

## 2-Homogeneous Polynomials and Maximal Subspaces

Carlos A. S. Soares - carlos.soares@ufjf.edu.br  
 Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora - Departamento de Matematica/ICE  
 Cidade Universitaria - Juiz de Fora - MG - Brasil  
 30036-330

March 24, 2020

### Abstract

In this paper we study the maximal subspaces of continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials on complex and real non separable Banach spaces. In the real case we will prove that if  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a 2-homogeneous polynomial and if there exist a  $k$ -dimensional  $P$ -maximal subspace then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is  $k$ -dimensional.

*Keywords:*  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials, maximal subspaces and zero-set  
*AMS subject classification:* 47H60

The zero-set of a continuous homogeneous polynomial has been studied by many authors as we can see in [1, 2, 4]. In particular in [4] the authors show that for the complex case, every continuous homogeneous polynomial of any degree is identically zero on an infinite dimensional subspace. In [2] and [6] conditions are given on a complex Banach space  $X$  such that every 2-homogeneous polynomial  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is identically zero on a non separable subspace.

We recall that an  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial on a complex Banach space  $X$  is a mapping  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists a symmetric  $n$ -linear mapping  $\check{P} : X \times X \times \dots \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $P(x) = \check{P}(x, x, \dots, x)$  and  $P$  will be said to be continuous if there is a constant  $k$  such that  $P(x) \leq k\|x\|^n$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ . In this paper all  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial considered will be continuous. The vector space of all continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(^n X)$ . We also observe that a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n X)$  will be said to be a nuclear polynomial if there is a bounded sequence  $(\varphi_i) \in X^*$  and  $(\lambda_i) \in l_1$  such that

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(x)^n \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

We start with a simple observation, that if  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial and  $H \subset X$  is a 2 dimensional subspace, then there is  $z \in H$ ,  $z \neq 0$  such that  $P(z) = 0$ . Indeed if  $P(y)$  and  $P(x)$  are not zero with  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H$  independent, then

$$p(\alpha) = P(x + \alpha y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \alpha^{n-j} \check{P}(x^j, y^{n-j})$$

is a complex polynomial and taking  $\alpha_1$  one of its roots we have  $P(x + \alpha_1 y) = 0$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  a non zero  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial. A subspace  $M \subsetneq X$  will be called  $P$ -maximal if  $P|_M = 0$  and if whenever  $M_1$  is a subspace such that  $P|_{M_1} = 0$  with  $M \subset M_1 \subset X$ , then  $M_1 = M$ .

If  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a non zero  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial and  $M$  is a subspace such that  $P|_M = 0$  it is simple to get a  $P$ -maximal subspace containing  $M$ . For an infinite dimensional complex space we can prove a bit more.

**Theorem 2** Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional complex Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non zero 2-homogeneous polynomial. If  $M \subset X$  is a subspace with  $P|_M = 0$ , then there is an infinite dimensional  $P$ -maximal subspace  $H$  such that  $M \subset H$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{N : N \text{ is a subspace of } X \text{ and } M \subset N \text{ and } P|_N = 0\}$ . Partially ordering  $\mathcal{S}$  by inclusion and using Zorn's Lemma we obtain a maximal element  $H$ . It is clear that  $H \neq \{0\}$  and that  $H$  is a  $P$ -maximal subspace. Suppose that  $H$  is finite dimensional and let  $H = [e_1, \dots, e_n]$ . For each  $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , take  $\varphi_i \in X^*$  given by  $\varphi_i(x) = \check{P}(e_i, x)$ . So  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  is an infinite dimensional subspace and  $M \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Now we choose linearly independent vectors  $y$  and  $z$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, y, z]$  is an  $(n+2)$ -dimensional subspace. If  $P(y) = 0$  or  $P(z) = 0$  we have  $P|_{[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, y]} = 0$  or  $P|_{[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, z]} = 0$  respectively, because, for example,  $P(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i e_i + t_{n+1} y) = P(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i e_i) + 2\check{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i e_i, t_{n+1} y) + P(t_{n+1} y) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i t_{n+1} \check{P}(e_i, y) = 0$  for every  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ . That is a contradiction with the maximality of  $H$ . If  $P(y)$  and  $P(z)$  are non zero we can obtain  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that if  $w = y + \alpha z$  we have  $P(w) = 0$  and consequently in that case  $P|_{[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, w]} = 0$ , and it is again a contradiction with the maximality of  $H$ . ■

Note that the proof above shows that every  $P$ -maximal subspace is an infinite dimensional subspace. In the real case we are going to prove that if a 2-homogeneous polynomial has a finite dimensional  $P$ -maximal subspace, then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is finite dimensional.

**Corollary 3** *Let  $X$  be either complex  $c_0$  or  $l_p$ . If  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a 2-homogeneous polynomial, then every  $P$ -maximal subspace contains an isomorphic subspace that is complemented in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** It is well known that every infinite dimensional subspace of  $X$  contains an isomorphic subspace that is complemented in  $X$ . ■

**Theorem 4** *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a 2-homogeneous polynomial, with  $k$ -dimensional  $P$ -maximal subspace  $M$ . If  $H \subset X$  is an  $m$ -dimensional subspace such that  $P|_H = 0$  then  $m \leq k$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there is a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $N \subset H$ . Let  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_j\}$  be a basis of  $J = M \cap N$  and  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_k\}$  be a basis of  $M$ . Since  $J \subset N$ , there is a  $(k - j + 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $I \subset N$  such that

$$N = J \oplus I. \quad (1)$$

Now, for each  $i = \{1, 2, \dots, k - j\}$ , take  $\varphi_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\varphi_i(x) = \underset{\vee}{P}(w_{j+i}, x) \quad (2)$$

Since  $\dim(I) = k - j + 1$  we have  $\dim(\cap_{i=1}^{k-j} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)) \geq 1$ . Thus we can take  $w \neq 0 \in \cap_{i=1}^{k-j} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  and we observe that  $w \notin M$ . Indeed, if  $w \in M$  we have  $w \in J$  and consequently  $w \in J \cap I$ , a contradiction by [1]. Now note that by [2]  $\underset{\vee}{P}(w_{j+i}, w) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - j$ . Since  $w \in N$  and  $P|_N = 0$  we also have  $\underset{\vee}{P}(w_i, w) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Therefore  $P|_{M \oplus [w]} = 0$  but that is a contradiction to the maximality of  $M$ . ■

**Corollary 5** *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a 2-homogeneous polynomial. If  $P$  is identically zero on an infinite dimensional subspace, then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is infinite dimensional.*

**Example 6** *For each natural number  $k$  let  $P_k : l_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by*

$$P_k(x(i)) = - \sum_{i=1}^k x(i)^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} x(i)^2 \quad (3)$$

*For every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  let  $x_j \in l_2$  be given by*

$$x_j(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } i = j + k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*It is clear that  $M_k = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace and  $P|_{M_k} = 0$ . We claim that  $M_k$  is a  $P_k$ -maximal subspace. Suppose  $y = y(i) \in l_2$  such that  $P_k|_{M_k + [y]} = 0$ . Note that*

$$\underset{\vee}{P}_k(x_j, y) = - \sum_{i=1}^k x_j(i)y(i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} x_j(i)y(i) = -y(j) + y(j+k) \quad (4)$$

Since  $\bigvee P_k(x_j, y) = 0$  we obtain  $y(i) = y(i+k)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We also have

$$P_k(y(i)) = - \sum_{i=1}^k y(i)^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k} y(i)^2 + \sum_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} y(i)^2 = \sum_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} y(i)^2 \quad (5)$$

Since  $P_k(y) = 0$  we obtain  $y(i) = 0$  for every  $i > 2k$  and so  $y = \sum_{j=1}^k y(j)x_j \in M_k$ .

As a consequence of the last theorem we get that every  $P_k$ -maximal subspace is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace.

**Remark 7** It is easy to see that the Theorem 2 does not hold in the real case when  $n$  is even. In the general case, when  $n$  is odd, the best result is shown in [?], that is, if  $X$  is an real infinite dimensional Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial, then for each natural number  $k$  there exist a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $M_k$  such that  $P|_{M_k} = 0$ . Nevertheless it is shown in [?] that in every real separable Banach space  $X$  there exists an  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P$  ( $n > 1$  arbitrary odd number) such that  $P$  is not identically zero on  $M$  if  $M \subset X$  is an infinite dimensional subspace.

We now provide an example to show that for  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials ( $n \geq 3$ ) the previous theorem does not hold.

**Example 8** Take  $X = l_2$  the standard real space. For each natural number  $k$  we define the  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P_k : l_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$P_k(x) = x(1)^{n-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} x(i)^2. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that  $M = \{x \in l_2; x(1) = 0\}$  is a 1-codimensional  $P_k$ -maximal subspace. We will prove that

$$M_k = \{x \in l_2; x(i) = 0 \text{ if } i > k\} \quad (7)$$

is a  $P_k$ -maximal subspace and consequently for each  $P_k$  there are only two  $P_k$ -maximal subspaces, one 1-codimensional and the other  $k$ -dimensional. Suppose  $y \in l_2$  such that  $P_k|_{M_k \oplus [y]} = 0$ . Since  $P_k(y) = 0$  and  $y \notin M_k$  we have  $y(1) = 0$ . Now, let  $x \in l_2$  given by  $x(1) = 1$  and  $x(i) = 0$  if  $i > 1$ . Thus, since  $x \in M_k$  we have  $P_k(x+y) = 0$ , that is,  $(x+y)(1) = 0$  or  $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (x+y)(i)^2 = 0$ . As  $(x+y)(1) = 1$  we must have  $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (x+y)(i)^2 = 0$  and so  $y(i) = 0$  for every  $i > k$  and that implies  $y \in M_k$ , a contradiction.

It is important to observe that in the proof of theorem, we know the size of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ . This motivates the following definition ( cf [2] ).

**Definition 9** A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the nontrivial intersection property (  $X$  has the NIP ) if for every countable family  $\{\varphi_i\} \in X^*$  we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) \neq \{0\}$ .

**Remark 10** It is simple, by the Hahn-Banach theorem to show that if  $X$  is a separable space then there exists a countable family  $\{\varphi_i\} \in X^*$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and consequently if  $X$  has the NIP we have  $X$  is a non separable space.

**Proposition 11** Let  $X$  be a non separable Banach space. Then  $X$  has the NIP if and only if for every countable family  $\{\varphi_i\} \subset X^*$ , we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  is a non separable subspace.

**Proof.** It is a simple consequence of the previous remark. ■

**Proposition 12** Let  $X$  be a non separable real Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  has the NIP
- (ii) For every nuclear polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$  there is an  $x_P \neq 0$  in  $X$  such that  $P(x_P) = 0$
- (iii) For every nuclear polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$  there is a non separable subspace  $M \subset X$  such that  $P|_M = 0$ .
- (iv) If  $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$  is a nuclear polynomial then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is an infinite dimensional subspace.

**Proof.**

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(x)^2$  with  $(\lambda_i) \in l_1$  and  $(\varphi_i) \in X^*$  a bounded sequence. Since  $X$  has the NIP, we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) \neq \{0\}$ ; that is, there is  $x_P \neq 0$  such that  $\varphi_i(x_P) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and so  $P(x_P) = 0$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) If  $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(x)^2$  is a nuclear polynomial, let  $Q(x) \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$  be given by  $Q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_i(x)^2}{i^2 \|\varphi_i\|}$ . Since  $Q$  is a nuclear polynomial there is  $x_Q \in X \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $Q(x_Q) = 0$ ; that is,  $x_Q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  and by the previous proposition we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  is a non separable subspace and the result follows.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) It is enough to note that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$  is a nuclear polynomial and  $M$  is a finite dimensional subspace, by the Theorem [4] every  $P$ -maximal subspace is finite dimensional.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $\{\varphi_i\}$  a countable family in  $X^*$  and define  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_i(x)^2}{i^2 \|\varphi_i\|}.$$

Thus  $P$  is a nuclear polynomial and there exists an infinite dimensional subspace  $M$  such that  $P|_M = 0$  and since  $M \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) \neq \{0\}$ . ■

In [1] the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 13** *Let  $X$  be a real non separable space that has the NIP and  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^2X)$ . Then there exists an infinite dimensional subspace  $M$  such that  $P|_M = 0$ .*

**Corollary 14** *Let  $X$  be a real non separable space that has the NIP and  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^2X)$ . Then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is infinite dimensional.*

**Proof.** Easy consequence of Theorems 4 and 13. ■

For the complex case, using the same argument as Theorem 2, we can obtain non separable maximal subspaces.

**Proposition 15** *Let  $X$  be a complex non separable space and let  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a 2 homogeneous polynomial. Suppose that  $X$  has the NIP. Then every  $P$ -maximal subspace is non separable.*

**Remark 16** *Now we observe that if  $X$  is a Banach space and  $M$  is a closed subspace, since  $(X/M)^*$  is isometric to  $M^\perp$  we have  $X/M$  has the NIP if and only if for every countable family  $\{\varphi_i\}$  in  $X^*$  with  $\varphi_i|_M = 0$  there exists  $x \notin M$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ ; that is, we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  is a non separable subspace. As we know this is the case for  $l_\infty$  and  $c_0$  ( cf [3, page 61] ) we have  $l_\infty/c_0$  has the NIP and consequently if  $P : l_\infty/c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a 2-homogeneous polynomial, in the real case we have every  $P$ -maximal subspace is an infinite dimensional subspace and in the complex case there exists a non separable  $P$ -maximal subspace.*

We now recall that in [5, page 58] the following result is shown.

**Lemma 17** *Let  $(y_n)$  be a sequence in  $l_\infty$  and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that*

$$C_1 \sup_i |\lambda_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i y_i \right\| \leq C_2 \sup_i |\lambda_i|$$

*for every scalar sequence  $(\lambda_i)$  with  $\lim \lambda_i = 0$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(y_{n_k})$  of  $(y_n)$  such that for every  $(\lambda_k) \in l_\infty$  we have*

$$(C_1/2) \sup_k |\lambda_k| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k y_{n_k} \right\| \leq C_2 \sup_k |\lambda_k|$$

**Theorem 18** *Let  $M \subset l_\infty$  be a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Then there exists a subspace  $N \subset M$ , and a subspace  $H \subset l_\infty$  containing  $N$ , and an isomorphism  $T : l_\infty \rightarrow H$  such that  $T|_{c_0} : c_0 \rightarrow N$  is an isomorphism. Moreover  $H/N$  has the NIP.*

**Proof.** Using the previous lemma we can get a sequence  $(y_k)$  in  $M$  and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_1 \sup |\lambda_k| \leq \sup_i \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k y_k(i) \right| \leq C_2 \sup |\lambda_k| \text{ if } (\lambda_k) \in l_{\infty}$$

Now define

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k y_k; (\lambda_k) \in l_{\infty} \right\}, \quad N = \overline{\text{span}}\{y_k\}$$

and

$$T : l_{\infty} \rightarrow H \text{ by } T(\lambda_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k y_k.$$

Suppose  $\{\varphi_i\} \subset H^*$  with  $\varphi_i|_N = 0$ . Then  $\psi_i = \varphi_i \circ T \in l_{\infty}^*$  and  $\psi_i|_{c_0} = 0$ . Thus there is  $x \in l_{\infty} \setminus c_0$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i^{-1}(0)$ . Consequently  $T(x) \notin N$  and  $T(x) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ . Now the results follow from Remark 16. ■

To prove the main result of this paper we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 19** *Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a 2-homogeneous polynomial. Suppose that  $M$  is a separable  $P$ -maximal subspace. Then there exists a countable family  $\{\varphi_i\}$  in  $X^*$  such that  $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be a countable set such that  $M = \overline{\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}}$ . Thus if  $\check{P}$  is the symmetric bilinear form associated to  $P$  it is clear that  $M \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  where  $\varphi_i \in X^*$  is defined by

$$\varphi_i(x) = \check{P}(x_i, x) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $M$  is a  $P$ -maximal subspace we have  $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$  or there exist  $y \in X$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) = M \oplus [y]$ . If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) = M \oplus [y]$  by the Hahn-Banach theorem we may get  $\varphi_0 \in X^*$  such that  $\varphi_0|_M = 0$  and  $\varphi_0(y) = 1$  and so  $M = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ . ■

We observe that this lemma is not true in the real case.

**Theorem 20** *Let  $P : l_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a 2-homogeneous polynomial. Then there exist a non separable  $P$ -maximal subspace.*

**Proof.** From theorem 2 there exist an infinite dimensional subspace  $M \subset c_0$  such that  $P|_M = 0$ . Since  $M$  contains a subspace  $N$  which is isomorphic to  $c_0$  using the previous theorem we can get subspaces  $N_1 \subset N$  and  $H \subset l_{\infty}$  such that  $N_1 \subset H$ ,  $N_1$  being isomorphic to  $c_0$  and  $H$  being isomorphic to  $l_{\infty}$  and  $H/N_1$  has the NIP. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $P$ -maximal subspace containing  $N_1$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$

is a separable subspace, then by lemma 19 there exist a countable family of functional  $\{\varphi_i\}$  such that

$$N_1 \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{M}$$

a contradiction with to the Remark 16 because  $H/N_1$  has the NIP. ■

First, by  $\mathbb{N}$  we indicate the set  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  and by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  we indicate the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Given  $n, j$  and  $k \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we define  $\delta_{n,j,k}$  = cardinality of the set  $\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1} \text{ with } \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} i_h = n - j\}$  and it is clear that  $\delta_{n,j,k} > \delta_{n,j,k-1}$ . With these notations we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 21** *Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a function having the following three properties:*

- 1)  $f(1) = 2$
- 2)  $f(m) > f(n)$  for every  $m > n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 3) For every complex  $f(k)$ -dimensional vector space  $X$  and  $\varphi \in X^*$  there exists a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $H \subset X$  such that  $\varphi|_H = 0$ .

Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the sequence of functions given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f \\ f_2(1) &= 2 \text{ and } f_2(k) = k - 1 + \underbrace{(f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1)}_{\delta_{2,1,k} \text{ times}}(2) \text{ if } k \geq 2 \\ f_3(1) &= 2 \text{ and } f_3(k) = k - 1 + \underbrace{(f_2 \circ f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_2)}_{\delta_{3,2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{3,1,k} \text{ times}}(2) \\ &\vdots \\ f_n(1) &= 2 \text{ and } f_n(k) = k - 1 + \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n,n-1,k} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have:

- 1)  $f_i(k) > k$  for every  $i$  and  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 2)  $\underbrace{(f_i \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_i)}_{m \text{ times}}(k) > \underbrace{(f_i \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_i)}_{n \text{ times}}(k)$  for every  $m > n$  and  $k$  and  $i$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 3)  $f_i(m) > f_i(n)$  for every  $m > n$  and  $i$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 4) Fixed  $i$  and  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , for every complex  $f_i(k)$ -dimensional vector space  $X$  and  $P \in P({}^i X)$  there exists a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $H \subset X$  such that  $P|_H = 0$ .

**Proof.** The assertions (1) and (3) are very easy to prove by induction and the assertion (2) follows from (1). Thus we will prove (4) by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 1$  the result is clear. Suppose that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  the result is true and we will prove that in this case the result is also true for  $i = n$ . We prove for  $i = n$  by induction on  $k$ .

If  $k = 1$  we have  $f_n(1) = 2$  and the result is clear. Now let  $X$  be a  $f_n(k)$ -dimensional space and  $P \in P(^n X)$ . From the assertion (3) we have that  $f_n(k) > f_n(k-1)$  and thus there exists a  $f_n(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $M \subset X$ . By induction there exists a  $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $H_1 = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}] \subset M \subset X$  such that  $P|_{H_1} = 0$ . As  $\dim X = f_n(k) = k-1 + \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n,n-1,k} \text{ times}}$

$\underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$  there exists a subspace  $N \subset X$  such that  $X = H_1 \oplus N$  and  $\dim N = \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n,n-1,k} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$ . Let me prove that there exists a 2-dimensional sub-

space  $H \subset N$  such that  $P|_H = 0$ . For this end for each  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1}$  such that  $i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = 1$  we take the  $(n-1)$  homogeneous polynomial on  $N$  given by

$$P_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}(x) = A(x_1^{i_1}, x_2^{i_2}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}}, x^{n-1}).$$

Note that we have the polynomials

$$P_1(x) = A(x_1, x^{n-1}), P_2(x) = A(x_2, x^{n-1}), \dots, P_{k-1}(x) = A(x_{k-1}, x^{n-1})$$

As  $P_1$  is an  $(n-1)$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $N$ , by induction there is a subspace  $N_1 \subset N$  with  $\dim N_1 = \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n,n-1,k-1} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$  and  $P_1|_{N_1} = 0$ . As  $P_2$  is a  $(n-1)$ -homogeneous poly-

mial on  $N_1$ , there exists a subspace  $N_2 \subset N_1$  such that  $\dim N_2 = \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n,n-1,k-2} \text{ times}}$

$\underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$  and  $P_2|_{N_2} = 0$ . Continuing

we obtain a subspace  $N_{k-1}$  such that  $N_{k-1} \subset N_{k-2} \subset \dots \subset N_1 \subset N$  and  $\dim N_{k-1} = \underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$  and  $P_j|_{N_{k-1}} = 0$  for

every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ . Now for each  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1})$  such that  $i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = 2$  we take the  $(n-2)$  homogeneous polynomial on  $N_{k-1}$  given by

$$P_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}(x) = A(x_1^{i_1}, x_2^{i_2}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}}, x^{n-2}).$$

Now we can use the same argument above for each  $P_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}$  and get a subspace  $H_2$  such that  $H_2 \subset N_{k-1}$  and  $\dim H_2 = \underbrace{f_{n-3} \circ f_{n-3} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-3}}_{\delta_{n,n-3,k} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ$

$\underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,k} \text{ times}}(2)$  and  $P_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}|_{H_2} = 0$  for every  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}$  such that

$i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = 2$  or  $i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = 1$ . Continuing we obtain a subspace  $H$

such that  $H \subset N$  and  $\dim H = 2$  and  $P_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}|_H = 0$  for every  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = j$  with  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Now take  $y \in H$  such that  $y \neq 0$  and  $P(y) = 0$ . As  $y \in H$  we have

$$A(x_1^{i_1}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}}, y^j) = 0 \text{ for every } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} \text{ with } i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} = n - j$$

and for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . So we have

$$P|_{H_1 \oplus [y]} = 0$$

■

**Remark 22** Note that we can get a sequence as in the theorem [7], taking  $f(k) = f_1(k) = k + 1$  and in that case we have  $f_2(k) = 2k$ .

Now the following corollary is clear.

**Corollary 23** Let  $(f_i)$  be a sequence as in the theorem [7]. let  $X$  be a complex vector space with  $\dim X = (f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_p})(k)$  and  $P_1 \in P^{(i_1 X)}, P_2 \in P^{(i_2 X)}, \dots, P_p \in P^{(i_p X)}$ . Then there exists a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $H \subset X$  such that  $P_i|_H = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

**Remark 24** Given a sequence  $(f_i)$  as in the theorem [7] and  $P \in P^{(n X)}$  we associate the sequence  $(k_j)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= 1 \\ k_2 &= (f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_1)(2) \\ k_3 &= \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n, n-1, 3} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{(f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2})}_{\delta_{n, n-2, 3} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{(f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1)}_{\delta_{n, 1, 3} \text{ times}}(2) \\ &\vdots \\ k_j &= \underbrace{(f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1})}_{\delta_{n, n-1, j} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{(f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2})}_{\delta_{n, n-2, j} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{(f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1)}_{\delta_{n, 1, j} \text{ times}}(2) \end{aligned}$$

Now it is simple to obtain the analogous to lemma [1] for  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials, that is, it is simple to prove the following result.

**Theorem 25** Let  $X$  be a complex normed space and  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial and  $A$  be its associated symmetric  $n$ -linear form. Let  $(f_i)$  be a sequence as in the theorem [7] and  $(k_j)$  the sequence associated to a sequence  $(f_i)$  as in the remark [10]. Then if  $(e_i)$  is a linearly independent sequence in  $X$  there is a sequence  $(x_j)$  such that

$$A(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = 0 \text{ for every } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\in [e_1, e_2] \\ x_2 &\in [e_3, e_4, \dots, e_{3+k_2-1}] \\ x_3 &\in [e_{3+k_2}, \dots, e_{3+k_2+k_3-1}] \\ &\vdots \\ x_j &\in [e_{3+k_2+k_3+\dots+k_{j-1}}, \dots, e_{3+k_2+k_3+k_j-1}] \text{ for every } j \geq 2 \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We obtain  $(x_j)$  by induction. We start choosing  $x_1 \in [e_1, e_2]$  such that  $P(x_1) = 0$ . Suppose that we have obtained  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}$  and we will show how to get  $x_j$ . Let  $M$  be the subspace given by  $M = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}]$  and  $N$  be the subspace given by  $N = [e_{3+k_2+k_3+\dots+k_{j-1}}, \dots, e_{3+k_2+k_3+k_{j-1}}]$ . For each  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{j-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{j-1}$  and  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_{j-1} = n-p$  we take the  $p$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P_{i_1, \dots, i_{j-1}}$  given by

$$P_{i_1, \dots, i_{j-1}}(y) = A(x_1^{i_1}, \dots, x_{j-1}^{i_{j-1}})$$

Note that fixed  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  we have exactly  $\delta_{n,p,j}$   $p$ -homogeneous polynomials as above and that  $N$  is a  $k_j$ -dimensional subspace with  $k_j = \underbrace{f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1}}_{\delta_{n,n-1,j} \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f_{n-2} \circ f_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}}_{\delta_{n,n-2,j} \text{ times}} \circ \dots \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_1}_{\delta_{n,1,j} \text{ times}}(2)$ . From corollary [9] we have a 2-dimensional subspace  $H \subset N$  such that

$$P_{i_1, \dots, i_{j-1}}|_H = 0$$

for every  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{j-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{j-1}$  and  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_{j-1} = n-p$ . Now we take  $x_j \in H$  such that  $x_j \neq 0$  and  $P(x_j) = 0$  and the result follows. ■

Now the proofs of theorems [12] and [13] are analogous to the proofs of theorems [2] and [6] respectively.

**Theorem 26** *Let  $X$  be a normed complex space containing a subspace  $M$  isomorphic to  $c_0$ . If  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial, then there is a subspace  $M_P$  such that  $P|_{M_P} = 0$  and  $M_P$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ .*

**Theorem 27** *Let  $P : l_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial. Then there is a subspace  $H \subset l_\infty$  such that  $P|_H = 0$  and  $H$  is isomorphic to  $l_\infty$ . In particular  $H$  is a non-separable space.*

## References

- [1] R. M. Aron, C. Boyd, R. A. Ryan, I. Zalduendo, *Zeros of polynomials on Banach Spaces: The Real Story*, Positivity 7, 285-295 (2003).
- [2] T. Banakh, A. Plichko, A. Zagorodnyuk, *Zeros of quadratic functionals on non-separable spaces*, Colloq. Math.100, No.1, 141-147 (2004).
- [3] N. L. Carothers, *A Short Course of Banach Space Theory*, Cambridge University Press (2005)
- [4] A. Plichko, A. Zagorodnyuk, *On automatic continuity and three problems of The Scottish Book concerning the boundedness of polynomial functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220, No.2, 447-494 (1998).
- [5] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer Verlag (1977).

- [6] Carlos A. S. Soares, *Zero-Sets of Complex Homogeneous Polynomials*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, v 56, 293-298 (2008)