

ANDO-HIAI AND GOLDEN-THOMPSON INEQUALITIES

MOHAMMED SABABHEH AND HAMID REZA MORADI

ABSTRACT. The original Ando-Hiai and Golden-Thompson inequalities present comparisons for the operator geometric mean \sharp_v when $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Our main target in this article is to study these celebrated inequalities for means other than the geometric mean and for the geometric mean when $v \notin [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For two complex $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices A and B , the Golden-Thompson inequality states that [6, 12]

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{tr}(e^{A+B}) \leq \operatorname{tr}(e^A e^B),$$

as a non-commutative version of the scalar identity $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$. The inequality (1.1) has its application in statistical mechanics and random matrix theory. In an unpublished work, Dyson proved (1.1) when he was studying random matrix theory and its application to nuclear physics. Thus, this inequality is expected to have future application in this direction. Further applications of this inequality can be found in [3].

The inequality (1.1) has been extended in various forms, among which we are interested in the following unitarily invariant norm version [1]

$$(1.2) \quad \left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1, \quad p > 0$$

where A, B are Hermitian, \sharp_v is the geometric mean, ∇_v is the arithmetic mean and $\| \cdot \|$ is any unitarily invariant norm.

The inequality (1.2) has been reversed in [11, Theorem 3.4] using the Specht ratio. Very recently, the authors in [7, Corollary 2.7] have shown a stronger reverse. However, all these results treat the geometric mean for $0 \leq v \leq 1$.

One target of the current paper is to prove variants of (1.2). These new versions extend the domain of v to values outside the interval $[0, 1]$ and also extend the treatment of the geometric

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A63, Secondary 46L05, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Ando-Hiai inequality, Golden-Thompson inequality, Pólya-Szegő inequality, operator monotone function.

mean \sharp_v to any operator mean between the harmonic mean $!_v$ and the arithmetic mean ∇_v . However, these extensions will be at the cost of an additional constant. For example, we prove that, under mild conditions on A, B ,

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \gamma_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|, \quad v > 1$$

where γ_p is a certain constant. Moreover, we prove that, for some constant L , depending on p ,

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq L^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1,$$

for any operator mean σ_v between $!_v$ and ∇_v . To the best of our knowledge, such extensions have not been considered earlier in the literature.

Our methods for proving such results allow us, also, to obtain variants of the well known Ando-Hiai inequality, which asserts that if A and B are positive operators, then for any $v \in [0, 1]$, we have [1]

$$(1.3) \quad \|A^p \sharp_v B^p\| \leq \|A \sharp_v B\|^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$A \sharp_v B \leq I \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^p \sharp_v B^p \leq I \quad \text{for all } p > 1.$$

A counterpart to the Ando-Hiai inequality (1.3) has been presented by Nakamoto and Seo [9] as follows

$$(1.4) \quad \|A \sharp_v B\|^p \leq \frac{1}{K(h^{2p}, v)} \|A^p \sharp_v B^p\| \quad \text{for all } p > 1, 0 \leq v \leq 1$$

whenever $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, $h = \frac{M}{m}$, and

$$K(h, v) \equiv \frac{h^v - h}{(v-1)(h-1)} \left(\frac{v-1}{v} \frac{h^v - 1}{h^v - h} \right)^v$$

is a generalized Kantorovich constant.

Our second target in this article is to extend the domain of the Ando-Hiai inequality to $v \notin [0, 1]$ and to extend it to arbitrary means, rather than the geometric mean. For example, we show that when $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ and σ_v, τ_v are arbitrary operator means between the harmonic and arithmetic means,

$$\|A \sigma_v B\|^p \leq L(m^p, M^p) \|A^p \tau_v B^p\| \quad \text{for all } p > 1$$

where $L(m^p, M^p)$ is a constant independent of σ_v and τ_v .

The above extensions we prove will follow as special cases of a more general treatment of operator monotone functions. This treatment, of operator monotone functions, will imply the Pólya-type inequality

$$f(\Phi(A \sigma_v B)) \leq \xi \psi(f(\Phi(A)) \tau_v f(\Phi(B))),$$

where f is an operator monotone function, A, B are positive operators related via a sandwich condition, ξ, ψ are certain constants and Φ is a normalized positive linear map.

In the sequel, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , while \mathcal{M}_n will stand for the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Our Golden-Thompson inequalities will be valid for matrices in \mathcal{M}_n , while all other results will be valid for operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The letters m, M and their indices will be used for positive real numbers, while A, B will stand for Hilbert space operators (or matrices in the case of the Golden-Thompson inequality). Further, all monotone functions f we deal with will be of the form $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$.

2. EXTENDING THE DOMAIN OF THE ANDO-HIAI AND GOLDEN-THOMPSON INEQUALITIES

In this section, we present Ando-Hiai and Golden-Thompson inequalities for $v \notin [0, 1]$.

The following inequalities were pointed out in [4]. For completeness, we present a simple proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $0 < m_2 I \leq A \leq m_1 I < M_1 I \leq B \leq M_2 I$ and $v \notin [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\frac{m_1 \sharp_v M_1}{m_1 \nabla_v M_1} A \nabla_v B \leq A \sharp_v B \leq \frac{m_2 \sharp_v M_2}{m_2 \nabla_v M_2} A \nabla_v B$$

and

$$\frac{m_1 !_v M_1}{m_1 \sharp_v M_1} A \sharp_v B \leq A !_v B \leq \frac{m_2 !_v M_2}{m_2 \sharp_v M_2} A \sharp_v B.$$

Proof. Let, for $v \notin [0, 1]$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - v + v x}{x^v}, \quad 1 < \frac{M_1}{m_1} \leq x \leq \frac{M_2}{m_2}.$$

Then

$$f'(x) = v(1 - v)(x - 1)x^{1+v}.$$

That is, f is decreasing for $x > 1$, since $v \notin [0, 1]$. Therefore,

$$\frac{M_1}{m_1} \leq x \leq \frac{M_2}{m_2} \Rightarrow f\left(\frac{M_1}{m_1}\right) \leq f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{M_2}{m_2}\right).$$

Then the first two desired inequalities follow by applying a standard functional calculus argument using $x = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The other inequalities involving the harmonic mean follow similarly. \square

As a corollary, we have the following auxiliary inequality that we will use to prove the desired Ando-Hiai and Golden-Thompson inequalities. Notice first that when $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator monotone, it is operator concave [2]. Therefore, when $v \notin [0, 1]$, we have [10]

$$f(A \nabla_v B) \leq f(A) \nabla_v f(B).$$

Further, the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality states that for $0 \leq v \leq 1$, one has $A\sharp_v B \leq A\nabla_v B$. However, when $v \notin [0, 1]$, the inequality is reversed.

We remark here that our functions f will be defined on $(0, \infty)$. This is the main reason we consider $v \geq 1$. For example, we will be dealing with the quantity $m_2\nabla_v M_2$, for $m_2 < M_2$. Notice that when $v \geq 1$, we have $m_2\nabla_v M_2 \geq M_2 > 0$. However, if $v < 0$, we do not guarantee positivity of $m_2\nabla_v M_2$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $0 < m_2 I \leq A \leq m_1 I < M_1 I \leq B \leq M_2 I$ and $v \geq 1$. Then for an operator monotone function f ,*

$$(2.1) \quad f(A\sharp_v B) \leq (f(CA)\sharp_v f(CB)),$$

where $C = \frac{m_2\sharp_v M_2}{m_2\nabla_v M_2}$.

Proof. Noting that f is operator concave and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(A\sharp_v B) &\leq f(C(A\nabla_v B)) \\ &= f((CA)\nabla_v(CB)) \\ &\leq f(CA)\nabla_v f(CB) \quad (f \text{ being operator concave and } v \geq 1) \\ &\leq f(CA)\sharp_v f(CB) \quad (\text{since } v \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to present the Ando-Hiai and the Golden-Thompson inequalities for $v \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < m_2 I \leq A \leq m_1 I < M_1 I \leq B \leq M_2 I$, $v \geq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ be an arbitrary unitarily invariant norm.*

(1) (Ando-Hiai inequality) *If $p > 1$ then*

$$\|A^p\sharp_v B^p\| \leq C_p \|A\sharp_v B\|^p, \text{ where } C_p = \frac{m_2^p\sharp_v M_2^p}{m_2^p\nabla_v M_2^p}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\|A\sharp_v B\| \leq I \Rightarrow \|A^p\sharp_v B^p\| \leq C_p.$$

(2) (Golden-Thompson inequality) *If $p > 0$, then*

$$\left\| (e^{pA}\sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \gamma_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A\nabla_v B}\|,$$

where $\gamma_p = \frac{e^{pm_2}\sharp_v e^{pM_2}}{e^{pm_2\nabla_v} e^{pM_2}}$.

Proof. (1) For $p > 1$, let $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then f is operator monotone. Therefore, replacing A and B by A^p and B^p in (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A^p \sharp_v B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq (C_p A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sharp_v (C_p B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \quad \text{where } C_p = \frac{m_2^{p \sharp_v} M_2^p}{m_2^p \nabla_v M_2^p} \\ &= C_p^{\frac{1}{p}} (A \sharp_v B). \end{aligned}$$

Then a unitary matrix U exists such that

$$(A^p \sharp_v B^p) \leq C_p U (A \sharp_v B)^p U^*.$$

This implies the Ando-Hiai inequality

$$\|A^p \sharp_v B^p\| \leq C_p \|A \sharp_v B\|^p, \quad \text{where } p > 1 \text{ and } v \geq 1.$$

(2) If $0 < q < p$, let $f(t) = t^{\frac{q}{p}}$ in (2.1) and replace (A, B) by (e^{pA}, e^{pB}) . Then

$$(e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq \gamma_p^{\frac{q}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB}), \quad \text{where } \gamma_p = \frac{e^{pm_2 \sharp_v} e^{pM_2}}{e^{pm_2 \nabla_v} e^{pM_2}}.$$

Consequently, if $\|\cdot\|$ is a unitarily invariant norm, we have

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{q}{p}} \right\| \leq \left\| \gamma_p^{\frac{q}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB}) \right\|.$$

In particular, if $0 < q < 1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is a given unitarily invariant norm, then $\|\cdot\|_q$ defined by $\|X\|_q = \left\| |X|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|^q$ is a unitarily invariant norm. Therefore,

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{q}{p}} \right\|_q \leq \left\| \gamma_p^{\frac{q}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB}) \right\|_q \Rightarrow \left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \gamma_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB})^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|.$$

Letting $q \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sharp_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \gamma_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|.$$

We remark here that in [8] the limit

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0^+} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB})^{\frac{1}{q}} = e^{A \nabla_v B}$$

was shown for $0 \leq v \leq 1$. As pointed out in [9], the same proof applies for $v \notin [0, 1]$. \square

3. ANDO-HIAI AND GOLDEN-THOMPSON INEQUALITIES FOR ARBITRARY MEANS

The original Ando-Hiai and Golden-Thompson inequalities and their extensions treat the geometric mean \sharp_v . In this section, we present variants of these important inequalities for arbitrary means.

In our recent work [4], we showed that if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are such that $sA \leq B \leq tA$, then for any $v \in [0, 1]$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{\xi} A \nabla_v B \leq A \sharp_v B \leq \psi A !_v B$$

where $\xi = \max \left\{ \frac{(1-v)+vs}{s^v}, \frac{(1-v)+vt}{t^v} \right\}$ and $\psi = \max \left\{ s^v \left((1-v) + \frac{v}{s} \right), t^v \left((1-v) + \frac{v}{t} \right) \right\}$. The following few inequalities will be needed to prove the next main result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive invertible and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an operator monotone function. Then for any $v \in [0, 1]$*

$$f(A !_v B) \leq f(A) !_v f(B).$$

Proof. Notice that operator monotonicity of f implies operator concavity, and hence

$$(3.2) \quad f(A^{-1} \nabla_v B^{-1}) \geq f(A^{-1}) \nabla_v f(B^{-1}).$$

Moreover, operator monotonicity of $f(t)$ implies operator monotonicity of $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$. Now, if we rewrite (3.2) for the function $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$, we get

$$f(A !_v B)^{-1} = f\left(\left(A^{-1} \nabla_v B^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \geq f(A)^{-1} \nabla_v f(B)^{-1}.$$

By taking the inverses for both sides we infer $f(A !_v B) \leq f(A) !_v f(B)$. (Of course, if f is an operator monotone decreasing then $f(A !_v B) \geq f(A) !_v f(B)$.) \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $sA \leq B \leq tA$, and let τ_v, σ_v be two arbitrary operator means between the arithmetic mean and harmonic mean. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator monotone function, then for any $v \in [0, 1]$*

$$(3.3) \quad f(A) \sigma_v f(B) \leq f(\xi \psi (A \tau_v B)),$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{\xi \psi} A \sigma_v B\right) \leq f(A) \tau_v f(B).$$

The reverse of the above inequalities holds when f is operator decreasing.

Proof. The inequality (3.3) follows from a more general result of [4, Theorem B]. We prove (3.4). Direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{\xi\psi}A\sigma_v B\right) &\leq f\left(\frac{1}{\xi\psi}A\nabla_v B\right) \\ &\leq f(A!_v B) \quad (\text{by (3.1)}) \\ &\leq f(A)!_v f(B) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\leq f(A)\tau_v f(B) \quad (\text{since } !_v \leq \tau_v). \end{aligned}$$

□

By the same strategy as in [4, Corollary 2.1], we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, and let τ_v, σ_v be two arbitrary operator means between arithmetic mean and harmonic mean. If $f : [m, M] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an operator monotone increasing function, then for any $v \in [0, 1]$*

$$(3.5) \quad f(A)\sigma_v f(B) \leq f(L(m, M)(A\tau_v B)),$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{L(m, M)}(A\sigma_v B)\right) \leq f(A)\tau_v f(B),$$

where $L(m, M) \equiv \frac{(m\nabla_\lambda M)(m\sharp_\mu M)}{(m\sharp_\lambda M)(m!_\mu M)}$, $\lambda = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, and $\mu = \max\{v, 1-v\}$.

Now we are ready to present the following extensions of the Ando-Hiai inequalities (1.3) and (1.4).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, and let τ_v, σ_v be two arbitrary operator means between arithmetic mean and harmonic mean.*

$$(3.7) \quad \|A\sigma_v B\|^p \leq L(m^p, M^p) \|A^p \tau_v B^p\| \quad \text{for all } p > 1$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|A^p \sigma_v B^p\| \leq L(m^p, M^p) \|A \tau_v B\|^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1.$$

Proof. It follows from the inequality (3.5) that

$$A^{\frac{1}{p}} \sigma_v B^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq L(m, M)^{\frac{1}{p}} (A \tau_v B)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $p > 1$. By replacing A by A^p and B by B^p , we get

$$A \sigma_v B \leq L(m^p, M^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (A^p \tau_v B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This implies that

$$\|A \sigma_v B\| \leq L(m^p, M^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|A^p \tau_v B^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which is equivalent to (3.7).

Employing inequality (3.6), and by the same method as in the proof of inequality (3.7) we get the desired inequality (3.8). \square

Another interesting application of Corollary 3.1 is the following extension of the Golden-Thompson inequality to arbitrary means.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, and let σ_v be an arbitrary operator mean between the arithmetic mean and harmonic mean. Then, for $0 \leq v \leq 1, p > 0$ and any unitarily invariant norm $\| \cdot \|$,*

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq L^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|$$

and

$$\|e^{A \nabla_v B}\| \leq L^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|,$$

where $L := L(e^{pm}, e^{pM})$ is as in Corollary 3.1.

Proof. In (3.6), let $f(t) = t^{\frac{q}{p}}$, where $0 < q < p$ and replace (A, B) with (e^{pA}, e^{pB}) . Then

$$(e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq L^{\frac{q}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB}) \Rightarrow \left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{q}{p}} \right\| \leq \left\| L^{\frac{q}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB}) \right\|.$$

Again, since this is valid for any unitarily invariant norm, it is still true for the norm $\|X\|_q := \left\| |X|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|^q$ provided that $q < 1$. That is,

$$\left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \left\| L^{\frac{1}{p}} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB})^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|, p > q, 0 < q < 1.$$

Then letting $q \rightarrow 0^+$ implies the first desired inequality.

The second desired inequality follows similarly from (3.5). \square

As a consequence of Corollary 3.2, we have the following limit; which is a normed version of the limit [8]

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0^+} (e^{qA} \sharp_v e^{qB})^{\frac{1}{q}} = e^{A \nabla_v B}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.2, we have*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| = \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|.$$

Proof. From Corollary 3.2, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{L^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\| \leq \left\| (e^{pA} \sigma_v e^{pB})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq L^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e^{A \nabla_v B}\|,$$

where

$$L = \frac{(e^{pm} \nabla_{\lambda} e^{pM}) (e^{pm} \sharp_{\mu} e^{pM})}{(e^{pm} \sharp_{\lambda} e^{pM}) (e^{pm} \nabla_{\mu} e^{pM})}; \lambda = \min\{v, 1 - v\}, \mu = 1 - \lambda.$$

Direct computations show that $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} L^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$. Then the desired limit follows from (3.9) by Squeeze theorem. \square

On account of (3.4) and the fact that for any operator monotone function f and $\alpha \leq 1$, $\alpha f(t) \leq f(\alpha t)$, and usgin Ando's inequality $\Phi(A\sigma B) \leq \Phi(A)\sigma\Phi(B)$, we get

$$(3.10) \quad f(\Phi(A\sigma_v B)) \leq \xi\psi(f(\Phi(A))\tau_v f(\Phi(B))).$$

The above inequality can be regarded as a reverse of [4, Theorem B]. We conclude this paper by the following proposition; where the generalized Kantorovich constant is obtained instead of $\xi\psi$. Such inequalities are usually referred to as Pólya-type inequalities.

In this proposition, we use the notations

$$a_f \equiv \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}, \quad b_f \equiv \frac{Mf(m) - mf(M)}{M - m},$$

so that $a_f t + b_f$ represents the secant line of f at $(m, f(m))$ and $(M, f(M))$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, τ_v, σ_v be two arbitrary operator means between arithmetic and harmonic mean, and let $K(m, M, f) \equiv \max \left\{ \frac{a_f t + b_f}{f(t)} : t \in [m, M] \right\}$. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator monotone decreasing function, then*

$$(3.11) \quad f(\Phi(A))\tau_v f(\Phi(B)) \leq K(m, M, f) f(\Phi(A\sigma_v B)).$$

On the other hand, if $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is operator monotone increasing, then

$$(3.12) \quad f(\Phi(A\sigma_v B)) \leq K\left(m, M, \frac{1}{f}\right) (f(\Phi(A))\tau_v f(\Phi(B))).$$

Proof. On account of [2, Theorem 2.1], operator monotone decreasing implies operator convexity (and of course, convexity). Therefore,

$$f(t) \leq a_f t + b_f, \quad t \in [m, M].$$

By the assumption $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$, we can write

$$f(A) \leq a_f A + b_f I \quad \text{and} \quad f(B) \leq a_f B + b_f I.$$

Whence

$$f(A)\nabla_v f(B) \leq a_f (A\nabla_v B) + b_f I.$$

Now, by applying the Mond–Pečarić method we have for a given $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(A) \nabla_v f(B) - \alpha f(A \sigma_v B) \\ & \leq a_f (A \nabla_v B) + b_f I - \alpha f(A \sigma_v B) \\ & \leq a_f (A \sigma_v B) + b_f I - \alpha f(A \sigma_v B) \\ & \leq \max_{t \in [m, M]} \{a_f t + b_f - \alpha f(t)\} I \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used $\sigma_v \leq \nabla_v$ and $a_f \leq 0$. Consequently,

$$f(A) \nabla f(B) \leq \beta I + \alpha f(A \sigma B).$$

On the other hand, by taking into account $\tau_v \leq \nabla_v$, we get

$$(3.13) \quad f(A) \tau_v f(B) \leq \beta I + \alpha f(A \sigma_v B).$$

Now, by replacing A, B by $\Phi(A), \Phi(B)$, respectively, and applying Ando's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) \tau_v f(\Phi(B)) & \leq \beta I + \alpha f(\Phi(A) \sigma_v \Phi(B)) \\ & \leq \beta I + \alpha f(\Phi(A \sigma_v B)). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing appropriate α and β in the above inequality we have

$$(3.14) \quad f(\Phi(A)) \tau_v f(\Phi(B)) \leq K(m, M, f) f(\Phi(A \sigma_v B)),$$

whenever f is an operator monotone decreasing. On the other hand, we know that if f is operator monotone (increasing) on $(0, \infty)$, then $1/f$ is operator monotone decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. It follows from the inequality (3.14) that

$$(3.15) \quad f(\Phi(A))^{-1} \tau_v f(\Phi(B))^{-1} \leq K\left(m, M, \frac{1}{f}\right) f(\Phi(A \sigma_v B))^{-1}.$$

Taking inverse from inequality (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A \sigma_v B)) & \leq K\left(m, M, \frac{1}{f}\right) (f(\Phi(A))^{-1} \tau_v f(\Phi(B))^{-1})^{-1} \\ & = K\left(m, M, \frac{1}{f}\right) (f(\Phi(A)) \tau_v^* f(\Phi(B))) \end{aligned}$$

where τ_v^* is the adjoint of τ_v . Now, since τ_v is arbitrary, by replacing τ_v^* by τ_v we get the desired inequality (3.12). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Ando and F.Hiai, *Log-majorization and complementary Golden–Thompson type inequalities*, Linear Alg. Appl. **197/198** (1994), 113–131.
- [2] T. Ando and F. Hiai, *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*, Math. Ann. **350**(3) (2011), 611–630.
- [3] P. Forrester and C. J. Thompson, *The Golden-Thompson inequality historical aspects and random matrix applications*, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 023503 (2014); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4863477>
- [4] S. Furuichi, H.R. Moradi and M. Sababheh, *New sharp inequalities for operator means*, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2018.1461189>.
- [5] T. Furuta, J.M. Hot, J.E. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*. Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [6] S. Golden, *Lower bounds for Helmholtz function*, Phys. Rev. **137** (1965), B1127-B1128.
- [7] I.H. Gumus, H.R. Moradi and M. Sababheh, *More accurate operator means inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **465**(1), 267–280.
- [8] F.Hiai and D.Petz, *The Golden-Thompson trace inequality is complemented*, Linear Algebra Appl. **181** (1993), 153–185.
- [9] R. Nakamoto and Y. Seo, *A complement of the Ando-Hiai inequality and norm inequalities for the geometric mean*, Nihonkai Math. J. **18**(1-2) (2007), 43–50.
- [10] M. Sababheh, *Convexity and matrix means*, Linear Algebra Appl. **506** (2016), 588-602.
- [11] Y. Seo, *Reverses of the Golden-Thompson type inequalities due to Ando-Hiai-Petz*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **2**(2) (2008), 140–149.
- [12] C. J. Thompson, *Inequality with applications in statistical mechanics*, J. Math. Phys. **6** (1965), 1812-813.

²Young Researchers and Elite Club, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail address: hrmoradi@mshdiau.ac.ir

³Department of Basic Sciences, Princess Sumaya University for Technology, Amman, Jordan.

E-mail address: sababheh@psut.edu.jo