0$ in Figure 7a, and $b > 0$ in Figure 7b.




FIGURE 7. R-R diagrams of α and R in which α has rectangular form and R has S -connections.

If R is nonpositive, then since $P, S > 1$, it follows that the Heegaard diagram of R is connected and has no cut vertex and thus there exists a distinguished vertical wave ω_v such that a meridian M of $H[R]$ is obtained from R by surgery along ω_v . Since $c > 0$ ($b > 0$, resp.) in Figure 7a(7b, resp.), ω_v does not intersect α , a contradiction. Therefore, R is positive.

First, suppose R has the R-R diagram of Figure 7a. Since R is positive, $Q, T, U > 0$ and $R < 0$.

Claim 3.2. *In the diagram of R of Figure 7a, we may assume that $R + Q \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $R + Q = 0$. Since $\gcd(|R|, |Q|) = 1$, $R = -1$, $Q = 1$ and $P = 2$. Then $\alpha = A^2B^S$ and the Heegaard diagram of R has a cut vertex. Now we use the argument of the hybrid diagram. Its hybrid diagram of α and R corresponding to the R-R diagram of Figure 7a is illustrated in Figure 8a. For the definition and properties of hybrid diagrams, see [K20c].

In its hybrid diagram, we drag the vertex A^- together with the edges of R and α meeting the vertex A^- over the S -connection on the B -handle. This performance corresponds to a change of cutting disks inducing an automorphism of $\pi_1(H)$ that takes $A \mapsto AB^{-S}$ and leaves B fixed. With an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of H applied, the resulting hybrid diagram of α and R is depicted




FIGURE 8. The hybrid diagram of Figure 8a corresponds to the R-R diagram of Figure 7a. The hybrid diagram in Figure 8b is obtained from the hybrid diagram in Figure 8a by dragging vertex the A^- of Figure 8a, together with the edges of Figure 8a meeting the vertex A^- of Figure 8a, over the S -connection of the B -handle of Figure 8a. This induces an automorphism of $\pi_1(H)$ which takes $A \mapsto AB^{-S}$.

in Figure 8b. It follows from Figure 8b that R has only two bands of connections labelled by U and $U - S$ in the B -handle. For the labels of the bands of connections in the A -handle, by chasing the parallel arcs of weight c in the R-R diagram of R of Figure 7a, we observe that the subword AB^S in R appears in the sequence of syllables $\cdots AB^S AB^S A \cdots$. This implies that after the automorphism taking $A \mapsto AB^{-S}$, A^2 does not appear as a single syllable in the word of R in $\pi_1(H)$. On the other hand, α is sent to $A^2 B^{-S}$ in $\pi_1(H)$, which is still of a rectangular form. This implies that α and R have no common single syllable, which means that α and R have no common connections. Therefore this case belongs to Case (1) where R has neither P -connections nor S -connections. \square

By Claim 3.2, $\max\{|Q|, |R|\} > 1$. Since R has S -connections on the B -handle, the Heegaard diagram is connected and has no cut vertex. Therefore it has a distinguished horizontal wave ω_h yielding a meridian of $H[R]$. As in the case of (1), locating ω_h in the R-R diagram of R depends on the sign of $R + Q$ unless $b = 0$, in which case ω_h also depends on the maximal label member of $\{S, U\}$. Figure 9, where the P -connection of α is isotoped to the Q - and $-R$ -connection, shows ω_h when $b > 0$. In either of the R-R diagrams ω_h intersects α transversely once. For the case where $b = 0$ and $a \neq 0$, we insert $(S - U)$ -connection in the B -handle to locate ω_h . Then it is easy to show that in this case ω_h also intersects α transversely. Note that at least one of a and b must be positive, otherwise R has only two bands of connections on the A -handle and only one band of connections so that by Proposition 2.5 $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic.

Now, we assume that R has the R-R diagram in Figure 7b. Since R is positive, $Q, T > 0$ and $R, U < 0$.

Claim 3.3. *In the diagram of R of Figure 7b, we may assume that $R + Q \neq 0$, or equivalently $(P, Q, R) \neq (2, 1, -1)$.*




FIGURE 9. Horizontal waves ω_h in R-R diagrams of α and R when $b > 0$, $R + Q > 0$ in Figure 9a, and $R + Q < 0$ in Figure 9b.

Proof. Suppose $R + Q = 0$. Since $\gcd(|Q|, |R|) = 1$, $(P, Q, R) = (2, 1, -1)$. Then the Heegaard diagram of R has a cut vertex, and R consists of the three types of two-syllable subwords AB^S , AB^T , and AB^{-U} with $|AB^S| = 2b$, $|AB^T| = a$, $|AB^{-U}| = c$. Here $|AB^S|$, for instance, denotes the total number of appearances of AB^S in R in $\pi_1(H)$. It follows that $|AB| = a + 2b + c$ in R . Furthermore $\alpha = A^2B^S$ in $\pi_1(H)$, and α and R have no common connections in the A -handle.

As in the proof of Claim 3.2, since the Heegaard diagram of R has a cut vertex, we perform a change of cutting disks that induces the automorphism of $\pi_1(H)$ taking $A \mapsto AB^{-S}$, and then an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of H inducing the automorphism $(A, B) \mapsto (B, A^{-1})$ of $\pi_1(H)$. Then α is carried to $A^S B^2$ in $\pi_1(H)$, which implies that the R-R diagram of α is also of a rectangular form. The two-syllables AB^S , AB^T , and AB^{-U} of R are sent to B , $A^{-U}B$, and $A^T B$ respectively, which implies that there are only two exponents $-U$ and T with base A in R . Thus there are three bands of connections with the label set (S, T, U) in the A -handle in the resulting R-R diagram of α and R such that α and R have no common connections in the A -handle. Also we can see that $|AB|$ is reduced strictly to $a + c$ in R .

Now the resulting R-R diagram of α and R depends on the determination of the B -handle. However, since we have already proved Proposition 3.1 for all other types of the R-R diagrams of R when α is of a rectangular form, we may assume that it has an R-R diagram of the form in Figure 7b with the three labels (S, T, U) in the A -handle. If $(S, T, U) \neq (2, 1, -1)$ or equivalently $T + U \neq 0$, then the R-R diagram of R satisfies the conclusion of this claim as desired. If $(S, T, U) = (2, 1, -1)$, then we continue to do the process above, which must eventually terminate since $|AB|$ in R is strictly decreasing. \square

Now $R + Q \neq 0$ and thus R is connected and has no cut vertex. We apply the similar argument as in the case of R-R diagram of R in Figure 7a. Figure 10 shows ω_h when $b > 0$. In both of the R-R diagrams ω_h intersects α transversely once. Similarly when $b = 0$, we can show that ω_h intersects α transversely once.




FIGURE 10. Horizontal waves ω_h in the R-R diagram of R when $R+Q > 0$ ($R+Q < 0$, resp.) in Figure 9a (9b, resp.) when $b > 0$.




FIGURE 11. An R-R diagram obtained from Figure 4b by adding a separating simple closed curve Γ , disjoint from α , to Figure 4b so that Γ represents $AB^SA^{-1}B^{-S}$ in $\pi_1(H)$. Here $P, S > 1$ with $a, b > 0$, and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.


Thus, we have completed the proof of Proposition 3.1 and therefore Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-d and is of a rectangular form. \square

4. CASES IN WHICH α IS SEIFERT-d AND HAS NON-RECTANGULAR FORM

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 4.1 which shows that Theorem 1.1 holds in all cases in which α is Seifert-d and has non-rectangular form, i.e., those cases in which α has an R-R diagram with the form of Figure 4b.

Proposition 4.1. *Theorem 1.1 holds if α is Seifert-d and has non-rectangular form.*

Proof. Note it is possible to add a separating simple closed curve Γ to the R-R diagram of α in Figure 4b so that the resulting R-R diagram of α and Γ has the form of Figure 11. Then Γ represents $AB^SA^{-1}B^{-S}$ in $\pi_1(H)$, and Γ separates ∂H into two once-punctured tori F and F' with $\alpha \subset F$.

FIGURE 12. Configuration of α , ∂D_A and ∂D_B in F .

Claim 4.2. *The curve R in ∂H has essential intersections with Γ .*

Proof of Claim 4.2. Suppose R has no essential intersections with Γ . Then R lies completely in F or completely in F' .

If R lies completely in F , then α and R are isotopic in ∂H , but this is impossible since $H[R]$ is hyperbolic, while $H[\alpha]$ is Seifert-fibered.


On the other hand, suppose R lies completely in F' . If R has no connections in the A -handle, then $R = B^S$ in $\pi_1(H)$, which is a contradiction to that $H[R]$ embeds as a knot exterior in S^3 and thus $H_1(H[R])$ is torsion-free. It follows that R has a connection in the A -handle and Figure 11 implies that R has only one band of connections labeled by 1 in the A -handle. If R has a S -connection in the B -handle, then the Heegaard diagram of R is nonpositive, is connected and has no cut vertex. So there exists a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$. It is easy to see from the R-R diagram of α that ω_v does not intersect α , a contradiction. Therefore, R has no S -connections and thus at most two bands of connections in the B -handle, implying by Proposition 2.5 that $H[R]$ is nonhyperbolic, a contradiction.

It follows R has essential intersections with Γ . \square

Next, consider Figure 12 which shows F cut open along two properly embedded arcs in F parallel to $\partial D_A \cap F$ and $\partial D_B \cap F$. Note that since $\partial D_A \cap F$ is a single connection in F , and $|\alpha \cap \partial D_A| = (a+b)P + b$, one has $c = (a+b)(P-1) + b$. And therefore, since $a+b \geq 2$, and $P > 1$, one has $c > a+b > 2$.

The simple closed curve α together with the arcs of $\partial D_B \cap F$ and the arc of $\partial D_A \cap F$ cut F into a number of faces, each of which is a rectangle, except for the pair of hexagonal faces Hex_1 and Hex_2 , shown as shaded regions in Figure 12. Now it is easy to see that any connection in F disjoint from α traverses each of the above rectangles. Since R is disjoint from α , and R has essential intersections with F , $R \cap F$ contains such connections. Then, because $a+b \geq 2$ and $c > a+b$, we see that A^m and B^n appear in the cyclic word which R represents in $\pi_1(H)$ with $|m|, |n| > 1$. It follows that the Heegaard diagram \mathcal{D} of R with respect to $\{\partial D_A, \partial D_B\}$ is connected and has no cut vertex. Therefore the invariant arc ω promised by [B20] appears in \mathcal{D} as a distinguished wave based at R .

Claim 4.3. *ω intersects α transversely in one point.*

FIGURE 13. The possible configurations of α , R and ω in F .

Proof of Claim 4.3. If ω is disjoint from α , then α is isotopic to a meridian of $H[R]$, a contradiction. Therefore ω intersects α .

Suppose p is a point of $\omega \cap \alpha$. Then p lies in the boundary of a rectangular face, say R_p , of F . R_p is traversed by at least one connection of $R \cap F$ which we may assume has the same orientation as α . But, since p is essential, one of the endpoints of ω , say p' must also lie in R_p on a subarc of a connection of $R \cap F$. So we have the configuration shown in Figure 13.

Since ω is an arc and has only two endpoints, it follows that $\omega \cap \alpha$ consists of either one or two points, and if $\omega \cap \alpha$ consists of two points, then these two intersections have opposite signs because of the definition of a wave. However this is impossible, because if $\omega \cap \alpha$ consists of two points of intersection with opposite signs, then the algebraic intersection number of ω and α is equal to 0, which implies that the geometric intersection number of a meridian representative M and α is equal to 0 and thus α is a meridian of $H[R]$, a contradiction. \square

Thus, we have completed the proof of Proposition 4.1 and therefore Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-d and is of a non-rectangular form. \square

5. THE CASE WHEN α IS SEIFERT-m

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-m in a genus two handlebody H . It follows from the classification theorem of Seifert-m curves in [K20b] that α has an R-R diagram of the form in Figure 14 with $S > 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *Theorem 1.1 holds if α is Seifert-m.*

Proof. We observe from Figure 14 that α has two bands of connections labelled by 1 in the A-handle.

Claim 5.2. *R must have only one band of connections labelled by 1 in the A-handle.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that R has no 1-connections in the A-handle or R has the two bands of connections labelled by 1 in the A-handle.

First, suppose R has no 1-connections in the A-handle. If R has either no connections or only 0-connections in the A-handle, then R should have only one S -connection in the B-handle, which implies that $R = B^S$ in $\pi_1(H)$. This is impossible since $H[R]$ embeds as a knot exterior in S^3 and thus $H_1(H[R])$ is torsion-free. Thus




FIGURE 14. An R-R diagram of a Seifert-m curve α on ∂H , where $S > 1$.




FIGURE 15. If an R-R diagram, say \mathcal{D} , of a Seifert-m curve α and disjoint curve R contains a subdiagram with the form of this figure with both $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, then the corresponding Heegaard diagram of \mathcal{D} is connected, has no cut-vertices, and there is a vertical wave ω_v based at R in \mathcal{D} such that ω_v is disjoint from α . (Note this is true even if the orientations of the b -weighted bands in this figure are reversed.)

R has only 2-connections in the A -handle. If R has a S -connection in the B -handle, then it is easy to see that the Heegaard diagram of R is nonpositive, connected and has no cut-vertex. Thus there exists a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$. It follows from the R-R diagram of α that ω_v does not intersect α , which is a contradiction. Now R has only two bands of connections in the B -handle. However, this also cannot happen by Proposition 2.5 indicating that $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic.

Now we suppose that R has the two bands of connections labelled by 1 in the A -handle. Orient R so that the labels at the ends of the two bands where R enters are either both 1, or 1 and -1 . If the two labels are 1 and -1 , then an R-R diagram of α and R contains a subdiagram with the form of Figure 15 with both $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. Thus Heegaard diagram of R is nonpositive and also is connected and has no cut-vertex. It follows that a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$ does not intersect α , a contradiction.




FIGURE 16. The two R-R diagrams of disjoint curves α and R in which α is Seifert-m and R has no 2-connections on the A-handle.

If the two labels are both 1, then it follows from the R-R diagram of α that R must have both S - and $(-S)$ -connections. Note that in this case an R-R diagram of α and R also contains a subdiagram with the form of Figure 15 with both $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ and with orientations of the b -weighted bands reversed. This implies that R is nonpositive, is connected and has no cut-vertex. By the similar argument above, a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$ does not intersect α , a contradiction. \square

By Claim 5.2, R has only one band of connections with label 1 in the A -handle. There are two bands of connections with label 1 in the A -handle in the R-R diagram of α : say, vertical and horizontal. Applying an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of H , if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that R has vertical 1-connections in the A -handle. Now we break the argument into two cases: (1) R has no 2-connections and (2) R has 2-connections in the A -handle.

Case (1): R has no 2-connections in the A -handle.

There are two possible R-R diagrams of R as shown in Figure 16 depending on whether or not R has 0-connections. Note that $a, b > 0$ in the R-R diagram of




FIGURE 17. The two R-R diagrams of disjoint curves α and R in which α is Seifert-m and R has 2-connections on the A-handle.

Figure 16a and $a + b > 0$ in the R-R diagram of Figure 16b, because otherwise $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic.

If R in Figure 16 is nonpositive, then it is easy to see from the R-R diagrams that a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$ intersects α transversely at a point.

If R in Figure 16 is positive, then the Heegaard diagram of R has a cut-vertex. Since $a + b > 0$ in the R-R diagram of Figure 16b, either $a > 0$ or $b > 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b > 0$. Therefore $b > 0$ in both of the R-R diagrams in Figure 16, which implies R has a subword $\cdots B^S AB^T AB^S \cdots$. As we did in Claim 3.2 in Section 3, we perform a change of cutting disks of H inducing an automorphism of $\pi_1(H)$ taking $A \mapsto AB^{-T}$. Using a hybrid diagram we can see that since $\alpha = AB^S A^{-1} B^S$ in $\pi_1(H)$, under this automorphism α remains same, i.e., α has the same form of R-R diagram in Figure 14 while since the subword $\cdots B^S AB^T AB^S \cdots$ of R is sent to $\cdots B^{-U} A^2 B^{-U} \cdots$, R is transformed into a simple closed curve whose word in $\pi_1(H)$ contains A^2 . This implies that R has 2-connections in the A-handle. So this case belongs to Case (2) where R has 2-connections in the A-handle, which is handled next.




FIGURE 18. Horizontal waves ω_h in R-R diagrams of α and R when $U > S$ in Figure 18a, and $U < S$ in Figure 18b.

Case (2): R has 2-connections in the A -handle.

There are two possible R-R diagrams of R as illustrated in Figure 17. Note that $a, b > 0$ in Figure 17a and $b, c > 0$ in Figure 17b. This is because for the R-R diagram of R in Figure 17a, since R has 2-connections in the A -handle, $a > 0$. If $b = 0$ there, then since R is a simple closed curve, $c = 0$, which implies by Proposition 2.5 that $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic. For the R-R diagram of R in Figure 17b, if $c = 0$, then Proposition 2.5 implies that $H[R]$ would not be hyperbolic. If $b = 0$ there, then $a = 0$ and thus $H[R]$ is not hyperbolic.

If R in Figure 17 is nonpositive, as in the case (1), there exists a distinguished vertical wave ω_v yielding a meridian of $H[R]$ which intersects α transversely once.

We assume that R in Figure 17 is positive. From the conditions that $a, b > 0$ in Figure 17a and $b, c > 0$ in Figure 17b, it follows that the Heegaard diagrams of R are connected and has no cut-vertex. Therefore there exists a distinguished horizontal wave ω_h yielding a meridian of $H[R]$.

If $c > 0$ ($a > 0$, resp.) in Figure 17a(17b, resp.), then R has all of the three bands of connections of labels U, T, S in the B -handle. Since R is positive, all of U, T , and S are positive and thus T is the maximal label of connections in the B -handle. Therefore, as in Figure 6 or in Figure 9 a horizontal wave ω_h can be located in the

R-R diagram of R by isotoping the 2-connection and the T -connection in the A - and B -handle respectively. Then we can see that ω_h intersects α once.

If $c = 0$ ($a = 0$, resp.) in Figure 17a(17b, resp.), then the two R-R diagrams in Figure 17 have the same form. In other words, the R-R diagram of Figure 17b with $a = 0$ is the R-R diagram of Figure 17a with $c = 0$ by replacing (b, c, T) by (a, b, U) . Therefore we focus only on the R-R diagram of R in Figure 17a with $c = 0$. Locating a horizontal wave ω_h in the R-R diagram of R depends on the sizes of U and S in the B -handle. Figure 18a(18b, resp.) shows ω_h when $U > S$ ($U < S$, resp.). It follows that when $U > S$, ω_h intersects α at a point. On the other hand, when $U < S$, ω_h intersects α twice as shown in Figure 18b, where one S -connection of α is isotoped. However, it is easy to see from the R-R diagram that one meridian representative M_1 obtained from R by surgery along ω_h represents $AB^U AB^U$ in $\pi_1(H)$. This is impossible because $H[M_1]$ also embeds in S^3 as a knot exterior and thus $H_1(H[M_1])$ is torsion-free.

Thus, we have completed the proof of Proposition 5.1 and therefore Theorem 1.1 when α is Seifert-m. \square

REFERENCES

- [B20] Berge, J., *Distinguished waves and slopes in genus two*, preprint.
- [B93] Berge, J., *Embedding the Exteriors of One-Tunnel Knots and Links in the 3-Sphere*, Unpublished transparencies of invited address at Cascade Topology Conf. Spring 1993.
- [BZ98] Boyer, S., Zhang, X., *On Culler-Shalen seminorms and Dehn filling*, Ann. of Math. **148** (1998), 737–801.
- [CGLS87] Culler, M., Gordon, C. McA., Luecke, J., Shalen, P.B., *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math. **125** (1987), 237–300.
- [D03] Dean, J., *Small Seifert-fibered Dehn surgery on hyperbolic knots*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **3** (2003), 435–472.
- [DMM12] Deruelle, A., Miyazaki, K., and Motegi, K., *Networking Seifert Surgeries on Knots*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **217** (2012) viii+130.
- [DMM14] Deruelle, A., Miyazaki, K., and Motegi, K., *Neighbors of Seifert surgeries on a trefoil knot in the Seifert Surgery Network*, Boletn de la Sociedad Matemtica Mexicana **20** no. 2, (2014) 523–558.
- [EJMM15] Eudave-Muñoz, M., Jasso, E., Miyazaki, K. and Motegi, K., *Seifert fibered surgeries on strongly invertible knots without primitive/Seifert positions*, Top. and its Appl. **196** Part B, (2015), 729–753.
- [EM92] Eudave-Muñoz, M., *Band sums of links which yield composite links. The cabling conjecture for strongly invertible knots*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** no. 2, (1992), 463–501.
- [GL95] Gordon, C. McA., Luecke, J., *Dehn surgeries on knots creating essential tori, I*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **3** (1995), 597–644.
- [HOT80] Homma, T., Ochiai, M. and Takahashi, M., *An Algorithm for Recognizing S^3 in 3-Manifolds with Heegaard Splittings of Genus Two*, Osaka J. Math. **17** (1980), 625–648.
- [K20a] Kang, S., *On nonhyperbolicity of P/P and P/SF knots in S^3* , preprint.
- [K20b] Kang, S., *Primitive, proper power, and Seifert curves in the boundary of a genus two handlebody*, preprint.
- [K20c] Kang, S., *Tunnel-number-one knot exteriors in S^3 disjoint from proper power curves*, preprint.
- [MMM05] Mattman, T., Miyazaki, K. and Motegi, K., *Seifert-fibered surgeries which do not arise from primitive/Seifert-fibered constructions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** no. 9, (2005), 4045–4055.
- [O79] Ochiai, M., *Heegaard-Diagrams and Whitehead-Graphs*, Math. Sem. Notes of Kobe Univ. **7** (1979), 573–590.
- [T07] Teragaito, M., *A Seifert fibered manifold with infinitely many knot-surgery descriptions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **9** (2007), Art. ID rnm 028, 16 pp.

E-mail address: skang4450@chonnam.ac.kr