

Short review about the MSSM with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN).

M. C. Rodriguez

Grupo de Física Teórica e Matemática Física

Departamento de Física

Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro - UFRRJ

BR 465 Km 7, 23890-000

Seropédica, RJ, Brazil,

email: marcoscrodriguez@ufrj.br

Abstract

We give a review about the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN). We, first introduce the minimal set of fields to built this model in their superfields formalism. After it, we build the lagrangian of the model in the super-space formalism and also introduce the soft terms to break SUSY. We show how to get masses to the neutrinos and sneutrinos in this model. We also present in short way some others interesting Supersymmetric model as SUSYB-L, SUSYLR and two SUSYGUTS models, all this model have three right handed neutrinos.

PACS number(s): 12.60. Jv.

Keywords: Supersymmetric models.

1 Introduction

Although the Standard Model (SM) describes the observed properties of charged leptons and quarks it is not the ultimate theory. However, the necessity to go beyond it, from the experimental point of view, comes at the moment only from neutrino data [1].

Today we have accumulated a variety of experimntal neutrino data, involving solar¹ (Homestake² [3], Kamioka Nucleon Decay Experiment (Kamiokande)

¹The fluxes of neutrinos produced at the Sun, $\Phi(SSM) = 6 \times 10^{10} \nu_e \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, was made at [2].


²This experiment was realized by Davis and his collaborators, in 1967, and it measured the electron neutrino flux produced from the Sun using a chlorine detector ($\nu_e + {}^{37}\text{Cl} \rightarrow e^- + {}^{37}\text{Ar}$) in the Homestake mine (South Dakota)

[4, 5], Super-Kamiokande [6], Gallex [7], Gallium Neutrino Observatory (GNO) [8], Soviet American Gallium Experiment (SAGE) collaborations [9], atmospheric (Irvine-Michigan-Brookhaven (IMB) [10], Kamioka Neutron Decay Experiment (Kamiokande) [11]), reactor (KamLAND [12], Borexino [13]) and accelerator (K2K [14], Minos [15]) experiments.

We know that the experiments Homestake mine (South Dakota, in USA), Kamiokande (Kamioka Neutron Decay Experiment, in Japan), Super-Kamiokande experiment provided the following main result:

- ν_e disappearance;

and it is known as “solar neutrino puzzle” because those neutrinos were produced within the Sun. The neutrinos are emitted, basically, in the following “net” chain



and the flux of neutrinos produced at the Sun is [2]

$$\Phi(\nu_e) = 6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The solar neutrinos are detected by the following reaction



where all the neutrinos contribute to this reaction. The result presented by Kamiokande is [5]

$$\Phi(\nu_e) = (2.80 \pm 0.019(sta) \pm 0.33(sys)) \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

while the results of Super-Kamiokande is

$$\Phi(\nu_e) = (2.35 \pm 0.02(sta) \pm 0.08(sys)) \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Conclusion, the ν_e flux is depressed in relation with the fluxes of neutrinos produced at the Sun, it is about three times lower.

Another interesting data, is that the experiment Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) [16] has measured the total amount of neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) from the Sun agrees with the calculations of electron neutrinos production in the Sun, it means that, the flux of (ν_μ, ν_τ) from the Sun is non-Zero and their results is

$$\frac{\Phi(\nu_x)}{\Phi(SSM)} = 1 \pm 0.1, \quad (6)$$

and we can interpretate it as the amount of neutrinos detected at SNO from the Sun is as expected, but the composition is not. Today it is the strongest experimental evidence for

- neutrinos oscillation,
- existence of neutrinos masses.

While the IMB (Irvine-Michigan-Brookhaven) collaboration and again the Kamiokande experiment reported a significant deficit in the muon neutrino flux coming from the reaction $\pi^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu \mu^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\mu \nu_e e^-$ ³, and it is known as atmospheric neutrino problem. The experimental results in this case can be summarized as

- The ν_e behave according to Montecarlo simulations;
- There are a clear deficit in ν_μ .

The theory beyond oscillations can be summarized as: the neutrino state created in the decay

$$W^+ \rightarrow l_\alpha^+ + \nu_\alpha, \quad (7)$$

and it is described by the following lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_W = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\bar{l}'_{L\alpha} \gamma^m \nu'_{L\alpha} W_m^- + hc \right). \quad (8)$$

In order to explain those series of data we taken into account that neutrinos flavor states

$$\begin{aligned} l'_{L,R} &= (e', \mu', \tau')_{L,R}^T, \\ \nu'_L &= (\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)_{L,R}^T, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and the mass eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} l_{L,R} &= (e, \mu, \tau)_{L,R}^T, \\ \nu_L &= (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)_{L,R}^T, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

³We know when particles coming from the space⁴ collide with nuclei in the upper atmosphere produce many pions.

the mass matrices of charged lepton sector M^l are diagonalized by a bi-unitary transformation such as

$$\hat{M}^l = V_L^{l\dagger} M^l V_R^l, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\hat{M}^l = \text{diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau), \quad (12)$$

while the states (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) have definite masses given by m_1, m_2, m_3 , respectively. We define the lepton mixing matrix as

$$U_{PMNS} = V_L^{l\dagger} V_L^\nu. \quad (13)$$

The unitary matrix, U_{PMNS} , is known as the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS). Then, Eq.(8), become

$$\mathcal{L}_W = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left(\bar{l}_{L\alpha} \gamma^m U_{\alpha i} \nu_{Li} W_m^- + hc \right). \quad (14)$$

Their experimental values are given by [17]

$$|V_{PMNS}| \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.795 - 0.846 & 0.513 - 0.585 & 0.126 - 0.178 \\ 0.4205 - 0.543 & 0.416 - 0.730 & 0.579 - 0.808 \\ 0.215 - 0.548 & 0.409 - 0.725 & 0.567 - 0.800 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

he Majorana phases have not been included above because they do not lead to observable effects in oscillations experiments.

The neutrino source, for example the Sun or some nuclear reaction, produce the neutrino ν_α and its propagated until the detector, or target, but it arrive on it as in another flavor, as drawn at Fig.(1), ν_β .

The amplitude for a neutrino of flavor ν_α of energy E changing to another neutrino flavor ν_β after propagating (in vacuum) a distance L is given by

$$\langle \nu_\beta | \nu_\alpha \rangle \simeq \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* e^{-i m_i^2 L / 2E} U_{\beta i}. \quad (16)$$

We can calculate the corresponding probability of transition as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta) &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \text{Re} \left(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* \right) \sin^2 \left[\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E} \right] \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i>j} \text{Im} \left(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* \right) \sin \left[\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: Neutrino flavor change (oscillation) in vacuum this figure was taken from [18, 19].

where we have defined

$$\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2. \quad (18)$$

The conclusion is clear, when all neutrino masses m_i 's are zero (or nonzero but degenerate), the neutrino oscillation is not possible and at least two of them must be massive.

In similar way the transition $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta) &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \text{Re} \left(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* \right) \sin^2 \left[\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E} \right] \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i>j} \text{Im} \left(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* \right) \sin \left[\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and as conclusion if the matrix U is not real, the probabilities for $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta$ and for the corresponding antineutrino oscillation, $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$, will in general differ.

As we discuss above, we must have two masses scales, and there are the following free parameters:

- three mixing angles (θ_{12}, θ_{23} and θ_{13});
- the CP violating Dirac⁵ phase (δ);
- two Majorana⁶ phases (α_1 and α_2);
- two squared-mass differences (e.g. $\Delta m_{21}^2 \equiv m_2^2 - m_1^2$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 \equiv m_3^2 - m_1^2$).

The unitary matrix, U_{PMNS} , is often parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned} U_{PMNS} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\alpha_1/2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\alpha_2/2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

⁵We meant Dirac particle [22].

⁶We meant Majorana particle [23].

where as usual, we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} s_{mn} &\equiv \sin \theta_{mn}, \\ c_{mn} &\equiv \cos \theta_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The experimental results that suggest that the neutrinos have non-zero masses and oscillations. The best-fit values at 1σ error level for these neutrino oscillation parameters in the three-flavor framework are summarised as follows [25]

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_{12} &= \sin^2 \theta_{solar} = 0.304_{-0.016}^{+0.022}, \Delta m_{21}^2 = \Delta m_{solar}^2 = 7.65_{-0.20}^{+0.23} \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ Fig.(2)}, \\ \sin^2 \theta_{23} &= \sin^2 \theta_{atm} = 0.50_{-0.06}^{+0.07}, |\Delta m_{23}^2| = \Delta |m_{atm}^2| = 2.40_{-0.11}^{+0.12} \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ Fig.(3)}, \\ \sin^2 \theta_{13} &= \sin^2 \theta_{CHOOZ} = 0.01_{-0.011}^{+0.016}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

From these data we can conclude

- The mixing θ_{23} is consistent with maximal mixing;
- The mixing θ_{12} is large but not maxima;
- The CHOOZ [26] (in France) results indicate a tiny value for the mixing angle θ_{13} .

We can consider two scenarios⁷:

- “bi-large” mixing, where the mixing parameters are $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$

$$U_{BL} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sin \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{\sin \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

- “tribimaximal” mixing, where the mixing parameters are θ_{12} is very well approximated by the relation: $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 1/3$ and te Eq.(23) can be written as

$$U_{TB} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

⁷Here we have omitted the Majorana phases because they do not lead to observable effects in oscillations.

In the case of atmospheric neutrinos, the sign of the mass split determines the type of mass hierarchy:

- normal, $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$ which gives

$$m_1 < m_2 < m_3, \quad (25)$$

with

$$m_2 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{solar}^2}, \quad m_3 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{atm}^2}, \quad (26)$$

- inverted, $\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$

which gives

$$m_3 < m_1 < m_2, \quad (27)$$

$$m_1 = \sqrt{m_3^2 + \Delta m_{atm}^2 - \Delta m_{solar}^2}, \quad m_2 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{solar}^2}, \quad (28)$$

and both situation are draw at Fig.(4). To explain the data presented above, we need three massive neutrinos. In order to see how to fit these data with three massive neutrinos see [24, 25].

The physical effects involved in the interpretation of neutrinos oscillation data are [24]

- vacuum oscillations;
- the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) (conversion of solar neutrinos in the matter of the Sun);
- oscillations in matter (oscillations of solar and atmospheric neutrinos in the matter of Earth).

The Los Alamos Liquid Scintillation Detector experiment (LSND) measured accelerator neutrinos produced in the usual muons decays

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu. \quad (29)$$

They have reported a signal that, if interpreted as neutrino oscillations, implies [27]

$$\Delta m^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ eV}^2), \quad (30)$$

and the mixing parameter is constrained by

$$0.003 \leq \sin^2(2\theta_{LSND}) \leq 0.03. \quad (31)$$




Figure 2: Determination of the leading “solar” oscillation parameters, taken from [25].




Figure 3: Determination of the leading "atmospheric" oscillation parameters, taken from [25].




Figure 4: Neutrino mass and flavor spectra for the normal (left) and inverted (right) mass hierarchies, figure taken from [24].

It is important to remember that this result has not been confirmed by any other experiment. Due to this fact, usually their results are ignored because an oscillation explanation has been ruled out by MiniBooNE [28]. If we take into account their result, we need to introduce at least one right-handed neutrinos⁸.

About the neutrino physics, one of the major problems is to clarify the Dirac or Majorana character of neutrino masses. If neutrinos are Dirac particles then lepton number remains as a conserved quantity. By another hand if neutrinos are Majorana particles [30] lepton number would be violated in two units. In this case double beta decays and some rare meson decay of the form $M^+ \rightarrow M'^- l^+ l^+$ can occur. This kind of decay is sensitive to neutrino masses and lepton mixing as discussed at [31].

One possible way to probe the neutrino mass scale is to measure the neutrinoless double β -decay. This process occurs via the following nuclear reaction

$${}^A_Z [\text{Nucl}] \rightarrow {}^A_{Z+2} [\text{Nucl}'] + 2e^-, \quad (32)$$

this equation is translated as a nucleus containing Z protons decays to a

⁸They are known as sterile neutrinos, for more detail about those kind of names see [29].




Figure 5: The dominant mechanism for $0\nu\beta\beta$, this figure taken from [20].

nucleus containing $Z + 2$ protons by emitting two electrons, an example is ${}^{76}\text{Ge} \rightarrow {}^{76}\text{Se}$, as drawn at Fig.(5).

However, several groups such as the Heidelberg-Moscow [32], IGEX [33] and CUORICINO experiment [34]. However there are the following upcoming experiments like CUORE [35], GERDA [36] and *Majorana* [37] to get better limits on neutrinos masses.

If we take into account cosmological constraint into account we get the following upper limit $\sum m_\nu < 1.01$ eV if we have three neutrinos and it change to $\sum m_\nu < 2.12$ eV in the case of five neutrinos as presented at [38, 39].

The aim of the present paper is to propose a modification Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model to give masses in a simple way to neutrinos and get nice Dark Matter candidate (right-handed sneutrinos) and also get flat directions scalar potential that can generate the cosmological inflation. Also, the matter anti-matter asymmetry could be obtained from the leptogenesis mechanism.

I started this studies to make the articles presented at [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47], my first goal was to include it on my previous review about some generalization about the MSSM with singlets [48], but this model is so interesting due it I have done this review.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the minimal supersymmetric model with three right-handed neutrinos. We calculate the masses to neutrinos and sneutrinos in general case with three right-handed neutrinos and also with with one right-handed neutrinos, as an example as of that this model can explain in satisfactory way the experimental results concern the neutrinos experimental data. Next, we show some flat direc-

tions in this model. We also present, very quickly, some others interesting supersymmetric models such as SUSYB-L, SUSYLR and two SUSYGUTS models.

2 The Minimal Supersymmetric Model with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN)

On the Minimal Supersymmetric Model with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN) [49, 50, 51, 52, 53], the particle content is very similar to the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [49, 54], it contains three families of left-handed quarks $Q_{iL} = (u_i, d_i)_L^T \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, (1/3))^9$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ is family indices, three families of leptons $L_{iL} = (\nu_i, l_i)_L^T \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$ plus the Higgs fields $H_1 = (h_1^+, h_1^0)^T \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1)$. We have also to introduce three families of right-handed quarks, given by $(u_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, (-4/3)), d_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, (2/3)))^{10}$, three families of right-handed charged leptons ($l_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2)$) and another Higgs fields $H_2 = (h_2^0, h_2^-)^T \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$ as shown at Tab.(1). The vacuum expectation values (vev) of our Higgses fields are given by:

$$\langle H_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle H_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

The superpotential of the MSSM is define in the following way

$$W_{MSSM} = \left(\frac{W_H + W_{2RV}}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{W_Y + W_{3RV}}{3} \right) + hc, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_H &= \mu_H (\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2), \\ W_Y &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left[f_{ij}^l (\hat{H}_2 \hat{L}_{iL}) \hat{l}_{jR} + f_{ij}^d (\hat{H}_2 \hat{Q}_{iL}) \hat{d}_{jR} + f_{ij}^u (\hat{H}_1 \hat{Q}_{iL}) \hat{u}_{jR} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

we have suppressed the $SU(2)$ indices and the supersymmetric parameter μ_H is a complex number and has mass dimension. In general all the parameters

⁹The number in parenthesis refers to the $(SU(3)_C, SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y)$ quantum numbers.

¹⁰We are using similar notation as presented at [48].

Superfield	Usual Particle	Spin	Superpartner	Spin
$\hat{L}_{iL} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$	$L_{iL} = (\nu_{iL}, l_{iL})^T$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\tilde{L}_{iL} = (\tilde{\nu}_{iL}, \tilde{l}_{iL})^T$	0
$\hat{Q}_{iL} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, 1/3)$	$Q_{iL} = (u_{iL}, d_{iL})^T$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\tilde{Q}_{iL} = (\tilde{u}_{iL}, \tilde{d}_{iL})^T$	0
$\hat{l}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2)$	$l_{iR} = \overline{l^c}_{iL}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	\tilde{l}_{iR}	0
$\hat{N}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$	$\nu_{iR} = \overline{\nu^c}_{iL}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\tilde{\nu}_{iR}$	0
$\hat{d}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, (2/3))$	$d_{iR} = \overline{d^c}_{iL}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	\tilde{d}_{iR}^c	0
$\hat{u}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, (-4/3))$	$u_{iR} = \overline{u^c}_{iL}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	\tilde{u}_{iR}^c	0
$\hat{H}_1 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1)$	$H_1 = (h_1^+, h_1^0)^T$	0	$\tilde{H}_1 = (\tilde{h}_1^+, \tilde{h}_1^0)^T$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\hat{H}_2 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$	$H_2 = (h_2^0, h_2^-)^T$	0	$\tilde{H}_2 = (\tilde{h}_2^0, \tilde{h}_2^-)^T$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\hat{V}_c^a (SU(3))$	G_m^a	1	\tilde{g}^a	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\hat{V}^i (SU(2))$	V_m^i	1	λ_A^i	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\hat{V}' (U(1))$	V_m	1	λ_B	$\frac{1}{2}$

Table 1: Particle content of MSSM3RHN. The families index for leptons and quarks are $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The parentheses are the transformation properties under the respective representation of $(SU(3)_C, SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y)$.

f are, in principle, complex numbers and they are symmetric in ij exchange and they are dimensionless parameters [49, 54]. Moreover, f^d and f^u can give account for the mixing between the quark current eigenstates as described by the CKM matrix. In this model, we can also explain the mass hierarchy in the charged fermion masses as showed recently in [55, 56].

When we consider R Parity scenarios, this model has viable candidates to be the Dark Matter. One of most studied candidate is the lightest neutralino denoted as $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. However, some years ago, there were some interesting studies where the lightest sneutrino $\tilde{\nu}_{eL}$ was considered as the Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP)¹¹. There are some authors study the gravitino as Dark Matter

¹¹Unfortunately this particle have been ruled out by the combination of collider experiment as LEP and direct searches for cosmological relics as discussed at [57].

candidate, more details about SUSY Dark Matter Candidates is presented in nice way in [58].

The MSSM has terms to break the baryon number and the lepton number conservation laws and in the SM all the interactions conserve both laws and no physical process with this property has been discovered so far. This phenomenological fact suggest imposing a discrete symmetry in the model. This symmetry is the R -parity [49, 54]. The terms that break the R -parity are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2RV} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i \left(\hat{H}_1 \hat{L}_{iL} \right), \\ W_{3RV} &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \left[\lambda_{ijk} \left(\hat{L}_{iL} \hat{L}_{jL} \right) \hat{l}_{kR} + \lambda'_{ijk} \left(\hat{L}_{iL} \hat{Q}_{jL} \right) \hat{d}_{kR} + \lambda''_{ijk} \hat{u}_{iR} \hat{d}_{jR} \hat{d}_{kR} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The mass matrix of neutrinos arise when we allow a mixing between the usual leptons with the higgsinos and its mixings is generated by

$$\left(\hat{H}_2 \hat{L}_{iL} \right) \supset \left(\tilde{H}_2 L_{iL} \right) = l_{iL} \tilde{H}_2^+ - \nu_{iL} \tilde{H}_2^0, \quad (37)$$

the second term at right size mixing the neutrinos with the neutral higgsinos, therefore in this case the usual neutrinos can mix with the neutralinos, and one of neutrinos get mass at Tree-level as shown at Fig.(6). The others two neutrinos get their masses at 1-loop level, neutrinos are Majorana particles, and their masses at can be written as [54]:

$$\delta m_{\nu_k} \propto (\lambda_{kii} \text{ or } \lambda'_{kii})^2 \frac{M_S m_i^2}{\tilde{m}_i}, \quad (38)$$

where m_i is the mass of exchanged fermion and the factor $m_i M_S$ comes from the left-right mixing of the sfermion, we recomend to see [59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65]. We can have neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$), see [42], as at Figs.(7,8).

As we broke L -number conservation we can have Leptogenesis [66, 67, 68] because on this case the following decay:

$$\Gamma \left(\tilde{\nu}_i \rightarrow l_j^+ l_k^- \right) = \frac{1}{16\pi} (\lambda_{ijk})^2 m_{\tilde{\nu}_i}, \quad (39)$$




Figure 6: Diagrams for the neutrino mass generation at tree level in the model with the R-parity violation figure taken from [65].




Figure 7: Neutrinoless double beta decay in SM with massive neutrinos.

where $m_{\tilde{\nu}_i}$ is the mass of sneutrinos and this decay violate lepton number conservation. Process violating Lepton number can be confirmed at LHC, their detectors can get the following processes

$$\begin{aligned}
 pp &\rightarrow \tilde{e}_i \rightarrow e^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- jj, \\
 pp &\rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_{eL} \rightarrow e^- \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- jj.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{40}$$

and its total cross section is give by

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow \tilde{e}) \propto |\lambda'_{111}|^2 / m_{\tilde{e}}^3,
 \tag{41}$$

and those processes mentioned above can be confirmed by ATLAS, CMS and




Figure 8: Neutrinoless double beta ($0\nu\beta\beta$) decay in the MSSM with massive neutrinos.

LHCb and it provides an attractive scenario to explain the baryon asymmetry [42].

When we consider $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as the LSP, in scenarios where R -Parity is conserved, due the terms at Eq.(36) induces the following decays channel:

- $\lambda_{ijk} \implies \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow l_i \bar{l}_j \bar{\nu}_k$, or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \bar{l}_i l_j \nu_k$,
- $\lambda'_{ijk} \implies \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \bar{l}_i \bar{u}_j d_k$, or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow l_i u_j \bar{d}_k$,
- $\lambda''_{ijk} \implies \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \bar{u}_i \bar{d}_j \bar{d}_k$, or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow u_i d_j d_k$,

and same on this case we can get the famous missing energy and momentum in MSSM, for more details see [49, 54].

The main motivation to consider the MSSM with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN) is to get an simple way to generate masses to all neutrinos in concordance with the actual experimental data. We add to MSSM particle spectra, three additional scalar superfields $\hat{N}_{1,2,3}$, see Tab.(1)¹²

$$\hat{N}_{iR}(y, \theta) = \tilde{\nu}_{iL}^c(y) + \sqrt{2}(\theta \nu_{iL}^c(y)) + (\theta\theta) F_{\nu_{iL}^c}(y), \quad (42)$$

¹²In chiral superfields all the fermion fields are left-handed [42]




Figure 9: One loop diagrams for the neutrino mass generation in the model with R-parity violation, figure taken from [65].

the fields ν_{iL}^c are the right-handed neutrinos, known as sterile neutrinos [29]. As a consequence of supersymmetric algebra beyond the three right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{iL}^c \equiv \nu_{iR}$, or sterile neutrinos, we should also introduce three right-handed sneutrinos $\tilde{\nu}_{iL}^c$, and we will call them as sterile sneutrinos and they are good dark matter candidate [50]. The new coordinate y is defined as [69]

$$y^m = x^m + \iota (\theta \sigma^m \bar{\theta}) . \quad (43)$$

The particle content of each this model is presented in the Tab.(1).

The Lagrangian of this model is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{MSSM3RHN} = \mathcal{L}_{SUSY} + \mathcal{L}_{soft} , \quad (44)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{SUSY} is the supersymmetric piece and can be divided as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{SUSY} = \mathcal{L}_{lepton} + \mathcal{L}_{Quarks} + \mathcal{L}_{Gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \quad (45)$$

where each term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{lepton} &= \int d^4\theta \left[\hat{L}_{iL} e^{2g\hat{V}+g'(-\frac{1}{2})\hat{V}'} \hat{L}_{iL} + \hat{l}_{iR} e^{g'(\frac{2}{2})\hat{V}'} \hat{l}_{iR} + \hat{N}_{iR} e^{g'(\frac{0}{2})\hat{V}'} \hat{N}_{iR} \right] , \\ \mathcal{L}_{Quarks} &= \int d^4\theta \left[\hat{Q}_{iL} e^{2g_s\hat{V}_c+2g\hat{V}+g'(\frac{1}{3})\hat{V}'} \hat{Q}_{iL} + \hat{u}_{iR} e^{2g_s\hat{V}_c+g'(-\frac{2}{3})\hat{V}'} \hat{u}_{iR} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{d}_{iR} e^{2g_s\hat{V}_c+g'(\frac{1}{3})\hat{V}'} \hat{d}_{iR} \right] , \\ \mathcal{L}_{Gauge} &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int d^2\theta \left[\sum_{a=1}^8 W_s^{a\alpha} W_{sa}^a + \sum_{i=1}^3 W^{i\alpha} W_\alpha^i + W'^{\alpha} W'_\alpha \right] + h.c. \right\} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} &= \int d^4\theta \left[\hat{H}_1 e^{2g\hat{V}+g'(-\frac{1}{2})\hat{V}'} \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 e^{2g\hat{V}+g'(\frac{1}{2})\hat{V}'} \hat{H}_2 \right] \\
&+ \int d^2\theta W + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore, our right handed neutrinos have any interactions with the usual gauge bosons then we will refer to them as “fully sterile” [29]. We use the notation $\hat{V} = T^i \hat{V}^i$ where $T^i \equiv \sigma^i/2$ (with $i = 1, 2, 3$) are the generators of $SU(2)_L$ and $\hat{V}_c \equiv T^a \hat{V}_c^a$ and $T^a = \lambda^a/2$ (with $a = 1, \dots, 8$) are the generators of $SU(3)_C$. As usual, g_s , g and g' are the gauge couplings for the $SU(3)$, $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ groups, and W is the superpotential of this model and we will present it below. The field strengths are given by [69]

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{s\alpha}^a &= -\frac{1}{8g_s} \bar{D}\bar{D}e^{-2g_s\hat{V}_c^a} D_\alpha e^{2g_s\hat{V}_c^a} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \\
W_\alpha^i &= -\frac{1}{8g} \bar{D}\bar{D}e^{-2g\hat{V}^i} D_\alpha e^{2g\hat{V}^i}, \\
W'_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{4} DD\bar{D}_\alpha \hat{V}'.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The masses of charged gauge bosons are the same as given in the MSSM and it is given by

$$M_W^2 = \frac{g^2}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) = \frac{g^2 v_2^2}{4}(1 + \tan^2 \beta) = \frac{g^2 v_2^2}{4} \sec^2 \beta, \tag{48}$$

the new free parameter β is defined in the following way

$$\tan \beta \equiv \frac{v_1}{v_2}, \tag{49}$$

where v_1 is the vev of H_1 , this scalar gives masses to quarks of type u , while v_2 is the vev of the H_2 , this scalar gives masses to quarks of type d and for charged leptons. Due to the fact that v_1 and v_2 are both positive, it implies that

$$0 \leq \beta \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \text{ rad.} \tag{50}$$

Using the free parameter β , we can get the following relation to the vev of the Higgses defined in Eq.(33)

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= \frac{2M_W \sin \beta}{g}, \\
v_2 &= \frac{2M_W \cos \beta}{g}.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

In this case, the most general superpotential W of this model is given by

$$W_{MSSM3RHN} = \left[W_{1N} + \left(\frac{W_H + W_{2RV} + W_{2N}}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{W_Y + W_{3RV} + W_{3NRC} + W_{3NRV}}{3} \right) + hc \right], \quad (52)$$

where W_H, W_Y are defined at Eq.(35), while W_{2RV}, W_{3RV} from Eq.(36) and we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1N} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa'_i \hat{N}_{iR}, \\ W_{2N} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 M_{ij}^\nu \hat{N}_{iR} \hat{N}_{jR}, \\ W_{3NRC} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 f_{ij}^\nu (\hat{H}_2 \hat{L}_{iL}) \hat{N}_{jR}, \\ W_{3NRV} &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \left[\kappa''_i (\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2) \hat{N}_{iR} + \kappa_{ijk} \hat{N}_{iR} \hat{N}_{jR} \hat{N}_{kR} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

We can conclude the masses of charged fermions are the same as in the MSSM, and we can write [49, 54]

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}^d &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_W \cos \beta} m_{ij}^d, & f_{ij}^l &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_W \cos \beta} m_{ij}^l, \\ f_{ij}^u &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_W \sin \beta} m_{ij}^u. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The fact that m_u, m_d, m_s and m_e are many orders of magnitude smaller than the masses of others fermions may well be indicative of a radiative mechanism at work for these masses as considered at [59, 70]. We can explain the mass hierarchy in the charged fermion masses as showed in [55, 56].

We defined at Tab.(2) the R -charges of the superfields in the MSSM3RHN. In this model the right-handed sneutrinos are viable candidate to Dark Matter was showed at [50, 71]

Using the R -charges defined at Tab.(2), will forbid the Majorana Mass term as well the $\Xi_i, \lambda_i, \kappa_{ijk}, \mu_i, \lambda_{ijk}, \lambda'_{ijk}, \lambda''_{ijk}$ couplings in the superpotential defined at Eq.(52). In this case our superpotential become

$$W = \left(\frac{W_H}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{W_Y + W_{3NRC}}{3} \right) + hc, \quad (55)$$

Superfield	R -charge	$(B - L)$ -charge
$\hat{L}_{iL} = (\hat{\nu}_{iL}, \hat{l}_{iL})^T$	$n_L = +\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$-(1)$
$\hat{Q}_{iL} = (\hat{u}_{iL}, \hat{d}_{iL})^T$	$n_Q = +\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$
$\hat{H}_1 = (\hat{H}_1^0, \hat{H}_1^-)^T$	$n_{H_1} = 0$	0
$\hat{H}_2 = (\hat{H}_2^+, \hat{H}_2^0)^T$	$n_{H_2} = 0$	0
\hat{l}_{iR}	$n_l = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+(1)$
\hat{N}_{iR}	$n_N = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+(1)$
\hat{d}_{iR}	$n_d = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$
\hat{u}_{iR}	$n_u = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$

Table 2: R -charge and $(B - L)$ -charge assignment to all superfields in the MSSM3RHN.

see Eq.(52) and we can now write, in similar way a we have done at Eq.(54)

$$f_{ij}^\nu = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_W \sin \beta} m_{ij}^\nu. \quad (56)$$

It is a nice result because now neutrinos are Dirac fermions [50] and it was shown recently that the right-handed sneutrinos can be non-thermal in the presence of a Majorana mass term, as showed at [72].

From Eqs.(55,56), we can write the simple relation between Yukawa coupling to neutrinos and their physical masses

$$m^\nu = f^\nu v, \quad (57)$$

where $v \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{11})\text{eV}$ is the vev of the electroweak breaking scale and if we impose

$$m^\nu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2} - 10^{-1}) \quad (58)$$

it imposes that the Yukawa coupling of neutrinos should be

$$f^\nu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-12} - 10^{-13}), \quad (59)$$

and in this case the non-thermal sterile sneutrino can be a good dark matter candidate see [50, 73, 74].

The experimental evidence suggests that the supersymmetry is not an exact symmetry. Therefore, supersymmetry breaking terms should be added to the Lagrangian defined by the Eq.(44). The most general soft supersymmetry breaking terms, which do not induce quadratic divergence, where described by Girardello and Grisaru [75]. They found that the allowed terms can be categorized as follows: a scalar field A with mass terms

$$\mathcal{L}^{SMT} = -A_i^\dagger m_{ij}^2 A_j, \quad (60)$$

a fermion field gaugino λ with mass terms

$$\mathcal{L}^{GMT} = -\frac{1}{2}(M_\lambda \lambda^a \lambda^a + hc), \quad (61)$$

and finally trilinear scalar interaction terms

$$\mathcal{L}^{INT} = B_{ij} \mu_{ij} A_i A_j + A_{ijk} f_{ijk} A_i A_j A_k + hc. \quad (62)$$

The terms in this case are similar with the terms allowed in the superpotential of the model we are going to consider next. As we are not doing any phenomenology with the strong sector, we are ommiting the squarks contribution because they are the same as in the MSSM.

The general soft SUSY breaking terms are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{MSSM3RHN}^{SMT} &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\tilde{L}_{iL}^\dagger (M_L^2)_{ij} \tilde{L}_{jL} + \tilde{l}_{iR}^\dagger (M_l^2)_{ij} \tilde{l}_{jR} + \tilde{\nu}_{iR}^\dagger (M_N^2)_{ij} \tilde{\nu}_{jR} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + M_{H_1}^2 |H_1|^2 + M_{H_2}^2 |H_2|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

we do not write the masses to squarks. We can suppose there is just one soft SUSY breaking slepton mass for each generation of left-slepton, it means

$$\left(M_L^2 \right)_{11} = M_{\tilde{e}_L}^2 = M_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2, \left(M_l^2 \right)_{11} = M_{\tilde{e}_R}^2, \left(M_N^2 \right)_{11} = M_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2. \quad (64)$$

the terms similar to our superpotential, defined at Eq.(55), is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{MSSM3RHN}^{INT} = B\mu H_1 H_2 + A_{ij}^l f_{ij}^l (\tilde{L}_{iL} H_1) \tilde{l}_{jR} + A_{ij}^\nu f_{ij}^\nu (\tilde{L}_{iL} H_2) \tilde{\nu}_{jR} + h \quad (65)$$

again we do not write the terms to squarks. The term \mathcal{L}^{GMT} is the same as given at MSSM due it we do not presented it here and there expansion can be found at [49, 54].

The only interaction to the right-handed sneutrinos are givn by is given by $(\tilde{L}_{iL} H_2) \tilde{\nu}_{jR}$ and the last term at Eq.(65) and due this fact we can call the right-handed neutrinos as *sterile* snautrinos, see comment after Eq.(46), as done at [74].

3 Masses

We will here consider the masses to neutrinos and sneutrinos. The masses to charged leptons and charged sleptons are the same as in the MSSM, and can be found at [48, 49, 54], due it we do not discuss them in this review.

4 Masses to Neutrinos

In general case, we have three left-handed neutrinos and three right-handed neutrinos and taken into account our superpotential, see Eq.(55), the most general terms to neutrinos are given by:

$$- \left[\frac{1}{3} f_{ij}^\nu (L_{iL} H_2) \nu_{jR} + \frac{1}{2} (M_N)_{ij} \nu_{iR} \nu_{jR} \right] + hc, \quad (66)$$

The parameters f_{ij}^ν are symmetric in i, j exchange and we have choosen a basis for the right-handed neutrinos superfields so that the superpotential terms are diagonal, and $M \sim M_{Planck}$ or comparable to the SO(10) breaking scale as presented at [49]. After the electroweak symmetry is broken we get 6×6 mass matrix to diagonalize. This matrix can be write as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_\nu^{Dirac} \\ (M_\nu^{Dirac})^T & M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (67)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (M_\nu^{Dirac})_{ij} &= \frac{1}{3} f_{ij}^\nu v_2, \\ (M)_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (M_N)_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

We get the usual see saw mechanism (type I) [76] if $M_\nu^{Dirac} \ll M$, the mass spectrum is one heavy Majorana neutrino, with mass M . We get three light Dirac neutrinos with their masses given by

$$m_\nu \simeq \frac{(M_\nu^{Dirac})^2}{M}. \quad (69)$$

and the neutrinos are Majorana particles as happen in MSSM.

One interesting case, happen when we consider three left-handed neutrinos and only one right-handed neutrinos. Under this consideration we get the mass matrix from Eq.(66)

$$- \left[\frac{v_2}{3\sqrt{2}} (f_1^\nu \nu_{1L} \nu_R + f_2^\nu \nu_{2L} \nu_R + f_3^\nu \nu_{3L} \nu_R) + \frac{1}{2} M_N \nu_R \nu_R \right] + hc, \quad (70)$$

in the base $(\nu_{1L}, \nu_{2L}, \nu_{3L}, \nu_R)^T$ we get the mass matrix presented at Eq.(67), and in this case we can write [77, 78]

$$M_\nu^{Dirac} = \frac{v_2}{3\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (f_1^\nu)^2 & f_1^\nu f_2^\nu & f_1^\nu f_3^\nu \\ f_1^\nu f_2^\nu & (f_2^\nu)^2 & f_2^\nu f_3^\nu \\ f_1^\nu f_3^\nu & f_2^\nu f_3^\nu & (f_3^\nu)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (71)$$

we can draw at this case a diagrammatic representation similar to ones shown at Fig.(6). We make the additional hipotesis, all the Yukawa coupling f_i^ν are real. From Eq.(71) it is simple to show the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_\nu^{Dirac}) &= 0, \\ \text{Tr}(M_\nu^{Dirac}) &= (f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

The characteristic equation obtained from Eq.(71) is

$$\det(M_\nu^{Dirac} - \lambda I_{3 \times 3}) = -\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 [(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2] = 0, \quad (73)$$

it means we have one more zero eigenvalue. Therefore, we can conclude, Eq.(71) has two zero eigenvalues and one non-zero eigenvalue, as presented at [77, 78].

The mass eigenstate, to a single Dirac neutrino represented as ν_L , is defined in the following way [77, 78]

$$\nu_H = \frac{f_1^\nu \nu_{1L} + f_2^\nu \nu_{2L} + f_3^\nu \nu_{3L}}{\sqrt{(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2}}, \quad (74)$$

and their masses is

$$m_{\nu_H} = \left[(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2 \right] \frac{v_2}{3\sqrt{2}M}, \quad (75)$$

where M is the Majorana mass of our singlet ν_R . It is the seesaw mechanism (type I) [76] to give mass to neutrinos, alternatives to the seesaw mechanism was presented at [65].

We can, also, with this simple mechanism explain the atmospheric neutrino data in the following way, our completely free parameters, f_i^ν , must satisfy the following relation [77]

$$f_1^\nu \ll f_2^\nu \approx f_3^\nu, \quad (76)$$

we can define a small angle θ_1 and a large angle $\theta_{23} \equiv \theta_{atm}$ ¹³ as:

$$\nu_H = \theta_1 \nu_{eL} + \sin \theta_{23} \nu_{\mu L} + \cos \theta_{23} \nu_{\tau L}, \quad (77)$$

where using Eq.(74) allows to redefine

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &\approx \frac{f_1^\nu}{\sqrt{(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2}}, \\ \sin \theta_{23} &\approx \frac{f_2^\nu}{\sqrt{(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2}}, \\ \cos \theta_{23} &\approx \frac{f_3^\nu}{\sqrt{(f_1^\nu)^2 + (f_2^\nu)^2 + (f_3^\nu)^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

We have done this approach because we already know to the Standard Model may account to explain the atmospheric neutrino data via $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations with the parameters defined at second line in Eq.(22). Our conclusion to explain the atmospheric neutrino mixing is, we have a single massive neutrino ν_H , defined at Eq.(77), with a Majorana mass, defined at Eq.(75), and we will impose its numerical value is given by $m_\nu \sim 5 \times 10^{-2} eV$, and the mixing, defined at Eqs.(78), is choose to be given by $\theta_{23} \sim \pi/4$ in agreement with the experimental data given at Eq.(??).

On this scenario the two neutrino eigenstates which are orthogonal to the massive neutrino ν_H will be massless. We can also set, by convenienc, $\theta_1 = 0$ in such way that we can choose as one of the massless neutrinos will be ν_{eL} and the other will be the orthogonal combination

$$\nu_{0L} \approx \cos \theta_{23} \nu_{\mu L} - \sin \theta_{23} \nu_{\tau L}. \quad (79)$$

¹³See Introduction at Eq.(22) about the second angle.

Now we are going to explaining the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix, U_{PMNS} , is defined at Eq.(??), in our case is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix}_L = U \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_e \\ \nu'_0 \\ \nu_H \end{pmatrix}_L \quad (80)$$

where ν_H is defined at Eq.(77). The matrix U can be defined as [77]

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \left(\frac{\cos \theta_{23}}{\sin \theta_{23}}\right) \theta_1 & \theta_1 \\ -\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\sin \theta_{23}}\right) & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \quad (81)$$

In this basis the mass eigen-states expressed in terms of the weak eigenstates are summarised below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_{eL} &= \nu_{eL} - \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\sin \theta_{23}}\right) \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu'_{0L} &= \left(\frac{\cos \theta_{23}}{\sin \theta_{23}}\right) \theta_1 \nu_{eL} + \cos \theta_{23} \nu_{\mu L} - \sin \theta_{23} \nu_{\tau L} \\ \nu_H &= \theta_1 \nu_{eL} + \sin \theta_{23} \nu_{\mu L} + \cos \theta_{23} \nu_{\tau L} \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

In the $\theta_1 = 0$ limit ν'_{eL}, ν'_{0L} return to ν_{eL}, ν_{0L} defined at Eq.(79). Details about numerical analyses see [77].

The others two mass neutrinos ν_{eL}, ν_{0L} get their masses from 1-loop level. Having generated a sneutrino Majorana mass, see Sec.(5), it is straightforward to see that such a mass will lead to 1-loop radiative corrections to neutrino masses via the diagram drawn in Fig.(10) [79]. The mass expression to our neutrinos can be written as [79]

$$m_\nu^{(1)} = \frac{g^2 m_{\tilde{\nu}}}{32\pi^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} \sum_j f(y_j) |Z_{jZ}|^2, \quad (83)$$

where $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ is the sneutrinos masses and we have defined

$$f(y_j) = \frac{\sqrt{y_j} [y_j - 1 - \ln(y_j)]}{(1 - y_j)^2}, \quad (84)$$

where

$$y_j \equiv \frac{m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2}{m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^0}^2}, \quad (85)$$

and $Z_{jZ} \equiv Z_{j2} \cos \theta_W - Z_{j1} \sin \theta_W$ is the neutralino mixing matrix element [79].




Figure 10: One-loop diagram generating a Majorana neutrino mass adapted from [78, 79].

We can with this mechanism explain neutrinos data as shown at [77, 78].

5 Masses of Sneutrinos

The masses and mixing of sparticles are of crucial importance both experimentally and theoretically [48, 49, 54]. All the terms that give contribution to sneutrino mass matrix came from Eq.(65), it means that the mixing in this sector is unrelated with the mixing we get at neutrino sector. In general on this sector we get one 6×6 mass matrix (we get Super-CKM and Super-PMNS matrix [54, 80]), but when we ingone the mixing into families this matrix can be devided into three blocks of 2×2 for each family, we will use this fact here, as discussed at [49, 54].

As we have done above, we will consider a one generation model. The relevant superpotential is defined, we are going present a review from the main results presented at [79] but using my notation, is again defined at Eq.(66) and the relevant terms in the soft supersymmetry breaking are given

by

$$V_{soft} = \left[m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 \tilde{\nu}_{eL}^\dagger \tilde{\nu}_{eL} + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 \tilde{\nu}_{eR}^\dagger \tilde{\nu}_{eR} + (A^\nu f^\nu H_2 \tilde{\nu}_{eL} \tilde{\nu}_{eR} + MB \tilde{\nu}_{eR} \tilde{\nu}_{eR} + hc) \right]. \quad (86)$$

The sneutrino masses are obtained by diagonalizing a 4×4 squared-mass matrix. In similar way as we perform when we diagonalize the Higgs mass matrix at MSSM, it is convenient to define:

$$\tilde{\nu} = \frac{(\tilde{\nu}_1 + \tilde{\nu}_2)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{N} = \frac{(\tilde{N}_1 + i\tilde{N}_2)}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (87)$$

Then, the squared-sneutrino mass matrix (\mathcal{M}^2), in similar way as done in the Higgs mass matrix, can be separated into CP-even and CP-odd blocks:

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_-^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (88)$$

where we have using the following simple notation

$$\phi_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_i & \tilde{N}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (89)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_\pm^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_L^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 \cos 2\beta + m_D^2 & m_D[A_\nu - \mu \cot \beta \pm M] \\ m_D[A_\nu - \mu \cot \beta \pm M] & M^2 + m_D^2 + m_N^2 \pm 2BM \end{pmatrix}, \quad (90)$$

where M is the largest mass parameter and m_D is the Dirac mass term to neutrinos defined at Eq.(68). Then, to first order in $(1/M)$, the two light sneutrino eigen-states are $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2$, with corresponding squared masses:

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_{1,2}}^2 = m_L^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 \cos 2\beta \mp \frac{1}{2}\Delta m_\nu^2, \quad (91)$$

where the squared mass difference $\Delta m_\nu^2 \equiv m_{\tilde{\nu}_2}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_1}^2$ is of order $(1/M)$.

The mass term to sneutrinos, if we do not allow Majorana Mass terms to right-handed neutrinos is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{masses}^{sneutrinos} = - \left[m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 \tilde{\nu}_{eL}^\dagger \tilde{\nu}_{eL} + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 \tilde{\nu}_{eR}^\dagger \tilde{\nu}_{eR} + A^\nu f^\nu v_2 (\tilde{\nu}_{eL} \tilde{\nu}_{eR} + hc) \right]. \quad (92)$$

We have defined

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 &= M_L^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\beta) M_Z^2, \\ m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 &= M_N^2, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

where M_Z , as usual, is the Z boson mass. The first line above is similar as done in the MSSM when we calculate the sneutrinos masses [48, 49, 54]. More general case, with Majorana mass term to neutrinos is discussed at [79]. We must emphasize that the parameters M_L^2, M_N^2 and A^ν are defined at electroweak scale because they break softly the SUSY.

In order to get the sneutrinos mass eigenstates, we have, first, to diagonalize the following mass matrix

$$M_{sneutrinos}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 & A^\nu f^\nu v_2 \\ A^\nu f^\nu v_2 & m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (94)$$

it is simple to show the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_{sneutrinos}^2) &= m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 - (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2, \\ \text{Tr}(M_{sneutrinos}^2) &= m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

The characteristic equation obtained from Eq.(71) is

$$\det(M_{sneutrinos}^2 - \lambda I_{2 \times 2}) = \lambda^2 - (m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2) \lambda + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2 - (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2 = 0. \quad (96)$$

it means we have two massive eigenstates.

The eigenvalues of $M_{sneutrinos}^2$ are given by

$$m_{\pm}^2 = M^2 \pm \sqrt{(m^2)^2 + (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2}, \quad (97)$$

where we have defined the following parameters

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 &= \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2}{2} \right), \\ m^2 &= \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eL}}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_{eR}}^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

The eigenvectors are given by [50]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_{e+} \\ \tilde{\nu}_{e-} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} & \sin \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} \\ -\sin \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} & \cos \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_{eL} \\ \tilde{\nu}_{eR}^\dagger \end{pmatrix},$$

where we define the mixing angle as

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} &= \frac{m^2 + \sqrt{(m^2)^2 + (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2}}{|\tilde{\nu}|^2}, \\ \sin \theta_{\tilde{\nu}} &= \frac{(A^\nu f^\nu v_2)}{|\tilde{\nu}|^2}, \\ |\tilde{\nu}|^2 &= \left(m^2 + \sqrt{(m^2)^2 + (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2} \right)^2 + (A^\nu f^\nu v_2)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

in this model the $\tilde{\nu}_{e-}$ is LSP [50].

6 Flat direction of MSSM3RHN Model

We can calculate all flat directions in the MSSM using the prescription given in [81]. The flat directions \hat{N} and $\hat{L}\hat{H}_2$ will generate a left-right asymmetry in the sneutrino sector [50, 71].

The flat directions shown in Tab.(3) was getting using the most general superpotential of this model presented at Eq.(52).

7 Supersymmetric $B - L$ Model (SUSYB-L)

The TeV scale right-handed neutrino is naturally obtained in supersymmetric $B - L$ ($SUSYB - L$) extension of the SM and it is one of the simplest model beyond the SM that provides a viable and testable solution to the neutrino mass and this class of model can account for the experimental results of the light neutrino masses and their large mixing angles.

At this moment we will consider the Baryon number (B) minus Lepton number (L) the $B - L$ number as a gauge symmetry and this symmetry is broken at TeV scale [82, 83], remember that it is the scale to break SUSY in order to explain the hierarchy problem at MSSM. In this kind of model, as happen in the MSSM, the Higgs potential receives large radiative corrections

Flat direction	$(B - L)$
\hat{N}	1
$\hat{N}\hat{N}$	2
$\hat{H}_1\hat{H}_2$	0
$\hat{L}\hat{H}_2$	-1
$\hat{N}\hat{N}\hat{N}$	3
$\hat{H}_1\hat{H}_2\hat{N}$	1
$\hat{L}\hat{H}_2\hat{N}$	0

Table 3: Flat direction of the model MSSM3RHN.

that induce spontaneous $B - L$ symmetry breaking at TeV scale, in analogy to the electroweak symmetry breaking in MSSM [82].

The interesting fact in this kind of model is, there are new complex phases in the leptonic sector can generate lepton asymmetry, which is converted to baryon asymmetry [83] and the relevant terms necessary came from the following soft terms that also break SUSY [84, 85]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = \frac{\tilde{m}_n^2}{2} (\tilde{n}^c)^\dagger \tilde{n}^c + \frac{B_n^2}{2} \tilde{n}^c \tilde{n}^c + A_n Y_n (\epsilon \tilde{L} H_2) \tilde{n}^c + h.c. \quad (100)$$

There are a mixing between the sneutrino \tilde{n}^c and the anti-sneutrino $\tilde{n}^{c\dagger}$. The CP violation phase in this mixing generates lepton asymmetry in the final states of the \tilde{n}^c -decay and it is converted to baryon asymmetry through the sphaleron process [86].

8 Supersymmetric Left-Right Model (SUSYLR)

One of the main motivation to study this kind of model are to explain the observed lightness of neutrinos in a natural way and it can also solve the strong CP problem [87]. On this model the gauge symmetry is given by

$$SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}. \quad (101)$$

The lepton content of the model is a little different of the lepton content in the MSSM where the left-handed fermions are in doublet representation while the right-handed are singlets of $SU(2)_L$. Now both the leptons, left-handed and right-handed, are in doublets in their $SU(2)$ gauge group as we show below

$$\hat{L}_i \sim (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, -1), \quad \hat{L}_i^c \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1), \quad (102)$$

in parentheses it appears the transformation properties under the respective $(SU(2)_L, SU(2)_R, U(1)_{B-L})$.

On the literature there are two different SUSYLR models: the first one uses $SU(2)_R$ triplets (SUSYLRT) [88] and the second $SU(2)_R$ doublets (SUSYLRD) [89]. Unfortunately in SUSYLRD the neutrinos are no massive due it we will not present it on this review.

8.1 Triplet Model (SUSYLRT)

The scalars fields of this model are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta}_L &\sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2), \quad \hat{\Delta}'_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -2), \\ \hat{\delta}_L^c &\sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -2), \quad \hat{\delta}'_L{}^c \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 2), \\ \hat{\Phi} &\sim (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, 0), \quad \hat{\Phi}' \sim (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

The most general superpotential W is given by [88]

$$\begin{aligned} W &= M_\Delta Tr(\hat{\Delta}_L \hat{\Delta}'_L) + M_{\delta^c} Tr(\hat{\delta}_L^c \hat{\delta}'_L{}^c) + \mu_1 Tr[(i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi} (i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi}] + \mu_2 Tr[(i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi}' (i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi}'] \\ &+ \mu_3 Tr[(i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi} (i\sigma_2) \hat{\Phi}'] + f_{ab} Tr[\hat{L}_a (i\sigma_2) \hat{\Delta}_L \hat{L}_b] + f_{ab}^c Tr[\hat{L}_a^c (i\sigma_2) \hat{\delta}_L^c \hat{L}_b^c] \\ &+ h_{ab}^l Tr[\hat{L}_a \hat{\Phi} (i\sigma_2) \hat{L}_b^c] + \tilde{h}_{ab}^l Tr[\hat{L}_a \hat{\Phi}' (i\sigma_2) \hat{L}_b^c]. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

Where h^l, \tilde{h}^l are the Yukawa couplings for the leptons. This model can be embedded in a supersymmetric grand unified theory as $SO(10)$ and we will discuss some Supersymmetric Grand Unification models below.

The masses to neutrinos is given by [55]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ab}^\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [k_1 h_{ab}^l + k_2 \tilde{h}_{ab}^l] (\nu_a \nu_b^c + hc) + \frac{\nu_R}{\sqrt{2}} f_{ab}^c (\nu_a^c \nu_b^c + hc) \\ &- \frac{\nu_L}{\sqrt{2}} f_{ab} (\nu_a \nu_b + h.c.). \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

This result is in agreement with the presented in [90], if we take $\nu_L = 0$.

9 Supersymmetric Grand Unification Theory (SUSYGUT)

In the standard minimal SUSYGUT scenario, the theory possesses both the supersymmetry and the unified gauge symmetry at the unification scale. In our opinion the main properties of a finite SUSY GUT are [91]:

- the number of generations is fixed by the requirement of finiteness,
- various realistic possibilities are given by $SU(5)$, $SU(6)$, $SO(10)$ and $E(6)$ gauge groups,

from the last point, it is simple to convince yourself that there are a huge class of this kind of model. We will present, very short, two supersymmetric grand unification models that give masses to neutrinos, they are $SU(5)_{RN}$ and $SO(10)_M$.

9.1 $SU(5)$ grand unified model with right-handed neutrinos $SU(5)_{RN}$

Nice review about some general consideration about $SU(5)$ SUSY model are given at [92, 93]. Unfortunately the following channel to proton decay $p \rightarrow K^+ \nu^c$ is enough to exclude the minimal supersymmetric $SU(5)$ model [94]. If we want to continue in the minimal $SU(5)$ supersymmetric model an interesting challenging is present at [95]. Same in the contest of the last reference, the neutrinos are not massive and we need to change the lepton sector to get one mechanism to generate masses to the neutrinos.

In the $SU(5)$ grand unified model with right-handed neutrinos $SU(5)_{RN}$, as in the minimal $SU(5)$ model we introduce the charged lepton¹⁴ at $\Phi_i \sim \bar{5}$. We need also to introduce the right handed neutrino as $\eta_i \sim 1$. The scalars in this model are given by $H \sim 5$ and $\bar{H} \sim \bar{5}$. The superpotential of this model is given by [96, 97]:

$$W_{SU(5)_{RN}} = f_{ij}^n \eta_i \Phi_{jA} H^A + \frac{1}{2} M_{ij} \eta_i \eta_j. \quad (106)$$

¹⁴ $\bar{5}^*$ representation of $SU(5)$ and the indices i, j , as usual, denote generations

where we wrote only the terms that give neutrinos masses and the indices i, j are family indices while the A is $SU(5)$ indices and run from 1 to 5. Therefore, M_{ij} is the Majorana mass matrix for right handed neutrinos while f^n is the Dirac mass term to neutrinos and both generate mass via see-saw Mechanism.

9.2 Minimal $SO(10)$ Supersymmetric Model $SO(10)_M$

About the $SO(10)$ supersymmetric models see the following nice reviews [98, 99]. As we are interested in study the generation to neutrino masses we will consider the model presented at [100, 101]. The lepton is introduced at $\psi_i \sim 16$ representation of $SO(10)$ while the Higgs fields $H_{10} \sim 10$ and $\Delta \sim 126^*$ representation of $SO(10)$. Their superpotential, responsible to give masses to usual lepton, is

$$W_{SO(10)_M} = h_{ij}\psi_i\psi_j H_{10} + f_{ij}\psi_i\psi_j\Delta \quad (107)$$

h and f are symmetric matrices in the indices i, j and, as usual, they denote generations indices. The neutrino masses in this model is given by

$$M_{\nu D} = (h^* \cos \alpha_u - 3f^* e^{i\gamma_u} \sin \alpha_u) \sin \beta, \quad (108)$$

where α_u is a new parameter in this model responsible for nonzero CKM mixing angles. For more details about the notation given at Eq.(108) see [100].

When we consider $SO(10)$ Supersymmetric Model, there are another interesting mechanism to generate neutrino masses using the scalar at 126 representations of $SO(10)$ and about this mechanism we recommend to see [102].

10 Conclusions

In this review

11 Conclusions

In this article we have presented the MSSM3RHN lagrangian in terms of superfields. Then we presented the mass spectrum of neutrinos and sneutrinos

with three right handed neutrinos and with only one right-handed neutrino and we can get neutrinos are Majorana particles or Dirac particles. At the end we presented some flat directions of these model.

We also present, very quickly, some others interesting supersymmetric models such as SUSYB-L, SUSYLR and two SUSYGUTS models.

We, also, showed how to generate masses to neutrinos in some interesting Supersymmetric models. All the models we reviewed here are interesting and can be tested at the new colliders machine.

We hope this review can be useful to all the people wants to learn about Supersymmetry and to study the phenomenology in the neutrinos sector.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thanks to Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT-Unesp) for their nice hospitality during the period I developed this review about SUSY.

A Lagrangian

The Lagrangian of this model is defined at Eq.(44). The \mathcal{L}_{Quarks} , \mathcal{L}_{Gauge} and \mathcal{L}_{Higgs} are the same as in the MSSM and due it we do not write their expressions [49, 54, 48].

B Lepton Lagrangian

The lagrangian defined at Eq.(46), has the following components

$$\mathcal{L}_{Lepton} = \mathcal{L}_F^{lep} + \mathcal{L}_D^{lep} + \mathcal{L}_{cin}^{lep} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{U}\tilde{V}}^{lep}. \quad (109)$$

The first two terms in Eq.(109) are the usual F terms given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_F^{lep} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (|F_{L_{iL}}|^2 + |F_{l_{iR}}|^2 + |F_{N_{iR}}|^2), \quad (110)$$

while for the D terms

$$\mathcal{L}_D^{lep} = g \left[\tilde{L}_{iL}^\dagger \left(\frac{\sigma^a}{2} \right) \tilde{L}_{iL} \right] D^a + g' \left[\tilde{L}_{iL}^\dagger \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right) \tilde{L}_{iL} \right] D' + g' \left[\tilde{l}_{iR}^\dagger \left(\frac{2}{2} \right) \tilde{l}_{iR} \right] D', \quad (111)$$

where σ^a (with $a = 1, 2, 3$) are the generators of $SU(2)_L$.

The others terms in the lagrangian are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{cin}^{lep} &= - \left[(\mathcal{D}_m \tilde{L}_i)^\dagger (\mathcal{D}^m \tilde{L}_{iL}) + (\mathcal{D}_m \tilde{l}_{iR})^\dagger (\mathcal{D}^m \tilde{l}_{iR}) + (\partial_m \tilde{N}_{iR})^\dagger (\partial^m \tilde{N}_{iR}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \imath \bar{L}_i \bar{\sigma}^m (\mathcal{D}_m L_i) + \imath \bar{l}_{iR} \bar{\sigma}^m (\mathcal{D}_m l_{iR}) + \imath \bar{N}_{iR} \bar{\sigma}^m (\partial_m N_{iR}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

where the covariant derivatives are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_m \tilde{L}_i &= \partial_m \tilde{L}_i + \imath g \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^a}{2} \right) V_m^a \right] \tilde{L}_i + \imath g' \left[\left(\frac{-1}{2} \right) V_m \right] \tilde{L}_i, \\ \mathcal{D}_m \tilde{l}_{iR} &= \partial_m \tilde{l}_{iR} + \imath g' \left[\left(\frac{2}{2} \right) V_m \right] \tilde{l}_{iR}. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

The last term is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{UV}^{lep} &= -\imath g \sqrt{2} \left[\bar{L}_{iL} \left(\frac{\sigma^a}{2} \lambda_A^a \right) \tilde{L}_{iL} - \bar{L}_{iL} \left(\frac{\sigma^a}{2} \overline{\lambda_A^a} \right) L_{iL} \right] - \imath g' \sqrt{2} \left[\bar{L}_{iL} \left(\frac{-1}{2} \lambda_B \right) \tilde{L}_{iL} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{L}_{iL} \left(\frac{-1}{2} \overline{\lambda_B} \right) L_{iL} \right] - \imath g' \sqrt{2} \left[\bar{l}_{iR} \left(\frac{2}{2} \lambda_B \right) \tilde{l}_{iR} - \bar{l}_{iR} \left(\frac{2}{2} \overline{\lambda_B} \right) l_{iR} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

Our superpotential W is defined at Eq.(55). We can write it in field components as

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &= \mathcal{L}_F^{W2} + \mathcal{L}_{HMT}^{W2}, \\ W_3 &= \mathcal{L}_F^{W3} + \mathcal{L}_{UH}^{W3} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{U}\tilde{H}}^{W3}, \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Where the F terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F^{W2} &= \mu_H (F_{H_1} H_2 + F_{H_2} H_1) + hc, \\ \mathcal{L}_F^{W3} &= f_{ij}^l (F_{H_1} \tilde{L}_{iL} \tilde{l}_{jR} + F_L H_1 \tilde{l}_{jR} + F_L H_1 \tilde{L}_{iL}) + f_{ij}^\nu (F_{H_2} \tilde{L}_{iL} \tilde{N}_{jR} + F_L H_2 \tilde{N}_{jR} + F_N H_2 \tilde{L}_{iL}) \\ &\quad + hc, \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

while the others parts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{HMT}^{W2} &= -\mu_H \tilde{H}_1 \tilde{H}_2 + hc, \\ \mathcal{L}_{UH}^{W3} &= - \left[f_{ij}^l (H_1 L_{iL}) l_{jR} + f_i^\nu (H_2 L_{iL}) N_{iR} \right] + hc. \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{U}\tilde{H}}^{W3} &= - \left\{ f_{ij}^l \left[(\tilde{H}_1 L_{iL}) \tilde{l}_{jR} + (\tilde{H}_1 \tilde{L}_{iL}) l_{jR} \right] + f_{ij}^\nu \left[(\tilde{H}_2 L_{iL}) \tilde{N}_{jR} + (\tilde{H}_2 \tilde{L}_{iL}) N_{jR} \right] + hc \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

Here we have omit the expansion to quarks.

References

- [1] B. Kayser, *Proceedings of the XXXth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Osaka, Japan, July 27-August 2, 2000*; [hep-ph/00110206].
- [2] J. N. Bahcall, W. A. Fowler, I. Iben and R. L. Sears, *Solar neutrino flux*, *Astrophys. J.***137**, 344, (1963); see also J. N. Bahcall, M. H. Pinsonneault and S. Basu, *Solar models: Current epoch and time dependences, neutrinos, and helioseismological properties*, *Astrophys. J.***555**, 990, (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0010346].
- [3] R. J. Davis, D. S. Harmer and K. C. Hoffman, *Search for neutrinos from the sun*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***20**, 1205, (1968); and later with the improved facility at the same site: B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, *Measurement of the solar electron neutrino flux with the Homestake chlorine detector*, *Astrophys. J.***496**, 505, (1998).
- [4] K. S. Hirata *et al.* [KAMIOKANDE-II Collaboration], *Observation of B-8 Solar Neutrinos in the Kamiokande-II Detector*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***63**, 16, (1989); K. S. Hirata *et al.* [KAMIOKANDE-II Collaboration], *Results from one thousand days of real-time, directional solar-neutrino data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***65**, 1297, (1990); K. S. Hirata *et al.* [KAMIOKANDE-II Collaboration], *Constraints on neutrino oscillation parameters from the Kamiokande-II solar neutrino data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***65**, 1301, (1990).
- [5] Y. Fukuda *et al.* [Kamiokande Collaboration], *Solar neutrino data covering solar cycle 22*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***77**, 1683, (1996).
- [6] J. Hosaka *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], *Solar neutrino measurements in super-Kamiokande-I*, *Phys. Rev.***D73**, 112001, (2006); [hep-ex/0508053].
- [7] P. Anselmann *et al.* [GALLEX Collaboration], *Solar neutrinos observed by GALLEX at Gran Sasso*, *Phys. Lett.***B285**, 376, (1992); W. Hampel *et al.* [GALLEX Collaboration], *GALLEX solar neutrino observations: Results for GALLEX IV*, *Phys. Lett.***B447**, 127, (1999).

- [8] M. Altmann *et al.* [GNO Collaboration], *GNO solar neutrino observations: Results for GNO I*, *Phys. Lett.***B490**, 16, (2000) [arXiv:hep-ex/0006034]; M. Altmann *et al.* [GNO COLLABORATION Collaboration], *Complete results for five years of GNO solar neutrino observations*, *Phys. Lett.***B616**, 174, (2005) [arXiv:hep-ex/0504037].
- [9] D. N. Abdurashitov *et al.*, *Results from SAGE*, *Phys. Lett.***B328**, 234, (1994); J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], *Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate with gallium metal*, *Phys. Rev.***C60**, 055801, (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9907113]; J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], *Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate by SAGE and implications for neutrino oscillations in vacuum*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***83**, 4686, (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9907131]; J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], *Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate by the Russian-American gallium solar neutrino experiment during one half of the 22-year cycle of solar activity*, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **95**, 181, (2002) [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **122**, 211, (2002)] [arXiv:astro-ph/0204245].
- [10] T. J. Haines *et al.*, *Calculation of Atmospheric Neutrino Induced Backgrounds in a Nucleon Decay Search*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***57**, 1986, (1986); D. Casper *et al.*, *Measurement of atmospheric neutrino composition with IMB-3*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***66**, 2561, (1991); R. Becker-Szendy *et al.*, *The Electron-neutrino and muon-neutrino content of the atmospheric flux*, *Phys. Rev.***D46**, 3720, (1992).
- [11] K. S. Hirata *et al.* [KAMIOKANDE-II Collaboration], *Experimental Study Of The Atmospheric Neutrino Flux*, *Phys. Lett.***B205**, 416, (1988); Y. Fukuda *et al.* [Kamiokande Collaboration], *Atmospheric muon-neutrino / electron-neutrino ratio in the multiGeV energy range*, *Phys. Lett.***B335**, 237, (1994).
- [12] K. Eguchi *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], *First results from KamLAND: Evidence for reactor anti-neutrino disappearance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***90**, 021802, (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0212021]; T. Araki *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], *Measurement of neutrino oscillation with KamLAND: Evidence of spectral distortion*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***94**, 081801, (2005) [arXiv:hep-ex/0406035]; S. Abe *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration],

Precision Measurement of Neutrino Oscillation Parameters with KamLAND, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***100**, 221803, (2008) [arXiv:0801.4589 [hep-ex]].

- [13] C. Arpesella *et al.* [Borexino Collaboration], *First real time detection of Be7 solar neutrinos by Borexino*, *Phys. Lett.* **B658**, 101, (2008) [arXiv:0708.2251 [astro-ph]]; C. Arpesella *et al.* [The Borexino Collaboration], *Direct Measurement of the Be-7 Solar Neutrino Flux with 192 Days of Borexino Data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***101**, 091302, (2008) [arXiv:0805.3843 [astro-ph]].
- [14] M. H. Ahn *et al.* [K2K Collaboration], *Measurement of neutrino oscillation by the K2K experiment*, *Phys. Rev.***D74**, 072003, (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0606032].
- [15] D. G. Michael *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], *Observation of muon neutrino disappearance with the MINOS detectors and the NuMI neutrino beam*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***97**, 191801, (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0607088]; P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], *Measurement of Neutrino Oscillations with the MINOS Detectors in the NuMI Beam*, arXiv:0806.2237 [hep-ex].
- [16] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], *Measurement of the charged current interactions produced by B-8 solar neutrinos at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***87**, 071301, (2001) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0106015]; Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], *Direct evidence for neutrino flavor transformation from neutral-current interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***89**, 011301, (2002) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0204008]; Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], *Measurement of day and night neutrino energy spectra at SNO and constraints on neutrino mixing parameters*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***89**, 011302, (2002) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0204009].
- [17] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, *Global fit to three neutrino mixing: critical look at present precision*, *JHEP***1212**, 123 (2012); [arXiv:1209.3023 [hep-ph]].
- [18] B. Kayser, *Neutrino physics*, eConf**C040802**, L004, (2004); [hep-ph/0506165].

- [19] B. Kayser, *Neutrino Oscillation Phenomenology*, arXiv:0804.1121 [hep-ph].
- [20] B. Kayser, *Neutrino Mass, Mixing, and Flavor Change*, arXiv:0804.1497 [hep-ph].
- [21] U. Dore and L. Zanello, *Bruno Pontecorvo and neutrino physics*, arXiv:0910.1657 [physics.hist-ph].
- [22] P.A.M. Dirac, *Proc. Royal Soc.* **A117**, 610, (1928); **118**, 351, (1928).
- [23] E. Majorana, *Nuovo Cim.***14**, 171, (1937).
- [24] R. N. Mohapatra and A. Y. Smirnov, *Neutrino Mass and New Physics*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.***56**, 569, (2006) ; [hep-ph/0603118].
- [25] T. Schwetz, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, *Three-flavour neutrino oscillation update*, *New J. Phys.***10**, 113011, (2008); [arXiv:0808.2016 [hep-ph]].
- [26] M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], *Search for neutrino oscillations on a long base-line at the CHOOZ nuclear power station*, *Eur. Phys. J.***C27**, 331, (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0301017]; M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], *Limits on neutrino oscillations from the CHOOZ experiment*, *Phys. Lett.***B466**, 415, (1999) [arXiv:hep-ex/9907037]; M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], *Initial results from the CHOOZ long baseline reactor neutrino oscillation experiment*, *Phys. Lett.***B420**, 397, (1998) [arXiv:hep-ex/9711002].
- [27] C. Athanassopoulos *et al.* [LSND Collaboration], *Evidence for anti-muon-neutrino \rightarrow anti-electron-neutrino oscillations from the LSND experiment at LAMPF* *Phys. Rev. Lett.***77**, 3082, (1996); [nucl-ex/9605003].
- [28] A. Bazarko [MiniBooNE Collaboration], *MiniBooNE: Status of the booster neutrino experiment*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.***91**, 210, (2001) [arXiv:hep-ex/0009056]; A. O. Bazarko [BooNE Collaboration], *MiniBooNE: The booster neutrino experiment*, arXiv:hep-ex/9906003; A. O. Bazarko [BooNE Collaboration], *Status of MiniBooNE*, arXiv:hep-ex/0210020; M. H. Shaevitz [MiniBooNE Collaboration], *MiniBooNE*

- and sterile neutrinos, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.***137**, 46, (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0407027]; Z. Djurcic [MiniBooNE Collaboration], *Neutrino oscillation search at MiniBooNE*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **168**, 309, (2007) [arXiv:hep-ex/0701017]; M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, *Sterile neutrino oscillations after first MiniBooNE results*, *Phys. Rev.***D76**, 093005, (2007) [arXiv:0705.0107 [hep-ph]].
- [29] R. R. Volkas, *Introduction to sterile neutrinos*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.***48**, 161, (2002); [hep-ph/0111326].
- [30] P. B. Pal, *Dirac, Majorana and Weyl fermions*, *Am. J. Phys.* **79**, 485, (2011); arXiv:1006.1718 [hep-ph].
- [31] G. Cvetic, Claudio Dib, Sin Kyu Kang, C. S. Kim, *Probing Majorana neutrinos in rare K and D , D_s , B , B_c meson decays*, *Phys. Rev.***D82**, 053010, (2010); arXiv:1005.4282.
- [32] H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, *Latest results from the Heidelberg-Moscow double-beta-decay experiment*, *Eur. Phys. J.***A12**, 147, (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0103062].
- [33] C. E. Aalseth *et al.* [IGEX Collaboration], *Neutrinoless double-beta decay of Ge-76: First results from the International Germanium Experiment (IGEX) with six isotopically enriched detectors*, *Phys. Rev.***C59**, 2108, (1999); C. E. Aalseth *et al.* [IGEX Collaboration], *The IGEX Ge-76 neutrinoless double-beta decay experiment: Prospects for next generation experiments*, *Phys. Rev.***D65**, 092007, (2002) [arXiv:hep-ex/0202026].
- [34] C. Arnaboldi *et al.* [CUORICINO Collaboration], *Results from a search for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay of ^{130}Te* , *Phys. Rev.***C78**, 035502, (2008) [arXiv:0802.3439 [hep-ex]].
- [35] C. Arnaboldi *et al.* [CUORE Collaboration], *CUORE: A cryogenic underground observatory for rare events*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.***A518**, 775, (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0212053]; E. Fiorini, *CUORE: A cryogenic underground observatory for rare events*, *Phys. Rept.***307**, 309, (1998); R. Ardito *et al.*, *CUORE: A cryogenic underground observatory for rare events*, arXiv:hep-ex/0501010.

- [36] S. Schonert *et al.* [GERDA Collaboration], *The GERmanium Detector Array (GERDA) for the search of neutrinoless beta beta decays of Ge-76 at LNGS*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.***145**, 242, (2005); I. Abt *et al.*, *A new Ge-76 double beta decay experiment at LNGS*, arXiv:hep-ex/0404039.
- [37] C. E. Aalseth *et al.* [Majorana Collaboration], *The Majorana neutrinoless double-beta decay experiment*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.***67**, 2002, (2004) [*Yad. Fiz.* **67**, 2025 (2004)] [arXiv:hep-ex/0405008]; F. T. Avignone [Majorana Collaboration], *The MAJORANA ^{76}Ge neutrino less double-beta decay project: A brief update*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.***120**, 052059, (2008) [arXiv:0711.4808 [nucl-ex]].
- [38] S. Hannestad, *Neutrino masses and the number of neutrino species from WMAP and 2dFGRS*, *JCAP***0305**, 004, (2003); [astro-ph/0303076].
- [39] S. Hannestad, *Cosmology and neutrino masses: An Update*, *Eur. Phys. J.***33**, S800, (2004); [hep-ph/0310220].
- [40] C. I. Low and R. R. Volkas, *Tri-bimaximal mixing, discrete family symmetries, and a conjecture connecting the quark and lepton mixing matrices*, *Phys. Rev.***D68**, 033007, (2003); [hep-ph/0305243].
- [41] C. I. Low, *Generating extremal neutrino mixing angles with Higgs family symmetries*, *Phys. Rev.***D70**, 073013, (2004); hep-ph/0404017].
- [42] M. C. Rodriguez and I. V. Vancea, *Flat Directions and Leptogenesis in a "New" $\mu\nu\text{SSM}$* , [arXiv:1603.07979 [hep-ph]].
- [43] J. C. Montero, V. Pleitez, B. L. Sánchez-Vega and M. C. Rodriguez, *Supersymmetric $U(1)_{Y'}$ \otimes $U(1)_{B-L}$ extension of the standard model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.***A32**, 1750093, (2017); [arXiv:1609.08129 [hep-ph]].
- [44] M. C. Rodriguez, *Neutrinos masses in the Supersymmetric B-L model with three non-identical right-handed neutrinos*, [arXiv:2004.11090 [hep-ph]].
- [45] M. C. Rodriguez, *Axion, Neutrinos Masses and μ -Problem in Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)*, [arXiv:2006.15966 [hep-ph]].

- [46] M. C. Rodriguez, *Neutrinos masses in a supersymmetric model with exotic right-handed neutrinos in Global $(B - L)$ Symmetry*, arXiv:2007.14154 [hep-ph].
- [47] M. C. Rodriguez, *Neutrino masses in a supersymmetric model with exotic right-handed neutrinos in Local $B - L$ Symmetry*, [arXiv:20yy.yyyyy [hep-ph]].
- [48] M. C. Rodriguez, *The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) and General Singlet Extensions of the MSSM (GSEMSSM), a short review*, [arXiv:1911.13043 [hep-ph]].
- [49] H. Baer and X. Tata, *Weak scale supersymmetry: From superfields to scattering events* First Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (2006).
- [50] V. Page, *Aspects of the cosmology of right-handed sneutrinos without lepton-number violation*,
 Doctoral thesis, Durham University (2008), available at Durham E-Theses Online: [http://http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/2320/](http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/2320/)
- [51] P.Langacker, *Neutrino oscillation workshop 2000: Conference summary*, *Nucl.Phys.(Proc.Suppl.)***100**, 383, (2001), [hep-ph/0101244]; R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall and A. Strumia, *Violations of lepton flavor and CP in supersymmetric unified theories*, *Nucl.Phys.***B445**, 219, (1995), [hep-ph/9501334]; J. Hisano, D. Nomura and T. Yanagida, *Atmospheric neutrino oscillation and large lepton flavor violation in the SUSY SU(5) GUT*, *Phys. Lett.***B437**, 351, (1998), [hep-ph/9711348].
- [52] S. Baek, T. Goto, Y. Okada, Ken-Ichi. Okumura, *Muon anomalous magnetic moment, lepton flavor violation, and flavor changing neutral current processes in SUSY GUT with right-handed neutrino*, *Phys.Rev.***D64**, 095001, (2001), [hep-ph/0104146].
- [53] J. Hisano and D. Nomura, *Solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations and lepton flavor violation in supersymmetric models with the right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys.Rev.***D59**,116005-1, (1999), [hep-ph/9810479]; J. Sato, K. Tobe and T. Yanagida, *A Constraint on Yukawa coupling*

- unification from lepton flavor violating processes*, *Phys.Lett.***B498**, 189, (2001), [hep-ph/0010348].
- [54] M. Drees, R. M. Godbole and P. Roy, *Theory and Phenomenology of Sparticles* First Edition, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, (2004).
- [55] C. M. Maekawa and M. C. Rodriguez, *Masses of fermions in supersymmetric models*, *JHEP***04**, 031, (2006), [hep-ph/0602074].
- [56] C. M. Maekawa and M. C. Rodriguez, *Radiative Mechanism to Light Fermion Masses in the MSSM*, *JHEP***0801**, 072, (2008), [arXiv:0710.4943 [hep-ph]].
- [57] J. Ellis, *Beyond the standard model for hill walkers*, [hep-ph/9812235].
- [58] J. Ellis and K. A. Olive, *Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candidates*, In *Bertone, G. (ed.): Particle dark matter* 142-163; [arXiv:1001.3651 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [59] T. Banks, *Supersymmetry and the Quark Mass Matrix*, *Nucl. Phys.***B303**, 172, (1988).
- [60] L. J. Hall and M. Suzuki, *Explicit R-Parity Breaking in Supersymmetric Models*, *Nucl. Phys.***B231**, 419 (1984).
- [61] J. C. Romão and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino masses in supersymmetry with spontaneously broken R parity*, *Nucl. Phys.***B381**, 87, (1992), .
- [62] M. A. Diaz, J. C. Romão and J. W. F. Valle, *Minimal supergravity with R-parity breaking*, *Nucl. Phys.***B524**, 23, (1998), [hep-ph/9706315].
- [63] S. Davidson and M. Losada, *Basis independent neutrino masses in the $R(p)$ violating MSSM*, *Phys. Rev.***D65**, 075025, (2002); [hep-ph/0010325].
- [64] J. C. Montero, V. Pleitez and M. C. Rodriguez, *Lepton masses in a supersymmetric 3-3-1 model*, *Phys. Rev.***D65**, 095008, (2002), [hep-ph/0112248].

- [65] A. Yu. Smirnov, *Alternatives to the seesaw mechanism*, [hep-ph/0411194].
- [66] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification*, *Phys. Lett.***B174**, 45, (1986).
- [67] S. S. C. Law, *Neutrino Models and Leptogenesis*, [arXiv:0901.1232 [hep-ph]].
- [68] S. S. C. Law, *Leptogenesis: The electromagnetic version*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.***A25**, 994, (2010), .
- [69] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, 2nd edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, (1992).
- [70] E. Ma, *Radiative Quark and Lepton Masses Through Soft Supersymmetry Breaking*, *Phys. Rev.***D39**, 1922, (1989).
- [71] S. Abel and V. Page, *Affleck-Dine (pseudo)-Dirac neutrino genesis*, *JHEP***0605**, 024, (2006); [hep-ph/0601149].
- [72] Shrihari Gopalakrishna, Andre de Gouvea, and Werner Porod, *right-handed sneutrinos as nonthermal dark matter*, *JCAP*, **0605**, 005, 2006. [hep-ph/0602027].
- [73] R. Allahverdi, B. Dutta and A. Mazumdar, *Unifying inflation and dark matter with neutrino masses*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***99**, 261301, (2007), [arXiv:0708.3983 [hep-ph]].
- [74] V. Page, *Non-thermal right-handed sneutrino dark matter and the $\Omega(DM)/\Omega(b)$ problem*, *JHEP***0704**, 021, (2007); [hep-ph/0701266].
- [75] L. Girardello and M. T. Grisaru, *Soft Breaking of Supersymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B194**, 65, (1982).
- [76] P. Minkowski, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?, *Phys. Lett.***B67**, 421, (1977), for earlier work see H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann and P. Minkowski, *Vector - Like Weak Currents and New Elementary Fermions*, *Phys. Lett.***B59**, 256, (1975), H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski,

Vector-Like Weak Currents, Massive Neutrinos, and Neutrino Beam Oscillations, *Phys. Lett.***B62**, 72, (1976).

- [77] S. F. King, *Atmospheric and solar neutrinos with a heavy singlet*, *Phys. Lett.***B439**, 350, (1998); [hep-ph/9806440].
- [78] S. Davidson and S. F. King, *Bimaximal neutrino mixing in the MSSM with a single right-handed neutrino*, *Phys. Lett.***B445**, 191, (1998); [hep-ph/9808296].
- [79] Y. Grossman and H. Haber, *Sneutrino mixing phenomena*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***78**, 3438, (1997); [hep-ph/9702421].
- [80] F. Gabbiani, E. Gabrielli, A. Masiero and L. Silvestrini, *A Complete analysis of FCNC and CP constraints in general SUSY extensions of the standard model*, *Nucl. Phys.***B477**, 321, (1996), [hep-ph/9604387]; M. Misiak, S. Pokorski and J. Rosiek, *Supersymmetry and FCNC effects*, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.***15**, 795, (1998), [hep-ph/9703442]; M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, A. Masiero, L. Silvestrini, *$b \rightarrow s$ transitions: A New frontier for indirect SUSY searches*, *Phys. Rev.***D67**, 075016, (2003); [Erratum *ibid.* **D68**, 079901, (2003), [hep-ph/0212397].
- [81] T. Gherghetta, C. F. Kolda and S. P. Martin, *Flat directions in the scalar potential of the supersymmetric standard model*, *Nucl. Phys.***B468**, 37, (1996), [hep-ph/9510370].
- [82] S. Khalil and A. Masiero, *Phys. Lett.***B665**, 374, (2008).
- [83] S. Khalil, *J. Phys.***G35**, 055001, (2008).
- [84] S. Khalil, *Phys. Rev.***D81**, 035002, (2010).
- [85] Y. Kajiyama, S. Khalil and M. Raidal, *Nucl. Phys.***B820**, 75, (2009).
- [86] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, *Phys. Lett.***155**, 36, (1985).
- [87] M. Frank, I. Turan and A. C. de Oña, *Phys. Rev.***D72**, 075008, (2005); M. Frank, K. Huitu, T. Ruppell, hep-ph/0508056; M. Frank, I. Turan, *Phys. Rev.***D72**, 035008, (2005).

- [88] K. Huitu, J. Maalampi and M. Raidal, *Nucl. Phys.***B420**, 449, (1994);
C.S.Aulakh,A.Melfo and G.Senjanovic, *Phys.Rev.***D57**,4174, (1998); G.
Barenboim and N. Rius, *Phys. Rev.***D58**, 065010, (1998); N. Setzer and
S. Spinner, *Phys. Rev.* **D71**, 115010, (2005).
- [89] K. S. Babu.B. Dutta and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys.Rev.***D65**, 016005,
(2002).
- [90] M. Frank, *Phys. Lett.***540**, 269, (2002).
- [91] S.Dimopoulos, H.Georgi, *Nucl.Phys.***B193**, 150, (1981),
L.E.Ibáñez, G.G.Ross, *Phys.Lett.***B105**, 435, (1981),
S.Dimopoulos, S.Raby, F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.***D24**, 1681, (1981),
A.H.Chamseddine, R.Arnouitt, P.Nath, *Phys.Rev.Lett.***49**, 970, (1982).
- [92] E. Bagnaschi *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J.C* **77**, 104 (2017).
- [93] W. de Boer, R. Ehret and D. I. Kazakov, *Z. Phys.***C67**, 647, (1995)
- [94] H. Murayama and A. Pierce, *Phys.Rev.***D65**, 055009, (2002).
- [95] B. Bajc, S. Lavignac and T. Mede, *AIP Conf. Proc.***1604**, 297, (2014).
- [96] M. B. Causse, arXiv:hep-ph/0202096.
- [97] M. B. Causse, arXiv:hep-ph/0207070.
- [98] T. Blažek, R. Dermíšek and S. Raby, *Phys. Rev. Lett***88**, 111804, (2002);
*Phys. Rev.***D65**, 115004, (2002).
- [99] S. Raby, *Pramana***62**, 523, (2004).
- [100] H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra and S. P. Ng, *Phys. Rev.***D68**, 115008,
(2003).
- [101] H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and S. P. Ng, *Phys. Lett.***B587**,
105, (2004).
- [102] M. C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, *Phys.Rev.***D62**, 113007, (2000);
Y. Achiman and W. Greiner, *Phys. Lett.***B 329**, 33, (1994).