

# ON WEAKLY 1-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

AYMAN BADAWI AND ECE YETKIN CELIKEL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of 1-absorbing ideal. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *weakly 1-absorbing primary* ideal if whenever nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . A number of results concerning weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals and examples of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals are given. Furthermore, we give the correct version of a result on 1-absorbing ideals of commutative rings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with nonzero identity. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. By a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we mean an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $I \neq R$ . Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . Before we state some results, let us introduce some notation and terminology. By  $\sqrt{I}$ , we mean the radical of  $R$ , that is,  $\{a \in R \mid a^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$ . In particular,  $\sqrt{0}$  denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ . We define  $Z_I(R) = \{r \in R \mid rs \in I \text{ for some } s \in R \setminus I\}$ . A ring  $R$  is called a reduced ring if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements; i.e.,  $\sqrt{0} = 0$ . For two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  of  $R$ , the residual division of  $I$  and  $J$  is defined to be the ideal  $(I : J) = \{a \in R \mid aJ \subseteq I\}$ . Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $M$  a unitary  $R$ -module. Then  $R(+M) = R \oplus M$  (direct sum) with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication  $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, an + bm)$  is a commutative ring with identity called the idealization of  $M$ . A ring  $R$  is called a *quasilocal* ring if  $R$  has exactly one maximal ideal. As usual we denote  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  by the ring of integers and the ring of integers modulo  $n$ .

Since prime and primary ideals have key roles in commutative ring theory, many authors have studied generalizations of prime and primary ideals. Anderson and Smith introduced in [2] the notion of weakly prime ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *weakly prime* ideal of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$  and  $0 \neq ab \in I$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Then Atani and Farzalipour introduced the concept of weakly primary ideals which is a generalization of primary ideals in [5]. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *weakly primary* ideal of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$  and  $0 \neq ab \in I$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . For a different generalizations of prime ideals and weakly prime ideals, the contexts of 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals were defined. According to [6] and [7], a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *2-absorbing* (*weakly 2-absorbing*)

*Date:* September, 2019.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A15, 13F05; Secondary 05A15, 13G05.

*Key words and phrases.* prime ideal, primary ideal, 1-absorbing primary ideal, 2-absorbing primary ideal, 2-absorbing ideal, weakly prime ideal, weakly primary ideal, weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal, weakly semiprime ideal, n-absorbing ideal.

ideal of  $R$ , if whenever  $a, b, c \in I$  and  $abc \in I$  ( $0 \neq abc \in I$ ), then  $ab \in I$  or  $bc \in I$  or  $ac \in I$ . As a generalization of 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals, 2-absorbing primary and weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals were defined in [8] and [9], respectively. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be *2-absorbing primary* (*weakly 2-absorbing primary*) if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$  ( $0 \neq abc \in I$ ), then  $ab \in I$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ . In a recent study [10], we call a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  a *1-absorbing primary* ideal if whenever nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ .

In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly 1-absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$ . A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *weakly 1-absorbing primary* ideal of  $R$  if whenever nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . It is clear that a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . However, since 0 is always weakly 1-absorbing primary, a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  needs not be a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  (see Example 1).

Among many results, we show (Theorem 2) that if a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing ideal of  $R$  such that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $I$  is 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . We show (Theorem 3) that If  $R$  is a reduced ring and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is a proper nonzero ideal of a von-Neumann regular ring  $R$ , then we show (Theorem 4) that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ . We show (Theorem 5) that if  $R$  be a non-quasilocal ring and  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{ann}(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$  for every element  $i \in I$ , then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is a proper ideal of a reduced divided ring  $R$ , then we show (Theorem 7) that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary of a ring  $R$  that is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then we give (Theorem 10) sufficient conditions so that  $I^3 = 0$  (i.e.,  $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ ). In Theorem 9, we obtain some equivalent conditions for weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of  $u$ -rings. We give (Theorem 13) a characterization of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals in  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are commutative rings with identity that are not fields. If  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$  are commutative rings with identity for some  $2 \leq n < \infty$  and  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n$ , then it is shown (Theorem 14) that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , if and only if  $n = 2$  and  $R_1, R_2$  are fields. For a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a ring  $R$ , we show (Theorem 17) that  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  for every multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$  that is disjoint from  $I$ , and we show that the converse holds if  $S \cap Z(R) = S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$ . We give (Remark 1) the correct versions of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3 and Corollary 4].

## 2. PROPERTIES OF WEAKLY 1-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS

**Definition 1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . We call  $I$  a *weakly 1-absorbing primary* ideal of  $R$  if whenever nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ .

It is clear that every 1-absorbing primary ideal of a ring  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , and  $I = \{0\}$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . The following example shows that the converse is not true.

- Example 1.** (1)  $I = \{0\}$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  that is not a 1-absorbing primary of  $R$ . Indeed,  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \in I$  but neither  $2 \cdot 2 \in I$  nor  $3 \in \sqrt{I}$ .
- (2) Let  $J = \{0, 6\}$  as an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  and let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{12}(+)J$ . Then an ideal  $I = \{(0, 0), (0, 6)\}$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . Observe that  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R \setminus I$  if and only if  $abc = (0, 0)$ . However it is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . Indeed;  $(2, 0)(2, 0)(3, 0) \in I$ , but neither  $(2, 0)(2, 0) \in I$  nor  $(3, 0) \in \sqrt{I}$ .
- (3) For an infinite example of a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal that is not 1-absorbing primary, put  $J = \{0, 6\}$  an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , and consider the idealization ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{12}(+)J[X]$  and the ideal  $I = \{0\}(+)J[X]$ . Then  $I$  is an infinite ideal of  $R$ . Since  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R \setminus I$  if and only if  $abc = (0, 0)$ , then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .

We begin with the following trivial result without proof.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal, then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.
- (2) If  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal, then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.
- (3) If  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal, then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.
- (4) If  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal, then  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (5) If  $R/$  is an integral domain, then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal if and only if  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (6) Let  $R$  be a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal  $\sqrt{0}$ . Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .

We recall that a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *semiprimary* ideal of  $R$  if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . For an interesting article on semiprimary ideals of commutative rings see [12]. For a recent related article on semiprimary ideals, we recommend [11]. We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $I$  is a 1-absorbing ideal primary of  $R$ . In particular, If  $I$  a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  that is not a 1-absorbing ideal primary of  $R$ , then  $\sqrt{I}$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a semiprimary ideal of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a semiprimary ideal of  $R$  and  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$  by [18, P. 153]. Thus  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $R$  be a reduced ring. If  $I$  is a nonzero weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . In particular, if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq ab \in \sqrt{I}$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . We may assume that  $a, b$  are nonunit. Then there exists an even positive integer  $n = 2m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) such that

$(ab)^n \in I$ . Since  $\sqrt{0} = \{0\}$ , we have  $(ab)^n \neq 0$ . Hence  $0 \neq a^m a^m b^n \in I$ . Thus  $a^m a^m = a^n \in I$  or  $b^n \in \sqrt{I}$ , and therefore  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is reduced and  $I \neq \{0\}$ , we conclude that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  by [2, Corollary 2]. The proof of the "in particular" statement is now clear by Theorem 2.  $\square$

Recall that a commutative ring  $R$  is called a *von-Neumann regular* ring if and only if for every  $x \in R$ , there is a  $y \in R$  such that  $x^2 y = x$ . It is known that a commutative ring  $R$  is a von-Neumann regular ring if and only if for each  $x \in R$ , there is an idempotent  $e \in R$  and a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $x = eu$ . For a recent article on von-Neumann regular rings see [4]. We have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R$  be a von-Neumann regular ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (2)  *$I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (3)  *$I$  is a 1-absorbing ideal primary of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Since  $R$  is a von-Neumann regular ring, we know that  $R$  is reduced. Hence  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3. Since every prime ideal of a von-Neumann regular ring is maximal, we conclude that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Hence  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1). It is clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be a non-quasilocal ring and  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{ann}(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$  for every element  $i \in I$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 1(2). Hence suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and suppose that  $0 \neq ab \in I$  for some elements  $a, b \in R$ . We show that  $a \in I$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . We may assume that  $a, b$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Let  $\text{ann}(ab) = \{c \in R \mid cab = 0\}$ . Since  $ab \neq 0$ ,  $\text{ann}(ab)$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ . Let  $L$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{ann}(ab) \subset L$ . Since  $R$  is a non-quasilocal ring, there is a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$  such that  $M \neq L$ . Let  $m \in M \setminus L$ . Hence  $m \notin \text{ann}(ab)$  and  $0 \neq mab \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we have  $ma \in I$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . If  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ , then we are done. Hence assume that  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Hence  $ma \in I$ . Since  $m \notin L$  and  $L$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $m \notin J(R)$ . Hence there exists an  $r \in R$  such that  $1 + rm$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Suppose that  $1 + rm \notin \text{ann}(ab)$ . Hence  $0 \neq (1 + rm)ab \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(1 + rm)a = a + rma \in I$ . Since  $rma \in I$ , we have  $a \in I$  and we are done. Suppose that  $1 + rm \in \text{ann}(ab)$ . Since  $\text{ann}(ab)$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$  and  $\text{ann}(ab) \subset L$ , there is a  $w \in L \setminus \text{ann}(ab)$ . Hence  $0 \neq wab \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $wa \in I$ . Since  $1 + rm \in \text{ann}(ab) \subset L$  and  $w \in L \setminus \text{ann}(ab)$ , we have  $1 + rm + w$  is a nonzero nonunit element of  $L$ . Hence  $0 \neq (1 + rm + w)ab \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(1 + rm + w)a = a + rma + wa \in I$ . Since  $rma, wa \in I$ , we conclude that  $a \in I$ .  $\square$

**Question.** Is Theorem 5 still valid without the assumption that  $\text{ann}(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$  for every element  $i \in I$ ? We are unable to give a proof of Theorem 5 without this assumption.

In light of the proof of Theorem 5, we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  such that for every nonzero element  $i \in I$ , there exists a nonunit  $w \in R$  such that  $wi \neq 0$  and  $w + u$  is a nonunit element of  $R$  for some unit  $u \in R$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq ab \in I$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . We may assume that  $a, b$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Hence there is a nonunit  $w \in R$  such that  $wab \neq 0$  and  $w + u$  is a nonunit element of  $R$  for some unit  $u \in R$ . Since  $0 \neq wab \in I$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$  and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $wa \in I$ . Since  $(w + u)ab \in I$  and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(w + u)a = wa + ua \in I$ . Since  $wa \in I$  and  $wa + ua \in I$ , we conclude that  $ua \in I$ . Since  $u$  is a unit, we have  $a \in I$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $A = R[X]$ . Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $A$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Xi \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $i \in I$  and  $X + 1$  is a nonunit element of  $A$ , we are done by Theorem 6.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called *divided* if for every prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  and for every  $x \in R \setminus P$ , we have  $x \mid p$  for every  $p \in P$ . We have the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced divided ring and  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that  $0 \neq ab \in I$  for some  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ . We may assume that  $a, b$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Since  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3, we conclude that  $a \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $R$  is divided, we conclude that  $b \mid a$ . Thus  $a = bc$  for some  $c \in R$ . Observe that  $c$  is a nonunit element of  $R$  as  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$  and  $a \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $0 \neq ab = bcb \in I$  and  $I$  is weakly 1-absorbing primary, and  $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $bc = a \in I$ . Thus  $I$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ . (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). It is clear by Theorem 1(2).  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called a *chained* ring if for every  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $x \mid y$  or  $y \mid x$ . Every chained ring is divided. So, if  $R$  is a reduced chained ring, then a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal if and only if it is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3. The converse part follows from [10, Theorem 14].  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If an ideal of  $R$  contained in a finite union of ideals must be contained in one of those ideals, then  $R$  is said to be a *u-ring* [17]. In the next theorem, we give some characterizations of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals in *u-rings*.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative u-ring, and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2) For every nonunit elements  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \notin I$ ,  $(I : ab) = (0 : ab)$  or  $(I : ab) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .
- (3) For every nonunit element  $a \in R$  and every ideal  $I_1$  of  $R$  with  $I_1 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , if  $(I : aI_1)$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ , then  $(I : aI_1) = (0 : aI_1)$  or  $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (I : a)$ .
- (4) For every ideals  $I_1, I_2$  of  $R$  with  $I_1 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , if  $(I : I_1I_2)$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ , then  $(I : I_1I_2) = (0 : I_1I_2)$  or  $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (I : I_2)$ .
- (5) For every ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of  $R$  with  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ ,  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ ,  $ab \notin I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b \in R$  and  $c \in (I : ab)$ . Then  $abc \in I$ . Since  $ab \notin I$ ,  $c$  is nonunit. If  $abc = 0$ , then  $c \in (0 : ab)$ . Assume that  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . Since  $I$  is weakly 1-absorbing primary, we have  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . Hence we conclude that  $(I : ab) \subseteq (0 : ab) \cup \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $R$  is a *u-ring*, we obtain that  $(I : ab) = (0 : ab)$  or  $(I : ab) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) If  $aI_1 \subseteq I$ , then we are done. Suppose that  $aI_1 \not\subseteq I$  for some nonunit element  $a \in R$  and  $c \in (I : aI_1)$ . It is clear that  $c$  is nonunit. Then  $acI_1 \subseteq I$ . Now  $I_1 \subseteq (I : ac)$ . If  $ac \in I$ , then  $c \in (I : a)$ . Suppose that  $ac \notin I$ . Hence  $(I : ac) = (0 : ac)$  or  $(I : ac) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  by (2). Thus  $I_1 \subseteq (0 : ac)$  or  $I_1 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $I_1 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$  by hypothesis, we conclude  $I_1 \subseteq (0 : ac)$ ; i.e.  $c \in (0 : aI_1)$ . Thus  $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (0 : aI_1) \cup (I : a)$ . Since  $R$  is a *u-ring*, we have  $(I : aI_1) = (0 : aI_1)$  or  $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (I : a)$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) If  $I_1 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , then we are done. Suppose that  $I_1 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $c \in (I : I_1I_2)$ . Then  $I_2 \subseteq (I : cI_1)$ . Since  $(I : I_1I_2)$  is proper,  $c$  is nonunit. Hence  $I_2 \subseteq (0 : cI_1)$  or  $I_2 \subseteq (I : c)$  by (3). If  $I_2 \subseteq (0 : cI_1)$ , then  $c \in (I : I_1I_2)$ . If  $I_2 \subseteq (I : c)$ , then  $c \in (I : I_2)$ . So,  $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (0 : I_1I_2) \cup (I : I_2)$  which implies that  $(I : I_1I_2) = (0 : I_1I_2)$  or  $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (I : I_2)$ , as needed.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) It is clear.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $a, b, c \in R$  nonunit elements and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . Put  $I_1 = aR$ ,  $I_2 = bR$ , and  $I_3 = cR$ . Then (1) is now clear by (5).  $\square$

**Definition 2.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $a, b, c$  be nonunit elements of  $R$ . We call  $(a, b, c)$  a 1-triple-zero of  $I$  if  $abc = 0$ ,  $ab \notin I$ , and  $c \notin \sqrt{I}$ .*

Observe that if  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  that is not 1-absorbing primary, then there exists a 1-triple-zero  $(a, b, c)$  of  $I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , and  $(a, b, c)$  be a 1-triple-zero of  $I$ . Then*

- (1)  $abI = 0$ .
- (2) If  $a, b \notin (I : c)$ , then  $bcI = acI = aI^2 = bI^2 = cI^2 = 0$ .

(3) If  $a, b \notin (I : c)$ , then  $I^3 = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $abI \neq 0$ . Then  $abx \neq 0$  for some nonunit  $x \in I$ . Hence  $0 \neq ab(c+x) \in I$ . Since  $ab \notin I$ ,  $(c+x)$  is nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude that  $(c+x) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $x \in I$ , we have  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $abI = 0$ .

(2) Suppose that  $bcI \neq 0$ . Then  $bcy \neq 0$  for some nonunit element  $y \in I$ . Hence  $0 \neq bcy = b(a+y)c \in I$ . Since  $b \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $a+y$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $ab \notin I$  and  $by \in I$ , we conclude that  $b(a+y) \notin I$ , and hence  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $bcI = 0$ . We show that  $acI = 0$ . Suppose that  $acI \neq 0$ . Then  $acy \neq 0$  for some nonunit element  $y \in I$ . Hence  $0 \neq acy = a(b+y)c \in I$ . Since  $a \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $b+y$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $ab \notin I$  and  $ay \in I$ , we conclude that  $a(b+y) \notin I$ , and hence  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $acI = 0$ . Now we prove that  $aI^2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $axy \neq 0$  for some  $x, y \in I$ . Since  $abI = 0$  by (1) and  $acI = 0$  by (2),  $0 \neq axy = a(b+x)(c+y) \in I$ . Since  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude that  $c+y$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $a \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $b+x$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we have  $a(b+x) \in I$  or  $(c+y) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $x, y \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $aI^2 = 0$ . We show  $bI^2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $bxy \neq 0$  for some  $x, y \in I$ . Since  $abI = 0$  by (1) and  $bcI = 0$  by (2),  $0 \neq bxy = b(a+x)(c+y) \in I$ . Since  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude that  $c+y$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $b \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $a+x$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we have  $b(a+x) \in I$  or  $(c+y) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $x, y \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $bI^2 = 0$ . We show  $cI^2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $cxy \neq 0$  for some  $x, y \in I$ . Since  $acI = bcI = 0$  by (2),  $0 \neq cxy = (a+x)(b+y)c \in I$ . Since  $a, b \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $a+x$  and  $b+y$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we have  $(a+x)(b+y) \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $x, y \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $cI^2 = 0$ .

(3) Assume that  $xyz \neq 0$  for some  $x, y, z \in I$ . Then  $0 \neq xyz = (a+x)(b+y)(c+z) \in I$  by (1) and (2). Since  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude  $c+z$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $a, b \notin (I : c)$ , we conclude that  $a+x$  and  $b+y$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we have  $(a+x)(b+y) \in I$  or  $c+z \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $x, y, z \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I^3 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** (1) Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a reduced ring  $R$ . Suppose that  $I$  is not a 1-absorbing ideal primary ideal of  $R$  and  $(a, b, c)$  is a 1-triple-zero of  $I$  such that  $a, b \notin (I : c)$ . Then  $I = 0$ .

(2) Let  $I$  be a nonzero weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a reduced ring  $R$ . Suppose that  $I$  is not a 1-absorbing ideal primary ideal of  $R$  and  $(a, b, c)$  is a 1-triple-zero of  $I$ . Then  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $a, b \in (I : c)$ , then  $I^3 = 0$  by Theorem 10(3). Since  $R$  is reduced, we conclude that  $I = 0$ .

(2) Suppose that neither  $ac \in I$  nor  $bc \in I$ . Then  $I = 0$  by (1), a contradiction since  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R$  by hypothesis. Hence if  $(a, b, c)$  is a 1-triple-zero of  $I$ , then  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is not a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ , then there exist an irreducible element  $x \in R$  and a nonunit element  $y \in R$  such that  $xy \in I$ , but neither  $x \in I$  nor  $y \in \sqrt{I}$ . Furthermore, if  $ab \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b \in R$  such that neither  $a \in I$  nor  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ , then  $a$  is an irreducible element of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I$  is not a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ . Then there exist nonunit elements  $x, y \in R$  such that  $0 \neq xy \in I$  with  $x \notin I$ ,  $y \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose that  $x$  is not an irreducible element of  $R$ . Then  $x = cd$  for some nonunit elements  $c, d \in R$ . Since  $0 \neq xy = cdy \in I$  and  $I$  is weakly 1-absorbing primary and  $y \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $cd = x \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x$  is an irreducible element of  $R$ .  $\square$

In general, the intersection of a family of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals need not be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal. Indeed, consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ . Then  $I = (2)$  and  $J = (3)$  are clearly weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  but  $I \cap J = \{0\}$  is not a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  by Example 1. However, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\{I_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of  $R$  such that  $Q = \sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{I_j}$  for every distinct  $i, j \in \Lambda$ . Then  $I = \cap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq abc \in I = \cap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$  for nonunit elements  $a, b, c$  of  $R$  and  $ab \notin I$ . Then for some  $k \in \Lambda$ ,  $0 \neq abc \in I_k$  and  $ab \notin I_k$ . It implies that  $c \in \sqrt{I_k} = Q = \sqrt{I}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $c$  be a nonunit element of  $R \setminus I$ . Then  $(I : c)$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq ab \in (I : c)$  for some nonunit  $c \in R \setminus I$  and assume that  $a \notin (I : c)$ . Hence  $b$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . If  $a$  is unit, then  $b \in (I : c) \subseteq \sqrt{(I : c)}$  and we are done. So assume that  $a$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $0 \neq abc = acb \in I$  and  $ac \notin I$  and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $b \in \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{(I : c)}$ . Thus  $(I : c)$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

The next theorem gives a characterization for weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are commutative rings with identity that are not fields.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with identity that are not fields,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ , and  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I = I_1 \times R_2$  for some primary ideal  $I_1$  of  $R_1$  or  $I = R_1 \times I_2$  for some primary ideal  $I_2$  of  $R_2$ .
- (3)  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (4)  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R_1$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is of the form  $I_1 \times I_2$  for some ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively. Assume that both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are proper. Since  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $I_1 \neq 0$  or  $I_2 \neq 0$ . We may assume that  $I_1 \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq c \in I_1$ . Then  $0 \neq (1, 0)(1, 0)(c, 1) = (c, 0) \in I_1 \times I_2$ . It implies that  $(1, 0)(1, 0) \in I_1 \times I_2$  or



$(c, 1) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times I_2} = \sqrt{I_1} \times \sqrt{I_2}$ , that is  $I_1 = R_1$  or  $I_2 = R_2$ , a contradiction. Thus either  $I_1$  or  $I_2$  is a proper ideal. Without loss of generality, assume that  $I = I_1 \times R_2$  for some proper ideal  $I_1$  of  $R_1$ . We show that  $I_1$  is a primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Let  $ab \in I_1$  for some  $a, b \in R_1$ . We can assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are nonunit elements of  $R_1$ . Since  $R_2$  is not a field, there exists a nonunit nonzero element  $x \in R_2$ . Then  $0 \neq (a, 1)(1, x)(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$  which implies that either  $(a, 1)(1, x) \in I_1 \times R_2$  or  $(b, 1) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times R_2} = \sqrt{I_1} \times R_2$ ; i.e.,  $a \in I_1$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I_1}$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Since  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$ ,  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  by [10, Theorem 1(1)].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Since  $I$  a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $R$  is not a quasilocal ring, we conclude that  $I$  is a primary ideal of  $R$  by [10, Theorem 3].

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). It is clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$  for some  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , and let  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *Every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (2)  *$n = 2$  and  $R_1, R_2$  are fields.*

*Proof.* (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $n = 3$ . Then  $I = R_1 \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . However, for a nonzero  $a \in R_1$ , we have  $(0, 0, 0) \neq (1, 0, 1)(1, 0, 1)(a, 1, 0) = (a, 0, 0) \in I$ , but neither  $(1, 0, 1)(1, 0, 1) \in I$  nor  $(a, 1, 0) \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $n = 2$ . Assume that  $R_1$  is not a field. Then there exists a nonzero proper ideal  $A$  of  $R_1$ . Hence  $I = A \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . However, for a nonzero  $a \in A$ , we have  $(0, 0) \neq (1, 0)(1, 0)(a, 1) = (a, 0) \in I$ , but neither  $(1, 0)(1, 0) \in I$  nor  $(a, 1) \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Similarly, one can easily show that  $R_2$  is a field. Hence  $n = 2$  and  $R_1, R_2$  are fields.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that  $n = 2$  and  $R_1, R_2$  are fields. Then  $R$  has exactly three proper ideals, i.e.,  $\{(0, 0)\}$ ,  $\{0\} \times R_2$  and  $R_1 \times \{0\}$  are the only proper ideals of  $R$ . Hence it is clear that each proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Since every ring that is a product of a finite number of fields is a von-Neumann regular ring, in light of Theorem 4 and Theorem 14 we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$  for some  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , and let  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *Every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (2) *Every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (3)  *$n = 2$  and  $R_1, R_2$  are fields, and hence  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is a von-Neumann regular ring.*

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings and  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  be a ring homomorphism with  $f(1) = 1$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *Suppose that  $f$  is a monomorphism and  $f(a)$  is a nonunit element of  $R_2$  for every nonunit element  $a \in R_1$  (for example if  $U(R_2)$  is a torsion group) and  $J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_2$ . Then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ .*

- (2) If  $f$  is an epimorphism and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$  such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$ , then  $f(I)$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_2$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $0 \neq abc \in f^{-1}(J)$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$ , we have  $0 \neq f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) \in J$ , where  $f(a), f(b), f(c)$  are nonunit elements of  $R_2$  by hypothesis. Hence  $f(a)f(b) \in J$  or  $f(c) \in \sqrt{J}$ . Hence  $ab \in f^{-1}(J)$  or  $c \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)} = f^{-1}(\sqrt{J})$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ .

(2) Let  $0 \neq xyz \in f(I)$  for some nonunit elements  $x, y, z \in R$ . Since  $f$  is onto, there exists nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in I$  such that  $x = f(a)$ ,  $y = f(b)$ ,  $z = f(c)$ . Then  $f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) = xyz \in f(I)$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$ , we have  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . It follows  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $xy \in f(I)$  or  $z \in f(\sqrt{I})$ . Since  $f$  is onto and  $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$ , we have  $f(\sqrt{I}) = \sqrt{f(I)}$ . Thus we are done.  $\square$

The following example shows that the hypothesis in Theorem 15(1) is crucial.

**Example 2.** [10, Example 1] Let  $A = K[x, y]$ , where  $K$  is a field,  $M = (x, y)A$ , and  $B = A_M$ . Note that  $B$  is a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal  $M_M$ . Then  $I = xM_M = (x^2, xy)B$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $B$  (see [10, Theorem 5]) and  $\sqrt{I} = xB$ . However  $xy \in I$ , but neither  $x \in I$  nor  $y \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $I$  is not a primary ideal of  $B$ . Let  $f : B \times B \rightarrow B$  such that  $f(x, y) = x$ . Then  $f$  is a ring homomorphism from  $B \times B$  onto  $B$  such that  $f(1, 1) = 1$ . However,  $(1, 0)$  is a nonunit element of  $B \times B$  and  $f(1, 0) = 1$  is a unit of  $B$ . Thus  $f$  does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 15(1). Now  $f^{-1}(I) = I \times B$  is not a weakly 1-absorbing ideal of  $B \times B$  by Theorem 13.

**Theorem 16.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $J$  is a proper ideal of a ring  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $I/J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R/J$ .
- (2) If  $J$  is a proper ideal of a ring  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $U(R/J) = \{a + J \mid a \in U(R)\}$ . If  $J$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $I/J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R/J$ , then  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) If  $\{0\}$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (4) If  $J$  is a proper ideal of a ring  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $U(R/J) = \{a + J \mid a \in U(R)\}$ . If  $J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $I/J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R/J$ , then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* (1) Consider the natural epimorphism  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J$ . Then  $\pi(I) = I/J$ . So we are done by Theorem 15 (2).

(2) Suppose that  $abc \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $abc \in J$ , then  $ab \in J \subseteq I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  as  $J$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ . Now assume that  $abc \notin J$ . Then  $J \neq (a + J)(b + J)(c + J) \in I/J$ , where  $a + J, b + J, c + J$  are nonunit elements of  $R/J$  by hypothesis. Thus  $(a + J)(b + J) \in I/J$  or  $(c + J) \in \sqrt{I/J}$ . Hence  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ .

(3) The proof follows from (2).

(4) Suppose that  $0 \neq abc \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $abc \in J$ , then  $ab \in J \subseteq I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  as  $J$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of

$R$ . Now assume that  $abc \notin J$ . Then  $J \neq (a+J)(b+J)(c+J) \in I/J$ , where  $a+J, b+J, c+J$  are nonunit elements of  $R/J$  by hypothesis. Thus  $(a+J)(b+J) \in I/J$  or  $(c+J) \in \sqrt{I/J}$ . Hence  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ .  $\square$

In the following remark, we give the correct version of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3 and Corollary 4].

**Remark 1.** *Mohammed Tamekkante pointed out to the first-named author that in [10], we overlooked the fact that if  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is a ring homomorphism such that  $f(1) = 1$ , then it is possible that  $f(a) \in U(R_2)$  for some nonunit element  $a \in R_1$ . Overlooking this fact caused a problem in the proof of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3 and Corollary 4]. We state the correct version of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3 and Corollary 4].*

- (1) ([10, Theorem 17(1)]). *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings and  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  be a ring homomorphism with  $f(1) = 1$  such that if  $R_2$  is not a quasilocal ring, then  $f(a)$  is a nonunit element of  $R_2$  for every nonunit element  $a \in R_1$  (for example if  $U(R_2)$  is a torsion group) and  $J$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_2$ . Then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ . (note that if  $R_2$  is not a quasilocal ring, then  $J$  is primary by [10, Theorem 3]).*
- (2) ([10, Corollary 3]). *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be proper ideals of a ring  $R$  with  $I \subseteq J$ . If  $J$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $J/I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R/I$ . Furthermore, assume that if  $R/I$  is a quasilocal ring, then  $U(R/I) = \{a+I \mid a \in U(R)\}$ . If  $J/I$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R/I$ , then  $J$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (3) ([10, Corollary 4]). *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $A = R[x]$ . Then a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $(I[x] + xA)/xA$  is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $A/xA$  (The claim is clear since  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $A/xA$ ).*

*Note that Example 2 shows that the hypothesis in (1) is crucial.*

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .*
- (2) *If  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  such that  $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$  and  $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$ , then  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $0 \neq \frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \frac{c}{s_3} \in S^{-1}I$  for some nonunit  $a, b, c \in R \setminus S$ ,  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$  and  $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \notin S^{-1}I$ . Then  $0 \neq uabc \in I$  for some  $u \in S$ . Since  $I$  is weakly 1-absorbing primary and  $uab \notin I$ , we conclude  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $\frac{c}{s_3} \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$ . Thus  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .

(2) Suppose that  $0 \neq abc \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ . Hence  $0 \neq \frac{abc}{1} = \frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \frac{c}{1} \in S^{-1}I$  as  $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$ . Since  $S^{-1}I$  is weakly 1-absorbing primary, we have either  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$  or  $\frac{c}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I} = S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$ . If  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ , then  $uab \in I$  for some  $u \in S$ . Since  $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ . If  $\frac{c}{1} \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$ , then  $(tc)^n \in I$  for some positive integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \in S$ . Since  $t^n \notin Z_I(R)$ , we have  $c^n \in I$ , i.e.,  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $I$  is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$  for some proper ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of  $R$ . If  $(a, b, c)$  is not 1-triple zero of  $I$  for every  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2, c \in I_3$ , then we call  $I$  a free 1-triple zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ .

**Theorem 18.** Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $J$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  with  $abJ \subseteq I$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . If  $(a, b, j)$  is not a 1-triple zero of  $I$  for all  $j \in J$  and  $ab \notin I$ , then  $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $J \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Then there exists  $c \in J \setminus \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $abc \in abJ \subseteq I$ . If  $abc \neq 0$ , then it contradicts our assumption that  $ab \notin I$  and  $c \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $abc = 0$ . Since  $(a, b, c)$  is not a 1-triple zero of  $I$  and  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 19.** Let  $I$  be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$  for some proper ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of  $R$ . If  $I$  is free 1-triple zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ , then  $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I$  is free 1-triple zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ , and  $0 \neq I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$ . Assume that  $I_1 I_2 \not\subseteq I$ . Then there exist  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$  such that  $ab \notin I$ . Since  $I$  is a free 1-triple zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ , we conclude that  $(a, b, c)$  is not a 1-triple zero of  $I$  for all  $c \in I_3$ . Thus  $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  by Theorem 18.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to thank Mohammed Tamekkante for pointing out a problem in [10, Theorem 17(1)].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Batanieh, Generalizations of prime ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, **36** (2008), 686–696.
- [2] D.D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, **29** (4) (2003), 831–840.
- [3] D.F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On  $n$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **39** (2011), 1646–1672.
- [4] D.F. Anderson and A. Badawi, Von Neumann regular and related elements in commutative rings, *Algebra Colloquium*, **19**(SPL. ISS. 1) (2012), 1017–1040.
- [5] S. E. Atani and F. Farzalipour, On weakly primary ideals, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, **12** (3) (2005), 423–429.
- [6] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **75** (2007), 417–429.
- [7] A. Badawi and A. Y. Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Houston J. Math.* **39** (2013), no. 2, 441–452.
- [8] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **51** (4) (2014), 1163–1173.
- [9] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, *Journal of Korean Mathematical Society*, **52** (1) (2015), 97–111.
- [10] A. Badawi and E. Yetkin Çelikel, On 1-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative ring, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* (in press)
- [11] A. Badawi, D. Sonmez and G. Yesilot, On Weakly  $\delta$ -Semiprimary Ideals of Commutative Rings, *Algebra Colloquium*, **25**(3) (2018), 387–398.
- [12] R. W. Gilmer, Rings in which semiprimary ideals are primary, *Pacific Journal of Math.* **12**(4) (1962) 1273–1276.
- [13] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Queen Papers Pure Appl. Math. 90, Queen’s University, Kingston, 1992.
- [14] J. Huckaba, *Rings with zero-divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York/ Basil, 1988.
- [15] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, rev. ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [16] M.D. Larson and P.J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative theory of ideals*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1971.

- [17] P. Quartararo and H. S. Butts, Finite unions of ideals and modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 91-96.
- [18] O. Zariski and Pierre Samuel, Commutative Algebra. V.I. (Princeton, 1958).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P.O. BOX 26666, SHARJAH, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.

*E-mail address:* `abadawi@aus.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, HASAN KALYONCU UNIVERSITY, GAZIANTEP, TURKEY.

*E-mail address:* `yetkinece@gmail.com`