

A new parton model for the soft interactions at high energies: the Odderon.

E. Gotsman,^{1,*} E. Levin,^{1,2,†} and I. Potashnikova^{2,‡}

¹*Department of Particle Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy,
Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel*

²*Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, and Centro Científico-
Tecnológico de Valparaíso, Avda. Espana 1680, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

(Dated: December 22, 2024)

In this paper we discuss the Odderon contribution in our model[20] that gives a fairly good description of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}, \sigma_{\text{el}}, \sigma_{\text{diff}}$ and B_{el} for proton-proton scattering. We show that the shadowing corrections are large, and induce considerable dependence on energy for the Odderon contribution, which in perturbative QCD does not depend on energy. This energy dependence is in agreement with the experimental data for $\rho = \text{Re}/\text{Im}$, assuming that the Odderon gives a contribution of about 1mb at $W=7$ TeV. This differs greatly with our estimates for CGC based model. The reason for this difference is that the elastic scattering amplitude in our two channel model, does not reach the unitarity limit ($A_{\text{el}}(W, b=0) = 1$, even at very high energies.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t, 24.85.+p, 25.75.-q

Contents

Introduction	1
The model (brief review)	2
New parton model.	2
Two channel approximation	3
The general formulae.	3
The Odderon contribution	4
Odderon exchange	4
Shadowing corrections	4
Numerical estimates	5
Conclusions	6
References	7

INTRODUCTION

The new data of TOTEM collaboration[1–4] drew attention to the state with negative signature and with an intercept which is close to unity (see Refs.[5–14]). This state is known as the Odderon, and it appears naturally in perturbative QCD (see Ref.[15] for the review) with the intercept $\alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t=0) = 1$ [16, 17]. In a number of papers it was shown that such a state could be useful for describing the experimental data[5–14].

However, in perturbative QCD the dependence of the Odderon on energy is crucially affected by the shadowing corrections, which lead to a substantial decrease of the Odderon contribution with increasing energy[15, 17, 18].

In this paper we wish to study the shadowing corrections to the Odderon contribution using the model that we proposed in Ref.[19, 20]. The model is based (i) on Pomeron calculus in 1+1 space-time, suggested in Ref. [21], and (ii) on simple assumptions of hadron structure, related to the impact parameter dependence of the scattering amplitude. This parton model stems from QCD, assuming that the unknown non-perturbative corrections lead to determining the size of the interacting dipoles. The advantage of this approach is that it satisfies both the t -channel and s -channel unitarity, and can be used for summing all diagrams of the Pomeron interaction, including Pomeron loops. In other words, we can use this approach for all possible reactions: dilute-dilute (hadron-hadron), dilute-dense (hadron-nucleus) and dense-dense (nucleus-nucleus), parton systems scattering.

The model gives a fairly good description of four experimental observables: $\sigma_{\text{tot}}, \sigma_{\text{el}}, B_{\text{el}}$ and the single diffraction cross sections, for proton-proton scattering, in a two channel model for the structure of hadrons at high energy. The

impact parameter dependence of the scattering amplitudes show that soft interactions at high energies measured at the LHC, have a much richer structure than expected.

The goal of this paper is study the influence of the shadowing corrections on the Odderon contribution in our model.

THE MODEL (BRIEF REVIEW)

Our model includes three essential ingredients: (i) the new parton model for the dipole-dipole scattering amplitude that has been discussed above; (ii) the simplified two channel model that enables us to take into account diffractive production in the low mass region, and (iii) the assumptions for impact parameter dependence of the initial conditions.

New parton model.

The model that we employ [19–21] is based on three ingredients:

1. The Colour Glass Condensate (GCC) approach (see Ref.[15] for a review), which can be re-written in an equivalent form as the interaction of BFKL Pomerons[22] in a limited range of rapidities ($Y \leq Y_{\max}$):

$$Y \leq \frac{2}{\Delta_{\text{BFKL}}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\text{BFKL}}^2} \right) \quad (1)$$

Δ_{BFKL} denotes the intercept of the BFKL Pomeron[?]. In our model $\Delta_{\text{BFKL}} \approx 0.2 - 0.25$ leading to $Y_{\max} = 20 - 30$, which covers all collider energies.

2. The following Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{NPM}} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{P} P \quad (2)$$

where NPM stands for “new parton model”. P and \bar{P} denote the BFKL Pomeron fields. The fact that it is self dual is evident. This Hamiltonian in the limit of small \bar{P} reproduces the Balitsky-Kovchegov Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{BK} (see Ref.[21] for details). This condition is important for determining the form of \mathcal{H}_{NPM} . γ in Eq. (2) denotes the dipole-dipole scattering amplitude, which in QCD is proportional to $\bar{\alpha}_S^2$.

3. The new commutation relations:

$$(1 - P)(1 - \bar{P}) = (1 - \gamma)(1 - \bar{P})(1 - P) \quad (3)$$

For small γ and in the regime where P and \bar{P} are also small, we obtain

$$[P, \bar{P}] = -\gamma + \dots \quad (4)$$

consistent with the standard BFKL Pomeron calculus (see Ref.[21] for details) .

In Ref.[21], it was shown that the scattering matrix for the model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m\bar{n}}^{\text{NPM}}(Y) &= e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^Y d\eta [\ln(1-p) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln(1-\bar{p}) + \bar{p}p]} [1 - p(Y)]^m [1 - \bar{p}(0)]^{\bar{n}} |_{p(0)=1-e^{-\gamma\bar{n}}; \bar{p}(Y)=1-e^{-\gamma m}} \\ &= [1 - p(Y)]^m e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^Y d\eta [\ln(1-\bar{p}) + \bar{p}]} p \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $p(\eta)$ and $\bar{p}(\eta)$ are solutions of the classical equations of motion and have the form:

$$P(\eta) = \frac{\alpha + \beta e^{(1-\alpha)\eta}}{1 + \beta e^{(1-\alpha)\eta}}; \quad \bar{P}(\eta) = \frac{\alpha(1 + \beta e^{(1-\alpha)\eta})}{\alpha + \beta e^{(1-\alpha)\eta}}; \quad (6)$$

where the parameters β and α should be determined from the boundary conditions:

$$P(\eta = 0) = p_0; \quad \bar{P}(\eta = Y) = \frac{\alpha}{P(\eta = Y)} = \bar{p}_0 \quad (7)$$

Two channel approximation

In the two channel approximation we replace the rich structure of the diffractively produced states, by a single state with the wave function ψ_D . The observed physical hadronic and diffractive states are written in the form

$$\psi_h = \alpha \Psi_1 + \beta \Psi_2; \quad \psi_D = -\beta \Psi_1 + \alpha \Psi_2; \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1; \quad (8)$$

Functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 form a complete set of orthogonal functions $\{\psi_i\}$ which diagonalize the interaction matrix \mathbf{T}

$$A_{i,k}^{i'k'} = \langle \psi_i \psi_k | \mathbf{T} | \psi_{i'} \psi_{k'} \rangle = A_{i,k} \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{k,k'}. \quad (9)$$

The unitarity constraints take the form

$$2 \text{Im} A_{i,k}(s, b) = |A_{i,k}(s, b)|^2 + G_{i,k}^{in}(s, b), \quad (10)$$

where $G_{i,k}^{in}$ denotes the contribution of all non diffractive inelastic processes, i.e. it is the summed probability for these final states to be produced in the scattering of a state i off a state k . In Eq. (10) $\sqrt{s} = W$ denotes the energy of the colliding hadrons and b denotes the impact parameter. In our approach we used the solution to Eq. (10) given by Eq. (5) and

$$A_{ik} = 1 - S_{ik}^{\text{NPM}}(Y) \quad (11)$$

The general formulae.

Initial conditions: Following Ref.[19] we chose the initial conditions in the form:

$$p_i(b') = p_{0i} S(b', m_i) \quad \text{with} \quad S(b, m_i) = m_i b K_1(m_i b); \quad \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}') = p_{0i} S(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}', m_i) \quad z_m = e^{\Delta(1-p_{01})Y} \quad (12)$$

Both p_{0i} and masses m_i , as well as the Pomeron intercept Δ , are parameters of the model, which are determined by fitting to the relevant data. Note, that $S(b, m_i) \xrightarrow{m_i b \gg 1} \exp(-m_i b)$ in accord with the Froissart theorem[23], From Eq. (12) we find that

$$a_{ik}(b, b') \equiv a_{i,k}(p_i, \bar{p}_k, z_m) = \frac{1}{2}(p_i + \bar{p}_k) + \frac{1}{2z_m}((1-p_i)(1-\bar{p}_k) - D_{i,k}); \quad (13)$$

$$b_{i,k}(b, b') \equiv b_{i,k}(p_i, \bar{p}_k, z_m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_i - \bar{p}_k}{1 - p_i} - \frac{1}{2z_m(1 - p_i)}((1-p_i)(1-\bar{p}_k) - D_{i,k}); \quad (14)$$

$$D_{i,k} = \sqrt{4p_i(1-p_i)(1-\bar{p}_k)z_m - ((1-p_i)(1-\bar{p}_k) - (p_i - \bar{p}_k)z_m)^2}; \quad (15)$$

These equation are the explicit solutions to Eq. (6) and Eq. (7).

Amplitudes: In the following equations $p_i \equiv p_i(b')$ and $\bar{p}_k \equiv \bar{p}_k(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')$.

$$z = e^{\Delta(1-p_{01})Y}$$

$$S_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z) \equiv S(a_{ik}(b, b'), b_{ik}(b, b'), z_m), \quad X_{i,k}(a, b, z) \equiv X(a_{ik}(b, b'), b_{ik}(b, b'), z_m)$$

$$X(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z) = \frac{a_{ik} + b_{ik}z}{1 + b_{ik}z} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} SS_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z) = & \\ & -(a_{ik} - 1)\text{Li}_2(-b_{ik}z) + a_{ik}\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{b_{ik}z}{a_{ik}}\right) + (a_{ik} - 1)\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{a_{ik} + b_{ik}z}{a_{ik} - 1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}a_{ik}\log^2((1 - a_{ik})b_{ik}z) \\ & -(a_{ik} - 1)\log(b_{ik}z + 1)\log((1 - a_{ik})b_{ik}z) - \left(a_{ik}\log(z) - (a_{ik} - 1)\log\left(-\frac{b_{ik}z + 1}{a_{ik} - 1}\right)\right)\log(a_{ik} + b_{ik}z) \\ & + a_{ik}\log(z)\log\left(\frac{b_{ik}z}{a_{ik}} + 1\right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$




FIG. 1: QCD Odderon for two dipoles scattering: the wavy lines describe gluons and the solid lines correspond to quarks.

$$S_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z) = SS_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z) - SS_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z = 1) \quad (18)$$

The amplitude is given by

$$A_{ik}(s, b) = 1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(W, b)} = 1 - \exp \left(\frac{1}{p_{01}} \int \frac{m_1^2 d^2 b'}{4\pi} \left(S_{ik}(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z_m) + a_{ik}(b, b') \Delta(1 - p_0) Y \right) - \int \frac{m_1^2 d^2 b'}{4\pi} \bar{p}_k(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}', m_k) X(a_{ik}, b_{ik}, z_m) \right) \quad (19)$$

THE ODDERON CONTRIBUTION

Odderon exchange

As has been mentioned, we view the Odderon as a reggeon with negative signature and with the intercept $\alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t=0)=1$. Generally speaking its contribution to the scattering amplitude has the following form:

$$O_{ik}(s, b) = \eta_-(t) g_{\text{Odd}}^i(b) g_{\text{Odd}}^k(b) s^{\alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t) - 1} \quad (20)$$

where η_- is a signature factor $\eta = \tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t)\right) - i$, g_{Odd}^i is the vertex for the interaction of the Odderon with state i , and α_{Odd} denotes the trajectory. The Odderon appears naturally in perturbative QCD. As one can see from Fig. 1 the QCD Odderon describes the exchange of three gluons and all the interactions between them. The QCD Odderon has the trajectory with the intercept equal to 1 and which does not depend on t [16, 17]. Hence, the Odderon only contributes to the real part of the scattering amplitude. For an estimate we will use the following form of the Odderon contribution:

$$O_{ik}(s, b) = \pm \sigma_0 e^{-\frac{b^2}{4B}} \quad (21)$$

where sign plus corresponds to proton-antiproton scattering, while sign minus describes the proton-proton collisions. The value of σ_0 was evaluated in Ref.[24] (see also Ref.[25]) in the framework of perturbative QCD. It turns out that $\sigma_0 = 20.6 \bar{\alpha}_S^3 mb$. In perturbative QCD, we expect that B is smaller than for the elastic scattering. We choose $B = 5.6 - 6 GeV^{-2}$ for our estimates [5, 20]. In Eq. (21) we assume that $g_{\text{Odd}}^i(b)$ in Eq. (20) does not depend on i .

Shadowing corrections

In the two channel model the elastic amplitude is equal to (see Fig. 2-a)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{el}}(s, b) &= \alpha^4 A_{11}(s, b) + 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 A_{12}(s, b) + \beta^4 A_{22}(s, b) \\ &= 1 - \left\{ \alpha^4 e^{-\Omega_{11}(s, b)} + 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 e^{-\Omega_{12}(s, b)} + \beta^4 e^{-\Omega_{22}(s, b)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$




FIG. 2: Shadowing corrections to the Odderon exchange: Fig. 2-a: elastic amplitude in the two channel model. Fig. 2-b: the shadowing corrections in our model. The wavy lines describe the Pomeron exchanges while the zigzag line corresponds to the exchange of the Odderon.




FIG. 3: $O(W,b)$ versus b for different energies. The red line corresponds to the contribution of Eq. (21).

Eq. (22) is the series whose general term is proportional to $\Omega_{ik}^n/n!$. In the case of Odderon exchange we need to replace one of Ω_{ik} by $O_{ik}(s,b)$. Hence $\Omega_{ik}^n/n!$ should be replaced by $O_{ik}(s,b) n \Omega_{ik}^{n-1}/n! = O_{ik}(s,b) \Omega_{ik}^{n-1}/(n-1)!$. Finally, we have (see also Ref.[13])

$$\begin{aligned}
 O^{\text{SC}}(s,b) &= \alpha^4 O_{11}(s,b) e^{-\Omega_{11}(s,b)} + 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 O_{12}(s,b) e^{-\Omega_{12}(s,b)} + \beta^4 O_{22}(s,b) e^{-\Omega_{22}(s,b)} \\
 &= O(s,b) \left\{ \alpha^4 e^{-\Omega_{11}(s,b)} + 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 e^{-\Omega_{12}(s,b)} + \beta^4 e^{-\Omega_{22}(s,b)} \right\} \\
 &= O(s,b) \left(1 - A_{\text{el}}(s,b) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Numerical estimates

In this section we make estimates using our model for Ω_{ik} , with parameters that are given by Table I. In Fig. 3 we plot the b dependence of the Odderon contribution. One can see that the shadowing corrections lead to a considerable




FIG. 4: $\rho = \text{Re}/\text{Im}$ due to the Odderon contribution versus W in our model. The difference between set I and set II of parameters in Table I are so small that cannot be seen in the figure.

suppression of the Odderon contribution at small b in comparison with Eq. (21) (see red line in Fig. 3). This suppression is much smaller than in our approach, based on CGC[13]. The reason for this is that in our model the value of $A_{\text{el}}(s, b)$ turns out to be smaller than 1 even at very high energies. Due to this $O(W, b=0) \neq 0$ even at $W \approx 100 \text{ TeV}$.

In Fig. 4 we plot the contribution of the Odderon to the ratio of $\rho = \text{Re}/\text{Im}$ parts of the scattering amplitude as function of energy. One sees the influence of the shadowing corrections, which induce the energy dependence of this ratio on energy. Eq. (21) shows that the Odderon does not depend on energy without these corrections. This induced energy dependence turns out to be rather large causing a decrease of ρ in the energy range: $W = 0.5 \div 13 \text{ TeV}$. However, this effect is much smaller than in our previous estimates [13] and the value of ρ does not contradict the experimental data[2? -4].

The shadowing correction has a remarkable effect on the t -dependence of the scattering amplitude (see Fig. 5). We see that the shadowing corrections lead to a narrower distribution over t , than the input given by Eq. (21), which is shown in Fig. 5 by the red line.

Variant of the fit	Δ_{dressed}	p_{01}	p_{02}	$m_1 \text{ (GeV)}$	$m_2 \text{ (GeV)}$	β^2	$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f}$
I	0.48 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.05	0.006 ± 0.001	1.033 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.09	0.25 ± 0.01	1.1
II	0.52 ± 0.01	0.644 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	1.04 ± 0.01	1.79 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.01	1.1

TABLE I: Fitted parameters. $\Delta_{\text{dressed}} = \Delta(1 - p_{01})$.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we discussed the Odderon contribution in our model[20] that provides a fairly good description of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}, \sigma_{\text{el}}, \sigma_{\text{diff}}$ and B_{el} , especially as related to the energy dependence of these observables. We showed that the shadowing corrections are large and induced considerable dependence on energy for the Odderon contribution, which in perturbative QCD is energy independent. However, this energy dependence does not contradict the experimental data for $\rho = \text{Re}/\text{Im}$, if we assume that the Odderon gives a contribution of about 1mb at $W=7 \text{ TeV}$. This fact is in striking contrast to our estimates for the CGC based model[13]. The reason for this difference is that the elastic scattering amplitude in the two channel model does not reach the unitarity limit ($A_{\text{el}}(W, b=0) = 1$ even at very high energies).




Fig. 5-a




Fig. 5-b

FIG. 5: $O(W, q = \sqrt{|t|})$ versus $q = \sqrt{|t|}$ for different energies. The red line corresponds to the contribution of Eq. (21). Fig. 5-a corresponds to the set I of parameters (see Table I) while Fig. 5-b shows the Odderon contribution for set II of parameters.

We believe that our estimates will be useful for further discussion of the Odderon contribution, especially the t -dependence of the Odderon, which turns out to be quite different from the bare Odderon given by Eq. (21).

Acknowledgements.

We thank our colleagues at Tel Aviv University and UTFSM for encouraging discussions. Our special thanks go to Tamas Csörgő and Jan Kasper for discussion of the odderon contribution and elastic scattering during the Low x'2019 WS. This research was supported by CONICYT PIA/BASAL FB0821(Chile) and Fondecyt (Chile) grants 1170319 and 1180118 .

* Electronic address: gotsman@post.tau.ac.il

† Electronic address: leving@tauex.tau.ac.il, eugeniy.levin@usm.cl

‡ Electronic address: irina.potashnikova@usm.cl

- [1] G. Antchev *et al.* [TOTEM Collaboration], “First measurement of elastic, inelastic and total cross-section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by TOTEM and overview of cross-section data at LHC energies,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) no.2, 103 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6567-0 [arXiv:1712.06153 [hep-ex]].
- [2] G. Antchev *et al.* [TOTEM Collaboration], “First determination of the ρ parameter at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV: probing the existence of a colourless C -odd three-gluon compound state,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) no.9, 785 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7223-4 [arXiv:1812.04732 [hep-ex]].
- [3] G. Antchev *et al.* [TOTEM Collaboration], “Elastic differential cross-section measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by TOTEM,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) no.10, 861 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7346-7 [arXiv:1812.08283 [hep-ex]].
- [4] G. Antchev *et al.* [TOTEM Collaboration], “Elastic differential cross-section $d\sigma/dt$ at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV and implications on the existence of a colourless C -odd three-gluon compound state,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.2, 91 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7654-y [arXiv:1812.08610 [hep-ex]].
- [5] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, “Elastic and diffractive scattering at the LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **784** (2018) 192 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.054 [arXiv:1806.05970 [hep-ph]].
- [6] W. Broniowski, L. Jenkovszky, E. Ruiz Arriola and I. Szanyi, “Hollowness in pp and $p\bar{p}$ scattering in a Regge model,” arXiv:1806.04756 [hep-ph].
- [7] S. M. Troshin and N. E. Tyurin, “Implications of the $\rho(s)$ measurements by TOTEM at the LHC,” arXiv:1805.05161 [hep-ph].
- [8] E. Martynov and B. Nicolescu, “Evidence for maximality of strong interactions from LHC forward data,” arXiv:1804.10139 [hep-ph].
- [9] M. Broilo, E. G. S. Luna and M. J. Menon, “Soft Pomerons and the Forward LHC Data,” *Phys. Lett. B* **781** (2018) 616, [arXiv:1803.07167 [hep-ph]].
- [10] Y. M. Shabelski and A. G. Shuvaev, “Real part of pp scattering amplitude in Additive Quark Model at LHC energies,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.6, 497, [arXiv:1802.02812 [hep-ph]].
- [11] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, “Black disk, maximal Odderon and unitarity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **780** (2018) 352, [arXiv:1801.07065 [hep-ph]].
- [12] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, “Elastic proton-proton scattering at 13 TeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) no.3,

- 034019, [arXiv:1712.00325 [hep-ph]].
- [13] E. Gotsman, E. Levin and I. Potashnikova, “*CGC/saturation approach: secondary Reggeons and $\rho = \text{Re}/\text{Im}$ dependence on energy*,” Phys. Lett. B **786** (2018) 472 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.10.017 [arXiv:1807.06459 [hep-ph]].
 - [14] T. Csörgő, T. Novak, R. Pasechnik, A. Ster and I. Szanyi, “*Evidence of Odderon-exchange from scaling properties of elastic scattering at TeV energies*,” arXiv:1912.11968 [hep-ph].
 - [15] Yuri V Kovchegov and Eugene Levin, “*Quantum Chromodynamics at High Energies*”, Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, Cambridge University Press, 2012 .
 - [16] J. Bartels, L. N. Lipatov and G. P. Vacca, “*A New odderon solution in perturbative QCD*,” Phys. Lett. B **477** (2000) 178, [hep-ph/9912423].
 - [17] Y. V. Kovchegov, L. Szymanowski and S. Wallon, “*Perturbative odderon in the dipole model*,” Phys. Lett. B **586** (2004) 267, [hep-ph/0309281].
 - [18] Carlos Contreras, Eugene Levin, Rodrigo Meneses and Michael Sanhueza, “*Non-linear equation in the re-summed next-to-leading order of perturbative QCD: the leading twist approximation*,” (in preparation).
 - [19] E. Gotsman, E. Levin and I. Potashnikova, “*A new parton model for the soft interactions at high energies*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.3, 192, [arXiv:1812.09040 [hep-ph]].
 - [20] E. Gotsman, E. Levin and I. Potashnikova, “*A new parton model for the soft interactions at high energies: two channel approximation*,” arXiv:1908.05673 [hep-ph].
 - [21] A. Kovner, E. Levin and M. Lublinsky, “*QCD unitarity constraints on Reggeon Field Theory*,” JHEP **1608** (2016) 031, [arXiv:1605.03251 [hep-ph]].
 - [22] T. Altinoluk, A. Kovner, E. Levin and M. Lublinsky, “*Reggeon Field Theory for Large Pomeron Loops*,” JHEP **1404** (2014) 075 [arXiv:1401.7431 [hep-ph]].
 - [23] M. Froissart, “*Asymptotic Behavior and Subtractions in the Mandelstam Representation*”, Phys. Rev. **123** (1961) 1053; A. Martin, “*Scattering Theory: Unitarity, Analyticity and Crossing*.” Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, 1969.
 - [24] M. G. Ryskin, “*Odderon and Polarization Phenomena in QCD*,” Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **46** (1987) 337 [Yad. Fiz. **46** (1987) 611].
 - [25] E. M. Levin and M. G. Ryskin, “*High-energy hadron collisions in QCD*,” Phys. Rept. **189** (1990) 267.