Formulation of Convergence and Continuity in Variation of Sets in a Different Way from Sequences of Sets and Correspondence

By Takefumi Fujimoto^{*}

Abstract

This paper treats the variation of sets. We attempt to formulate convergence and continuity of set-valued functions in a different way from the theories on sequences of sets and correspondence. In the final section, we also attempt to define differentiation of set-valued functions in a Euclidean space by bijection between two sets.

Key Words and Phrases

set-valued functions, sequences of sets, correspondence, convergence of set-valued functions at infinity, convergence of set-valued functions at a point, continuity of set-valued functions at a point, differentiation of set-valued functions in a Euclidean space

Contents

1	Introduction			
2	Cor	nvergence of Set-Valued Functions at Infinity		
	2.1	Definition	5	
	2.2	Examples	5	
	2.3	Theorems	10	
3	Cor	avergence of Set-Valued Functions at a Point	20	
	3.1	Definition	21	
	3.2	Examples	21	
	3.3	Theorems	24	
4	Continuity of Set-Valued Functions at a Point			
	4.1	Definition	27	
	4.2	Examples	27	
	4.3	Theorems	28	

*E-mail address: the-gift-of-31t@hotmail.co.jp; 2876681407@edu.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp

5	Other Possibilities of Development		30
	5.1	Element Specification	30
	5.2	Differentiation of Set-Valued Functions in a Euclidean Space	31
	5.3	Extension to Multivariable Set-Valued Functions	33
	5.4	Adaption to Sequences of Sets	34
Α	Арг	pendix	36

A Appendix

1

Introduction

This paper studies a theory on the variation of sets. In the paper, we deal with a set-valued function that are defined on the real line. The paper focuses on the following three concepts: convergence of set-valued functions at infinity, convergence of set-valued functions at a point, and continuity of set-valued functions at a point. We define these concepts in a different way from the theories on sequences of sets and correspondence, and investigate properties of them.

We should mention the convergence of sequences of sets as previous works on the variation of sets. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, \ldots$ and let A be a set. Then, we say that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A =$ $\bigcup_{\substack{n=1\\k=n}}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k.$ The set $\bigcap_{\substack{n=1\\k=n}}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ is called the *superior limit*, and the set $\bigcup_{\substack{n=1\\k=n}}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ is called the *inferior limit*. Here, we point two facts. First, the convergence of sequences of sets is characterized by the equality of the two limits. Second, sequences of sets can be interpreted as the dependence of a set on natural numbers.

This paper differs from the study of sequences of sets in three ways. First, the paper considers a set that changes depending on a real variable, a set-valued function. We use notations A(t), B(t), C(t), and so forth to denote set-valued functions that depend on the real variable t. Second, convergence of set-valued functions at infinity, which we shall deal with in the paper, is defined by a statement. Note that the notation $A \triangle B$ represents the symmetric difference of the sets A and B, and that $A \triangle B = \{A \cup B\} \cap \{A \cap B\}^c$. Then, we define the convergence of set-valued functions at infinity as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable t, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ if for all t_i and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists t_i such that for all $t \ge t_i$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$.

Third, convergence and continuity of set-valued functions at a point is also defined in a similar way. We define the convergence and continuity of set-valued functions at a point as follows:

Definition 1.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable t, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ if for all $t_i \ (t_i \neq t_0)$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$.

Definition 1.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable t, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) is continuous at t_0 if for all t_i and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A(t_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A(t_0)$.

The continuity in Definition 1.3 is not equivalent to that of correspondence. We could say that Definition 1.3 requires more strictness in the sense of continuity than correspondence. We shall clarify this in Subsection 4.2.

Concerning Definition 1.1, we should add that the previous work of sequences of sets has already investigated the relation between the symmetric difference and the convergence of sequences of sets (See for examples Niizeki [1] and [2]). If a sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a set A in the sense $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$, we denote it by $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$ here. In the previous work, it is revealed that $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$ is equivalent to $\lim_{n\to\infty} (A_n \triangle A) = \emptyset$. However, note that both $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} (A_n \triangle A) = \emptyset$ mean the equality of the superior limit and the inferior limit: $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$ means both of the two limits of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ coincide with the set A; $\lim_{n\to\infty} (A_n \triangle A) = \emptyset$ means both of the two limits of the sequence $\{A_n \triangle A\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ coincide with the empty set \emptyset . Hence, the paper differs from the previous work in that it does not define convergence of "changing sets" at infinity by the equality of the two limits.

Here, we adapt Definition 1.1 to sequences of sets, that is,

Definition 1.4. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets and let A be a set. We say that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A if for all $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x \in A_{n_i} \triangle A$, there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_j$ we have $x \notin A_n \triangle A$.

In reality, we can prove that Definition 1.4 is equivalent to the equality of the two limits of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This fact suggests that Definition 1.1 represents one of the intuitive ideas on convergence of "changing sets" at infinity. Here, we would like to stress that Definition 1.1 is formulated by a statement, not by an equality. Thus, the paper proves theorems on convergence of sequences of sets in a different way from the theory based on the equality of the two limits. Therefore, the equivalence also suggests that the paper provides a different way of proof in the theory of sequences of sets.¹

The plan of this paper is as follows. We deal with the convergence of setvalued functions at infinity in Section 2, the convergence of set-valued functions at a point in Section 3, and the continuity of set-valued functions at a point in Section 4. Each of the sections from Section 2 to Section 4 puts a definition on the respective concepts, gives examples, and then proves theorems. As examples of the theorems, we enumerates important theorems derived from Definition 1.1 in the initial part of Section 2. In Section 5, we investigate other possibilities of development in the study of set-valued functions. Section 5 first introduces

 $^{^1}$ We explain the intuitive idea in Subsection 2.1. For the proof of the equivalence, see Subsection 5.4.

a method to describe the behavior of set-valued functions by one-to-one correspondence. By this method, we then define the differentiation of set-valued functions in a Euclidean space. In the rest of Section 5, we attempt an extension to multivariable set-valued functions and an adaption to sequences of sets. In the subsection on the adaption, we prove Definition 1.4 is equivalent to the equality of the two limits of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Finally, Appendix adds other proofs of two theorems in Section 2.

Before closing Introduction, we make settings of the paper.

Settings Throughout the paper, we suppose that set-valued functions depend on a real variable t. We denote them by A(t), B(t), C(t), and so forth. To avoid problems with complicated domains, assume that set-valued functions are defined on \mathbb{R} unless expressly stated otherwise.

We use a lower-case letter x to denote an element belonging to a set or a set-valued function. We suppose that we are working in a fixed universe X that is not empty.

In sets A and B, $A \subset B$ allows the possibility A = B. If A is a proper subset of B, we write $A \subsetneq B$.

Finally, we let $A \triangle B$ be the symmetric difference of the sets A and B. The symmetric difference $A \triangle B$ is defined by

$$A \triangle B = \{A \cup B\} \cap \{A \cap B\}^c.$$

Intuitively, the symmetric difference $A \triangle B$ is the non-common part of A and B inside the union $A \cup B$. In this paper, we use the following three properties of symmetric difference:

- (1) $A \triangle B = A^c \triangle B^c$,
- $(2) \ A \triangle B = \{A \cap B^c\} \cup \{A^c \cap B\},\$
- $(3) \ \{A \cup B\} \triangle \{C \cup D\} \subset \{A \triangle C\} \cup \{B \triangle D\},\$

where A, B, C, D are sets.²

2 Convergence of Set-Valued Functions at Infinity

Section 2 discusses the convergence of set-valued functions at infinity. We define it in Subsection 2.1, give examples in Subsection 2.2, and then move to theorems and their proofs in Subsection 2.3. Subsection 2.3 aims at proving:

$$\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right) \bigtriangleup \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}\right) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \subset \Lambda} \left(A_{\lambda} \bigtriangleup B_{\lambda}\right),$$

where the index λ is an element of the index set Λ .

² Part (3) is generalized to

- a set-valued function converges if and only if its complement converges (Theorem 2.1),
- a set-valued function uniquely converges at infinity (Theorem 2.7),
- the union and intersection of convergent set-valued functions are convergent (Theorem 2.8),
- a set-valued function that is "expanding" on \mathbb{R} converges to its "supremum" (Theorem 2.10); a set-valued function that is "shrinking" on \mathbb{R} converges to its "infimum" (Theorem 2.11),
- a set-valued function "squeezed" between two set-valued functions converges if the two set-valued functions converge to the same set (Theorem 2.12).

To obtain the above conclusions, we need other theorems.

2.1 Definition

To begin with, we define the convergence of set-valued functions at infinity.

Definition 2.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ if for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$, and we write $\lim_{t\to\infty} A(t) = A$. Here, we call the set A limit of A(t) at infinity.

We explain the intuitive idea of Definition 2.1. Suppose that A(t) converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. We would agree that the convergence of A(t) to A intuitively means A(t) gets "closer" to A as t gets larger. This suggests that the non-common part of A(t) and A inside the union $A(t) \cup A$ gets "smaller" and "closer" to empty set \emptyset as t gets larger. From this idea, we could say that the symmetric difference $A(t) \triangle A$, the non-common part, gets "smaller" and "closer" to \emptyset as t gets larger.

Figure 1 pictures this idea. Take an arbitrary point t_i and choose an arbitrary element x from the symmetric difference $A(t_i) \triangle A$. At the point t_i , the element x belongs to $A(t_i) \triangle A$ (the left-hand portion of Figure 1). For sufficiently large t_j , however, the element x does not belong to $A(t_j) \triangle A$ (the right-hand portion of Figure 1), and also not to $A(t) \triangle A$ for all $t \ge t_j$.

We can sum up the above explanation as follows. The convergence of A(t) to A means that the symmetric difference $A(t) \triangle A$ gets "smaller" and "closer" to \emptyset . Hence, if we take an arbitrary point t_i , all of the elements of $A(t_i) \triangle A$ do not belong to $A(t) \triangle A$ for all sufficiently large t.

2.2 Examples

This subsection gives examples of the convergence of set-valued functions at infinity.




Figure 1: The shaded parts are the symmetric differences of A(t) and A at t_i (left-hand) and at t_j (right-hand).

First, consider the following four set-valued functions. We set them defined for t > 1. ³

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^2 \right\}, \\ B(t) &= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^2 \right\}, \\ C(t) &= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)^2 \right\}, \\ D(t) &= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, consider the following two sets.

$$A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}.$$

$$B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}.$$

The set-valued functions A(t) and D(t) are open for all t > 1; B(t) and C(t) closed for all t > 1. The set A is open; B closed. Here, we show that A(t) and B(t) converge to A as $t \to \infty$ and that C(t) and D(t) converge to B as $t \to \infty$.

Figure 2 illustrates A(t) and A. Take an arbitrary point $t_i > 1$ and choose an arbitrary element (x_i, y_i) from $A(t_i) \triangle A$. Then, if we take $t_j > 1$ such that $t_j > 1/1 - \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin A(t) \triangle A$ for all $t \ge t_j$.⁴ Since A(t)and A satisfy Definition 2.1, A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$.

For the same reason, B(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. It might be counterintuitive that the *closed* set-valued function B(t) converges to the *open* set A, not to the *closed* set B.

³ Each of the set-valued functions A(t) through D(t) is undefined for $t \leq 1$. Though we define a set-valued function on \mathbb{R} in Definition 2.1, there's no problem here.

⁴ To show the convergence of A(t) to A, we need to take $t_j > 1$ such that $1 - 1/t_j$, the radius of $A(t_j)$, is larger than $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, the distance from the origin to the element (x_i, y_i) .




Figure 2: The shaded part is $A(t) \triangle A$ at t_i , which does not contain the boundary of A. The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $A(t_i) \triangle A$.

Figure 3 illustrates B(t) and B. Take an arbitrary point $t_i > 1$ and pick an element (x_i, y_i) from ∂B , the boundary of B. Here, the element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $B(t_i) \Delta B$ because $\partial B \subset B(t) \Delta B$ for all t > 1. Then, we find that $(x_i, y_i) \in B(t) \Delta B$ for all $t \ge t_i$. This means that there does not exist $t_j > 1$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin B(t) \Delta B$. Since B(t) and B does not satisfy Definition 2.1, B(t) does not converge to B as $t \to \infty$.

Note that $A(t_i) \triangle A$ and $B(t_i) \triangle A$ does not contain the boundary of A, whereas $A(t_i) \triangle B$ and $B(t_i) \triangle B$ contain the boundary of B.

Similarly, we can show that C(t) and D(t) converge as $t \to \infty$ to B, not to A. Here, the *open* set-valued function D(t) converges to the *closed* set B.

Figure 4 illustrates C(t) and B. Take an arbitrary point $t_i > 1$ and choose an arbitrary element (x_i, y_i) from $C(t_i) \triangle B$. Then, if we take $t_j > 1$ such that

Thus, we derive the inequality $t_j > 1/1 - \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$ from the calculation below:

$$1 - \frac{1}{t_j} > \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow t_j > \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2}}.$$




Figure 3: The shaded part is $B(t) \triangle B$ at t_i , which contains the boundary of B, ∂B . The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to ∂B and $B(t_i) \triangle B$. Here, $(x_i, y_i) \in B(t) \triangle B$ for all $t \ge t_i$.

 $t_j > 1/\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2} - 1$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin C(t) \triangle B$ for all $t \ge t_j$. ⁵ Since C(t) and B satisfy Definition 2.1, C(t) converges to B as $t \to \infty$.

We can show the convergence of D(t) to B in a similar fashion. However, D(t) does not converge to A as $t \to \infty$.

Figure 5 illustrates D(t) and A. Take an arbitrary point $t_i > 1$ and pick an element (x_i, y_i) from ∂A , the boundary of A. Here, the element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $D(t_i) \triangle A$ because $\partial A \subset D(t) \triangle A$ for all t > 1. Then, we find that $(x_i, y_i) \in D(t) \triangle A$ for all $t \ge t_i$. This means that there does not exist $t_j > 1$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin D(t) \triangle A$. Since D(t) and A does not satisfy Definition 2.1, D(t) does not converge to A as $t \to \infty$.

Note that $C(t_i) \triangle B$ and $D(t_i) \triangle B$ does not contain the boundary of B, whereas $C(t_i) \triangle A$ and $D(t_i) \triangle A$ contain the boundary of A.

We have explained all of the examples. As a conclusion of this subsection, we can say that an *open* set-valued function may converge to a *closed* set as $t \to \infty$ and that a *closed* set-valued function may converge to an *open* set as $t \to \infty$.⁶

⁵ To show the convergence of C(t) to B, we need to take $t_j > 1$ such that $1 + 1/t_j$, the radius of $C(t_j)$, is smaller than $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, the distance from the origin to the element (x_i, y_i) . Thus, we derive the inequality $t_j > 1/\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2} - 1$ from the calculation below:

$$1 + \frac{1}{t_j} < \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow t_j > \frac{1}{\sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2} - 1}.$

⁶ This fact is related to the following properties in a Euclidean space: the intersection of infinitely-many open sets is not necessarily open; the union of infinitely-many closed sets is




Figure 4: The shaded part is $C(t) \triangle B$ at t_i , which does not contain the boundary of B. The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $C(t_i) \triangle B$.




Figure 5: The shaded part is $D(t) \triangle A$ at t_i , which contains the boundary of A, ∂A . The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to ∂A and $D(t_i) \triangle A$. Here, $(x_i, y_i) \in D(t) \triangle A$ for all $t \ge t_i$.

2.3 Theorems

In this subsection, we state and prove a series of theorems on Definition 2.1, the convergence of set-valued functions at infinity. For simplicity, set-valued functions are defined on \mathbb{R} throughout this subsection.

Our first theorem follows easily from Definition 2.1 by using the property of symmetric difference.

Theorem 2.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and $A(t)^c$ the complement of A(t) for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let A be a set and A^c the complement of A. Then, A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ if and only if $A(t)^c$ converges to A^c as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. By Definition 2.1, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$. Since $A \triangle B = A^c \triangle B^c$ with the sets A and B, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i)^c \triangle A^c$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \notin A(t)^c \triangle A^c$. It follows from Definition 2.1 that $A(t)^c$ converges to A^c as $t \to \infty$.

We can prove the converse similarly. \Box

We need the next theorem to derive further results.

Theorem 2.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. Then, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary point $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and choose an arbitrary element x from $\{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$. Then, we can consider two possibilities for the element $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$: first, for some $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x \in A(t_k) \triangle A$; second, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

To begin with, consider the first case where $x \in A(t_k) \triangle A$ for some $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$. The convergence of A(t) to A as $t \to \infty$ means that for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, it naturally holds that for the point $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and for the element $x \in A(t_k) \triangle A$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Next consider the second case where for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Then, this implies that there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

In either case, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the element $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ belongs to $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_j$. Since we take t_i and $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ arbitrarily, it holds that for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \Box

not necessarily closed.




Figure 6: The vertically lined part is $A(t) \triangle A$. The horizontally lined part is $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Both of $A(t) \triangle A$ and $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ hold stationary for all t because A(t) is the same for all t.

Remark In general, the converse of Theorem 2.2 is not true. Let A(t) be a set-valued function such that $A(t_1) = A(t_2)$ for all t_1, t_2 with $t_1 \neq t_2$ and let A be a set with $A(t) \neq A$ for all t. Figure 6 illustrates A(t) and A as a Venn diagram.

Since A(t) is the same for all t, so is $A(t) \triangle A$. Thus, if we take an arbitrary point t_i , all elements of $A(t_i) \triangle A$ belong to $A(t) \triangle A$ for all $t \ge t_i$. Hence, it does not hold that for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$ there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, we see that A(t) does not converge to A as $t \to \infty$. On the other hand, if we take an arbitrary point t_i , all elements of $\{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ belong to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_i$. Hence, it holds that for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

The next theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a set-valued function to converge at infinity.

Theorem 2.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. Then, A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ if and only if for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Before we prove the theorem, it is helpful to clarify the following three facts. We shall use them in proofs from here on.

First: Let A' be a subset of a set A and let B' be a subset of a set B. If every element of A belongs to B, then every element of A' belongs to B.⁷ On the other hand, if every element of A belongs to B', then every element of A belongs to B.⁸

⁷ If " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$," then " $x \in A' \Rightarrow x \in B$."

⁸ If " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B'$," then " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$."

Second: Let A, B, C, and D be sets. If every element of both A and B belongs to C, then every element of $A \cup B$ belongs to C. ⁹ On the other hand, if every element of A belongs to both C and D, then every element of A belongs to $C \cap D$. ¹⁰

Third: Let A, B, and C be sets. The distributive laws of set theory state that

$$(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C).$$
$$(A \cap B) \cup C = (A \cup C) \cap (B \cup C).$$

Having explained these properties, we then move to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof. Suppose that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. By Definition 2.1, for all $t_{i_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_{i_1}) \triangle A$ there exists $t_{j_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_1}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.2, for all $t_{i_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_{i_2}) \triangle A\}^c$ there exists $t_{j_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_2}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Take the same point t_i for t_{i_1}, t_{i_2} and let $t_0 = \max\{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}\}$. Then, every element of both $A(t_i) \triangle A$ and $\{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$ incress $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $\{A(t_i) \triangle A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c = X$, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $\{A(t_i) \triangle A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c = X$, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, it follows that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Next suppose that for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $A(t_i) \triangle A$ is a subset of X for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of $A(t_i) \triangle A$ at any $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \geq t_j$. Thus, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$ there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_j$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. By Definition 2.1, A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$.

Therefore, we have completed the proof of Theorem 2.3. \Box

Remark Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. As was discussed in Subsection 2.1, the convergence of A(t) to A intuitively means that $A(t) \triangle A$ gets "smaller" and "closer" to \emptyset as t gets larger. Conversely, $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ gets "larger" and "closer" to the universe X as t gets larger. So we could say that every element of X belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all sufficiently large t. For this reason, we could expect that Definition 2.1 is equivalent to Theorem 2.3.

Using the preceding theorem, we shall prove the next theorem.

⁹ If " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in C$ " and " $x \in B \Rightarrow x \in C$," then " $x \in A \cup B \Rightarrow x \in C$." ¹⁰ If " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in C$ " and " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in D$," then " $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in C \cap D$."

Theorem 2.4. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. Then, for all $x \in A$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$.

Proof. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, by Theorem 2.3, for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since Ais a subset of X, we see that every element of A belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Then, this substantially implies that for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A$, because we pick the element x from A. Note that $[\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A] \subset A(t)$, because

$$\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A = \left[\{A(t) \cap A\} \cup \{A(t)^c \cap A^c\} \right] \cap A$$
$$= \left[\{A(t) \cap A\} \cap A \right] \cup \left[\{A(t)^c \cap A^c\} \cap A \right]$$
$$= A(t) \cap A$$
$$\subset A(t).$$

Since $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A$ is a subset of A(t) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of A belongs to A(t) for all $t \ge t_0$. Hence, for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$. We have thus proved the theorem. \Box

Remark We can change the order of the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and 2.4. In Appendix, we first prove Theorem 2.4, and then prove Theorem 2.3.

We now obtain a corollary to Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. Then, for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$.

Proof. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $A(t)^c$ converges to A^c as $t \to \infty$. Applying Theorem 2.4, we conclude that for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. \Box

The next theorem is needed to prove the unique convergence of set-valued functions at infinity.

Theorem 2.6. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$, and let B be a set with $B \not\subset A$. Then, for some $x \in B$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $t_0 \ge t$ such that we have $x \in A(t_0)^c$.

Proof. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, it follows from Corollary 2.5 that for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. Since $A^c \cap B$ is a subset of A^c , we see that every element of $A^c \cap B$ belongs to $A(t)^c$ for all $t \ge t_1$. Thus, for all $x \in A^c \cap B$, there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. Here, notice that there necessarily exists an element x such that $x \in A^c$ and $x \in B$, because $B \not\subset A$. Thus, $A^c \cap B$ is not empty. By this fact, we can say that for some $x \in B$ there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_1$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. This implies that for some $x \in B$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $t_0 \geq t$ such that we have $x \in A(t_0)^c$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6. \Box

Remark The statement of Theorem 2.6, "for some $x \in B$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $t_1 \geq t$ such that we have $x \in A(t_1)^c$," is the negation of the statement of Theorem 2.4, "for all $x \in B$, there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_2$ we have $x \in A(t)$." This means that if A is limit of A(t), the negation of the statement of Theorem 2.4 holds for all sets B with $B \not\subset A$.

We are now in a position to prove the uniqueness of limit of a set-valued function at infinity.

Theorem 2.7. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limits A and B as $t \to \infty$. Then, A = B.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $A \not\subset B$ or $B \not\subset A$ holds.

In case $A \not\subset B$, we see from Theorem 2.6 that for some $x \in A$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $t_1 \geq t$ such that we have $x \in A(t_1)^c$. However, by Theorem 2.4 we find that for all $x \in A$, there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_2$ we have $x \in A(t)$, which is a contradiction.

In case $B \not\subset A$, we can derive a contradiction similarly.

By the contradictions above, we conclude that $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$, that is, A = B. \Box

Remark Theorem 2.7 allows us to speak of *the* limit of a set-valued function at infinity.

Now we prove the convergence of the union and intersection of convergent set-valued functions. In proving the result, we use the fact that $\{A \cup B\} \triangle \{C \cup D\} \subset \{A \triangle C\} \cup \{B \triangle D\}$ in the sets A, B, C, and D.

Theorem 2.8. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to \infty$. Then, the following hold:

- (1) $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cup B(t) = A \cup B.$
- (2) $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cap B(t) = A \cap B.$

Proof. We first prove part (1). Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} A(t) = A$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Similarly, since $\lim_{t\to\infty} B(t) = B$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_2$ we have $x \in \{B(t) \triangle B\}^c$. Let $t_0 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$. Then, we see that every element of X belongs to both

 $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \text{ and } \{B(t) \triangle B\}^c \text{ for all } t \geq t_0. \text{ Hence, for all } x \in X, \text{ there exists } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for all } t \geq t_0 \text{ we have } x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{B(t) \triangle B\}^c. \text{ Since } \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{B(t) \triangle B\}^c = [\{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{B(t) \triangle B\}]^c, \text{ it follows that for all } x \in X \text{ there exists } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for all } t \geq t_0 \text{ we have } x \in [\{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{B(t) \triangle B\}]^c. \text{ Note that } \{A(t) \cup B(t)\} \triangle \{A \cup B\} \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{B(t) \triangle B\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ }^{11} \text{ Thus, } [\{A(t) \cup B(t)\} \triangle \{A \cup B\}]^c \supset [\{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{B(t) \triangle B\}]^c \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Since } [\{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{B(t) \triangle B\}]^c \text{ is a subset of } [\{A(t) \cup B(t)\} \triangle \{A \cup B\}]^c \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ we see that every element of X belongs to } [\{A(t) \cup B(t)\} \triangle \{A \cup B\}]^c \text{ for all } t \geq t_0. \text{ Hence, for all } x \in X, \text{ there exists } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for all } t \geq t_0 \text{ we have } x \in [\{A(t) \cup B(t)\} \triangle \{A \cup B\}]^c. \text{ By Theorem 2.3, this means } \lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cup B(t) = A \cup B, \text{ which establishes the proof of part (1). }$

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cup B(t) = A \cup B$, which establishes the proof of part (1). We next prove part (2). Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) = A$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} B(t) = B$, we get $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t)^c = A^c$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} B(t)^c = B^c$ from Theorem 2.1. Using the result of part (1), we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t)^c \cup B(t)^c = A^c \cup B^c$$

Note that $A(t)^c \cup B(t)^c = [A(t) \cap B(t)]^c$ and that $A^c \cup B^c = [A \cap B]^c$. Using these facts, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [A(t) \cap B(t)]^c = [A \cap B]^c.$$

By Theorem 2.1, the preceding equation implies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cap B(t) = A \cap B.$$

We have thus proved part (2) of Theorem 2.8. \Box

Using induction on
$$n$$
, we can extend Theorem 2.8 to n set-valued functions.

Corollary 2.9. Let n be a positive integer. If a set-valued function $A_i(t)$ converges to the limit A_i as $t \to \infty$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, then the following hold:

- (1) $\lim_{t \to \infty} \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t) = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} A_i.$
- (2) $\lim_{t \to \infty} \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t) = \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} A_i.$

Proof. We first prove part (1). We use induction on n. The claim is trivial for n = 1. Assume it is true for n = k - 1. Take k - 1 convergent set-valued functions arbitrarily from k convergent set-valued functions. We denote the k-1 convergent set-valued functions each by $A_1(t), A_2(t), \ldots, A_{k-1}(t)$ and denote the rest by $A_k(t)$. Then by the induction assumption,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [A_1(t) \cup A_2(t) \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}(t)] = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}.$$

¹¹ In the sets A, B, C, and D, $\{A \cup B\} \triangle \{C \cup D\} \subset \{A \triangle C\} \cup \{B \triangle D\}$ holds. For the properties of symmetric difference used in this paper, see Settings in Introduction.

Note that $\{A_1(t) \cup A_2(t) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}(t)\} \cup A_k(t)$ is the union of the two setvalued functions $A_1(t) \cup A_2(t) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}(t)$ and $A_k(t)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.8 we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [\{A_1(t) \cup A_2(t) \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}(t)\} \cup A_k(t)] = \{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}\} \cup A_k$$
$$= A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1} \cup A_k.$$

Since we arbitrarily sort the k convergent set-valued functions into $A_1(t), A_2(t), \ldots, A_{k-1}(t)$ and $A_k(t)$, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [A_1(t) \cup A_2(t) \cup \dots \cup A_k(t)] = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k.$$

By the principle of induction, we have completed the proof of part(1).

Part (2) is proved similarly. \Box

We move to theorems on the convergence of a set-valued function to "supremum" and "infimum." We need to define some concepts.

Definition 2.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and I an interval in \mathbb{R} . We say that A(t) is expanding on I if for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 < t_2$ we have $A(t_1) \subset A(t_2)$.

Definition 2.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and I an interval in \mathbb{R} . We say that A(t) is shrinking on I if for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 < t_2$ we have $A(t_2) \subset A(t_1)$.

Definition 2.4. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and U a set. We say that U is an upper bound of A(t) if for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $A(t) \subset U$. In particular, S, an upper bound of A(t), is called supremum of A(t) if for all upper bounds U of A(t) we have $S \subset U$.

Definition 2.5. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and L a set. We say that L is a lower bound of A(t) if for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $L \subset A(t)$. In particular, N, a lower bound of A(t), is called infimum of A(t) if for all lower bounds L of A(t) we have $L \subset N$.

If a set-valued function A(t) has an upper bound, then supremum of A(t) exists. Furthermore, it is unique.

Let $\{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an indexed family of upper bounds for A(t), where the index λ is an element of the index set Λ . Then, the intersection $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}$ is supremum of A(t) because $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \subset S_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $A(t) \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}$ for all t. In addition, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}$ is uniquely determined by the definition of the intersection. ¹²

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} = \{ x \in X \mid x \in S_{\lambda} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

 $^{^{12}}$ The intersection $\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\bigcap} S_{\lambda}$ is defined as follows:

Similarly, if a set-valued function A(t) has a lower bound, infimum of A(t) exists and is unique. Let $\{N_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}$ be an indexed family of lower bounds for A(t), where the index σ is an element of the index set Σ . Then, the union $\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} N_{\sigma}$ is infimum of A(t) because $N_{\sigma} \subset \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} N_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} N_{\sigma} \subset A(t)$ for all t. Additionally, $\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} N_{\sigma}$ is uniquely determined by the definition of the union. ¹³

Since supremum and infimum of a set-valued function are unique, we speak of *the* supremum and *the* infimum.

In fact, every set-valued function has an upper bound and a lower bound because it is contained in the universe X, and contains the empty set \emptyset . Thus, there necessarily exist the supremum and the infimum for every set-valued function.

Having defined the basic concepts, we shall derive theorems concerning them. The next theorem is on the convergence to the supremum.

Theorem 2.10. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that has the supremum S. If A(t) is expanding on \mathbb{R} , then A(t) converges to S as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary point $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and choose an arbitrary element x from $A(t_i) \Delta S$. Then, we show that for some point $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t_j)$. Suppose for a contradiction that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. Since $x \in A(t)^c$ and $A(t) \subset S$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $A(t) \subset S \cap \{x\}^c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which means that $S \cap \{x\}^c$ is an upper bound of A(t). Note that x, the element of $A(t_i) \Delta S$, belongs to S, because

$$A(t_i) \triangle S = \{A(t_i) \cup S\} \cap \{A(t_i) \cap S\}^c$$
$$= S \cap A(t_i)^c$$

Thus, $S \cap \{x\}^c \subsetneq S$, which contradicts the assumption that S is the supremum of A(t).

Therefore, for some point $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t_j)$. Since A(t) is expanding on \mathbb{R} , $x \in A(t)$ for all $t \geq t_j$. Note that $A(t) \triangle S \subset A(t)^c$ because $A(t) \triangle S = S \cap A(t)^c$. Considering $x \in A(t)$ for all $t \geq t_j$ and $A(t) \triangle S \subset A(t)^c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $x \notin A(t) \triangle S$ for all $t \geq t_j$.

Therefore, we have proved the theorem. \Box

Remark If a set-valued function A(t) is expanding and has no upper bound except the universe X, then A(t) converges to X as $t \to \infty$ because X is the supremum of A(t). We give its example below:

$$A(t) = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < t^2 \},\$$

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} N_{\sigma} = \{ x \in X \mid x \in N_{\sigma} \text{ for some } \sigma \in \Sigma \}.$$

¹³ The union $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} N_{\sigma}$ is defined as follows.

where we define A(t) for t > 0. The set-valued function A(t) is expanding for all t > 0 and $A(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for all t > 0. Also, A(t) has no upper bound except the universe \mathbb{R}^2 , because for any set $B \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^2$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $B \subset A(t_0)$, which implies $A(t_0) \not\subset B$. Thus, A(t) converges to the universe \mathbb{R}^2 as $t \to \infty$.

The next theorem is on the convergence to the infimum.

Theorem 2.11. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that has the infimum N. If A(t) is shrinking on \mathbb{R} , then A(t) converges to N as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary point $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and choose an arbitrary element x from $A(t_i) \triangle N$. Then, we show that for some point $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t_j)^c$. Suppose for a contradiction that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Since $x \in A(t)$ and $N \subset A(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $N \cup \{x\} \subset A(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which means that $N \cup \{x\}$ is a lower bound of A(t). Note that x, the element of $A(t_i) \triangle N$, belongs to N^c , because

$$A(t_i) \triangle N = \{A(t_i) \cup N\} \cap \{A(t_i) \cap N\}^c$$
$$= A(t_i) \cap N^c$$

Thus, $N \subsetneq N \cup \{x\}^c$, which contradicts the assumption that N is the infimum of A(t).

Therefore, for some point $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x \in A(t_j)^c$. Since A(t) is shrinking on \mathbb{R} , $x \in A(t)^c$ for all $t \ge t_j$. Note that $A(t) \triangle N \subset A(t)$ because $A(t) \triangle N =$ $A(t) \cap N^c$. Considering $x \in A(t)^c$ for all $t \ge t_j$ and $A(t) \triangle N \subset A(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $x \notin A(t) \triangle N$ for all $t \ge t_j$.

Therefore, we have proved the theorem. \Box

Remark Consider again the set-valued functions A(t), B(t), C(t), D(t) and the sets A, B in Subsection 2.2.

From Definition 2.2 and 2.4, we find that A(t) and B(t) are expanding for all t > 1, and that A is their supremum. On the other hand, we see from Definition 2.3 and 2.5 that C(t) and D(t) is shrinking for all t > 1, and that B is their infimum.

Theorem 2.10 corresponds to the fact that the expanding set-valued functions A(t) and B(t) converge to their supremum A as $t \to \infty$; Theorem 2.11 corresponds to the fact that the shrinking set-valued functions C(t) and D(t)converge to their infimum B as $t \to \infty$.

We next show the squeeze theorem of set-valued functions. In proving the theorem, we use the fact that $A \triangle B = \{A \cap B^c\} \cup \{A^c \cap B\}$ in the sets A and B.

Theorem 2.12. Let A(t), B(t), and C(t) be set-valued functions such that $A(t) \subset B(t)$ and $B(t) \subset C(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If A(t) and C(t) converge to a set A as $t \to \infty$, then B(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. To prove the theorem, we first show that

$$B(t) \triangle A \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{C(t) \triangle A\}.$$

Note $\{A(t) \cap A^c\} \subset \{C(t) \cap A^c\}$ and $\{C(t)^c \cap A\} \subset \{A(t)^c \cap A\}$ because $A(t) \subset C(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Using these inclusions, we get

$$\{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{C(t) \triangle A\} = \left[\{A(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{A(t)^c \cap A\} \right] \cup \left[\{C(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{C(t)^c \cap A\} \right]$$
$$= \left[\{A(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{C(t) \cap A^c\} \right] \cup \left[\{A(t)^c \cap A\} \cup \{C(t)^c \cap A\} \right]$$
$$= \{C(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{A(t)^c \cap A\}.$$

Note $\{B(t) \cap A^c\} \subset \{C(t) \cap A^c\}$ and $\{B(t)^c \cap A\} \subset \{A(t)^c \cap A\}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ because $B(t) \subset C(t)$ and $A(t) \subset B(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By these facts, we are led to

$$\{C(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{A(t)^c \cap A\} \supset \{B(t) \cap A^c\} \cup \{B(t)^c \cap A\}$$
$$= B(t) \triangle A.$$

Thus, we obtain $\{B(t) \triangle A\} \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\} \cup \{C(t) \triangle A\}.$

By using the inclusion above, we show that for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ we have $x \in \{B(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_1$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Similarly, since C(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_2$ we have $x \in \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Let $t_0 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$. Then, we see that every element of X belongs to both $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ and $\{C(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Thus, for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $\{B(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $\{B(t) \triangle A\}^c \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Hence, $\{B(t) \triangle A\}^c \supset \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap \{C(t) \triangle A\}^c$ is a subset of $\{B(t) \triangle A\}^c$, we see that every element of X belongs to $\{B(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Consequently, for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$. Consequently, for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$. By Theorem 2.3, B(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. \Box

In the rest of the subsection, we collect some miscellaneous theorems.

Theorem 2.13. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to the limit A as $t \to \infty$, and let B be a set such that for all $x \in B$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Then, $B \subset A$.

Proof. For a contradiction, suppose that $B \not\subset A$. Then, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that for some $x \in B$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $t_1 \geq t$ such that we have $x \in A(t_1)^c$. But this contradicts the assumption that for all $x \in B$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$. \Box

Theorem 2.14. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to \infty$. If $A(t) \subset B(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $A \subset B$.

Proof. For a contradiction, suppose that $A \not\subset B$. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, we find from Theorem 2.4 that for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Since A(t) is a subset of B(t) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of A belongs to B(t) for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in B(t)$. Since $A \not\subset B$, there exists an element x such that $x \in A$ and $x \in B^c$, that is, $x \in A \cap B^c$. Hence, $A \cap B^c$ is not empty. By the fact that $A \cap B^c$ is a subset of A, it holds that for all $x \in A \cap B^c$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in B(t)$. Since B(t) converges to B as $t \to \infty$, $A \cap B^c \subset B$ by Theorem 2.13. As was mentioned, $A \cap B^c$ is not empty. Thus, $A \cap B^c \subset B$ means that there exists an element x such that $x \in B$ and $x \in B^c$, a contradiction. Therefore, $A \subset B$ holds. \Box

Theorem 2.15. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. If there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that A(t) = A for all $t \ge t_0$, then A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Since $A(t) \triangle A = \emptyset$ for all $t \ge t_0$, we have $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c = X$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, if we take an arbitrary element x from the universe X, then $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_0$. We conclude, by Theorem 2.3, that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. \Box

Theorem 2.16. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to \infty$, and let C be a set. If there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A(t) \cup B(t) = C$ for all $t \ge t_0$, then $A \cup B = C$.

Proof. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) = A$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} B(t) = B$, by Theorem 2.8 we get

(2.1)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cup B(t) = A \cup B$$

Since $A(t) \cup B(t) = C$ for all $t \ge t_0$, by Theorem 2.15 we get

(2.2)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) \cup B(t) = C$$

Combining equation (2.1) and (2.2), we get $A \cup B = C$.

Theorem 2.17. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to \infty$, and let C be a set. If there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A(t) \cap B(t) = C$ for all $t \ge t_0$, then $A \cap B = C$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.17 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.16. \Box

3 Convergence of Set-Valued Functions at a Point

Section 3 discusses the convergence of set-valued functions at a point. Similarly to Section 2, we define it in Subsection 3.1, give examples in Subsection 3.2, and

then move to theorems in Subsection 3.3. We formulate the convergence at a point on the basis of the same idea as the convergence at infinity. Thus, we can derive similar theorems to those of Subsection 2.3 and prove them in almost all the same way.

3.1 Definition

We begin with a definition.

Definition 3.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ if for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($t_i \neq t_0$) and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) = A$.

Here, we call the set A limit of A(t) at t_0 .

Definition 3.1 is based on the same intuitive idea as Definition 2.1 of Section 2. Suppose that a set-valued function A(t) converges to limit A as $t \to t_0$. The convergence of A(t) to A at t_0 means that $A(t) \triangle A$, the non-common part of A(t) and A, gets "smaller" and "closer" to \emptyset as t gets closer to t_0 . Hence, if we take an arbitrary point t_i , all of the elements of $A(t_i) \triangle A$ do not belong to $A(t) \triangle A$ for all t sufficiently close to t_0 .

We can adapt Definition 3.1 to one-sided convergence at a point:

Definition 3.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ from the left if for all $t_i < t_0$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < t_0 - s < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0 - 0} A(t)$

= A. Here, we call the set A left-hand limit of A(t) at t_0 .

Definition 3.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ from the right if for all $t_i > t_0$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < s - t_0 < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0+0} A(t)$

= A. Here, we call the set A right-hand limit of A(t) at t_0 .

We close this subsection with a remark.

Remark The set-valued function A(t) can converge to A as $t \to t_0$ even in the case $A(t_0)$ is not equal to A. Thus, the set $A(t_0)$ is irrelevant to whether A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$. Contrary, the set $A(t_0)$ is relevant to whether A(t) is "continuous" at t_0 , as we shall see in Section 4.

3.2 Examples

Subsection 3.2 gives examples of the convergence of set-valued functions at a point. One of the implications in this subsection is that the left-hand limit seldom coincides with the right-hand one in a Euclidean space.

First, consider the following two set-valued functions. We set them defined for t > 0.

$$A(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < t^2\}$$

$$B(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le t^2\}$$

Second, consider the following two sets.

$$\begin{split} A &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}.\\ B &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}. \end{split}$$

The set-valued function A(t) is open for all t > 0; B(t) closed for all t > 0. The set A is open; B closed.

We show that A(t) converges to A as $t \to 1$ from the left. Figure 7 illustrates A(t) and A in case 0 < t < 1. Take an arbitrary point $0 < t_i < 1$ and choose an arbitrary element (x_i, y_i) from $A(t_i) \triangle A$. Then, if we take $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin A(t) \triangle A$ for all $0 < 1 - t < \delta$, that is, for all $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2} < t < 1$. ¹⁴ Since A(t) and A satisfy Definition 3.2, A(t) converges to A as $t \to 1$ from the left.

For the same reason, B(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ from the left. However, B(t) does not converge to B as $t \to \infty$ from the left because $B(t_i) \triangle B$ contains the boundary ∂B for all $0 < t_i < 1$. Since $\partial B \subset B(t) \triangle B$ for all 0 < t < 1, we find that some element of $B(t_i) \triangle B$ belongs to $B(t) \triangle B$ for all 0 < t < 1.

We next show that A(t) converges B as $t \to 1$ from the right. Figure 8 illustrates A(t) and B in case t > 1. Take an arbitrary point $t_i > 1$ and choose an arbitrary element (x_i, y_i) from $A(t_i) \triangle B$. Then, if we take $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2} - 1$, we have $(x_i, y_i) \notin A(t) \triangle B$ for all $0 < t - 1 < \delta$, that is, for all $1 < t < \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$. ¹⁵ Since A(t) and B satisfy Definition 3.3, A(t) converges to B as $t \to 1$ from the right.

$$\sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2} < t < 1$$

 $\Leftrightarrow 0 < 1 - t < 1 - \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2}$

Let $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$. Then, the inequality above becomes

$$0 < 1 - t < \delta.$$

¹⁵ To show the convergence of A(t) to B, we need to take $\delta > 0$ such that t, the radius of A(t), is smaller than $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, the distance from the origin to the element (x_i, y_i) . Thus, we obtain $\delta = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2} - 1$ by the calculation below:

$$\begin{split} 1 &< t < \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow 0 < t - 1 < \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2} - 1. \end{split}$$
 Let $\delta = \sqrt{{x_i}^2 + {y_i}^2} - 1.$ Then, the inequality above becomes
$$0 < t - 1 < \delta. \end{split}$$

¹⁴ To show the convergence of A(t) to A, we need to take $\delta > 0$ such that t, the radius of A(t), is larger than $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$, the distance from the origin to the element (x_i, y_i) . Thus, we obtain $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$ by the calculation below:




Figure 7: The shaded part is $A(t_i) \triangle A$, which does not contain the boundary of A. The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $A(t_i) \triangle A$.




Figure 8: The shaded part is $A(t_i) \triangle A$, which does not contain the boundary of *B*. The element (x_i, y_i) belongs to $A(t_i) \triangle B$.




Figure 9: In both cases $t \to 1$ from the left and from the right, $C(t) \triangle C = \{t, 1\}$. The element $\{1\}$ belongs to $C(t) \triangle C$ for all t < 1 and for all t > 1.

For the same reason, B(t) converges to B as $t \to 1$ from the right. Here, A(t) does not converge to A as $t \to 1$ from the right because $A(t_i) \triangle A$ contains the boundary ∂A for all $t_i > 1$. Since $\partial A \subset A(t) \triangle A$ for all t > 1, we find that some element of $A(t_i) \triangle A$ belongs to $A(t) \triangle A$ for all t > 1.

As a conclusion, we can say that it is difficult to find "both-handed" limit at a point in a Euclidean space. In the examples earlier, the left-hand limit does not coincide with the right-hand one. In a Euclidean space, the coincidence occurs at a point in a case where a set-valued function holds stationary in a neighborhood of the point (See Theorem 3.13 in Subsection 3.3).

Finally, we note there is a set-valued function that do not have even one-sided limit at a point. Now consider a point set below:

$$C(t) = \{t\},\$$

where we define C(t) on \mathbb{R} . Let C be a set such that $C = \{1\}$. Figure 9 illustrates C(t) and C.

We first show that C(t) does not converge to C as $t \to 1$ from the left. Take an arbitrary point $t_i < 1$. Then $C(t) \triangle C = \{t_i, 1\}$. If we choose an element $\{1\}$ from $C(t) \triangle C$, we have $\{1\} \in C(t) \triangle C$ for all t > 1. Since C(t) and C does not satisfy Definition 3.2, C(t) does not converge to C as $t \to 1$ from the left. Similarly, we can show that C(t) does not converge to C as $t \to 1$ from the right.

We need to consider a real number and a set separately. If a real variable t approaches 1, we can regard the variable t as convergent to the number 1 though $t \neq 1$. But, we cannot regard the set-valued function $\{t\}$ as convergent to the set $\{1\}$ because $t \neq 1$. On account of the dense property of real numbers, we can consider the variable t the same as the number 1 if t approaches 1. However, we cannot consider the set-valued function $\{t\}$ the same as the set $\{1\}$ because $\{t\}$ is completely different from $\{1\}$ as an element.

3.3 Theorems

In Sebsection 3.3, we discuss theorems on Definition 3.1. Definition 2.1 and Definition 3.1 are based on the same intuitive idea and constructed in a similar way. So, adapting theorems on Definition 2.1 to Definition 3.1, we can obtain many theorems similar to those of Subsection 2.3. Furthermore, we can prove them in almost all the same way as Subsection 2.3. Thus, in Subsection 3.3

we only list theorems on Definition 3.1 and do not prove them. For simplicity, set-valued functions are defined on \mathbb{R} . ¹⁶

Theorem 3.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and $A(t)^c$ the complement of A(t) for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let A be a set and A^c the complement of A. Then, A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ if and only if $A(t)^c$ converges to A^c as $t \to t_0$.

Theorem 3.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to t_0$. Then, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $(t_i \neq t_0)$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A\}^c$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Theorem 3.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. Then, A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$ if and only if for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Theorem 3.4. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to t_0$. Then, for all $x \in A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)$.

Corollary 3.5. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to A as $t \to t_0$. Then, for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$.

Theorem 3.6. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to t_0$, and let B be a set with $B \not\subset A$. Then, for some $x \in B$ and for all $\delta > 0$, there exists $t_j \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ such that we have $x \in A(t_j)^c$.

Theorem 3.7. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limits A and B as $t \to t_0$. Then, A = B.

Theorem 3.8. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to t_0$. Then, the following hold:

- (1) $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) \cup B(t) = A \cup B.$
- (2) $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) \cap B(t) = A \cap B.$

Corollary 3.9. Let n be a positive integer. If a set-valued function $A_i(t)$ converges to the limit A_i as $t \to t_0$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, then the following hold:

- (1) $\lim_{t \to t_0} \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t) = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} A_i.$
- (2) $\lim_{t \to t_0} \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t) = \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} A_i.$

¹⁶ In the preceding subsection, we illustrated that it is difficult to find both-handed limit at a point in a Euclidean space. Thus, the listed theorems might be unmeaningful themselves. However, we can easily adapt the theorems to one-handed limit at a point. This means we can practically apply the theorems by the adaption because it is not difficult to find one-handed limit in a Euclidean space. Considering convenience, we list the theorems of both-handed limit, not one-handed limit.

Theorem 3.10. Let A(t), B(t), and C(t) be set-valued functions such that $A(t) \subset B(t)$ and $B(t) \subset C(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If A(t) and C(t) converge to a set A as $t \to t_0$, then B(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$.

Theorem 3.11. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to the limit A as $t \to t_0$, and let B be a set such that for all $x \in B$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Then, $B \subset A$.

Theorem 3.12. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to t_0$. If $A(t) \subset B(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $A \subset B$.

Theorem 3.13. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that A(t) = A for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$, then A(t) converges to A as $t \to t_0$.

Theorem 3.14. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to t_0$, and let C be a set. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $A(t) \cup B(t) = C$ for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$, then $A \cup B = C$.

Theorem 3.15. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that converge to the limits A and B, respectively, as $t \to t_0$, and let C be a set. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $A(t) \cap B(t) = C$ for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$, then $A \cap B = C$.

We can adapt the above theorems to Definition 3.2 and 3.3. The same results hold for one-sided convergence.

We conclude this subsection by proving a theorem on the coincidence of the left-hand limit and the right-hand limit.

Theorem 3.16. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. Then, $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) = A$ if and only if $\lim_{t \to t_0 - 0} A(t) = A = \lim_{t \to t_0 + 0} A(t)$.

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) = A$. By Theorem 3.3, for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. This implies that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < t_0 - s < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, we get $\lim_{t \to t_0 - 0} A(t) = A$ by adapting Theorem 3.3 to Definition 3.2. Similarly, it holds that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < s - t_0 < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, we get $\lim_{t \to t_0 + 0} A(t) = A$ by adapting Theorem 3.3 to Definition 3.2. Similarly, it holds that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < s - t_0 < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Thus, we get $\lim_{t \to t_0 + 0} A(t)$ by adapting Theorem 3.3 to Definition 3.3.

Now suppose that $\lim_{t \to t_0 - 0} A(t) = A = \lim_{t \to t_0 + 0} A(t)$. Adapting Theorem 3.3 to Definition 3.2, we see that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < t_0 - s < \delta_1\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Adapting Theorem 3.3 to Definition 3.3, on the other hand, we see that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < t_0 - s < \delta_2\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, we see that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < t_0 - s < \delta_2\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, we see that for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Therefore, we have proved the theorem. \Box

4 Continuity of Set-Valued Functions at a Point

Section 4 discusses the continuity of set-valued functions at a point. We define it in Subsection 4.1, give examples in Subsection 4.2, and then move to theorems in Subsection 4.3. Similarly to Subsection 3.3, we only list theorems and don't prove them in Subsection 3.3.

4.1 Definition

Section 4 starts with the definition of the continuity of set-valued functions at a point.

Definition 4.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that A(t) is continuous at t_0 if for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A(t_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A(t_0)$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) = A(t_0)$.

The continuity at a point stands on the same idea as the convergence at a point. Definition 4.1 also utilizes the property that $A(t) \triangle A(t_0)$ gets "smaller" and "closer" to \emptyset as t gets closer to t_0 . Unlike the convergence at a point, however, the continuity at a point is critically related to the set $A(t_0)$. The continuity at a point demands that A(t) gets "closer" to the set $A(t_0)$, as well as to the limit $\lim_{t\to t_0} A(t)$, as t gets closer to t_0 . In other words, it demands that $\lim_{t\to t_0} A(t)$ coincides with $A(t_0)$. This fact justifies the notation $\lim_{t\to t_0} A(t) = A(t_0)$, which simplifies the definition of the continuity at a point.

We can define one-sided continuity at a point, similarly to one-sided convergence at a point.

Definition 4.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that A(t) is continuous on the left at t_0 if for all $t_i \leq t_0$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A(t_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq t_0 - s < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A(t_0)$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0 - 0} A(t) = A(t_0)$.

Definition 4.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that A(t) is continuous on the right at t_0 if for all $t_i \ge t_0$ and for all $x \in A(t_i) \triangle A(t_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le s - t_0 < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(t) \triangle A(t_0)$, and we write $\lim_{t \to t_0+0} A(t) = A(t_0)$.

4.2 Examples

Subsection 4.2 gives examples of the continuity of set-valued functions at a point. Similarly to convergence at a point, both-sided continuity seldom exists in a Euclidean space. Consider the following two set-valued functions. We set them defined for t > 0.

$$A(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < t^2\}.$$

$$B(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le t^2\}.$$

The set-valued functions above are the same ones as A(t), B(t) in Subsection 3.2. Substituting t = 1 in A(t) and B(t), we get

$$A(1) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$$

$$B(1) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$$

Note that A(1) is equal to the left-hand limit $\lim_{t\to 1-0} A(t)$, and that B(1) is equal to the right-hand limit $\lim_{t\to 1+0} B(t)$ (See Subsection 3.2). Thus, in the same way as Subsection 3.2 we can show that A(t) is continuous on the left at t = 1, and that B(t) is continuous on the right at t = 1. It can also be shown that A(t) is not continuous on the right at t = 1, and that B(t) is not continuous on the right at t = 1, and that B(t) is not continuous on the right at t = 1.

Both-sided continuity does not exist in the examples here. It is difficult to find it in a Euclidean space. This difficulty stems from the fact that the lefthand limit seldom coincides with the right-hand one in a Euclidean space. In a Euclidean space, we can find out both-sided continuity at a point in case a set-valued function holds stationary in a neighborhood of the point (See Theorem 4.10 in Subsection 4.3).

Finally, we explain that the continuity of Definition 4.1 is different from the continuity of correspondence. The set-valued functions A(t) and B(t) in this subsection are continuous in terms of correspondence because A(t) and B(t) are upper and lower hemi-continuous. Here, the degree of strictness causes the difference. Namely, Definition 4.1 demands more strictness in continuity than correspondence. Definition 4.1 does not permit any small sudden change in continuity, whereas correspondence permits a sudden change if it is not large.

We explain this through the set-valued functions A(t) and B(t) in this subsection. The set-valued function A(t) gets "closer" to the set $A(t_0)$ as t gets closer to a point t_0 from the left. At last A(t) gets equal to $A(t_0)$ when t gets equal to t_0 . On the other hand, B(t) gets "closer" to the set $A(t_0)$ as t gets closer to t_0 from the left. But B(t) "skips" $A(t_0)$ and gets equal to $B(t_0)$ when tgets equal to t_0 . This "skip" of B(t) is a small but sudden change. Definition 4.1 does not permit this sudden change even though it is small. Thus, B(t) is not continuous in Definition 4.1.

Similarly, A(t) is not continuous in Definition 4.1. The set-valued function A(t) gets "closer" to the set $B(t_0)$ as t gets closer to t_0 from the right. But A(t) "skips" $B(t_0)$ and gets equal to $A(t_0)$ when t gets equal to t_0 .

In correspondence, a sudden change is permitted if it is sufficiently small. Thus, A(t) and B(t) is continuous in correspondence.

Therefore, we could say that Definition 4.1 demands *strict* continuity, whereas correspondence demands *loose* continuity.

4.3 Theorems

In Subsection 4.3, we discuss theorems on Definition 4.1. Definition 4.1 is constructed in a similar way to Definition 2.1 and 3.1. Thus, we can derive many theorems similar to those of Subsection 2.3 and 3.3 in almost all the same way. For this reason, we only list theorems and do not prove them. For simplicity, set-valued functions are defined on \mathbb{R} .¹⁷

Theorem 4.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and $A(t)^c$ the complement of A(t) for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, A(t) is continuous at t_0 if and only if $A(t)^c$ is continuous at t_0 .

Theorem 4.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that is continuous at t_0 . Then, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i) \triangle A(t_0)\}^c$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A(t_0)\}^c$.

Theorem 4.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. Then, A(t) is continuous at t_0 if and only if for all $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A(t_0)\}^c$.

Theorem 4.4. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that is continuous at t_0 . Then, for all $x \in A(t_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)$.

Corollary 4.5. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that is continuous at t_0 . Then, for all $x \in A(t_0)^c$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$.

Theorem 4.6. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that is continuous at t_0 , and let B be a set with $B \not\subset A(t_0)$. Then, for some $x \in B$ and for all $\delta > 0$, there exists $t_i \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ such that we have $x \in A(t_i)^c$.

Theorem 4.7. Let A(t) and B(t) be set-valued functions that are continuous at t_0 . Then, the following hold:

- (1) $A(t) \cup B(t)$ is continuous at t_0 .
- (2) $A(t) \cap B(t)$ is continuous at t_0 .

Corollary 4.8. Let n be a positive integer. If a set-valued function $A_i(t)$ is continuous at t_0 for each $1 \le i \le n$, then the following hold:

- (1) $\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t)$ is continuous at t_0 .
- (2) $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} A_i(t)$ is continuous at t_0 .

Theorem 4.9. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that is continuous at t_0 , and let B be a set such that for all $x \in B$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Then, $B \subset A(t_0)$.

 $^{^{17}}$ Similarly to Subsection 3.3, we practically apply the listed theorems by adapting them to one-sided continuity. For convenience, we list the theorems of both-sided continuity, not one-sided continuity.

Theorem 4.10. Let A(t) be a set-valued function. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $A(t_1) = A(t_2)$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid |s - t_0| < \delta\}$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$, then A(t) is continuous at t_0 .

We can adapt the above theorems to Definition 4.2 and 4.3. The same results hold for one-sided continuity

Similarly to Theorem 3.16 in Subsection 3.3, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11. Let A(t) be a set-valued function. Then, $\lim_{t \to t_0} A(t) = A(t_0)$ if and only if $\lim_{t \to t_0-0} A(t) = A(t_0) = \lim_{t \to t_0+0} A(t)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 4.11 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.16. \Box

5 Other Possibilities of Development

Section 5 investigates other possibilities of development in the study of setvalued functions. Subsection 5.1 presents a method to describe the behavior of a set-valued function by bijection between two sets, *Element Specification*. Using this method, we define the differentiation of set-valued functions in a Euclidean space in Subsection 5.2. In Subsection 5.3, we consider an extension to multivariable set-valued functions. Finally, we adapt the convergence of setvalued functions at infinity to sequences of sets in Subsection 5.4. This subsection investigates the equivalence to the equality of the two limits of sequences of sets.

5.1 Element Specification

In this subsection, we introduce one of the methods to describe the behavior of a set-valued function, *Element Specification*. ¹⁸ This is the method to specify the changing process of a set-valued function by one-to-one correspondence.

Let an index λ be an element of an index set Λ . Then, we denote by $a_{\lambda}(t)$ an element of a set-valued function A(t). The element $a_{\lambda}(t)$ itself changes depending on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For simplicity, suppose that $a_{\lambda_i}(t) \neq a_{\lambda_j}(t)$ for all $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \Lambda$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Element Specification defines the set-valued function A(t) as the collection of each changing element $a_{\lambda}(t)$, that is,

$$A(t) = \{a_{\lambda}(t) \in X \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}.^{19}$$

Figure 10 sketches the changing process of each $a_{\lambda}(t)$. Note that the cardinality of A(t) coincides with that of Λ and is constant for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.²⁰ Thus,

¹⁸ We derive the name "Element Specification" from the *specification* of the path of each changing *element*.

¹⁹ Here, the equation $A(t) = \{a_{\lambda}(t) \in X \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ can be also described as the union of each point set, $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{a_{\lambda}(t)\}$.

²⁰ We owe this constancy to the assumption that $a_{\lambda_i}(t) \neq a_{\lambda_j}(t)$ for all $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \Lambda$ and for




Figure 10: We list the changing of each element $a_{\lambda}(t)$ at t_1, t_2 , and t_3 . The arrows indicate how $a_{\lambda}(t)$ changes depending on t. The set-valued function A(t) is defined as the collection of $a_{\lambda}(t)$. Thus, the change of $a_{\lambda}(t)$ means the change of A(t).

there exists one-to-one correspondence between $A(t_1)$ and $A(t_2)$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, each element $a_{\lambda}(t)$ constructs a bijection between $A(t_1)$ and $A(t_2)$.

Element Specification utilizes the property of cardinality that an infinite set has a one-to-one correspondence with its infinite proper-subset. This means that a set-valued function described by Element Specification can change independently of containment if its cardinality is infinite.

For example, consider the set-valued function $B(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < t^2\}$, which is defined for t > 0. We can describe B(t) with Element Specification as follows:

$$B(t) = \{ b_{p,q}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \}$$

= $\{ (tp \cos q, tp \sin q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \},$

where we define B(t) for t > 0. Let t_1, t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$. We find a one-to-one correspondence between $B(t_1)$ and $B(t_2)$, though $B(t_1) \subset B(t_2)$. Figure 11 pictures two sets B(1) and B(2) and gives an example on how each element $b_{p,q}(t)$ changes when we specify the values p and q.

5.2 Differentiation of Set-Valued Functions in a Euclidean Space

In this Subsection, we define the differentiation of set-valued functions in a Euclidean space by using Element Specification. In advance, we note that the differentiation introduced here is not general for two reasons. First, we differentiate set-valued functions that are described by Element Specification. Second, we differentiate set-valued functions in a Euclidean space.

all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Without the assumption, we must say that the cardinality of A(t) is less than or equal to that of Λ . This is because some element $a_{\lambda_i}(t_0)$ may be the same as another element $a_{\lambda_j}(t_0)$ at some point t_0 . In that case, the cardinality of A(t) depends on the degree of the "overlap" among elements $a_{\lambda}(t)$.




Figure 11: The sets B(1) and B(2) are pictured above. By substituting the specific value of p and q in $b_{p,q}(t) = (tp \cos q, tp \sin q)$, we see how each element $b_{p,q}(t)$ changes depending on t > 0. The arrow in the figure indicates that the element $b_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{\pi}{4}}(1) = (1/2\sqrt{2}, 1/2\sqrt{2})$ changes to the element $b_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{\pi}{4}}(2) = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2})$ at t = 2.

We start by setting a set-valued function used in this subsection. Let $A(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set-valued function with Element Specification. Then, we set an index λ an element of an index set Λ , and define the set-valued function A(t) as

$$A(t) = \{a_{\lambda}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \{ (a_{\lambda}^1(t), a_{\lambda}^2(t), \dots, a_{\lambda}^n(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

Suppose that $a_{\lambda}^{i}(t)$ is differentiable at t for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, the differentiation of A(t) at t, denoted $\frac{dA(t)}{dt}$, is defined by

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \left\{ \frac{da_{\lambda}(t)}{dt} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \left(\frac{da_{\lambda}^1(t)}{dt}, \frac{da_{\lambda}^2(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{da_{\lambda}^n(t)}{dt} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

We next define the addition of A(t) and $\frac{dA(t)}{dt}$, denoted by $A(t) + \frac{dA(t)}{dt}$, as follows:

$$A(t) + \frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \left\{ a_{\lambda}(t) + \frac{da_{\lambda}(t)}{dt} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \left(a_{\lambda}^{1}(t) + \frac{da_{\lambda}^{1}(t)}{dt}, \dots, a_{\lambda}^{n}(t) + \frac{da_{\lambda}^{n}(t)}{dt} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$$

Now consider the set-valued function B(t) given by

$$B(t) = \{ b_{p,q}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \}$$

= $\{ (tp \cos q, tp \sin q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \},$

where we define B(t) for t > 0. By definition, we have

$$\frac{db_{p,q}(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{d}{dt}(tp\cos q), \frac{d}{dt}(tp\sin q)\right)$$
$$= (p\cos q, p\sin q).$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{dB(t)}{dt} = \left\{ \frac{db_{p,q}(t)}{dt} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ (p \cos q, p \sin q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \le p < 1, \ 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$$

Using this, we obtain the addition of B(t) and $\frac{dB(t)}{dt}$, that is,

$$B(t) + \frac{dB(t)}{dt} = \left\{ b_{p,q}(t) + \frac{db_{p,q}(t)}{dt} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| 0 \le p < 1, 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$$

= $\left\{ (tp \cos q, tp \sin q) + (p \cos q, p \sin q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| 0 \le p < 1, 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$
= $\left\{ ((t+1)p \cos q, (t+1)p \sin q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| 0 \le p < 1, 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$
= $\left\{ b_{p,q}(t+1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| 0 \le p < 1, 0 \le q < 2\pi \right\}$
= $B(t+1).$

Here, dB(t)/dt means the difference between B(t) and B(t + 1).²¹ We can interpret the differentiation of set-valued functions as the change in a set-valued function per infinitesimal change in a variable.

5.3 Extension to Multivariable Set-Valued Functions

Subsection 5.3 gives an example of an extension to multivariable set-valued functions. We consider n-variable set-valued functions in this subsection.

Let $\mathbf{t} = (t^1, \ldots, t^n)$ and denote by $A(\mathbf{t})$ a *n*-variable set-valued function. If we set the distance between points \mathbf{t}_i and \mathbf{t}_j , we can extend Definition 3.1 and 4.1 to *n*-variable set-valued functions.

Here, we use the Euclidean distance. That is,

$$\|\mathbf{t}_i - \mathbf{t}_j\| = \sqrt{(t_i^1 - t_j^1)^2 + \dots + (t_i^n - t_j^n)},$$

 $^{^{21}}$ Naturally, the differentiation of set-valued functions does not necessarily mean the difference between a set-valued function at t and at t + 1.

where $\mathbf{t}_i = (t_i^1, \ldots, t_i^n)$ and $\mathbf{t}_j = (t_j^1, \ldots, t_j^n)$. Then, we define the convergence of *n*-variable set-valued functions at a point as follows:

Definition 5.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let A be a set. We say that A(t) converges to A as $\mathbf{t} \to \mathbf{t}_0$ if for all $\mathbf{t}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbf{t}_i \neq \mathbf{t}_0$) and for all $x \in A(\mathbf{t}_i) \triangle A$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{t} \in \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 < \|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}_0\| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(\mathbf{t}) \triangle A$.

Similarly, we define the continuity of n-variable set-valued functions at a point as follows:

Definition 5.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that depends on the variable $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that A(t) is continuous at \mathbf{t}_0 if for all $\mathbf{t}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(\mathbf{t}_i) \triangle A(\mathbf{t}_0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{t} \in \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}_0\| < \delta\}$ we have $x \notin A(\mathbf{t}) \triangle A(\mathbf{t}_0)$.

We can derive similar results to those of Subsection 3.3 and 4.3 in almost all the same way.

5.4 Adaption to Sequences of Sets

Adapting Definition 2.1 to sequences of sets, we can define their convergence away from the equality of the superior limit and the inferior limit. We formulate it as follows:

Definition 5.3. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets and let A be a set. We say that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A if for all $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x \in A_{n_i} \triangle A$, there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_i$ we have $x \notin A_n \triangle A$.

We can prove that Definition 5.3 is equivalent to the equality of the superior limit and inferior limit of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. To prove the equivalence, we adapt theorems in Subsection 2.3 to sequences of sets and get: ²²

Theorem 5.1. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets and A a set. Then, $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A if and only if for all $x \in X$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ we have $x \in \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets that converges to a set A. Then, for all $x \in A$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ we have $x \in A_n$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets that converges to a set A. Then, for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ we have $x \in A_n^c$.

These theorems can be derived in a similar way to Subsection 2.3. Using theorems above, we first prove the following:

 $^{^{22}}$ Be careful that the convergence mentioned in the theorems below is based on Definition 5.3, not on the equality.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets and A a set. Then, $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A if and only if we have that for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_1$ we have $x \in A_n$, and that for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_2$ we have $x \in A_n^c$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$ we have $x \in A_n$. On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 5.3 that for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$ we have $x \in A_n^c$.

Next suppose that for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$ we have $x \in A_n$, and that for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$ we have $x \in A_n^c$. Then, on the one hand, it holds that for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$ we have $x \in A_n \cap A$, because we pick the element x from A. Similarly, on the other hand, it holds that for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$ we have $x \in A_n^c$. Note that $A_n \cap A \subset \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$ and that $A_n^c \cap A^c \subset \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$, because $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c = \{A_n \cap A\} \cup \{A_n^c \cap A^c\}$. Since $A_n \cap A$ is a subset of $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c$, we see that every element of A belongs to $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c$ for all $n \ge n_1$. Thus, for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$ we have $x \in \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$. Similarly, since $A_n^c \cap A^c$ is subset of $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c$, we see that every element of A^c belongs to $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c$ for all $n \ge n_2$. Thus, for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_2$ we have $x \in \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$. Let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then, we see that every element of both A and A^c belongs to $\{A_n \triangle A\}^c$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Thus, for all $x \in A \cup A^c$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $x \in \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$. Since $A \cup A^c = X$, it follows that for all $x \in X$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $x \in \{A_n \triangle A\}^c$. By Theorem 5.1, we conclude that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to A.

We have thus completed the proof. \Box

Here, we refer to Theorem 1.3.12 of Niizeki [2]. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets and A a set. The theorem states that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ if and only if for all $(x, y) \in A \times A^c$, there exists $n_{(x,y)} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_{(x,y)}$ we have $(x, y) \in A_n \times A_n^c$. Equivalently, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ if and only if we have that for all $x \in A$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_1$ we have $x \in A_n$, and that for all $y \in A^c$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_2$ we have $y \in A_n^c$.

Thus, we find from Theorem 5.4 that Definition 5.3 is equivalent to the equality of the superior limit and the inferior limit of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This fact ensures that both Definition 5.3 and the equality of the two limits could serve as a definition of convergence of sequences of sets.²³

 $^{^{23}}$ In addition, Theorem 5.1 could also serve as the definition.

A Appendix

Appendix provides other proofs of Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 in Subsection 2.3. We first prove Theorem 2.4 by Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, and then prove Theorem 2.3 by Theorem 2.4.

Note that the order of the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 in Appendix is the opposite order of those in Subsection 2.3. In Appendix, the proof of Theorem 2.4 does not require Theorem 2.3, whereas the proof of Theorem 2.3 requires Theorem 2.4.

The next theorem is Theorem 2.4 in Subsection 2.3. In proving it, be careful that we can apply Theorem 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem A.1. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. Then, for all $x \in A$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$.

Proof. Since A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$, for all $t_{i_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_{i_1}) \triangle A$, there exists $t_{j_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_1}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Notice that $\{A(t_{i_1})^c \cap A\} \subset \{A(t_{i_1}) \triangle A\}$, because

$$A(t_{i_1}) \triangle A = \{A(t_{i_1}) \cup A\} \cap \{A(t_{i_1})^c \cup A^c\}$$
$$\supset A \cap A(t_{i_1})^c.$$

Since $A(t_{i_1})^c \cap A$ is a subset of $A(t_{i_1}) \triangle A$, we see that every element of $A(t_{i_1})^c \cap A$ belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_{j_1}$. Hence, for all $t_{i_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_{i_1})^c \cap A$ there exists $t_{j_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_1}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

On the other hand, it holds by Theorem 2.2 that for all $t_{i_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_{i_2}) \triangle A\}^c$ there exists $t_{j_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_2}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Notice that $\{A(t_{i_2}) \cap A\} \subset \{A(t_{i_2}) \triangle A\}^c$, because

$$\{A(t_{i_2}) \triangle A\}^c = \{A(t_{i_2}) \cup A\}^c \cup \{A(t_{i_2}) \cap A\}$$
$$\supset \{A(t_{i_2}) \cap A\}.$$

Since $A(t_{i_2}) \cap A$ is a subset of $\{A(t_{i_2}) \triangle A\}^c$, we see that every element of $A(t_{i_2}) \cap A$ belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_{j_2}$. Hence, for all $t_{i_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A(t_{i_2}) \cap A$ there exists $t_{j_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_{j_2}$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Take the same point t_i for t_{i_1} , t_{i_2} and let $t_0 = \max\{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}\}$. Then, every element of both $A(t_i)^c \cap A$ and $A(t_i) \cap A$ belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \{A(t_i)^c \cap A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \cap A\}$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. By using a distributive law, we can derive the equation $\{A(t_i)^c \cap A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \cap A\} = A$ as follows:

$$\{A(t_i)^c \cap A\} \cup \{A(t_i) \cap A\} = \{A(t_i)^c \cup A(t_i)\} \cap A$$
$$= X \cap A$$
$$= A.$$

Therefore, for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in A$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since the set A is independent of the choice

of t_i , we can leave out the beginning part "for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ". Thus, for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Then, this substantially implies that for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A$, because we pick the element x from A.

To prove Theorem A.1, we next show that

$$\left[\{ A(t) \triangle A \}^c \cap A \right] \subset A(t).$$

We can derive the inclusion above by using a distributive law. That is,

$$\begin{split} \left[\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A \right] &= \left[\{A(t)^c \cap A^c\} \cup \{A(t) \cap A\} \right] \cap A \\ &= \left[\{A(t)^c \cap A^c\} \cap A \right] \cup \left[\{A(t) \cap A\} \cap A \right] \\ &= \left[A(t)^c \cap \{A^c \cap A\} \right] \cup \left[A(t) \cap \{A \cap A\} \right] \\ &= \emptyset \cup \left[A(t) \cap A \right] \\ &= A(t) \cap A \\ &\supset A(t). \end{split}$$

Since $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c \cap A$ is a subset of A(t) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of A belongs to A(t) for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus, we conclude that for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)$. Therefore, we have proved Theorem A.1. \Box

Using Theorem A.1, we can get the next corollary.

Corollary A.2. Let A(t) be a set-valued function that converges to limit A as $t \to \infty$. Then, for all $x \in A^c$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$.

This corollary is Corollary 2.5 in Subsection 2.3. The proof of Corollary A.2 is the same as that of Corollary 2.5.

The next theorem is Theorem 2.3 in Subsection 2.3.

Theorem A.3. Let A(t) be a set-valued function and A a set. Then, A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$ if and only if for all $x \in X$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

Proof. Suppose that A(t) converges to A as $t \to \infty$. Then, we have from Theorem A.1 that for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in A(t)$. The statement above substantially implies for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in A(t) \cap A$, because we pick the element x from A. On the other hand, we have from Corollary A.2 that for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_2$ we have $x \in A(t)^c$. The statement above substantially implies for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_2$ we have $x \in A(t)^c \cap A^c$. Notice that $\{A(t) \cap A\} \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ and $\{A(t)^c \cap A^c\} \subset \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ because $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c = \{A(t) \cap A\} \cup \{A(t)^c \cap A^c\}$. Since $A(t) \cap A$ is a subset of $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of A belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_1$. Thus, for all $x \in A$ there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_1$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Similarly, since $A(t)^c \cap A^c$ is a subset of $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that every element of A^c belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_2$. Thus, for all $x \in A^c$ there exists $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $t \ge t_2$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Let $t_0 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$. Then, every element of both Aand A^c belongs to $\{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Hence, for all $x \in A \cup A^c$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$. Since $A \cup A^c = X$, for all $x \in X$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge t_0$ we have $x \in \{A(t) \triangle A\}^c$.

We can prove the converse in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.3 in Subsection 2.3

Therefore, we have proved the theorem. \square

References

- [1] S.Niizeki, A Topology on a Power Set of a Set and Convergence of a Sequence of Sets, Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences 21(1) (2005) 21-31.
- [2] S.Niizeki, The symmetric difference of sets and some limit theorems of sequences of sets (II), Communications in Mathematics and Physics 2(5) (2012), 3-11.

TAKEFUMI FUJIMOTO

E-mail address: the-gift-of-31t@hotmail.co.jp; 2876681407@edu.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp