Fundamental Properties of the Proton in Light-Front Zero Modes

Xiangdong Ji¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA (Dated: March 11, 2020)

For a proton in the infinite momentum frame, its wave function contains a zero-momentum part (light-front zero-modes) originated from the modification of the QCD vacuum in the presence of the valence quarks, exhibiting a light-front long-range order in the quantum state. This "non-travelling" component of the proton contributes to its fundamental properties, including the mass and spin, as well as the naive-time-reversal-odd correlations between transverse momentum and spin. The large momentum effective theory (LaMET) provides a theoretical approach to study the physical properties of the proton's zero-mode "condensate" through lattice simulations.

A proton travelling at the speed of light is an idealized limit considered by Feynman as a good approximation to the structure of high-energy protons involved in hard collisions [1]. While Feynman's parton model can be obtained by boosting a bound state to the infinite momentum frame (IMF) [2], very quickly, theorists realized that it can be simply be obtained by quantizing field theories using the light-front (LF) coordinates [3, 4], a dynamical formalism that had been proposed years earlier by Dirac [5]. The LF coordinates $\xi^{\pm} = (\xi^0 \pm \xi^3)/\sqrt{2}$ have become the standard choice to understand the factorizations of high-energy processes in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and the properties of the proton in the IMF [6, 7]. Since 1980's, the light-front quantization (LFQ) has been advocated as an important approach to solve the hadron bound states in QCD [8]. Indeed, LFQ can provide a wave function of the proton as seen by light-like probes, possibly making the proton structure as easy to understand as non-relativistic quantum many-body systems.

One particular attractive feature of LFQ is the kinetic distinction between hadron states and the QCD vacuum. In the equal-time quantization, the QCD vacuum contains complicated non-perturbative field configurations, and the hadronic excitations are built on it from the same degrees of freedom. On the LF, however, the QCD vacuum is made of quanta purely of zero longitudinal momentum $k^+=0$, whereas the moving proton appears to contain just partons $k^+ \neq 0$. Thus, one can construct the proton state on the top of the perturbative vacuum without worrying about the interference from the true vacuum itself [8]. This can be realized at least in a truncation scheme in which only $k^+ > \epsilon$ modes are the effective degrees of freedom, because $k^+ \sim 0$ modes have infinite light-cone energy, $k^- \sim \infty$, and shall be removed (or cut off) from the hamiltonian in the Wilsonian approach of the renormalization theory [9, 10]. This is convenient if one is interested in only the leading LF observables, such as the twist-two parton distribution functions (PDFs). For subleading ones, the effects of the zero-modes cannot be ignored.

In this paper, we advocate that when the proton travels at the speed of light, its state contains, in additional to finite- $x = (k^+/P^+)$ partons, zero-momentum quark-

gluon modes differing from the QCD vacuum. zero-mode component of the proton, like superfluids in condensed matter physics, has a long-range order in the light-front ξ^- direction and therefore behave like a "condensate". The light-cone condensate contributes to the proton's fundamental properties, including its scalar charge, mass, sub-leading LF components of spin and momentum, and generates single-spin asymmetries in highenergy scattering. However, the LFQ formalism generally has difficult to deal with the zero-modes directly, except in certain off-light-cone regularizations [11–13]. On the other hand, the large momentum effective theory (LaMET) proposed recently [14, 15] provides an approach to study the properties of this component through matching the finite-momentum Euclidean calculations to the formalism of LFQ.

In LF theory, all particles and states must have longitudinal momentum $k^+ \geq 0$. Since the vacuum has momentum 0, it contains particles all with $k^+ = 0$. Schematically, one might express the QCD vacuum wave function in a Fock expansion,

$$|\mathbf{0}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^{2}\vec{k}_{1\perp} ... d^{2}\vec{k}_{n\perp} \phi(\vec{k}_{1\perp}, ... \vec{k}_{n\perp}) \times \delta^{2}(\vec{k}_{1\perp} + ... + \vec{k}_{n\perp}) a_{0, \vec{k}_{1\perp}}^{\dagger} ... a_{0, \vec{k}_{n\perp}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$
(1)

where $|0\rangle$ is the perturbative vacuum, and all creation operators couple to overall-flavor and -color singlet. [This expression is understood in light-cone gauge $A^+=0$. The details, however, still depend on the choice of the boundary condition for the guage field $A^i(\xi^-,\vec{\xi}_\perp)$ at $\xi^-=\pm\infty$] When spontaneous symmetry breaking happens, the vacuum expectation values of the order parameters become non-zero and the contribution comes entirely from the vacuum zero modes. In fact, it has been shown that in many cases that by taking a careful limit of a regulated LFQ, the vacuum condensates are recovered from the zero-modes [11, 16, 17]. In the case of the chiral condensate $\langle \mathbf{0}|\overline{\psi}\psi|\mathbf{0}\rangle$, the zero-mode contributions implies long-range order along the light-cone direction ξ^- ,

$$\langle \mathbf{0} | \overline{\psi}(0) \rangle W(0, \lambda n) \psi(\lambda n) | \mathbf{0} \rangle \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} \chi(=\langle \mathbf{0} | \overline{\psi} \psi | \mathbf{0} \rangle) , \quad (2)$$

where n^{μ} is a vector along ξ^{-} direction, λ is the light-cone distance, and W is a Wilson-line gauge link.

Consider now the proton state with LF momentum P^+ in the LFQ (assuming for convenience zero-residual momentum: $P^+ = P^- = M/\sqrt{2}$, with normalization $\langle P|P\rangle = (P^+/M)\delta(0^+)\delta^2(\vec{0}_\perp)$). The sum of all quata in a Fock state, must satisfy

$$\sum_{i} k_i^+ = P^+ \tag{3}$$

Some of these will have $k_i^+=0$, just like the quanta in the vacuum. The separation of $k^+=0$ quanta from those of $k^+\neq 0$ might be done with momentum discretization [18, 19], however, the consequences we consider here are independent of the technical details. We write schematically the proton state as,

$$|P\rangle = \sum_{m,n}^{\infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} [dx_{i}d^{2}\vec{k}_{i\perp}] \prod_{i=1}^{m} [d^{2}\vec{k}_{i\perp}]$$

$$\times \delta(x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} - 1) \delta^{2}(\vec{k}_{1\perp} + \dots + \vec{k}_{n+m\perp})$$

$$\phi(x_{1}, \vec{k}_{1\perp}, \dots, 0, \vec{k}_{n+m\perp}) a_{x_{1}, \vec{k}_{1\perp}}^{\dagger} \dots a_{x_{n}, \vec{k}_{n\perp}}^{\dagger} |\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle$$
 (4)

where the quanta with zero k^+ have been included in zero-modes $|\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle$, and the ones with non-zero $x_i=k_i^+/P^+$ ($0 < x_i < 1$) have been shown explicitly. It shall be warned, however, that the color, flavor, spin, and total transverse momentum of $|\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle$ need not vanish. Even for those $|\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle$ with the vacuum quantum numbers, It is likely that none of them is the same as the true vacuum zero-modes $|\mathbf{0}\rangle$. All quanta are coupled to the quantum numbers of the proton.

Therefore the light-travelling proton must has two components: The first is the ordinary quanta of the longitudinal-momentum carriers, may be called the normal component. The other component is the modification to the QCD vacuum, the light-cone zero-mode component. It is tempting to call the later component as "condensate" because it generates light-cone longrange order in the proton. However, such is more of the kinematic effect of singular Lorentz transformation, does not signal any macroscopic occupation of singleparticle states because of the transverse degrees of freedom. The two components are quantum-mechanically entangled, and it would be interesting to discover the nature of entanglement. While the normal component has been at the active study for many years, no much has been said about the zero-mode component in the literature.

We now consider a number of examples, showing the physical effects of the proton's light-cone zero modes.

The first is the scalar charge of the proton, corresponding to the matrix element of $\sigma_q = \langle P | \overline{\psi}_q \psi_q | P \rangle$, where q can be up or down quarks. Since the vacuum chiral condensate exists, the proton matrix element represents an excess over that of the vacuum. For a light-travelling

proton, we can write down a light-cone sum rule [20],

$$\sigma_q = \int_{-1}^1 dx e_q(x, \mu) , \qquad (5)$$

where the twist-three light-cone distribution $e(x, \mu)$ is,

$$e_q(x,\mu) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle P | \overline{\psi}_q(0) W(0,\lambda n) \psi_q(\lambda n) | P \rangle \quad (6)$$

where μ is an ultra-violet (UV) renormalization scale. The integral over $e(x,\mu)$ may diverge at small x and a proper regularization may also be needed (e.g., an isospin triplet combination has a better convergence). The distribution e(x) likely contains a non-perturbative $\delta(x)$ function as suggested in a model calculation [21],

$$e_q(x,\mu) = \delta\sigma_q\delta(x) + e_{qn}(x,\mu) . \tag{7}$$

Using the LF quantized quark fields, $\delta \sigma_q$ comes from the zero-mode component of the proton wave function. According to Eq. (6),

$$\langle P|\overline{\psi}_q(0)W(0,\lambda n)\psi_q(\lambda n)|P\rangle \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \delta\sigma_q$$
 . (8)

Thus $\delta \sigma_q$ implies a long-range order along the light cone in the proton state.

The existence of the δ -function at x=0 for twist-three observables has been suspected as well for the transverse spin structure function $q_2(x)$ [21, 22]. In this case, it affects the light-cone sum rule of the axial charge for the proton, e.g. g_A , calculated in terms of the transverse component of the axial current, $\overline{\psi}\gamma_{\perp}\gamma_{5}\psi$. Besides e(x)and $g_2(x)$, there is a light-cone sum rule for the tensor charge related to twist-three longitudinally-polarized distribution $h_L(x)$, which contains a potential $\delta(x)$ [20, 21]. In terms of the dispersion relations related to the socalled light-cone current algebra, the existence of the δ function contributions corresponds to a subtraction constant, called J=0 fixed pole [23–25]. Such contributions exist in general for higher-twist LF observables, and the example of $F_L(x)$ considered in the old literature (see also [26]) corresponds to a twist-four distribution.

An interesting case of the twist-four sum rules is the proton mass. In the rest frame of the proton, its mass structure has been analyzed in terms of the QCD hamiltonian [27]. What does the mass structure look like in the IFM? We have to consider the hamiltonian in LFQ, $H = P^- = \int d^3\xi T^{+-}(\xi)$, where T^{+-} is a component of the energy-momentum tensor, and is a twist-four operator. The LF energy equation is,

$$M = \sqrt{2} \langle P|P^{-}|P\rangle / \langle P|P\rangle = 2 \langle P|T^{+-}|P\rangle , \qquad (9)$$

and the mass structure follow from the decomposition of \mathcal{T}^{+-}

The renormalized QCD energy momentum tensor can be written as a sum of the traceless and trace parts, respectively,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \overline{T}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} \tag{10}$$

where the traceless part can be decomposed as $\overline{T}^{\mu\nu}=\overline{T}_q^{\mu\nu}+\overline{T}_g^{\mu\nu}$ with

$$\begin{split} & \overline{T}_{q}^{\mu\nu} = \overline{\psi}\gamma^{(\mu}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\nu)}\psi - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}\overline{\psi}m\psi \,, \\ & \overline{T}_{g}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{2} - F^{\mu\alpha}F^{\nu}{}_{\alpha} \,, \end{split} \tag{11}$$

And the trace part is

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu} \left[(1 + \gamma_m)\overline{\psi}m\psi + \frac{\beta(g)}{2g}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \right]$$
 (12)

where the anomlous dimension γ_m will be ignored for convenience, and $\beta(g)$ is the QCD β -function. One can then write done a LF decomposition for the proton mass,

$$M = 2\langle P | \left[\overline{T}_{q}^{+-} + \hat{T}_{m}^{+-} \right) + \overline{T}_{g}^{+-} + \hat{T}_{a}^{+-} \right] | P \rangle$$
$$= M_{q}^{LF} + M_{g}^{LF} + M_{a}^{LF}$$
(13)

where

$$M_q^{\rm LF} = (a+b)M/2\tag{14}$$

$$M_q^{\rm LF} = (1-a)M/2$$
 (15)

$$M_a^{\rm LF} = (1-b)M/2,$$
 (16)

respectively, with a is the fraction of the proton momentum carried by quarks $a = \sum_q \int dx x q(x)$, and $b = \sum_q \sigma_q(m_q/M)$. In the massless limit, the quark and gluon kinetic motions contribute the fractions of the proton mass , a/4 and (1-a)/4, respectively, and the anomaly gives 1/2.

One can split the fields in each of the energy operators along the light-cone and define the following twist-four distributions,

$$Q_{q}(x,\mu^{2}) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle P | \overline{\psi}_{q}(0) W(0,\lambda n) (\gamma^{+} i \overleftrightarrow{D}^{-} + \gamma^{-} i \overleftrightarrow{D}^{+}) \psi_{q}(\lambda n) | P \rangle ,$$

$$E(x,\mu^{2}) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle P | [F^{+-}(0) W(0,\lambda n) F^{+-}(\lambda n) | P \rangle ,$$

$$B(x,\mu^{2}) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle P | F^{12}(0) W(0,\lambda n) F^{12}(\lambda n) | P \rangle ,$$

$$A(x,\mu^{2}) = \sum_{i} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle P | F^{+i}(0) W(0,\lambda n) F^{-i}(\lambda n) + F^{-i}(0) W(0,\lambda n) F^{+i}(\lambda n) | P \rangle$$

$$(17)$$

where i = 1, 2. Then one can write done the light-cone sum rules,

$$M_q^{\rm LF} = \int_{-1}^1 dx \sum_q Q_q(x, \mu^2)$$
, (18)

$$M_g^{\rm LF} = \int_{-1}^1 dx [B(x) + E(x)] ,$$
 (19)

$$M_a^{\rm LF} = \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} (B(x,\mu^2) - E(x,\mu^2) - A(x,\mu^2)) \ .$$

It is likely that Q(x), E(x), B(x), and A(x) all have δ -function contribution at x = 0, just like the case of e(x). If so, one can express the proton mass,

$$M = \delta M_0 + M_n \tag{20}$$

where M_n is the contribution from the normal component of the proton, and δM_0 is the zero-mode contributions from the twist-four Q(x), E(x), B(x), and A(x). In LFQ without zero-modes, δM_0 may be regarded as an additive renormalization constant. The proton mass or hadron mass spectra can be computed only when the zero modes are manifestly taken into account.

Apart from the δ -function contributions to the sum rules, there are also physical observables directly sensitive to the zero-modes. An example is the single-spin asymmetry involving a transversely-polarized proton with spin vector \vec{S}_{\perp} . One can define, in this case, transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) distribution, $f^{\rm TMD}$, which has an angular correlation between the transverse momentum of a quark and the transverse spin of the proton [28, 29]

$$f^{\text{TMD}}(x, k_{\perp}, S_{\perp}) \sim (S_{\perp} \times \vec{k}_{\perp})^z f_{1T}^{\perp}(x, k_{\perp}) + \dots$$
 (21)

where \vec{k}_{\perp} is the transverse momentum of a quark, and the renormalization and rapidity scales have been omitted. The TMD distribution is related to the LF correlation,

$$f(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\lambda x + i\vec{k}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}_{\perp}} \times \langle P|\bar{\psi}(\lambda n + \vec{b}_{\perp})\gamma^+ \mathcal{W}_n(\lambda n + \vec{b}_{\perp})\psi(0)|P\rangle , \qquad (22)$$

where $W_n(\lambda n + \vec{b}_{\perp})$ is the staple-shaped gauge link,

$$W_n(\xi) = W_n^{\dagger}(\xi)W_{\perp}^{\dagger}(\xi_{\perp})W_{\perp}(0)W_n(0) , \qquad (23)$$

$$W_n(\xi) = P \exp\left[-ig \int_0^\infty d\lambda n \cdot A(\xi + \lambda n)\right],$$
 (24)

The W_{\perp} is a transverse gauge link at $\xi^{-} = \infty$,

$$W_{\perp}(\vec{\xi}_{\perp}) = P \exp \left[ig \int_0^{\infty} d\vec{\eta}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{A}_{\perp}(\xi^- = \infty, \vec{\xi}_{\perp} + \vec{\eta}_{\perp}) \right].$$
(25)

In light-cone gauge, $A^+=0$ and $W_n=1$, only the gauge link at $\xi^-=\pm\infty$ survives. Therefore, the correlation f_{1T}^{\perp} exists only if there is a non-vanishing $A_{\perp}(\xi^-=\infty,\xi_{\perp})\neq 0$, which involves zero momentum gluons $a^+(0,\vec{k}_{\perp})$ or $a(0,\vec{k}_{\perp})$ [30, 31]. They have non-zero matrix element between states $\{|\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle\}$ which differ by a zero-mode gluon. Since the correlation effect can be measured experimentally, without $\{|\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle\}$, one cannot come up an interpretation in LFQ formalism. Similarly, in the collinear expansion formalism of the single spin asymmetry, the zero-mode quarks and gluons in the proton are also a key to understand the non-vanishing twist-three correlation functions [32].

While all leading-twist observables such as momentum, helicity, and transversity PDFs receive contributions only from the normal component of the proton, all other observables are in principle sensitive to the proton's zero modes. In the sum rule cases, the presence of the zero-model component is necessary to restore the Lorentz symmetry, such as in the $g_2(x)$ and $h_L(x)$ structure functions [21, 22]. When $k^+=0$ modes are excluded from the Hilbert space, the QCD vacuum and the proton zero-mode component reduces to the perturbative vacuum,

$$|\mathbf{0}\rangle \sim |\mathbf{0}_{nm}\rangle \sim |0\rangle$$
 . (26)

This is the rationale behind the common statement that the QCD vacuum is "trivial" [8]. Being an effective theory, QCD in LFQ with truncation must be matched to the full theory to recover, for instance, the vacuum condensate, in the sense that $\langle \mathbf{0} | \overline{\psi} \psi | \mathbf{0} \rangle = (0 | \overline{\psi} \psi | \mathbf{0}) + C$, where C is a matching constant. Likewise, if the proton wave function in LFQ misses the zero-mode component, its physics can only be recovered from matching the truncated theory to the full theory in which the zero modes are taken into account explicitly (as in simple models [33]), or be included in phenomenological parameters [34].

In the remainder of the paper, I argue that the proton's zero-mode physics can be studied from the matching conditions between the LFQ formalism and full-QCD calculations, such as lattice field theory, through large-momentum effective theory (LaMET) [14, 15]. The principle of LaMET is that the light-front soft and collinear physics can be learnt through physical quantities depending on large-momentum external states (as oppose to LF quantum-field correlators in the usual LFQ formalism), which can be matched to LF observables through $1/P^2$ expansion.

For the Sivers function, the LaMET formulation and calculations have been explored extensively in recent works [35–41]. Note that pre-LaMET lattice calculations have already shown the important effects from the Wilson-line gauge link, which in LFQ comes entirely as the zero-mode effect [42]. One can also calculate the twist-three collinear matrix elements with soft gluon or quark poles [32] using the LaMET formalism.

One can also calculate quasi twist-three and -four dis-

tributions to learn about the δ -function contributions. For example, for the scalar charge, one can define the Euclidean correlation,

$$\tilde{e}(\lambda = zP^z, P^z) = \langle P^z | \overline{\psi}(0)W(0, z)\psi(z) | P^z \rangle , \qquad (27)$$

which can be simulated on lattice, and shall be renormalized properly to subtract the Wilson-line self-energy contribution. One can derive a LaMET matching formula to match $\tilde{e}(x)$ to light-cone correlation function e(x) in the large P^z limit. A delta function contribution will show up asymptotically as the non-vanishing correlation at large $\lambda = zP^z$. However, this might be challenging numerically. Model studies at finite P^z might help to identify a would-be delta function as a peek at small longitudinal momentum x [21].

Similarly, LaMET can help to study the zero-mode contribution to the proton mass in the IMF. To determine possible $\delta(x)$ functions in Q(x), E(x), B(x), A(x), we can start from the twist-four quasi distributions,

$$\tilde{E}(\lambda = zP^z, P^z) = \langle P^z | F^{03}(0)W(0, z)F^{03}(z) | P^z \rangle (28)$$

etc. Potential δ -functions can again be identified from the long-range correlations of the quasi-distributions in λ . On the other hand, at finite x, the twist-four light-cone distributions might be measurable experimentally from deep-inelastic scattering and Drell-Yan process, although this could be very challenging.

To summarize, a proton traveling at the speed of light has two distinct components in its wave function. The normal component is what one usually probes in the deep-inelastic scattering. The zero-mode component will contribute to all the high-twist quantities, including the mass, axial and tensor charges, etc. One particular interesting example is the momentum-spin correlation of partons probed through the single spin asymmetry. The usual LFQ method cannot calculate the "condensate" component directly. Through the LaMET approach, it can be studied through matrix elements of the large momentum states in lattice QCD. This approach is in some sense similar to LF quantization off light-cone advocated by some previous works [11, 12].

Acknowledgment.—I thank F. Aslan, S. Brodsky, M. Burkardt, C. Ji, Yu Jia, Yizhuang Liu, E. Shuryak, J. Vary, Xiaonu Xiong, Feng Yuan, I. Zahed, and Yong Zhao for valuable discussions and correspondences.

Richard P. Feynman, "Very high-energy collisions of hadrons," Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1415–1417 (1969), [,494(1969)].

^[2] Steven Weinberg, "Dynamics at infinite momentum," Phys. Rev. **150**, 1313–1318 (1966).

^[3] Shau-Jin Chang and Shang-Keng Ma, "Feynman rules and quantum electrodynamics at infinite momentum," Phys. Rev. 180, 1506–1513 (1969).

^[4] John B. Kogut and Davison E. Soper, "Quantum

Electrodynamics in the Infinite Momentum Frame," Phys. Rev. **D1**, 2901–2913 (1970).

^[5] Paul A. M. Dirac, "Forms of Relativistic Dynamics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 392–399 (1949).

^[6] John Collins, "Foundations of perturbative QCD," Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. 32, 1–624 (2011).

^[7] George F. Sterman, An Introduction to quantum field theory (Cambridge University Press, 1993).

- [8] Stanley J. Brodsky, Hans-Christian Pauli. Pinsky, Stephen S. "Quantum chromodynamics and other field theories on the Phys. Rept. **301**, 299–486 (1998), light cone," arXiv:hep-ph/9705477 [hep-ph].
- [9] D. Mustaki, S. Pinsky, J. Shigemitsu, and K. Wilson, "Perturbative renormalization of null plane QED," Phys. Rev. D43, 3411–3427 (1991).
- [10] Stanislaw D. Glazek and K. G. Wilson, "Renormalization of overlapping transverse divergences in a model light front Hamiltonian," Phys. Rev. D47, 4657–4669 (1993).
- [11] F. Lenz, M. Thies, K. Yazaki, and S. Levit, "Hamiltonian formulation of two-dimensional gauge theories on the light cone," NATO ASI: Hadrons and Hadronic Matter Cargese, France, August 8-18, 1989, Annals Phys. 208, 1–89 (1991).
- [12] H. W. L. Naus, H. J. Pirner, T. J. Fields, and J. P. Vary, "QCD near the light cone," Phys. Rev. D56, 8062–8073 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9704135 [hep-th].
- [13] Koichi Yamawaki, "Zero mode problem on the light front," in QCD, light cone physics and hadron phenomenology. Proceedings, 10th Nuclear Summer School and Symposium, NuSS'97, Seoul, Korea, June 23-28, 1997 (1998) pp. 116-199, arXiv:hep-th/9802037 [hep-th].
- [14] Xiangdong Ji, "Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 262002 (2013), arXiv:1305.1539 [hep-ph].
- [15] Xiangdong Ji, "Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory," Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **57**, 1407–1412 (2014), arXiv:1404.6680 [hep-ph].
- [16] A. R. Zhitnitsky, "On Chiral Symmetry Breaking in QCD in Two-dimensions ($N_c \rightarrow$ Infinity)," Phys. Lett. **165B**, 405–409 (1985), [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.43,999(1986); Yad. Fiz.43,1553(1986)].
- [17] M. Burkardt, "Trivial vacua, high orders in perturbation theory and nontrivial condensates," Phys. Rev. **D53**, 933–938 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9509226 [hep-ph].
- [18] Toshihide Maskawa and Koichi Yamawaki, "The Problem of P+ = 0Mode in the Null Plane Field Theory and Dirac's Method of Quantization," Prog. Theor. Phys. **56**, 270 (1976).
- [19] Hans Christian Pauli and Stanley J. Brodsky, "Discretized Light Cone Quantization: Solution to a Field Theory in One Space One Time Dimensions," Phys. Rev. D32, 2001 (1985).
- [20] R. L. Jaffe and Xiang-Dong Ji, "Chiral odd parton distributions and Drell-Yan processes," Nucl. Phys. B375, 527–560 (1992).
- [21] Fatma Aslan and Matthias Burkardt, "Singularities in Twist-3 Quark Distributions," Phys. Rev. D101, 016010 (2020), arXiv:1811.00938 [nucl-th].
- [22] R. L. Jaffe and Xiang-Dong Ji, "Studies of the Transverse Spin Dependent Structure Function g(2) (X, Q^2)," Phys. Rev. **D43**, 724–732 (1991).
- [23] A. Zee, "Light cone as seen in the gluon model," Phys. Rev. D3, 2432–2441 (1971).
- [24] D. Corrigan, "Asymptotic sum rule in perturbation theory," Phys. Rev. D4, 1053–1059 (1971).
- [25] David J. Broadhurst, J. F. Gunion, and Robert L. Jaffe, "On the Finiteness of the Scaling Sum Rules,"

- Annals Phys. 81, 88 (1973).
- [26] Xiang-Dong Ji, "The Nucleon structure functions from deep inelastic scattering with electroweak currents," Nucl. Phys. B402, 217–250 (1993).
- [27] Xiang-Dong Ji, "A QCD analysis of the mass structure of the nucleon," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1071–1074 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9410274 [hep-ph].
- [28] Dennis W. Sivers, "Single Spin Production Asymmetries from the Hard Scattering of Point-Like Constituents," Phys. Rev. D41, 83 (1990).
- [29] Stanley J. Brodsky, Dae Sung Hwang, and Ivan Schmidt, "Final state interactions and single spin asymmetries in semiinclusive deep inelastic scattering," Phys. Lett. B530, 99–107 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0201296 [hep-ph].
- [30] Xiang-dong Ji and Feng Yuan, "Parton distributions in light cone gauge: Where are the final state interactions?" Phys. Lett. B543, 66–72 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0206057 [hep-ph].
- [31] Andrei V. Belitsky, X. Ji, and F. Yuan, "Final state interactions and gauge invariant parton distributions," Nucl. Phys. B656, 165–198 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0208038 [hep-ph].
- [32] Jian-wei Qiu and George F. Sterman, "Single transverse spin asymmetries," Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2264–2267 (1991).
- [33] Matthias Burkardt, "Light front quantization of the Sine-Gordon model," Phys. Rev. D47, 4628–4633 (1993).
- [34] Chandan Mondal, Siqi Xu, Jiangshan Lan, Xingbo Zhao, Yang Li, Dipankar Chakrabarti, and James P. Vary, "Proton structure from a light-front Hamiltonian," (2019), arXiv:1911.10913 [hep-ph].
- [35] Xiangdong Ji, Peng Sun, Xiaonu Xiong, and Feng Yuan, "Soft factor subtraction and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions on the lattice," Phys. Rev. **D91**, 074009 (2015), arXiv:1405.7640 [hep-ph].
- [36] Xiangdong Ji, Lu-Chang Jin, Feng Yuan, Jian-Hui Zhang, and Yong Zhao, "Transdependent verse momentum parton quasidis-Phys. Rev. **D99**, 114006 (2019), tributions," arXiv:1801.05930 [hep-ph].
- [37] Markus A. Ebert, Iain W. Stewart, and Yong Zhao, "Determining the Nonperturbative Collins-Soper Kernel From Lattice QCD," Phys. Rev. D99, 034505 (2019), arXiv:1811.00026 [hep-ph].
- [38] Markus A. Ebert, Iain W. Stewart, and Yong Zhao, "Towards Quasi-Transverse Momentum Dependent PDFs Computable on the Lattice," JHEP 09, 037 (2019), arXiv:1901.03685 [hep-ph].
- [39] Xiangdong Ji, Yizhuang Liu, and Yu-Sheng Liu, "QCD Soft Function from Large-Momentum Effective Theory on Lattice," (2019), arXiv:1910.11415 [hep-ph].
- [40] Markus A. Ebert, Iain W. Stewart, and Yong Zhao, "Renormalization and Matching for the Collins-Soper Kernel from Lattice QCD," (2019), arXiv:1910.08569 [hep-ph].
- [41] Xiangdong Ji, Yizhuang Liu, and Yu-Sheng Liu, "Transverse-Momentum-Dependent PDFs from Large-Momentum Effective Theory," (2019), arXiv:1911.03840 [hep-ph].
- [42] Boram Yoon, Michael Engelhardt, Rajan Gupta, Tanmoy Bhattacharya, Jeremy R. Green, Bernhard U. Musch, John W. Negele, Andrew V. Pochinsky,

Andreas Schfer, and Sergey N. Syritsyn, "Nucleon Transverse Momentum-dependent Parton Distributions in Lattice QCD: Renormalization Patterns and

Discretization Effects," Phys. Rev. $\bf D96,\,094508$ (2017), arXiv:1706.03406 [hep-lat].