

Eigenfunction equations of lattice KdV equations and connections to ABS lattice equations with a δ term*

Cheng Zhang[†], Haifei Zhang, Da-jun Zhang

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200444, CHINA

Abstract

We develop lattice eigenfunction equations of lattice KdV equation, which are equations obeyed by the auxiliary functions, or eigenfunctions, of the Lax pair of the lattice KdV equation. This leads to three-dimensionally consistent quad-equations that are closely related to lattice equations in the Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) classification. In particular, we show how the $H3(\delta)$, $Q1(\delta)$ and $Q3(\delta)$ equations in the ABS list arise from the lattice eigenfunction equations by providing a natural interpretation of the δ term as interactions between the eigenfunctions. By construction, exact solution structures of these equations are obtained. The approach presented in this paper can be used as a systematic means to search for integrable lattice equations.

Key words: lattice eigenfunction equations; three-dimensional consistency; ABS list; lattice KdV equations; the $Q3(\delta)$ equation; exact solutions.

1 Introduction

Research in discrete integrable equations traces back in early developments of the modern theory of integrability to attempts of discretizing known soliton models. Systematic approaches includes, for instance, Hirota's method by discretizing his bilinear equations [1, 2]; Date, Jimbo and Miwa's discretization of Sato's scheme [3]; and the (discrete) direct linearization method, developed by Nijhoff, Quispel and Capel [4]. The common idea behind these methods is to add *discrete dynamics* to known integrable structures. This gave rise to lattice versions of some well-known soliton equations.

In [4], a class of lattice Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equations, as discrete analogs of continuous KdV-type equations, was introduced (see also [5–7]). This includes the lattice potential KdV (lpKdV), lattice potential modified KdV (lpmKdV), lattice Schwarzian KdV (lSkdV), and Nijhoff-Quispel-Capel (NQC) equations. They are quad-equations, *ie.* lattice equations defined on quadrilaterals, and \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric (symmetric by interchanging independent variables as well as the associated lattice parameters). A unified framework was later developed, known as Cauchy matrix scheme [6, 7], containing all the above lattice KdV-type equations as well as their exact solutions. It has been understood that lattice integrable models are rather *rare*, and can be regarded as “master integrable models”, since each contains information of the whole hierarchy of the associated continuous equation [5, 7, 8].

The notion of *three-dimensional consistency*, has then emerged as a defining criterion of integrability for quad-equations [9–11]. Namely, a quad-equation is said to be integrable, if it can be consistently embedded onto a cube. The 3D consistency implies that collective shifts, or *covariances*, of an integrable quad-equation, in a multi-dimensional lattice, are auto-Bäcklund transformations of itself. This gives the very precise analog of infinitely many commuting flows in the continuous integrable

*The authors are supported by NSFC (No. 11601312, 11671321, 11875040).

[†]Corresponding authors: ch.zhang.maths@gmail.com

field theory. An algorithm, up to few other assumptions, for solving the 3D consistency was carried out by Adler, Bobenko and Suris, which led to a full classification of integrable quad-equations [12, 13], known as the Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) list. The list contains 9 equations, most of them were known or related to known lattice KdV-type equations. For example, the Q4 equation [14, 15], as the “top” equation in the list, is the lattice version of the famous Krichever-Novikov (KN) equation [16]; Q3, Q1, H3 and H1 are related to the NQC, lSKdV, lpKdV and lpKdV equations respectively. However, there exist Q3(δ), Q1(δ) and H3(δ), which are new equations, and apparently look like extensions with a constant term δ . Many efforts have been made to understand the ABS list by studying their solution structures [6, 17–21]. It turns out that Q3(δ) is the “second top” equation in the list, as the remaining ones (apart from Q4) can be derived from it through certain degeneration processes [6, 12, 19, 22]. The continuous limits of the ABS equations were also investigated in [23].

In the continuous realm, eigenfunction equations, *ie.* equation satisfied by the auxiliary function of a given Lax pair, are known to be natural extensions of some soliton models (see [24] and references therein). For instance, the eigenfunction equations of KdV amounts to the “vertical hierarchy”, introduced by Konopelcheko [24], which are equations derived from the Lax pair of KdV through successive Miura-type transformations. The hierarchy is degenerate, up to order two, and contains two main equations. By construction, their solution structures are expressed in terms of eigenfunctions, which can be written in compact forms thanks to the Darboux-Crum formulae [25–27]. A classical result in integrable systems is that the compatibility of Bäcklund transformations, also known as *nonlinear superposition formula*, of certain soliton equations yields integrable lattice equations [28]. An alternative point of view consists in discretizing Lax pairs using Darboux transformations, *cf.* [29–31]. This idea has been recently applied to equations in the ABS list, *cf.* [32–34], and associated Darboux-Crum formulae were also provided [34]. Note that the key ideas of the Bäcklund and/or Darboux transformation approaches as discretization processes, are covariances of the underlying systems, which are in line with the notion of the 3D consistency.

The aim of this paper is to develop eigenfunction equations of the lattice KdV equation as a means to understand ABS lattice equations with a δ term. Based on the Lax formulation of the lattice KdV equation, we derive two lattice eigenfunction equations. They are 3D-consistent, closely related to H3 and Q3, and by construction inherit exact solution structures. Moreover, by some careful extension of the Lax pairs, we derive lattice eigenfunction equations with a constant δ term, where δ appears as an “interacting” term between different eigenfunctions. These equations give rise to the Q3(δ), Q1(δ), H3(δ) equations from the ABS list, and, as a result, exact solution structures of the latter equations can be constructed in terms of the eigenfunctions. Our approach of constructing and solving Q3(δ), as well as Q1(δ) and H3(δ), does not require presumed analytic properties of the solutions. The exact solution structures of Q3(δ) are also useful in investigating other equations, apart from Q4, in the ABS list through degeneration processes. The effectiveness of our approach suggests it can be used as a natural algorithm for searching for/extending lattice integrable models.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the Lax pair of the lattice KdV equation and associated Abel’s formulae are provided. In Section 3 and 4, we present derivations of the lattice eigenfunction equations and their δ -extensions. Their connections to known lattice equations, in particular, to Q3(δ), are also provided. Some concluding remarks are made in Section 5.

2 Lax pair of lattice KdV equation and discrete Abel’s formulae

We first collect some basic notions and useful notations. Let u be a discrete field depending on $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Shifts in n and m are denoted by $\tilde{}$ and $\hat{}$ as

$$\tilde{u}(n, m) = u(n + 1, m), \quad \hat{u}(n, m) = u(n, m + 1), \quad \widehat{\tilde{u}}(n, m) = u(n + 1, m + 1), \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

As an illustration, the lpKdV equation reads

$$(\tilde{u} - \hat{u})(\widehat{\tilde{u}} - u) = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where α and β are lattice parameters associated to the \sim and $\hat{\cdot}$ directions respectively. Thus, u also depends on the lattice parameters. The 3D consistency is depicted in Fig. 1, where u depends on three, or more, discrete variables, and also on the associated lattice parameters.




Figure 1: 3D consistency: here \bar{u} denotes shift of u in the third direction.

Now, consider the following system of linear difference equations

$$L\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} + h\tilde{\varphi} + \alpha^2\varphi = p^2\varphi, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$T\varphi = \hat{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} - g\varphi, \quad (2.3b)$$

where h, g are discrete fields of $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and α is the lattice parameter associated to the \sim direction. The discrete spectral problem (2.3a) can be obtained through *exact discretization* [31] of the continuous Schrödinger spectral problem, *ie.* $(D^2 + u)\varphi = p^2\varphi$, and (2.3b) is the discrete iso-spectral deformation of L . They form the Lax pair for the lattice KdV equation [7, 34].

Proposition 2.1 *The compatibility condition for the system (2.3), ie. $\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} = \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}$, yields, up to one step of integration in the \sim direction, the following system,*

$$\hat{h} - \tilde{h} = \tilde{g} - g, \quad (h + \tilde{g})g = \beta^2 - \alpha^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\beta^2 - \alpha^2$ is the constant of integration. Moreover, φ satisfies

$$\hat{\hat{\varphi}} + \eta\hat{\varphi} + \beta^2\varphi = p^2\varphi, \quad \eta = h + \tilde{g} + \hat{g}. \quad (2.5)$$

The proof can be verified by straightforward computations. The constant of integration will be fixed to be $\beta^2 - \alpha^2$ in the rest of paper, so that β plays the role of lattice parameter associated to the $\hat{\cdot}$ direction. By eliminating h , the system (2.4) involving h, g defines the lattice KdV equation [1, 5, 7]

$$\tilde{f} - \hat{f} + \hat{\hat{g}} - g = 0, \quad fg = \beta^2 - \alpha^2, \quad (2.6)$$

with $f = h + \tilde{g}$. Introduce a “potential” variable u obeying

$$g = \hat{u} - \tilde{u}, \quad h = \tilde{\tilde{u}} - u, \quad (2.7)$$

then, the system (2.4) is reduced to the lpKdV equation (2.2).

Under the relation (2.7), the two spectral problems (2.3a) and (2.5), connected by (2.3b), are in the same forms by interchanging (\sim, α) and $(\hat{\cdot}, \beta)$. This property provides an apparent evidence that the lpKdV equation (2.2) is 3D-consistent: let $\bar{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} - (\bar{u} - \tilde{u})\varphi$ be the deformation of L in the third direction, namely, the $\bar{\cdot}$ direction, then $\bar{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi} - (\bar{u} - \hat{u})\varphi$, which is also the “right” deformation of (2.5). Therefore, the three Lax pairs among any two of the $\sim, \hat{\cdot}, \bar{\cdot}$ directions are compatible, and yield the same equations. Covariances of the Lax pairs create a 3D object, *ie.* a cube, whose faces are governed by the same quad-equations.

Remark 2.2 For simplicity, we only consider the autonomous case, ie. the lattice parameters α and β are set to be constant. However, Prop. 2.1 also holds in the non-autonomous case by letting dependence of α (resp. β) on n (resp. m). Then, the lattice KdV and lpKdV equations become non-autonomous.

A direct consequence of Prop. 2.1 for the auxiliary field φ is the following.

Lemma 2.3 (Discrete Abel's formulae) Let φ_1, φ_2 be two linearly independent solutions of the Lax pair (2.3), then

$$\varphi_1 \tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_1 \hat{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\varphi}_1 = \rho s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad (2.8)$$

where ρ is a constant of integration, and s, t satisfy

$$s^2 = \alpha^2 - p^2, \quad t^2 = \beta^2 - p^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof: The quantities $\varphi_1 \tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\varphi_1 \hat{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\varphi}_1$ are the Casorati determinant of φ_1, φ_2 along the $\tilde{}$ and $\hat{}$ directions respectively. We employ the following notations

$$|\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\tilde{}} := \varphi_1 \tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \tilde{\varphi}_1, \quad |\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\hat{}} := \varphi_1 \hat{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\varphi}_1. \quad (2.10)$$

Thanks to (2.3b), these two quantities are equal. It follows from (2.3a) and (2.5) that

$$\widetilde{|\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\tilde{}}} = (\alpha^2 - p^2) |\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\tilde{}}, \quad \widehat{|\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\hat{}}} = (\beta^2 - p^2) |\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\hat{}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Solving these equations completes the proof. ■

Lemma 2.3 is a discrete analog of the Abel's formula for linear differential equations. In the rest of the paper, we will use $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ to denote a solution basis of the solution spaces of the Lax pair (2.3). For an nonzero p , a natural choice could be

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi(n, m; p), \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi(n, m; -p). \quad (2.12)$$

Remark 2.4 Note that classes of exact solutions for the Lax pair (2.3) can be constructed following an algebraic approach, known as Darboux-Crum transformation [34]. The function φ, h admit compact expressions as ratios of determinants under the actions of Darboux transformations. In Appendix A, explicit formulae for φ and h for soliton solutions and elliptic soliton solutions are provided.

3 Lattice eigenfunction KdV equations

This section aims to construct eigenfunction equations of the Lax pair (2.3). We obtain the following two quad-equations

$$(\alpha^2 - p^2) \varphi \hat{\varphi} - (\beta^2 - p^2) \varphi \tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi} \hat{\tilde{\varphi}} + \hat{\varphi} \tilde{\hat{\varphi}} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$(\alpha^2 - p^2) \psi \hat{\psi} - (\beta^2 - p^2) \psi \tilde{\psi} - \tilde{\psi} \hat{\tilde{\psi}} + \hat{\psi} \tilde{\hat{\psi}} = (-1)^{n+m} \delta (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) (\alpha^2 - p^2)^n (\beta^2 - p^2)^m, \quad (3.2)$$

where δ is a constant. For obvious reasons, we call (3.1) *lattice eigenfunction KdV* (leKdV) equation and (3.2) leKdV(δ) equation. Apparently, leKdV is a special case of leKdV(δ) with $\delta = 0$. Both equations are 3D-consistent, but only \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric, ie. symmetric in interchanging $(\tilde{}, \alpha)$ and $(\hat{}, \beta)$. They are closely related to H3 and H3(δ).

3.1 Derivations

The leKdV equation (3.1) can be easily obtained by eliminating h, g from the (2.3) and (2.4). Note that there is a “free” parameter p appearing in the equation. Although it is a nonlinear equation, any linear combination of φ 's, as solutions to (2.3), still solves (3.1). The lattice KdV equation and leKdV are connected through Miura-type transformations

$$g = \frac{\tilde{\varphi} - \hat{\varphi}}{\varphi}, \quad h + \tilde{g} = \frac{(p^2 - \alpha^2)\varphi - \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}}{\tilde{\varphi}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here, we provide an alternative approach to constructing leKdV by using the discrete Abel's formulae stated in Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 3.1 *The discrete Abel's formulae (2.8) define auto-Bäcklund transformations between φ_1 and φ_2 as solutions to the leKdV equation (3.1).*

Proof: The formulae (2.8) amount to

$$\varphi_1 \tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \tilde{\varphi}_1 = \rho s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad \hat{\varphi}_1 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_2 - \hat{\varphi}_2 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1 = \rho s^{2n} t^{2m+2}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\varphi_1 \hat{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\varphi}_1 = \rho s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_2 - \tilde{\varphi}_2 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1 = \rho s^{2n+2} t^{2m}. \quad (3.4b)$$

By eliminating, for instance, $\tilde{\varphi}_2, \hat{\varphi}_2$, one has

$$(\varphi_1 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1) \hat{\varphi}_1 = (t^2 \varphi_1 + \hat{\varphi}_1) \rho s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad (\varphi_1 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1) \tilde{\varphi}_1 = (s^2 \varphi_1 + \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1) \rho s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad (3.5)$$

which turns out to be the leKdV equation for φ_1 by eliminating the term $(\varphi_1 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_2 - \varphi_2 \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}_1)$. Similarly, φ_2 also solves leKdV. \blacksquare




Figure 2: Auto-Bäcklund transformation as consistency between φ_1 and φ_2 .

In other words, φ_1, φ_2 and their auto-Bäcklund transformations (3.4) form a 3D-consistent system as shown in Fig. 2. To proceed to the δ -extension of the leKdV equation, one needs to introduce an “adjoint” Lax pair

$$\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}^* - h \tilde{\varphi}^* + \alpha^2 \varphi^* = p^2 \varphi^*, \quad \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}^* = \tilde{\varphi}^* + g \varphi^*. \quad (3.6)$$

Here, h, g are the same functions as appeared in (2.3). Similar results stated in Prop. 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 also hold for the adjoint Lax pair. One can view φ^* as a Darboux transformation of φ as

$$\varphi^* = (-1)^{n+m} \varphi. \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let φ and φ^* be solutions of the Lax pairs (2.3) and (3.6) respectively, then*

$$\varphi\widetilde{\varphi}^* + \varphi^*\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi\widehat{\varphi}^* + \varphi^*\widehat{\varphi} = (-1)^{n+m}\rho s^{2n}t^{2m}, \quad (3.8)$$

where ρ is a constant of integration, and s, t are defined in (2.9). If $\varphi^* \propto (-1)^{n+m}\varphi$, then $\rho = 0$.

The proof is straightforward. Similar to Prop. 3.1, the relations (3.8) define auto-Bäcklund transformations between φ and φ^* both solving the leKdV equation. However, their linear combinations are solutions to the leKdV(δ) equation.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\psi = C_1\varphi + C_2\varphi^*$, $\psi^* = C_1\varphi - C_2\varphi^*$, where C_1, C_2 are nonzero constants, and φ and φ^* solve respectively the Lax pairs (2.3) and (3.6). Then, ψ and ψ^* solve the leKdV(δ) equation (3.2). In particular, $\delta = 0$ if $\varphi^* \propto (-1)^{n+m}\varphi$; otherwise, $\delta = 2\rho C_1 C_2$, where ρ is the constant of integration appeared in (3.8).*

Proof: It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\psi\widetilde{\psi} - \psi^*\widetilde{\psi}^* = \psi\widehat{\psi} - \psi^*\widehat{\psi}^* = 2C_1C_2(\varphi\widetilde{\varphi}^* + \varphi^*\widetilde{\varphi}) = 2\rho C_1C_2(-1)^{n+m}s^{2n}t^{2m}, \quad (3.9)$$

where s, t are defined in (2.9). The constant of integration ρ vanishes, if $\varphi^* \propto (-1)^{n+m}\varphi$. Let $\delta = 2\rho C_1 C_2$, then the above relations after shifts yield

$$\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi}^*\widehat{\psi}^* = \delta(-1)^{n+m+1}s^{2n}t^{2m+2}, \quad \widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\psi} - \widetilde{\psi}^*\widetilde{\psi}^* = \delta(-1)^{n+m+1}s^{2n+2}t^{2m}. \quad (3.10)$$

By eliminating, for instance, $\widetilde{\psi}^*$, $\widehat{\psi}^*$, one gets

$$\frac{\widehat{\psi}}{\psi^*} = \frac{\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\psi} + \delta(-1)^{n+m}s^{2n}t^{2m+2}}{\psi\widehat{\psi} - \delta(-1)^{n+m}s^{2n}t^{2m}} = \frac{\widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\psi} + \delta(-1)^{n+m}s^{2n+2}t^{2m}}{\psi\widetilde{\psi} - \delta(-1)^{n+m}s^{2n}t^{2m}}. \quad (3.11)$$

The second equality coincides with leKdV(δ) for ψ . Similarly, ψ^* also solves leKdV($-\delta$). ■

Remark 3.4 *The function ψ and ψ^* in Prop. 3.3 satisfy a coupled linear system*

$$\widetilde{\Psi} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h \\ h & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi} + \alpha^2 \Psi = p^2 \Psi, \quad \widehat{\Psi} = \widetilde{\Psi} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi, \quad \Psi := \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

The leKdV(δ) equation can be seen as the eigenfunction equation of this coupled system.

From the above construction, we could conclude that the leKdV and leKdV(δ) equations share the same solution basis, and the term δ is a result of “interactions” between the eigenfunction φ and its adjoint φ^* (comparing (2.8) and (3.8)).

Without loss of generality, the most general form of ψ can be written as

$$\psi = A\varphi_1 + B\varphi_2 + C\varphi_1^* + D\varphi_2^*, \quad \varphi_1^* = (-1)^{n+m}\varphi_1, \quad \varphi_2^* = (-1)^{n+m}\varphi_2, \quad (3.13)$$

where φ_1, φ_2 (resp. φ_1^*, φ_2^*) form a basis of the solution spaces of (2.3) (resp. (3.6)). Then ψ satisfies the leKdV(δ) equation with $\delta \propto (AD - BC)$.

3.2 Connections to H3(δ) and Bäcklund transformations

Now we connect the leKdV(δ) equation to δ -extensions of some well-known 3D-consistent equations. Note that the parametrization (2.9) causes multivalueness of s, t , which are functions of p . In practice, we can fix the branch of the square root with the sign of p as

$$\text{sign } s(p) = \text{sign } p, \quad \text{sign } t(p) = \text{sign } p. \quad (3.14)$$

- Connection to lpmKdV(δ) equation: let ψ satisfy the leKdV(δ) equation (3.2), and

$$\psi = s^n t^m v, \quad v := v(n, m; s, t), \quad (3.15)$$

then v satisfies a δ -extension of the lpmKdV equation

$$s(v\widehat{v} - \widetilde{v}\widehat{\widetilde{v}}) - t(v\widetilde{v} - \widehat{v}\widehat{\widetilde{v}}) = (-1)^{n+m} \delta \left(\frac{s}{t} - \frac{t}{s} \right), \quad (3.16)$$

which is 3D-consistent and \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric. When $\delta = 0$, this equation is reduced to the lpmKdV equation [5].

- Connection to H3(δ): let ψ satisfy the leKdV(δ) equation (3.2), and

$$\psi = (i s)^n (i t)^m w, \quad w := w(n, m; s, t), \quad (3.17)$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit, then w satisfies

$$t(w\widetilde{w} + \widehat{w}\widehat{\widetilde{w}}) - s(w\widehat{w} + \widetilde{w}\widetilde{\widehat{w}}) = i \delta \left(\frac{s}{t} - \frac{t}{s} \right), \quad (3.18)$$

which is the H3(δ) equation from the ABS list [12].

Remark 3.5 *It follows from (3.13) that exact solutions of the H3(δ) can be expressed by*

$$w = (i s)^{-n} (i t)^{-m} \left((A + (-1)^{n+m} C) \varphi_1 + (B + (-1)^{n+m} D) \varphi_2 \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where $\delta \propto AD - BC$. Similar solution structures for H3(δ) were found in [6] for soliton solutions and in [19] for elliptic soliton solutions, as degenerate cases of the exact solutions of Q3(δ). Here, by construction, exact solution structures of H3(δ) are in the above forms, and do not rely on particular analytic structures of the solution basis.

The Abel's formulae presented in the previous Section enable us to establish some nontrivial auto-Bäcklund transformations of the lattice equations (3.16) and (3.19). Take lpmKdV (equation (3.16) with $\delta = 0$) as an example. Using

$$\varphi_1 = s^n t^m V, \quad \varphi_2 = s^n t^m U, \quad (3.20)$$

where φ_1, φ_2 are two linearly independent solutions of the Lax pair (2.3), then (2.8) is reduced to

$$V\widetilde{U} - U\widetilde{V} = \rho/s, \quad V\widehat{U} - U\widehat{V} = \rho/t. \quad (3.21)$$

The compatibility conditions $\widetilde{\widetilde{V}} = \widehat{\widehat{V}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{U}} = \widehat{\widehat{U}}$ yield two separated lpmKdV equations for V and U .

The above structures form a so-called *consistent triplet*, which can be seen as an extended version of the 3D consistency. Having a relation H connecting V, \widetilde{V} and U, \widetilde{U} (also V, \widehat{V} and U, \widehat{U})

$$H(V, \widetilde{V}, U, \widetilde{U}, p) = 0, \quad H(V, \widehat{V}, U, \widehat{U}, q) = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

if the compatibility conditions $\widetilde{\widetilde{V}} = \widehat{\widehat{V}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{U}} = \widehat{\widehat{U}}$ amount to two separated equations for V and U

$$F(V, \widetilde{V}, \widehat{V}, \widetilde{\widehat{V}}; p, q) = 0, \quad G(U, \widetilde{U}, \widehat{U}, \widetilde{\widehat{U}}; p, q) = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

then H, F, G form a consistent triplet, and H is the Bäcklund transformation between F and G . Note that a large class of consistent triplets was given in [35, 36] where F and/or G are not necessarily affine-linear. Such type of triplets also played an important role in generating rational solutions for certain lattice equations (*cf.* [37]).

Similarly, by using the transformation (3.17), the relation (3.9) can be easily reduced to

$$V\widetilde{V} + U\widetilde{U} = -i\delta/s, \quad V\widehat{V} + U\widehat{U} = -i\delta/t, \quad (3.24)$$

which form the Bäcklund transformations for two copies of the H3(δ) equations for V and U .

3.3 Ground state solutions

It is interesting enough to look at the “ground state solutions”, corresponding to the *zero-soliton* of the lpKdV equation (2.2)

$$u = -\alpha n - \beta m + c, \quad (3.25)$$

where c is a constant. According to (2.7), one has $h = -2\alpha$, $g = \alpha - \beta$, which yields

$$\varphi_1 = (\alpha + p)^n (\beta + p)^m, \quad \varphi_2 = (\alpha - p)^n (\beta - p)^m, \quad (3.26)$$

as the ground states for the Lax pair (2.3).

Consider, for instance, the lpmKdV(δ) equation (3.16). Assuming that α, β and p are real, we can parameterize α, β and p as

$$\alpha = s \cosh(\gamma'), \quad p = s \sinh(\gamma'), \quad \beta = t \cosh(\lambda'), \quad p = t \sinh(\lambda'). \quad (3.27)$$

By taking account of the branches (3.14), one has

$$v_1 = s^{-n} t^{-m} \varphi_1 = \gamma^n \lambda^m, \quad v_2 = s^{-n} t^{-m} \varphi_2 = (-1)^{n+m} \gamma^{-n} \lambda^{-m}, \quad (3.28)$$

where $\gamma = e^{\gamma'}$, $\lambda = e^{\lambda'}$. It is clear now the general expressions of the ground state solutions to the lpmKdV(δ) equation is in the form

$$v = (A + (-1)^{n+m} C) v_1 + (B + (-1)^{n+m} D) v_2, \quad (3.29)$$

where A, B, C, D are constants, and $\delta = 4p(AD - BC)$.

Let $C = D = 0$, then $\delta = 0$, and v as a linear combination of v_1, v_2 solves the lpmKdV equation. Without loss of generality, we also assume that both γ, λ are greater than 1, then $v_1 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, and $v_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $n, m \rightarrow -\infty$, while v_2 represents an “oscillatory” term.

Let $B = C = 0$, then $\delta = 4pAD$ is nonzero, and one has

$$v = A\gamma^n \lambda^m + D\gamma^{-n} \lambda^{-m}, \quad (3.30)$$

which satisfies the lpmKdV(δ) equation with $\delta = 4pAD$. Now, v behaves asymptotically different from v_1 , since $v \rightarrow \infty$ as $n, m \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The term δ in (3.30) can be regarded as a product of interactions between v_1 and $(-1)^{n+m} v_2$. An inverse-scattering transform for initial-value problems of lpmKdV(δ) subject to different asymptotic behaviors is required to make the analysis rigorous.

4 Lattice eigenfunction KdV equations: case II

We continue to develop lattice eigenfunction KdV equations by taking account of another Lax pair

$$\tilde{\tilde{\phi}} + h\tilde{\phi} + \alpha^2 \phi = q^2 \phi, \quad \hat{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} - g\phi, \quad (4.1)$$

where h, g are the same functions as appeared in (2.3). We assume, in general, that $p^2 \neq q^2$. Then, we obtain the following two quad-equations as eigenfunction equations

$$s^2 \mu^2 y \hat{y} + \tilde{y} \hat{\tilde{y}} - t^2 \nu^2 y \tilde{y} - \hat{y} \tilde{y} - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(y \hat{y} + \tilde{y} \hat{\tilde{y}}) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$s^2 \mu^2 Y \hat{Y} + \tilde{Y} \hat{\tilde{Y}} - t^2 \nu^2 Y \tilde{Y} - \hat{Y} \tilde{Y} = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \left(Y \hat{Y} + \tilde{Y} \hat{\tilde{Y}} + \delta (p^2 - q^2)^2 s^{2n} \mu^{2n} t^{2m} \nu^{2m} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where δ is a constant, and

$$s^2 = \alpha^2 - p^2, \quad \mu^2 = \alpha^2 - q^2, \quad t^2 = \beta^2 - p^2, \quad \nu^2 = \beta^2 - q^2. \quad (4.4)$$

We call (4.2) lattice eigenfunction KdV-II equation (leKdV-II) and (4.3) leKdV-II(δ) equation. leKdV-II(δ) contains leKdV-II as a special case with $\delta = 0$. They are 3D-consistent and \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric, and in connection to the Q3 and Q3(δ) equations. With suitable reductions, the leKdV-II(δ) equation can be reduced to the Q1(δ) equation.

4.1 Derivations

Proposition 4.1 *Let φ and ϕ be solutions of the Lax pairs (2.3) and (4.1) respectively, with $q^2 \neq p^2$, then, a function y defined by*

$$y = \varphi\tilde{\phi} - \phi\tilde{\varphi} \quad (4.5)$$

solves the leKdV-II equation (4.2).

Proof: It follows from the forms of the Lax pairs (2.3) and (4.1) that

$$\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\varphi} = s^2\varphi\tilde{\phi} - \mu^2\phi\tilde{\varphi}, \quad (4.6)$$

where s, μ are defined in (4.4). The left-hand side is a shift of y in the $\tilde{}$ direction, which implies

$$\tilde{y} = s^2\varphi\tilde{\phi} - \mu^2\phi\tilde{\varphi}. \quad (4.7)$$

The above expressions allow to express the quantities $\varphi\tilde{\phi}$ and $\phi\tilde{\varphi}$ in terms of y and \tilde{y} :

$$\varphi\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{y} - \mu^2 y}{s^2 - \mu^2}, \quad \phi\tilde{\varphi} = \frac{\tilde{y} - s^2 y}{s^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (4.8)$$

One also has

$$y = \varphi\hat{\phi} - \phi\hat{\varphi}, \quad \hat{y} = t^2\varphi\hat{\phi} - \nu^2\phi\hat{\varphi}, \quad (4.9)$$

which allows to express the quantities $\varphi\hat{\phi}$ and $\phi\hat{\varphi}$ in terms of y and \hat{y} similar to (4.8) by replacing $(\tilde{}, s, \mu)$ by $(\hat{}, t, \nu)$. Using the consistency relation

$$(\varphi\tilde{\phi})(\widehat{\phi\tilde{\varphi}}) = (\varphi\hat{\phi})(\widehat{\phi\tilde{\varphi}}), \quad (4.10)$$

one obtains an equation for y which is the leKdV-II equation (4.2). ■

The consistency relations (4.10) are consequences of Prop. 2.1. Let φ_1, φ_2 (resp. ϕ_1, ϕ_2) be a solution basis of (2.3) (resp. (4.1)), then one has the general form of y using $\varphi = C_1\varphi_1 + C_2\varphi_2$, $\phi = D_1\phi_1 + D_2\phi_2$, where C_j, D_j , $j = 1, 2$, are constants,

$$y = C_1D_1 y_{11} + C_1D_2 y_{12} + C_2D_1 y_{21} + C_2D_2 y_{22}, \quad y_{ij} = \varphi_i\tilde{\phi}_j - \phi_j\tilde{\varphi}_i. \quad (4.11)$$

Such y is a special linear combination of y_{ij} , while each y_{ij} is a solution to (4.2) as well. A generic linear combination of y_{ij} will satisfy the leKdV-II(δ) equation.

Proposition 4.2 *Let φ_1, φ_2 (resp. ϕ_1, ϕ_2) be two linearly independent solutions of the Lax pair (2.3) (resp. (4.1)), with $q^2 \neq p^2$. Let Y be defined as*

$$Y = \langle \Phi_p | M | \tilde{\Phi}_q \rangle - \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p | M | \Phi_q \rangle, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\langle \Phi_p | = (\varphi_1 \quad \varphi_2), \quad | \Phi_q \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.13)$$

A, B, C, D are constants, then Y satisfies the leKdV-II(δ) equation, where

$$\delta = \rho_1\rho_2 \det M, \quad (4.14)$$

where ρ_1, ρ_2 are constants of integration from

$$|\varphi_1, \varphi_2|_{\tilde{}} = \rho_1 s^{2n} t^{2m}, \quad |\phi_1, \phi_2|_{\tilde{}} = \rho_2 \mu^{2n} \nu^{2m}, \quad (4.15)$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Prop. 4.1. Here, $\langle \cdot |$ denotes a row vector which is the transpose of $|\cdot\rangle$, i.e. $\langle \cdot | = |\cdot\rangle^\top$. One has $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |$ and $|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$ satisfying

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p | + h\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p | + s^2\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p | = 0, \quad |\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle + h|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle + \mu^2|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Right multiplying the first equation by $M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$, and left multiplying the second equation by $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M$, and making the difference, one gets

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle - \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle = s^2\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle - \mu^2\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle. \quad (4.17)$$

Due to the definition of Y , this implies

$$\tilde{Y} = s^2\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle - \mu^2\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle, \quad (4.18)$$

which allows to express the quantities $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$ in terms of Y and \tilde{Y} . Moreover, $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |$ and $|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$ also satisfy

$$\langle \hat{\Phi}_p | = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p | - g\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |, \quad |\hat{\Phi}_q\rangle = |\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle - g|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle, \quad (4.19)$$

meaning

$$Y = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\hat{\Phi}_q\rangle - \langle \hat{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle, \quad (4.20)$$

and that the quantities $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\hat{\Phi}_q\rangle$ and $\langle \hat{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle$ can be expressed in terms of Y and \tilde{Y} . Then, we have the following consistency relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E(Y)}{(p^2 - q^2)^2} &= \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle \left(\langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle \right)^\top - \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle \left(\langle \hat{\Phi}_p |M|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle \right)^\top \\ &= \langle \tilde{\Phi}_p |M \left(|\tilde{\Phi}_q\rangle\langle \hat{\Phi}_q| - |\hat{\Phi}_q\rangle\langle \tilde{\Phi}_q| \right) M^\top |\tilde{\Phi}_p\rangle \\ &= (\det M) G F, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $E(Y)=0$ is the leKdV-II equation for Y and

$$G = \tilde{\phi}_1\hat{\phi}_2 - \tilde{\phi}_2\hat{\phi}_1, \quad F = \varphi_1\tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2\tilde{\varphi}_1. \quad (4.22)$$

Again following Prop. 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, one has

$$G = g|\phi_1, \phi_2| \sim g\rho_2\mu^{2n}\nu^{2m}, \quad F = -(h + \tilde{g})|\varphi_1, \varphi_2| \sim -(h + \tilde{g})\rho_1s^{2n}t^{2m}, \quad (4.23)$$

where ρ_1, ρ_2 are constants of integration. Knowing the lattice KdV equation (2.4), one completes the proof. \blacksquare

Note that the adjoint Lax pairs involving φ^* and ϕ^* can also be used to constructed eigenfunction equations. These yield very similar equations as leKdV-II(δ).

Expanding (4.33) yields

$$Y = A y_{11} + B y_{12} + C y_{21} + D y_{22}, \quad y_{ij} = \varphi_i\tilde{\phi}_j - \phi_j\tilde{\varphi}_i, \quad (4.24)$$

which, in contrast to (4.11), is a true linear combination of y_{ij} , and can be seen as the general form of exact solutions of leKdV-II(δ), where $\{y_{ij}\}$ form the solution basis. The term δ is a result of interactions between y_{11} and y_{22} , and between y_{12} and y_{21} .

4.2 Connections to Q3(δ) and Q1(δ) equations

Now we connect the leKdV-II(δ) equation to some well-known 3D-consistent quad-equations. As usual, the branches of the parameters s, t (resp. μ, ν) are fixed with the sign of p (resp. q)

$$\text{sign } s(p) = \text{sign } p, \quad \text{sign } t(p) = \text{sign } p, \quad \text{sign } \mu(q) = \text{sign } q, \quad \text{sign } \nu(q) = \text{sign } q. \quad (4.25)$$

- Connection to NQC(δ) equation: let Y satisfy the leKdV-II(δ) equation (4.3), and

$$Y = (p - q)s_+^n \mu_+^n t_+^m \nu_+^m ((p + q)S - 1), \quad S := S(n, m; \alpha, p, \beta, q), \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$s_{\pm} = \alpha \pm p, \quad \mu_{\pm} = \alpha \pm q, \quad t_{\pm} = \beta \pm p, \quad \nu_{\pm} = \beta \pm q, \quad (4.27)$$

then S satisfies a δ -extension of the NQC equation

$$\text{NQC}(S) = \frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) s_-^n \mu_-^n t_-^m \nu_-^m}{s_+ \mu_+ t_+ \nu_+ s_+^n \mu_+^n t_+^m \nu_+^m}, \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$\text{NQC}(S) = (1 - \mu_+ \tilde{S} + s_- S)(1 - s_+ \hat{S} + \mu_- \hat{S}) - (1 - \nu_+ \hat{S} + t_- S)(1 - t_+ \tilde{S} + \nu_- \tilde{S}), \quad (4.29)$$

and $\text{NQC}(S) = 0$ is the NQC equation [4] for S without δ .

- Connection to Q3(δ): let Y satisfy the leKdV-II(δ) equation (4.3), and

$$Y = s^n \mu^n t^m \nu^m X, \quad X := X(n, m; \alpha, p, \beta, q), \quad (4.30)$$

then X satisfies

$$s \mu (X \hat{X} + \tilde{X} \tilde{X}) - t \nu (X \tilde{X} + \hat{X} \hat{X}) = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \left(\tilde{X} \hat{X} + X \tilde{X} + (p^2 - q^2)^2 \frac{\delta}{s \mu t \nu} \right), \quad (4.31)$$

which is the Q3(δ) equation [12, 13]¹

Remark 4.3 *Exact solutions of Q3(δ) have been obtained in [6, 17] for soliton solutions, and in [19] for elliptic soliton solutions, following the Cauchy matrix approach. In those derivations, explicit analytic structures (discrete exponential-type functions for soliton solutions, and elliptic functions for elliptic solutions) are presumed. However, our constructions of the Q3(δ) equation, and the related NQC(δ) and leKdV-II(δ) equation, do not require knowledge of the analytic natures of the solution basis, ie. y_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$, as shown in (4.24). Moreover, we give an adequate explanation of the term δ , which can be interpreted as interactions between different solution basis y_{ij} .*

We conclude this section, by providing the connection of leKdV-II(δ) to the Q1(δ) equation.

Proposition 4.4 *Let φ_1, φ_2 be two linearly independent solutions of the Lax pair (2.3). Let χ be defined as*

$$\chi = A x_{11} + B(x_{12} + x_{21}) + D x_{22}, \quad (4.33)$$

where A, B, D are constants, and

$$x_{ij} = \varphi_i \tilde{\varphi}_{j,p} - \tilde{\varphi}_j \varphi_{i,p}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (4.34)$$

¹Using the parametrization $A = \frac{\mu}{s}$, $B = \frac{\nu}{t}$, it follows from (4.31) that

$$\left(A - \frac{1}{A} \right) (X \tilde{X} + \tilde{X} \hat{X}) - \left(B - \frac{1}{B} \right) (X \hat{X} + \hat{X} \tilde{X}) = \left(\frac{A}{B} - \frac{B}{A} \right) \left(\tilde{X} \hat{X} + X \tilde{X} + \delta \left(A - \frac{1}{A} \right) \left(B - \frac{1}{B} \right) \right), \quad (4.32)$$

which is the original form of Q3(δ) presented in [12].

with $\varphi_{\ell,p}$, $\ell = 1, 2$, denoting partial derivative of φ_ℓ with respect to p , then χ satisfies

$$s^4 \chi \widehat{\chi} + \widetilde{\chi} \widehat{\chi} - t^4 \chi \widetilde{\chi} - \widehat{\chi} \widetilde{\chi} = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \left(\chi \widehat{\chi} + \widetilde{\chi} \widehat{\chi} + 4p^2 \delta s^{4n} t^{4m} \right), \quad (4.35)$$

where s, t are defined in (4.4) and

$$\delta = \rho^2 (AD - B^2), \quad (4.36)$$

with ρ being the constant of integration as appeared in (2.8).

Proof: Consider the leKdV-II(δ) equation (4.3) and its solutions (4.24). Let $C = B$ and $q = p + \epsilon$. Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then Y in (4.24) is reduced to

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Y|_{p=q+\epsilon} = \epsilon \chi + o(\epsilon). \quad (4.37)$$

It can be easily checked that χ satisfies the equation (4.35) by apply the limit $q = p + \epsilon$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to leKdV-II(δ). ■

Clearly, the equation (4.33) is a degenerate case of leKdV-II(δ). It is connected to Q1(δ) through the following transformation

$$\chi = s^{2n} t^{2m} Z, \quad Z := Z(n, m; s, t), \quad (4.38)$$

where s, t are defined in (4.4), and Z satisfies the Q1(δ) equation [12, 13]

$$s^2 (Z - \widetilde{Z})(\widehat{Z} - \widehat{\widetilde{Z}}) - t^2 (Z - \widehat{Z})(\widetilde{Z} - \widetilde{\widehat{Z}}) = 4p^2 \delta \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{s^2} \right). \quad (4.39)$$

The exact solution structures are thus

$$Z = s^{-2n} t^{-2m} (A x_{11} + B(x_{12} + x_{21}) + D x_{22}), \quad (4.40)$$

where x_{ij} is defined in (4.11), and $\delta \propto AD - B^2$.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, based on the Lax formulations of the lattice KdV equation, we develop the KdV-type lattice eigenfunction equations, which enables us to make a precise connection to the ABS lattice equations with a δ term, namely the H3(δ), Q1(δ) and Q3(δ) equations. The appearance of the δ term is the result of interactions between different eigenfunctions. By construction, we give exact solution structures of these equations in terms of eigenfunctions. This also helps to understand the ABS classification and the associated lattice KdV-type equations. The discrete Schrödinger equation and its discrete deformation (2.3) are the fundamental objects in determining the equations and their solutions: the lpKdV (H1) and H2 can be considered as potential lattice KdV equations, since they can be expressed in terms of potential variable u and its Miura transformation, *cf.* [34], other equations (excepting Q4) are related to the eigenfunction equations leKdV(δ) (3.2) and leKdV-II(δ) (4.2) (considering Q2 as a degeneration of Q3(δ)).

Note that a variety of exact solutions to the ABS lattice equations, including rational [37, 38], soliton [6, 18], elliptic soliton [19] and algebraic-geometric [39] solutions, has been found, using different approaches. The solution structures of the ABS lattice equations with a δ term presented in this paper, in particular, the solutions of Q3(δ), allow us to provide precise descriptions of exact solutions to all equations, excepting Q4, from the ABS list. One natural continuation of the present work is to establish a rigorous spectral analysis of the Lax pair (2.3) subject to various boundary/asymptotic conditions, and provide a unified picture of solution structures for the ABS equations in the inverse scattering transform scheme. Note that the inverse scattering transform for certain ABS equations was investigated in [40] in view of soliton solutions.

Our approach to constructing integrable lattice equations represents an alternative to some existing methods such as the consistency approach [12,13], or the Cauchy matrix approach [6]. Its effectiveness lies in the fact that some apparently complicated nonlinear lattice equations can be fully understood and operated at the level of linear problems. It also naturally accommodates the equations with a δ term. We believed that our approach can be well-adapted to the task of searching/extending lattice integrable models in the higher-rank analogs of the lattice KdV equation along the discrete Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy [41]. This will be shown in future work.

A Darboux-Crum formulae for the Lax pair (2.3)

Here we present the main formulae concerning exact solutions of the Lax pair (2.3) generated by Darboux transformations. Proofs can be found in [34]. Two types of exact solutions, related to soliton and elliptic soliton solutions of the lpKdV equation, are also provided. The most general algebraic-geometric solutions can be found, for instance, in [39]. These formulae can be directly used to generate soliton and elliptic solutions of the lattice equations presented in this paper.

A.1 Darboux-Crum formulae

A one-step Darboux transformation for the Lax pair (2.3) can be expressed as

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi[1] = \tilde{\varphi} - \gamma\varphi, \quad h \mapsto h[1] = \tilde{h} - \tilde{\gamma} - \gamma, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\gamma = \tilde{\varphi}_1\varphi_1^{-1}$ with φ_1 being a particular solution of (2.3) with $p^2 = p_1^2$.

The Crum's formulae are compact expressions of the action of an N -step Darboux transformation. Assuming there exist N linearly independent solutions φ_j of the Lax pair (2.3) associated with $p^2 = p_j^2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then the N -step Darboux transformation amounts to the map

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi[N] = \frac{|\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N, \varphi|^-}{|\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N|^-}, \quad h \mapsto h[N] = h^{(N)} - r^{(2)} + r. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The superscript (N) denotes N shifts in the \sim direction, and $|\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N|^-$ is the Casorati determinant for functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N$, namely,

$$|\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N|^- = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_1^{(1)} & \dots & \varphi_1^{(N-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N & \varphi_N^{(1)} & \dots & \varphi_N^{(N-1)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and r is in the form

$$r = - \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_1^{(1)} & \dots & \varphi_1^{(N-2)} & \varphi_1^{(N)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N & \varphi_N^{(1)} & \dots & \varphi_N^{(N-2)} & \varphi_N^{(N)} \end{vmatrix}}{|\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N|^-}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A.2 Solutions of the Lax pair (2.3)

Soliton solutions can be constructed using the zero-soliton solutions of lpKdV

$$u = -\alpha n - \beta m + c,$$

ie. (3.25). Then, one has

$$\varphi = \rho^+(\alpha + p)^n(\beta + p)^m + \rho^-(\alpha - p)^n(\beta - p)^m, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

as general solutions to the Lax pair (2.3), where ρ^\pm are arbitrary constants. The particular solutions φ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, are in the form $\varphi_j = \varphi|_{p=p_j, \rho^\pm=\rho_j^\pm}$.

The lpKdV equation (2.2) also allows elliptic solutions, *cf.* [19]. Consider the Weierstrass elliptic functions $\sigma(z), \zeta(z), \wp(z)$ with the same fundamental period parallelogram. When α, β, p, q are parameterized as

$$\alpha^2 = \wp(a), \quad \beta^2 = \wp(b), \quad p^2 = \wp(\kappa), \quad q^2 = \wp(\varepsilon), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

lpKdV has a solution

$$u = \zeta(\xi) - \zeta(a)n - \zeta(b)m + c_0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\xi = na + mb + \xi_0$ and c_0, ξ_0 are constants. The Lax pair (2.3) turns out to be

$$\tilde{\varphi} + (\zeta(\xi + 2a) - \zeta(\xi) - 2\zeta(a))\tilde{\varphi} + (\wp(a) - \wp(\kappa))\varphi = 0, \quad (\text{A.8a})$$

$$\hat{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi} + (\zeta(\xi + b) - \zeta(\xi + a) - \zeta(b) + \zeta(a))\varphi = 0, \quad (\text{A.8b})$$

in which (A.8a) can be considered as a discrete analog of the Lamé equation. Solutions to (A.8) are

$$\varphi = \rho^+ \Phi_{-\kappa}^\xi (\Phi_\kappa^a)^n (\Phi_\kappa^b)^m + \rho^- \Phi_\kappa^\xi (\Phi_{-\kappa}^a)^n (\Phi_{-\kappa}^b)^m, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where

$$\Phi_x^y = \frac{\sigma(x+y)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(y)}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Note that the following elliptic identities are needed to derive the above formulae

$$\zeta(x) + \zeta(y) + \zeta(z) - \zeta(x+y+z) = \frac{\sigma(x+y)\sigma(y+z)\sigma(x+z)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(z)\sigma(x+y+z)}, \quad \wp(x) - \wp(y) = \Phi_x^y \Phi_x^{-y}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

References

- [1] R. Hirota, Nonlinear partial difference equations I. A difference analogue of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 1977, 43(4):1424–1433.
- [2] R. Hirota, Nonlinear partial difference equations III. Discrete sine-Gordon equation. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 1977, 43(6):2079–2086.
- [3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. I. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 1982, 51(12):4116–4124.
- [4] F.W. Nijhoff, G.R.W. Quispel, H.W. Capel, Direct linearization of nonlinear difference-difference equations. *Physics Letters A*, 1983, 97(4):125–128.
- [5] F.W. Nijhoff, H.W. Capel, The discrete Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Acta Applicandae Mathematica*, 1995, 39(1-3): pp. 133–158.
- [6] F.W. Nijhoff, J. Atkinson, J. Hietarinta, Soliton solutions for ABS lattice equations: I. Cauchy matrix approach. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2009, 42(40):404005.
- [7] J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi, F.W. Nijhoff, *Discrete Systems and Integrability*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [8] G.L. Wiersma, H.W. Capel, Lattice equations, hierarchies and Hamiltonian structures. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 1987, 142(1-3):199–244.
- [9] F.W. Nijhoff, A.J. Walker, The discrete and continuous Painlevé VI hierarchy and the Garnier systems. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 2001, 43(A):109–123.

- [10] F.W. Nijhoff, Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever-Novikov) system. *Physics Letters A*, 2002, 297(1):49–58.
- [11] A.I. Bobenko, Y.B. Suris, Integrable systems on quad-graphs. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2002, 2002(11):573–611.
- [12] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, Y.B. Suris, Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2003, 233(3):513–543.
- [13] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, Y.B. Suris, Discrete nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Classification of integrable cases. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 2009, 43(1):3–17.
- [14] V.E. Adler, Bäcklund transformation for the Krichever-Novikov equation. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 1998, 1998(1):1–4.
- [15] V.E. Adler, Yu.B. Suris, Q4: Integrable Master Equation Related to an Elliptic Curve. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2004, 2004(47):2523–2553.
- [16] I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves and nonlinear equations. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 1980, 35(6):53–79.
- [17] J. Atkinson, J. Hietarinta, F.W. Nijhoff, Soliton solutions for Q3. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2008, 41(14):142001.
- [18] J. Hietarinta, D.J. Zhang, Soliton solutions for ABS lattice equations: II. Casoratians and bilinearization. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2009, 42(40):404006.
- [19] F.W. Nijhoff, J. Atkinson, Elliptic N-soliton solutions of ABS lattice equations. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2010, 2010(20):3837–3895.
- [20] J. Atkinson, F.W. Nijhoff, A constructive approach to the soliton solutions of integrable quadrilateral lattice equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2010, 299(2):283–304.
- [21] D.J. Zhang, S.L. Zhao, Solutions to the ABS lattice equations via generalized Cauchy matrix approach. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 2013, 131(3):72–103.
- [22] J. Atkinson, Bäcklund transformations for integrable lattice equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2008, 41(13):135202.
- [23] M. Vermeeren, A variational perspective on continuum limits of ABS and lattice GD equations. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 2019, 15(044).
- [24] B.G. Konopelchenko, Soliton eigenfunction equations: the IST integrability and some properties. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 1990, 2(04):399–440.
- [25] G. Darboux, Sur une proposition relative aux équations linéaires. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 1882, 94:1456–1459.
- [26] M.M. Crum, Associated Sturm-Liouville systems. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*. 1955, 6(2):121–127.
- [27] V.B. Matveev, M.A. Salle, *Darboux Transformations and Solitons*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [28] H.D. Wahlquist, F.B. Estabrook, Bäcklund transformation for solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Physical Review Letters*, 1973, 31(23):1386.
- [29] D. Levi, R. Benguria, Bäcklund transformations and nonlinear differential difference equations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 1980, 77(9):5025–5027.

- [30] D. Levi, Nonlinear differential difference equations as Bäcklund transformations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 1981, 14(5):1083–1098.
- [31] A.B. Shabat, Dressing chains and lattices. In *Nonlinearity, Integrability And All That: Twenty Years After NEEDS'79*, (1999), 331–342.
- [32] C.W. Cao, G.Y. Zhang, Lax Pairs for discrete integrable equations via Darboux transformations. *Chinese Physics Letters*, 2012, 29(5):050202.
- [33] C.A. Evripidou, P.H. van der Kamp, C. Zhang, Dressing the dressing chain. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 2018, 14(059).
- [34] C. Zhang, L. Peng, D.J. Zhang, Discrete Crum's theorems and lattice KdV-type equations. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2020, 202(2).
- [35] D.D. Zhang, D.J. Zhang, On decomposition of the ABS lattice equations and related Bäcklund transformations. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 2018, 25(1):34–53.
- [36] D.D. Zhang, D.J. Zhang, Addition formulae, Bäcklund transformations, periodic solutions and quadrilateral equations, *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 2019, 14(1):203–223.
- [37] D.D. Zhang, D.J. Zhang, Rational solutions to the ABS list: transformation approach. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 2017, 13(078).
- [38] S.L. Zhao, D.J. Zhang, Rational solutions to $Q_3(\delta)$ in the Adler-Bobenko-Suris list and degenerations. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 2019, 26(1):107–132.
- [39] C.W. Cao, X.X. Xu, A finite genus solution of the H1 model. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2012, 45(5):055213.
- [40] S. Butler, N. Joshi, An inverse scattering transform for the lattice potential KdV equation. *Inverse Problems*, 2010, 26(11):115012.
- [41] F.W. Nijhoff, V.G. Papageorgiou, H.W. Capel, G.R.W. Quispel, The lattice Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy. *Inverse Problems*, 1992, 8(4):597–621.